# Theoretical Machine Learning

Lectured by Zhihua Zhang

LATEXed by Chengxin Gong

April 24, 2024

# Contents

2	Statistical Decision Theory	2
3	Markov Decision Process	3
4	Statistical Learning Theory	6
5	Uniform Laws of Large Numbers	g
6	Least Square Estimates: Consistency and Convergence Rate	13

## 1 Introduction

**Outline** 1.1 (Main tasks in machine learning) Generation, prediction, decision. Generation:  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim F$ , infer and analyse F, unsupervised learning, e.g. GAN, GPT,  $\dots$  Prediction: data pairs  $(X^{(1)}, Y^{(1)}), \dots, (X^{(n)}, Y^{(n)})$ , input variables  $X^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}, x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , ascribe, supervised learning. Decision: Reinforcement learning, Agent  $\leftarrow$  action, state, reward  $\rightarrow$  environment.

Outline 1.2 (Methods for solving tasks) Parameterized/Non-parameterized, frequency(MLE)/Bayesian.

**Outline** 1.3 (Modeling error) Supervised: Fix  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , for regression  $Y \in \mathbb{R}$ , for classificataion  $Y \in \{0,1\}$  (also  $\{-1,1\},\{1,\dots,M\},\{0,1\}^M$ ). Random design for X (known as generative models):  $Y^{(i)} = g(X^{(i)},Z^{(i)})$ . Fixed design for X (known as discriminative models):  $Y^{(i)} = g(x^{(i)},Z^{(i)})$ . Unsupervised: X = g(Z) (e.g. factor model:  $X = AZ + \varepsilon, Z \in \mathcal{N}(0,1), \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma)$ ).

## 2 Statistical Decision Theory

**Definition** 2.1 (Basic concepts) Consider a state space  $\Omega$ , data space  $\mathcal{D}$ , model  $\mathcal{P} = \{p(\theta, x)\}$ , action space  $\mathscr{A}$ . Loss function:  $\mathcal{L}: \Omega \times \mathscr{A} \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ , measurable, nonnegative. A measurable function  $\delta: \mathcal{D} \to \mathscr{A}$  is called a nonrandomized decision rule. Risk function is defined as  $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = \int \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(x)) dP_{\theta}(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(X))$ . Randomized decision: for each X = x,  $\delta(x)$  is a probability distribution:  $[A|X = x] \sim \delta_x$ . Risk function for  $\delta: \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta, A) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta, A|X) = \int \int \mathcal{L}(\theta, a) d\delta_x(a) dP_{\theta}(x)$ .

Example 2.1 (Parameter estimation)  $\theta \in \Omega, \mathscr{A} = \Omega, \mathcal{L}(\theta, a) = \|\theta - a\|_p^p (p \ge 1) \stackrel{\text{or}}{=} \int \log \frac{P_{\theta}(x)}{P_a(x)} P_{\theta}(x) dm(x)$  (KL divergence).  $\mathcal{R} = \text{Var}(a) + \text{bias}^2(a)$ . Bregmass loss:  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  describe any strictly convex differentiable function. Then  $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\theta, a) = \phi(a) - \phi(\theta) - (\phi - a)^T \nabla \phi(a)$ .

Example 2.2 (Testing)  $\mathscr{A} = \{0,1\}$  with action "0" associated with accepting  $H_0: \theta \in \Omega_0$  and "1":  $H_1: \theta \in \Omega_1$ .  $\delta_x$  is a Bernolli distribution.  $\mathcal{L}(\theta, a) = I\{a = 1, \theta \in \Omega_0\} + I\{a = 0, \theta \in \Omega_1\}$ . Risk  $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(A = 1)1_{\theta \in \Omega_0} + \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(A = 0)1_{\theta \in \Omega_1}$ .

**Definition** 2.2 (Admissibility) A decision rule  $\delta$  is called inadmissible if a competing rule  $\delta^*$  such that  $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta^*) \leq \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$  for all  $\theta \in \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta^*) < \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$  for at least one  $\theta \in \Omega$ . Otherwise,  $\delta$  is admissible.

**Definition** 2.3 (Bayes rule) The maximum risk  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\delta) = \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$  and the Bayes risk  $r(\Lambda, \delta) = \int \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) d\Lambda(\theta) = \int \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta) d\mathbb{P}(x, \theta)$  ( $\Lambda(\theta)$  is a prior). A decision rule that minimizes the Bayes risk is called a Bayes rule, that is,  $\hat{\delta} : r(\Lambda, \hat{\delta}) = \inf_{\delta} r(\Lambda, \delta)$ . Minimax rule  $\delta^* : \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta^*) = \inf_{\delta} \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$ .

**Theorem** 2.1 If risk functions for all decision rules are continuous in  $\theta$ , if  $\delta$  is Bayesian for  $\Lambda$  and has finite integrated risk  $r(\Lambda, \delta) < \infty$ , and if the support of  $\Lambda$  is the whole state space  $\Omega$ , then  $\delta$  is admissible.

Property 2.1  $p(\theta|x) = \frac{p_{\theta}(x)\lambda(\theta)}{\int p_{\theta}(x)\lambda(\theta)d\theta} := \frac{p_{\theta}(x)\lambda(\theta)}{m(x)}$ . Define the posterior risk of  $\delta$ :  $r(\delta|X=x) = \int \mathcal{L}(\theta,\delta(x))d\mathbb{P}(\theta|x)$ . The Bayes risk  $r(\Lambda,\delta)$  satisfies that  $r(\Lambda,\delta) = \int r(\delta|x)dM(x)$ . Let  $\hat{\delta}(x)$  be the value of  $\delta$  that minimizes  $r(\delta|x)$ . Then  $\hat{\delta}$  is the Bayes rule.

Example 2.3 (Application to supervised learning: regression)  $(X,Y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}, \mathscr{A} = \Omega = \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X}, \delta = f, \mathcal{L}(Y, f(X)) = \|Y - f(X)\|_p^p, p \ge 1$ , risk  $R_f = \iint \mathcal{L}(y, f(x)) d\mathbb{P}(x, y) = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))]$ . When p = 2,  $r(f|X = x) = \int \mathcal{L}(y, f(x)) d\mathbb{P}(y|x) = \int |y - f(x)|^2 d\mathbb{P}(y|x)$ . Regression function is  $g(x) := \int y d\mathbb{P}(y|x) \Rightarrow R_f = \mathbb{E}|Y - f(X)|^2 = \mathbb{E}|Y - g(X) + g(X) - f(X)|^2 = \mathbb{E}|Y - g(X)|^2 + \mathbb{E}|g(X) - f(X)|^2 \ge \mathbb{E}|Y - g(X)|^2$ .

Example 2.4 (Application to supervised learning: pattern classification)  $Y \in \{0,1\}, p_0 = P(Y=0), p_1 = \mathbb{P}(Y=1) = 1 - p_0, \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))] = \mathbb{P}(Y \neq f(X)).$  The Bayesian predictor is given by  $f(x) = 1_{\{\mathbb{P}(Y=1|X=x) \geq \frac{\mathcal{L}(1,0) - \mathcal{L}(0,0)}{\mathcal{L}(0,1) - \mathcal{L}(1,1)}\mathbb{P}(Y=0|X=x)\}}$ .

#### MARKOV DECISION PROCESS

Property 2.2 (Continuation)  $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) = \mathbb{E}(Y|X = x) := g(x), f(x) = 1_{\{g(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}}.$  Then  $0 \leq \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) \neq Y) - \mathbb{P}(f(X) \neq Y) \leq 2\int_{\mathcal{X}} |\hat{g}(x) - g(x)| \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \leq 2(\int_{\mathcal{X}} |\hat{g}(x) - g(x)|^2 \mu(dx))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$  In Example 2.4,  $f(x) = 1_{\{\frac{p(x|y=1)}{p(x|y=0)} \geq \frac{p_0(\mathcal{L}(0,1) - \mathcal{L}(0,0))}{p_1(\mathcal{L}(1,0) - \mathcal{L}(1,1))}\}}$ , which takes the same form as the likelihood ratio test (LRT): Likelihood  $L(X) := \frac{p(X|Y=1)}{p(X|Y=0)}$  and  $f(x) = 1_{\{L(x) \geq \eta\}}.$ 

**Definition** 2.4 (Confusion table) Ture Positive Rate: TPR =  $\mathbb{P}(\hat{Y} = 1|Y = 1)$ ; False Negative Rate: FNR = 1 – TPR, type II error; False Positive Rate: FPR =  $\mathbb{P}(\hat{Y} = 1|Y = 0)$ , type I error; True Negative Rate: TNR = 1 – FPR. Precision:  $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|\hat{Y} = 1) = \frac{p_1 \text{TPR}}{p_0 \text{FPR} + p_1 \text{TPR}}$ .  $F_1$ -score:  $F_1$  is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, which can be written as  $F_1 = \frac{2\text{TPR}}{1 + \text{TPR} + \frac{p_0}{1 + \text{TPR}} + \frac{p_0}{1 + \text{TPR}}}$ .

**Theorem** 2.2 (N-P lemma) Optimization: maximize TPR subject to FPR  $\leq \alpha, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Randomized rule: Q return 1 with probability Q(x) and 0 with probability 1 - Q(x). Maximize  $\mathbb{E}[Q(x)|Y = 1]$  subject to  $\mathbb{E}[Q(x)|Y = 0] \leq \alpha$ . Suppose the likelihood functions p(x|y) are continuous. Then the optimal predictor is a deterministic LRT.

Proof Let  $\eta$  be the threshold for an LRT such that the predictor  $Q_{\eta}(x) = 1\{\alpha(x) \geq \eta\}$  has FPR =  $\alpha$ . Such an LRT exists because likelihood functions are continuous. Let  $\beta$  denote the TPR of  $Q_{\eta}$ . Prove that  $Q_{\eta}$  is optimal for risk minimization problem corresponding to the loss functions  $\mathcal{L}(0,1) = \eta \frac{p_1}{p_0}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(1,0) = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(1,1) = \mathcal{L}(0,0) = 0$  since  $\frac{p_0(\mathcal{L}(0,1)-\mathcal{L}(0,0))}{p_1(\mathcal{L}(1,0)-\mathcal{L}(1,1))} = \frac{p_0\mathcal{L}(0,1)}{p_1\mathcal{L}(1,0)} = \eta$ . Under these loss functions, the risk of Bayes predictor for Q is  $\mathcal{R}_Q = p_0 \text{FPR}(Q)\mathcal{L}(0,1) + p_1(1-\text{TPR}(Q))\mathcal{L}(1,0) = p_1\eta\text{FPR}(Q) + p_1(1-\text{TPR}(Q))$ . Now let Q be any other rule with  $\text{FPR}(Q) \leq \alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{Q_{\eta}} = p_1\eta\alpha + p_1(1-\beta) \leq p_1\eta\text{FPR}(Q) + p_1(1-\text{TPR}(Q)) \leq p_1\eta\alpha + p_1(1-\text{TPR}(Q)) \Rightarrow \text{TPR}(Q) \leq \beta$ .

**Definition** 2.5 (ROC (Receiver operating character) curve) y-axis is TPR and x-axis is FPR.

Proposition 2.1 (1) The points (0,0) and (1,1) are on the ROC curve; (2) The ROC must lie above the main diagnal; (3) The ROC curve is concave.

**Proof** We only prove (2). Fix  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and consider a randomized rate TPR = FPR =  $\alpha$ ,  $Q(x) \equiv \alpha$ ; (3): Consider two rules (FPR( $\eta_1$ ), TPR( $\eta_1$ )) and (FPR( $\eta_2$ ), TPR( $\eta_2$ )). Flip a biased coin and use the first rule with probability t and the second rule with probability 1-t. Then this yields a randomized rule with (FPR, TPR) =  $(t\text{FPR}(\eta_1) + (1-t)\text{FPR}(\eta_2), t\text{TPR}(\eta_1) + (1-t)\text{FPR}(\eta_2)$ ). Fixing FPR  $\leq t\text{FPR}(\eta_1) + (1-t)\text{FPR}(\eta_2)$ , TPR  $\geq t\text{TPR}(\eta_1) + (1-t)\text{TPR}(\eta_2)$ .

### 3 Markov Decision Process

**Definition** 3.2 (Decision rules) Prescribe a procedure for action selection in each state at a specified decision epoch. Four cases: (1) Markovian and Deterministic (MD):  $\delta_t : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}$ ; (2) M and Randomized (MR):  $\delta_t : \mathcal{S} \to \Delta(\mathcal{A})(q_{\delta_t(s)}(a))$ ; (3) History-dependent and D (HD):  $h_t = (s_1, a_1, \dots, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, s_t) = (h_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, s_t), \mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}, \dots, \delta_t : \mathcal{H}_t \to \mathcal{A}$ ; (4) HR:  $\delta_t : \mathcal{H}_t \times \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . A policy  $\pi = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{N-1})$  is stationary if  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \dots = \delta$  for  $t \in T$ .

**Definition** 3.3 Let  $\pi = (\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_{N-1})$  in HR and  $R_t := r_t(X_t, Y_t)$  denote the random reward,  $R_N := r_N(X_N)$ ,  $R := (R_1, \cdots, R_N)$ . The expected total reward  $U_N^{\pi}(s) := \mathbb{E}^{\pi} \{ \sum_{t=1}^{N-1} r_t(X_t, Y_t) + r_N(X_N) | X_1 = s \}$ . Assume  $|r_t(s, a)| \le M < \infty$  for all  $(s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$ . Optimal policy:  $U_N^{\pi^*}(s) \ge U_N^{\pi}(s)$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ .  $\varepsilon$ -optimal policy:  $U_N^{\pi^*}(s) + \varepsilon > U_N^{\pi}(s)$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . The value of the MDP:  $U_N^{*}(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}} U_N^{\pi}(s)$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Property 3.1 (Finite-Horizon Policy Evaluation)  $V_t^{\pi}(h_t) = \mathbb{E}^{\pi} \{ \sum_{k=t}^{N-1} r_k(X_k, Y_k) + r_N(X_N) | h_t \}, V_N^{\pi}(h_N) = r_N(s), \pi \in \mathcal{D}^{HD}$ . By the formula of total expectation,

$$V_t^{\pi}(h_t) = r_t(s_t, \delta_t(h_t)) + \mathbb{E}_{h_t}^{\pi} V_{t+1}^{\pi}(h_t, \delta_t(h_t), X_{t+1}) = r_t(s_t, \delta_t(h_t)) + \sum_{j \in S} V_{t+1}^{\pi}(h_t, \delta_t(h_t), j) p(j|s_t, \delta_t(h_t)).$$

Consider randomness, i.e.  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{HR}$ ,

$$V_t^{\pi}(h_t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\delta_t(h_t)}(a) \{ r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}^{\pi}(h_t, a, j) p(j|s_t, a) \}.$$

Computational complexity: let  $K = |\mathcal{S}|, L = |\mathcal{A}|$ , at decision epoch t,  $K^{t+1}L^t$  histories,  $K^2 \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (KL)^i$  multiplications. If  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{MD}$ ,

$$V_t^{\pi}(s_t) = r_t(s_t, \delta_t(s_t)) + \sum_{j \in S} V_{t+1}^{\pi}(j) p(j|s_t, \delta_t(s_t)),$$

only  $(N-1)K^2$  multiplications. On the other hand, given  $\pi$ , this yields a valid and accurate calculation method for  $U_N^{\pi}(s)$ .

**Theorem** 3.1 (The Bellman Equations) Let  $V_t^*(h_t) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{HR}} V_t^{\pi}(h_t)$ . The optimality equations:

$$V_t(h_t) = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{ r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}(h_t, a, j) p_t(j|s_t, a) \} \text{ for } t = 1, 2, \cdots, N-1 \text{ and } h_t = (h_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, s_t) \in \mathcal{H}_t.$$

For  $t = N, V_N(h_N) = r_N(s_N)$ . Suppose  $V_t$  is a solution and  $V_N$  satisfies  $V_N(h_N) = r_N(s_N)$ . Then  $V_t(h_t) = V_t^*(h_t)$  for all  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}_t, t = 1, \dots, N$  and  $V_t(s_1) = V_t^*(s_1) = U_N^*(s_1)$  for all  $s_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Proof** We divide the proof into two parts.

Step 1: Prove  $V_n(h_n) \geq V_n^*(h_n)$  for all  $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . By induction: For t = N,  $V_N(h_N) = r_N(s_N) = V_N^*(h_N)$  for all  $h_t, \pi$ . Now assume that  $V_t(h_t) \geq V_t^*(h_t)$  for all  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}_t$  for  $t = n + 1, \dots, N$ . Let  $\pi' = (\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_{N-1})$  be an arbitrary policy in  $\mathcal{D}^{HR}$ . On the one hand, for t = n, it is trivial that

$$V_n(h_n) = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{ r_n(s_t, a_t) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s_n, a) V_{n+1}(h_n, a, j) \} \ge \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{ r_n(s_n, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j|s_n, a) V_{n+1}^*(h_n, a, j) \}$$

$$\ge \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{ r_n(s_n, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j|s_n, a) V_{n+1}^{\pi'}(h_n, a, j) \} \ge V_n^{\pi'}(h_n).$$

Step 2: Prove that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{HD}$  such that

$$V_n^{\pi'}(h_n) + (N-n)\varepsilon \ge V_n(h_n) \Rightarrow V_n^*(h_n) + (N-n)\varepsilon \ge V_n^{\pi'}(h_n) + (N-n)\varepsilon \ge V_n(h_n) \ge V_n^*(h_n).$$

Construct a policy  $\pi' = (\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_{N-1})$  by choosing  $\delta'_n(h_n)$  to satisfy

$$r_n(s_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j|s_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) V_{n+1}(h_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) + \varepsilon \ge V_n(h_n).$$

By induction: For t = N,  $V_N^{\pi'}(h_N) = V_N(h_N)$ . Assume  $V_t^{\pi'}(h_t) + (N-t)\varepsilon \ge V_t(h_t)$  for  $t = n+1, \dots, N$ . For t = n,

$$V_n^{\pi'}(h_n) = r_n(s_n, \pi'_n(h_n)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j|s_n, \delta_n^{\pi'}(h_n)) V_{n+1}^{\pi'}(h_n, \delta_n^{\pi'}(h_n), j) \ge V_n(h_n) - (N-n)\varepsilon.$$

Remark 3.1 The equations yield that  $\delta_t^*(h_t) \in \arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(h_t, a, j)\}$ , which means it is HD, i.e.  $U_N^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HD}}} U_N^{\pi}(s) \stackrel{?}{=} \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MD}}} U_N^{\pi}(s)$ . We will answer "?" in the following theorem.

**Theorem** 3.2 Let  $V_t^*, t = 1, \dots, N$  be solutions of Bellman Equations. Then (a) For each  $t = 1, \dots, N, V_t^*(h_t)$  depends on  $h_t$  only through  $s_t$ ; (b) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -optimal policy which is D and M; (c) Maximum can be achieved, it is optimal, which is MD.

**Proof** We only prove (a). By induction,  $V_N^*(h_N) = V_N^*(h_{N-1}, a_{N-1}, s) = r_N(s)$  for all  $h_{N-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}$ . Assume (a) is valid for  $t = n + 1, \dots, N$ . Then  $V_n^*(h_n) = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{ r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(j|s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(j) \} = V_n^*(s_t)$ .

**Definition** 3.4 (Backward Indcution (Dynamic Programming) Algorithm) 1. Set t = N and  $V_N^*(s_N) = r_N(s_N)$  for all  $s_N \in \mathcal{S}$ ; 2. Substitute t - 1 for t and compute  $V_t^*(s_t)$  for each  $s_t \in \mathcal{S}$  according to

$$V_t^*(s_t) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{ r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(j|s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(s_t) \},$$

and set  $\mathcal{A}_{s_t} = \arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(j|s_t, a)V_{t+1}^*(s_t)\}$ ; 3. If t = 1, stop. Otherwise return to Step 2.

**Remark** 3.2 (1) At time t, specialized  $S_t$  and  $A_s$ , special structure for  $r_t$  and  $p_t$ ; (2) K = |S| and L = |A|, at eact t, only  $(N-1)LK^2$  multiplications, ease computation and storage cost (because there are  $(L^K)^{N-1}$  DM policies).

**Definition** 3.5 (Infinite-Horizon MDPs) Assumptions: Stationary reward and transition probabilities, i.e.  $r_t(s, a) \equiv r(s, a), p_t(j|s, a) \equiv p(j|s, a)$ ; Bounded rewards, i.e.  $|r(s, a)| \leq M < \infty$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ ; Discounting coefficient  $\lambda, 0 \leq \lambda < 1$ ; Discrete state space  $\mathcal{S}$ . The expected total reward of policy  $\pi = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots) \in \mathcal{D}^{HR}$ :

$$U^{\pi}(s) = \lim_{N \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{s}^{\pi} \{ \sum_{t=1}^{N} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_{t}, Y_{t}) \} = \mathbb{E}_{s}^{\pi} \{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_{t}, Y_{t}) \}.$$

We say that a policy  $\pi^*$  is optimal when  $U^{\pi^*}(s) \geq U^{\pi}(s)$  for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  and all  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{HR}$ . Define the value of the MDP  $U^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{HR}} U^{\pi}(s)$ . Let  $U^{\pi}_{\nu}(s)$  denote the expected reward obtained by using  $\pi$  when the horizon  $\nu$  is random. Then  $U^{\pi}_{\nu}(s) = \mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{s} \{\mathbb{E}_{\nu \sim P} \sum_{t=1}^{\nu} r(X_{t}, Y_{t})\}$ .

**Theorem** 3.3 Suppose  $\nu$  has a GD( $\lambda$ ), i.e.  $\mathbb{P}(\nu = n) = \lambda^{n-1}(1 - \lambda)$ . Then  $U^{\pi}(s) = U^{\pi}_{\nu}(s)$  for all  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Proof** 
$$\mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{\nu}(s) = \mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{s} \{ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{n} r(X_{t}, Y_{t})(1-\lambda)\lambda^{n-1} \} = \mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{s} \{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=t}^{+\infty} r(X_{t}, Y_{t})(1-\lambda)\lambda^{n-1} \} = \mathbb{E}^{\pi}_{s} \{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_{t}, Y_{t}) \}.$$

**Theorem** 3.4 Suppose  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{HR}$ , then for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , there exists a  $\pi' \in \mathcal{D}^{MR}$  for which  $U^{\pi'}(s) = U^{\pi}(s)$ .

**Proof** Note that

$$U^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{s}^{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_{t}, Y_{t}) \right\} = \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{j \in S} \sum_{a \in A} \lambda^{t-1} r(j, a) p^{\pi}(X_{t} = j, Y_{t} = a | X_{1} = s).$$

Fixing  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , we only need to check

$$p^{\pi}(X_t = j, Y_t = a | X_1 = s) = p^{\pi'}(X_t = j, Y_t = a | X_1 = s).$$

For each  $j \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , define the randomized Markov decision rule  $\delta'_t$  by

$$q_{\delta'_t(i)}(a) = p^{\pi}(Y_t = a | X_t = j, X_1 = s).$$

Then

$$p^{\pi'}(Y_t = a|X_t = j) = p^{\pi}(Y_t = a|X_t = j, X_1 = s).$$

Assume the conclusion holds for  $t = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Then

$$p^{\pi'}(X_n = j, Y_n = a | X_1 = s) = p^{\pi'}(Y_n = a | X_n = j, X_1 = s)p^{\pi'}(X_n = j | X_1 = s)$$
$$= p^{\pi}(Y_n = a | X_n = j, X_1 = s)p^{\pi'}(X_n = j | X_1 = s).$$

Then by induction assumption,

$$p^{\pi}(X_n = j | X_1 = s) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} p^{\pi}(X_{n-1} = k, Y_{n-1} = a | X_1 = s) p(j | k, a)$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} p^{\pi'}(X_{n-1} = k, Y_{n-1} = a | X_1 = s) p(j | k, a) = p^{\pi'}(X_n = j | X_1 = s)$$

**Proposition** 3.1 (Vector expression for MDP) Let  $\delta$  be MD, define  $r_{\delta}(s)$  and  $p_{\delta}(j|s)$  by

$$r_{\delta}(s) := r(s, \delta(s)), p_{\delta}(j|s) := p(j|s, \delta(s)).$$

Denote  $r_{\delta} = (r_{\delta}(1), \cdots, r_{\delta}(|\mathcal{S}|))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}|}, p_{\delta} = (p_{\delta})_{(s,j)} = p(j|s, \delta(s)).$  For MR  $\delta$ , define

$$r_{\delta}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\delta(s)}(a) r(s, a), p_{\delta}(j|s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\delta(s)}(a) p(j|s, a).$$

The (s,j)-th component of the t-step transition probability matrix  $p_{\pi}^{t}$  satisfies

$$p_{\pi}^{t}(j|s) = [p_{\delta_{1}}p_{\delta_{2}}\cdots p_{\delta_{t}}](j|s) = p^{\pi}(X_{t+1} = j|X_{1} = s)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{s}^{\pi}g(X_{t}) = \sum_{j\in\mathcal{S}}p_{\pi}^{t-1}(j|s)g(j) = (p_{\pi}^{t}g)_{s}$$

$$U^{\pi} = \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty}\lambda^{t-1}p_{\pi}^{t-1}r_{\delta_{t}} = r_{\delta_{1}} + \lambda p_{\delta_{1}}(r_{\delta_{1}} + \lambda p_{\delta_{2}}r_{\delta_{2}} + \cdots) = r_{\delta_{1}} + \lambda p_{\delta_{1}}U^{\pi_{1}}.$$

When  $\pi$  is stationary,  $U = r_{\delta} + \lambda p_{\delta}U$ .

**Theorem** 3.5 Define  $\mathscr{L}U = \sup_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MD}}} \{ r_d + \lambda p_d U \}$ . Suppose there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  for which (a)  $U \geq \mathscr{L}U$ , then  $U \geq U^*$ ; (b)  $U \leq \mathscr{L}U$ , then  $U \leq U^*$ ; (c)  $U = \mathscr{L}U$ , then  $U = U^*$ .

**Proof** (a) By the given conditions,

$$U \ge \sup_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}^{MR}} \{ r_d + \lambda p_d U \} \ge r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} U \ge r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} (r_{\delta_2} + \lambda p_{\delta_2} U)$$

$$\ge r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} r_{\delta_2} + \dots + \lambda^{n-1} p_{\delta_1} p_{\delta_2} \dots p_{\delta_{n-1}} r_{\delta_n} + \lambda^n p_{\pi}^n U$$

$$\Rightarrow U - U^{\pi} \ge \lambda^n p_{\pi}^n U - \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} \lambda^k p_{\pi}^k r_{\delta_{k+1}} \ge 0.$$

(b) 
$$U \leq \mathscr{L}U \Rightarrow U \leq r_d + \lambda p_d U + \varepsilon 1 \Rightarrow (I - \lambda p_d)U \leq r_d + \varepsilon 1 \Rightarrow U \leq (I - \lambda p_d)^{-1}(r_d + \varepsilon 1) = U^{\pi} + \varepsilon(1 - \lambda)^{-1}1_{|\mathcal{S}|}$$
.

(c) Omitted.

**Theorem** 3.6 If  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a contraction mapping on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proof** Let u and v in  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , assume  $\mathcal{L}v(s) \geq \mathcal{L}u(s)$  and let  $a_s^* = \arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r(s, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda p(j|s, a)v(j)\}$ . Then

$$0 \le \mathcal{L}v(s) - \mathcal{L}u(s) \le r(s, a_s^*) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda p(j|s, a_j^*)v(j) - r(s, a_j^*) - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda p(j|s, a_s^*)u(j)$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a_s^*)(v(j) - u(j)) \le \lambda \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a_s^*)||u - v|| = \lambda ||u - v||.$$

## 4 Statistical Learning Theory

**Definition** 4.1 (Basic concepts)  $(X,Y) \sim P \in \mathcal{P}$ , definite  $(X_1,Y_1), \dots, (X_n,Y_n)$  i.i.d.,  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{(X_1,Y_1), \dots, (X_n,Y_n)\}$ , risk  $\mathcal{R}_n(f) = \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y)\in\mathcal{D}_n}l(X,Y)$ . An algorithm A is a mapping from  $\mathcal{D}_n$  to a function  $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ . Excess risk:  $\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n)) - \mathcal{R}_P^*$ . Expected error:  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n))]$ . An algorithm is called consistent in expectation for P iff  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n))] - \mathcal{R}_P^* \to 0$ . PAC (probability approximately correct): for a given  $\delta \in (0,1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n))) - \mathcal{R}_P^* \le \varepsilon) \ge 1 - \delta$ .

**Definition** 4.2 (Consistency)  $g(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y|X=x], g_n(x,\mathcal{D}_n) = g_n(x), \mathbb{E}\{|g_n(X)-Y|^2|\mathcal{D}_n\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_n(x)-g(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) + \mathbb{E}|g(X)-Y|^2$ . A sequence of regression function estimates  $\{g_n\}$  is called (a) weakly consistent for a certain distribution of (X,Y) if  $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}\{\int [g_n(x)-g(x)]\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\} = 0$ ; (b) strongly consistent for a certain distribution if  $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int [g_n(x)-g(x)]^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = 0$  with probability 1; (c) weakly universally consistent if for all distributions of (X,Y) with  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty$ ,  $\cdots$ ; (d) strongly universally consistent  $\cdots$ .

**Definition** 4.3 (Penalized model)  $g_n = \arg\min_{f} \{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 + J_n(f) \}$ . Penalized term for f:

$$J_n(f) = \lambda_n \int |f''(t)|^2 dt \text{ or } J_{n,k}(f) = \lambda_n \int \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \left| \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial x_{t_1} \dots \partial x_{t_d}} \right|^2 dt, \dots$$

**Proposition** 4.1 (Curse of dimensionality) Let  $X, X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $\mathbb{R}^d$  uniformly distributed in  $[0,1]^d$ .

$$d_{\infty}(d,n) = \mathbb{E}\{\min_{i=1,\dots,n} \|X - X_i\|_{\infty}\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{\min_{i=1,\dots,n} \|X - X_i\|_{\infty} > t\} dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - \mathbb{P}\{\min_{i=1,\dots,n} \|X - X_i\|_{\infty} < t\}) dt.$$

Since  $\mathbb{P}\{\min_i \|X - X_i\|_{\infty} < t\} \le n\mathbb{P}(\|X - X_1\|_{\infty} \le t) \le n(2t)^d$ ,  $d_{\infty}(d, n) \ge \frac{d}{2(d+1)}n^{-\frac{1}{d}}$ .

**Theorem** 4.1 (No-Free lunch theorem) Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0. For every sequence of regression estimates, there exists a distribution of (X,Y) such that X is uniformly distributed on [0,1], Y = g(X), g is  $\pm 1$  valued, and  $\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n - g\|^2}{a_n} \geq 1$ .

**Proof** Let  $\{p_j\}$  be a probability distribution and let  $A = \{A_j\}$  be a partition of [0,1] such that  $A_j$  is an interval of length  $p_j$ . Consider regression function indexed by a parameter  $c = (c_1, c_2, \cdots)$  with  $c_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Define  $g^{(c)} : [0,1] \to \{-1,1\}$  by  $g^{(c)}(x) = c_j$  iff  $x \in A_j$  and  $Y = g^{(c)}(X)$ . For  $x \in A_j$ , define  $\bar{g}_n(x) = \frac{1}{p_j} \int_{A_j} g_n(z) \mu(\mathrm{d}z)$  to be the projection of  $g_n$  on A. Then

$$\int_{A_j} |g_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{A_j} |g_n(x) - \bar{g}_n(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_A |\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

$$\geq \int_A |\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Set  $\hat{c}_{nj} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \int_{A_j} g_n(z)\mu(\mathrm{d}z) \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ . For  $x \in A_j$ , if  $\hat{c}_{nj} = 1$  and  $c_j = -1$ , then  $\bar{g}_n(x) \geq 0$  and  $g^{(c)}(x) = -1$ , implying  $|\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)| \geq 1$ ; if  $\hat{c}_{nj} = -1$  and  $c_j = 1$ , then  $\bar{g}_n(x) < 0$  and  $g^{(c)}(x) = 1$ , also implying  $|\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \geq 1$ . Therefore,

$$\int_{A} |\bar{g}_{n}(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^{2} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \ge 1_{\{\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_{j}\}} \int_{A_{j}} 1\mu(\mathrm{d}x) \ge 1_{\{\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_{j}\}} p_{j} \ge 1_{\{\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_{j}\}} 1_{\{\mu_{n}(A_{j}) = 0\}} p_{j}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int |g_{n}(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^{2} \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right\} \ge \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_{j}, \mu_{n}(A_{j}) = 0) p_{j} := R_{n}(c).$$

Now we randomize c. Let  $C_1, C_2, \cdots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables independent of  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  which satisfy  $\mathbb{P}(c_1 = 1) = \mathbb{P}(c_1 = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{E}R_{n}(C) = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\mathbb{P}(\hat{C}_{nj} \neq C_{j}, \mu_{n}(A_{j}) = 0) p_{j} \stackrel{\text{total expectation}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\{1_{\{\mu_{n}(A_{j})=0\}}\mathbb{P}(\hat{C}_{nj} \neq C_{j} | X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n})\} p_{j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mu_{n}(A_{j}) = 0) p_{j} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_{j})^{n} p_{j}.$$

On the other hand,

$$R_n(c) \le \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n(A_j) = 0) p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j \Rightarrow \frac{R_n(c)}{\mathbb{E}R_n(C)} \le 2.$$

By Fatou's lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\frac{R_n(C)}{\mathbb{E}R_n(C)}\right\} \ge \limsup_{n\to+\infty}\left\{\frac{R_n(C)}{\mathbb{E}R_n(C)}\right\} = 1,$$

which implies that there exists  $c \in C$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{R_n(C)}{\mathbb{E}R_n(C)} \ge 1 \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\{\int |g_n(x) - g(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x)\}}{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j} \ge 1.$$

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 with  $\frac{1}{2} \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots$ , then there exists a probability  $\{p_j\}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (1-p_j)^n p_j \geq a_n, \forall n$ .

#### STATISTICAL LEARNING THEORY

**Definition** 4.4 (Minimax lower bounds) (a) The sequence of positive numbers  $a_n$  is called the lower minimax rate of convergence for the  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \inf_{g_n} \sup_{P\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n-g\|^2}{a_n} = c_1 > 0$ . (b)  $a_n$  is called optimal rate of convergence for the class

 $\mathcal{P}$  if it is a lower minimax rate of convergence and there is an estimate  $g_n$  such that  $\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\sup_{P\in\mathcal{P}}\frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n-g\|^2}{a_n}=c_n<\infty$ .

**Definition** 4.5 (Smoothness) Let  $q = k + \beta$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \beta \le 1$  and let  $\rho > 0$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is called  $(q, \rho)$ -smooth if for every  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d), \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = k$ , the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$  exists and satisfies  $\left| \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}(x) - \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}(z) \right| \le \rho \|x - z\|^{\beta}$ . Let  $\mathscr{F}^{(q,\rho)}$  be the set of all  $(q, \rho)$ -smooth functions f. Let  $\mathscr{P}^{(q,\rho)}$  be the class of distributions (X,Y) such that (i) X is uniformly distributed on  $[0,1]^d$ ; (ii) Y = g(X) + N, where  $X \perp \!\!\!\perp N$ , and N is standard normal; (iii)  $g \in \mathscr{F}^{q,\rho}$ .

Lemma 4.1 Let u be an l-dimensional real vector, let C be a zero means random variables takeing values in  $\{-1,1\}$  and let N be an l-dimensional standard normal independent of C. Set Z = Cu + N. Then the error probability of the Bayesian decision for C based on Z is  $\mathcal{R}^* = \min_{g:\mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(g(Z) \neq C) = \Phi(-\|u\|)$ .

**Proof**  $\mathbb{P}(C=1) = \mathbb{P}(C=-1) = \frac{1}{2}, \mathbb{P}(Z|C=1) = \mathcal{N}(u,I), \mathbb{P}(Z|C=-1) = \mathcal{N}(-u,I).$  By the Bayes formula,

$$\mathbb{P}(C=1|Z=z) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(C=1)\mathbb{P}(Z|C=1)}{\mathbb{P}(C=1)\mathbb{P}(Z|C=1) + \mathbb{P}(C=-1)\mathbb{P}(Z|C=-1)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{\|Z-u\|^2}{2} - \frac{\|Z+u\|^2}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-2Z^Tu)}.$$

Therefore, the optimal Bayes decision is  $g^*(Z) = \operatorname{sgn}(Z^T u)$ , and the risk is

$$\mathcal{R}^* = \mathbb{P}(g^*(Z) \neq C) = \mathbb{P}(Z^T u < 0, C = 1) + \mathbb{P}(Z^T u > 0, C = -1)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\|u\|^2 + u^T N < 0, C = 1) + \mathbb{P}(-\|u\|^2 + u^T N > 0, C = -1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(u^T N \le -\|u\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(u^T N > \|u\|^2) = \Phi(-\|u\|).$$

**Theorem** 4.2 For the class  $\mathcal{P}^{(q,\rho)}$ , the sequence  $a_n = n^{-\frac{2q}{2q+d}}$  is a lower minimax rate of convergence. In particular,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \inf_{g_n} \sup_{P_{(X,Y)} \in \mathcal{P}^{(q,\rho)}} \frac{\mathbb{E} \|g_n - g\|^2}{\rho^{\frac{2d}{2q+d}} n^{-\frac{2q}{2q+d}}} \ge c_1 > 0.$$

**Proof** Step 1: Construct an auxiliary function  $g^{(c)}(x)$ . Set  $M_n = \lceil (\rho^2 n)^{\frac{1}{2q+d}} \rceil$ . Partition  $[0,1]^d$  into  $M_n^d$  cubes  $\{A_{n,j}\}$  of side length  $\frac{1}{M_n}$  and with centers  $\{a_{n,j}\}$ . Choose a function  $\bar{f}: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  such that the support of  $\bar{f}$  is a subset of  $[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]^d$ ,  $\int \bar{f}^2(x)\mathrm{d}x > 0$  and  $\bar{f} \in \mathscr{F}^{(q,2^{\beta-1})}$ . Define  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $f = \rho \bar{f}$ . Let  $c_n = (c_{n,1},\cdots,c_{n,M_n^d}) \in \mathcal{C}_n$  take values in  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Define  $g^{(c_n)}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} c_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x)$  where  $f_{n_j}(x) = M_n^{-q} f(M_n(x-a_{n,j}))$ .

Step 2: Show that  $g^{(c_n)} \in \mathscr{F}^{(q,\rho)}$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d), \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j = k \text{ and } D^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$ . If  $x, z \in A_{n,j}$ ,

$$|D^{\alpha}g^{c_n}(x) - D^{\alpha}g^{(c_n)}(z)| = |c_{n,k}||D^{\alpha}f_{n,j}(x) - D^{\alpha}f_{n,j}(z)| \le \rho||x - z||^{\beta}.$$

If  $x \in A_{n,i}$ ,  $z \in A_{n,j}$ , choose  $\bar{x}, \bar{z}$  on the line between x and z such that  $\bar{x}$  is on the boundary of  $A_{n,i}$  and  $\bar{z}$  is on the boundary of  $A_{n,j}$ . Then

$$|D^{\alpha}g^{(c_{n})}(x) - D^{\alpha}g^{(c_{n})}(z)| \leq |c_{n,i}D^{\alpha}f_{n,i}(x)| + |c_{n,j}D^{\alpha}f_{n,j}(z)|$$

$$= |c_{n,i}||D^{\alpha}f_{n,i}(x) - D^{\alpha}f_{n,i}(\bar{x})| + |c_{n,j}||D^{\alpha}f_{n,j}(z) - D^{\alpha}f_{n,j}(\bar{z})|$$

$$\leq \rho 2^{\beta-1}(||x - \bar{x}||^{\beta} + ||z - \bar{z}||^{\beta}) = \rho 2^{\beta} \left(\frac{||x - \bar{x}||^{\beta}}{2} + \frac{||z - \bar{z}||^{\beta}}{2}\right)$$

$$\leq \rho 2^{\beta} \left(\frac{||x - \bar{x}||}{2} + \frac{||z - \bar{z}||}{2}\right)^{\beta} \leq \rho ||x - z||^{\beta}.$$

Step 3: Prove that

$$\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \inf_{g_n} \sup_{Y = g^{(c)}(X) + N, c \in \mathcal{C}_n} \frac{M_n^{2q}}{\rho^2} \mathbb{E} \|g_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 > 0.$$

 $\{f_{n,j}\}$  forms a set of orthogonal basis. Let  $g_n$  be an arbitrary estimate, and the projection  $\bar{g}_n$  of  $g_n$  to  $\{g^{(c)}:c\in\mathcal{C}_n\}$  is given by  $\bar{g}_n=\sum_{j=1}^{M_n}\tilde{c}_{n,j}f_{n,j}(x)$ . Then

$$||g_n - g^{(c)}||^2 = ||g_n - \bar{g}_n||^2 + ||g_n - g^{(c)}||^2 \ge ||\bar{g}_n - g^{(c)}||^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} \int_{A_{n,j}} (\tilde{c}_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x) - c_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x))^2 dx$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} \int_{A_{n,j}} (\tilde{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,k})^2 f_{n,j}^2(x) dx = \int f^2(x) dx \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} (\tilde{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j})^2 \frac{1}{M_n^{2q+d}}.$$

Define  $\bar{c}_{n,j} = \operatorname{sgn}(\tilde{c}_{n,j})$ , then

$$|\tilde{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j}| \ge \frac{|\bar{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j}|}{2} \Rightarrow ||g_n - g^{(c)}||^2 \ge \int f^2(x) dx \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{M_n^{2q+d}} \sum_{i=1}^{M_n^d} (\bar{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j})^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{M_n^{2q}} \int \bar{f}^2(x) dx \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} 1_{\{\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq c_{n,j}\}}.$$

Step 4: Prove that

$$\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \inf_{\bar{c}_n} \sup_{c_n} \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{i=1}^{M_n^d} \mathbb{P}(\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq c_{n,j}) > 0.$$

Now we randomize  $c_n$ . Let  $c_{n,1}, \dots, c_{n,M_n^d}$  be i.i.d. random variables independent of  $(X_1, N_1), \dots, (X_n, N_n)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(C_{n,1} = 1) = \mathbb{P}(C_{n,1} = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\bar{c}_{n,j}$  can be interpreted as a decision on  $C_{n,j}$  using  $\mathcal{D}_n$ . Let  $\bar{C}_{n,j} = 1$  if  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,j} = 1 | \mathcal{D}_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,

$$\inf_{\bar{c}_n} \sup_{c_n} \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} \mathbb{P}(\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq c_{n,j}) \ge \inf_{\bar{c}_n} \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} \mathbb{P}(\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq C_{n,j}) \ge \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} \mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,j} \neq C_{n,j})$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,1} \neq C_{n,1}) = \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,1} \neq C_{n,1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)\}.$$

Let  $X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_t}$  be those  $X_i \in A_{n,1}, (Y_{i,1}, \dots, Y_{i_t}) = C_{n,1}(f_{n,1}(X_{i_1}), \dots, f_{n,1}(X_{i_t})) + (N_{i_1}, \dots, N_{i_t})$ . By lemma 4.1,

$$\mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,1} \neq C_{n,1} | X_1, \cdots, X_n)\} = \mathbb{E}\Phi\left(-\sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^t f_{n,1}^2(X_{i_r})}\right) = \mathbb{E}\Phi\left(-\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n f_{n,1}^2(X_i)}\right)$$

$$\geq \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^n f_{n,1}^2(X_i)}\right) \geq \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\int f^2(x) \mathrm{d}x}\right) > 0.$$

## 5 Uniform Laws of Large Numbers

**Definition** 5.1 (Background) Set  $Z = (X, Y), Z_i = (X_i, Y_i), g_f(x, y) = |f(x) - y|^2$  for  $f \in \mathscr{F}_n, G_n = \{g_f : f \in \mathscr{F}_n\},$  consider the limit  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{g \in \mathscr{G}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right|.$ 

$$\text{Lemma 5.1 (Hoeffding's inequality)} \ g: \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,B], \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{B^2}} \\ \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{g \in \mathscr{G}_n}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq 2|\mathscr{G}_n|e^{-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{B^2}} \end{cases} . \ \text{For } \left(\sup_{g \in \mathscr{G}_n}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq 2|\mathscr{G}_n|e^{-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{B^2}} \end{cases} .$$

finite class  $\mathscr{G}$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |\mathscr{G}_n| e^{-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{B^2}} < \infty$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{g\in\mathcal{G}_n}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^ng(Z_i)-\mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right|>\varepsilon\text{ i.o.}\right)=0$$

**Definition** 5.2 (Covering number) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\mathscr{G}$  be a set of functions  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ . Every finite collection of functions  $g_1, \dots, g_N : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  with the property that for every  $g \in \mathscr{G}$  there is a  $j = j(g) \in [N]$  such that  $||g - g_j||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -cover of  $\mathscr{G}$  w.r.t.  $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ . Let  $\mathscr{N}(\varepsilon, \mathscr{G}, ||\cdot||_{\infty})$  or  $\mathscr{N}_{\infty}(\varepsilon, \mathscr{G})$  be the smallest  $\varepsilon$ -cover of  $\mathscr{G}$  w.r.t.  $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ .

**Theorem** 5.1 For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathscr{G}_n$  be a set of functions  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, B]$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{g\in\mathscr{G}_n}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^ng(Z_i)-\mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right|>\varepsilon\right)\leq 2\mathscr{N}_\infty\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3},\mathscr{G}_n\right)\exp\left(-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{9B^2}\right).$$

**Proof** Let  $\mathscr{G}_{n,\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}$  be an  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ -cover of  $\mathscr{G}_n$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  of minimal cardinality. Fix  $g \in \mathscr{G}_n$ , there exists  $\bar{g} \in \mathscr{G}_{n,\frac{\varepsilon}{3}}$  such that  $\|g - \bar{g}\|_{\infty} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (g(Z_i) - \bar{g}(Z_i)) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{g}(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{\bar{g}(Z)\} \right| + |\mathbb{E}\bar{g}(Z) - \mathbb{E}g(Z)|$$

$$\leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{g}(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{\bar{g}(Z)\} \right|,$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left( \sup_{g \in \mathscr{G}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\} \right| > \varepsilon \right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left( \sup_{g \in \mathscr{G}_{n, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right)$$

Then use Hoeffding's inequality.

**Definition** 5.3 Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathscr{G}$  be a set of functions  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , and  $\nu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . (a) Every finite collection of functions  $g_1, \dots, g_N : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  with the property that for every  $g \in \mathscr{G}$  there is a  $j = j(g) \in [N]$  such that  $\|g - g_j\|_{L_p(\nu)} < \varepsilon$  is called a  $\varepsilon$ -cover of  $\mathscr{G}$ . Similarly define  $\mathscr{N}(\varepsilon, \mathscr{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$ . (b) Let  $Z^{1:n} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\nu_n$  be the corresponding empirical measure, then  $\|f\|_{L_p(\nu_n)} := \left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^p\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and similarly define  $\mathscr{N}_p(\varepsilon, \mathscr{G}, Z^{1:n})$ .

П

**Definition** 5.4 (Packing number) (a) Every finite collection of functions  $g_1, \dots, g_N \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $||g_j - g_k||_{L_p(\nu)} \ge \varepsilon$  for all  $1 \le j < k \le N$  is called  $\varepsilon$ -packing of  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $||\cdot||_{L_p(\nu)}$ . The largest  $\varepsilon$ -packing is denoted as  $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, ||\cdot||_{L_p(\nu)})$ . Similarly define  $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n})$ .

Property 5.1 (Covering number v.s. packing number)

$$\mathcal{M}(2\varepsilon,\mathcal{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon,\mathcal{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\mathcal{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}),$$
$$\mathcal{M}(2\varepsilon,\mathcal{G},Z^{1:n}) \leq \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon,\mathcal{G},Z^{1:n}) \leq \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon,\mathcal{G},Z^{1:n}).$$

**Theorem** 5.2 Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a set of functions  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $\mathscr{F}$  is a linear vector space of dimension D. Then for arbitrary R > 0,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathcal{N}_2\left(\varepsilon, \left\{f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(z_i)|^2 \le R^2\right\}, Z^{1:n}\right) \le \left(\frac{4R+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)^D.$$

**Definition** 5.5 Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be a class of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , define  $s(\mathscr{A}, \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}) = |\{A \cap \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} : A \in \mathscr{A}\}|$ .

**Definition** 5.6 Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of size n. We say  $\mathscr{A}$  shatters  $\mathscr{G}$  if  $s(\mathscr{A},\mathscr{G})=2^n$ . The nth shatter coefficient of  $\mathscr{A}$  is  $S(\mathscr{A},n)=\max_{\{z_1,\dots,z_n\}\subset\mathbb{R}^d}s(\mathscr{A},\{z_1,\dots,z_n\})$ , the maximum number of different subsets of n points that can be picked out by set from  $\mathscr{A}$ .

**Definition** 5.7 (VC dimension) Let  $\mathscr{A}$  be a class of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mathscr{A} \neq \emptyset$ . The VC dimension  $V_{\mathscr{A}}$  of  $\mathscr{A}$  is defined by  $V_{\mathscr{A}} = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N}, S(\mathscr{A}, n) = 2^n\}$ .

Proposition 5.1  $S(\mathcal{A}, n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{V_{\mathcal{A}}} \binom{n}{i}$ .

**Theorem** 5.3 Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a set of functions  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, B]$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathscr{G}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^ng(Z)-\mathbb{E}[g(Z)]\right|>\varepsilon\right\}\leq 8\mathbb{E}\mathscr{N}_1(\frac{\varepsilon}{8},\mathscr{G},Z^{1:n})\exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{128B^2}\right).$$

**Proof** Step 1: Symmetrization. Let  $Z^{1:n}$  be i.i.d. samples from the same distribution and independent of  $Z^{1:n}$  and  $g^* \in \mathscr{G}$  be a function such that  $\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| > \varepsilon$  if there exists such one. Otherwise, let  $g^*$  be an arbitrary

function in 
$$\mathscr{G}$$
.  $g^*(z)$  depends on  $Z^{1:n}$  and  $\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i')\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left|Z^{1:n}\right\} \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n})}{n(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})^2} \le \frac{B^2/4}{n\varepsilon^2/4} = \frac{B^2}{n\varepsilon^2} \le \frac{1}{2} \text{ holds for } n \ge \frac{2B^2}{\varepsilon^2}.$  Thus we have

$$\frac{D}{n\varepsilon^2} \le \frac{1}{2}$$
 holds for  $n \ge \frac{2D}{\varepsilon^2}$ . Thus we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathcal{G}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g(Z_{i})-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g(Z_{i}')\right|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}\geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g^{*}(Z_{i})-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g^{*}(Z_{i}')\right|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \\
\geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g^{*}(Z_{i})-\mathbb{E}[g^{*}(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right|>\varepsilon,\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g^{*}(Z_{i}')-\mathbb{E}[g^{*}(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right|\leq\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \\
= \mathbb{E}\left\{1_{\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g^{*}(Z_{i})-\mathbb{E}[g^{*}(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right|>\varepsilon\right\}}\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g^{*}(Z_{i}')-\mathbb{E}[g^{*}(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right|\leq\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right|Z^{1:n}\right)\right\} \\
\geq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g^{*}(Z_{i})-\mathbb{E}[g^{*}(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right|>\varepsilon\right\}$$

Therefore, 
$$2\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathscr{G}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^ng(Z_i)-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^ng(Z_i')\right|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}\geq\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathscr{G}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^ng(Z_i)-\mathbb{E}[g(Z)]\right|>\varepsilon\right\}.$$
 Step 2: Introduction of additive randomness by random signs. Let  $U_1,\cdots,U_n$  be independent and uniformly

distributed over  $\{-1,1\}$  and independent  $Z^{1:n}$  and  $Z'^{1:n}$ .

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathscr{G}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(Z_{i})-g(Z_{i}')]\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathscr{G}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}[g(Z_{i})-g(Z_{i}')]\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \\
\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathscr{G}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}g(Z_{i})\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathscr{G}}\left|\frac{1}{n}U_{i}g(Z_{i}')\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\} \\
= 2\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathscr{G}}\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}g(Z_{i})\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\}$$

Step 3: Conditioning and introduction of a covering on  $Z^{1:n}$ . Let  $\mathscr{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}$  be an  $L_1$   $\frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ -cover of  $\mathscr{G}$  in  $Z^{1:n}$ . Fix  $g \in \mathscr{G}$ , then there exists  $\bar{g} \in \mathscr{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}$  s.t.  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i) - \bar{g}(Z_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ .  $\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i g(Z_i) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i \bar{g}(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i [g(Z_i) - \bar{g}(Z_i)] \right| \le 1$ 

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i \bar{g}(Z_i) \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$$
. Thus

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\exists g \in \mathscr{G}: \left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i)\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists g \in \mathscr{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}: \left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(Z_i)\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right\} \leq |\mathscr{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}| \max_{g \in \mathscr{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}} \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i)\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right\}$$

Step 4: Application of Hoeffding's inequality:  $|U_i g(Z_i)| \le B \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i)\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right\} \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{2n(\frac{\varepsilon}{8})^2}{(2B)^2}\right) = 0$  $2\exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{128B^2}\right).$ 

**Theorem** 5.4 Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a class of functions  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, B]$  with  $V_{\mathscr{G}^+} \geq 2$  where  $\mathscr{G}^+ := \{(z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : t \leq g(z), g \in \mathbb{R}^d$  $\mathscr{G}$ }. Let  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\nu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{B}{4}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \le 3\left(\frac{2eB^p}{\varepsilon^p}\log\frac{3eB^p}{\varepsilon^p}\right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}}.$$

**Proof** Step 1: Set p=1. Relate  $\mathscr{M}(\varepsilon,\mathscr{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$  to a shatter coefficient of  $\mathscr{G}^+$ . Set  $m=\mathscr{M}(\varepsilon,\mathscr{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$  and let  $\bar{\mathscr{G}} = \{g_1, \cdots, g_m\}$  be a  $\varepsilon$ -packing of  $\mathscr{G}$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}$ . Let  $Q_1, \cdots, Q_K \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be K independent r.v.'s with common  $\nu$ . Generate K independent r.v.'s  $T_1, \dots, T_K$  uniformly distributed on [0, B]. Denote  $R_i = (Q_i, T_i), i = 1, \dots, K, \mathscr{G}_f = (Q_i, T_i), i = 1, \dots, K, \mathcal{G}_f = (Q_i, T_i$  $\{(x,t):t\leq f(x)\}\ \text{for}\ f:\mathbb{R}^d\to[0,B].$  Then

$$S(\mathcal{G}^+,K) = \max_{\{z_1,\cdots,z_K\} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} s(\mathcal{G}^+,\{z_1,\cdots,z_K\}) \ge \mathbb{E}s(\mathcal{G}_+,\{R_1,\cdots,R_K\}) \ge \mathbb{E}s(\{\mathcal{G}_f: f \in \mathcal{G}\},\{R_1,\cdots,R_K\})$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}s(\{\mathscr{G}_f: f \in \mathscr{G}, \mathscr{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} \neq \mathscr{G}_g \cap R^{1:K} \text{ for all } g \in \bar{\mathscr{G}}, g \neq f\}, R^{1:K})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_{f \in \bar{\mathscr{G}}} 1_{\{\mathscr{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} \neq \mathscr{G}_g \cap R^{1:K} \text{ for all } g \in \mathscr{G}, g \neq f\}}\right\} = \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathscr{G}}} \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} \neq \mathscr{G}_g \cap R^{1:K} \text{ for all } g \in \mathscr{G}, g \neq f)$$

$$= \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathscr{G}}} \left(1 - \mathbb{P}(\exists g \in \bar{\mathscr{G}}, g \neq f, \mathscr{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} = \mathscr{G}_g \cap R^{1:K})\right) \geq \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathscr{G}}} \left(1 - m \max_{g \in \bar{\mathscr{G}}, g \neq f} \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} = \mathscr{G}_g \cap R^{1:K})\right).$$

For  $f, g \in \mathcal{G}, f \neq g$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} = \mathscr{G}_g \cap R^{1:K}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{G}_f \cap \{R_1\}) = \mathscr{G}_g \cap \{R_1\})^K,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} &= \mathscr{G}_g \cap \{R_1\}) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} \neq \mathscr{G}_g \cap \{R_1\}) = 1 - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} \neq \mathscr{G}_g \cap \{R_1\} | Q_1)] \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(f(Q_1) < T \leq g(Q_1) \text{ or } g(Q_1) < T \leq f(Q_1) | Q_1)] = 1 - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{|f(Q_1) - g(Q_1)|}{B}\right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{B} \int |f(x) - g(x)| \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} = \mathscr{G}_g \cap \{R_1\})^K \leq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{B}\right)^K \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon K}{B}\right) \\ \Rightarrow S(\mathscr{G}^+, K) \geq m \left(1 - m \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon K}{B}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Set  $K = \left| \frac{B}{\varepsilon} \log(2m) \right|$ . Then

$$1 - m \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon K}{B}\right) \ge 1 - m \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{B}\left(\frac{B}{\varepsilon}\log(2m) - 1\right)\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{B}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \ge \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow m \le 3S(\mathscr{G}_+, K).$$

Step 2: Relate  $S(\mathcal{G}_+, K)$  to  $V_{\mathcal{G}_+}$ . Set  $K = \lfloor \frac{B}{\varepsilon} \log(2\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})) \rfloor \leq V_{\mathcal{G}_+} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|)\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)} \leq \frac{e}{2} \exp(V_{\mathcal{G}_+}) \leq 3 \left(\frac{2eB}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{3eB}{\varepsilon}\right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}_+}}$ . In the case  $K > V_{\mathcal{G}_+}$ , use the following lemma:

 $\text{Lemma 5.2 Let } \mathscr{A} \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } V_\mathscr{A} < \infty. \text{ Then } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, S(\mathscr{A}, n) \leq (n+1)^{V_\mathscr{A}} \text{ and } \forall n \geq V_\mathscr{A}, S(\mathscr{A}, n) \leq (\frac{en}{V_\mathscr{A}})^{V_\mathscr{A}}.$ 

$$\text{Then } \mathscr{M}(\varepsilon,\mathscr{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq 3 \left(\frac{eK}{V_{\mathscr{G}_+}}\right)^{V_{\mathscr{G}_+}} \leq 3 \left(\frac{eB}{\varepsilon V_{\mathscr{G}_+}} \log(2\mathscr{M}(\varepsilon,\mathscr{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}))\right)^{V_{\mathscr{G}_+}}.$$

Step 3: Setting  $a = \frac{eB}{\varepsilon}$  and  $b = V_{\mathcal{G}_+}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) := x \leq 3(\frac{a}{b}\log(2x))^b \Rightarrow x \leq 3(2a\log(3a))^b$ .

Step 4: Let  $1 . Then for any <math>g_j, g_k \in \mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\|g_j - g_k\|_{L_p(\nu)}^p \le B^{p-1} \|g_j - g_k\|_{L_1(\nu)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \le \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^p}{B^{p-1}}, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}\right).$$

**Theorem** 5.5 (ULLN) Let  $\mathscr{G}$  be a class of functions  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $G: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G(x) = \sup_{g \in \mathscr{G}} |g(x)|$  be an envelope of  $\mathscr{G}$ . Assume  $\mathbb{E}G(Z) < \infty$  and  $V_{\mathscr{G}^+} < \infty$ . Then

$$\sup_{g \in \mathscr{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| \to 0 \text{ a.s. as } n \to +\infty$$

**Proof** For L > 0, set  $\mathscr{G}_L := \{g \cdot 1_{\{G \leq L\}} : g \in \mathscr{G}\}$ . For  $g \in \mathscr{G}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_{i}) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_{i}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_{i}) 1_{\{G(Z_{i}) \leq L\}} \right| + \left| \mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{G(Z) \leq L}\} - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{G(Z) \leq L}\} \right| + \left| \mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{G(Z) \leq L}\} - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_{i}) 1_{\{G(Z_{i}) > L\}} \right| + \mathbb{E}[g(Z) | 1_{\{G(Z) > L\}} + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_{i}) 1_{\{G(Z_{i}) \leq L\}} - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{G(Z) \leq L}\} \right|$$

Since 
$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{g \in \mathscr{G}_L} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| > \varepsilon) \le 8\mathbb{E}\left\{ \mathscr{M}_1(\frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \mathscr{G}_L, Z^{1:n}) \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{128(2L)^2}\right) \right\}$$
, use the B-C lemma.

## 6 Least Square Estimates: Consistency and Convergence Rate

**Definition** 6.1 (Notation)  $\mathbb{E}\{(m(X)-Y)^2\}=\inf_f \mathbb{E}\{(f(X)-Y)^2\} \Rightarrow m(X)=\mathbb{E}[Y|X]$ . Define

$$m_n = \arg\min_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2, m^* = \arg\min_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \mathbb{E}\{(f(X) - Y)^2\}.$$

**Theorem** 6.1 Let  $\mathscr{F}_n$  be a class of functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  depending on the data  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{(X_1, Y_1), \cdots, (X_n, Y_n)\}$ . Then

$$\int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \le 2 \sup_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \mathbb{E}\{(f(X) - Y)^2\} \right| + \inf_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

**Proof** We do the following decomposition:

$$\int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}[|m(X) - Y|^2]$$

$$= \left\{ \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \inf_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 \right\} + \left\{ \inf_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 \right\}$$

$$:= I_1 + I_2.$$

$$I_1 \le 2 \sup_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 \right|. \quad I_2 = \inf_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \int (f(x) - m(x))^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

**Proposition** 6.1 (Method of Sieves) Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \cdots, \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be bounded functions such that  $|\psi_j(x)| \leq 1$ . Assume the set of functions  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} \{\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \psi_j(x) : a_1, \cdots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is dense in  $L_2(\mu)$  for any probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Define the regression function estimate  $m_n$  as a function minimizing the empirical  $L_2$  risk  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - Y_i)^2$  over the function form  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j \psi_j(x)$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |a_j| \leq \beta_n$ . If  $\mathbb{E}(Y^2) < \infty$  and  $k_n$  and  $\beta_n$  satisfy  $k_n \to \infty$ ,  $\beta_n \to \infty$ ,  $\frac{k_n \beta_n^4 \log \beta_n}{n} \to 0$  and  $\frac{\beta_n^4}{n^{1-\delta}} \to 0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \to 0$  with probability 1.

**Proposition** 6.2 Consider  $\mathscr{F}_n = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j \psi_j(x) : \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |a_j| \le \beta_n\right\}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_n = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j \psi_j(x) : a_j \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ . Step 1: derive  $\widetilde{m}_n$  by using  $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_n$ . Step 2: Trancation of  $\widetilde{m}_n$ ,  $m_n(x) = T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{m}_n(x)$  where  $T_L u = \left\{\begin{array}{l} u, & \text{if } |u| \le L \\ L \operatorname{sgn}(u), & \text{otherwise} \end{array}\right\}$ . (a) If  $\mathbb{E}(Y^2) < \infty$  and  $k_n$  and  $\beta_n$  satisfy  $k_n \to \infty$ ,  $\beta_n \to \infty$ ,  $\frac{k_n \beta_n^4 \log \beta_n}{n} \to 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}\left\{\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x)\right\} \to 0$ . (b) If adding the extra condition  $\frac{\beta_n^4}{n^{1-\delta}} \to 0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \to 0$  a.s.

**Proposition** 6.3 Let  $\widetilde{F}_n = \widetilde{F}_n(\mathcal{D}_n)$  be a class of functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ . If  $|Y| \leq \beta_n$  a.s., then

$$\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le 2 \sup_{f \in T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 \right| + \inf_{f \in \widetilde{F}_n, ||f||_{\infty} \le \beta_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

**Theorem** 6.2 Let  $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_n = \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_n(\mathcal{D}_n)$  be a class of functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y_L = T_L Y, Y_{i,L} = T_L Y_i$ . (a) If

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \beta_n = \infty, \lim_{n \to +\infty} \inf_{f \in \widetilde{F}_n, ||f||_{\infty} \le \beta_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = 0 \text{ a.s.},$$

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{f \in T_{\beta_n}, \widetilde{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_{i,L}|^2 - \mathbb{E}(f(X) - Y_L)^2 \right| = 0 \text{ a.s. for all } L > 0,$$

then  $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int |m_n(x)-m(x)|^2\mu(\mathrm{d}x)=0$  a.s. (b) If  $\beta_n\to+\infty,\mathbb{E}\{\sim\}\to 0,\mathbb{E}\{\sim\}\to 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}\{\sim\}\to 0$ .

**Definition** 6.2 (Piecewise polynomial partition estimate)  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{A_{n,1}, A_{n,2}, \cdots\}$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\hat{m}_n(x) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}}}$$
13

where  $A_n(x)$  denotes the cell  $A_{n,j} \in \mathcal{P}_n$  which contains x.

**Theorem** 6.3 Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a class of function  $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$  bounded in abolute value by B. Let  $\varepsilon>0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F} \text{ s.t.} ||f||_2 - 2||f||_n > \varepsilon\} \leq \mathbb{E}\mathscr{N}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{24}\varepsilon, \mathscr{F}, X^{1:2n}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{288B^2}\right)$$

where  $||f||_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i)|^2$ .

**Proof** Step 1: Replace  $L_2(\mu)$  norm by the empirical norm. Let  $\widetilde{X}^{1:n} = (X_{n+1}, \cdots, X_{2n})$  be a ghost sample of i.i.d. r.v.'s as X and independent of  $X^{1:n}$ . Define  $||f||_{n'}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(X_i)|^2$ . Let  $f^*$  be a function  $f \in \mathscr{F}$  such that  $||f||_2 - 2||f||_n > \varepsilon$  if there exists any such function, and let  $f^*$  be an arbitrary function in  $\mathscr{F}$  if such a function does not exist. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2 |X^{1:n}\} &\geq \mathbb{P}\{4\|f^*\|_{n'}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} > \|f^*\|_2^2 |X^{1:n}\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}\{4\|f^*\|_{n'}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \leq \|f^*\|_2^2 |X^{1:n}\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}\{3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \leq 4(\|f^*\|_2^2 - \|f^*\|_{n'}^2) |X^{1:n}\} \geq 1 - \frac{16 \mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f^*(X_i)|^2 \middle| X^{1:n}\right)}{(3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4})^2} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\frac{16}{n}B^2 \|f^*\|_2^2}{(3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4})^2} \geq 1 - \frac{\frac{16}{3}\frac{B^2}{n}}{3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}} \geq 1 - \frac{64}{3\varepsilon^2}\frac{B^2}{n} \geq \frac{2}{3} \text{ for } n \geq \frac{64B^2}{\varepsilon^2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F} : \|f\|_{n'} - \|f\|_n > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\} &\geq \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} - 2\|f^*\|_n > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - 2\|f^*\|_n > \varepsilon, 2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2\} \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}\{\|f^*\|_2 - 2\|f^*\|_n > \varepsilon, 2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\{1_{\{\|f^*\|_2 - 2\|f^*\|_n > \varepsilon\}} \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2 |X^{1:n}\}\} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{P}\{\|f^*\|_2 - 2\|f^*\|_n > \varepsilon\} = \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F} : \|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \varepsilon\}. \end{split}$$

This proves  $\mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F}: \|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{3}{2}\mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F}: \|f\|_{n'} - \|f\|_n > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}.$ 

Step 2: Introduction of additional randomness. Let  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  be independent and uniformly distributed on

$$\{-1,1\} \text{ and independent of } X_1,\cdots,X_{2n}. \text{ Set } Z_i = \begin{cases} X_{i+n} & \text{if } U_i=1\\ X_i & \text{if } U_i=-1 \end{cases} \text{ and } Z_{i+n} = \begin{cases} X_i & \text{if } U_i=1\\ X_{i+n} & \text{if } U_i=-1 \end{cases}. \text{ Then } X_i = X_i$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F} : \|f\|_{n'} - \|f\|_{n} > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F} : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(X_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(X_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\} \\
= \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F} : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\}$$

Step 3: Conditioning and introduction of a covery. Let  $\mathscr{G} = \{g_j : j = 1, \dots, \mathscr{N}_2(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{24}\varepsilon, \mathscr{F}, X^{1:2n})\}$  be a  $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{24}\varepsilon$ -cover of  $\mathscr{F}$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{2n}$  of minimal size.  $\|f\|_{2n}^2 = \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|f(X_i)|^2$ . Fix  $f \in \mathscr{F}$ ,  $\|f-g\|_{2n} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{24}\varepsilon$ . Then

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i) - g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq 2\sqrt{2} ||f - g||_{2n} + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
= \frac{14}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In this way,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F} : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \left|X^{1:2n}\right\} \\
\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists g \in \mathscr{G} : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{12} \left|X^{1:2n}\right\} \\
\leq |\mathscr{G}| \max_{g \in \mathscr{G}} \mathbb{P}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \left|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{12} \left|X^{1:2n}\right\} \right\}$$

Step 4: Application of Hoeffding's inequality.

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left|\frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right| \\
\leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}|g(X_{i})|^{2} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}|g(X_{i+n})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right| \\
\leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}|g(X_{i})|^{2} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}|g(X_{i+n})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right| \\
\leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}|g(X_{i})|^{2} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}|g(X_{i+n})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right| \\
\leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}|g(X_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right| \\
\leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}|g(X_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right| \\
\leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}|g(X_{i})|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right|}{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|g(Z_{i})|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}>\frac{\varepsilon}{12}|X^{1:2n}\}\right\}\leq2\exp\left(-\frac{2n^{2}\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{144}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(X_{i})|^{2}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}4(|g(X_{i})|^{2}-|g(X_{i+n})|^{2})^{2}}\right) \\
\leq2\exp\left(-\frac{2n^{2}\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{144}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{2n}|g(X_{i})|^{2}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}4B^{2}(|g(X_{i})|^{2}+|g(X_{i+n})|^{2})}\right) \\
=\exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^{2}}{288B^{2}}\right).$$

**Theorem** 6.4 Assume  $\sigma^2 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Var}(Y|X=x) < \infty$ . Let  $k_n = k_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be the vector space dimension of  $\mathscr{F}_n$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}\{\|\widetilde{m}_n - m\|_n^2 | X^{1:n}\} \le \frac{\sigma^2 k_n}{n} + \min_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \|f - m\|_n^2.$$

**Proof** Denote  $\mathbb{E}^*\{\cdot\} = \mathbb{E}\{\cdot|X^{1:n}\}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}^{*} \left\{ \| \widetilde{m}_{n} - m \|_{n}^{2} \right\} = \mathbb{E}^{*} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\widetilde{m}_{n}(X_{i}) - m(X_{i})|^{2} \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}^{*} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\widetilde{m}_{n}(X_{i}) - \mathbb{E}^{*}(\widetilde{m}_{n}(X_{i})) + \mathbb{E}^{*}(\widetilde{m}_{n}(X_{i})) - m(X_{i})|^{2} \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}^{*} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\widetilde{m}_{n}(X_{i}) - \mathbb{E}^{*}(\widetilde{m}_{n}(X_{i}))|^{2} \right\} + \mathbb{E}^{*} \left\{ |\mathbb{E}^{*}(\widetilde{m}_{n}(X_{i})) - m(X_{i})|^{2} \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}^{*} \left\{ \|\widetilde{m}_{n} - \mathbb{E}^{*}(\widetilde{m}_{n})\|_{n}^{2} \right\} + \|\mathbb{E}^{*}(\widetilde{m}_{n}) - m\|_{n}^{2}.$$

Write that  $\widetilde{m}_n = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j f_{j,n}$  where  $f_{1,n}, \dots, f_{k_n,n}$  is a basis of  $\mathscr{F}_n$ , and  $a = (a_j)_{j=1,\dots,k_n}$  satisfies that  $\frac{1}{n} B^T B a = \frac{1}{n} B^T Y$ ,  $B = (f_{j,n}(X_i))_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le k_n}$  and  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^T$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}^*\{\widetilde{m}_n\} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E}^*\{a_j\} f_{j,n} \text{ and } \frac{1}{n} B^T B \mathbb{E}^* a = \frac{1}{n} B^T \mathbb{E}^* Y = \frac{1}{n} B^T (m(X_1), \dots, m(X_n))^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n) - m\|_n^2 = \min_{f \in \mathscr{F}_-} \|f - m\|_n^2.$$

Choose a complete orthogonormal system  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  in  $\mathscr{F}_n$  w.r.t. the empirical scalar proudct  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$  where  $\langle f, g \rangle_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) g(X_i), k \leq k_n$ . We remind our readers that such a system depends on  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Then, on  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ , span $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subset \mathscr{F}_n$ ,  $\widetilde{m}_n(x) = f(x)^T \frac{1}{n} B^T Y$  where  $B = (f_j(X_i))_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k}, B^T B = I$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}^*\{|\widetilde{m}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n(x))|^2\} = \mathbb{E}^*\{|f(x)^T \frac{1}{n} B^T Y - f(x)^T \frac{1}{n} B^T (m(X_1), \cdots, m(X_n))^T|^2\}$$

$$= f(x)^T \frac{1}{n} B^T (\mathbb{E}^*\{(Y_i - m(X_i))(Y_j - m(X_j))^T\}) \frac{1}{n} Bf(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}^*\{\|\widetilde{m}_n - \mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n)\|_n^2\} \le \frac{1}{n^2} f^T B^T \sigma^2 IBf = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_n^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} k \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n} k_n.$$

Theorem 6.5 Assume  $\sigma^2 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Var}(Y|X=x) < \infty$  and  $||m||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |m(x)| \le L \in \mathbb{R}_+, m_n(\cdot) = T_L \widetilde{m}_n(\cdot)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le C \cdot \max\{\sigma^2, L^2\} \frac{\log(n) + 1}{n} k_n + 8 \inf_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

**Proof** First we note that

$$\int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = (\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n + 2\|m_n - m\|_n)^2$$

$$\leq (\max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\} + 2\|m_n - m\|_n)^2$$

$$\leq 2(\max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\})^2 + 8\|m_n - m\|_n^2.$$

On the one hand,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\{8\|m_n - m\|_n^2\} &\leq 8\mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}\{\|\widetilde{m}_n - m\|_n^2 | X_1, \cdots, X_n\}\} \\ &\leq 8\sigma^2 \frac{k_n}{n} + 8\mathbb{E}\{\min_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \|f - m\|_n^2\} \\ &\leq 8\sigma^2 \frac{k_n}{n} + 8\inf_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \mathbb{E}\|f - m\|_n^2. \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left(2 \max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\} > u\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\exists f \in T_L \mathscr{F}_n : \|f - m\|_2 - 2\|f - m\|_n > \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq 3 \mathbb{E} \mathscr{N}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{u}}{24}, \mathscr{F}_n, X^{1:2n}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{nu}{576(2L)^2}\right) \\ &\leq 9(12en)^{2(k_n + 1)} \exp\left(-\frac{nu}{2304L^2}\right) \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(2 \max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\}) &\leq u + \int_u^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(2 \max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\} > t) \mathrm{d}t \\ &\left(\mathrm{take}\ u \geq \frac{576L^2}{n}\right) \leq CL^2 \frac{\log(n) + 1}{n} k_n. \end{split}$$

Combine these two bounds together.

Property 6.1 (Nonlinear LSE)  $|Y| \le L \le \beta_n$  a.s.,  $m_n(\cdot) = T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{m}_n(\cdot), \widetilde{m}_n(\cdot) = \arg\min_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2$ . We do the following decomposition:

$$\int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \left\{ \mathbb{E}\{|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n\} - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2\right] \right\} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2\right].$$

On the one hand,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}[|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2]\right\} \le \mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\widetilde{m}_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2\right\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\inf_{f\in\mathscr{F}_n}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\left[|f(X_i)-Y_i|^2-|m(X_i)-Y_i|^2\right]\right\}$$

$$\leq \inf_{f\in\mathscr{F}_n}\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\left[|f(X_i)-Y_i|^2-|m(X_i)-Y_i|^2\right]\right\}$$

$$= \inf_{f\in\mathscr{F}_n}\left\{\mathbb{E}|f(X)-Y|^2-\mathbb{E}|m(X)-Y|^2\right\}$$

$$= \inf_{f\in\mathscr{F}_n}\int |f(x)-m(x)|^2\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\mathbb{E}[|m_{n}(X) - Y|^{2}|\mathcal{D}_{n}] - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^{2} - \frac{2}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[|m_{n}(X_{i}) - Y_{i}|^{2} - |m(X_{i}) - Y_{i}|^{2}\right] > \varepsilon\right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left\{\mathbb{E}[|m_{n}(X) - Y|^{2}|\mathcal{D}_{n}] - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^{2} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[|m_{n}(X_{i}) - Y_{i}|^{2} - |m(X_{i}) - Y_{i}|^{2}\right] > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathbb{E}[|m_{n}(X) - Y|^{2}|\mathcal{D}_{n}] - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^{2}\right]\right\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists f \in T_{\beta_{n}}\mathscr{F}_{n} : \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^{2} - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^{2} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[|f(X_{i}) - Y_{i}|^{2} - |m(X_{i}) - Y_{i}|^{2}\right] > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^{2} - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^{2}\right]\right\}.$$

Set  $Z = (X, Y), Z_i = (X_i, Y_i), g(Z) = |f(X) - Y|^2 - |m(X) - Y|^2$ . We can rewrite the above equation as

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\mathbb{E}g(Z) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g(Z_i) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}g(Z)\right\}.$$

Since  $|g(Z)| = |(f(X) + m(X) - 2Y)(f(X) - m(X))| \le 4\beta_n |f(X) - m(X)|, \sigma^2 := \text{Var}(g(Z)) \le \mathbb{E}g(Z)^2 \le 16\beta_n^2 \mathbb{E}|f(X) - m(X)|^2 = 16\beta_n^2 (\mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2),$  the above equation is upper-bounded by

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\mathbb{E}g(Z) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g(Z_{i}) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\operatorname{Var}(g(Z))}{16\beta_{n}^{2}}\right\} \stackrel{\text{Berstein's inequality}}{\leq} \exp\left(-\frac{n\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{32\beta_{n}^{2}}\right]^{2}}{2\sigma^{2} + 2\frac{8\beta_{n}^{2}}{3}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{32\beta_{n}^{2}}\right]}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{128 + \frac{32}{3}}\frac{n\varepsilon}{\beta_{n}^{2}}\right).$$

**Theorem** 6.6 Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \le L < \infty$ . Assume  $|Y| \le L$  a.s. Let estimate  $m_n$  be defined by minimization of the empirical  $l_2$  risk over a set of functions  $\mathscr{F}_n$  and truncation at L. Then one has

$$\mathbb{E} \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le \frac{c_1}{n} + \frac{(c_2 + c_3 \log n) V_{\mathscr{F}_n^+}}{n} + 2 \inf_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

**Proof** Lemma 6.1 Assume  $|Y| \leq B$  a.s. and  $B \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a set of functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and let  $|f(x)| \leq B$ . Then for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg\{\exists f \in \mathscr{F} : \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[|f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2\right]$$

$$\geq \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta + \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2)\bigg\}$$

$$\leq 14 \sup_{X^{1:n}} \mathcal{N}_1\left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{20B}, \mathscr{F}, X^{1:n}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2(1-\varepsilon)\alpha n}{214(1+\varepsilon)B^2}\right).$$

Now let's return to the original theorem.

$$\int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \left\{ \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}[|m(X) - Y|^2] - 2\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n |m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2\right) \right\} 
+ 2\left\{ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n |m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2 \right\} 
:= T_{1,n} + T_{2,n}.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}(T_{2,n}) \leq 2 \inf_{f \in \mathscr{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x), \\ \mathbb{E}(T_{1,n}) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(T_{1,n} > t) \mathrm{d}t \leq \varepsilon + \int_\varepsilon^\infty \mathbb{P}(T_{1,n} > t) \mathrm{d}t$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(T_{1,n} > t) &= \mathbb{P}\bigg\{\mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}[|m(X) - Y|^2] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{2} + \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}[|m(X) - Y|^2]\right) \bigg\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\bigg\{\exists f \in T_L \mathscr{F}_n : \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{2} + \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2\right) \bigg\} \\ &\leq 14 \sup_{X^{1:n}} \mathscr{N}_1 \left(\frac{1}{80Ln}, T_L \mathscr{F}_n, X^{1:n}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{nt}{24 \cdot 214L^4}\right) \leq 3(480eL^2n)^{2V_{(T_L \mathscr{F}_n)^+}} \exp\left(-\frac{nt}{24 \cdot 214L^4}\right). \end{split}$$

Plug this bound into the integral in the previous expectation bound,

$$\mathbb{E}(T_{1,n}) \le \varepsilon + \frac{24 \cdot 214L^4}{n} 42(480eL^2n)^{2V_{\mathscr{F}_n^+}} \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon}{24 \cdot 214L^4}\right). \quad \Box$$

П

Lemma 6.2 Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  i.i.d. r.v.'s,  $0 \le V_i \le \beta, 0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\nu > 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}V_{i}-\mathbb{E}V_{1}\right|}{\nu+\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}V_{i}+\mathbb{E}V_{1}}>\alpha\right\}\leq\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}V_{i}-\mathbb{E}V_{1}\right|}{\nu+\mathbb{E}V_{1}}>\alpha\right\}<\frac{\beta}{4\alpha^{2}\nu n}.$$

**Proof** Use Chebyshev's inequality.

**Theorem** 6.7 Let  $B \ge 1$  and G be a set of functions  $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, B]$ . Let  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  be i.i.d.  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued r.v.'s. Assume  $\alpha > 0, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $n \ge 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g\in\mathcal{G}}\frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g(Z_{i})-\mathbb{E}g(Z)}{\alpha+\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g(Z_{i})+\mathbb{E}g(Z)}>\varepsilon\right\}\leq 4\mathbb{E}\mathcal{N}_{1}\left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{5},G,Z^{1:n}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{3\varepsilon^{2}\alpha n}{40B}\right)$$

**Proof** Step 1: Replace the expectation with empirical mean. Ghost sample  $Z'_{1:n} = (Z'_1, \dots, Z'_n)$  i.i.d. Let  $g^*$  be a function  $g \in \mathscr{G}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g(Z_{i}) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) > \varepsilon \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}g(Z_{i}) + \mathbb{E}g(Z)\right)$$

if there exists any such function. Otherwise, let  $g^*$  be an arbitrary function in G.  $g^*$  depends on  $Z^{1:n}$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) > \varepsilon \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right) \text{ and } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i') - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i') + \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i') > \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon \alpha + \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i') + \frac{3\varepsilon}{4} \mathbb{E}g(Z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left( 1 - \frac{5}{8} \varepsilon \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i') \right) > \frac{3}{8} \varepsilon \left( 2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i') \right) + \frac{3\varepsilon}{4} \mathbb{E}g(Z)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i') > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left( 2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_i') \right),$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\exists g \in \mathscr{G} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_{i}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z'_{i}) > \frac{3}{8} \varepsilon \left(2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z_{i}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(Z'_{i})\right)\right\} \\
\geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{*}(Z_{i}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{*}(Z'_{i}) > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{*}(Z_{i}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{*}(Z'_{i})\right)\right\} \\
\geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\right\}$$