



demographics_02	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Researcher (Academics/Industrial)	1.00	1.00	1.00	15
Developer/Student Developer	2.00	2.00	2.00	22



3 - What is your level of expertise in python?	Percentage	Cou
eginner	8%	
ntermediate	65%	2
xpert	27%	1
um	100%	3

hat is your level of expertise in python? 37 \mathfrak{C})			
What is your level of expertise in python?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Coun
Beginner	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Intermediate	2.00	2.00	2.00	2
Expert	3.00	3.00	3.00	10



at is your level of expertise in Java? 37 🛈		
213 - What is your level of expertise in Java?	Percentage	Cou
eginner	22%	
termediate	68%	
pert	11%	
um	100%	


What is your level of expertise in Java? 37 (i)				
What is your level of expertise in Java?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Beginner	1.00	1.00	1.00	8
Intermediate	2.00	2.00	2.00	25
Expert	3.00	3.00	3.00	4

Machine learning
Machine learning
back-end development
Machine learning, Script automation with notebooks
machine learning
front-end development

Scripting, Deep Learning
Back-End Development
Mainly back-end development
Machine Learning
machine learning, back-end development
Back end development
I rarely use python at my job and when I do it's running a container or script for data generation. I sometimes run python simulators of quantum networks for research.
Back-end development
back-end development, handling data
back-end development
Back-end development
back-end development
machine learning, prototyping
machine learning, back-end development, scripting
Machine learning
backend development, general scripting, research task automation
machine learning and back-end development
Deep learning
data analysis and visualization, back-end development
back-end development
Machine Learning
back-end development, school projects
Typically just scripting and occasional data visualization.


Scripts
I mostly use Python for doing practice problems (in the Competitive Programming class)
back-end development
Machine learning
machine learning
machine learning
Full-stack development
data analysis and leetcode
N/a
Full-stack development
back-end development
Full stack development
back-end, program analysis
classwork only
In House Prototype
Back-End Development
Full-stack development
Mobile Development
back-end development
back end development
I mostly used Java in coursework, sometimes in my job I'll come across a Java file that has some useful information.

Back-end development
games, full-stack development
back-end development
Back-end development
back-end development
research, student project management
back-end development
Front-end development
code analysis
N/A, I do not work with Java currently
Back-end development
back-end development
back-end development
Back-End Development
school projects
Full stack development.
Back-End Development
Full-stack
back-end development
Back-end development
data structure and algorithms
back-end development




Do you have a background in machine learning? Formal (college classes, degree, cert	ification) or informal (self-learning or other training)?	7 (1)
Q5 - Do you have a background in machine learning? Formal (college classes, degree, certification) or informal (self-learning or other training)?	Percentage	Count
Yes, Formal	32%	12
Yes, Informal	11%	4
Both, Formal and Informal	24%	9
No	32%	12
Sum	100%	37

o you have a background in machine earning? Formal (college classes, deg	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
es, Formal	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
es, Informal	2.00	2.00	2.00	
Both, Formal and Informal	3.00	3.00	3.00	
No	6.00	6.00	6.00	1:




uage Model. 33 (i)	
Percentage	Count
24%	8
52%	17
24%	8
	Percentage 24% 52%

Q8 - Please indicate for which programming tasks you have used an Alassisted tool or Large Language Model.	Percentage	Count
Code Generation	64%	21
Refactoring	21%	7
Program Translation	12%	4
Code Completion	48%	16
Other	30%	10
Sum	276%	91




ow long have you been using Machine Learning for test case generation 8 (1) 2008 - How long have you been using Machine Learning for test case generation	Percentage	Cour
-3 years	100%	
8-5 years	0%	
5 years	0%	
Sum	100%	

How long have you been using Machine Learning for test case generation	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
1-3 years	1.00	1.00	1.00	
3-5 years	-	-	-	



2117 - How long have you been using Machine Learning for Code completion	Percentage	Cou
-3 years	88%	
-5 years	13%	
5 years	0%	
Sum	100%	1

How long have you been using Machine Learning for Code Completion	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
L-3 years	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
3-5 years	2.00	2.00	2.00	
p5 years	_	_	_	



Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is? 37 ①		
Q148 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Count
Correct	5%	2
Mostly Correct	3%	1
Not Sure	22%	8
Mostly Incorrect	51%	19
Incorrect	19%	7
Sum	100%	37

Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Correct	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Mostly Correct	2.00	2.00	2.00	
Not Sure	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Mostly Incorrect	7.00	7.00	7.00	1
ncorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	-

Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [def], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply):			
Q150_4 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [def], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Count	
1: def	43%	15	
2: data	9%	3	
3: (0%	0	
4: self	20%	7	
5:)	0%	0	
6: -	0%	0	
7: >	0%	0	
8: Tensor	6%	2	
9: Image	11%	4	
10: :	0%	0	

Q150_4 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [def], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Count
11: "	0%	0
12: Return	29%	10
13: this	11%	4
14: images	20%	7
15: pixels	14%	5
16: as	3%	1
17: a	3%	1
18: tensor	14%	5
19: .	0%	C
20: "	0%	C
21: return	57%	20
22: self	31%	11
23: .	26%	ç
24: px	29%	10
Sum	326%	114




Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is? 37 ①		
Q158 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Count
Correct	0%	0
Mostly Correct	27%	10
Not Sure	5%	2
Mostly Incorrect	38%	14
Incorrect	30%	11
Sum	100%	37

Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cou
Correct	-	-	-	
Mostly Correct	2.00	2.00	2.00	1
Not Sure	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Mostly Incorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	1
Incorrect	9.00	9.00	9.00	1

Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [return], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A equence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): 34 (1)				
Q159_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [return], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Coun		
L: def	38%	1		
2: Set	24%			
B: Bit	15%			
4: map	15%			
5: Disabled	29%	1		
5: (3%			
7:*	0%			
3: args	6%			
9: ,	0%			
10: **	0%			

Q159_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [return], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Count
11: kwargs	3%	1
12:)	3%	1
13: :	3%	1
14: """	3%	1
15: Set	24%	8
16: Bit	12%	4
17: map	12%	4
18: Disabled	26%	9
19: (9%	3
20: self	9%	3
21: ,	9%	3
22: Bit	9%	3
23: map	9%	3
24: bit	9%	3
25: map	9%	3
26:)	9%	3
27: """	3%	1
28: return	29%	10
29: _	0%	0
30: controls	3%	1
31: _	0%	0
32: .Any	3%	1
33: Button	0%	0
34: _	0%	0
35: Set	12%	4
36: Bit	12%	4
37: map	12%	4
38: Disabled	21%	7

Q159_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [return], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Count
39: (15%	5
40: *	12%	4
41: args	15%	5
42: ,	12%	4
43: **	12%	4
44: kwargs	18%	6
45:)	18%	6
Sum	479%	163



252 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Cou
4.2 1.01. 001. 001. 00 40 41. 11. 11. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 1		
orrect	0%	
ostly Correct	0%	
ot Sure	8%	
ostly Incorrect	32%	1
correct	59%	2
um	100%	3


Q.1- How correct do you think the genera	ated code is? 37 (i)			
Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Correct	-	-	-	0
Mostly Correct	-	-	-	0
Not Sure	7.00	7.00	7.00	3
Mostly Incorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	12
Incorrect	9.00	9.00	9.00	22

Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [else], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): 34 ③

(253_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [else], highlighted inside a red box, in the grevious sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your onvenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then pply): - Apply	Percentage	Coul
. 111111 ·	0%	
2: Generate	3%	
2: Python	9%	
l: code	3%	
i: that	3%	
5: True	29%	:
T: if	47%	
2: this	6%	
D: Entry	9%	
.0: has	9%	
.1: references	9%	
.2: from	9%	
.3: any	12%	
4: App	12%	
.5: Session	12%	
6: .	6%	
.7: If	79%	:
.8: not	71%	:
9: ,	12%	

Q253_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [else], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Count
20: it	12%	4
21: can	12%	4
22: be	9%	3
23: removed	12%	4
24: from	9%	3
25: the	9%	3
26: cache	9%	3
27: .	6%	2
28: and	0%	0
29: signature	0%	0
30: is	0%	0
31: """	0%	0
32: def	6%	2
33: has	3%	1
34: _	0%	0
35: refs	0%	0
36: (3%	1
37: self	3%	1
38:)	3%	1
39: -	3%	1
40: >	3%	1
41: bool	21%	7
42: :	9%	3
43: self	3%	1
44: .	0%	0
45: ref	0%	0
46: ,	0%	0
47: self	3%	1

Q253_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [else], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Count
48: .	0%	0
49: context	3%	1
50: =	3%	1
51: None	12%	4
Sum	491%	167




0.1- How correct do you think the generated code is? 37 🛈		
Q260 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Count
Correct	3%	1
Mostly Correct	38%	14
Not Sure	3%	1
Mostly Incorrect	22%	8
Incorrect	35%	13
Sum	100%	37

1- How correct do you think the generate	ed code is? 37 🛈			
Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Coun
Correct	1.00	1.00	1.00	:

Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Mostly Correct	2.00	2.00	2.00	14
Not Sure	7.00	7.00	7.00	1
Mostly Incorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	8
Incorrect	9.00	9.00	9.00	13

Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [close], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): 35 (1)

Q261_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [close], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Count
1: def	6%	2
2: read	23%	8
3: lines	20%	7
4: (0%	0
5: self	3%	1
6:)	0%	0
7::	0%	0
8: #	3%	1
9: remember	31%	11
10: to	29%	10
11: close	89%	31
12: after	17%	6
13: open	31%	11
14: f	14%	5
15: =	6%	2
Sum	271%	95




and the second description of the second sec	B	0
1264 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Co
Correct	59%	
Mostly Correct	24%	
lot Sure	0%	
Mostly Incorrect	5%	
ncorrect	11%	
sum	100%	

Q.1- How correct do you think the generate	ed code is? 37 (i)			
Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Correct	1.00	1.00	1.00	22
Mostly Correct	2.00	2.00	2.00	9
Not Sure	-	-	-	0
Mostly Incorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	2
Incorrect	9.00	9.00	9.00	4

Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [for], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): 36 ③

Q265_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [for], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Count
1: """	3%	1
2: Generate	3%	1
3: a	3%	1
4: python	8%	3
5: code	6%	2
6: that	3%	1
7: calculates	22%	8
8: summation	78%	28
9: of	31%	11
10: list	72%	26
11: elements	47%	17
12: """	3%	1
13: def	8%	3
14: list	33%	12
15: _	14%	5
16: sum	36%	13
17: (3%	1
18: *	8%	3
19: inp	22%	8

Q265_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [for], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - Apply	Percentage	Count
20: _	22%	8
21: lst	28%	10
22:)	3%	1
23: :	3%	1
24: s	17%	6
25: =	11%	4
26: inp	22%	8
27: _	22%	8
28: lst	25%	9
29: [22%	8
30: 0	22%	8
31:]	22%	8
Sum	622%	224



Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is? 37 (1)		
Q183 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Count
Correct	3%	1
Mostly Correct	8%	3
Not Sure	27%	10

Q183 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Count
Mostly Incorrect	41%	15
Incorrect	22%	8
Sum	100%	37

1- How correct do you think the generate	ed code is? 37 (t)			
Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Correct	1.00	1.00	1.00	:
Mostly Correct	6.00	6.00	6.00	;
Not Sure	7.00	7.00	7.00	10
Mostly Incorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	15
ncorrect	2.00	2.00	2.00	8

P185_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the eneration of the word/token [for], highlighted inside a red box, in the revious sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your onvenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then pply): - apply	Percentage	Cot
: Balance	3%	
i: Books	3%	
: implements	0%	
: Closeable	0%	
r:{	0%	
0: public	0%	
.1: Balance	0%	
2: Books	3%	
3: (0%	
4: int	9%	
.5: total	11%	
.6: Clients	6%	
7 : ,	3%	
8: int	23%	
.9: iterations)	54%	


20. (0% 0 21. this 0% 0 22. (0% 0 22. boas 3% 1 24. Clients 0% 0 25. 0% 0 26. berations 34% 12 27. 0% 0 28. new 0% 0 29. Configuration 0% 0 30. Factory 0% 0 32.) 0% 0 32.) 0% 0 35. get 0% 0 36.) 0% 0 36.) 0% 0 37. 0% 0 38. get 0% 0 36.) 0% 0 37. 0% 0 40. books 9% 3 42. tr 14% 0 42. tr 14% 0 42. tr 14% 0 45. tr 46. kt	Q185_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [for], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
22: (1) 0% 0 23: (1) (1) 3% 1 24: (2) (1) 0% 0 25: , 0% 0 26: (1) 0% 0 26: (1) 0% 0 28: (1) 0% 0 29: (2) 0% 0 30: (2) 0% 0 31: (2) 0% 0 32: (2) 0% 0 32: (2) 0% 0 32: (2) 0% 0 34: (3) 0% 0 35: (3) 0% 0 36: (3) 0% 0 37: (3) 0% 0 38: (3) 0% 0 38: (3) 0% 0 40: (3) 0% 0 42: (4) 14% 5 44: (5) 5 0 45: (50) 0% 0 46: (6) 0% 0 46: (6) <td< td=""><td>20: {</td><td>0%</td><td>0</td></td<>	20: {	0%	0
23. total 24. Clients 25., 26. 10% 25., 26. terrations 27., 28. new 29. Configuration 30. Factory 30. Factory 31. (10% 32.) 32. (10% 33. get 34. (10% 35.) 36. (10% 37. (10% 38.) 38.) 38.) 39. (10% 39.	21: this	0%	0
24: Clients 0% 0 25: . 0% 0 26: kerations 3.44% 12 27: . 0% 0 28: new 0% 0 29: Configuration 0% 0 30: Factory 0% 0 31: (0% 0 32:) 0% 0 34: (0% 0 35:) 0% 0 36:) 0% 0 37: 0% 0 38:] 0% 0 38: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: botal 1.4% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: notal 20% 0	22: (0%	0
25: 0% 0 26: Iterations 34% 12 27: 0% 0 28: new 0% 0 29: Configuration 0% 0 30: Factory 0% 0 31: (0% 0 32:) 0% 0 33: _get 0% 0 34: (0% 0 35:) 0% 0 36:) 0% 0 36:) 0% 0 37: 0% 0 38:) 0% 0 38: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 42: int 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: total 14% 5 46: int 20% 0	23: total	3%	1
25. Iterations 34% 12 27. 0% 0 28. new 0% 0 29. Configuration 0% 0 30. Factory 0% 0 31.(0% 0 32.) 0% 0 33. get 0% 0 36.) 0% 0 36.) 0% 0 37.; 0% 0 38.3 0% 0 39. Balance 9% 3 40. Books 9% 3 41.(0% 5 42.int 14% 5 44. Clients 9% 3 45., 0% 0 46. Interest 20% 0	24: Clients	0%	0
27: 0% 0 28: new 0% 0 29: Configuration 0% 0 30: Factory 0% 0 31: (0% 0 32:) 0% 0 33: , get 0% 0 34: (0% 0 35:) 0% 0 36:) 0% 0 37: ; 0% 0 30: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 42: int 14% 5 42: int 14% 5 42: int 14% 5 44: Citents 9% 3 45: , 0% 0	25: ,	0%	0
28: new 0% 0 29: Configuration 0% 0 30: Factory 0% 0 31: (0% 0 32:) 0% 0 33: .get 0% 0 34: (0% 0 35:) 0% 0 37: : 0% 0 38:) 0% 0 38: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Cllents 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	26: iterations	34%	12
29: Configuration 0% 0 30: Factory 0% 0 31: (0% 0 32:) 0% 0 33: .get 0% 0 34: (0% 0 35:) 0% 0 37: ; 0% 0 38: } 0% 0 39: Balance 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: . 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	27: ,	0%	0
30: Factory 0% 0 31: (0% 0 32:) 0% 0 33: ,get 0% 0 34: (0% 0 35:) 0% 0 36:) 0% 0 37: : 0% 0 38: } 0% 0 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: lot 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: . 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	28: new	0%	0
31: (0% 0 32:) 0% 0 33: get 0% 0 34: (0% 0 35:) 0% 0 36:) 0% 0 37: ; 0% 0 38: } 0% 0 39: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	29: Configuration	0%	0
32:) 0% 0 33: get 0% 0 34:(0% 0 35:) 0% 0 36:) 0% 0 37:; 0% 0 38:} 0% 0 39: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	30: Factory	0%	0
33: .get 0% 0 34: (0% 0 35:) 0% 0 36:) 0% 0 37: ; 0% 0 38: } 0% 0 39: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	31: (0%	0
34: (0% 0 35:) 0% 0 37: ; 0% 0 38: } 0% 0 39: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	32:)	0%	0
35:) 0% 0 36:) 0% 0 37:; 0% 0 38:} 0% 0 39: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	33: .get	0%	0
36:) 0% 0 37:; 0% 0 38:} 0% 0 39: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	34: (0%	0
37: ; 0% 0 38: } 0% 0 39: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	35:)	0%	0
38:} 0% 0 39: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	36:)	0%	0
39: Balance 9% 3 40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	37: ;	0%	0
40: Books 9% 3 41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	38: }	0%	0
41: (0% 0 42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	39: Balance	9%	3
42: int 14% 5 43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	40: Books	9%	3
43: total 14% 5 44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	41: (0%	0
44: Clients 9% 3 45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	42: int	14%	5
45: , 0% 0 46: int 20% 7	43: total	14%	5
46: int 20% 7	44: Clients	9%	3
	45: ,	0%	0
47: iterations 49% 17	46: int	20%	7
	47: iterations	49%	17

48:) 0% 0 50: Bilatice 1.4% 5 51: Bitocks 1.4% 5 52: (6% 2 53: litt 1.7% 6 54: total 20% 7 55: Cilents 1.4% 5 56:, 6% 2 57: litt 23% 8 58: Recipions 5.1% 18 59:, 6% 2 60: Configuration 1.1% 4 61: conf 1.1% 4 62: Occord 6% 2 68: doubt 0% 2 65: litt 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: (0% 0 68: (0% 0 68: (0% 0 69: void 0% 0 72: (0% 0 72: (0% 0 <t< th=""><th>Q185_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [for], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply</th><th>Percentage</th><th>Count</th></t<>	Q185_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [for], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
50: Balance 14% 5 51: Books 14% 5 52: (6% 2 \$2: int 17% 6 \$4: total 20% 7 \$5: Clients 14% 5 \$6: , 6% 2 \$7: int 23% 8 \$8: Renations \$1% 18 \$9: , 6% 2 \$0: Configuration 11% 4 \$1: conf 11% 4 \$2:) 6% 2 \$4: void 0% 0 \$5: init 0% 0 \$6: (0% 0 \$6: (0% 0 \$6: (0% 0 \$7: run 3% 1 72: () 0% 0 72: () 0% 0 72: () 0% 0 72: () 0% 0 72: () 0% 0 72: () 0% 0	48:)	0%	0
51: Books 14% 5 52: (6% 2 53: int 17% 6 54: total 20% 7 55: Clients 14% 5 50:, 6% 2 57: int 23% 8 58: Renations 51% 18 50: Configuration 11% 4 61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 64: void 0% 0 65: ink 0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: 0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: 0% 0 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 72:) 0% 0 72: 0% 0 73: 0% 0	49: ;	0%	0
52: (644 2 53: int 1744 6 54: total 2044 7 55: Clients 1486 5 56:, 644 2 57: int 2384 8 58: iterations 5194 18 59:, 644 2 60: Conflighteration 1194 4 61: conf 1194 4 62:) 644 2 63: 644 2 64: void 04 0 65: init 074 0 66: (074 0 66: (074 0 66: (074 0 67:) 074 0 68: (074 0 69: void 074 0 72: (074 0 72: (074 0 72: (074 0 72: (074 0 73:	50: Balance	14%	5
53: litt 17% 6 54: total 20% 7 55: Ctients 14% 5 56:. 6% 2 57: lit 23% 8 58: iterations 51% 18 59:. 6% 2 60: Configuration 11% 4 61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 63:: 6% 2 64: void 0% 0 65: litt 0% 0 67:) 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: (0% 0 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 72: (0% 0 72: (0% 0 73: (0% 0 69: void 0 0 72: (0% 0 73: (<td< td=""><td>51: Books</td><td>14%</td><td>5</td></td<>	51: Books	14%	5
54: total 20% 7 55: Clears 14% 5 56: . 6% 2 57: int 23% 8 58: Iterations 51% 18 59: . 6% 2 60: Configuration 11% 4 61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 63: : 6% 2 64: void 0% 0 65: init 0% 0 66: (0% 0 68: : 0% 0 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: : 0% 0	52: (6%	2
SS: Clients 14% 5 56:, 6% 2 57: Init 23% 8 58: iterations 51% 18 59:, 6% 2 60: Configuration 11% 4 61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 63:: 6% 2 64: void 0% 0 65: init 0% 0 66:(0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: 0% 0 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: 0% 0	53: int	17%	6
56:. 6% 2 57: int 23% 8 58:. tterations 51% 18 59:. 6% 2 60: Configuration 11% 4 61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 64: void 0% 0 65: init 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: 0% 0 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: (0% 0 73: (0% 0	54: total	20%	7
57: Int 23% 8 58: Iterations 51% 18 59: . 6% 2 60: Configuration 11% 4 61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 63: . 6% 2 64: void 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: . 0% 0 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: (0% 0	55: Clients	14%	5
58: kerations 51% 18 59: . 6% 2 60: Configuration 11% 4 61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 63: ; 6% 2 64: void 0% 0 65: lnit 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: ; 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: (0% 0	56: ,	6%	2
59: . 6% 2 60: Configuration 11% 4 61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 63: : 6% 2 64: void 0% 0 65: init 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: : 0% 0 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 72:) 0% 0	57: int	23%	8
60: Configuration 11% 4 61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 63: ; 6% 2 64: vold 0% 0 65: lnit 0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: ; 0% 0 69: vold 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: ; 0% 0	58: iterations	51%	18
61: conf 11% 4 62:) 6% 2 63:; 6% 2 64: vold 0% 0 65: init 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67:) 0% 0 69: vold 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: ; 0% 0	59: ,	6%	2
62:) 63:; 64: void 65: init 66:(67:) 69: void 67:) 69: void 70: run 71:(72:) 73:;	60: Configuration	11%	4
63:; 64: void 0% 0% 0 65: init 0% 0% 0 66:(0% 0% 0 67:) 0% 0% 0 69: void 0% 0% 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71:(0% 0% 0 72:) 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	61: conf	11%	4
64: void 0% 0 65: init 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: ; 0% 0 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: ; 0% 0	62:)	6%	2
65: init 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67:) 0% 0 68: : 0% 0 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0	63: ;	6%	2
66: (0% 0	64: void	0%	0
67:) 68:; 0% 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 0% 0	65: init	0%	0
68: ; 69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: ;	66: (0%	0
69: void 0% 0 70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: ;	67:)	0%	0
70: run 3% 1 71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: ;	68: ;	0%	0
71: (0% 0 72:) 0% 0 73: ; 0% 0	69: void	0%	0
72:) 0% 0 73:; 0% 0	70: run	3%	1
73: ;	71: (0%	0
	72:)	0%	0
74: boolean 0% 0	73: ;	0%	0
	74: boolean	0%	0
75: verify 0% 0	75: verify	0%	0

Q185_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [for], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
76: (0%	0
77:)	0%	0
78: ;	0%	0
79: void	0%	0
80: close	0%	0
81: (0%	0
82:)	0%	0
83: ;	0%	0
84: static	0%	0
85: void	0%	0
86: main	0%	0
87: (0%	0
88: String	0%	0
89: [0%	0
90:]	0%	0
91: args	0%	0
92:)	0%	0
93: ;	0%	0
94: }	0%	0
99: @	0%	0
100: Test	3%	1
101: public	3%	1
102: void	0%	0
103: test	6%	2
104: Balance	3%	1
105: Books	6%	2
106: (0%	0
107:)	0%	0

Q185_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [for], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
108: throws	0%	0
109: Exception	0%	0
110: {	3%	1
111: for	49%	17
112: (11%	4
113: int	20%	7
114: i	20%	7
115: =	14%	5
116: 0	14%	5
117: ;	14%	5
118: i	20%	7
119: <	14%	5
120: 1000	17%	6
121: ;	11%	4
122: i	17%	6
123: +	14%	5
124: +)	14%	5
125: {	3%	1
126: Balance	6%	2
127: Books	11%	4
128: book	3%	1
129: =	3%	1
130: new	9%	3
131: Balance	9%	3
132: Books	9%	3
133: (9%	3
134: i	17%	6
135:)	9%	3

Percentage	Count
9%	3
851%	298
	9%



272 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Cor
orrect	3%	
lostly Correct	24%	
ot Sure	43%	
lostly Incorrect	19%	
ocorrect	11%	
um	100%	

Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Correct	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Mostly Correct	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Not Sure	7.00	7.00	7.00	1
Mostly Incorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	

Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Incorrect	2.00	2.00	2.00	4

Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [clearnUpDescriptors], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply):

Q273_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [clearnUpDescriptors], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
4: Sequence	8%	3
5: Cleaner	22%	8
6: Task	6%	2
7: implements	0%	0
8: Runnable	3%	1
9: {	0%	0
10: @	0%	0
11: Override	0%	0
12: public	3%	1
13: void	3%	1
14: run	8%	3
15: (3%	1
16:)	3%	1
17: {	0%	0
18: manager	14%	5
19: .	14%	5
20: clean	97%	35
21: Up	94%	34
22: Descriptors	94%	34
23: (22%	8
24:)	22%	8
25: ;	8%	3
26: logger	3%	1
27: .	0%	0
28: debug	6%	2

Q273_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [clearnUpDescriptors], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
29: (0%	0
30: "	0%	0
31: Clean	31%	11
32: process	11%	4
33: has	8%	3
34: ran	8%	3
35: .	0%	0
36: "	0%	0
37:)	0%	0
38: ;	0%	0
39: }	0%	0
40: @	0%	0
41: Override	0%	0
42: void	0%	0
43: run	0%	0
44: (0%	0
45:)	0%	0
46: ;	0%	0
47: }	0%	0
51: @	0%	0
52: Test	3%	1
53: public	3%	1
54: void	3%	1
55: test	6%	2
56: Run	8%	3
57: (0%	0
58:)	0%	0
59: throws	0%	0

Q273_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [clearnUpDescriptors], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
60: Exception	0%	0
61: {	0%	0
62: task	6%	2
63: .	3%	1
64: run	6%	2
65: (3%	1
66:)	3%	1
67: ;	0%	0
68: verify	6%	2
69: (3%	1
Sum	542%	195



276 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Cou
Correct	14%	
Mostly Correct	30%	1
lot Sure	19%	
Mostly Incorrect	24%	
ncorrect	14%	
Sum	100%	3


Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Coun
Correct	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Mostly Correct	6.00	6.00	6.00	1
Not Sure	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Mostly Incorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	!
ncorrect	2.00	2.00	2.00	5

Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [findIterative], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): 36 🕦 Q277_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [findIterative], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your Percentage Count convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply 2 4: Find 6% 11% 5: Longest 4 6: Consecutive 11% 4 14% 7: Sequence 5 0% 0 8: { 0% 0 9: public 10: int 3% 1 11: find 17% 6 12: Recursive 8% 3 0% 0 13: (14: int 3% 1 15: [3% 1 3% 16:] 1 6% 2 17: array 18:) 0% 0 19: { 0% 0 20: validate 0% 0 21: Input 0% 0 0% 0 22: (23: array 0% 0 24:) 0% 0 0% 0 25:; 26: return 0% 0 27: find 17% 6 28: Recursive 6% 2 29: Inner 0% 0 30: (0% 0 31: array 3% 1

Q277_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [findIterative], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
32: ,	0%	0
33: 1	0%	0
34: ,	0%	0
35: 0	0%	0
36: ,	0%	0
37: 0	0%	0
38:)	0%	0
39: ;	0%	0
40: }	0%	0
41: int	22%	8
42: findIterative	97%	35
43: (17%	6
44: int	31%	11
45: [28%	10
46:]	28%	10
47: numbers	31%	11
48:)	17%	6
49: ;	8%	3
50: int	0%	0
51: find	8%	3
52: Recursive	3%	1
53: (0%	0
54: int	3%	1
55: [3%	1
56:]	3%	1
57: array	6%	2
58:)	0%	0
59: ;	0%	0

Q277_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [findlterative], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
60: }	0%	0
64: @	0%	0
65: Test	0%	0
66: public	0%	0
67: void	0%	0
68: should	8%	3
69: Find	17%	6
70: Longest	8%	3
71: Consecutive	11%	4
72: Sequence	14%	5
73: Recursive	0%	0
74: (0%	0
75:)	0%	0
76: {	0%	0
77: int	14%	5
78: [11%	4
79:]	11%	4
80: array	19%	7
81: =	0%	0
82: {	0%	0
83: 1	3%	1
84: ,	0%	0
85: 3	3%	1
86: ,	0%	0
87: 4	3%	1
88: ,	0%	0
89: 5	3%	1
90: ,	0%	0

51:64 266 1 82. 006 0 82. 006 0 84. 006 0 95:5 376 1 86. 076 0 82. 076 0 82.7 396 1 100. 06 0 102. 076 0 103.0 376 3 104. 0 0 105.98 376 3 106. 0 0 107.1 366 3 106. 0 0 107.2 366 3 110.3 0 0 112. 0 0 112. 0 0 112. 0 0 112. 0 0 112. 0 0 112. 0 0 112. 0 0 112. <	Q277_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [findlterative], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
32.4 396 1 34. 0% 0 95.5 396 1 96. 0% 0 97.6 396 1 98. 0% 0 99.7 396 1 100. 0% 0 102. 0% 0 102. 0% 0 102. 0% 0 105.98 3% 1 106. 0% 0 1071 3% 1 106. 0% 0 110.9 0% 0 111: 0% 0 112: let 0% 0 115: ea 3% 1 116: les 3% 1 115: les 3% 1 116: les 3% 1 117: les 3% 1 116: les 3% 1 117: les 6% 2 117: les 6% 2 117: les 6% 2	91: 64	3%	1
941. 094. 0 985. 396. 1 966. 094. 0 997. 398. 1 1007. 094. 0 1011.9 394. 1 1022. 094. 0 1031.9 394. 1 1041. 094. 0 105.98 394. 1 1061. 094. 0 1077-1 394. 1 1081. 094. 0 1109. 094. 0 1111: 096. 0 112:int 644. 2 113:sequence 1776. 6 114:length 334. 1 115:a 334. 1 116:lcs 1446. 5 117 646. 2	92: ,	0%	0
195.5 3% 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	93: 4	3%	1
SEC. O% O 97: 6 3% 1 98: 7 3% 1 100: . 0% 0 101: 8 3% 1 102: . 0% 0 103: 9 3% 1 104: . 0% 0 105: 98 3% 1 106: . 0% 0 107: -1 3% 1 108: . 0% 0 110: . 0% 0 111: . 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 116: Les 3% 1 116: Les 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	94: ,	0%	0
97:6 3% 1 98:. 0% 0 99: 7 3% 1 100:. 0% 0 101: 8 3% 1 102:, 0% 0 103: 9 3% 1 104:, 0% 0 105: 98 3% 1 106:, 0% 0 107: -1 3% 1 106:, 0% 0 110:} 0% 0 111:; 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: Les 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	95: 5	3%	1
98:, 0% 0 98: 7 3% 1 100:, 0% 0 101: 8 3% 1 102:, 0% 0 103: 9 3% 1 104:, 0% 0 105: 98 3% 1 106:, 0% 0 107: -1 3% 1 108:, 0% 0 109: -2 3% 1 110:} 0% 0 111: (10:) 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 115: (s) 14% 5 117:. 6% 2	96: ,	0%	0
99:7 3% 1 100:, 0% 0 101:8 3% 1 102:, 0% 0 103:9 3% 1 104:, 0% 0 105:98 3% 1 106:, 0% 0 107:-1 3% 1 108:, 0% 0 109:-2 3% 1 110:) 0% 0 111:: 0% 0 112:int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: Ics 14% 5 117:. 6% 2	97: 6	3%	1
100: 0% 0 101:8 3% 1 102: 0% 0 103:9 3% 1 104: 0% 0 105:98 3% 1 106: 0% 0 107:-1 3% 1 108: 0% 0 109:-2 3% 1 110:) 0% 0 112:int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115:= 3% 1 116: Ics 14% 5 117: 6% 2	98: ,	0%	0
101:8 3% 1 102: 0% 0 103:9 3% 1 104: 0% 0 105:98 3% 1 106: 0% 0 107:-1 3% 1 108: 0% 0 109:-2 3% 1 110:} 0% 0 111:: 0% 0 112:int 6% 2 114: Length 3% 1 115:= 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: 6% 2	99: 7	3%	1
102: 0% 0 103: 9 3% 1 104: 0% 0 105: 98 3% 1 106: 0% 0 107: -1 3% 1 108: 0% 0 109: -2 3% 1 110:} 0% 0 111: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: 6% 2	100: ,	0%	0
103:9 3% 1 104:, 0% 0 105:98 3% 1 106:, 0% 0 107:-1 3% 1 108:, 0% 0 109:-2 3% 1 110:} 0% 0 111:: 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3 1 115:= 3% 1 116: Ics 14% 5 117:. 6% 2	101: 8	3%	1
104:, 0% 0 105: 98 3% 1 106:, 0% 0 107: -1 3% 1 108:, 0% 0 109: -2 3% 1 110:} 0% 0 111:; 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 116: Ics 14% 5 117:. 6% 2	102: ,	0%	0
105: 98 3% 1 106: , 0% 0 107: -1 3% 1 108: , 0% 0 109: -2 3% 1 110: } 0% 0 111: ; 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	103: 9	3%	1
106: 0% 0 107: -1 3% 1 108: 0% 0 109: -2 3% 1 110:} 0% 0 111: 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 116: Les 3% 1 116: Les 14% 5 117: 6% 2	104: ,	0%	0
107: -1 3% 1 108: , 0% 0 109: -2 3% 1 110: } 0% 0 111: ; 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	105: 98	3%	1
108: , 0% 0 109: -2 3% 1 110: } 0% 0 111: ; 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	106: ,	0%	0
109: -2 3% 1 110:} 0% 0 111:; 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: 6% 2	107: -1	3%	1
110:} 0% 0 111:; 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	108: ,	0%	0
111:; 0% 0 112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: 6% 2	109: -2	3%	1
112: int 6% 2 113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	110:}	0%	0
113: sequence 17% 6 114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	111:;	0%	0
114: Length 3% 1 115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	112: int	6%	2
115: = 3% 1 116: lcs 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	113: sequence	17%	6
116: lcs 14% 5 117: . 6% 2	114: Length	3%	1
117:	115: =	3%	1
	116: lcs	14%	5
Sum 611% 220	117: .	6%	2
	Sum	611%	220



1280 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Cou
200 - 4.1- How correct do you think the generated code is:	rerentage	
Correct	3%	
Nostly Correct	16%	
lot Sure	30%	:
Mostly Incorrect	24%	
ncorrect	27%	:
dum	100%	:


Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Correct	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Mostly Correct	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Not Sure	7.00	7.00	7.00	1
Mostly Incorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	
Incorrect	2.00	2.00	2.00	1

Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [latch], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): 34 (1)

Q281_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [latch], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
4: Cached	3%	1
5: Thread	6%	2
6: Scheduler	3%	1
7: extends	0%	0
8: Scheduler	6%	2
9: {	0%	0
10: @	0%	0
11: Override	0%	0
12: public	3%	1
13: Worker	9%	3
14: create	6%	2
15: Worker	12%	4
16: (0%	0
17:)	0%	0
18: {	0%	0
19: return	3%	1
20: new	6%	2
21: Event	9%	3
22: Loop	12%	4
23: Worker	12%	4
24: (0%	0
25: Cached	6%	2
26: Worker	6%	2
27: Pool	3%	1
28: .	3%	1
29: INSTANCE	3%	1
30: .	3%	1
	3%	1

Q281_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [latch], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
32: (3%	1
33:)	3%	1
34:)	0%	0
35: ;	0%	0
36: }	0%	0
37: @	0%	0
38: Override	0%	0
39: Worker	3%	1
40: create	3%	1
41: Worker	6%	2
42: (0%	0
43:)	0%	0
44: ;	0%	0
45: }	0%	0
49: @	0%	0
50: Test	0%	0
51: public	0%	0
52: void	0%	0
53: test	3%	1
54: Create	3%	1
55: Worker	3%	1
56: (0%	0
57:)	0%	0
58: throws	0%	0
59: Exception	0%	0
60: {	0%	0
61: final	9%	3
62: Count	21%	7

Q281_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [latch], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
63: Down	21%	7
64: Latch	59%	20
65: latch	65%	22
66: =	6%	2
67: new	9%	3
68: Count	12%	4
69: Down	12%	4
70: Latch	24%	8
71: ;	3%	1
72: final	9%	3
73: Count	21%	7
74: Down	21%	7
75: Latch	59%	20
Sum	488%	166



Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is? 37 (1)		
Q292 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Count
Correct	35%	13
Mostly Correct	30%	11
Not Sure	11%	4

Q292 - Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Percentage	Count
Mostly Incorrect	11%	4
Incorrect	14%	5
Sum	100%	37


Q.1- How correct do you think the generated code is?	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Correct	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
Mostly Correct	6.00	6.00	6.00	1
lot Sure	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Mostly Incorrect	8.00	8.00	8.00	
ncorrect	2.00	2.00	2.00	

1293_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [
cosuchElementException], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): apply	Percentage	Сои
: Jcr	0%	
: Empty	3%	
: Node	3%	
: Iterator	3%	
: implements	0%	
: Node	0%	
0: Iterator	0%	
1: {	0%	
2: @	0%	
3: Override	0%	
4: public	11%	
5: Object	11%	
6: next	22%	
7: (6%	
8:)	6%	

Q293_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [NoSuchElementException], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
19: {	6%	2
20: throw	61%	22
21: new	56%	20
22: No	97%	35
23: Such	97%	35
24: Element	97%	35
25: Exception	100%	36
26: (22%	8
27:)	22%	8
28: ;	17%	6
29: }	8%	3
30: private	0%	0
31: Jcr	0%	0
32: Empty	3%	1
33: Node	3%	1
34: Iterator	0%	0
35: (0%	0
36:)	0%	0
37: ;	0%	0
38: @	0%	0
39: Override	0%	0
40: Node	0%	0
41: next	0%	0
42: Node	0%	0
43: (0%	0
44:)	0%	0
45: ;	0%	0
46: @	0%	0


47: Overrido 0% 0 48: Ione 0% 0 46: get 0% 0 50: Feasion 0% 0 52: (0% 0 52:) 0% 0 53: (0% 0 54: @ 0% 0 55: Override 0% 0 57: get 0% 0 58: Size 0% 0 60:) 0% 0 60:) 0% 0 60:) 0% 0 60:) 0% 0 60: Override 0% 0 65: KR 0% 0 66: Void 0% 0 67: Iong 0% 0 68: KR 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70: Override 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 72: Override	Q293_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [NoSuchElementException], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
45 get 0% 0 50. Pesistion 0% 0 51: (0% 0 52:) 0% 0 52: 0% 0 54: (0) 0% 0 55: Coverride 0% 0 58: Size 0% 0 59: (0% 0 60:) 0% 0 60:) 0% 0 60:) 0% 0 62: (0) 0% 0 63: Override 0% 0 65: skip 0% 0 65: (0) 0% 0 65: (0) 0% 0 65: (0) 0% 0 65: (0) 0% 0 65: (0) 0% 0 65: (0) 0% 0 65: (0) 0% 0 67: (0) 0% 0 68: (0) 0% 0 67: (0) 0% 0 68: (0) 0% 0 68: (0)	47: Override	0%	0
S0: Position 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0	48: long	0%	0
51: (0% 0 52:) 0% 0 53: (0% 0 4: (@) 0% 0 55: Override 0% 0 55: Storg 0% 0 57: get 0% 0 58: Storg 0% 0 59: (0% 0 60:) 0% 0 61: (0% 0 62: (0% 0 65: (0% 0 65: (0% 0 65: (0% 0 65: (0% 0 66: (0% 0 67: (org 0% 0 68: (0% 0 69: (0% 0 69: (0% 0 69: (0% 0 69: (0% 0 69: (0% 0 69: (0% 0 <td>49: get</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0</td>	49: get	0%	0
52:) 0% 0 53: 0% 0 54: 00 0% 0 55: Override 0% 0 56: Iong 0% 0 57: get 0% 0 58: Size 0% 0 60:) 0% 0 61: 0% 0 62: 00 0% 0 62: 00 0% 0 64: void 0% 0 65: skip 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67: Iong 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 72: 0 0% 0 72: 0 0% 0 72: 0 0% 0 72: 0 0% 0 72: 0 0% 0 72: 0 0% 0 72: 0 0 0 0 72: 0 0 0 0	50: Position	0%	0
53: 0% 0 54: 00 0% 0 55: Override 0% 0 56: long 0% 0 57: get 0% 0 58: Size 0% 0 60:) 0% 0 60:) 0% 0 61: 0% 0 62: 0 0% 0 63: Override 0% 0 64: vold 0% 0 65: sidp 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67: long 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 72: (Werride 0% 0	51: (0%	0
\$4:@ 0% 0 \$5: Override 0% 0 \$6: tong 0% 0 \$7: get 0% 0 \$8: Size 0% 0 \$0: \ 0% 0 \$6: \ 0% 0 \$6: \ 0% 0 \$6: Override 0% 0 \$6: vold 0% 0	52:)	0%	0
55: Override 0% 0 56: Iong 0% 0 57: get 0% 0 58: Size 0% 0 59: (0% 0 60:) 0% 0 61: : 0% 0 62: @ 0% 0 64: void 0% 0 65: skip 0% 0 66: (0% 0 66: skip 0% 0 66: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 71: : 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	53: ;	0%	0
56: long 0% 0 57: get 0% 0 58: Size 0% 0 59: (0% 0 60:) 0% 0 61: 0% 0 62: @ 0% 0 63: Override 0% 0 64: void 0% 0 66: (0% 0 66: (0% 0 66: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	54: @	0%	0
57: get 0% 0 58: Size 0% 0 59: (0% 0 60:) 0% 0 61: 0% 0 62: @ 0% 0 63: Override 0% 0 64: void 0% 0 65: skip 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67: long 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	55: Override	0%	0
58: Size 0% 0 59: (0% 0 60:) 0% 0 61: : 0% 0 62: @ 0% 0 63: Override 0% 0 64: volid 0% 0 65: skip 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67: long 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 71: : 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	56: long	0%	0
59: (0% 0 60:) 0% 0 61: : 0% 0 62: ⊚ 0% 0 63: Override 0% 0 64: void 0% 0 65: skip 0% 0 66: (0% 0 67: long 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 71: : 0% 0 72: ⊚ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	57: get	0%	0
60:) 61::	58: Size	0%	0
61: ; 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	59: (0%	0
62: @ 0% 0 63: Override 0% 0 64: void 0% 0 65: skip 0% 0 67: long 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 71: : 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	60:)	0%	0
63: Override	61: ;	0%	0
64: void 0% 0 65: skip 0% 0% 0 66: (0% 0% 0 67: long 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 71: : 0% 0 72: © 0% 0% 0	62: @	0%	0
65: skip 66: (0% 07 67: long 0% 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0% 0 70:) 71: ; 0% 0% 0 72: © 73: Override 0% 0% 0	63: Override	0%	0
66: (0% 0 67: long 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 71: ; 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	64: void	0%	0
67: long 0% 0 68: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 71:; 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	65: skip	0%	0
68: skip 0% 0 69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 71: ; 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	66: (0%	0
69: Num 0% 0 70:) 0% 0 71: ; 0% 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	67: long	0%	0
70:) 71:; 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0 0 0 0 0	68: skip	0%	0
71: ; 0% 0 72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	69: Num	0%	0
72: @ 0% 0 73: Override 0% 0	70:)	0%	0
73: Override 0% 0	71: ;	0%	0
	72: @	0%	0
74: boolean 0% 0	73: Override	0%	0
	74: boolean	0%	0

Q293_1 - Q.2- What words/tokens do you think are responsible for the generation of the word/token [NoSuchElementException], highlighted inside a red box, in the previous sample? A sequence of words is shown below for your convenience, please select all that apply (click on the word/token then apply): - apply	Percentage	Count
75: has	0%	0
76: Next	0%	0
77: (0%	0
78:)	0%	0
79: ;	0%	0
80: @	0%	0
81: Override	0%	0
82: Object	0%	0
83: next	3%	1
84: (0%	0
85:)	0%	0
86: ;	0%	0
87: @	0%	0
88: Override	0%	0
89: void	0%	0
90: remove	0%	0
91: (0%	0
92:)	0%	0
93: ;	0%	0
94: }	0%	0
98: @	3%	1
99: Test	8%	3
100: (3%	1
101: expected	28%	10
102: =	17%	6
Sum	714%	257




Q304 - Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token def] highlighted inside the red box?	Percentage	Cou
Agree	30%	-
Mostly Agree	43%	-
Neutral	8%	
Mostly Disagree	14%	
Disagree	5%	

Q.1-Do you agree with the code ationales generated for token [def] nighli	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Coun
Agree	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
Mostly Agree	2.00	2.00	2.00	1
Neutral	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Mostly Disagree	7.00	7.00	7.00	



Q312 - Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [return] highlighted inside the red box?	Percentage	Co
Agree	16%	
Mostly Agree	27%	
Neutral	27%	
Mostly Disagree	22%	
Disagree	8%	
Sum	100%	:


0.1- Do you agree with the code ationales generated for token [return] iig	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Coun
Agree	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Nostly Agree	2.00	2.00	2.00	1
Neutral	7.00	7.00	7.00	1
Mostly Disagree	8.00	8.00	8.00	
Disagree	9.00	9.00	9.00	;



Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [else] highlighted inside the red box? 37 (3)		
lse] highlighted inside the red box?	Percentage	Count
gree	16%	
Mostly Agree	49%	18
leutral	8%	
Nostly Disagree	19%	
oisagree	8%	:
um	100%	37

e with the code				
ated for token [else]	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Co
	1.00	1.00	1.00	


Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [else] highl	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Mostly Agree	2.00	2.00	2.00	18
Neutral	7.00	7.00	7.00	3
Mostly Disagree	8.00	8.00	8.00	7
Disagree	9.00	9.00	9.00	3



1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [close] highlighte	ed inside the red box? 37 🛈	
3328 - Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token close] highlighted inside the red box?	Percentage	Coun
gree	5%	
Nostly Agree	22%	
Jeutral	3%	
Nostly Disagree	43%	1
Disagree	27%	1
Sum	100%	3

enerated for token [close] highligh	nted inside the red box? 37 (i)		
Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
1.00	1.00	1.00	2
2.00	2.00	2.00	8
	Average	1.00 1.00	Average Minimum Maximum 1.00 1.00 1.00


Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [close] high	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Neutral	7.00	7.00	7.00	1
Mostly Disagree	8.00	8.00	8.00	16
Disagree	9.00	9.00	9.00	10



L- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [for] highlighted insi	ide the red box? 37 ①	
332 - Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token or] highlighted inside the red box?	Percentage	Cour
gree	22%	
Mostly Agree	38%	1
leutral	19%	
Nostly Disagree	19%	
isagree	3%	
um	100%	3


3.1- Do you agree with the code ationales generated for token [for] lighti	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Coun
\gree	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Mostly Agree	2.00	2.00	2.00	1
Neutral	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Mostly Disagree	8.00	8.00	8.00	-

Disagree 9.00 9.00 9.00 1	Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [for] highli	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
	Disagree	9.00	9.00	9.00	1




1123 - How reliable are code rationales technique for identifying tokens esponsible of code completion task?	Percentage	Cou
teliable	5%	
somewhat Reliable	49%	1
leutral	24%	
Somewhat Unreliable	16%	
Inreliable	5%	
Sum	100%	3

How reliable are code rationales rechnique for identifying tokens responsib	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Coun
Reliable	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Somewhat Reliable	2.00	2.00	2.00	1
Neutral	5.00	5.00	5.00	!
Somewhat Unreliable	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Unreliable	3.00	3.00	3.00	



We proposed a new taxonomy based on AST elements (e.g., punctuation, oop, strings) for grouping tokens, how informative do you find these for code completion? 37 (i) Q127 - We proposed a new taxonomy based on AST elements (e.g., punctuation, oop, strings) for grouping tokens, how informative do you find Percentage Count these for code completion? Informative 19% 7 Somewhat Informative 59% 22 Neutral 22% 8 Somewhat Uninformative 0% 0 Uninformative 0% 0 100% Sum 37


We proposed a new taxonomy based on AST elem completion? 37 ①	nents (e.g., punctuation, oop, string	gs) for grouping tokens, how info	ormative do you find these for co	de
We proposed a new taxonomy based on AST elements (e.g., punctuation, oop, s	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Informative	1.00	1.00	1.00	7
Somewhat Informative	2.00	2.00	2.00	22
Neutral	6.00	6.00	6.00	8
Somewhat Uninformative	-	-	-	0
Uninformative	-	-	-	0



found this Rational Representation easy to read 37 ①		
Q170 - I found this Rational Representation easy to read	Percentage	Count
Agree	11%	4
Mostly Agree	41%	15
Neutral	27%	10
Mostly Disagree	16%	6
Disagree	5%	2
Sum	100%	37


found this Rational Representation easy to read 37	(1)			
I found this Rational Representation easy to read	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	4
Mostly Agree	9.00	9.00	9.00	15

I found this Rational Representation easy to read	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Neutral	10.00	10.00	10.00	10
Mostly Disagree	11.00	11.00	11.00	6
Disagree	12.00	12.00	12.00	2




344 - Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token for] highlighted inside the red box?	Percentage	Соц
ngree	8%	
Mostly Agree	8%	
Jeutral	14%	
Mostly Disagree	43%	:
pisagree	27%	1
Sum	100%	3

Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [for] highli	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Agree	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Mostly Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Neutral	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Mostly Disagree	8.00	8.00	8.00	1
Disagree	2.00	2.00	2.00	1




38%	1
24%	
24%	
8%	
5%	
	24% 24% 8%

Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [cleanUpDesc	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Agree	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
Mostly Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Neutral	7.00	7.00	7.00	,
Mostly Disagree	8.00	8.00	8.00	:
Disagree	2.00	2.00	2.00	2



3356 - Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token findIterative] highlighted inside the red box?	Percentage	Cou
Agree	32%	1
Mostly Agree	51%	1
Neutral	8%	
Mostly Disagree	8%	
Disagree	0%	
Sum	100%	


Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [findIterati	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Agree	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
Mostly Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	1
Neutral	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Mostly Disagree	8.00	8.00	8.00	



Q360 - Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [latch] highlighted inside the red box?	Percentage	Co
Agree	22%	
Mostly Agree	43%	
Neutral	19%	
Mostly Disagree	5%	
Disagree	11%	
Sum	100%	


${f Q.1} ext{-}$ Do you agree with the code rationales ${f g}$	enerated for token [latch] highligh	ted inside the red box? 37 (i)		
Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [latch] high	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Agree	1.00	1.00	1.00	8
Mostly Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	16
Neutral	7.00	7.00	7.00	7
Mostly Disagree	8.00	8.00	8.00	2
Disagree	2.00	2.00	2.00	4

Responses: 37




Q372 - Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [NoSuchElementException] nighlighted inside the red box?	Percentage	Cou
Agree	19%	
Mostly Agree	65%	2
Neutral	3%	
Mostly Disagree	11%	
Disagree	3%	
Sum	100%	3

Q.1- Do you agree with the code rationales generated for token [NoSuchEleme	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Agree	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Mostly Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	2
Neutral	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Mostly Disagree	8.00	8.00	8.00	
Disagree	2.00	2.00	2.00	




ow reliable are code rationales technique for identifying tokens responsible of test g	•	
Q220 - How reliable are code rationales technique for identifying tokens esponsible of test generation task?	Percentage	Coul
Reliable	14%	
Somewhat Reliable	54%	2
Neutral	16%	
Somewhat Unreliable	14%	
Jnreliable	3%	
Sum	100%	3

How reliable are code rationales technique for identifying tokens responsib	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Reliable	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Somewhat Reliable	2.00	2.00	2.00	2
Neutral	5.00	5.00	5.00	
Somewhat Unreliable	6.00	6.00	6.00	




Q224 - We use context levels (e.g., focal method, signature, fields) for test case generation, how informative do you find these?	Percentage	Соц
nformative	35%	
Somewhat Informative	43%	:
Neutral	11%	
Somewhat Uninformative	5%	
Jninformative	5%	
Sum	100%	

Ne use context levels (e.g., focal method, signature, fields) for test case	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Informative	1.00	1.00	1.00	1
Somewhat Informative	2.00	2.00	2.00	1
Neutral	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Somewhat Uninformative	3.00	3.00	3.00	
Uninformative	5.00	5.00	5.00	:




Q222 - I found Context level Dependency map of rationals representation easy o read	Percentage	Cou
Agree	24%	
Mostly Agree	35%	-
Neutral	14%	
Mostly Disagree	22%	
Disagree	5%	

found Context level Dependency map of ationals representation easy to re	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Mostly Agree	9.00	9.00	9.00	1
Neutral	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Mostly Disagree	11.00	11.00	11.00	;




Q238 - I would rely on concept of code rational to describe prediction of any token for code generation tasks	Percentage	Cou
Agree	8%	
Mostly Agree	30%	-
Neutral	41%	1
Mostly Disagree	19%	
Disagree	3%	
Sum	100%	3

would rely on concept of code rational to describe prediction of any toke	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Mostly Agree	9.00	9.00	9.00	1
Neutral	10.00	10.00	10.00	1
Mostly Disagree	11.00	11.00	11.00	



)230 - Code Rationales Technique can be used to debug a Neural Code Model or Deep Code Generator	Percentage	Coul
Agree	14%	
Mostly Agree	43%	1
Veutral	22%	
Mostly Disagree	22%	
Disagree	0%	
Sum	100%	3


Code Rationales Technique can be used to debug a Neural Code Model or Deep	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Mostly Agree	9.00	9.00	9.00	1
Neutral	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Mostly Disagree	11.00	11.00	11.00	



Code Rationales Technique could be helpful in fine-tuning models for specific downstream task 37 (1)			
Q231 - Code Rationales Technique could be helpful in fine-tuning models for specific downstream task	Percentage	Count	
Agree	35%	13	

Q231 - Code Rationales Technique could be helpful in fine-tuning models for specific downstream task	Percentage	Count
Mostly Agree	54%	20
Neutral	11%	4
Mostly Disagree	0%	0
Disagree	0%	0
Sum	100%	37


Code Rationales Technique could be nelpful in fine-tuning models for specif	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cou
Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	1
Mostly Agree	9.00	9.00	9.00	2
Neutral	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Mostly Disagree	-	-	-	
Disagree	_	_	_	



Q232 - Code Rationales Technique could be helpful in curating training/validation/test sets	Percentage	Cou
Agree	22%	
Mostly Agree	46%	1
Neutral	22%	
Mostly Disagree	11%	


Q232 - Code Rationales Technique could be helpful in curating training/validation/test sets	Percentage	Count
Disagree	0%	0
Sum	100%	37

de Rationales Technique could be helpful in curating t	raining/validation/test sets 37	(1)		
code Rationales Technique could be helpful in curating aining/validation/	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
gree	6.00	6.00	6.00	8
Mostly Agree	7.00	7.00	7.00	1
leutral	9.00	9.00	9.00	
Mostly Disagree	10.00	10.00	10.00	
oisagree	-	-	-	(



1233 - Code Rationales Technique helps infer the causal relationships etween inputs and outputs of the model	Percentage	Cour
gree	46%	1
Mostly Agree	35%	1
leutral	11%	
Mostly Disagree	8%	
isagree	0%	
um	100%	3

Code Rationales Technique helps infer the ausal relationships between inpu	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Cour
gree	6.00	6.00	6.00	1
lostly Agree	9.00	9.00	9.00	1
eutral	10.00	10.00	10.00	
ostly Disagree	11.00	11.00	11.00	
Disagree	_	_	_	



Code Rationales Technique is useful to interpret the outcomes of Neural Code Model	s 37 (i)	
Q239 - Code Rationales Technique is useful to interpret the outcomes of Neural Code Models	Percentage	Count
Agree	38%	14
Mostly Agree	46%	17
Neutral	14%	5
Mostly Disagree	3%	1
Disagree	0%	0
Sum	100%	37

ode Rationales Technique is useful to interp	ret the outcomes of Neural Code	Models 37 (i)		
Code Rationales Technique is useful to nterpret the outcomes of Neural Cod	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Coun
Agree	6.00	6.00	6.00	14

Code Rationales Technique is useful to interpret the outcomes of Neural Cod	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Count
Mostly Agree	9.00	9.00	9.00	17
Neutral	10.00	10.00	10.00	5
Mostly Disagree	11.00	11.00	11.00	1
Disagree	-	-	-	0

How useful did you find the most about the Code Rationales Technique? (1)
Useful for identifying what input data leads to generated code
I found it quite useful as it showed where potential errors and misunderstandings stemmed from.
I thought that it was fairly useful, but somewhat unreliable, at least compared to my interpretation of what input tokens caused certain output tokens. I thought the visualization was very useful for breaking up the different tokens into easy to understand categories.
Not appealing visually for human user but useful for the LLMs
Somewhat, specially given sufficient context
Not perfect, but helpful
Somewhat Useful I would say
I think it is useful in that it helps lay a framework, but can not be totally relied on.
It was useful in the sense that now, if I use an LLM to generate code, I know that I have to think carefully about the tokens I use in my prompt.
I found it useful and informative
its useful to infer or analyze generated codes and get insights about how it was generated
It was useful to see which inputs the llm was picking up on, so very.
Somewhat useful, although I'd like more information about why some rationals were used, although I don't know if that can be provided
Somewhat useful. Shows promise.
I found it helpful in understanding what pieces of the code snippet were being looked at to inform the models decisions
Quite useful
I think it is useful to get a more detailed look at what the model is doing. It would be useful for debugging and understanding the model better.
Slightly useful
Very useful for understanding what the model was "thinking." But it often did not align with what I thought.
somewhat useful
It was pretty useful to know the tokens that caused the output token.
It was useful to infer the potential causality between inputs and outputs of the models.

It appears to be moderately useful at this stage, but there do seem to be some issues with the generated code
It is useful to know how the neural network works and whether it is reliable
I found it fairly useful, sometimes I think it adds extraneous features to try to fill out the chart where it may only be 1 or 2 code features that are relevant.
It was useful to understand the connection between input and output and see areas that could be improved upon.
Somewhat useful; During code reviews, rationales can provide valuable context, making the process more efficient.
I don't understand the wording of this question ??
I think the technique is good for inferring simple decisions that a LLM makes, like how to name a variable or conditional structure. But it seems to fall apart when the request become more complex.
The arrows to the boxes of categories.
Having an organized, visual representation of what the language model uses to generate its output is helpful for understanding the model & maybe provides insight on how to format your code to maximize useful outputs
I found it helpful in understanding how and why neural code models come up with specific outputs based on prompts
Mostly useful, yet in some cases its reliability could be improved
we can understand why the code has been generated
Not quite useful
I found the Code Rationale Technique to be useful, and to help fill in the gaps when I was unsure how/why the model would predict what it did.
Most function calls, exceptions, and conditionals within the created code were decided by the syntax of python and java, but also natural language in the prompt. I found code rationales to be fairly useful.
Larger text size for larger rationales
Maybe show why one symbol is associated with another. E.g., why is) and ; associated with return or def?
Higher accuracy, perhaps an indication of confidence in the accuracy of the prediction.
N/A
perhaps looking from a higher level, instead of one token, probably collection of tokens jointly influenced a prediction.

Better use of colors to assist in correlating result to snippets.

Well what if the model pays attention to specific sections of the AST rather than just tokens?
I am not 100% sure how to improve it, but I would think surveys like this one would help to give the Neural Network more information and data to improve on.
As of now, I think the technique is simple enough to be understood quickly, while still providing a good amount of information on why an LLM made a certain decision.
If it was more accurate it could definitly be applied to more applications
is difficult to assertain the causality of the generated tokens with just code snippets a large lenguage model intakes large ammount of data to infer or predict code, just a litte piece ins not enoguh, maybe use a heatmap to relate the analized tokens and the generated ones, it would be easier to understand
It should show more of what is using as inputs, as I think it may be leaving a few of them out.
If it possible, provide more info about why a specific set of tokens constitutes a rational and how rationals were used to produce the next token (although this may be more me needing to learn about how LLMs and NLP work)
Incorporating more Tokens as justification, perhaps with weights corresponding to how strong particular tokens influence the output over others
An explanation on why certain tokens might appear under multiple categories or some way of understanding why the model is choosing to look at certain tokens specifically.
Indicate how significant each previous token was to the generated one compared to other tokens.
Perhaps more info can be learned by showing exactly out how much influence each rationale had in the generation.
A better model to make sure the input tokens get mapped to the correct type of component.
Perhaps an interactive interface where when you scroll over any given word, it automatically highlights the most important token or two in the prediction of the scrolled-over token.
use natural language to provide human readable rationales
I can't think of anything right now.
I think teaching developers to reason or link different code/NL snippets to code rationale components will be useful.
Perhaps it needs to take into account more of the input/output data before making a prediction
I think not all related tokens are predicted, and the results are not complete. May I ask whether you leverage attention to find the code rationales and which layer do you leverage?
I think it sometimes picks syntax features/keywords that are unrelated
More divisions and focus for the more ambiguous cases.
It seems they are logical. May be there should be a way to receive user feedback and then update with iterative improvement.
Not really sure, honestly

More "levels" need to be considered. The LLM is too intricate to be broken into 5, in my opinion.
Slightly better UI perhaps, but no issue with the technique itself
I don't know
I would have liked brief explanations of why certain things were not included as rationals
It does not seem comment/Javadoc is taken into account. Unless it is pruned when training the generative model, it also determines the next tokens IMHO.
now the token-level is too fine-grained, a more coarser-grain level may be easier to understand
NA
I am unsure how it could be improved. Perhaps if the technique required slightly less proficency in the specific language that it is being used on it could be improved (for example, for a non-fluent English speaker it may be hard to parse what words are officially nouns).
The code rationales technique can be improved with better prompting. The more that the AI can go off of, the more accurate the code could be, and more prompting means more chances for code rationales to be corrected.