# Advanced Theory of Probability

Lectured by Xinyi Li LaTeXed by Chengxin Gong

2022年11月18日

## 目录

1	Me	asure Theory	4	
2	Law	of Large Numbers		
	2.1	Independence	2	
	2.2	Weak Laws of Large Numbers	3	
	2.3	Borel-Cantelli Lemmas	3	
	2.4	Strong Law of Large Numbers	4	
	2.5	Convergence of Random Series	4	
	2.6	Large Deviations	5	
	2.7	Percolation	7	
3	Central Limit Theorems			
	3.1	The De Moivre-Laplace Theorem	7	
	3.2	Weak Convergence	8	
	3.3	Characteristic Functions	8	
	3.4	Central Limit Theorems	9	
	3.5	Local Limit Theorems	10	
	3.6	Poisson Convergence	10	
	3.7	Poisson Process	11	
	3.8	Limit Theorems in $\mathbb{R}^d$	12	
4	Martingales 1			
	4.1	Conditional Expectation	13	
	4.2	Martingales, Almost Sure Convergence	13	

## 1 Measure Theory

- Fatou's lemma: If  $f_n \ge 0$  then  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int f_n d\mu \ge \int \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n d\mu$ .
- Monotone convergence theorem: If  $f_n \geq 0$  and  $f_n \uparrow f$  then  $\int f_n d\mu \uparrow \int f d\mu$ .
- Dominated convergence theorem: If  $f_n \to f$  a.e.,  $|f_n| \le g$  for all n, and g is integrable, then  $\int f_n d\mu \to \int f d\mu$ .
- Suppose  $X_n \to X$  a.s. Let g, h be continuous functions with (i)  $g \ge 0$  and  $g(x) \to \infty$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ ; (ii)  $|h(x)|/g(x) \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ ; (iii)  $\mathbb{E}g(X_n) \le K < \infty$  for all n. Then  $\mathbb{E}h(X_n) \to \mathbb{E}h(X)$ .
- Fubini's theorem: If  $f \geq 0$  or  $\int |f| d\mu < \infty$ , then  $\int_X \int_Y f(x,y) \mu_2(dy) \mu_1(dx) = \int_{X \times Y} f d\mu = \int_Y \int_X f(x,y) \mu_1(dx) \mu_2(dy)$ .

## 2 Laws of Large Numbers

## 2.1 Independence

- Two events A and B are independent if  $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$ . Two random variables X and Y are independent if for all  $C, D \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P(X \in C, Y \in D) = P(X \in C)P(Y \in D)$ . Two  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are independent if for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{G}$  the events A and B are independent.
- $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n$  are independent if whenever  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $P(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$ . Random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent if whenever  $B_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have  $P(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X_i \in B_i\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \in B_i)$ . Sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are independent if whenever  $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have  $P(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) = \prod_{i \in I} P(A_i)$ .
- A sequence of events  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  with  $P(A_i \cap A_j) = P(A_i)P(A_j)$  for all  $i \neq j$  is called pairwise independent.
- $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem: If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\pi$ -system and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system that contains  $\mathcal{P}$  then  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L}$ .
- Suppose  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are independent and each  $A_i$  is a  $\pi$ -system. Then  $\sigma(A_1), \dots, \sigma(A_n)$  are independent.
- Suppose  $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m(i)$  are independent and let  $\mathcal{G}_i = \sigma(\cup_j \mathcal{F}_{i,j})$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$  are independent.
- If for  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m(i), X_{i,j}$  are independent and  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{m(i)} \to \mathbb{R}$  are measurable then  $f_i(X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,m(i)})$  are independent.
- If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and have (a)  $X_i \geq 0$  for all i, or (b)  $\mathbb{E}|X_i| < \infty$  for all i then  $\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i$ .
- If X and Y are independent,  $F(x) = P(X \le x)$ , and  $G(y) = P(Y \le y)$ , then  $P(X + Y \ge z) = \int F(z y) dG(y)$ .

## 2.2 Weak Laws of Large Numbers

- $L^2$  weak law: Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be uncorrelated random variables with  $\mathbb{E}X_i = \mu$  and  $\text{var}(X_i) \leq C < \infty$ . If  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ , then as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $S_n/n \to \mu$  in  $L^2$  and in probability.
- Let  $\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[S_n], \sigma_n^2 = \text{var}(S_n)$ . If  $\sigma_n^2/b_n^2 \to 0$  then  $\frac{S_n \mu_n}{b_n} \to 0$  in probability.
- Truncation: To truncate a random variable X at level M means to consider  $\bar{X}_M = X1_{\{|X| \leq M\}}$ .
- For each n, let  $X_{n,k}$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$  be independent. Let  $0 < b_n \to \infty$  and  $\bar{X}_{n,k} = X_{n,k} \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{n,k}| \le b_n\}}$ . Suppose that as  $n \to \infty$  (1)  $\sum_{k=1}^n P(|X_{n,k}| > b_n) \to 0$ ; (2)  $b_n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{var}(\bar{X}_{n,k}) \to 0$ . If we let  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_{n,k}$  aand  $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_{n,k}]$ , then  $\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \to 0$  in probability.
- Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with  $xP(|X_1| > x) \to 0$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Let  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  and let  $\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[X_1 1_{\{|X_1| \le n\}}]$ . Then  $S_n/n \mu_n \to 0$  in probability.
- If  $Y \geq 0$  and p > 0 then  $\mathbb{E}[Y^p] = \int_0^\infty py^{p-1}P(Y > y)dy$ .
- Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[|X_i|] < \infty$ . Let  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  and let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ . Then  $S_n/n \to \mu$  in probability.
- The distribution of X is infinitely divisible iff for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists i.i.d.  $Y_i$ 's such that  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ .
- The distribution of X is stable if for all a, b > 0, and  $X_1, X_2$  i.i.d. copies of X,  $aX_1 + bX_2 \stackrel{d}{=} cX + d$  for some c > 0.

## 2.3 Borel-Cantelli Lemmas

• If  $A_n$  is a sequence of subsets of  $\Omega$ , then we write

$$\limsup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m = \{\omega : \omega \text{ in infinitely many } A_i \text{'s}\}$$
$$\liminf A_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m = \{\omega : \omega \text{ in all but finitely many } A_i \text{'s}\}$$

- $P(\limsup A_n) \ge \limsup P(A_n)$ ,  $P(\liminf A_n) \le \liminf P(A_n)$ .
- Borel-Cantelli lemma: If  $\sum_i P(A_i) < \infty$ , then  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ .
- Let  $y_n$  be a sequence of elements of a topological space. If every subsequence  $y_{n(m)}$  has a further subsubsequence  $y_{n(m_k)}$  that converges to y, then  $y_n \to y$ .
- $X_n \to X$  in probability iff for every subsequence  $X_{n(m)}$  there is a further subsubsequence  $X_{n(m_k)}$  that converges a.s. to X.
- If f is continuous and  $X_n \to X$  in probability then  $f(X_n) \to f(X)$  in probability. If in addition f is bounded then  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ .
- Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^4] < \infty$ . Then  $S_n/n \to \mu$  a.s.
- For events  $A_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , independent such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$ , then  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ .

- If  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  are i.i.d. random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \infty$ , then  $P(|X_n| \ge n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ . Let  $C = \{\lim S_n/n \text{ exists \& is finite}\}$ . Then P(C) = 0.
- If  $A_1, A_2, \cdots$  are pairwise independent and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{A_i} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) \to 1$  a.s. as  $n \to \infty$ .
- For a sequence of increasing events  $A_n$ ,  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$  iff  $\sum_n P(A_n | A_{n-1}^c) = \infty$ .

## 2.4 Strong Law of Large Numbers

- Strong law of large numbers: Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be pairwise independent identically distributed random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] < \infty$ . Let  $\mathbb{E}X_i = \mu$  and  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Then  $S_n/n \to \mu$  a.s. as  $n \to \infty$ .
- Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[X^+] = \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X^-] < \infty$ , then  $S_n/n \to \infty$  a.s.
- Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with  $0 < X_i < \infty$ , write  $T_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  and let  $N_t = \sup\{n : T_n \le t\}$ . If  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mu \le \infty$ , then as  $t \to \infty$ ,  $N_t/t \to 1/\mu$ , a.s.
- If  $X_n \to X_\infty$  a.s. and  $N(n) \to \infty$  a.s. then  $X_{N(n)} \to X_\infty$  a.s. But the analogous result for convergence in probability is false!
- Empirical distribution functions: Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with distribution F and let  $F_n(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{X_i \leq x}}{n}$ . As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\sup_x |F_n(x) F(x)| \to 0$  a.s.
- Uniform law of large numbers: Suppose  $f(x,\theta)$  is continuous in  $\theta \in \Theta$  for some compact  $\Theta$ . Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. If f is continuous at  $\theta$  for a.s. all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and measurable of x at each  $\theta$  and there exists some function d(x) such that  $\mathbb{E}[d(X_i)] < \infty$  and for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,  $|f(x,\theta)| \leq d(x)$ . Then  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i,\theta)/n \mathbb{E}[f(X_1,\theta)]| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} 0$ .

#### 2.5 Convergence of Random Series

- Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be a sequence of random variables. Define  $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots)$  as the information of the future after time n. Let  $\mathcal{I} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}'_n$  be the tail  $\sigma$ -field, i.e., the information in the remote future. Intuitively,  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  if and only if changing a finite number of values does not affect the occurrence of the event.
- Kolmogorov's 0-1 law: If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  are independent and  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , then P(A) = 0 or 1.
- A finite permutation of  $\mathbb{N}$  is a map from  $\mathbb{N}$  onto  $\mathbb{N}$  such that there is a finite I with  $\pi(i) = i$  for all  $i \geq I$ . For  $S^{\mathbb{N}}$ , associated with its natural product sigma field  $\mathcal{F}^{N}$ , and any  $\omega = (\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots)$ , let  $\pi(\omega) = (\omega_{\pi(1)}, \omega_{\pi(2)}, \cdots)$ . An event  $A \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is permutable if  $\pi^{-1}(A) = A$  for any finite permutation  $\pi$ . All permutable events form the exchangeable  $\sigma$ -field, denoted by  $\mathcal{E}$ . All events in the tail  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{T}$  are permutable.
- Hewitt-Savage 0-1 law: If  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$ , are i.i.d. and  $B \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Denote  $X = (X_1, X_2, \cdots)$ . Then  $P(X \in B) = 0$  or 1.

- Kolmogorov's maximal inequality: Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are independent with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{var}(X_i) < \infty$ . Let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ , then  $P(\max_{k \le n} |S_k| \ge x) \le \frac{\operatorname{var}(S_n)}{r^2}$ .
- We call a sequence of r.v's  $S_1, S_2, \cdots$  a martingale if (i) there is a sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \cdots$  and  $S_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$  for all i; (ii)  $S_i$ 's are integrable; (iii) For each k,  $\mathbb{E}[S_{k+1}|\mathcal{F}_k] = S_k$ . If the "=" in (iii) is replaced by  $\geq$  (resp.  $\leq$ ), then we say that this sequence is a submartingale (resp. supermartingale).
- Second-moment criterion: Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  are independent and centered (i.e., for all i,  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ ). If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{var}(X_n) < \infty$ , then  $P(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n(\omega) \text{ converges}) = 1$ .
- Kronecker's lemma: If  $a_n \uparrow \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n/a_n$  converges, then  $a_n^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^n x_m \to 0$ .
- Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Let  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $S_n/n^{1/2}(\log n)^{1/2+\epsilon} \to 0$  a.s.
- Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[|X_i|^p] < \infty$  where  $1 . Write <math>S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Then  $S_n/n^{1/p} \to 0$  a.s.
- Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \infty$  and let  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Let  $a_n$  be a sequence of positive numbers with  $a_n/n$  increasing. Then  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |S_n|/a_n = 0$  or  $\infty$  according as  $\sum_n P(|X_1| \ge a_n) < \infty$  or  $= \infty$ .
- Kolmogorov's three-series theorem: Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be independent random variables. Let A > 0 and  $Y_i = X_i 1_{|X_i| \le A}$ . In order to show that  $\sum X_i$  converges a.s., it is necessary and sufficient that (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > A) < \infty$ ; (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_n]$  converges; (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{var}(Y_n) < \infty$ .

#### 2.6 Large Deviations

- Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mu$  and let  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ . According to CLT, the typical value of  $S_n n\mu$  is  $O(\sqrt{n})$ . What about atypical deviations of  $S_n n\mu$ ? According to WLLN, we know that for any  $a > \mu$ ,  $P(S_n > na) \to 0$ . We want to discuss the existence and value of the limit:  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P(S_n > na)$ .
- Let  $\pi_n = P(S_n \ge na)$ . Then  $\pi_{n+m} \ge P(S_n \ge na, S_{n+m} S_n \ge ma) = \pi_n \pi_m$ . Let  $\gamma_n = \log \pi_n, \gamma_{n+m} \ge \gamma_n + \gamma_m$ . As  $n \to \infty$  the limit of  $\gamma_n$  exists and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{n} = \sup_n \frac{\gamma_n}{n}$ . We define  $\gamma(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n / n \le 0$ . Then for any distribution and any n and n,  $P(S_n \ge na) \le e^{n\gamma(a)}$ . We want to show  $\gamma(a) < 0$  if  $n > \mu$ .
- If the moment generating function  $\psi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\theta X_1)] < \infty$  for some  $\theta > 0$ , then  $P(S_n \ge na) \le \exp[n(\log \psi(\theta) \theta a)]$ . Let  $\kappa(\theta) = \log \psi(\theta)$ . If  $a > \mu$ , then  $a\theta \kappa(\theta) > 0$  for all sufficiently small  $\theta$ .
- We will further strengthen our upper bounds by finding the maximum of  $\lambda(\theta) = a\theta \kappa(\theta)$ . Let  $\theta_+ = \sup\{\theta : \psi(\theta) < \infty\}$  and  $\theta_- = \inf\{\theta : \psi(\theta) < \infty\}$ . Now since that  $\psi(\theta) \in C^{\infty}$  within  $(\theta_-, \theta_+)$ , we have  $\lambda'(\theta) = a \frac{\psi'(\theta)}{\psi(\theta)}$ . So the maximal point of  $\lambda$  must satisfy  $\psi'(\theta)/\psi(\theta) = a$ . For

the existence and uniqueness of such point(s), we introduce a new distribution, and use a trick named "tilting".

- We now introduce the distribution  $F_{\theta}$  by "reweighting F":  $F_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{\psi(\theta)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{y\theta} dF(y)$ . By simple calculus,  $\int x dF_{\theta}(x) = \frac{\psi'(\theta)}{\psi(\theta)}, \ \psi''(\theta) = \int x^2 e^{\theta x} dF(x), \ \frac{d}{d\theta} \frac{\psi'(\theta)}{\psi(\theta)} = \int x^2 dF_{\theta}(x) (\int x dF_{\theta}(x))^2 \ge 0.$ If we assume the distribution F is not a point mass at  $\mu$ , then  $\frac{\psi'(\theta)}{\psi(\theta)}$  is strictly increasing and  $a\theta - \log \psi(\theta)$  is concave. Since we have  $\frac{\psi'(0)}{\psi(0)} = \mu$ , this shows that for each  $a > \mu$  there is at most one  $\theta_a \geq 0$  that solves  $a = \frac{\psi'(\theta_a)}{\psi(\theta_a)}$ , and this value of  $\theta$  maximizes  $a\theta - \log \psi(\theta)$ . Let  $F^n$  be the c.d.f. of  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  and  $F_{\lambda}^n$  be the c.d.f. of  $S_n^{\lambda} = X_1^{\lambda} + \dots + X_n^{\lambda}$  where  $X_i$  i.i.d.  $\sim F$  and  $X_i^{\lambda}$  i.i.d.  $\sim F_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\psi(\lambda)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{y\theta} dF(y)$ . By induction,  $\frac{dF^n}{dF_{\lambda}^n} = e^{-\lambda x} \psi(\lambda)^n$ . Then as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $n^{-1}\log P(S_n \ge na) \to -a\theta_a + \log \psi(\theta_a)$
- Some important information:  $\kappa(\theta) = \log \psi(\theta), \kappa'(\theta) = \frac{\psi'(\theta)}{\psi(\theta)}, \ \theta_a \text{ solves } \kappa'(\theta_a) = a, \ \gamma(a) = 0$  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P(S_n > na) = -a\theta_a + \kappa(\theta_a)$
- Suppose  $x_o = \sup\{x : F(x) < 1\} = \infty, \theta_+ < \infty$ , and  $\psi'(\theta)/\psi(\theta)$  increases to a finite limit  $a_0$  as  $\theta \uparrow \theta_+$ . If  $a_0 \le a < \infty$ ,  $n^{-1} \log P(S_n \ge na) \to -a\theta_+ + \log \psi(\theta_+)$ , i.e.  $\gamma(a)$  is linear for  $a \ge a_0$ .
- Suppose  $x_o = \sup\{x : F(x) < 1\} < \infty$  and F has no mass at  $x_o$ . Then  $\psi(\theta) < \infty$  for all  $\theta > 0$ and  $\psi'(\theta)/\psi(\theta) \to x_o$  as  $\theta \to \infty$ .
- Now, we have shown the decaying asymptotic for all possible situations:

Now, we have shown the decaying asymptotic for all possible situations: 
$$\begin{cases} a < x_o : \text{ exponential, rate} = \theta_a \\ a = x_o : \text{ exponential if } P(X_1 = x_o) > 0, 0 \text{ otherwise} \\ a > x_o : 0 \end{cases}$$
 If  $\theta_+ = \infty$ : exponential, rate  $\theta_- = \theta_-$  If  $\theta_+ < \infty$ : 
$$\begin{cases} \text{If } \theta_+ = \infty : \text{ exponential, rate} = \theta_- \\ \text{If } \theta_+ < \infty : \begin{cases} \text{If } \psi'(\theta)/\psi(\theta) \to \infty \text{ as } \theta \to \theta_+ : \text{ exponential, rate} = \theta_- \\ \text{If } \psi'(\theta)/\psi(\theta) \to a_0 \text{ as } \theta \to \theta_+ : \begin{cases} a < a_0 : \text{ exponential, rate} = \theta_- \\ a \ge a_0 : \text{ exponential, rate} = \theta_+ \end{cases}$$

- Cramér's theorem: Let I(a) be the Legendre transform of  $\log \psi(\cdot)$ :  $I(a) := \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} (\theta a \log \psi(\theta))$ . Then for any closed set F,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \log P(\frac{S_n}{n} \in F) \le -\inf_{x\in F} I(x)$ ; for any open set G,  $\lim\inf_{n\to\infty} n^{-1}\log P(\tfrac{S_n}{n}\in G)\geq -\inf_{x\in G}I(x).$
- Intuition behind the tilting: Why do we want to introduce the measure  $F_{\theta}$ ? Intuitively, the new measure is like a "distorting mirror" – it "distorts" our view on how each event is likely to happen. So, when we want to estimate a rare event A under P, suppose (1) we can construct a new measure Q such that Q[A] is easily calculable, e.g.,  $Q[A] \approx 1$ ; (2) we have a unifrom lower bound of the R-N derivative  $dP/dQ \geq c$  on A. Then we can conclude that  $P[A] = \int_A \frac{dP}{dQ} dQ \ge cQ[A].$
- Let  $\Sigma = \{a_1, \dots\}$  stand for a finite-size alphabet. Let  $M_1(\Sigma)$  be the space of all probability measures on  $\Sigma$ . The entropy of some  $\nu \in M_1(\Sigma)$  is  $H(\nu) := -\sum_{i=1}^{|\Sigma|} \nu(a_i) \log(\nu(a_i))$ . The relative entropy of  $\nu$  with respect to some other  $\mu \in M_1(\Sigma)$  is  $H(\nu|\mu) := \sum_{i=1}^{|\Sigma|} \nu(a_i) \log \frac{\nu(a_i)}{\mu(a_i)}$

- Let  $Y_i$  be i.i.d. r.v.s,  $\mu \in M_1(\Sigma)$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , write  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  and call  $L_n^Y \in M_1(\Sigma)$  be the empirical frequency of Y. Let  $T_n(\nu)$  be the set of y a sequence of n letters whose empirical measure is  $\nu$ .
- If  $y \in T_n(\nu)$ , then  $P_{\mu}(Y = y) = e^{-n(H(\nu) + H(\nu|\mu))}$ . In particular, if  $y \in T_n(\mu)$ , then  $P_{\mu}(Y = y) = e^{-nH(\mu)}$ .
- For every possible empirical measure  $\nu$  of n letters,  $(n+1)^{-|\Sigma|}e^{nH(\nu)} \leq |T_n(\nu)| \leq e^{nH(\nu)}$ .
- For every possible empirical measure  $\nu$  of n letters,  $(n+1)^{-|\Sigma|}e^{nH(\nu|\mu)} \leq P_{\mu}(L_n^T = \nu) \leq e^{nH(\nu|\mu)}$ .
- Sanov's theorem: For every set  $\Gamma \subset M_1(\Sigma)$ ,  $-\inf_{\nu \in \Gamma^{\circ}} H(\nu|\mu) \leq \liminf_n \frac{1}{n} \log P_{\mu}(L_n^Y \in \Gamma) \leq \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \log P_{\mu}(L_n^Y \in \Gamma) \leq -\inf_{\nu \in \Gamma} H(\nu|\mu)$ .

#### 2.7 Percolation

- Fix  $p \in [0, 1]$  and consider the d-dimensional lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Assign to each edge  $e \in \mathbb{E}$  an independent Bernoulli r.v. I(e) with parameter p. If I(e) = 1, we say that this edge is open, otherwise closed. Consider the connected components of open egdes, then for any  $p \in [0, 1]$ ,  $P_p(A) = 0$  or 1 where  $A = \{\exists \text{ infinite open clusters}\}$ .
- If A is translation-invariant, then P(A) = 0 or 1.
- Actually we can go further and show that for any  $N=0,1,\cdots,\infty,\ P_p[A(N)]=0$  or 1, where  $A(N)=\{\exists N \text{ infinite open clusters}\}$ . Or even further: for  $N=2,3,\cdots$  and  $N=\infty,\ P_p[A(N)]=0$ .
- Let  $p_c = p_c(d) = \sup\{p : P_p(A) = 0\}$ . Then one can show that  $1/3 \le p_c(2) \le 2/3$ . More generally,  $p_c(1) = 1$  and for  $d \ge 2$ ,  $1/(2d-1) \le p_c(d) \le p_c(2) (= 1/2)$ .
- By knowledge of Galton-Watson tree and the analogy between  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and 2d-regular tree in high dimensions, we can take an educated guess that  $p_c(d) \sim \frac{1}{2d}$  as  $d \to \infty$ .

## 3 Central Limit Theorems

#### 3.1 The De Moivre-Laplace Theorem

- Central Limit Theorem: Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Write  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ , then  $\frac{S_n \mu n}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
- Before discussing the central limit theorem in full generality, we first see a special example for Bernoulli random variables. Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. random variables such that  $P(X_1 = 1) = P(X_1 = -1) = 1/2$  and write  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . For integers  $|k| \le n$ ,  $P(S_{2n} = 2k) = C_{2n}^{n+k} 2^{-2n}$  since  $(S_{2n} + 2n)/2 \sim \text{Binomial}(2n, 1/2)$ .
- Local central limit theorem: If  $2k/\sqrt{2n} \to x$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\pi n)^{1/2} e^{x^2/2} P(S_{2n}=2k) = 1$ .
- The De Moivre-Laplace Theorem: For a < b,  $P(a \le S_n/\sqrt{n} \le b) \to \int_a^b (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-x^2/2} dx$ .

## 3.2 Weak Convergence

- A sequence of distribution function  $F_n$  is said to converge weakly to a limit F, denoted by  $F_n \Rightarrow F$ , if  $F_n(y) \to F(y)$  at every point of continuity of F, i.e. every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(\cdot)$  is continuous at y.
- A sequence of random variables  $X_n$  is said to converge weakly or converge in distribution / law to a limit  $X_{\infty}$  if their distribution functions  $F_n$  converges weakly.
- Skorokhod's representation theorem: If  $F_n \Rightarrow F$  then there are random variables  $Y_n, 1 \le n < \infty$  and Y with living in the same probability space such that  $Y_n \sim F_n, Y \sim F$  and  $Y_n \to Y$  a.s.
- $X_n \Rightarrow X$  if and only if for every bounded continuous function g we have  $\mathbb{E}g(X_n) \to \mathbb{E}g(X)$ .
- Continuous mapping theorem: Let g be a measurable function and  $D_g = \{x : g \text{ is discontinuous at } x\}$ . If  $X_n \Rightarrow X$ , and  $P(X \in D_g) = 0$ , then  $g(X_n) \Rightarrow g(X)$ .
- Portmantean theorem: The following statements are equivalent: (1)  $X_n \Rightarrow X$ ; (2) G open,  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} P(X_n \in G) \ge P(X \in G)$ ; (3) G closed,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} P(X_n \in G) \le P(X \in G)$ ; (4) If  $P(X \in \partial A) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(X_n \in A) = P(X \in A)$ .
- Helly's selection theorem: For every sequence  $F_n$  of distribution functions, there is a subsequence  $F_{n(k)}$  and a right continuous nondecreasing function F so that at all points of continuity y of F,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} F_{n(k)}(y) = F(y)$ .
- Every subsequential limit of the sequence  $F_n$  is the distribution function of a probability measure iff the sequence is tight, i.e., for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $M_{\epsilon}$  so that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} [1 F_n(M_{\epsilon}) + F_n(-M_{\epsilon})] \le \epsilon$ .
- If there is a function  $\phi \geq 0$  so that  $\phi(x) \to \infty$  as  $|x| \to \infty$  and  $C = \sup_n \int \phi(x) dF_n(x) < \infty$ , then  $F_n$  is tight.

## 3.3 Characteristic Functions

- If X is a random variable, we define its Characteristic function (ch.f.) by  $\phi(t) := \mathbb{E}[e^{itX}] = \mathbb{E}[\cos(tX)] + i\mathbb{E}[\sin(tX)].$
- All characteristic functions have the following properties: (i)  $\phi(0) = 1$ ; (ii)  $\phi(-t) = \overline{\phi(t)}$ ; (iii)  $|\phi(t)| = |\mathbb{E}e^{itX}| \le \mathbb{E}|e^{itX}| = 1$ ; (iv)  $|\phi(t+h) \phi(t)| \le \mathbb{E}|e^{itX} 1|$ , so  $\phi(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ ; (v)  $\mathbb{E}e^{it(aX+b)} = e^{itb}\phi(at)$ .
- If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent and have ch.f.'s  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ . Then  $X_1 + X_2$  has ch.f.  $\phi_1 \cdot \phi_2$ .
- Stein's Lemma: If X, Y are jointly Gaussian, then for differentiable  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , as long as the expectations are well-defined,  $cov(g(X), Y) = cov(X, Y)\mathbb{E}[g'(X)]$ .
- If  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  have ch.f.  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  and  $\lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$  have  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 1$ . Then  $\sum \lambda_i F_i$  has ch.f.  $\sum \lambda_i \phi_i$ .

- The inversion formula: For a probability measure  $\mu$ , recall  $\phi(t) = \int e^{itx} \mu(dx)$ . If a < b, then  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{e^{-ita} e^{-itb}}{it} \phi(t) dt = \mu(a,b) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(\{a,b\})$ .
- If  $\int |\phi(t)|dt < \infty$ , then  $\mu$  has bounded continuous density  $f(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ity} \phi(t) dt$ .
- Continuity theorem: Let  $\mu_n, 1 \leq n \leq \infty$  be probability measures with ch.f.  $\phi_n$ . (i) If  $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu_\infty$  then  $\phi_n(t) \to \phi_\infty(t)$  for all t. (ii) If  $\phi_n(t) \to \phi(t)$  for all t, and  $\phi(t)$  is continuous at 0. Then  $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is tight and has a weak limit with ch.f.  $\phi$ .
- Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure and  $\phi$  be its ch.f. Then  $\mu(\{x:|x|\geq 2u^{-1}\})\leq u^{-1}\int_{-u}^u [1-\phi(t)]dt$ .
- If  $\int |x|^n \mu(dx) < \infty$ , then its ch.f.  $\phi$  has a continuous derivative of order n given by  $\phi^{(n)}(t) = \int (ix)^n e^{itx} \mu(dx)$ . In particular,  $\phi^{(n)}(0) = \mathbb{E}[(iX)^n]$ .
- However, if a characteristic function  $\phi_X$  has a k-th derivative at zero, then the random variable X has all moments up to k if k is even, but only up to (k-1) if k is odd.
- $|e^{ix} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(ix)^m}{m!}| \le \min(\frac{|x|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}, \frac{2|x|^n}{n!}).$
- If  $\mathbb{E}|X|^2 < \infty$ , then  $\phi(t) = 1 + it\mathbb{E}X t^2\mathbb{E}|X|^2/2 + o(t^2)$ .
- If  $\limsup_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{\phi(h)-2\phi(0)+\phi(-h)}{h^2} > -\infty$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$ .
- Given  $\phi$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can consider the matrix with (i, j) entry given by  $\phi(x_i x_j)$ . Call  $\phi$  positive definite if this matrix is always positive semi-definite Hermitian.
- Bochner's theorem: A function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  which is continuous at origin with  $\phi(0) = 1$  is a ch.f. of some probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  if and only if it is positive definite.
- Pólya's theorem: If  $\phi$  is real-valued, even and continuous such that (i)  $\phi(0) = 1$ ; (ii)  $\phi$  is convex for t > 0; (iii)  $\phi(\infty) = 0$ ; then  $\phi(t)$  is the ch.f. of a distribution symmetric about 0.

## 3.4 Central Limit Theorems

- Central Limit Theorem: Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mu, \text{var}(X_1) = \sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . If  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ , then  $\frac{S_n n\mu}{n^{1/2}\sigma} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .
- The Lindeberg-Feller theorem: For each n, let  $X_{n,m}, 1 \leq m \leq n$ , be independent random variables for each n with  $\mathbb{E}[X_{n,m}] = 0$ . Suppose (i)  $\sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[X_{n,m}^2] \to \sigma^2 > 0$ ; (ii) For all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[X_{n,m}^2 1_{|X_{n,m}>\epsilon|}] = 0$ . Then  $S_n = X_{n,1} + \cdots + X_{n,n} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
- Converging together lemma: If  $X_n \Rightarrow X$  and  $Y_n \Rightarrow c$ ,  $X_n + Y_n \Rightarrow X + c$ . A useful consequence of this result is that if  $X_n \Rightarrow X$  and  $Z_n X_n \Rightarrow 0$  then  $Z_n \Rightarrow X$ .
- Lévy's condition for CLT: Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. and  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . In order that there exist constants  $a_n$  and  $b_n > 0$  so that  $(S_n a_n)/b_n \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , it is necessary and sufficient that  $\frac{y^2 P(|X_1| > y)}{\mathbb{E}[X_1^2 \mathbf{1}_{|X_1| \le y}]} \to 0$ .

- Chernoff bound: Let  $X_i$  be independent Bernoulli r.v's. Write  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  and let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[S_n]$ . Then for  $\delta > 0$ ,  $P(S_n > (1+\delta)\mu) \le e^{-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{2+\delta}}$ ,  $P(S_n < (1-\delta)\mu) \le e^{-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{2}}$ .
- Hoeffding's inequality for bounded r.v. Let  $X_i$  be independent r.v.'s such that  $X_i \in [a_i, b_i]$  a.s. Write  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  and let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[S_n]$ . Then for  $\delta > 0$ ,  $P(|S_n \mu| \ge \delta) \le 2 \exp(-\frac{2n^2\delta^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i a_i)^2})$ .
- A random variable is sub-Gaussian, if and only if for some  $C < \infty$  and c > 0,  $P(|X| \ge t) \le Ce^{-ct^2}$ .
- Hoeffding's inequality for sub-Gaussian r.v.'s: Let  $X_i$  be independent zero-mean sub-Gaussian r.v.'s. Write  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Then there exists some c > 0 such that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $P(|S_n| \ge \delta) \le 2 \exp(-c\delta^2/\sum_{i=1}^n ||X_i||_{\psi_2})$ , where  $||X||_{\psi_2} = \inf\{c \ge 0 : \mathbb{E}[e^{X^2/c^2}] \le 2\}$ .
- Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0, \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sigma^2$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[|X_i|^3] = \rho < \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  is the distribution of the standard normal distribution, then for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|F_n(x) \mathcal{N}(x)| \leq 3\rho/(\sigma^3\sqrt{n})$ .

### 3.5 Local Limit Theorems

- A random variable X has a lattice distribution if  $\exists b, h > 0$  so that  $P(X \in b + h\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ . The largest h for which the last statement holds is called the span of the distribution.
- Trichotomy of a random variable: Let  $\phi(t)$  be the ch.f. of a random variable X. There are only three possibilities: (1)  $|\phi(t)| < 1$  for all  $t \neq 0$ ; (2) There is a  $\lambda > 0$  so that  $|\phi(\lambda)| = 1$  and  $|\phi(\lambda)| < 1$  for  $0 < t < \lambda$ . In this case, X has a lattice distribution with span  $2\pi/\lambda$ ; (3)  $|\phi(\lambda)| = 1$  for all t. In this case, X is deterministic.
- Let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. r.v.'s with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Suppose in addition  $P(X_i \in b + h\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ , i.e.  $X_i$  are lattice with span h. Let  $p_n(x) = P(S_n/\sqrt{n} = x)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{L}_n = \{(nb + h\mathbb{Z})/\sqrt{n}\}$ , and n(x) be the density of  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . Then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{L}_n} \left| \frac{\sqrt{n}}{h} p_n(x) n(x) \right| = 0$ .
- Let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. nonlattice r.v.'s with  $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 = \sigma^2$ . If  $x_n/\sqrt{n} \to x$  and a < b,  $\sqrt{n}P(S_n \in (x_n + a, x_n + b)) \to (b a)n(x)$ .
- Let  $p_n^{(d)}(\cdot)$  stand for the *n*-step transition probability for *d*-dimensional simple random walk. Then  $p_{2n}^{(d)}(0)$  is monotone decreasing in *d*.

## 3.6 Poisson Convergence

- For each n let  $X_{n,m}$ ,  $1 \le m \le n$  be independent random variables with  $P(X_{n,m} = 1) = p_{n,m}$ ,  $P(X_{n,m} = 0) = 1 p_{n,m}$ . Suppose (i)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n} p_{n,m} = \lambda$ ; (ii)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{m \le n} p_{n,m} = 0$ . Let  $S_n := X_{n,1} + \cdots + X_{n,n}$ , then  $S_n \Rightarrow \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ .
- $d(\mu, \nu) = ||\mu \nu||_{\text{TV}}$  defines a metric on the set of probability measures on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $||\mu_n \mu|| \to 0$  if and only if  $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$ .

- The p-th Wasserstein distance between two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on M with p-th moment is defined as  $W_p(\mu,\nu) = (\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu,\nu)} \int_{M \times M} d(x,y)^p d\gamma(x,y))^{1/p}$  where  $\Gamma(\mu,\nu)$  is the set of all couplings of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . One can show that  $W_p$  defines a metric and convergence under  $W_p$ -metric is equivalent to weak convergence plus convergence of the first p-th moment.
- Suppose that r balls are placed at random into n boxes. Then suppose  $r/n \to c$ , the number of balls in each box is approximately Poisson(c). Let  $X_n$  be the number of empty boxes. Then if  $ne^{-r/n} \to \lambda$ ,  $X_n \to Poisson(\lambda)$ .
- Let  $X_{n,m}$ ,  $1 \le m \le n$  be independent random variables with  $P(X_{n,m} = 1) = p_{n,m}$ ,  $P(X_{n,m} \ge 2) = \epsilon_{n,m}$ . Suppose  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=1}^n p_{n,m} = \lambda$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \max_{m\le n} p_{n,m} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=1}^n \epsilon_{n,m} = 0$ . Let  $S_n = X_{n,1} + \dots + X_{n,n}$ , then  $S_n \Rightarrow \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ .

#### 3.7 Poisson Process

- Let N(s,t) be the number of students arriving at a certain dinning hall in the time interval (s,t]. Suppose the number of arrivals in intervals that are disjoint are independent, the distribution of N(s,t) only depends on t-s,  $P(N(0,h)=1)=\lambda h+o(h)$ ,  $P(N(0,h)\geq 2)=o(h)$ . Then N(0,t) has a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda t$ .
- A family of random variables  $N_t, t \ge 0$  is called a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ , if (i) for  $0 \le t < s$ ,  $N(s) N(t) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda(s-t))$ ; (ii) if  $0 < t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n, N(t_k) N(t_{k-1}), 1 \le k \le n$  are independent.
- Suppose that between 12:00 and 1:00 cars arrive at the East Gate of PKU according to a Poisson process  $N_t$  with rate  $\lambda$ . Let  $Y_i$  be the number of people in the *i*-th vehicle which we assume to be i.i.d. and independent to  $N_t$ . Then consider M(t) be the total number of visitors within those vehicles by time t, i.e.  $M(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i$  with the convention that M(t) = 0 if  $N_t = 0$ .
- Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. r.v.'s; N and independent non-negative interger-valued r.v.;  $S = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_N$  with S = 0 when N = 0. (1) If  $\mathbb{E}|Y_i|, \mathbb{E}[N] < \infty$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[S] = \mathbb{E}[N] \cdot \mathbb{E}[Y_i]$ ; (2) If  $\mathbb{E}[Y_i^2], \mathbb{E}[N^2] < \infty$ , then  $\operatorname{var}(S) = \mathbb{E}[N]\operatorname{Var}(Y_i) + \operatorname{var}(N)(\mathbb{E}[Y_i])^2$ ; (iii) If  $N \sim \operatorname{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , then  $\operatorname{var}(S) = \lambda \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2]$ .
- Recall the problem of counting the number of cars arriving at the East Gate of PKU. Noting that  $Y_i$  now stands for the number of people in each vehicel,  $Y_i$  has to take positive integer values. Let  $N_t^j$  be the number of cars with exactly j passengers. For  $Y_i$  taking value on  $1, 2, \dots, m < \infty$ ,  $N_t^j$  are independent rate  $\lambda P(Y_i = j)$  Poisson processes.
- Suppose that in a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ , for a point that lands at time s, we keep it with probability p(s). Then the result is an inhomogenous Poisson process with rate  $\lambda p(s)$ .
- inhomogenous Poisson process as time change of Poisson process: For p(t), and the standard Poisson process  $N_t$  with rate  $\lambda$ , we call  $\hat{N}(t) = N(\int_0^t \lambda p(s)ds)$  be the inhomogenous Poisson process with transition rate function  $\lambda(t) = \lambda p(t)$ .

- Suppose  $\lambda$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, we say a random measure  $\mu$  is a Poisson Point Process/Poisson random measure with intensity measure  $\lambda$  if (1) for all  $B \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mu(B) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda(B))$ ; (2) If  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  be disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{S}$ , then the random variables  $\mu(B_1), \dots, \mu(B_n)$  are also independent.
- Let  $T_n$  be the time of the n-th arrival of a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . Let  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$  be independent uniform on (0,t) and let  $(V_k^n)_{k=1,2,\dots,n}$  be the order statistics of  $\{U_1,\dots,U_n\}$ , i.e.  $V_k^n$  is the k-th smallest number from  $(U_1,\dots,U_n)$ . Then, conditioning on N(t)=n, the vectors  $V=(V_1^n,\dots,V_n^n)$  and  $T=(T_1,\dots,T_n)$  have the same distribution.
- If 0 < s < t, then  $P(N_s = m | N_t = n) = C_n^m (s/t)^m (1 s/t)^{n-m}$ .

## 3.8 Limit Theorems in $\mathbb{R}^d$

- We say  $X_n \Rightarrow X_\infty$  if  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X_\infty)]$  for all bounded and continuous f.
- General Portmantean Theorem: The following statements are equivalent: (1)  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X_\infty)]$  for all bounded and continuous f; (2)  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X_\infty)]$  for all bounded and Lipschitz-continuous f; (3) For all closed sets K,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} P(X_n \in K) \le P(X_\infty \in K)$ ; (4) For all open sets G,  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} P(X_n \in G) \ge P(X_\infty \in G)$ ; (5) For all sets A with  $P(X_\infty \in \partial A) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(X_n \in A) = P(X_\infty \in A)$ ; (6) Let  $D_f$  = the set of discontinuous of f. For all bounded functions f with  $P(X_\infty \in D_f) = 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X_\infty)]$ .
- For distribution  $F_n$  and F on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we say that  $F_n$  converges weakly to F, and write  $F_n \Rightarrow F$ , if  $F_n(x) \to F(x)$  at all continuity points of F.
- Distribution function in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ : (i) Nondecreasing: if  $x \leq y$  then  $F(x) \leq F(y)$ . (ii)  $\lim_{x \to \infty} F(x) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{x_i \to -\infty} F(x) = 0$ . (iii) F is right continuous:  $\lim_{y \uparrow x} F(y) = F(x)$ . (iv)  $\triangle_A F \geq 0$  for all rectangles A.
- Equivalence of two definitions: On  $\mathbb{R}^d$  weak convergence defined in terms of convergence of distribution  $F_n \Rightarrow F_\infty$  is equivalent to notion of weak convergence defined for a general metric space.
- Tightness in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ : A sequence of probability measures  $\mu_n$  is said to be tight if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $M < \infty$  such that  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \mu_n([-M, M]^d) \ge 1 \epsilon$ .
- If  $\mu_n$  is tight, there is a weakly convergent subsequence.
- The characteristic function of  $\vec{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$  is  $\phi(\vec{t}) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(i\vec{t} \cdot \vec{X})]$ . If  $A = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_d, b_d]$  with  $\mu(\partial A) = 0$ , then  $\mu(A) = \lim_{T \to \infty} (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{[-T,T]^d} \left(\prod_{j=1}^d \psi_j(t_j)\right) \phi(\vec{t}) dt$ , where  $\psi_j(s) = \frac{\exp(-isa_j) \exp(-isb_j)}{is}$ .
- Convergence theorem: Let  $X_n, 1 \leq n \leq \infty$  be random vectors with ch.f.  $\phi_n$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $F_n$  to converge weakly to a probability distribution  $F_\infty$  is that  $\phi_n(\vec{t}) \to \phi_\infty(\vec{t})$ , which is continuous at 0.
- Cramer-Wold device: A sufficient condition for  $X_n \Rightarrow X_\infty$  is that  $\vec{\theta} \cdot X_n \Rightarrow \vec{\theta} \cdot X_\infty$  for all  $\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

#### MARTINGALES

• The central limit theorem in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ : Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. random vectors with  $\mathbb{E}X_n = \mu$ , and finite covariances  $(\Gamma_{i,j})_{m \times m}$ . Then  $(S_n - n\mu)/n^{1/2} \Rightarrow \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is a multivariate normal with mean 0 and covariances  $(\Gamma_{i,j})_{m \times m}$ .

## 4 Martingales

## 4.1 Conditional Expectation

- Existence and uniqueness of conditional expectation: Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, P)$  be a probability space, X be a random variable such that  $\mathbb{E}[|X|] < \infty$ ,  $\mathscr{G} \subset \mathscr{H}$  be a sub  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathscr{H}$ . Then (1) Existence:  $\exists \text{ r.v. } Y \text{ such that } Y \in \mathscr{G}, \mathbb{E}[|Y|] < \infty \text{ and } \forall G \in \mathscr{G}, \mathbb{E}[Y;G] = \mathbb{E}[X;G]$ . We call such Y a version of  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathscr{G}]$ . (2) Uniqueness: If Y, Y are versions of  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathscr{G}]$ , then Y = Y a.s.
- Orthogonal projection in  $L^2$ : If  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$ , then  $Y = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  is a version of the orthogonal projection of X from  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, P)$  to  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$ , i.e. Y is the best G-measurable predictor of X, which minimizes  $\mathbb{E}[(Y X)^2]$ .
- Properties of conditional expectation: (1)  $Y = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[X]$ . (2)  $X \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = X$  a.s. (3) Linearity:  $\mathbb{E}[aX_1 + bX_2|\mathcal{G}] = a\mathbb{E}[X_1|\mathcal{G}] + b\mathbb{E}[X_2|\mathcal{G}]$  a.s. (4) Positivity:  $X \geq 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] \geq 0$  a.s. (5) Monotone convergence theorem:  $0 \leq X_n \uparrow X \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] \uparrow \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  a.s. (6) Fatou's lemma:  $X_n \geq 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\liminf_{n \to \infty} X_n|\mathcal{G}] \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}]$  a.s. (7) Dominated convergence theorem:  $|X_n(\omega)| \leq V(\omega)$  a.s.  $\forall n, \mathbb{E}[V] < \infty, X_n \to X$  a.s., then  $\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] \to \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  a.s. (8) If c(x) is convex,  $\mathbb{E}[|c(x)|] < \infty$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[c(x)|\mathcal{G}] \geq c(\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}])$  a.s. (9) Tower property: If  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]\mathcal{H}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]|\mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]$ . (10) If  $Z \in \mathcal{G}$  then  $\mathbb{E}[ZX|\mathcal{G}] = Z\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$ . (11) If  $\mathcal{H} \perp \cup \sigma(X,\mathcal{G})$  then  $\mathbb{E}[X|\sigma(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H})] = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$  a.s. In particular, if  $X \perp \cup \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}] = \mathbb{E}[X]$  a.s.

## 4.2 Martingales, Almost Sure Convergence

- Filtered spaces:  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, P)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{H}$  (i.e.  $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a filtration) and  $\sigma(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n) := \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}$  (but not necessarily  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} = \mathcal{H}$ ). Given a filtration  $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}$ , if a sequence of r.v.'s  $\{X_n\}$  satisfies  $X_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ , we say  $\{X_n\}$  is adapted to  $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}$ .
- Martingale:  $X = \{X_n\}$  discrete time stochastic process is a martingale if: (1)  $\{X_n\}$  is adapted to some filtration  $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}$ ; (2)  $\forall n, \mathbb{E}[|X_n|] < \infty$  (but not necessarily  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] < M < \infty$ ); (3)  $\forall n, \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{H}_n] = X_n$ . If "=" in (3) is replaced by " $\geq$ " or " $\leq$ ", then we say X is a submartingale/super-martingale.