Forkable-Strings

By Kawin

April 6, 2017

Contents

theory Forkable-Strings imports Main ~~/src/HOL/List begin

We will use True as 1 and False as 0 in characteristic strings; we might think about each bool value answers to the question 'is this slot controlled by an adversarial player?'.

```
datatype \ nattree = Empty \mid Node \ nat \ nattree \ list
```

One reason why we don't have Leaves here is that we have to define prefixes of times carefully so that we don't consider having a leaf with cannot be continue by a list of trees but instead we can have a list of Emptys in order to extend each Empty by a tree

```
inductive ListOfEmpty :: nattree \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  Nil: ListOfEmpty []
| Cons : ListOfEmpty | l \Longrightarrow ListOfEmpty | (Empty#l)
inductive Leaf :: nattree \Rightarrow bool  where
ListOfEmpty \ l \Longrightarrow Leaf \ (Node \ n \ l)
fun lt-nat-tree :: nat \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow bool where
  lt-nat-tree n \ Empty = True
| lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ (Node \ m \ -) = (n < m)
lemma lt-nat-tree-lt [simp]: (n < m) \longleftrightarrow lt-nat-tree n (Node m l)
 by simp
lemma lt-nat-tree-ge [simp]: (n \ge m) \longleftrightarrow \neg lt-nat-tree n (Node m l)
  by auto
fun increasing-tree :: nattree <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  increasing-tree\ Empty=\ True
 increasing-tree \ (Node - []) = True
 increasing-tree \ (Node \ n \ l) = (\forall x \in set \ l. \ increasing-tree \ x \land lt-nat-tree \ n \ x)
lemma increasing-tree-branch-list-of-empty [simp]: ListOfEmpty x \longrightarrow increasing-tree
(Node \ n \ x)
```

```
proof (induction x)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
next
    case (Cons\ a\ x)
    then show ?case
    proof (cases a)
       case Empty
       then show ?thesis
       proof -
            obtain nn :: nattree \ list \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nattree \ \mathbf{where}
              \forall x0 \ x1 \ x2. \ (\exists \ v3. \ v3 \in set \ (x1 \ \# \ x0) \land (\neg \ increasing -tree \ v3 \lor \neg \ lt -nat -tree
(x2\ v3)) = (nn\ x0\ x1\ x2 \in set\ (x1\ \#\ x0)\ \land\ (\neg\ increasing\ tree\ (nn\ x0\ x1\ x2)\ \lor\ \neg
lt-nat-tree x2 (nn x0 x1 x2)))
               by moura
            then have f1: \forall n \ na \ ns. \ (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (Node \ n \ (na \ \# \ ns)) \lor (\forall \ nb. \ nb)
\notin set (na \# ns) \lor increasing-tree nb \land lt-nat-tree n \ nb)) \land (increasing-tree (Node)
n \ (na \ \# \ ns)) \lor nn \ ns \ na \ n \in set \ (na \ \# \ ns) \land (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) \lor (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nn \ ns \ na \ n) )
\neg lt-nat-tree n (nn ns na n)))
               by (meson\ increasing-tree.simps(3))
            obtain nns :: nattree \ list \Rightarrow nattree \ list where
                             f2: \forall ns. \ (\neg ListOfEmpty \ ns \lor ns = [] \lor ns = Empty \# nns \ ns \land ]
ListOfEmpty\ (nns\ ns)) \land (ListOfEmpty\ ns\ \lor\ ns \neq [] \land (\forall\ nsa.\ ns \neq Empty\ \#\ nsa)
\lor \neg ListOfEmpty nsa))
                by (metis ListOfEmpty.simps)
            have (Empty \# x = Empty \# nns (a \# x)) = (x = nns (a \# x))
               by blast
            then show ?thesis
           using f2 f1 by (metis (no-types) Cons.IH Empty in-set-member increasing-tree.simps(1)
lt-nat-tree.simps(1) member-rec(1) member-rec(2))
       qed
       next
       case (Node x21 x22)
       then show ?thesis
            using ListOfEmpty.simps by blast
    qed
qed
lemma increasing-tree-ind [simp]: (\forall x \in set \ l. \ increasing-tree \ x \land lt-nat-tree \ n
x) \longleftrightarrow increasing\text{-tree } (Node \ n \ l)
proof -
    \{ \mathbf{fix} \ nn :: nattree \}
       obtain nna :: nattree \Rightarrow nat and nnb :: nattree \Rightarrow nattree and nns :: nattree
\Rightarrow nattree list and nnc :: nattree \Rightarrow nattree where
            ff1: \forall n increasing-tree n \vee Node (nna n) (nnb n \# nns n) = n \wedge nnc n \in
set (nnb \ n \ \# \ nns \ n) \land (\neg \ increasing-tree \ (nnc \ n) \lor \neg \ lt-nat-tree \ (nna \ n) \ (nnc \ n))
            using increasing-tree.elims(3) by moura
       have \forall n \ ns. \ (n::nattree) \notin set \ ns \ \lor \ (\exists \ nsa. \ n \ \# \ nsa = ns) \ \lor \ (\exists \ na \ nsa. \ na \ \# \ nsa = ns)
```

 $nsa = ns \land n \in set nsa$

by (metis list.set-cases)

then obtain $nnsa :: nattree \Rightarrow nattree \ list \Rightarrow nattree \ list \ and \ nnd :: nattree \ \Rightarrow nattree \ list \Rightarrow nattree \ list \Rightarrow nattree \ list \ where \ ff2: \forall n \ ns. \ n \notin set \ ns \ \lor n \ \# \ nnsa \ n \ ns = ns \ \lor nnd \ n \ ns \ \# \ nnsb \ n \ ns = ns \ \land n \in set \ (nnsb \ n \ ns)$

by moura

obtain $nne :: nat \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow nattree \ list \Rightarrow nattree \ where$

by moura

then have ff4: \forall n na nb ns. lt-nat-tree nb $n \lor n \notin set$ (nnd na ns # nnsb na ns) $\lor \neg$ increasing-tree (Node nb ns) \lor na # nnsa na ns = ns \lor na $\notin set$ ns

using ff2 by metis

{ assume $nn \# nnsa \ nn \ l \neq l$

{ assume $\exists na. nn \# nnsa nn l \neq l \land increasing-tree (Node na (nnd nn l \# nnsb nn l)) \land nn \# nnsa nn l \neq l \land increasing-tree (Node n l)}$

moreover

{ assume \exists na nb. increasing-tree (Node n l) \land nn # nnsa nn $l \neq l \land$ increasing-tree (Node na (nnd nb l # nnsb nb l)) \land nb # nnsa nb $l \neq l \land$ nb \in set $l \land$ increasing-tree (Node n l)

moreover

{ assume \exists na nb nc. nb \in set $l \land$ increasing-tree (Node n l) \land nb # nnsa nb $l \neq l \land$ increasing-tree (Node n l) \land increasing-tree (Node nc (nnd na l # nnsb na l)) \land na # nnsa na $l \neq l \land$ na \in set $l \land$ increasing-tree (Node n l)

moreover

{ assume \exists na nb nc ns. nb # nnsa nb ns \neq ns \land nb \in set ns \land increasing-tree (Node n l) \land nn \in set ns \land increasing-tree (Node n a (nnd nc l # nnsb nc l)) \land nc # nnsa nc l \neq l \land nc \in set l \land increasing-tree (Node n l)

then have $(nn \notin set \ l \lor increasing\text{-}tree \ nn \land lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ nn) \land increasing\text{-}tree \ (Node \ n \ l) \lor \neg increasing\text{-}tree \ (Node \ n \ l) \land (\exists \ na. \ na \in set \ l \land (\neg increasing\text{-}tree \ na \lor \neg lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ na))$

using ff4 ff3 ff2 by (metis (no-types)) }

ultimately have $(nn \notin set \ l \lor increasing\text{-}tree \ nn \land lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ nn) \land increasing\text{-}tree \ (Node \ n \ l) \lor \neg increasing\text{-}tree \ (Node \ n \ l) \land (\exists \ na. \ na \in set \ l \land (\neg increasing\text{-}tree \ na \lor \neg lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ na))$

by blast }

ultimately have $(nn \notin set \ l \lor increasing-tree \ nn \land lt-nat-tree \ n \ nn) \land increasing-tree \ (Node \ n \ l) \lor \neg increasing-tree \ (Node \ n \ l) \land (\exists \ na. \ na \in set \ l \land (\neg increasing-tree \ na \lor \neg \ lt-nat-tree \ n \ na))$

by blast }

ultimately have $(nn \notin set \ l \lor increasing\text{-}tree \ nn \land lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ nn) \land increasing\text{-}tree \ (Node \ n \ l) \lor \neg increasing\text{-}tree \ (Node \ n \ l) \land (\exists \ na. \ na \in set \ l \land (\neg increasing\text{-}tree \ na \lor \neg lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ na))$

by blast }

then have $(nn \notin set \ l \lor increasing\text{-}tree \ nn \land lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ nn) \land increasing\text{-}tree$

```
(Node n l) \vee \neg increasing-tree (Node n l) \wedge (\exists na. na \in set l \wedge (\neg increasing-tree
na \lor \neg lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ na))
        using ff3 ff2 ff1 by (metis (no-types) nattree.inject) }
   then have (nn \notin set \ l \lor increasing\text{-}tree \ nn \land lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ nn) \land increasing\text{-}tree
(Node n l) \vee \neg increasing-tree (Node n l) \wedge (\exists na. na \in set l \wedge (\neg increasing-tree
na \lor \neg lt\text{-}nat\text{-}tree \ n \ na))
      using ff3 ff1 by (metis (no-types) list.set-intros(1) nattree.inject) }
  then show ?thesis
   by auto
\mathbf{qed}
definition ListMax :: nat \ list \Rightarrow nat \ \mathbf{where}
  ListMax \ l = foldr \ max \ l \ 0
lemma ListMax-0 [simp]: ListMax [] = 0
  by (simp add: ListMax-def)
lemma Listmax-ge [simp]: \forall x \in set \ l. \ x \leq ListMax \ l
  proof (induction l)
   case Nil
   then show ?case
     by auto
  next
   case (Cons\ a\ l)
   have ListMax (Cons \ a \ l) = max \ a \ (ListMax \ l)
      using ListMax-def by auto
   have ListMax\ l \leq ListMax\ (Cons\ a\ l) \land a \leq ListMax\ (Cons\ a\ l)
     by (simp\ add: \langle ListMax\ (a \# l) = max\ a\ (ListMax\ l)\rangle)
   then show ?case
     using Cons.IH by auto
  qed
fun height :: nattree \Rightarrow nat where
  height Empty = 0
| height (Node \ n \ bl) = (if \ Leaf \ (Node \ n \ bl) \ then \ 0 \ else \ Suc \ (ListMax \ (map \ height
bl)))
lemma height-Leaf [simp]: Leaf n \longrightarrow height n = 0
 by (metis height.elims)
lemma Leaf-ind [simp]: Leaf (Node\ n\ l) = Leaf\ (Node\ n\ (Empty # l))
 by (metis\ Leaf.simps\ ListOfEmpty.simps\ list.distinct(1)\ list.sel(3)\ nattree.inject)
lemma not\text{-}ListOfEmpty\text{-}imp\text{-}not\text{-}Empty\text{-}existence} \ [simp] : \neg \ ListOfEmpty \ l \longrightarrow
(\exists x \in set \ l. \ x \neq Empty)
proof (induction l)
  case Nil
  then show ?case
   by (simp add: ListOfEmpty.Nil)
```

```
next
 case (Cons\ a\ l)
 then have (\forall x \in set \ l. \ x = Empty) \longrightarrow ListOfEmpty \ l
 then have a = Empty \land (\forall x \in set \ l. \ x = Empty) \longrightarrow ListOfEmpty \ (a\#l)
   using ListOfEmpty.Cons by blast
  then have \neg ListOfEmpty\ (a\#l) \longrightarrow (a \neq Empty \lor (\exists x \in set\ l.\ x \neq Empty))
  then show ?case by simp
qed
lemma not-Leaf-imp-not-List-of-empty [simp]:
\neg \ Leaf \ (Node \ n \ l) \longrightarrow (\exists \ x \in set \ l. \ x \neq Empty)
proof -
 have \neg Leaf (Node n l) \longrightarrow \neg ListOfEmpty l
   using Leaf.intros by blast
 then show ?thesis using not-ListOfEmpty-imp-not-Empty-existence
   by blast
qed
lemma Leaf-non-ListOfEmpty [simp]:
(\exists x \in set \ l. \ x \neq Empty) = (\neg \ Leaf \ (Node \ n \ l))
proof -
 have (\exists x \in set \ l. \ x \neq Empty) \longrightarrow (\neg Leaf \ (Node \ n \ l))
   by (metis Leaf.cases increasing-tree-branch-list-of-empty increasing-tree-ind
lt-nat-tree.elims(2) nat-less-le nattree.inject)
 then show ?thesis using not-Leaf-imp-not-List-of-empty by blast
qed
lemma height-ge [simp]: \forall x \in set \ l. height x \leq height \ (Node \ n \ l)
proof (induction \ l)
 case Nil
 then show ?case
   by (metis empty-iff empty-set)
 case (Cons\ a\ l)
 have a1: height (Node n (Cons a l)) = (if Leaf (Node n (Cons a l)) then \theta else
Suc (ListMax
(map\ height\ (Cons\ a\ l))))
   using height.simps(2) by blast
 then show ?case
 proof (cases a)
   case Empty
   then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Leaf-non-ListOfEmpty Listmax-ge a1 height.simps(1)
image-eqI
le-SucI list.set-map order-refl)
 next
   case (Node x21 x22)
```

```
then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Leaf-non-ListOfEmpty Listmax-ge a1 height.simps(1)
image-eqI
le-SucI le-numeral-extra(3) list.set-map)
  ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma listmax-0 [simp]: (\forall x \in set \ l. \ f \ x = 0) \longrightarrow ListMax \ (map \ f \ l) = 0
proof (induction l)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons\ a\ l)
  have ListMax \ (map \ f \ (Cons \ a \ l)) = max \ (f \ a) \ (ListMax \ (map \ f \ l))
   using ListMax-def by auto
  then have (f \ a = 0) \land (\forall \ x \in set \ l. \ f \ x = 0) \longrightarrow ListMax \ (map \ f \ (Cons \ a \ l))
   using Cons.IH by linarith
  then show ?case
   by simp
qed
I use Type nat option to screen out a branch without a labelled node; how-
ever I still use ListMax assuming there is only one node labelled by the
second argument.
inductive ListOfNone :: ('a \ option) \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  Nil: ListOfNone []
| Cons : ListOfNone \ n \Longrightarrow ListOfNone \ (None \# n)
fun maxOption :: nat option <math>\Rightarrow nat option \Rightarrow nat option where
  maxOption\ None\ x=x
\mid maxOption \ (Some \ n) \ x = (case \ x \ of \ Some \ m \Rightarrow Some \ (max \ n \ m) \ | \ None \Rightarrow
Some \ n)
definition ListMaxOption :: (nat option) list <math>\Rightarrow nat option where
  ListMaxOption\ l = foldr\ maxOption\ l\ None
definition SucOption :: nat option \Rightarrow nat option where
  SucOption \ n = (case \ n \ of \ None \Rightarrow None \mid Some \ n \Rightarrow Some \ (Suc \ n))
fun le-option :: nat option \Rightarrow nat option \Rightarrow bool where
  le-option None - = True
| le-option (Some n) x = (case \ x \ of \ None \Rightarrow False \ | \ Some \ m \Rightarrow n \leq m)
We don't care None cases
fun lt-option :: nat \ option \Rightarrow nat \ option \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  lt-option None - = False
| lt\text{-}option - None = False
| lt\text{-}option (Some m) (Some n) = (m < n)
```

```
fun depth :: nattree \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat option where
  depth \ Empty \ n = None
| depth (Node \ n \ bl) \ m = (if \ n = m)
                         then (Some \ \theta)
                         else SucOption (ListMaxOption (map (\lambda x. depth \ x \ m) \ bl)))
definition H :: bool \ list \Rightarrow nat \ set \ \mathbf{where}
  H \ l = \{x. \ x \leq length \ l \land \neg (nth \ (False \# l) \ x)\}
definition isHonest::bool\ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}
  isHonest\ l\ x = (\neg\ (nth\ (False\#l)\ x))
lemma H-\theta [simp]: \theta \in H l
  by (simp add: H-def)
lemma getFrom-suc-eq-H [simp]: x < length \ l \land \neg \ nth \ l \ x \longleftrightarrow Suc \ x \in H \ l
 by (simp add: H-def less-eq-Suc-le)
fun ListSum :: nat \ list \Rightarrow nat \ \mathbf{where}
  ListSum\ l = foldr\ plus\ l\ 0
lemma ListSum-0 [simp] : (\forall x \in set \ l. \ x = 0) \longrightarrow ListSum \ l = 0
  proof (induction l)
   {\bf case}\ Nil
   then show ?case by simp
  next
   case (Cons a l)
   then show ?case
     by simp
 qed
No prunning used as we don't yet have an increasing tree in argument, but
can improve it later
fun count-node :: nat \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow nat where
  count-node - Empty = 0
| count\text{-}node \ m \ (Node \ n \ bl) = (of\text{-}bool \ (m = n)) + ListSum \ (map \ (count\text{-}node \ m))
lemma count-node-Leaf [simp]: Leaf (Node\ n\ l) \longrightarrow count-node\ m\ (Node\ n\ l) =
of-bool (m = n)
proof -
  have Leaf (Node n \ l) \longrightarrow (\forall x \in set \ l. count-node m \ x = 0)
   by (metis Leaf-non-ListOfEmpty count-node.simps(1))
  then have Leaf (Node n \ l) \longrightarrow ListSum (map (count-node m) l) = 0
   by (metis ListSum-0 Listmax-ge le-zero-eq listmax-0)
  then show ?thesis
   using count-node.simps(2) by presburger
```

```
qed
```

```
definition unique-node :: nattree \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool where
  unique-node t n = (count-node n t = 1)
This function returns true only if each member in a set has one and only
associated node.
fun unique-nodes-by-nat-set :: nattree \Rightarrow nat \ set \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  unique-nodes-by-nat-set t s = (\forall x \in s. unique-node t x)
definition uniqueH-tree :: nattree \Rightarrow bool \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  uniqueH-tree t \ l = unique-nodes-by-nat-set t \ (H \ l)
lemma uniqueH-tree-in-imp-l [simp]: \forall x \in H \ l. uniqueH-tree t \ l \longrightarrow unique-node
  using uniqueH-tree-def by auto
lemma uniqueH-tree-in-imp-r [simp]: (\forall x \in H \ l. \ unique-node \ t \ x) \longrightarrow uniqueH-tree
 using uniqueH-tree-def unique-nodes-by-nat-set.simps by blast
fun max-node :: nattree \Rightarrow nat where
  max-node Empty = 0
| max-node\ (Node\ n\ bl) = ListMax\ (n\ \#\ (map\ max-node\ bl))
lemma max-node-max [simp]: \forall m. max-node t < m \longrightarrow count-node m \ t = 0
 proof (induction \ t)
   case Empty
   then show ?case
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
  next
   case (Node x1 x2)
   have a: max-node (Node x1 x2) = ListMax (x1 \# (map max-node x2))
  then have \forall x \in set \ x2. max-node x \leq max-node (Node x1 \ x2) \land x1 \leq max-node
(Node \ x1 \ x2)
     by simp
   then have \forall x. \forall y \in set \ x2. max-node (Node x1 x2)< x \longrightarrow max-node y < x
     using le-less-trans by blast
    then have \forall x. \forall y \in set \ x2. max-node (Node x1 x2)< x \longrightarrow count-node x y
= 0
     by (simp add: Node.IH)
    then have \forall x. \ max\text{-node} \ (Node \ x1 \ x2) < x \longrightarrow count\text{-node} \ x \ (Node \ x1 \ x2) =
ListSum \ (map \ (count-node \ x) \ x2)
     by (smt Listmax-ge a add.commute add-cancel-left-right count-node.simps(2)
list.set-intros(1)
         not-le of-bool-def)
     then show ?case
```

```
using ListSum-0 \forall x. \forall y \in set \ x2. max-node (Node x1 x2) < x \longrightarrow count-node
x y = \theta  by auto
 qed
fun increasing-depth-H :: nattree <math>\Rightarrow bool \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  increasing-depth-H t l = (\forall x \in H \ l. \ \forall y \in H \ l. \ x < y \longrightarrow lt-option (depth t x)
(depth \ t \ y))
inductive root-label-0 :: nattree \Rightarrow bool where
  root-label-0 (Node 0 l)
lemma root-label-0-depth-0 [simp]: root-label-0 n \longrightarrow depth n = Some 0
 by (metis depth.simps(2) root-label-0.cases)
F --- w
fun isFork :: bool\ list \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow bool\ where
  isFork \ w \ F = ((length \ w \ge max-node \ F))
               \land (increasing-tree F)
               \land (uniqueH-tree\ F\ w)
               \land (increasing-depth-H F w)
               \land root\text{-}label\text{-}0 F)
lemma is Fork-max-not-exceed [simp]: is Fork w F \longrightarrow length w \ge max-node F by
simp
lemma isFork\text{-}root\text{-}0\ [simp]: isFork\ w\ F\longrightarrow root\text{-}label\text{-}0\ F\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
lemma is Fork-increasing-tree [simp]: is Fork w F \longrightarrow increasing-tree F
 using isFork.simps by blast
lemma isFork-uniqueH-tree [simp]: isFork w F \longrightarrow (\forall x \in H \ w. \ unique-node \ F
 by (meson\ isFork.elims(2)\ uniqueH-tree-in-imp-l)
lemma is Fork-increasing-depth-H [simp] :
isFork w \ F \longrightarrow (\forall \ x \in H \ w. \ \forall \ y \in H \ w. \ x < y \longrightarrow lt-option (depth F \ x) (depth
F(y)
 by (meson\ increasing-depth-H.elims(2)\ isFork.elims(2))
fun getLabelFromTine :: nattree \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow nat list where
  getLabelFromTine\ Empty\ l=[]
 getLabelFromTine - [] = []
| getLabelFromTine \ (Node - l) \ (x \# xs) = (if \ x \ge length \ l \ then \ [] \ else
                                           (case nth\ l\ x\ of
                                             Empty \Rightarrow [] \mid (*it runs out of nodes before we
can trace down all paths*)
                                           Node n \rightarrow n \# qetLabelFromTine (hd (drop x
l)) xs))
```

comparing between the length of lists of all choices of edges and lists of their labels.

```
fun set-of-tines :: nattree \Rightarrow (nat \ list) \ set \ \mathbf{where}
 set-of-tines t = \{tine. length tine = length (getLabelFromTine t tine)\}
fun edge-disjoint-tines :: nat list \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow bool where
  edge-disjoint-tines [] - = True
 edge-disjoint-tines - [] = True
| edge\-disjoint\-tines\ (x\#xs)\ (y\#ys) = (x\neq y)
Definition 4.11: flatTree
fun flatTree :: nattree \Rightarrow bool where
flatTree F =
(\exists t1 \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines F.
\exists t2 \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines F.
length t1 = length t2
\land length t1 = height F
\land edge-disjoint-tines t1 t1)
lemma Leaf-imp-nil-label-tine [simp]: assumes Leaf (Node n l) shows qetLabel-
From Tine (Node n \ l) t = []
 proof (cases t)
   case Nil
   then show ?thesis
     using getLabelFromTine.simps(2) by blast
  \mathbf{next}
   case (Cons a list)
   then show ?thesis
     proof (cases a \ge length l)
       \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
       then show ?thesis
         using getLabelFromTine.simps(3) local.Cons by presburger
     next
       case False
       have a < length l
         using False by auto
       then have nth \ l \ a = Empty
         using Leaf-non-ListOfEmpty assms nth-mem by blast
       then show ?thesis
         by (simp add: local.Cons)
     qed
 qed
lemma flatTree-trivial [simp]: assumes Leaf (Node n l) shows flatTree (Node n
l)
proof -
  have set-of-tines (Node n l) = {tine. length tine = length (getLabelFromTine
(Node \ n \ l) \ tine)
   by (metis set-of-tines.elims)
```

```
then have set-of-tines (Node n l) = \{tine. length tine = length []\}
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong Leaf-imp-nil-label-tine assms list.size(3))
  then have set-of-tines (Node n l) = {tine. length tine = 0}
   by (metis (no-types) (set-of-tines (Node n \ l) = {tine. length tine = length []})
list.size(3)
  then have set-of-tines (Node n \ l) = {[]}
   by blast
 then show flatTree (Node \ n \ l)
    by (metis assms edge-disjoint-tines.simps(1) flat Tree.simps height.simps(2)
list.size(3) \ singletonI)
qed
definition isForkable :: bool\ list \Rightarrow bool\ where
  isForkable\ w = (\exists F.\ isFork\ w\ F \land flatTree\ F)
definition flatFork :: bool\ list \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow bool\ where
 flatFork\ w\ F = (isFork\ w\ F \land flatTree\ F)
inductive ListOfAdverse :: bool\ list \Rightarrow bool\ where
  Nil: ListOfAdverse
| Cons : ListOfAdverse \ xs \Longrightarrow ListOfAdverse \ (True \# xs)
lemma ListOfAdverse-all-True [simp]: ListOfAdverse w \longrightarrow (\forall x \in set \ w. \ x)
proof (induction w)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons\ a\ w)
   have ListOfAdverse\ (a\#w) \longrightarrow a
     using ListOfAdverse.cases by blast
  then show ?case
   using Cons.IH ListOfAdverse.cases by auto
qed
lemma all-True-ListOfAdverse [simp]: (\forall x \in set \ w. \ x) \longrightarrow ListOfAdverse \ w
proof (induction w)
 case Nil
 then show ?case
   by (simp add: ListOfAdverse.Nil)
next
  case (Cons \ a \ w)
 then have a = True \land (\forall x \in set \ w. \ x) \longrightarrow ListOfAdverse \ (a\#w)
   using ListOfAdverse.Cons by blast
 then show ?case by simp
qed
lemma singleton-H-ListOfAdverse [simp]: ListOfAdverse w \longrightarrow H w = \{0\}
proof (induction w)
 case Nil
```

```
then show ?case
   using H-def by auto
next
 case (Cons\ a\ w)
   have ListOfAdverse\ (a\#w) \longrightarrow a
     using ListOfAdverse.cases by blast
  then have ListOfAdverse\ (a\#w) \longrightarrow (\forall\ x.\ x \leq length\ w \longrightarrow nth\ (False\#(a\#w))
x = nth (False \# w) x
       by (smt ListOfAdverse-all-True add.right-neutral add-Suc-right insert-iff
le-SucI list.simps(15) list.size(4) nth-equal-first-eq)
   have ListOfAdverse\ (a\#w) \longrightarrow (nth\ (False\#(a\#w))\ (length\ (a\#w)))
   by (smt\ ListOfAdverse-all-True\ length-0-conv\ linear\ list.\ distinct(1)\ nth-equal-first-eq)
 then show ?case
  by (smt Collect-cong H-0 H-def ListOfAdverse-all-True mem-Collect-eq nth-equal-first-eq
singleton-conv)
qed
lemma ListOfEmpty-max-node-ListMax-0 [simp]:
 assumes ListOfEmpty l
 shows ListMax (map max-node l) = 0
 by (metis Leaf.simps Leaf-non-ListOfEmpty assms listmax-0 map-eq-map-tailrec
max-node.simps(1))
lemma max-node-Leaf [simp]:
 assumes Leaf (Node \ n \ l)
 shows max-node (Node \ n \ l) = n
proof -
 have max-node (Node n l) = ListMax (n\#(map\ max-node\ l)) by simp
 then have max-node (Node n \ l) = max \ n \ (ListMax \ (map \ max-node l))
   using ListMax-def by auto
 then show max-node (Node n \ l) = n
   using Leaf.simps assms by auto
qed
lemma flatFork-Trivial: assumes Leaf (Node 0 l) and ListOfAdverse w shows
flatFork w (Node 0 l)
proof -
 have flatTree (Node 0 l)
   using assms(1) flat Tree-trivial by blast
 have prem1: length w \ge max-node (Node 0 l)
   using assms(1) max-node-Leaf by presburger
 have prem2: increasing-tree (Node 0 l)
   using Leaf.cases assms(1) increasing-tree-branch-list-of-empty by blast
 have count-node 0 (Node 0 l) = 1
   by (metis (full-types) assms(1) count-node-Leaf of-bool-eq(2))
 have H w = \{0\} using assms(2) singleton-H-ListOfAdverse by blast
 then have prem3: uniqueH-tree (Node 0 l) w
  by (smt \ assms(1) \ count\text{-}node\text{-}Leaf \ of\text{-}bool\text{-}eq(2) \ singletonD \ uniqueH\text{-}tree\text{-}in\text{-}imp\text{-}r
unique-node-def)
```

```
have prem4:increasing-depth-H (Node 0 l) w
       by (simp \ add: \langle H \ w = \{0\}\rangle)
    have root-label-0 (Node 0 l)
       by (simp add: root-label-0.intros)
    then show ?thesis
         using \(\frac{flatTree}{Node}\) \(\lambda\) \(\lambda\
prem4 by blast
qed
lemma forkable-eq-exist-flatfork [simp]: isForkable\ w \longleftrightarrow (\exists F.\ flatFork\ w\ F)
    using flatFork-def isForkable-def by blast
Definition 4.13 is really tricky as we have to traverse F and F' whether it
holds that F subseteq; F' at the same time.
fun isPrefix-list :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow bool where
    isPrefix-list [] -= True
   isPrefix-list\ (l\#ls)\ [] = False
 |isPrefix-list (l\#ls) (r\#rs) = ((l=r) \land isPrefix-list ls rs)|
definition is Prefix-tine:: nattree \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow bool where
  isPrefix-tine\ nt1\ nt2\ t1\ t2=
(isPrefix-list\ t1\ t2 \land isPrefix-list\ (getLabelFromTine\ nt1\ t1)\ (getLabelFromTine\ nt2)
t2))
definition isPrefix-tree :: nattree \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow bool where
    isPrefix-tree \ nt1 \ nt2 =
       (\forall t1 \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ nt1.\ \forall\ t2 \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ nt2.\ isPrefix\text{-}list\ t1\ t2
        \longrightarrow isPrefix-tine nt1 nt2 t1 t2)
as this can consider from any list of natural numbers.
definition isPrefix-fork :: bool\ list \Rightarrow bool\ list \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}
    isPrefix-fork\ w1\ w2\ nt1\ nt2 =
       (isFork\ w1\ nt1\ \land\ isFork\ w2\ nt2\ \land\ isPrefix-list\ w1\ w2\ \land\ isPrefix-tree\ nt1\ nt2)
Definition 4.14
fun closedFork-Hgiven :: nattree <math>\Rightarrow nat \ set \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
    closedFork-Hgiven Empty - = True
| closedFork-Hgiven (Node n l) h = (if ListOfEmpty l)
                                                                      then (n \in h)
                                                                       else foldr conj (map (\lambda x. closedFork-Hgiven x h) l)
True)
A closed fork has to be a fork of a certain string and closed in regard to that
string.
definition closedFork :: nattree \Rightarrow bool\ list \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}
    closedFork \ F \ w = (isFork \ w \ F \land closedFork-Hgiven \ F \ (H \ w))
```

lemma closedFork-ListOfAdverse [simp]:

```
assumes Leaf (Node 0 l) and ListOfAdverse w
 shows closedFork (Node 0 l) w
proof -
 have closedFork-Hgiven (Node 0 l) (H w)
   by (metis H-0 Leaf.cases assms(1) closedFork-Hgiven.simps(2) nattree.inject)
  then show ?thesis
   using assms(1) assms(2) closedFork-def flatFork-Trivial flatFork-def by blast
qed
lemma not-ListOfAdverse-not-trivial-fork [simp]:
 assumes Leaf (Node 0 l) and \neg ListOfAdverse w
 shows \neg isFork w (Node \theta l)
proof -
 have \exists x \in set w. \neg x
   using all-True-ListOfAdverse assms(2) by blast
  then have \exists x. x > 0 \land x \leq length w \land \neg (nth (False \# w) x)
   by (metis Suc-leI in-set-conv-nth nth-Cons-Suc zero-less-Suc)
  then have \exists x. x > 0 \land x \in H w
   by (simp add: H-def)
  then have \neg uniqueH-tree (Node 0 l) w
    by (metis\ One-nat-def\ assms(1)\ max-node-Leaf\ max-node-max\ nat.simps(3)
uniqueH-tree-in-imp-l unique-node-def)
  then show ?thesis
   using isFork.simps by blast
qed
lemma Leaf-inp-ListOfAdverse-trivial-fork [simp]:
 assumes Leaf (Node 0 l)
 shows ListOfAdverse\ w \longleftrightarrow isFork\ w\ (Node\ 0\ l)
  using assms flatFork-Trivial flatFork-def not-ListOfAdverse-not-trivial-fork by
From Definition 4.15, gap reserve and reach depend on a fork and a charac-
teristic string.
A gap of a tine is a difference between its length and the longest tine's.
definition gap :: nattree \Rightarrow nat \ list \Rightarrow nat \ \mathbf{where}
 gap \ nt \ tine = height \ nt - length \ tine
A reserve of a tine is the number of adversarial nodes after the last node of
the tine.
definition reserve :: bool list \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow nat where
 reserve w labeled Tine = foldr (\lambda x.(plus (of-bool x))) (drop (ListMax labeled Tine))
w) \theta
A reach of a tine is simply a difference between its reserve and gap.
definition reach :: nattree \Rightarrow bool \ list \Rightarrow nat \ list \Rightarrow int \ \mathbf{where}
 reach nt w tine = int (reserve w (getLabelFromTine nt tine)) - int (gap nt tine)
```

```
lambda and mu (or called margin) from Definition 4.16.
definition lambda :: nattree \Rightarrow bool \ list \Rightarrow int where
  lambda\ t\ w = Max\ \{r.\ \exists\ x \in set\text{-of-tines}\ t.\ r = reach\ t\ w\ x\}
lemma ListOfAdverse-count-eq-length:
  ListOfAdverse\ w \longrightarrow (foldr\ (\lambda x.(plus\ (of-bool\ x)))\ w\ \theta) = length\ w
proof (induction w)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons\ a\ w)
   have ListOfAdverse (Cons a w) \longrightarrow a \land ListOfAdverse w
     using ListOfAdverse.cases by blast
   then have ListOfAdverse (Cons a w) \longrightarrow a \land (foldr (\lambda x.(plus\ (of\text{-bool}\ x)))) w
\theta) = length w
     using Cons.IH by blast
    then have ListOfAdverse\ (Cons\ a\ w) \longrightarrow foldr\ (\lambda x.(plus\ (of-bool\ x)))\ (a\ \#
w) \theta = (\lambda x.(plus (of-bool x))) a (length w)
     by (metis foldr-Cons o-apply)
  then show ?case
   by (metis (full-types) One-nat-def (ListOfAdverse (a \# w) \longrightarrow a \land ListOfAd-
verse \ w \ list.size(4) \ of-bool-eq(2) \ semiring-normalization-rules(24))
qed
lemma lambda-no-honest : assumes ListOfAdverse\ w shows \exists\ t.\ isFork\ w\ t\ \land
lambda\ t\ w\ \geq\ 0
proof -
  obtain l where ListOfEmpty l
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{ListOfEmpty.Nil}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
  obtain t where a:Leaf t \wedge t = Node \ 0 \ l \wedge isFork \ w \ t
   using Leaf.intros Leaf-inp-ListOfAdverse-trivial-fork \langle ListOfEmpty | l \rangle assms by
blast
  have b:gap\ t\ []=0
   by (metis (Leaf t \wedge t = Node\ 0\ l \wedge isFork\ w\ t) gap-def height-Leaf list.size(3)
minus-nat.diff-0
  have reserve w \mid \geq 0
   by simp
  have reach ge0: reach t w [] \ge 0
   using \langle gap \ t \mid | = 0 \rangle reach-def by auto
  have \forall tine. getLabelFromTine\ t\ tine = []
   using Leaf-imp-nil-label-tine a by blast
  then have \forall tine. length (getLabelFromTine\ t\ tine) = 0
   bv simp
  then have set-of-tines t = \{[]\}
   by simp
  then have exist: \exists x \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines t. reach t w x \geq 0
    using reachge0 by blast
  then have all: \forall x \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines t. reach t w x \geq 0
   using \langle set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ t=\{[]\}\rangle by fastforce
```

```
then have \{r. \exists x \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ t.\ r = reach\ t\ w\ x\} = \{r.\ r = reach\ t\ w\ \|\}
    using \langle set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ t=\{[]\}\rangle by auto
  hence \{r. \exists x \in set\text{-of-tines } t. r = reach t w x\} = \{r. r = int (reserve w and the set) \}
(getLabelFromTine\ t\ [])) - int\ (gap\ t\ [])
    using reach-def by auto
  hence \{r. \exists x \in set\text{-of-tines } t. r = reach \ t \ w \ x\} = \{r. \ r = int \ (reserve \ w \ \|) - t \}
\theta
    by (metis a b getLabelFromTine.simps(2) of-nat-0)
  hence \{r. \exists x \in set\text{-of-tines } t. \ r = reach \ t \ w \ x\} = \{r. \ r = int \ (foldr \ (\lambda x.(plus \in set + reach ) \ (foldr \in set + reach ) \ (foldr \in set + reach ) \}
(of\text{-}bool\ x)) w\ \theta)
    using reserve-def by auto
  hence \{r. \exists x \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ t.\ r = reach\ t\ w\ x\} = \{int\ (length\ w)\}
    by (simp add: ListOfAdverse-count-eq-length assms)
  hence lambda\ t\ w \geq 0
    using lambda-def by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using a by blast
 qed
definition set-of-edge-disjoint-tines :: nattree \Rightarrow ((nat list, nat list) prod) set
where
 set-of-edge-disjoint-tines t
   = \{(x,y).\ x \in set\text{-of-tines}\ t
      \land y \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ t
      \land edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines \ x \ y
definition margin :: nattree \Rightarrow bool \ list \Rightarrow int \ \mathbf{where}
  margin t \ w = Max \ \{r. \ (\exists \ (a,b) \in set\text{-of-edge-disjoint-tines} \ t. \ r = min \ (reach \ t
(w \ a) \ (reach \ t \ w \ b))
lemma margin-no-honest: assumes ListOfAdverse\ w shows \exists\ t.\ isFork\ w\ t\ \land
marqin t w > 0
proof -
   obtain l where ListOfEmpty l
    using ListOfEmpty.Nil by auto
  obtain t where a:Leaf t \wedge t = Node \ 0 \ l \wedge isFork \ w \ t
   using Leaf.intros\ Leaf-inp-ListOfAdverse-trivial-fork\ \langle ListOfEmpty\ l 
angle\ assms\ {\bf by}
  have b:gap\ t\ []=0
    by (metis (Leaf t \land t = Node \ 0 \ l \land isFork \ w \ t) gap-def height-Leaf list.size(3)
minus-nat.diff-0)
  have reserve w \mid \geq 0
    by simp
  have reach ge\theta: reach tw \mid \geq \theta
    using \langle gap \ t \mid | = 0 \rangle reach-def by auto
   have \forall tine. getLabelFromTine t tine = [
    using Leaf-imp-nil-label-tine a by blast
  then have \forall tine. length (getLabelFromTine\ t\ tine) = 0
    by simp
```

```
then have set-nil:set-of-tines t = \{[]\}
                  by simp
              then have c:\exists x \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ t.\ reach\ t\ w\ x \geq 0
                  using reachge0 by blast
          then have d: set-of-edge-disjoint-tines t
    = \{(x,y). \ x \in \{[]\}\}
                           \land y \in \{[]\}
                            \land edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines \ x \ y
                   using set-nil set-of-edge-disjoint-tines-def by auto
         then have \forall (a,b) \in set\text{-}of\text{-}edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines\ t.
    a = [] \land b = []
                  by simp
         then have ([],[]) \in set\text{-}of\text{-}edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines\ t
                  by (simp \ add: \ case-prod I \ d)
          then have set-of-edge-disjoint-tines t = \{([],[])\}
                  using \forall (a, b) \in set\text{-}of\text{-}edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines t. } a = [] \land b = [] \land by blast
          then have \exists (a,b) \in set\text{-of-edge-disjoint-tines } t.
min (reach t w a) (reach t w b) \ge 0
                  by (simp\ add:\ reachge0)
          then have \forall (a,b) \in set\text{-}of\text{-}edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines t.
min (reach \ t \ w \ a) (reach \ t \ w \ b) \ge 0
                   using \langle set\text{-}of\text{-}edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines\ t = \{([], [])\} \rangle by auto
        then have \{r. \exists (a,b) \in set\text{-of-edge-disjoint-times } t. r = min (reach t w a) (reach t w a) \}
\{t \mid w \mid b\} = \{r. \mid r = reach \mid t \mid w \mid j\}
                    using \langle set\text{-}of\text{-}edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines\ t = \{([], [])\} \rangle by auto
          hence \{r. \exists (a,b) \in set\text{-of-edge-disjoint-tines } t. r = min (reach t w a) (reach
\{x, y\} = \{r, r = int \ (reserve \ w \ (getLabelFromTine \ t \ ||)\} - int \ (gap \ t \ ||)\}
                  using reach-def by auto
         hence \{r. \exists (a,b) \in set\text{-}of\text{-}edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines } t. r = min (reach t w a) (reach t w
\{w, b\} = \{r, r = int (reserve | w|) - \theta\}
                  by (metis a b getLabelFromTine.simps(2) of-nat-0)
         hence \{r. \exists (a,b) \in set\text{-of-edge-disjoint-tines } t. r = min (reach t w a) (reach
\{x, y\} = \{r, r = int (foldr (\lambda x.(plus (of-bool x))) \mid y \mid 0\}\}
                  using reserve-def by auto
          hence \{r. \exists (a,b) \in set\text{-of-edge-disjoint-tines } t. r = min (reach t w a) (reach
\{w,b\} = {int (length w)}
                  by (simp add: ListOfAdverse-count-eq-length assms)
         hence margin t w > 0
                    using margin-def by auto
          thus ?thesis
                   using a by blast
    qed
```

This function is to construct, from an increasing tree, a tree not containing greater-labelled nodes than a certain number.

```
fun remove-greater :: nat \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow nattree where remove-greater - Empty = Empty | remove-greater m (Node n l) = (if n < m then Node n (map (remove-greater m) l) else Empty)
```

```
definition max-honest-node :: bool \ list \Rightarrow nat \ \mathbf{where}
       max-honest-node w = Max \{r. r \in H w\}
fun count-node-by-set :: nat set <math>\Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow nat where
       count-node-by-set - Empty = 0
| count\text{-}node\text{-}by\text{-}set\ s\ (Node\ n\ l) = (of\text{-}bool\ (n\in s)) + ListSum\ (map\ (count\text{-}node\text{-}by\text{-}set\ s) + ListSum\ (map\ (count\text{-}node\text{-}by\text{-}set\ s)) | listSum\ (map\ (count\text{-}node\text{-}by\text{-}set\ s) | listSum\ (map\ (count\text{-}ho)) | listSum\ (map\ (count\text{-}ho) | listSum\ (count\text{-}h
s) l)
definition count-honest-node :: bool list \Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow nat where
       count-honest-node w \ t = count-node-by-set (H \ w) \ t
lemma map\text{-}ListOfEmpty [simp]: ListOfEmpty (map\ (\lambda x.\ Empty)\ l)
      apply (induction \ l)
      apply (simp add: ListOfEmpty.Nil)
      by (simp add: ListOfEmpty.Cons)
fun toClosedFork :: bool list <math>\Rightarrow nattree \Rightarrow nattree where
       toClosedFork - Empty = Empty
  \mid toClosedFork\ w\ (Node\ n\ l) =
(if\ count\text{-}honest\text{-}node\ w\ (Node\ n\ l) = of\text{-}bool\ (isHonest\ w\ n)
              (if is Honest w n then Node n (map (\lambda x. Empty) l) else Empty)
       else Node n (map (toClosedFork w) l)
lemma isFork-toClosedFork-isFork [simp]: isFork w F \longrightarrow isFork w (toClosedFork)
w F
     sorry
lemma closedFork-eq-toClosedFork [simp]: isFork w F \longrightarrow F = (toClosedFork w)
F
      sorry
lemma toClosedFork-prefixFork [simp]: isFork <math>w \ F \longrightarrow isPrefix-fork \ w \ W \ F \ (toClosedFork \ Fork 
w F
      sorry
lemma closedFork-deepest-honest-node-eq-height [simp]: isFork w F \land closedFork
F w \longrightarrow
                                  depth (ClosedFork \ w \ F) (max-honest-node \ w) = Some (height \ F)
      sorry
lemma obtain-two-non-negative-reach-tines-toClosedFork [simp]:
      assumes isFork \ w \ F \land flatFork \ w \ F
      shows t1 \in set (tinelist F) \land t2 \in set (tinelist F)
\land length t1 = length t2 \land length t1 = height F
```

```
(\exists t1' \in set (tinelist (toClosedFork w F)).
\exists t2' \in set (tinelist (toClosedFork w F)).
isPrefix	ext{-}tine\ (toClosedFork\ w\ F)\ F\ t1'\ t1
\land isPrefix-tine (toClosedFork w F) F t2' t2
∧ edge-disjoint-tines t1' t2'
\land reach (toClosedFork w F) w t1' \geq 0
\land reach (toClosedFork w F) w t2' \ge 0)
lemma if-4-17 [simp]: assumes isForkable w shows (\exists F.(isFork w F \land margin
F w \geq \theta)
proof (cases ListOfAdverse w)
 {f case}\ {\it True}
 then show ?thesis
   using margin-no-honest by blast
 case False
 then show ?thesis sorry
lemma only-if-4-17 [simp]: assumes (\exists F.(isFork \ w \ F \land margin \ F \ w \ge 0))
shows isForkable w
proof (cases ListOfAdverse w)
 {f case}\ True
 then show ?thesis
   using Leaf.intros ListOfEmpty.Nil flatFork-Trivial forkable-eq-exist-flatfork by
blast
next
  case False
 then show ?thesis sorry
qed
proposition proposition-4-17: isForkable w \longleftrightarrow (\exists F.(isFork \ w \ F \land margin \ F))
 using if-4-17 only-if-4-17 by blast
definition lambda-of-string :: bool list \Rightarrow int where
  lambda-of-string w = Max \{t. (\exists F. (isFork \ w \ F \land closedFork \ F \ w \land t = lambda\})\}
F(w)
lemma max-node-lowerbound : max-node (Node n l) \geq n by simp
lemma max-node-lowerbound-branch: (\exists x \in set \ l. \ x = Node \ n \ ll) \longrightarrow max-node
(Node \ m \ l) \geq n
 by (metis Listmax-ge dual-order.trans image-eqI list.set-intros(2) max-node.simps(2)
max-node-lowerbound set-map)
lemma isFork-Nil: assumes isFork \ [] \ F shows Leaf \ F \land root-label-0 F
proof -
```

```
have inc : increasing-tree F
   using assms isFork-increasing-tree by blast
 have root\theta: root-label-\theta F
   using assms isFork-root-0 by blast
  then obtain l where Fnode: F = Node \ 0 \ l
   using root-label-0.cases by blast
  then have \neg ListOfEmpty \ l \longrightarrow (\exists \ x \in set \ l. \ x \neq Empty) by simp
  then have \neg ListOfEmpty \ l \longrightarrow (\exists \ n. \ (\exists \ ll. \ Node \ n \ ll \in set \ l))
     by (metis \langle F = Node \ 0 \ l \rangle assms increasing-tree-ind isFork-increasing-tree
lt-nat-tree. elims(2))
  then have \neg ListOfEmpty \ l \longrightarrow (\exists \ n. \ n > 0 \land (\exists \ ll. \ Node \ n \ ll \in set \ l))
   using Fnode inc increasing-tree-ind lt-nat-tree.simps(2) by blast
  then have \neg ListOfEmpty \ l \longrightarrow (max-node \ F > 0)
   by (metis Fnode gr0I max-node-lowerbound-branch not-le)
 then show ?thesis
    by (metis Fnode Leaf.intros assms isFork-max-not-exceed list.size(3) not-le
root0)
qed
lemma label-from-Leaf-eq-nil: assumes Leaf t shows qetLabelFromTine\ t\ x=[]
 by (metis Leaf.cases Leaf-imp-nil-label-tine assms)
lemma reserve-nil-nil: reserve [] [] = 0
 by (simp add: reserve-def)
lemma lambda-of-nil-aux: assumes isFork []F \land closedFork F[] shows lambda
F = 0
proof -
 have f1: Leaf F \land root\text{-}label\text{-}0 F
   using assms isFork-Nil by blast
 then have reach F \mid \mid \mid = int (reserve \mid \mid (getLabelFromTine F \mid \mid)) - int (gap
   using reach-def by blast
  then have reach F [] [] = int (reserve [] []) - int 0
   using f1 gap-def height-Leaf label-from-Leaf-eq-nil by presburger
  then have reach F \parallel \parallel = 0
   using reserve-nil-nil by presburger
  then show lambda F [] = 0
  proof -
   have \forall x. \ getLabelFromTine \ F \ x = []
     using f1 label-from-Leaf-eq-nil by blast
   then have set-of-tines F = \{tine. length tine = 0\}
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong list.size(3) set-of-times.elims)
   then have set-nil:set-of-tines F = \{[]\}
     by (smt Collect-cong length-greater-0-conv list.size(3) singleton-conv)
   then have (\forall x \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ F.\ reach\ F\ []\ x = 0)
     using f1 by (metis (reach F \parallel \parallel = 0) singletonD)
   have (\exists x \in set\text{-of-tines } F. reach F | x = 0)
     using set-nil (reach F \parallel \parallel = 0) by blast
```

```
have Max \{r. \exists x \in set\text{-of-tines } F. r = reach F [] x\} = 0
     \mathbf{using} \ \langle \mathit{reach} \ F \ [] \ [] = \theta \rangle \ \mathit{set-nil} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
   then show ?thesis
     using lambda-def by auto
qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lambda-of-nil: lambda-of-string [] = 0
proof -
 obtain F where F:isFork [] F
   using ListOfAdverse.Nil margin-no-honest by blast
  then have f1: Leaf F \land root\text{-}label\text{-}0 F
   by (metis isFork-Nil)
 have closedFork F []
   using f1 ListOfAdverse.Nil closedFork-ListOfAdverse root-label-0.cases by blast
 obtain ss where ss:ss = \{t. \exists f. isFork [| f \land closedFork f || \land t = lambda f || \}
   by blast
  then have zero-in: ss = \{0\}
   by (smt\ Collect\text{-}cong\ F\ (closedFork\ F\ [])\ lambda\text{-}of\text{-}nil\text{-}aux\ singleton\text{-}conv2})
  then have Max ss = 0
   by simp
 have Max \{i. \exists n. isFork \mid n \land closedFork \mid n \mid n \land i = lambda \mid n \mid n \} = 0
     using \langle Max \ ss = \theta \rangle \ ss \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
  then show ?thesis
     using lambda-of-string-def by presburger
qed
definition margin-of-string :: bool list <math>\Rightarrow int where
 F(w)
lemma margin-of-nil-aux: assumes isFork [] F \land closedFork F [] shows margin
F[] = 0
proof -
 have f1: Leaf F \wedge root\text{-}label\text{-}0 F
   using assms isFork-Nil by blast
 then have reach F \parallel \parallel = int (reserve \parallel (getLabelFromTine F \parallel)) - int (gap)
F[]
   using reach-def by blast
 then have reach F [] [] = int (reserve [] []) - int 0
   using f1 gap-def height-Leaf label-from-Leaf-eq-nil by presburger
  then have reach\theta: reach F [] [] = \theta
   using reserve-nil-nil by presburger
 have \forall x. \ getLabelFromTine \ F \ x = []
   using f1 label-from-Leaf-eq-nil by blast
  then have set-of-tines F = \{tine. length tine = 0\}
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong list.size(3) set-of-tines.elims)
  then have set-of-tines F = \{[]\}
```

```
by auto
  then have \forall x \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ F . x = []
    by auto
    have edge-disjoint-tines [] []
    by simp
    then have set-of-edge-disjoint-tines F =
       \{(x,y).\ x \in set\text{-of-tines}\ F \land y \in set\text{-of-tines}\ F\}
     using \langle set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ F = \{[]\}\rangle\ set\text{-}of\text{-}edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines\text{-}def\ } by auto
    then have all: \forall (a,b) \in set\text{-of-edge-disjoint-tines } F. (a,b) = ([],[])
      using \forall x \in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines F. x = [] \land by auto
    have exist: \exists (a,b) \in set-of-edge-disjoint-tines F. (a,b) = ([],[])
        using \langle set\text{-}of\text{-}edge\text{-}disjoint\text{-}tines\ F=\{(x,\ y).\ x\in set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ F\ \land\ y\in Set\text{-}of\text{-}tines\ F\}
set-of-tines F}\land set-of-tines F = \{[]\} \land \mathbf{by} \ blast
    hence set-nil-pair:set-of-edge-disjoint-tines F = \{([],[])\}
      using all by blast
    have Max \{r. (\exists (a,b) \in set\text{-of-edge-disjoint-tines } F. r = min (reach F [] a)\}
(reach F [] b)) = 0
        using reach0 set-nil-pair by auto
    thus ?thesis using margin-def
      by simp
qed
lemma margin-of-nil: margin-of-string [] = 0
  proof -
  obtain F where isFork [] F
    using ListOfAdverse.Nil margin-no-honest by blast
  then have f1: Leaf F \land root\text{-}label\text{-}0 F
   by (metis isFork-Nil)
  have closedFork F []
   using f1 ListOfAdverse.Nil closedFork-ListOfAdverse root-label-0.cases by blast
   obtain ss where ss:ss = \{t. \exists f. isFork [ f \land closedFork f ] \land t = margin f \}
[]
   by blast
  then have zero-in: ss = \{0\}
     by (smt Collect-cong ListOfAdverse.Nil closedFork-ListOfAdverse isFork-Nil
margin-no-honest margin-of-nil-aux root-label-0.cases singleton-conv)
  then have Max ss = 0
    by simp
  have Max \{i. \exists n. isFork \mid n \land closedFork \mid n \mid \land i = margin \mid n \mid \} = 0
      using \langle Max \ ss = \theta \rangle \ ss \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
  then show ?thesis using margin-of-string-def
    by presburger
qed
definition m :: bool \ list \Rightarrow (int, int) \ prod \ \mathbf{where}
  m \ w = (lambda-of-string \ w, \ margin-of-string \ w)
lemma lemma-4-18-trivial-case-m:m = (0,0)
```

```
by (simp add: lambda-of-nil m-def margin-of-nil)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ lemma\text{-}4\text{-}18\text{-}trivial\text{-}case\text{-}exist\text{-}Fork\ :}
\exists F. (isFork \ | F \land closedFork F \ | \land (m \ | = (lambda F \ | margin F \ |)))
 by (metis ListOfAdverse.Nil closedFork-ListOfAdverse isFork-Nil lambda-of-nil-aux
lemma-4-18-trivial\text{-}case\text{-}m\ margin-no\text{-}honest\ margin-of\text{-}nil\text{-}aux\ root\text{-}label\text{-}0\text{.}cases)
lemma lemma-4-18 : (m \mid = (0,0)) \land
  (\forall \ w.\ ((length\ w>0)\longrightarrow (
    (m \ (w \ @ [True]) = (lambda-of-string \ w + 1, margin-of-string \ w + 1))
         ((lambda-of-string\ w\ >\ margin-of-string\ w)\ \land\ (margin-of-string\ w\ =\ \theta)
          \longrightarrow (m \ (w \ @ [False]) = (lambda-of-string \ w - 1, \ \theta)))
     \wedge
          (lambda-of-string\ w=0\longrightarrow (m\ (w\ @\ [False])=(0,\ margin-of-string\ w)
-1)))
     \land (lambda-of-string w > 0 \land margin-of-string w \neq 0 \longrightarrow (m \ (w \ @ [False])
          = (lambda-of-string\ w\ -\ 1,\ margin-of-string\ w\ -\ 1))))
\land (\exists F. (isFork \ w \ F \land closedFork \ F \ w \land (m \ w = (lambda \ F \ w, margin \ F \ w)))))
 sorry
```

end