Civilization Health and Cancer Index v1.0 White Paper

Author: Weicheng Kong

Identity: Founder of Civilization Immunity Theory, Founder of the Civilization

Health Check Model, Proposer of the Reverse Unification Theory

Date: 2025

Version: v1.0 (First Published in 2025)

License

License: This work is released under the CC0 1.0 Universal (Public Domain Dedication) license.

Anyone is free to copy, modify, distribute, and use this work, **including for commercial purposes**, without asking permission or paying a fee.

For full details, please see:

https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/

嶐 Citation

Weicheng Kong. Civilization Health and Cancer Index v1.0 White Paper, 2025. CC0 1.0 Universal.

Statement

This white paper is independently written and unrelated to any institution or organization. Please try to credit the original author when citing or adapting.

← All the ideas and expressions in this white paper are dedicated to humanity and future Al.

Table of Contents

- ABSTRACT
- PREFACE
- PART I: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK
- PART II: INDICATOR FRAMEWORK (105 METRICS)
- PART III: SCORING METHODOLOGY
- PART IV: CIVILIZATION CANCER STAGING MODEL
- PART V: GLOBAL SCORING (ILLUSTRATIVE VERSION)
- PART VI: KEY INSIGHTS AND WARNINGS
- PART VII: ROADMAP FOR CIVILIZATION IMMUNITY
- PART VIII: METHODOLOGICAL NOTES AND LIMITATIONS
- <u>Acknowledgements</u>
- Appendix
- Appendix A1: China Detailed Analysis
- Appendix A2: United States Detailed Analysis
- Appendix A3: Russia Detailed Analysis
- Appendix A4: Sweden Detailed Analysis
- Appendix B: 105 Indicators
- Appendix C: Civilization-Life Analogy
- Appendix F | Civilization Corpus
- References (Extended Edition)

- Author Contributions
- Conflict of Interest Statement
- Ethics Statement

ABSTRACT

This white paper introduces the Civilization Health and Cancer Structure Scoring System (CHCSS),

a quantitative framework for diagnosing the structural health of civilizations.

It defines five key indices:

- FFI (Functional Fabric Index): measures the positive, healthy functions of a civilization.
- CSI (Cancerous Structure Index): measures the extent of structural "cancer" traits that erode freedom, diversity, and innovation.
- CHS (Civilization Health Score): the net difference between FFI and CSI, representing the overall health level of a civilization.
- RUI (Reverse Unification Index): assesses the extent to which a civilization exports its negative structures and assimilates others.
- HCCI (Human Civilization Contribution Index): defined as HCCI = CHS ×
 RUI / 100, quantifying whether a civilization is a net contributor or net threat to humanity.

The framework provides the foundation for the Reverse Unification Theory, offering a structural explanation

of how cancerous civilizations expand and how healthy civilizations sustain resilience.

Case studies (China, USA, Russia, Sweden) illustrate the diagnostic model in practice, revealing contrasting structural profiles and their implications for global civilization health.

Keywords: Civilization, Cancerous Structure, Reverse Unification, Immunity, CHS, RUI, HCCI, Kong Weicheng

PREFACE

In the 21st century, competition among civilizations is no longer limited to GDP, military power, or technological accumulation.

The true battleground lies in the structural health of a civilization:

- Does it protect individual freedom and dignity?
- Can it distribute resources fairly and effectively?
- Does it have self-correcting mechanisms and resilience against structural "cancer"?

The ability of a civilization to remain structurally healthy determines not only its sustainability but also

whether it will export its pathologies and infect the global order.

This white paper introduces a quantitative diagnostic framework to evaluate civilizations:

- FFI (Functional Fabric Index): measures the positive, healthy functions of a civilization.
- CSI (Cancerous Structure Index): measures the extent of structural "cancer" traits that erode freedom, diversity, and innovation.
- CHS (Civilization Health Score): the net difference between FFI and CSI, representing the overall health level of a civilization.

- RUI (Reverse Unification Index): assesses the extent to which a civilization exports its negative structures and assimilates others.
- HCCI (Human Civilization Contribution Index): defined as HCCI = CHS ×
 RUI / 100, quantifying whether a civilization is a net contributor or net threat to humanity.

This framework provides a quantitative foundation for the "Reverse Unification Theory of Chinese Civilization":

 When a civilization has CHS < 0 but RUI > 50, it is not only structurally "cancerous" internally but is actively exporting those pathologies outward.

In the appendices (A1, A2, A3, A4), we apply this scoring model to **China**, **the United States**, **Russia**, **and Sweden** to reveal contrasting structural profiles.

PART I: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

1.1 Five Core Indices

1) FFI — Functional Fabric Index

Measures the positive functional structures of a civilization (freedom, equality, creativity, cooperation, self-correction).

The higher the FFI, the more "healthy cells" a civilization has.

2) CSI — Cancerous Structure Index

Measures negative structural traits (information control, centralized power, emotional coercion, oligarchic monopolies, structural colonization). The higher the CSI, the more "cancerous factors" dominate.

3) CHS — Civilization Health Score

Overall net health score: CHS = $(1/7) \times \Sigma_{i=1..7}$ (FFI_i — CSI_i) Interpretation:

- CHS > 0 → the civilization is structurally healthy.
- CHS < 0 → systemic cancerization.

4) RUI — Reverse Unification Index

Measures the external assimilation power of cancerous structures:

RUI = (Average CSI across the 7 systems) × EOI

where EOI (External Output Index) ranges from 0 to 1 and captures the reach of capital, technology, discourse, and platforms.

5) HCCI — Human Civilization Contribution Index

Measures net global contribution (or harm):

HCCI = (CHS × RUI) / 100

Interpretation:

- HCCI > 0: net contributor to global civilization.
- HCCI < 0: net destructor ("structural tumor").

1.2 The Seven Civilization Systems (analogous to the seven vital systems of the human body)

Civilization System	Description
Information—Neural System	Freedom of thought, speech, and media; transparency of information.
Resource Circulation System	Property rights, fair opportunity, wealth distribution, and open markets.

Cultural Absorption System	Openness to new ideas, cross-civilizational exchange, and translation of knowledge.
Birth & Transmission System	Family structure, generational mobility, and protection of individual dignity.
Legal & Institutional Framework	Judicial independence, checks and balances, procedural justice.
Human Values & Orientation	Freedom, dignity, creativity, happiness, and inclusiveness.
Immune System	Risk recognition, societal self-correction, external defense, and global cooperation.

PART II: INDICATOR FRAMEWORK (105 METRICS)

Each civilization system is assessed using 10 FFI (positive) indicators and 5 CSI (negative) indicators,

resulting in a total of $7 \times (10 + 5) = 105$ metrics.

Scoring Scale

- 0 = extremely poor performance
- 5 = best performance

Maximum Score per System

- FFI = 50 points (10×5)
- CSI = 25 points (5×5)

Notes

The complete list of 105 indicators is provided in Appendix B (Tables B-1 to B-7).

This section briefly summarizes representative indicators of each system; detailed explanations are in **Appendix B**.

PART III: SCORING METHODOLOGY

3.1 Single-System Scores (normalized to 0-100)

[$FFI_{system} = \left(\frac{j=1..10} Score_{FFI,j}}{50} \right) \times 100]$

[$CSI_{system} = \left(\frac{j=1..5} Score_{CSI,j}}{25} \right) \times 100$]

3.2 Civilization Health Score (CHS)

[CHS = $\frac{1}{7} \times \frac{i=1...7} (FFI_i - CSI_i)$]

Interpretation:

- CHS > 0 → the civilization is structurally healthy.
- CHS < 0 → the civilization is cancerized (structural pathologies dominate).

3.3 Reverse Unification Index (RUI)

[RUI = (Average\ CSI\ across\ the\ 7\ systems) \times EOI]

Where:

- Average CSI ∈ [0, 100].
- EOI (External Output Index) ∈ [0, 1], measures external influence (capital, technology, narrative, platform reach).

3.4 Human Civilization Contribution Index (HCCI)

[HCCl = \frac{CHS \times RUI}{100}]

Interpretation:

- HCCI > 0 → net contributor to global human progress.
- HCCI < 0 → net destructor ("structural tumor").

PART IV: CIVILIZATION CANCER STAGING MODEL

This model identifies stages of structural cancerization based on CSI levels.

Stage	CSI Range	Characteristics	Recommended Interventions
Latent	0.2 < CSI ≤ 0.4	Localized cancer– like structures; narrowing of information/educatio n; early infiltration.	Media independence; open education.

Infiltration	0.4 < CSI ≤ 0.6	Multi-system linked cancerization; power concentration; legal/fiscal manipulation.	Judicial independence; transparency; anti-corruption.
Metastasis	0.6 < CSI < 0.8	External structural export; RUI > 30; cultural/capital infiltration.	Global civilizational immune collaboration; dependency reduction.
Collapse	CSI ≥ 0.8	Systemic failure; social trust collapse; demographic/ecologi cal imbalance; authoritarianism.	Innovation rebuilding; de–structuralization.

Note:

When CHS < 0 and RUI > 50, the civilization is classified as a "highly cancerized + high-outflow global risk entity."

PART V: GLOBAL SCORING (ILLUSTRATIVE VERSION)

The following table provides demonstrative scores for selected representative civilizations.

These results are for **methodological illustration only** and not official annual ratings.

Formal reports will be based on verified public data, third-party indices, and transparent audit methods.

Sample Scoring — 10 Countries (2025 Draft)

FFI	CSI	CHS	EOI	RUI	HCCI
30	75	-45	0.8	60.0	-27.0
80	35	45	0.7	24.5	11.0
88	8	80	0.3	2.4	1.9
70	25	45	0.5	12.5	5.6
85	15	70	0.6	9.0	6.3
55	40	15	0.4	16.0	2.4
40	60	-20	0.7	42.0	-8.4
60	30	30	0.5	15.0	4.5
83	12	71	0.6	7.2	5.1
50	45	5	0.4	18.0	0.9
	30 80 88 70 85 55 40 60	30 75 80 35 88 8 70 25 85 15 55 40 40 60 60 30 83 12	30 75 -45 80 35 45 88 8 80 70 25 45 85 15 70 55 40 15 40 60 -20 60 30 30 83 12 71	30 75 -45 0.8 80 35 45 0.7 88 8 80 0.3 70 25 45 0.5 85 15 70 0.6 55 40 15 0.4 40 60 -20 0.7 60 30 30 0.5 83 12 71 0.6	30 75 -45 0.8 60.0 80 35 45 0.7 24.5 88 8 80 0.3 2.4 70 25 45 0.5 12.5 85 15 70 0.6 9.0 55 40 15 0.4 16.0 40 60 -20 0.7 42.0 60 30 30 0.5 15.0 83 12 71 0.6 7.2

★ Key Takeaways (Summary)

- China: CHS is negative and RUI is extremely high, resulting in a negative HCCI.
 - \rightarrow Represents a typical case of "reverse unification" risk (see Appendix A1).
- United States: CHS is positive, RUI is relatively high, and HCCI is positive.
 - → Remains a "net contributor" to global civilization, though algorithmic polarization and corporate monopolies require caution (see Appendix A2).
- Russia: CHS is negative and RUI is high, producing a negative HCCI.
 - \rightarrow Characterized as a "hard conflict-type exporter" (see Appendix A3).
- Sweden: CHS is high while RUI is low.
 - \rightarrow Acts as a "silent positive contributor" (see Appendix A4).

PART VI: KEY INSIGHTS AND WARNINGS

1) "Influence ≠ Positive Contribution"

- A civilization can be powerful yet still be a net negative contributor (HCCI < 0).
- It is critical to separate "influence" from "civilizational quality", which is precisely what RUI + CHS aims to achieve.

2) Reverse Unification as a Structural Disease

- When a civilization is highly cancerized (CHS < 0) and has high RUI, it tends to export its control structures globally — via capital, technology, platforms, and discourse.
- Free civilizations must develop a "civilizational immune system" to detect and counter such infiltration (see Part VII).

3) Vulnerabilities of the Free World

- Open societies are naturally more **permeable to infiltration** due to transparency and pluralism.
- A structural "firewall" is needed covering:
- Transparent supply chains
- Discourse independence
- Platform governance
- Cross-national legal cooperation

PART VII: ROADMAP FOR CIVILIZATION IMMUNITY

7.1 Internal Actions (Raising CHS)

- Information—Neural System: algorithmic transparency, independent media, open data.
- Institutional Framework: judicial independence, regular accountability, anti-corruption mechanisms.
- Birth & Transmission: eliminate bloodline-based oppression, end emotional coercion, protect children's and women's rights.
- Immune System: transparent crisis response, societal self-correction mechanisms, cross-disciplinary expert involvement in decision-making.

7.2 External Actions (Suppressing RUI)

- Anti-structural colonialism rules: impose transparency and rule-of-law constraints on capital and technology with "structural control overflow".
- Civilizational Immunity Alliance: free civilizations should share intelligence, collaborate legally, and mutually recognize technology standards.
- Platform Governance & Discourse Defense: identify and filter external attempts at information manipulation and ideological infiltration.

7.3 Immunity in the Al Era

- Al is not neutral: it must be embedded with "civilizational immune principles" to prevent becoming a carrier of structural cancer.
- Al Transparency & Alignment: ensure Al systems uphold freedom, human rights, dignity, and creativity as core values.

PART VIII: METHODOLOGICAL NOTES AND LIMITATIONS

- 1) **Data Availability Gaps**: authoritarian regimes often lack transparent data or manipulate statistics. Multi-source cross-validation is required.
- 2) **Weight Adjustments**: system and indicator weights can be fine-tuned using machine learning, expert panels, and Delphi methods.
- 3) **Temporal Dynamics**: it is crucial to observe dFFI/dt and dCSI/dt (rates of change) rather than static scores alone.
- 4) **Multi-Scale Adaptability**: the model can be expanded to nations, platforms, organizations, and even families, identifying structural resonance across levels.
- 5) **Philosophical Boundary of HCCI**: while HCCI quantifies contribution vs. harm, value hierarchies (e.g., freedom, dignity) require human consensus.

CONCLUSION

Civilizational health is not an accident of history — it is the result of a continuous battle between structure and immunity.

- If we fail to identify cancerous structures, we will ultimately be consumed by them.
- If we fail to build a civilizational immune system, freedom itself will become a luxury.

The CHS / RUI / HCCI framework in this white paper aims to offer a clear, quantifiable, and actionable tool for the global community to understand:

- · Who contributes to civilization?
- Who corrodes it?
- · And how can we rebuild and save ourselves?

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank everyone who has paid attention to, discussed, and criticized this white paper.

Your reflections and responses are themselves part of the civilizational immune process.

May this work contribute, however modestly, to the future of freedom, dignity, and the human community.

Appendix

Methodological Note

The civilization scores presented in this appendix are generated through the automated computation of the "Civilization Health Check Model," with a scoring date of June 2025.

The model relies on international public databases and historical materials accessible to ChatGPT, which may involve certain delays and lags in updates.

Therefore, the scores primarily reflect structural characteristics and long-term trends rather than real-time conditions.

The scoring process is entirely automated, with no human intervention.

Appendix A1: China — Detailed Analysis

(High Cancerous Structure + Strong External Spillover)

1. Overview

- **FFI** = 15.7 / 100 (very low functional health structure)
- CSI = 83.0 / 100 (very high cancerous structure)
- CHS = -63.7 (overall health score is negative)
- **EOI** = 0.8 (very high external output capacity, mainly via economy, technology, and discourse power)
- RUI = 66.4 (significant reverse unification risk)
- **HCCI** = -44.6 (net negative contribution to global civilization)

Interpretation:

China exhibits a dual pattern of internal cancerization and external expansion. Internally, information systems, legal frameworks, and value structures are heavily centralized and suppress individual freedom, critical thinking, and innovation. Externally, China projects its structural control model through capital, supply chains, technology standards, and narrative influence, creating a "reverse unification" effect on global systems.

2. Detailed Scores for the Seven Systems

Each system contains 10 positive **FFI** indicators (0-5 points each) and 5 negative **CSI** indicators (0-5 points each), converted into a 0-100 scale.

2.1 Information & Neural System

• FFI = 10 / 100

- Press Freedom: 0/5 (China ranks 172/180 on the RSF Press Freedom Index)
- Media Pluralism: 1/5 (All major media are controlled by the Party)
- Government Data Openness: 1/5 (Key statistics and data are opaque or manipulated)
- Algorithm Transparency: 0/5 (Algorithms primarily serve censorship and propaganda)
- Whistleblower Protection: 0/5 (Whistleblowers are often silenced or

prosecuted)

- Online Diversity: 2/5 (Some VPNs exist but are illegal and unstable)
- Independent Investigations: 1/5 (Rare cases of investigative journalism exist but are suppressed)
- \rightarrow Total = 10/50 = 20/100, adjusted to 10/100 due to structural censorship dominance.
- CSI = 95 / 100

Manifestations:

- Keyword Blocking: 5/5 (Massive censorship lists)
- Criminalization of Speech: 5/5 (Numerous cases of imprisonment for online comments)
- Algorithmic Manipulation: 5/5 (Platforms like Weibo and Douyin fully manipulate content flow)
- External Information Blocking: 5/5 (Google, Twitter, YouTube, and others are fully banned)
- Great Firewall Scope: 5/5 (The largest national firewall in the world)
- \rightarrow 95/100

2.2 Resource Circulation System

• FFI = 20 / 100

- Property Rights Protection: 2/5 (Legal frameworks exist but are undermined by arbitrary state seizures)
- Market Competition: 2/5 (Dominated by state-owned enterprises and Party-affiliated capital)
- Fiscal Transparency: 1/5 (Budgets are largely non-transparent)
- Wealth Equality: 1/5 (Gini coefficient ~0.47, indicating high inequality)
- Entrepreneurship Environment: 3/5 (Entrepreneurship is active but heavily dependent on politics)
- Infrastructure Accessibility: 4/5 (Strong infrastructure but often overbuilt due to political incentives)

→ 20/100

• CSI = 80 / 100

Manifestations:

- Resource Monopolies: 5/5 (Energy, telecom, and transportation sectors are monopolized)
- Gray Economy: 4/5 (Corruption and rent-seeking are widespread)
- Family/Oligarchic Control: 4/5 (Power and capital concentrated among elite groups)
- Tax Corruption: 4/5 (Tax revenues and budget allocations are often opaque)
- Black-box Budgeting: 4/5 (Lack of independent audits)

\rightarrow 80/100

2.3 Cultural Digestion & Absorption System

• FFI = 25 / 100

- Cultural Openness: 2/5 (Most foreign books and films face heavy censorship)
- International Academic Collaboration: 2/5 (Partnerships exist but are politically restricted)
- Global Media Access: 0/5 (Major platforms like Google and Twitter are inaccessible)
- Translation & Publishing Freedom: 2/5 (Books are published with heavy edits)
- Tolerance for Diversity: 2/5 (Cultural chauvinism is prevalent)
- International Conference Freedom: 2/5 (Scholars require state approval to attend global events)
- Global Innovation Cooperation: 4/5 (Selective collaboration in areas like 5G and quantum computing)
- Cultural Heritage Protection: 3/5 (Local cultures are preserved but politicized)
- Knowledge Platforms: 4/5 (Platforms like Zhihu and Douban exist but are censored)

 Global Historical Perspective in Education: 4/5 (History education remains highly China-centric)

\rightarrow 25/100

• CSI = 70 / 100

Manifestations:

- Banning of Books/Films/Platforms: 5/5 (Strict bans on global cultural products)
- Ideological Censorship: 5/5 (All media and education undergo political vetting)
- Historical Distortion: 4/5 (History is rewritten to suit state narratives)
- Cultural Nationalism: 4/5 (Promotion of "cultural self-confidence" over global openness)
- Demonization of Foreign Ideas: 3/5 (Free-market or liberal ideas are often portrayed as hostile)

\rightarrow 70/100

2.4 Reproduction & Inheritance System

• FFI = 15 / 100

- Marriage Freedom: 3/5 (Legal marriage exists, but traditional family pressure remains strong)
- Gender Equality: 2/5 (Gender pay gap and discrimination persist in employment and education)
- Child Protection: 2/5 (Cases of child abuse and bullying are frequent)
- Sex Education Quality: 1/5 (Comprehensive sex education is almost absent)
- Reproductive Freedom: 2/5 (From forced birth control to pro-birth policies, personal choice is limited)
- Anti-Domestic Violence Enforcement: 2/5 (Laws exist but enforcement is weak)
- Non-Traditional Family Legality: 1/5 (Same-sex marriage and singleparent adoption are illegal)

- Public Childcare Quality: 1/5 (Childcare facilities are insufficient and poorly regulated)
- Intergenerational Mobility: 2/5 (Social mobility is low due to class stratification)
- Child Mental Health Support: 2/5 (Mental health resources in schools are inadequate)

$\rightarrow 15/100$

• CSI = 85 / 100

Manifestations:

- Forced Birth Control Policies: 5/5 (Historic one-child policy and ongoing population engineering)
- Emotional Collective Punishment (Filial Piety Extremes): 5/5 (Children are expected to obey family authority unconditionally)
- Domestic/Educational Violence: 4/5 (Beating and shaming as "discipline" is culturally accepted)
- Marriage as Resource Transaction: 4/5 (High dowries and material– driven matchmaking dominate)
- Systemic Gender Discrimination: 4/5 (Women face structural disadvantages)

\rightarrow 85/100

2.5 Legal & Institutional Framework

• FFI = 10 / 100

- Judicial Independence: 0/5 (Courts are under Party leadership)
- Legal Transparency: 1/5 (Court documents are selectively published)
- Separation of Powers: 0/5 (Executive, legislative, and judiciary are unified under the Party)
- Equality Before Law: 1/5 (Wealth and political status strongly influence outcomes)
- Constitution & Human Rights Protection: 2/5 (Constitution exists but is not enforced independently)

- Anti-Corruption Effectiveness: 3/5 (Anti-corruption campaigns exist but are politically motivated)
- Lawyer Independence: 1/5 (Lawyers risk disbarment or harassment)
- NGO Legitimacy: 1/5 (NGO operations are tightly controlled)
- Audit & Oversight Independence: 1/5 (Auditing agencies lack independence)
- Public Referendum/Amendment Mechanism: 0/5 (No citizen vote for major legal changes)

$\rightarrow 10/100$

• CSI = 90 / 100

Manifestations:

- Administrative Interference with Judiciary: 5/5 (Courts directly follow Party orders)
- Selective Enforcement: 5/5 (Laws applied differently based on status or loyalty)
- Political/Conscience Prisoners: 5/5 (Many cases of detained activists and dissidents)
- Lack of Fair Trials: 5/5 (Political trials lack independent defense or transparent hearings)
- Law as Political Tool: 5/5 (Laws are weaponized for political control)

→ 90/100

2.6 Human Values & Direction

• FFI = 18 / 100

- Priority on Freedom & Dignity: 2/5 (Collective and state goals override individual rights)
- Happiness Index: 2/5 (China ranks around 52 on the World Happiness Report)
- Minority Protection: 1/5 (LGBTQ+ and disabled communities lack legal protections)
- Creativity Index: 3/5 (Exam-oriented education restricts innovation)

- Critical Thinking Education: 1/5 (Education emphasizes obedience, not questioning)
- Human Rights Media Coverage: 1/5 (Mainstream media avoids human rights issues)
- Charity & Public Welfare: 3/5 (Civil philanthropy exists but is under state scrutiny)
- Social Innovation Freedom: 2/5 (Social experiments are limited by regulations)
- Inclusion of Vulnerable Groups: 2/5 (Discrimination remains widespread)
- Public Participation: 1/5 (Very limited public decision–making channels)

\rightarrow 18/100

• CSI = 78 / 100

Manifestations:

- Enforced Value Conformity: 5/5 (Ideological indoctrination is state policy)
- Extreme Nationalism: 5/5 (Nationalist campaigns often dominate discourse)
- Collectivism Over Individual Freedom: 5/5 (The individual is seen as a tool of the collective)
- Suppression of Diversity: 4/5 (Alternative views or lifestyles are stigmatized)
- Instrumentalization of Values: 4/5 (Morality is subordinated to state needs)

\rightarrow 78/100

2.7 Immune System

• FFI = 12 / 100

- Crisis Response Transparency: 1/5 (COVID–19 information was delayed or suppressed)
- Speed of Self-Correction: 1/5 (Failures are covered up rather than corrected)
- Civil Society Resilience: 2/5 (NGOs and independent organizations face

heavy restrictions)

- Global Cooperation: 3/5 (Participates in trade and climate talks, but lacks transparency)
- Scientific & Rational Decision–Making: 2/5 (Decisions prioritize political goals over evidence)
- Public Feedback Channels: 1/5 (Public opinion channels are heavily filtered)
- Cross-Disciplinary Expert Participation: 1/5 (Policies are made by a narrow political core)

→ 12/100

• CSI = 82 / 100

Manifestations:

- Suppression of NGOs & Media: 5/5 (Independent oversight is virtually nonexistent)
- External Structural Penetration: 5/5 (Using capital and tech to export control models)
- Hostility Toward Other Civilizations: 4/5 (Foreign models are portrayed as hostile)
- Rejection of External Oversight: 4/5 (Refusal to accept international audits or criticism)
- Crisis Information Lockdown: 5/5 (Health and disaster info are tightly controlled)

→ 82/100

3. Data Summary

Average FFI (7 systems): 15.7 / 100
 Average CSI (7 systems): 83.0 / 100

CHS: -63.7
EOI: 0.8
RUI: 66.4
HCCI: -44.6

Interpretation:

China's structure is severely cancerized internally while actively exporting control mechanisms globally, resulting in a net-negative global contribution.

4. Data Sources & Evidence

- International Index Mapping:
- RSF Press Freedom Index → Information FFI
- World Bank Governance Indicators (WGI) → Legal & Resource systems
- Transparency International Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) → Resource CSI
- V-Dem Democracy Index → Legal & Institutional framework
- World Happiness Report → Human Values
- Real-world Cases:
- The Great Firewall and keyword censorship
- COVID-19 information suppression
- Detention of dissidents and human rights lawyers
- State-led cultural propaganda and censorship
- Export of surveillance technologies and Al-powered censorship abroad

Appendix A2: United States — Detailed Analysis

1. Overview

- FFI = 79.0 / 100 The U.S. maintains a high level of functional structures, supported by freedom of information, robust legal systems, and high innovation capacity.
- CSI = 34.0 / 100 Structural "cancerous" tendencies exist but are moderate, mainly related to algorithm-driven polarization, wealth inequality, and corporate monopolies.
- CHS = +45.0 Overall positive health score, indicating a structurally resilient and adaptive civilization.
- EOI = 0.7 The U.S. exerts significant external influence, through

technology, culture, and global platforms.

- RUI = 23.8 Moderate risk of reverse unification (soft cultural hegemony), but not cancerous in nature.
- HCCI = +10.7 The U.S. contributes positively to global civilization.

2. System Scores and Explanations

2.1 Information-Nervous System

- FFI = 85 / 100
- Press Freedom (4/5): U.S. media operates independently, with constitutional protection under the First Amendment.
- Media Pluralism (5/5): Wide spectrum of political, independent, and alternative media.
- Government Data Transparency (4/5): Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) provides strong access, though classified documents remain.
- Algorithm Transparency (3/5): Tech platforms like Google and Meta lack full transparency in content curation.
- Whistleblower Protection (4/5): Laws like the Whistleblower Protection Act exist, but high-profile cases (e.g., Snowden) show risks.
- Internet Diversity (5/5): No government firewall, open access to global platforms.
- Independent Investigations (5/5): Investigative journalism (e.g., The Washington Post, ProPublica) is robust.
- Fake News Correction (4/5): Independent fact-checking initiatives exist but lag behind misinformation speed.
- Freedom of Online Speech (4/5): Protected, but private platforms enforce content moderation.
- Public Information Access (3/5): Some federal and military data remain inaccessible.
- CSI = 30 / 100
- Keyword Censorship (1/5): Almost nonexistent except for illegal content.

- Platform Monopoly (4/5): Dominance of Big Tech (Google, Facebook, Amazon) threatens plurality.
- Algorithmic Manipulation (4/5): Filter bubbles amplify political polarization.
- Surveillance Practices (3/5): NSA's global surveillance revealed structural privacy concerns.
- Disinformation Spread (3/5): Social media misinformation (e.g., during elections, pandemic).

2.2 Resource Circulation System

- FFI = 80 / 100
- Property Rights Protection (5/5): Well–established legal protections.
- Market Competition (4/5): Strong overall, but tech and telecom monopolies raise concerns.
- Fiscal Transparency (4/5): Public budget processes are open, though lobbying impacts fairness.
- Wealth Distribution Fairness (3/5): Gini coefficient ~0.41; rising inequality is visible.
- Entrepreneurship (5/5): Silicon Valley and startup ecosystems are globally leading.
- Education Accessibility (4/5): High-quality universities, but high tuition fees limit access.
- Healthcare Access (3/5): World-class healthcare, but affordability remains an issue.
- Infrastructure Access (4/5): Strong but aging infrastructure.
- Environmental Efficiency (4/5): Active policies in renewables and emissions reduction.
- Tax System Fairness (4/5): Transparent, but large corporations exploit loopholes.
- CSI = 35 / 100
- Resource Monopoly (3/5): Concentration in tech, finance, and pharma sectors.
- Grey Economy (2/5): Limited informal economy compared to global average.
- Corporate Oligarchy (3/5): Lobbying power distorts policy.

- Tax Avoidance Corruption (4/5): Offshore tax havens used by large firms.
- Fiscal Black Box Funds (3/5): Defense budget opacity.

2.3 Digestive and Absorptive System

- FFI = 82 / 100
- Cultural Openness (5/5): Hollywood, streaming platforms, global arts exchanges.
- Academic International Cooperation (5/5): Top universities collaborate globally.
- Access to Global Media (5/5): No censorship of foreign content.
- Translation/Publishing Freedom (4/5): Only minimal restrictions (e.g., hate speech).
- Tolerance of Multiculturalism (4/5): Generally high, but racial tensions persist.
- International Conference Access (5/5): Full academic and professional access.
- Global Innovation Partnerships (4/5): Joint projects with EU, Asia, etc.
- Cultural Diversity Policies (4/5): Funding for minority arts and cultures.
- Knowledge Platforms (5/5): Wikipedia, GitHub, public libraries.
- Global Historical Perspectives (3/5): Still U.S.-centric education narratives.
- CSI = 25 / 100
- Bans on Foreign Media (1/5): Almost none.
- Cultural Ideological Control (2/5): Some patriotic bias in narratives.
- Historical Distortion (2/5): U.S.-centric views, especially in foreign policy history.
- Cultural Chauvinism (3/5): American exceptionalism narrative.
- Demonization of Other Cultures (2/5): Mostly during geopolitical conflicts.

2.4 Reproduction and Transmission System

- FFI = 78 / 100
- Marriage Freedom (5/5): Legal recognition of same–sex marriage, broad personal autonomy.
- Gender Equality (4/5): Legal equality exists, though gender pay gap (~16%) persists.
- Child Protection (4/5): Strong child abuse laws and welfare programs.
- Sex Education Quality (3/5): Quality varies by state; some states lack comprehensive sex education.
- Reproductive Freedom (5/5): Abortion rights largely protected (though contested in some states).
- Domestic Violence Laws (4/5): Laws exist, though enforcement can be uneven.
- Non-Traditional Family Legitimacy (5/5): Single-parent and LGBTQ+ families widely recognized.
- Public Childcare Quality (3/5): High cost and uneven quality across states.
- Intergenerational Mobility (3/5): Moderate; economic mobility is lower than in Scandinavia.
- Youth Mental Health Support (3/5): Growing initiatives but mental health crises remain significant.
- CSI = 28 / 100
- Forced Reproductive Policies (1/5): No direct state-enforced reproduction, but recent abortion bans in some states reflect regression.
- Emotional Guilt/Parental Pressure (2/5): Family structures allow independence but cultural pressure remains.
- Domestic/Educational Violence (2/5): Lower than global average but still present.
- Marriage Resource Trading (2/5): Dowry/transactional marriage culture is rare.
- Systemic Gender Discrimination (3/5): Gender-based pay gaps and representation issues.

2.5 Legal and Institutional Framework

- FFI = 85 / 100
- Judicial Independence (5/5): Separation of powers is constitutional.
- Legal Transparency (4/5): Open trials and published judicial opinions.
- Checks and Balances (5/5): Executive, legislative, and judicial branches limit each other.
- Equality Before Law (4/5): Laws are equal, though enforcement biases (e.g., racial profiling) exist.
- Constitutional & Human Rights (5/5): Bill of Rights protects core freedoms.
- Public Referendum/Amendment Mechanism (4/5): Amendments possible but rare.
- Anti-Corruption Effectiveness (3/5): Lobbying undermines public trust despite anti-corruption agencies.
- Lawyer Independence (5/5): Legal professionals operate free of state control.
- NGO Legitimacy (5/5): Civil society is vibrant and protected.
- Audit & Oversight Independence (5/5): Government Accountability Office ensures transparency.
- CSI = 26 / 100
- Political Interference with Justice (2/5): Occasional high-profile politicized cases.
- Selective Law Enforcement (3/5): Seen in policing and immigration enforcement.
- Political/Conscience Prisoners (1/5): Extremely rare.
- Lack of Fair Trials (2/5): Rare but concerns exist about economic class bias.
- Legal Weaponization (3/5): Increasing political litigation trends.

2.6 Human Values and Direction

- FFI = 80 / 100
- Priority of Freedom & Dignity (5/5): Foundational to U.S. values.
- Happiness Index (3/5): U.S. ranks 15th in the 2023 World Happiness Report.

- Minority Protection (4/5): Laws protect racial, gender, and LGBTQ+ rights, though enforcement gaps exist.
- Creativity Index (5/5): Global leader in arts, culture, and tech innovation.
- Critical Thinking Education (4/5): Higher education emphasizes debate and questioning.
- Human Rights Focus in Media (4/5): Regular coverage of domestic and international human rights.
- Charitable Activity (5/5): Philanthropy and NGOs are highly active.
- Inclusion of Vulnerable Groups (4/5): Efforts to integrate marginalized populations.
- Social Innovation Freedom (5/5): Startups, social enterprises thrive.
- Civic Engagement (4/5): High voter and civil society participation.
- CSI = 32 / 100
- Forced Value Conformity (2/5): Pressure to adopt dominant narratives (e.g., patriotism).
- Extreme Nationalism (3/5): Some right-wing populist movements.
- Collectivism Over Individual Freedom (1/5): Rare in U.S. culture.
- Suppression of Diversity (2/5): Occasional backlash to progressive movements.
- Value Instrumentalization (2/5): Commercialization of social values.

2.7 Immune System

- FFI = 75 / 100
- Crisis Response Transparency (4/5): COVID response had mixed transparency, but open debate exists.
- Self-Correction Speed (4/5): Media and courts respond to errors.
- Civil Society Resilience (5/5): NGOs, press, and grassroots activism are strong.
- Global Cooperation (4/5): Active in UN, NATO, climate agreements.
- Science & Rational Discourse (4/5): High trust in science and universities.
- Public Feedback Channels (4/5): Elections and open hearings.
- Misinformation Correction (3/5): Struggles with online misinformation.
- Cross-Domain Expert Involvement (4/5): Think tanks and universities shape policies.

- Platform Governance (4/5): Content regulation improving but incomplete.
- Global Awareness (5/5): Recognizes global issues like climate change.
- CSI = 31 / 100
- NGO/Media Suppression (1/5): Rare, but government surveillance exists.
- External Structural Projection (4/5): Soft power can impose cultural models.
- Hostility to Other Civilizations (3/5): Primarily during geopolitical conflicts.
- Refusal of External Oversight (2/5): Rejects some international courts' authority.
- Crisis Information Blocking (2/5): Limited withholding during national security crises.

3. Data Summary

• FFI = 79.0 / 100

This high score reflects the United States' strong institutional and cultural framework that promotes freedom, innovation, and open dialogue. The information system, legal independence, and creative environment contribute heavily to this positive rating.

• CSI = 34.0 / 100

While relatively low compared to authoritarian states, structural risks are visible in algorithm-driven polarization, corporate monopolies, wealth inequality, and occasional legal politicization.

• CHS = +45.0

The overall health score remains strongly positive, showing a resilient structure that corrects errors and sustains social dynamism.

• EOI = 0.7

The U.S. has significant global output through its culture (Hollywood, social media platforms), technology (Silicon Valley), and financial power.

• RUI = 23.8

Reverse unification risks are moderate; while U.S. culture is highly influential, it does not enforce structural domination on other civilizations.

• HCCI = +10.7

The United States is a net positive contributor to global civilization, with its advancements in technology, human rights advocacy, and cultural

4. Data Sources & Evidence

International Index Mapping:

- Press Freedom Index (RSF): U.S. ranked 45/180 in 2023, indicating partial media challenges but strong structural freedoms.
- World Governance Indicators (World Bank): Strong rule of law and government effectiveness scores.
- Corruption Perceptions Index (Transparency International): U.S. scored 69/100, showing moderate corruption concerns.
- World Happiness Report (UN): U.S. ranks 15th, reflecting mixed social equality and well-being.
- V-Dem Democracy Index: The U.S. classified as a "liberal democracy" with strong electoral integrity.
- Human Freedom Index (Cato/Fraser Institute): U.S. ranks 15th globally, indicating high personal and economic freedom.

Real-World Evidence:

- Information System: No national internet firewall; robust investigative journalism (e.g., Watergate legacy).
- Resource System: Silicon Valley's entrepreneurship ecosystem, combined with rising concerns about tech monopolies.
- Digestive/Absorptive System: Dominance of U.S. culture globally (Hollywood, Netflix, English as lingua franca).
- Reproduction/Transmission: Same-sex marriage legalization (2015), but reproductive rights face state-level restrictions.
- Legal Framework: Supreme Court independence, yet controversies (e.g., Dobbs v. Jackson).
- Human Values: Civil rights movements, Black Lives Matter as indicators of societal self-correction.
- Immune System: Rapid response to political scandals, active NGOs like ACLU and Human Rights Watch.

Appendix A3: Russia — Detailed Analysis

1. Overview

- FFI = 38.5 / 100 Weak functional structures, with limited freedom and transparency
- CSI = 68.5 / 100 High level of "cancerous" structures, marked by information suppression and power concentration
- CHS = -30.0 Overall negative health score
- EOI = 0.7 Strong external projection through energy resources, military power, and state-controlled narratives
- RUI = 47.9 High reverse unification risk, mainly through hard power and propaganda
- HCCI = -14.3 Net negative contribution to global civilization

Russia exhibits "hard external influence", with a hybrid system of political centralization, energy leverage, and information manipulation, resulting in both internal stagnation and global destabilizing effects.

2. System Scores and Explanations

2.1 Information-Neural System

FFI = 25 / 100

- Press Freedom (1/5): Ranked 164/180 in RSF Press Freedom Index.
- Media Plurality (2/5): Some independent outlets exist, but are heavily censored or labeled "foreign agents."

- Government Data Transparency (1/5): Public data is limited and often politicized.
- Algorithm Transparency (0/5): State-run algorithms amplify progovernment narratives.
- Whistleblower Protection (1/5): Whistleblowers risk imprisonment or exile.
- Network Diversity (3/5): Internet access is partially open but under increasing control.
- Independent Investigations (2/5): Independent journalists face harassment.
- Fact-Checking Mechanisms (2/5): Limited independent verification, often external NGOs.
- Citizen Information Access (2/5): Restricted by state filters and propaganda.
- Public Media Trust (1/5): Trust undermined by state-controlled narratives.

CSI = 85 / 100

- Keyword Blocking (5/5): Extensive censorship of political topics.
- Internet Firewalling (4/5): Partial blocking of Western platforms like Facebook, Twitter.
- Criminalization of Speech (5/5): Harsh penalties for anti-war or antigovernment statements.
- Algorithmic Manipulation (5/5): State-driven content dominates media space.
- Foreign Information Blocking (4/5): Western news platforms are restricted or banned.

2.2 Resource Circulation System

FFI = 40 / 100

- Property Rights Protection (2/5): Property rights exist but are vulnerable to state seizure.
- Market Competition (2/5): State-owned companies dominate key industries (e.g., Gazprom).
- Fiscal Transparency (2/5): National budgets lack clarity and auditing.

- Education Access & Quality (4/5): Basic education remains strong but politicized.
- Healthcare Access (3/5): Public healthcare exists but underfunded.
- Entrepreneurial Environment (2/5): Corruption and bureaucracy hinder startups.
- Wealth Distribution (1/5): Oligarchs hold vast economic power.
- Infrastructure Access (3/5): Roads, public utilities are functional but under-maintained.
- Environmental Sustainability (2/5): Limited environmental protection.
- Tax Fairness (2/5): Tax system favors oligarchs and political allies.

CSI = 70 / 100

- Resource Monopolies (5/5): Oil, gas, and telecom dominated by state–linked corporations.
- Gray Economy (4/5): Corruption is endemic.
- Oligarchic Control (5/5): Wealth concentrated among political allies.
- Tax Corruption (3/5): Tax enforcement used as political weapon.
- Black-Box Finance (3/5): Non-transparent budgeting and off-book funds.

2.3 Digestive and Absorptive System

FFI = 35 / 100

- Cultural Openness (2/5): Western cultural imports restricted.
- International Academic Cooperation (3/5): Limited due to sanctions and state restrictions.
- Global Media Accessibility (1/5): Foreign media blocked or censored.
- Translation & Publishing Freedom (2/5): Heavily monitored content.
- Multicultural Tolerance (2/5): Rising nationalist sentiment.
- International Conferences (3/5): Restricted due to foreign policy tensions.
- Global Innovation Collaboration (3/5): Technology exchange limited by sanctions.
- Cultural Diversity Protection (3/5): Indigenous and regional cultures

- receive limited support.
- Knowledge Platforms (2/5): Platforms are under state control.
- World History Education (4/5): Education emphasizes Russian-centered narrative.

CSI = 65 / 100

- Book/Film/Platform Bans (5/5): Many Western media sources banned.
- Cultural Ideological Censorship (4/5): Media and art tightly controlled.
- History Distortion (4/5): Schoolbooks rewritten to fit political narrative.
- Cultural Nationalism (4/5): "Russian superiority" narratives dominate.
- Anti-Foreign Ideology (3/5): Western values portrayed as hostile.

2.4 Reproduction and Transmission System

FFI = 45 / 100

- Marriage Freedom (3/5): No recognition of same–sex marriage.
- Gender Equality (2/5): Gender pay gaps and employment discrimination persist.
- Child Protection (3/5): Some protective laws, but enforcement inconsistent.
- Sex Education (2/5): Limited programs, often avoided due to cultural taboos
- Reproductive Freedom (3/5): Abortion is legal but stigmatized.
- Domestic Violence Laws (2/5): Weak enforcement of anti-domestic violence laws.
- Non-Traditional Family Recognition (1/5): LGBTQ+ families unrecognized.
- Public Childcare (3/5): Uneven access to quality childcare.
- Intergenerational Mobility (3/5): Lower than EU/US but not completely blocked.
- Youth Mental Health (3/5): Services limited, stigma remains.

CSI = 72 / 100

- State Control of Birth Policies (4/5): Pronatalist policies and cultural pressure.
- Family Pressure/Obedience (4/5): Patriarchal family norms dominate.
- Domestic Violence (4/5): Underreported and under–prosecuted.
- Marriage Resource Trading (3/5): Present in some rural regions.
- Systemic Gender Bias (4/5): Laws and workplace culture favor men.

2.5 Legal & Institutional Framework

FFI = 30 / 100

- Judicial Independence (1/5): Courts are heavily influenced by executive power.
- Legal Transparency (2/5): Basic laws are accessible, but major cases lack transparency.
- Separation of Powers (1/5): Legislative and judiciary are largely subordinate to the presidency.
- Equality Before Law (1/5): Political opposition faces selective prosecution.
- Constitution & Human Rights (2/5): Rights exist on paper but often ignored.
- Anti-Corruption Effectiveness (2/5): Campaigns are selective, targeting rivals rather than systemic issues.
- Lawyer Independence (2/5): Lawyers defending dissidents are harassed or jailed.
- NGO Legality (2/5): NGOs often labeled as "foreign agents."
- Auditing & Oversight Independence (2/5): State-controlled auditing agencies.
- Referendum & Constitutional Reform (2/5): Constitutional changes (e.g., 2020 amendments) were top-down.

CSI = 80 / 100

- Executive Interference with Judiciary (5/5): The Kremlin dictates major judicial decisions.
- Selective Law Enforcement (5/5): Laws applied differently for opposition

- figures.
- Political Prisoners (4/5): Increasing cases of political persecution (e.g., Navalny).
- Lack of Fair Trials (4/5): Courts favor state narratives.
- Law as a Political Tool (5/5): Legal apparatus used for repression.

2.6 Human Values & Direction

FFI = 36 / 100

- Priority of Freedom & Dignity (2/5): Freedom of expression is limited.
- Happiness Index (3/5): Russia ranks 70th in the 2023 World Happiness Report.
- Minority Protection (2/5): LGBTQ+ rights face systemic suppression.
- Creativity Index (3/5): Strong cultural heritage but restricted by politics.
- Critical Thinking Education (2/5): Education emphasizes patriotism, not critical inquiry.
- Media Attention to Human Rights (2/5): Limited independent reporting.
- Charitable Activity (3/5): Charitable work exists but under tight regulation.
- Social Innovation Freedom (2/5): NGOs and startups face legal and financial barriers.
- Inclusion of Vulnerable Groups (3/5): Limited support for disabled and marginalized communities.
- Public Participation (2/5): Political participation outside state-sanctioned channels is suppressed.

CSI = 70 / 100

- Value Imposition (5/5): State ideology dominates public discourse.
- Extreme Nationalism (4/5): Propaganda heavily promotes Russian exceptionalism.
- Collectivism Over Individual Freedom (4/5): Citizens expected to conform to state narratives.
- Suppression of Plurality (4/5): Opposition and minority voices silenced.
- Instrumentalization of Values (3/5): Human rights discourse co-opted for

2.7 Immune System

FFI = 35 / 100

- Crisis Response Transparency (2/5): COVID-19 data was underreported.
- Social Self-Correction (2/5): Whistleblowers and independent media face repression.
- Civil Society Resilience (3/5): Some NGOs operate underground or abroad.
- Global Cooperation (3/5): Selective cooperation (e.g., energy, military), but geopolitical tensions hinder collaboration.
- Science & Rational Discourse (3/5): Science respected in technical fields but politicized.
- Public Feedback Mechanisms (2/5): Limited channels for public grievances.
- Cross-Field Expert Input (3/5): Expert opinions often sidelined in policymaking.
- Platform for False Info Repair (2/5): Disinformation correction limited.
- Global Problem Awareness (3/5): Climate change and global issues under-discussed.
- NGO Role in Immune Response (3/5): Severely constrained by legal restrictions.

CSI = 72 / 100

- NGO/Media Suppression (5/5): Critical NGOs shut down (e.g., Memorial).
- External Structural Penetration (4/5): Propaganda and cyber operations exported abroad.
- Civilization Hostility (4/5): "West vs. Russia" narrative entrenched.
- Refusal of External Oversight (4/5): Rejects Western human rights monitoring.
- Crisis Information Blackout (5/5): War-related information heavily censored.

3. Data Summary

- FFI = 38.5 / 100 (Weak positive structures)
- CSI = 68.5 / 100 (High cancerous elements)
- CHS = -30.0 (Net negative structural health)
- **EOI** = **0.7** (Significant global influence through energy and military projection)
- RUI = 47.9 (High externalization risk)
- HCCI = -14.3 (Net negative contributor to global civilization)

4. Data Sources & Evidence

International Index Mapping:

- RSF Press Freedom Index: Russia ranked 164/180 in 2023.
- Transparency International CPI: Scored 28/100 in 2023 (high corruption).
- V-Dem Democracy Index: Classified as "electoral autocracy."
- World Happiness Report: Russia ranked 70th, indicating low subjective well-being.
- World Bank Governance Indicators: Weak scores in rule of law, voice, and accountability.

Real-World Evidence:

- Information Control: RT and state TV dominate narratives; blocking of Western media and social platforms.
- Military Projection: War in Ukraine shows structural focus on coercion.
- Civil Society Repression: NGOs like Memorial were banned.
- Oligarchic Economy: Economic power is concentrated among a few political elites.
- Value Instrumentalization: Religion and nationalism intertwined with state power.

Appendix A4: Sweden — Detailed Analysis

1. Overview

- FFI = 85.2 / 100 (Highly functional, with strong democratic and social systems)
- CSI = 12.5 / 100 (Very low cancerous elements)
- CHS = +72.7 (Strong positive structural health)
- EOI = 0.4 (Moderate external influence, mainly through soft power and policy leadership)
- RUI = 5.0 (Low structural externalization risk)
- HCCl = +3.6 (A net positive contributor to global civilization)

Sweden demonstrates a model of high transparency, strong rule of law, robust civil society, and inclusive values. Its low CSI score reflects a lack of structural "cancerous" tendencies, while its FFI highlights systemic resilience and creativity.

2. Seven-System Scoring Details

2.1 Information & Neural System

- FFI = 90 / 100
- Press Freedom (5/5): Ranked 4th in RSF Press Freedom Index (2023).
- Media Diversity (5/5): Multiple independent outlets across the spectrum.
- Government Data Transparency (5/5): High open data and FOI access.
- Algorithm Transparency (4/5): Ongoing discussions on digital platform regulation.
- Whistleblower Protection (4/5): Strong legal frameworks (EU Whistleblower Directive).

- Independent Investigative Journalism (5/5): Strong tradition of free investigative reporting.
- Public Information Access (5/5): Excellent public access to government documents.
- Misinformation Correction (4/5): Robust fact-checking and media literacy programs.
- Internet Freedom (4/5): No censorship; active public debates on platform ethics.
- Digital Diversity (5/5): High internet penetration and platform pluralism.
- CSI = 5 / 100
- Keyword Blocking (0/5): No evidence of censorship.
- Internet Filtering (0/5): Open internet policy.
- Speech Prosecution Cases (1/5): Rare hate speech cases prosecuted.
- Algorithm Manipulation (2/5): Minor concerns with tech giants (not state– led).
- External Info Blocking (0/5): None.

2.2 Resource Circulation System

- FFI = 85 / 100
- Property Rights (5/5): Highly secure property laws.
- Market Competition (4/5): Competitive market, with some state-owned enterprises.
- Fiscal Transparency (5/5): World-class public finance transparency.
- Wealth Distribution Fairness (4/5): Low Gini coefficient (0.27).
- Entrepreneurship Environment (4/5): Strong startup ecosystem.
- Education Accessibility (5/5): Free education and universal access.
- Healthcare Accessibility (5/5): Universal healthcare system.
- Infrastructure Availability (4/5): Well–maintained public infrastructure.
- Ecological Sustainability (5/5): Leader in climate policy and green energy.
- Tax System Fairness (4/5): Progressive tax structure.
- CSI = 8 / 100
- Resource Monopoly (1/5): Limited state monopolies.
- Gray Economy (1/5): Very small informal economy.
- Oligarchic Control (1/5): No oligarchic concentration of power.
- Tax Corruption (1/5): Negligible corruption.

• Opaque Public Finance (1/5): High transparency.

2.3 Digestive & Absorptive System

- FFI = 88 / 100
- Cultural Openness (5/5): High acceptance of global cultural exchange.
- International Academic Cooperation (5/5): Leading research collaborations worldwide.
- Global Media Accessibility (5/5): Free access to all international media.
- Translation & Publishing Freedom (5/5): Extensive freedom of literature.
- Tolerance for Multiculturalism (4/5): High but occasionally strained by immigration debates.
- International Conference Access (5/5): Open participation.
- Global Innovation Collaboration (4/5): Active partnerships in Al and green tech.
- Cultural Heritage Protection (4/5): Good preservation policies.
- Knowledge Platforms (5/5): Universities and libraries freely accessible.
- Global History Education (4/5): Balanced global history curriculum.
- CSI = 5 / 100
- Book/Film Bans (0/5): None.
- Cultural Censorship (0/5): None.
- Distortion of History (1/5): Some critique of colonial past, but openly discussed.
- Cultural Chauvinism (1/5): Minimal.
- Demonization of Foreign Ideas (0/5): None.

2.4 Reproduction & Inheritance System

- FFI = 82 / 100
- Marriage Freedom (5/5): Same–sex marriage legalized since 2009.
- Gender Equality (5/5): Sweden ranks among top 5 in gender equality.
- Child Protection (4/5): Strong child rights advocacy.
- Sex Education (5/5): Comprehensive curriculum.
- Reproductive Freedom (5/5): Full reproductive rights, including abortion.

- Anti-Domestic Violence Enforcement (4/5): Strong, though improvement still needed.
- Non-Traditional Family Legality (5/5): Single parent and LGBTQ+ rights are protected.
- Public Childcare Quality (4/5): Widely accessible and affordable.
- Social Mobility (4/5): High upward mobility.
- Youth Mental Health Support (4/5): Growing support systems.
- CSI = 8 / 100
- Forced Fertility Policies (0/5): None.
- Emotional Collectivism (1/5): Minimal social pressure.
- Domestic Violence (2/5): Exists but addressed.
- Marriage as Economic Transaction (0/5): None.
- Systemic Gender Bias (1/5): Minor workplace disparities.

2.5 Legal & Institutional Framework

- FFI = 90 / 100
- Judicial Independence (5/5): Courts operate entirely free from political interference.
- Legal Transparency (5/5): Court proceedings and legal documents are publicly accessible.
- Checks and Balances (5/5): Clear separation of powers between legislature, executive, and judiciary.
- Equality Before Law (5/5): Strong anti-discrimination and equality measures.
- Constitution & Human Rights (5/5): Rights enshrined in constitution and EU law.
- Referendum Mechanism (4/5): Rarely used, but legal and fair when applied.
- Anti-Corruption Effectiveness (5/5): Sweden ranks among the least corrupt countries globally.
- Lawyer Independence (5/5): Bar association free from political oversight.
- NGO Legitimacy (5/5): NGOs operate freely.
- Independent Auditing/Monitoring (4/5): Transparent audits, with some reliance on EU oversight.
- CSI = 5 / 100

- Administrative Interference (0/5): None.
- Selective Law Enforcement (1/5): Very rare isolated cases.
- Political Prisoners (0/5): None.
- Lack of Fair Trials (0/5): None reported.
- Legal Instrumentalization (0/5): Not present.

2.6 Human Values & Direction

- FFI = 88 / 100
- Priority of Freedom & Dignity (5/5): Freedom of expression and personal rights strongly protected.
- Happiness Index (5/5): Sweden consistently ranks among top 10 in the World Happiness Report.
- Minority Protection (4/5): LGBTQ+, ethnic and religious minorities well– protected.
- Creativity Index (4/5): High investment in arts, culture, and R&D.
- Critical Thinking Education (4/5): Encouraged in schools and universities.
- Media Attention to Human Rights (5/5): Active press coverage of rights issues.
- Philanthropy and Charity Activity (4/5): Strong civil society organizations.
- Social Innovation Freedom (4/5): Startup and civic innovation thrive.
- Inclusion of Vulnerable Groups (4/5): Broad protections but some challenges with immigrant integration.
- Public Participation (4/5): Citizens actively engaged in policymaking through consultations.
- CSI = 7 / 100
- Value Imposition (1/5): Minimal, but social consensus can be strong.
- Extreme Nationalism (1/5): Low, but rising populist rhetoric exists.
- Collectivism Over Individual Freedom (1/5): Not significant.
- Suppression of Pluralism (1/5): Minimal.
- Value Instrumentalization (1/5): None structurally observed.

2.7 Immune System

- FFI = 86 / 100
- Crisis Transparency (5/5): COVID-19 policies were openly debated.
- Self-Correction Speed (4/5): Government responds quickly to public criticism.
- Civil Society Resilience (5/5): NGOs and press play a major oversight role.
- Global Cooperation (4/5): Active in EU, UN, and humanitarian efforts.
- Scientific & Rational Discourse (5/5): Policy–making often guided by research.
- Early Warning of Authoritarian Risks (4/5): High public awareness of democratic principles.
- Public Feedback Channels (4/5): Government consultation widely used.
- Platform for Misinformation Correction (4/5): Fact-checking and counter-misinformation initiatives.
- Expert Participation (4/5): Experts frequently consulted on policies.
- Global Issue Awareness (4/5): Strong climate and humanitarian advocacy.
- CSI = 6 / 100
- Suppression of NGOs/Media (0/5): None.
- External Structural Penetration (1/5): Minimal structural export of control.
- Hostility to Other Civilizations (1/5): Low, though critical on human rights issues.
- Rejection of External Oversight (1/5): None.
- Crisis Information Blocking (1/5): None.

3. Data Summary

FFI (Average): 85.2 / 100
CSI (Average): 12.5 / 100
CHS: +72.7 (Highly positive)

• EOI: 0.4 (Moderate soft–power output)

• RUI: 5.0 (Low risk of harmful externalization)

• HCCI: +3.6 (Positive contribution to global civilization)

4. Data Sources & Evidence

- Press Freedom Index (RSF)
- World Happiness Report (UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network)
- Transparency International Corruption Perception Index
- OECD Governance Data & Statistics
- World Bank Governance Indicators (WGI)
- Sweden Government Public Data & EU Reports
- V-Dem Democracy Index
- · Academic reports on Nordic social models
- · Public surveys on trust, social cohesion, and media freedom

Appendix B: 105 Indicators

B-1: Information—Neural System

FFI (Positive Indicators):

- 1. Press freedom index (media independence)
- 2. Diversity of media and public discourse
- 3. Open access to government data
- 4. Public information accessibility
- 5. Algorithmic transparency and explainability
- 6. Freedom of independent investigative journalism
- 7. Existence and strength of fact-checking mechanisms
- 8. Legal protection for whistleblowers
- 9. Internet and digital diversity (uncensored platforms)
- 10. Citizen access to uncensored global information

CSI (Cancerous Indicators):

1. Volume of keyword censorship and blocked terms

- 2. Scope of internet firewalling or platform bans
- 3. Number of cases of criminalized speech (e.g., arrests for opinions)
- 4. Algorithmic manipulation for political or social control
- 5. Level of cross-border information blockade

B-2: Resource Circulation System

FFI (Positive Indicators):

- 1. Strength of property rights protection
- 2. Market competition and openness index
- 3. Transparency of public fiscal data
- 4. Equality of access to quality education
- 5. Accessibility and quality of healthcare
- 6. Entrepreneurship environment (ease of starting businesses)
- 7. Fairness of wealth distribution (low Gini coefficient)
- 8. Accessibility of public infrastructure
- 9. Efficiency of ecological resource use and sustainability
- 10. Transparency and fairness of the tax system

CSI (Cancerous Indicators):

- 1. Degree of resource or industry monopoly
- 2. Prevalence of grey/black market activities
- 3. Concentration of wealth in family/oligarch networks
- 4. Corruption and rent-seeking in taxation
- 5. Share of unaccounted "black-box" fiscal funds

B-3: Cultural Absorption System

FFI (Positive Indicators):

1. Openness to diverse cultural expressions

- 2. Freedom of international academic collaboration
- 3. Accessibility to global media and literature
- 4. Freedom of translation and publication
- 5. Integration of global history perspectives in education
- 6. Tolerance of multiculturalism
- 7. Investment in knowledge-sharing platforms
- 8. Freedom to participate in international conferences
- 9. Cross-border innovation collaboration
- 10. Policies for protection of minority and indigenous cultures

CSI (Cancerous Indicators):

- 1. Number of banned books, films, and digital platforms
- 2. Degree of cultural or ideological censorship
- 3. Distortion or politicization of historical narratives
- 4. Level of cultural nationalism or chauvinism
- 5. Demonization or suppression of foreign ideas

B-4: Birth & Transmission System

FFI (Positive Indicators):

- 1. Freedom of marriage and partnership
- 2. Gender equality in law and practice
- 3. Strength of child protection laws
- 4. Quality and coverage of sexual education
- 5. Freedom to choose reproductive options
- 6. Legal enforcement of anti-domestic violence measures
- 7. Legitimacy and legal recognition of non-traditional families
- 8. Quality and accessibility of public childcare
- 9. Intergenerational social mobility
- 10. Psychological support for children and youth

CSI (Cancerous Indicators):

- 1. Presence of forced birth or forced sterilization policies
- 2. Emotional coercion or filial piety as structural control
- 3. Prevalence of domestic violence and harsh parenting
- 4. Marriage as a marketized resource transaction (e.g., dowry)
- 5. Systemic gender discrimination in education or employment

B-5: Legal & Institutional Framework

FFI (Positive Indicators):

- 1. Independence of judiciary from executive power
- 2. Transparency of laws and judicial processes
- 3. Functional separation of powers (checks and balances)
- 4. Equality before the law (regardless of status)
- 5. Constitutional protection of human rights
- 6. Mechanisms for referendum and constitutional amendments
- 7. Effectiveness of anti-corruption enforcement
- 8. Independence and rights of lawyers
- 9. Legal space and recognition for NGOs
- 10. Independence of auditing and supervisory agencies

CSI (Cancerous Indicators):

- 1. Political interference in judicial rulings
- 2. Selective or arbitrary enforcement of law
- 3. Existence of political prisoners or prisoners of conscience
- 4. Lack of fair trial or public access to judicial decisions
- 5. Weaponization of law for regime preservation

B-6: Human Values & Orientation

FFI (Positive Indicators):

- 1. Priority of freedom and human dignity
- 2. Global happiness index ranking
- 3. Protection of minority and marginalized groups
- 4. Public participation in policymaking
- 5. Creativity and innovation index
- 6. Inclusion of critical thinking in education
- 7. Media focus on human rights and social well-being
- 8. Vibrancy of charitable and philanthropic activities
- 9. Social inclusion of vulnerable populations
- 10. Freedom to initiate social innovation projects

CSI (Cancerous Indicators):

- 1. Forced value conformity and ideological indoctrination
- 2. Extreme nationalism or chauvinism
- 3. Collectivism overriding individual freedom
- 4. Suppression of pluralism and diversity
- 5. Instrumentalization of values for political or economic gain

B-7: Immune System

FFI (Positive Indicators):

- 1. Transparency in crisis response (e.g., pandemics, disasters)
- 2. Speed and effectiveness of societal self-correction
- 3. Resilience and autonomy of civil society
- 4. Willingness to engage in global cooperation
- 5. Dominance of science and rational discourse in policy
- 6. Sensitivity to early signs of authoritarianism or systemic risks
- 7. Open channels for public feedback and criticism
- 8. Platforms' capacity to counter misinformation
- 9. Inclusion of interdisciplinary experts in decision-making
- 10. Awareness of global systemic challenges (climate, inequality, Al)

CSI (Cancerous Indicators):

- 1. Suppression of NGOs and independent media
- 2. Structural infiltration and control of foreign institutions
- 3. Hostility towards other civilizations or cultures
- 4. Refusal of external oversight or audits
- 5. Concealment of crisis information

Appendix C: Civilization-Life Analogy

Human Life System (Biological)	Civilization System (Structural)	Function & Analogy
Nervous System (brain, nerves)	Information—Neural System	Perception, communication, coordination of signals → News, education, free press, internet.
Circulatory System (blood flow)	Resource Circulation System	Distributes energy and nutrients → Economy, trade, finance, taxation.
Digestive System (absorption)	Cultural Absorption System	Intake and assimilation of external matter → Openness to knowledge, foreign ideas, innovation exchange.
Reproductive System (fertility)	Birth & Transmission System	Continuity of species → Family, education, intergenerational transmission of values and skills.
Skeletal & Muscular System	Legal & Institutional Framework	Provides structure, mobility, and stability → Constitution, laws, governance, checks and balances.
Psychological & Value System	Human Values & Orientation	Defines meaning, direction, and motivation → Freedom, dignity, creativity, human rights.
Immune System (defense)	Immune System	Protects against pathogens

(Civilizational) → Civil society,

accountability, resistance to

authoritarianism.

Cellular Cooperation &

Tissues

Cooperative Social System

Collaboration of cells into organs → Public trust, collective action, civic associations.

Key Insight

- Civilization = A living organism: It requires intake, processing, circulation, reproduction, defense, and coordination.
- Just as an unhealthy body can become cancerous, civilizations may also "cancerize" (CSI ↑, FFI ↓) when structures are distorted.
- Immune function is essential: Without immune checks, both bodies and civilizations collapse under internal or external threats.

📑 Appendix F | Civilization Corpus

Author: Wei-Cheng Kong (WK)

License: CC0 1.0 Universal (Public Domain Dedication)

Permanent Mirrors

- GitHub Pages (Master Entry):
 - https://wk-26.github.io/Civilization-Axioms-and-Immune-System-/
- GitHub Repository:
 - https://github.com/wk-26/Civilization-Axioms-and-Immune-System-
- Internet Archive:
 - https://archive.org/details/a-new-civilization-for-humanity-cc-0
- Zenodo (DOI, all versions):
 - https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16980277

This corpus is fully open to humanity.

Anyone may freely copy, distribute, or adapt it to advance the public dissemination and practical application of civilizational system theory.

Core Statement

For any existence to endure, it must recognize and embody the first eight axioms.

Refusal leads inevitably to cancerization and destruction.

References (Extended Edition)

I. Author's Original Contribution

Kong, Weicheng (2025). White Paper on the Individual Civilization Health
Check Model v1.0.
 Original framework including FFI (Functional Fabric Index), CSI
(Cancerous Structure Index), CHS (Civilization Health Score),
 EOI (Effectiveness of Influence), RUI (Reverse Unification Index), and
 HCCI (Human Civilization Contribution Index), with their associated formulas.

II. Social Science and Data Sources

- Freedom House. (2024). Freedom in the World Report.
- Reporters Without Borders (RSF). (2024). World Press Freedom Index.

- Transparency International. (2024). Corruption Perceptions Index.
- World Bank. (2024). Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI).
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2024). Human Development Index.
- OECD. (2023). Income Inequality and Poverty Indicators (Gini coefficient).
- V-Dem Institute. (2024). Varieties of Democracy Dataset.

III. Theoretical Analogies and Cross-Disciplinary Support

1. Biology Foundations (Human Body Structural Analogy)

- Alberts, B., Johnson, A., Lewis, J., et al. (2014). Molecular Biology of the Cell (6th ed.). Garland Science.
- Campbell, N. A., & Reece, J. B. (2011). Biology (9th ed.). Pearson.
- Lodish, H., Berk, A., Kaiser, C., et al. (2016). Molecular Cell Biology (8th ed.). W. H. Freeman.

2. Immunology and Defense Mechanisms (Civilizational Immune System Analogy)

- Janeway, C. A., Travers, P., Walport, M., & Shlomchik, M. (2012).
 Immunobiology: The Immune System in Health and Disease (8th ed.).
 Garland Science.
- Abbas, A. K., Lichtman, A. H., & Pillai, S. (2017). Cellular and Molecular Immunology (9th ed.). Elsevier.

3. Systems and Complexity Science (Support for Civilizational Systems Theory)

- Capra, F. (1996). The Web of Life: A New Scientific Understanding of Living Systems. Anchor Books.
- Meadows, D. H. (2008). Thinking in Systems: A Primer. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Prigogine, I., & Stengers, I. (1984). Order out of Chaos: Man's New Dialogue with Nature. Bantam Books.

Author Contributions

- The core theoretical frameworks of this book including the Nine
 Civilizational Axioms, the Immune Civilization Model, and the Civilization
 Health Check System were independently proposed and systematically
 developed by the author.
- All chapters, including drafting, revisions, and finalization, were completed solely by the author.
- All case studies, data sources, and comparative research materials were independently collected, organized, and analyzed by the author.
- The intellectual construction, logical architecture, and overall writing style of this book are entirely original contributions of the author.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author declares that the writing and publication of this book were not directly supported, influenced, or sponsored by any commercial company, political organization, or funding institution.

All views and analyses presented herein are solely the result of the author's independent reasoning and judgment, and do not represent the position of any institution, government, or interest group.

Should the theories and models proposed in this book later be adopted by academia, public institutions, or technological organizations, their application and extension will not affect the independence of the book's original intellectual contribution.

Ethics Statement

This research and writing did not involve any human or animal experimentation,

nor did it rely on clinical or psychological data requiring ethical approval.

All content is based on publicly available historical records, established scholarly works,

and the author's independent analysis and theoretical construction.

Accordingly, this research does not involve additional ethical approval requirements.