

MAV-blog

Stuff related to MAV's (and UAV's) from a hobbyist's point of view. Info

Search

Kalman filtering of IMU data

Introduction

To many of us, kalman filtering is something like the holy grail. Indeed, it miraculously solves some problems which are otherwise hard to get a hold on. But beware, kalman filtering is not a silver bullet and won't solve all of your problems!

This article will explain how Kalman filtering works. We'll use a more practical approach to avoid the boring theory, which is hard to understand anyway. Since most of you will only use it for MAV/UAV applications, I'll try to make it look more concrete instead of puzzling generalized approach.

Make sure you know from the previous articles how the data from "accelerometers" and "gyroscopes" are used. Some basic knowledge of algebra may also come in handy :-)

Basic operation

Kalman filtering is an iterative filter that requires two things.

First of all, you will need some kind of input (from one or more sources) that you can turn into a prediction of the desired output using only linear calculations. In other words, we will need a linear model of our problem.

Secondly, you will need another input. This can be the real world value of the predicted one, or something that is a good approximation of it.

Every iteration, the kalman filter will change the variables in our linear model a bit, so the output of our linear model will be closer to the second input.

Our simple model

Obviously, our two inputs will consist of the gyroscope and accelerometer data. The model using the gyroscope data looks like this:

$$x_{k+1} = A \cdot x_k + B \cdot u_k$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \text{bias} \end{pmatrix}_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -dt \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \text{bias} \end{pmatrix}_k + \begin{pmatrix} dt \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u_k$$

The first formula represents the general form of a linear model. We need to "fill in" the A and B matrix, and choose a state x . The variable u

» Related articles

- [Artificial horizon](#)
- [First flight with my autopilot](#)
- [Autopilot module - revision 2](#)
- [Kalman demo application](#)
- [Testing Kalman filters](#)
- [Sparkfun's 5DOF](#)
- [How to desolder a chip](#)
- [Autopilot eval board](#)
- [Gyroscope to roll, pitch and yaw](#)
- [Accelerometer to pitch and roll](#)

» MAV/UAV blog navigation

- [Frontpage](#)
- [About](#)
- [Contact](#)
- Articles:
 - [Testing Kalman filters](#)
 - [Micropilot MP2028 Review - Part 1](#)
 - [Gyroscope to roll, pitch and yaw](#)
 - [Accelerometer to pitch and roll](#)
 - [Overview: autopilot modules](#)
 - [Overview: commercial IMU's](#)

» Staying tuned

- MAV: [XML](#) | [Atom](#)
- All: [XML](#) | [Atom](#)

» Sponsoring

» Archive

- 2009
- [November](#)
- [September](#)
- [June](#)
- [January](#)

represents the input. In our case this will be the gyroscope's data. Remember how we integrate? We just add the NORMALIZED measurements up:

$$\alpha_k = \alpha_{k-1} + (_u_k - bias)$$

We need to include the time between two measurements ($_dt$) because we are dealing with the rate (degrees/s):

$$\alpha_k = \alpha_{k-1} + (_u_k - bias) * dt$$

We rewrite it:

$$\alpha_k = \alpha_{k-1} - bias * dt + u_k * dt$$

Which is what we have in our matrix multiplication. Remark that our bias remains constant! In the tutorial on gyroscopes, we saw that the bias drifts. Well, here comes the kalman-magic: the filter will adjust the bias in each iteration by comparing the result with the accelerometer's output (our second input)! Great!

Wrapping it all up

Now all we need are the bits and bolts that actually *do* the magic! These are some formulas using matrix algebra and statistics. No need right now to know the details of it. Here they are:

u	=	Read the value of the last measurement
$measurement1$		
$x = A \cdot x + B \cdot u$		Update the state x of our model
y	=	Read the value of the second measurement/real value. Here
$measurement2$		this will be the angle calculated from our accelerometer.
$Inn = y - C \cdot x$		Calculate the difference between the second value and the value predicted by our model. This is called the innovation
$s = C \cdot P \cdot C' + S_z$		Calculate the covariance
$K = A \cdot P \cdot C' \cdot inv(_s_)$		Calculate the kalman gain
$x = x + K \cdot Inn$		Correct the prediction of the state
$P = A \cdot P \cdot A' - K \cdot C \cdot P \cdot A' + S_w$		Calculate the covariance of the prediction error

The C matrix is the one that extracts the output from the state matrix. In our case, this is $(1 \ 0)'$:

$$\alpha = C \cdot x$$

S_z is the measurement process noise covariance: $S_z = E(z_k z_k^T)$

In our example, this is how much jitter we expect on our accelerometer's data.

S_w is the process noise covariance matrix (a 2×2 matrix here): $S_w = E(x$

- 2008
- [December](#)
- [November](#)
- [October](#)
- [February](#)
- [January](#)
- 2007
- [December](#)
- [November](#)
- [October](#)
- [August](#)
- [July](#)
- [June](#)
- [April](#)
- 2006
- [November](#)
- [October](#)
- [August](#)
- [July](#)
- [June](#)
- [May](#)
- [March](#)
- 2005
- [November](#)
- [May](#)
- [February](#)
- [January](#)

· x^T)

Thus: $S_w = E([alpha\ bias]^T \cdot [alpha\ bias])$

Since only the diagonal elements of the S_w matrix are being used, we'll only need to know $E(alpha^2)$ and $E(bias^2)$, which is the 2nd moment. To calculate those values, we'll need to look at our model: The noise in *alpha* comes from the gyroscope and is multiplied by dt^2 . Thus: $E(alpha^2) = E(u^2) \cdot dt^2$.

These factors depend on the sensors you're using. You'll need to figure them out by doing some experiments. In the source code of the autopilot/rotomotion kalman filtering, they use the following constants:

$$\begin{aligned} E(alpha^2) &= 0.001 \\ E(bias^2) &= 0.003 \\ Sz &= 0.3 \text{ (radians = 17.2 degrees)} \end{aligned}$$

Further reading

- [Another practical approach](#)
- [Theoretical introduction](#)
- [Website on the kalman filter](#)

22 May 2006, 10:49 | [Link](#) |

|

© Tom Pycke. [Sommige rechten voorbehouden](#)