# CSE318 Assignment-03 Solving the Max-cut problem by GRASP

# **Performance Report and Implementation Details**

## Prepared by

Wasif Jalal (1905084)

#### **Overview**

In this assignment the maximum cut of a graph was estimated using the GRASP algorithm by various constructive algorithms and local search operations on constructed approximations. For the provided dataset of 54 graphs, the implemented GRASP algorithm runs 50 iterations of each of three constructive algorithms (randomised, semi-greedy and greedy), each followed by a local search operation.

### **Implementation Details**

The GRASP Algorithm was implemented following the generic algorithm provided in the assignment specification. For the purpose of benchmarks, a constant 50 maximum iterations were used for each graph in the dataset.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{procedure GRASP}(\texttt{MaxIterations}) \\ 1 & \textbf{for } i=1,\dots,\texttt{MaxIterations do} \\ 2 & \textbf{Build a greedy randomized solution } x; \\ 3 & x \leftarrow \texttt{LocalSearch}(x); \\ 4 & \textbf{if } i=1 \textbf{ then } x^* \leftarrow x; \\ 5 & \textbf{else if } w(x) > w(x^*) \textbf{ then } x^* \leftarrow x; \\ 6 & \textbf{end}; \\ 7 & \textbf{return } (x^*); \\ \textbf{end GRASP}; \end{array}
```

#### **Constructive Algorithm Heuristics**

Three different constructive algorithms were used both individually and within the GRASP algorithm. The three algorithms were implemented deriving from a single greedy randomised approach as recommended, by just varying the  $\alpha$ -parameter. The generic greedy randomised approach is based on the following algorithm suggested in *Optimization by GRASP* by Mauricio G.C. Resende.

```
begin SEMI-GREEDY-MAXCUT;
     Generate at random a real-valued parameter \alpha \in [0, 1];
    w_{min} \leftarrow \min\{w_{ij} : (i,j) \in U\};
    w^{max} \leftarrow \max\{w_{ij} : (i,j) \in U\};
    \mu \leftarrow w_{min} + \alpha \cdot (w^{max} - w_{min});
5 RCL<sub>e</sub> \leftarrow \{(i,j) \in U : w_{ij} \geq \mu\};
6 Select edge (i^*, j^*) at random from RCL<sub>e</sub>;
7
    X \leftarrow \{i^*\};
    Y \leftarrow \{j^*\};
     while X \cup Y \neq V do
           V' \leftarrow V \setminus (X \cup Y);
10
11
           forall v \in V' do
12
                \sigma_X(v) \leftarrow \sum_{u \in Y} w_{vu};
13
                \sigma_Y(v) \leftarrow \sum_{u \in X} w_{vu};
14
           end-forall;
15
           w_{min} \leftarrow \min\{\min_{v \in V'} \sigma_X(v), \min_{v \in V'} \sigma_Y(v)\};
           w^{max} \leftarrow \max\{\max_{v \in V'} \sigma_X(v), \max_{v \in V'} \sigma_Y(v)\};
16
           \mu \leftarrow w_{min} + \alpha \cdot (w^{max} - w_{min});
17
           RCL_v \leftarrow \{v \in V' : \max\{\sigma_X(v), \sigma_Y(v)\} \ge \mu\};
18
19
           Select vertex v^* at random from RCL<sub>v</sub>;
20
           if \sigma_X(v^*) > \sigma_Y(v^*) then
21
                X \leftarrow X \cup \{v^*\};
22
           else
23
                Y \leftarrow Y \cup \{v^*\};
24
           end-if:
25 end-while;
26 S \leftarrow X;
27 S \leftarrow Y;
28 return (S,S), w(S,S);
end SEMI-GREEDY-MAXCUT.
```

The reason why simply varying the  $\alpha$ -parameter changes the nature of the algorithm can be seen easily from the definition of the variable  $\mu$ . Setting  $\alpha$ =0, causes mu to be the same as  $w_{min}$  which is the lowest of the sums of edge weights connected to a vertex, thus allowing any vertex to be picked for assigning to partitions, based only on the sum of edge weights of each vertex to the vertices in either partition. The greedy heuristic brought on by  $\mu$  is completely eliminated. Whereas setting  $\alpha$ =0 causes  $\mu$  to be the same as  $w^{max}$ , which is the defining characteristic of a completely greedy heuristic. Varying  $\alpha$  anywhere between, makes the heuristic half random, and half greedy. To prevent the semi-greedy heuristic from behaving similarly to the greedy and random approaches, the value of  $\alpha$  has been kept between 0.1 and 0.9.

```
double Graph::semi_greedy_maxcut (set<int>& S, set<int>& _S) {
    double alpha = 0.01 * (10 + rand()%80);
    return greedy_random_maxcut (alpha, S, _S);
};

double Graph::simple_greedy_maxcut (set<int>& S, set<int>& _S) {
    return greedy_random_maxcut (1.0, S, _S);
};

double Graph::random_maxcut (set<int>& S, set<int>& _S) {
    return greedy_random_maxcut (0.0, S, _S);
};
```

The local search phase of GRASP was implemented following the algorithm provided in the assignment specification. This is a simple local search operator that moves vertices between partitions based on their sum of edge weights to the the opposite partition.

```
procedure LocalSearch(x = \{S, \bar{S}\})
        change \leftarrow .\mathtt{TRUE}.
1
2
        while change do;
3
                 change \leftarrow .\texttt{FALSE}.
                 for v = 1, \dots, |V| while .NOT.change circularly do
4
                          if v \in S and \delta(v) = \sigma_{\bar{S}}(v) - \sigma_{S}(v) > 0
5
                          then do S \leftarrow S \setminus \{v\}; \bar{S} \leftarrow \bar{S} \cup \{v\}; change \leftarrow .TRUE. end;
6
                          if v \in \bar{S} and \delta(v) = \sigma_S(v) - \sigma_{\bar{S}}(v) > 0
7
                          then do \bar{S} \leftarrow \bar{S} \setminus \{v\}; S \leftarrow S \cup \{v\}; change \leftarrow .TRUE. end;
Q
                 end;
10
        end;
        return (x = \{S, \bar{S}\});
11
end LocalSearch;
```

For benchmarking, all 54 graphs in the supplied dataset have been used. At first, only the three constructive algorithms are used to estimate feasible solutions. After that GRASP is run separately using each of the three constructions with 50 iterations.

#### **Performance Benchmarks**

The GRASP max-cut program (max\_cut.cpp), when compiled and run, produces several metrics in its output using all the constructive algorithms. A script (generate\_table.sh) was used to generate a CSV formatted table (table.csv) for all the benchmark graphs. The .csv file was imported to a spreadsheet for further analysis of the performance of the implementation, introducing some additional metrics using the best known solutions or upper bounds supplied in the specifications. The *accuracy* of a process is just the ratio of the maximum cut estimated by it to the provided upper bound. Please refer to the <u>spreadsheet</u> to view the methods of calculating the metrics. A brief summary table made through querying the benchmark data table is shown below:

	Metric	Construction (only) accuracy (avg.)	Local Search accuracy (avg.)	Local Search iterations (mean)	GRASP best accuracy	Relative improvement with GRASP iterations (avg.)		
Constructive algorithm								
randomise	d	82.10%	85.53%	137.19	86.94%	1.83%		
simple_gre	edy	88.42%	89.74%	56.83	90.76%	1.23%		
semi-greed	dy	86.03%	88.11%	99.72	90.30%	2.91%		
Average		85.52%	87.79%	97.91	89.34%	1.99%		

From the benchmarks it seems that the simple greedy constructive algorithm is the most performant in all regards, followed by the semi-greedy, and lastly the random approach. This relationship is maintained in all the metrics. The greedy algorithm is most accurate as a construction method, as well as when used within GRASP.

The ranks of accuracy are affirmed from the local search iterations column as well, where it is seen that a greedy construction requires the least number of local search moves to find a potential "better" solution. The last column indicates the relative improvement brought on by GRASP over unaided constructions. It is just the percentage of increase in the ratio of accuracy of the GRASP best solution, to the accuracy of the unaided constructive algorithm.

There it can be observed that a random construction gains the most improvement, whereas a greedy solution is already considered "good" enough by the local search operator, thus requiring less moves and gaining comparatively less improvement. On average, all the executions of 50-iteration GRASP with local search bring an improvement of almost 2% over the feasible solutions constructed by randomised, semi-greedy or greedy approach when comparing the final accuracy of the solutions. Thus in all cases it can be confirmed that GRASP iterations are indeed an improvement on the estimation process on an NP-hard problem.

A static copy of the spreadsheet, derived from the table generated by the program's outputs, is attached with this report. It contains the outputs obtained from all the approaches and the additional metrics calculated from the data.

Problem		Constructive Algorithm			Local Search		GRASP		Known best	GRASE	Average	Relative	Construction-only A		Accuracy		
Input Filename	ΙVΙ	ΙΕΙ	randomised	simple_greedy	semi-greedy	Iterations	Best Value	Iterations	Best Value	Constructive Algorithm	solution or upper bound	GRASP Accuracy	accuracy with local search	improvement with GRASP iterations	random	greedy	semi- greedy
						163	11358		11420	randomised	12078	94.55%	94.04%	0.55%			
set1/g1.rud	800	19176	11025	11297	11106	74	11394	50	11463	simple_greedy	12078	94.91%	94.34%	0.61%	91.28%	93.53%	91.95%
						124	11375			semi-greedy	12078	94.90%	94.18%	0.76%			
	10170	44000	11000	4440	162	11367	50		randomised	12084	94.84%	94.07%	0.83%	04 400	00.00%	04 04%	
set1/g2.rud 800 19176	19176	11009	11236	11113	74	11405	50		simple_greedy	12084	94.95% 94.83%	94.38%	0.60% 0.79%	91.10%	92.98%	91.96%	
						118 160	11369 11353			semi-greedy randomised	12084 12077	94.83%	94.08%	0.79%			
set1/g3.rud 800 19176	19176	11035	11276	11107	69	11395	50	50		simple_greedy	12077	95.01%	94.35%	0.69%	91.37%	93.37%	91.97%
						123	11374			semi-greedy	12077	94.92%	94.18%	0.78%			
						171	11383			randomised							
set1/g4.rud	800	19176	11037	11272	11132	74	11405	50	11472	simple_greedy							
						125	11391		11522	semi-greedy							
						165	11355			randomised							
set1/g5.rud	800	19176	11007	11285	11088	72	11414	50		simple_greedy							
						124	11382			semi-greedy							
set1/g6.rud	800	19176	1532	1738	1577	175 84	1906 1936	50		randomised							
set i/go.ruu	000	19176	1552	1730	1577	149	1936	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy							
						173	1743			randomised							
set1/g7.rud	800	19176	1376	1573	1415	94	1743	50		simple_greedy							
55t.//g uu				.0.0		145	1740			semi-greedy							
						180	1756			randomised							
set1/g8.rud	800	19176	1379	1580	1456	84	1771	50		simple_greedy					1		
-						146	1751			semi-greedy							
						169	1780			randomised							
set1/g9.rud	800	19176	1420	1634	1497	88	1823	50		simple_greedy							
						154	1799			semi-greedy							
						176	1739			randomised							
set1/g10.rud	800	19176	1353	1630	1425	86	1765	50		simple_greedy							
						145	1738			semi-greedy		70.000	70.000				-
set1/g11.rud	800	1600	409	464	423	16	441 484	50		randomised	627 627	73.68% 80.06%	70.33%	4.76% 3.72%	65.23%	74.00%	67.46%
Set 1/g 11.iuu	800	1000	409	464	423	13	455	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy	627	78.79%	72.57%	8.57%	05.25%	14.00%	01.40%
						16	428			randomised	621	73.11%	68.92%	6.07%			
set1/g12.rud	800	1600	397	448	413	5	473	50		simple_greedy	621	79.23%	76.17%	4.02%	63.93%	72.14%	66.51%
<b>3</b>	500 1000					14	439			semi-greedy	621	76.65%	70.69%	8.43%			
						19	456			randomised	645	73.80%	70.70%	4.39%			
set1/g13.rud	et1/g13.rud 800	1600	418	474	428	6	498	50	518	simple_greedy	645	80.31%	77.21%	4.02%	64.81%	73.49%	66.36%
						15	467		508	semi-greedy	645	78.76%	72.40%	8.78%			
	et1/g14.rud 800 46		2865	2943	2933	49	2936	50	2957	randomised	3187	92.78%	92.12%	0.72%	89.90%		
set1/g14.rud		4694				21	2971		2992	simple_greedy	3187	93.88%	93.22%	0.71%		92.34%	92.03%
						25	2965			semi-greedy	3187	93.63%	93.03%	0.64%			
	et1/g15.rud 800 4661	1001	2845	0045	2913	50	2919	50		randomised	3169	92.84%	92.11%	0.79%	89.78%	04 000	0.4 0.00
set1/g15.rua		4661		2915		21	2947			simple_greedy	3169	93.47%	92.99%	0.51%		91.98%	91.92%
						25 49	2946 2922			semi-greedy randomised	3169 3172	93.69% 93.19%	92.96% 92.12%	0.78% 1.16%			
set1/g16.rud	800	4672	2854	2940	2917	23	2954	50		simple_greedy	3172	93.95%	93.13%	0.88%	-	92.69%	91.96%
						26	2949			semi-greedy	3172	93.85%	92.97%	0.95%			
						51	2919			randomised							
set1/g17.rud	800	4667	2854	2932	2907	23	2949	50	2963	simple_greedy							
						26	2949		2971	semi-greedy							
						78	831		866	randomised							
set1/g18.rud	800	4694	694	839	721	31	881	50		simple_greedy							
						65	845			semi-greedy							
2011/540	000	4004	044	705	040	77	746	50		randomised							
set1/g19.rud	800	4661	611	795	642	32	799 757	50		simple_greedy							
						70 73	757 770			semi-greedy randomised							
set1/g20.rud	800	4672	652	785	673	32	830	50		randomised simple_greedy							
,g=0.100		.512		. 55	3.3	65	787			simple_greedy semi-greedy							
						82	771			randomised							
set1/g21.rud	800	4667	634	755	669	34	820	50		simple_greedy					1		
						69	782			semi-greedy							
					260	12805			randomised	14123	91.20%	90.67%	0.59%				
set1/g22.rud	2000	19990	12365	12775	12620	98	12958	50		simple_greedy	14123	92.36%	91.75%	0.66%	87.55%	90.46%	89.36%
						169	12882			semi-greedy	14123	92.13%	91.21%	1.00%			
set1/g23.rud 2000 19	0 19990	12352	12784	12631	257	12822	<b>F</b> 0		randomised	14129	91.56%	90.75%	0.89%	07 400	00 100	00 400	
					94	12955	50		simple_greedy	14129	92.31%	91.69%	0.68%	87.42%	90.48%	89.40%	
					174 256	12881			semi-greedy randomised	14129 14131	91.90% 91.47%	91.17% 90.71%	0.80% 0.83%				
set1/a24 rud	set1/g24.rud 2000	19990	12354	12819	12642	97	12818 12952	50		simple_greedy	14131	91.47% 92.12%	90.71%	0.83%	87.42%	90.72%	89.46%
-51g= 1.100 2000	, 19990	12004	12013	12042	173	12897			simple_greedy semi-greedy	14131	91.93%	91.27%	0.73%	1.2%	2.7.270		
					254	12820	1		randomised			120	2				
set1/g25.rud	2000	19990	12368	12807		12950			simple_greedy								
						175	12885		12991	semi-greedy							
						255	12811		12930	randomised							
set1/g26.rud	2000	19990	12347	12790	12609	97	12945	50		simple_greedy							
						175	12883			semi-greedy							
	0000	40000	0005	0=0:	0.10=	270	2811			randomised							
set1/g27.rud	2000	19990	2302	2594	2405	126	2907	50		simple_greedy							
						236	2834			semi-greedy							
set1/a28 rud	2000	19990	2298	2673	2394	276 127	2784 2871	50		randomised simple_greedy							
set1/g28.rud 2000	2000	13330	2230	2013	∠J <del>J'4</del>	231	2871	30		simple_greedy semi-greedy							
						231	200 I		2093	John-greeuy			L		I		

Problem		Constructive Algorithm		Local Search			GRASP		Known best CDACD		Average	Relative	Construction-only Accuracy				
Input Filename	V	ΙΕΙ	randomised	simple_greedy	semi-greedy	Iterations	Best Value	Iterations	Best Value	Constructive Algorithm	solution or upper bound		accuracy with local search	improvement with GRASP iterations	random	greedy	semi- greedy
set1/g29.rud	2000	19990	2352	2769	2499	270 134	2868 2963	50	3032	randomised simple_greedy							
						236 265	2898 2864			semi-greedy randomised							
set1/g30.rud	set1/g30.rud 2000 19990	2384	2785	2489	125 234	2967 2888	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy								
						274	2777		2889	randomised							
set1/g31.rud	2000	19990	2280	2606	2384	133 230	2885 2818	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy							
						41	1099		1152	randomised	1560	73.85%	70.45%	4.82%			
set1/g32.rud	2000	4000	1021	1192	1058	13	1209 1120	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy	1560 1560	79.62% 77.95%	77.50% 71.79%	2.73% 8.57%	65.45%	76.41%	67.82%
		4000		4450	4004	43	1068			randomised	1537	71.70%	69.49%	3.18%	, o 570,	74.05%	44.400
set1/g33.rud	2000	4000	977	1152	1021	12 36	1196 1092	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy	1537 1537	79.77% 77.55%	77.81% 71.05%	2.51% 9.16%	63.57%	74.95%	66.43%
2011/224 7114	2000	4000	074	1110	1022	45	1066	<b>50</b>		randomised	1541	71.77%	69.18%	3.75%	42 21%	74.50%	44 07%
set1/g34.rud	2000	4000	974	1148	1032	12 36	1194 1083	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy	1541 1541	79.04% 79.56%	77.48% 70.28%	2.01%	63.21%	74.50%	66.97%
	2000	44770	7405	7077	7055	126	7358	50		randomised	8000	92.40%	91.98%	0.46%	00 01%	02 21%	01 04%
set1/g35.rud	2000	11778	7185	7377	7355	53 64	7446 7434	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy	8000 8000	93.48% 93.39%	93.08% 92.93%	0.43% 0.50%	89.81%	92.21%	91.94%
	2000	44700	7400	7050	7055	124	7354	<b>50</b>		randomised	7996	92.55%	91.97%	0.63%	00 / 0%	02.62%	01 00%
set1/g36.rud	2000	11766	7166	7359	7355	54 62	7438 7429	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy	7996 7996	93.50% 93.62%	93.02% 92.91%	0.51% 0.77%	89.62%	92.03%	91.98%
	2000	44705	7400	7070	7005	127	7364	<b>50</b>		randomised	8009	92.51%	91.95%	0.61%	00 77%	02 12%	01 0/%
set1/g37.rud	rud 2000 11785 7190	7190	7378	7365	53 63	7447 7439	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy	8009 8009	93.42% 93.37%	92.98% 92.88%	0.47% 0.52%	89.77%	92.12%	91.96%	
aat1/a20 mid	2000	11770	7404	7075		129	7355	<b>50</b>		randomised							
set1/g38.rud	2000	11779	7181	7375	7366	53 66	7445 7434	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy							
aat1/a20 mid	2000	11770	4677	2005	4745	196	2014	<b>50</b>		randomised							
set1/g39.rud	39.rud 2000 11778 1677	1077	2095	1745	75 177	2131 2029	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy								
	0.rud 2000 11766 1640	4040	1978	1714	201	1979			randomised								
set1/g40.rud		1978	1714	76 174	2118 2005	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy									
aat1/a11 mid	1.rud 2000 11785 1661	1996	4724	192	1994	50		randomised									
set1/g41.rud		1001	1990	1731	74 169	2119 2020	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy								
set1/g42.rud	2000	00 44770 4700 0400	1799	195	2075	50		randomised									
Set 1/g42.rud	2000	11779	1730	2120	1799	77 174	2199 2093	50		simple_greedy semi-greedy							
set1/g43.rud	1000	9990	6170	6179 6422	6316	128	6396	50		randomised	7027	92.16%	91.02% 91.92%	1.25% 0.76%	87.93%	91.39%	89.88%
3e(1/g43.1uu	1000	9990	0179	0422	0310	50 82	6459 6435	30		simple_greedy semi-greedy	7027 7027	92.61% 92.47%	91.92%	0.78%	01.75%	71.57%	07.00%
set1/g44.rud	1000	9990	6174	6373	6319	123 50	6390 6461	50		randomised simple_greedy	7022 7022	91.85% 92.99%	91.00% 92.01%	0.94% 1.07%		90.76%	89.99%
3Ct 1/9+4.1uu	1000	3330	0174	0070	0313	80	6433	30		semi-greedy	7022	92.64%	91.61%	1.12%	01.72%	70.10%	
set1/g45.rud	1000	9990	6162	6416	6312	123 50	6395 6463	50		randomised simple_greedy	7020 7020	92.09% 93.09%	91.10% 92.07%	1.09%	87.78%	91.40%	89 91%
30t1/g+0.1uu	1000	3330	0102	0410	0312	81	6437	50		semi-greedy	7020	92.56%	91.70%	0.95%		71.40%	89.91%
set1/g46.rud	1000	9990	6170	6392	6336	128 48	6399 6462	50		randomised simple_greedy							
00t1/g+0.ruu	1000	3330	0170	0002	0000	77	6437	00		semi-greedy							
set1/g47.rud	1000	9990	6184	6395	6336	123 50	6397 6465	50		randomised simple_greedy							
55(g 11aa			0.0.			79	6437			semi-greedy							
set1/g48.rud	3000	6000	4913	6000	6000	74 1	5084 5993	50		randomised simple_greedy	6000 6000	86.30% 100.00%	84.73% 99.88%	1.85% 0.12%	81.88%	100.00%	100.00%
3.5			.5.5	,		1	5984		6000	semi-greedy	6000	100.00%	99.73%	0.27%	3.5.0		
set1/g49.rud	3000	6000	4891	6000	6000	75 1	5068 5994	50		randomised simple_greedy	6000 6000	85.43% 100.00%	84.47% 99.90%	1.14%	81.52%	100.00%	100.00%
3						1	5988		6000	semi-greedy	6000	100.00%	99.80%	0.20%			
set1/q50.rud	set1/g50.rud 3000 6000 set1/g51.rud 1000 5909	000 4918	4918 5874	5843	76 1	5080 5871	50	50		randomised simple_greedy	5988 5988	86.54% 98.16%	84.84% 98.05%	2.01% 0.12%	82.13% 98	98.10%	97.58%
3			-		33.10	2	5846		5876	semi-greedy	5988	98.13%	97.63%	0.51%			
set1/g51.rud		3588	3709	3685	62 28	3688 3729	50		randomised simple_greedy								
						33	3726		3756	semi-greedy							
set1/g52.rud	/g52.rud 1000 59	5916	3610	3610 3711	711 3691	63 27	3693 3735	-		randomised simple_greedy							
	902.144 1000 59	3310			57.11	32	3731		3757	semi-greedy							
set1/g53.rud	t1/g53.rud 1000 59	5914	3599	3704	3694	63 27	3689 3732	50		randomised simple_greedy							
						32	3724		3755	semi-greedy							
set1/g54.rud	1000	5916	3608	3683	3688	60 27	3692 3731	50		randomised simple_greedy							
_						32				semi-greedy							