From: <a href="mailto:PPSI@aol.com">PPSI@aol.com</a> [mailto:PPSI@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 9:12 PM

To: Lurie, Peter

**Cc:** Nambiar, Madhusoodana; <a href="mailto:elliekmarks@gmail.com">elliekmarks@gmail.com</a>

**Subject:** Fwd: Citizens Petition FDA-2013-1374/cell phone right to know legislation PAS...

Peter:

Re: PPSI Citizens Petition Docket Number FDA-2013-1374

PPSI now has a FDA representative for our Citizens Petition on cell phones, Docket Number, FDA-2013-1374 and his name is Madhusoodana Nambiar. Below is the letter we sent to Mr. Nambiar on May 29, 2015, with our sources of interest and he responded to us with his letter below.

We are sending him a copy of our letter to you, as he requested, as well and ask that this letter be added to our Citizens Petition, formally.

The city of Berkeley voted 9-0 last month to mandate that all cell phone sold have warnings regarding harm when placed on or near the body. **THIS LEGISLATION IS CALLED "RIGHT TO KNOW".** 

CTIA, the cell phone industry lobbying group, has filed a lawsuit, on this issue and the city of Berkeley, is being defended pro bono, by a Harvard constitutional lawyer, (see below).

On June 22, there is a major cell phone symposium in San Francisco, with 12 scientists, speaking on the right to know for consumers, patients and lay folks, being held at the Commonwealth Club

-link to agenda

http://www.commonwealthclub.org/events/2015-06-22/cell-phones-wireless-technologies-should-safety-quidelines-be-strengthened

I have permission from the co-chairperson, Ellie Marks, email above, to obtain presentations of the speakers' talks at the June 22 event and add them to our PPSI Citizens Petitions Docket on cell phones. How do I do this?

I am hoping that FDA will send PPSI the names and email addresses of the FDA advisory committee, who will be hearing our PPSI Citizen Petition and wondering if you can forward list to me, and when are they meeting to address this issue?

If you have not seen the American College of Pediatrics' request to FDA, addressed to both you and FCC, standards have not been set since 1996 on this issue of cell phone safety and now we have teenagers abusing cell phones and also, no standards, by FDA or FCC, on this issue since 1996.

I will have all this information documented to you, as I will have other issues, like exposes, coming and I really, really, really think as a consumer advocate, that FDA needs to look at this issue, to see if it's another cover up, like tobacco, or can we

consumers get simple warnings from the manufacturers, in the interest of public health and safety on harm, as documented, by WHO and scientific experts?

### Best-

thanks for all you do for us, as consumer advocates, in the interest of public health.

#### Fred

Fred S. Mayer, RPh, MPH, FACA President, PPSI/Gray Panthers 101 Lucas Valley Road, Suite 384 San Rafael, CA 94903

Office: 415-479-8628 Cell: 415-302-7351

Email <a href="mailto:ppsi@aol.com">ppsi@aol.com</a>
Website: <a href="mailto:www.ppsinc.org">www.ppsinc.org</a>

\_

# Dear Mr. Mayer,

This is in response to your email inquiry of the status of the petition FDA-213-P-1374, sent to Peter Lurie, Associate Commissioner of Policy and Planning, Food and Drug Administration.

We are reviewing your petition and working on a response.

If you have any questions, please contact our Regulations Staff, Mr. Madhusoodana Nambiar at the address provided below.

Sincerely,

Madhusoodana Nambiar,

Regulations Staff, Office of the Center Director

Center for Devices and Radiological Health,

U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Building WO66, Room 5572

10903 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring, MD 20993

Phone: 301-796-5837; Fax: 301-847-8144

E-mail: Madhusoodana.nambiar@fda.hhs.gov

Excellent customer service is important to us. Please take a moment to provide feedback regarding the customer service you have received:

https://www.research.net/s/cdrhcustomerservice?O=100&D=130&B=131&E=&S=E

\_\_\_\_\_\_

June 9, 2015

Dear Mr. Mayer,

This is a response to the additional material you provided and correspondence by two e-mails dated, May 29, 2015. The materials you provided include published articles, Marin Scope article link and responses, and editorials.

FDA is reviewing your petition because it raises issues requiring further review and analysis by agency officials. If you would like FDA to consider the attached materials during our review of your petition, please resubmit the materials as supplements to the Dockets Management office directly as original, primary documents (not links to the documents or list of documents) and clearly mark the submission "Supplement to Petition FDA-2013-P-1374." Alternatively, the supplemental materials can be submitted to the Docket, FDA-2013-P-1374, electronically through regulations.gov by using the comment now box. We will respond to your petition as soon as we have reached a decision on your request.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Madhusoodana Nambiar by e-mail at madhusoodana.nambiar@fda.hhs.gov or 301-796-5837.

Thank you,

Madhusoodana Nambiar

Regulations Staff

Office of the Center Director

Center for Devices and Radiological Health, U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Building WO66, 5572

10903 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring, MD 20993

Phone: 301-796-5837; Fax: 301-847-8144

From: PPSI@aol.com

To: Madhusoodana.nambiar@fda.hhs.gov

May 29, 2015

#### Madhusoodana Nambiar

Regulations Staff, Office of the Center Director
Center for Devices and Radiological Health, U.S. FDA

Building WO66, Room 5572

10903 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring, MD 20993

Phone: 301-796-5837; Fax: 301-847-8144

Re: Citizens Petition FDA-2013-P-1374

#### Dear Mr. Nambiar:

Attached please find our Citizens Petition FDA-2013-P-1374 from October 2013 to FDA re: cell phone safety issues.

I would like to add the article published in the London Guardian to my petition (referenced below) and also our Marin Scope article link: and the 50 responses and editorials from the 9-0 vote by the Berkeley, California city council last week, regarding the citizen's right to know and the precautionary principle of WHO for cell phone use when carried next to or near a person, patient or consumer's body.

http://www.marinscope.com/news\_pointer/opinion/columnists/article\_0801710c-04ac-11e5-a98a-47df16c249bd.html

Is there any time table, set by FDA, for public hearings or response, to my CP?

also, if there is a committee hearing, when are they scheduled and when can we expect to be heard by the FDA CDRH committee? also, is it possible to send me a list of those people that have been placed by FDA on the CDHR committee, who will be hearing this PPSI Citizen's petition and can we get their names and email addresses?

Best-

#### -Fred

\_

Fred S. Mayer, RPh, MPH, FACA President, PPSI/Gray Panthers 101 Lucas Valley Road, Suite 384 San Rafael, CA 94903

Office: 415-479-8628
Cell: 415-302-7351

Email ppsi@aol.com
Website: www.ppsinc.org

\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

# City of Berkeley to require cell phone sellers to warn of possible radiation risks

<u>Lawmakers vote to highlight the potential dangers of keeping devices close to the body as</u> scientists raise raft of concerns, especially for children

Photo caption: Berkeley, California, is requiring new warnings on cell phone use.

Anita Chabria, *The Guardian*, May 16, 2015

Berkeley lawmakers voted this week to require cell phone retailers to provide customers with a notice on the potential health hazards of carrying their device too close to their bodies, making the progressive California city the first in the nation to have wireless warnings if the law is allowed to go into effect in July.

"It's an important right-to-know issue," said Berkeley mayor Tom Bates, who voted in favor of the measure. "It's really just a note of caution."

<u>Currently, most wireless-capable devices such as smart phones carry FCC-mandated safety recommendations on how close to the skin the devices should be kept. It's suggested to keep most models at a distance of 5 to 25 mm to limit radiation exposure to safe levels.</u>

But those notices are often buried deep inside manuals and online instructions, leaving most consumers unaware they even exist.

A poll of Berkeley residents conducted in April found that while 74% of respondents carried their phones in a pocket – considered close contact – 66% were unaware that cell phone manufacturers recommend the products be carried away from the body or used in conjunction with hands-free devices.

The Berkeley ordinance would require sellers to post the safety information in public view and hand out a separate flyer to buyers who purchase or lease a phone.

"To assure safety, the Federal Government requires that cell phones meet radio frequency (RF) exposure guidelines," it reads. "If you carry or use your phone in a pants or shirt pocket or tucked into a bra when the phone is ON and connected to a wireless network, you may exceed the federal

guidelines for exposure to RF radiation. This potential risk is greater for children. Refer to the instructions in your phone or user manual for information about how to use your phone safely."

Berkeley's action comes the same week that **EMFscientist.org**, a group of 190 scientists from 39 countries, released an open letter on the potential dangers of electromagnetic fields and wireless technology, calling for impartial study on the topic from the United Nations and the World Health Organization.

"Numerous recent scientific publications have shown that EMF affects living organisms at levels well below most international and national guidelines," that letter read in part. "Effects include increased cancer risk, cellular stress, increase in harmful free radicals, genetic damages, structural and functional changes of the reproductive system, learning and memory deficits, neurological disorders, and negative impacts on general well-being in humans."

Children and pregnant women might face the highest risks, according to Dr Joel Moskowitz, director of the Center for Family and Community Health at the University of California at Berkeley. Moskowitz both signed the EMF letter and helped craft the Berkeley ordinance. "Five-year-olds absorb at least twice as much radiation (from cell phone contact) as the adult brain," he said.

But the science around wireless devices remains controversial. CTIA – The Wireless Association, a trade group for the industry, opposed the Berkeley ordinance as pushing inaccurate information on consumers.

"This proposal fails to provide information grounded in science or sound policy, thus misleading consumers and eroding confidence in government," wrote Gerard Keegan, senior director of external and state affairs for CTIA, in a letter to Berkeley's city council.

Moskowitz counters that science often requires time to make connections between hazards and health, and that too often studies are commissioned by the industries they affect. "We have seen so many examples of what you could say is interested science turn out to be bad science – tobacco, asbestos, lead," he says. "The list is endless. Then all of the sudden there is scientific evidence saying it is not safe."

Moskowitz added that the Berkeley law is specifically crafted to avoid any debate over the actual safety of wireless devices. Instead, it "narrowly" focuses on making buyers aware of the fine print most often fail to read.

"It's about disclosure, period," he said. "It's about trying to get people to see the actual safety information that the cell phone manufacturers put out. The industry has been very successful about hiding or burying these truths and spinning doubt about it."

That distinction will be critical to defending the new law in court, according to Harvard law professor Lawrence Lessig, one of its authors.

Lessig said that Berkeley waited to pass the law while the fate of a similar proposal in San Francisco was decided in court. In the San Francisco ordinance, retailers would have been required to go beyond the existing warnings included in manufacturers' materials and add "that there was something dangerous about their cell phone and they should use their cell phone less", said Lessig.

San Francisco dropped the ordinance after losing a legal challenge by the CTIA. Six other states have also considered some sort of disclosure regulations, but currently, none have passed. Lessig said that if the Berkeley law stand up, "then I do think it will be a model" for other jurisdictions.

The ordinance must have a second reading by the city council on 26 May. If it passes, the new law would go into effect 30 days later, barring legal challenges.

While Berkeley mayor Bates says he anticipates the CTIA "will sue us", he feels confident "our ordinance that we are proposing is one that will stand up" in court.

"All we are doing is saying a principle about how you should be carrying your cell phone," said Lessig. "You could think the debate that cell phones are dangerous is complete malarkey but still agree you should not carry your cell phone against your body."

http://bit.ly/1JP7tHF

=

For more information about the **Berkeley cell phone "right to know" ordinance** see the **Electromagnetic Radiation Safety** web site, saferEMR.com, which cover policy and scientific developments and links to media coverage.

=

#### International EMF Scientist Appeal (EMFscientist.org)

On Monday, May 11th, 190 scientists from 39 nations submitted an appeal to the United Nations, the UN member states, and the World Health Organization (WHO) requesting they adopt more protective exposure guidelines for electromagnetic fields (EMF) and wireless technology\* in the face of increasing evidence of risk. These exposures are a rapidly growing form of environmental pollution worldwide.

\*(e.g., cell phones, cordless phones, Wi-Fi, wireless devices, cell towers, wireless utility meters).

The "International EMF Scientist Appeal" asks the Secretary General, UN affiliated bodies and all member nations to encourage precautionary measures, to limit EMF exposures, and to educate the public about health risks, particularly to children and pregnant women.

To date, the petition has been signed by **205 EMF scientists from 40 countries** -- each has published peer-reviewed research on non-ionizing EMF and biology or health -- a conservative estimate exceeds 2,000 scientific papers in all.

The **EMFscientist.org** web site launched last Monday has been visited by people in 119 countries attesting to the global reach of this emerging public health crisis. The site contains information about this "wake up call" from the scientific community including a 3-minute video announcing the *Appeal* by Dr. Martin Blank, a past president of the International Bioelectromagnetics Society who has had over 30 years of experience conducting EMF research at Columbia University.

The **International EMF Alliance** has begun to collect endorsements of the **Appeal** from non-governnmental (i.e., non-profit) organizations around the world.

<u>=</u>=

Joel M. Moskowitz, Ph.D., Director
Center for Family and Community Health
School of Public Health
University of California, Berkeley

# **Electromagnetic Radiation Safety**

Website: http://www.saferemr.com

<u>Facebook: http://www.facebook.com/SaferEMR</u>
News Releases: http://pressroom.prlog.org/jmm716/

Twitter: @berkeleyprc