PROTON INDUCED GAIN IN A PORTABLE FARADAY CUP

Shaun Marshall and Blake Currier

Department of Physics Worcester Polytechnic Institute 100 Institute Rd, Worcester, MA 01609

Andrew D Hodgdon, CHP

Radsim, LLC 584 Grove St, Newton, MA 02462 adhodgdon@radsim.org

ABSTRACT

A Faraday Cup (FC) is being designed to calibrate therapy-range proton accelerators, i.e., 50 to 250 MeV. The FC must be accurate to 1% as well as portable, hence vacuum-less and low mass. The FC is a copper cylinder coated with kapton insulation and silver ground. The Monte Carlo method (MCNP6 and Geant4) was used to simulate the radiation cascade and predict gain versus height (H), diameter (D) and insulator thickness (K). H and D were mostly functions of proton range. Increasing either increases mass, reducing either increases proton leakage, hence decreases accuracy. Kapton functions to capture backscattered electrons, the function of the fields in a standard FC. Greater K increases capture but increases secondary electron in-leakage. Determining optimal K was made difficult by the lack of low energy proton, electron cross-sections. A secondary electron model was programmed with the SDEF command for the MCNP model based on recently published cross-section approximations. This secondary electron source method was benchmarked against a series of experimental measurements (by others) of protons on copper and on water. Three FCs were built, each with different values of K. They are currently being tested.

Key Words: Monte Carlo, Geant, MCNP, Faraday Cup

1 INTRODUCTION

In modern day radiation therapy, protons have become one increasingly popular method of treating cancer near critical structures, with many dosimetric advantages of charged particle interactions (References). A novel portable vacuumless Faraday Cup measuring device was designed to calibrate proton therapy facilities, in energies ranging from 50 to 250 MeV. The detector is constructed of a copper cylinder, coated with kapton insulation and grounded with silver (Reference). Monte Carlo computational simulations in MCNP6 (Reference) and GEANT4 (Referenced) were performed to evaluate radiation cascade effects and predict signal versus height, diameter and insulator thickness characteristics.

Preliminary results indicated that increasing the mass of the Faraday Cup's conductor reduced proton leakage but increased the system accuracy. While a greater kapton thickness increases the capture of primary and secondary electrons, it also increases secondary electron leakage. Additionally, determining the optimal kapton thickness has been made difficult by the lack of low energy proton and electron cross-sections in current Monte Carlo based simulation programs (Reference). A comprehensive secondary electron evaluation of the kapton was performed and benchmarked against a series of experimental measurements by Borovsky et al.1 and J. Gordon et al (Reference).

In conjunction with the computational calculations, three (3) prototype Faraday Cup measuring devices were constructed by Pyramid Technical Consultants, Inc. (Waltham, Ma), each having a different thickness of kapton. The units were tested in Germany to determine accuracy of the new design.

2 EXPERIMENTS

- 2.1 GO14
- 2.2 BO88

3 SIMULATION RESULTS

- 3.1 Proton-Beam Measurement
- **3.2 MCNP6**
- 3.3 Geant4

Geant4 is an object-oriented C++ toolkit for developing applications which simulate the passage of particles through matter. Libraries of cross-section tables, elemental/molecular properties, and pre-defined stochastic physics processes allow for rapid, intuitive invocation of necessary system setup commands. Once initialized, "Manager" modules cooperate to organize and accumulate dynamic information which is organized in the following chronology:

- 1. The **DetectorConstruction** class is called to verify, store and lock the predefined geometry.
- 2. The **G4UIManager** initializes upon successful compilation and execution of the *main()* routine. If a visualizer is selected, **G4VisManager** is also invoked.
- 3. The user issues the command to execute a macro file of *runs*; each run is characterized by the defined beam particle type, the beam energy, and the number of *events*, or number of such isolated simulations. If multithreading is available, **G4RunManager** allocates the events to the available worker threads on a rolling basis.
- 4. For each event, the simulation of the *primary* (beam) particle proceeds, constructing a new *track*, or well-defined trajectory for every particle not at rest.
- 5. The behavior of every track is determined dynamically, with each *step*, or stochastically occurring physical process (collisions, absorbtions, etc) of the particle in some medium.

A useful feature of Geant4 is the ability to create user-defined actions (methods) throughout each module, which allows for a very fine-tuned analysis throughout the entire simulation. The following summarizes the custom details and methods for this application

• **DetectorConstruction.cc:** A copper cylinder of radius 3 cm and height 10 cm is covered in Kapton film of varying thickenesses: 59 μ m, 100 μ m and 200 μ m. The film thickness is iterated by a function which is called before the command macro is examined. The beam approaches the solids from the $-\hat{z}$ -axis.

• SteppingAction.cc: [For every step,] immediately checks if the step is the finale of a track. If so, the particle's vertex (original position) and destination volume and coordinates are found, and a charge signal calculation occurs. Entering/Leaving the copper gives a net signal of $\pm q$ where q is the charge of the particle. Entering/Leaving Kapton gives a relative proportionality of

$$s = q \times \max\left[r_{\%}, z_{\%}\right],$$

where $r_{\%}$ is the percent distance away from the copper radially and $z_{\%}$ is the percent distance away laterally

- EventAction.cc:
- RunAction.cc:

Table I. Measured and Predicted Gain from 250 MeV Protons

Model	Energy (MeV)	HIT	MCNP6	Geant4
S59	70.03	0.9750	0	0.953 588
	100.46	0.9850	0	0.967417
	130.52	0.9925	0	0.975593
	160.09	1.0000	0	0.981094
	190.48	1.0075	0	0.985111
	221.06	1.0125	0	0.988 151
S100	70.03	0.9385	0	0.953827
	100.46	0.9500	0	0.966795
	130.52	0.9580	0	0.975725
	160.09	0.9635	0	0.981055
	190.48	0.9715	0	0.985189
	221.06	0.9800	0	0.988149
S200	70.03	0.9350	0	0.954372
	100.46	0.9475	0	0.966915
	130.52	0.9525	0	0.975377
	160.09	0.9590	0	0.980998
	190.48	0.9650	0	0.985217
	221.06	0.9770	0	0.988 312

4 RESULTS

5 CONCLUSIONS

6 DISCUSSION

7 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our sincerest gratitude to Paul Romano and Tom Sutton, who provided the template for this paper.

APPENDIX A

Code bits?