PROJECT DOCUMENTATION SUBMISSION

Criteria	Points Range	Description
Application of Software Engineering Principles and Practices (40 Points)		**Engineering Documentation (Software Development Report, and Software Test Report) (word documents in .pdf format)**
	30-40	Excellent: Thorough application of all software engineering principles and best practices taught; clean documentation, comments, etc.
	20-30	Good: Applies most principles and practices with minor omissions; overall documentation quality is good with few inconsistencies.
	15-19	Satisfactory: Applies some principles and practices but with notable omissions; some documentation issues and inconsistent styles present.
	10-14	Needs Improvement: Few principles and practices applied; many documentation and code quality issues; poor organization styles.
	5-9	Poor: Minimal application of principles; documentation is difficult to read and understand; lacks structure.
	1-4	Very Poor: Very little application of principles; major documentation quality issues; almost unreadable.
	0	No Submission or Inadequate: No documentation was submitted or does not follow any software engineering principles.
Application of technical documents (30 Points)		**Documentation (Software Requirements (Spreadsheet or Specifications), Software Development Schedule, and at least one Software Test Plan) (in .pdf format)**
	25-30	Excellent: Thorough application of all software engineering technical principles and best practices taught; clean documentation, decomposed requirements, planned vs actual schedule, at least one (unit, integration, or system) test plan, etc.
	20-24	Good: Applies most principles and practices with minor omissions; overall documentation quality is good with few inconsistencies.
	15-19	Satisfactory: Applies some principles and practices but with notable omissions; some documentation issues and inconsistent styles.
	10-14	Needs Improvement: Few principles and practices applied; many documentation quality issues; poor organization styles.
	5-9	Poor: Minimal application of principles; documentation is difficult to read and understand; lacks structure.
	1-4	Very Poor: Very little application of principles; major documentation quality issues; almost unreadable.
	0	No Submission or Inadequate: No documentation was submitted or does not follow any software engineering principles.

User Documentation (20 Points)

User's Manual (word document in .pdf format)

17-20	Excellent: Documentation is comprehensive, clear, and well-organized; provides detailed instructions for a user to install, execute, and use the software prototype.
13-16	Good: Documentation provides most necessary information with minor omissions or unclear instructions.
9-12	Satisfactory: Documentation is adequate but has notable omissions or unclear sections; some steps are missing or lack detail.
5-8	Needs Improvement: Documentation is incomplete or difficult to follow; lacks important information needed to install and run the software.
1-4	Poor: Documentation is minimal; provides very little useful information.
0	No Submission: No user documentation provided.

Developer's Documentation (10 Points)

Developer's Documentatin (readme file, delivered in .pdf format)

9-10	Excellent: Developer documentation is thorough; provides clear guidance for future developers; includes engineering overview and key component explanations.
7-8	Good: Provides useful information but may lack some details; generally helpful for developers.
5-6	Satisfactory: Covers basic information but lacks depth; some important details are missing.
3-4	Needs Improvement: Minimal guidance provided; documentation is sparse and lacks clarity.
1-2	Poor: Very minimal or poor-quality documentation; offers little to no assistance to developers.
0	No Submission: No developer documentation provided.