

Theoretical Machine Learning

Lectured by [Zhihua Zhang](#)

L^AT_EXed by [Chengxin Gong](#)

May 6, 2024

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Statistical Decision Theory	2
3	Markov Decision Process	3
4	Statistical Learning Theory	6
5	Uniform Laws of Large Numbers	9
6	Least Square Estimates: Consistency and Convergence Rate	13
7	Advanced Techniques from Empirical Process Theory	22

1 Introduction

Outline 1.1 (Main tasks in machine learning) Generation, prediction, decision. Generation: $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim F$, infer and analyse F , unsupervised learning, e.g. GAN, GPT, \dots . Prediction: data pairs $(X^{(1)}, Y^{(1)}), \dots, (X^{(n)}, Y^{(n)})$, input variables $X^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}, x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$, ascribe, supervised learning. Decision: Reinforcement learning, Agent \leftarrow action, state, reward \rightarrow environment.

Outline 1.2 (Methods for solving tasks) Parameterized/Non-parameterized, frequency(MLE)/Bayesian.

Outline 1.3 (Modeling error) Supervised: Fix $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for regression $Y \in \mathbb{R}$, for classification $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ (also $\{-1, 1\}, \{1, \dots, M\}, \{0, 1\}^M$). Random design for X (known as generative models): $Y^{(i)} = g(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)})$. Fixed design for X (known as discriminative models): $Y^{(i)} = g(x^{(i)}, Z^{(i)})$. Unsupervised: $X = g(Z)$ (e.g. factor model: $X = AZ + \varepsilon, Z \in \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$).

2 Statistical Decision Theory

Definition 2.1 (Basic concepts) Consider a state space Ω , data space \mathcal{D} , model $\mathcal{P} = \{p(\theta, x)\}$, action space \mathcal{A} . Loss function: $\mathcal{L}: \Omega \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$, measurable, nonnegative. A measurable function $\delta: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a nonrandomized decision rule. Risk function is defined as $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = \int \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(x)) dP_\theta(x) = \mathbb{E}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(X))$. Randomized decision: for each $X = x$, $\delta(x)$ is a probability distribution: $[A|X = x] \sim \delta_x$. Risk function for δ : $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta, A) = \mathbb{E}_\theta \mathbb{E}_a \mathcal{L}(\theta, A|X) = \iint \mathcal{L}(\theta, a) d\delta_x(a) dP_\theta(x)$.

Example 2.1 (Parameter estimation) $\theta \in \Omega, \mathcal{A} = \Omega, \mathcal{L}(\theta, a) = \|\theta - a\|_p^p (p \geq 1) \stackrel{\text{or}}{=} \int \log \frac{P_\theta(x)}{P_a(x)} P_\theta(x) dm(x)$ (KL divergence). $\mathcal{R} = \text{Var}(a) + \text{bias}^2(a)$. Bregman loss: $\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ describe any strictly convex differentiable function. Then $\mathcal{L}_\phi(\theta, a) = \phi(a) - \phi(\theta) - (\phi - a)^T \nabla \phi(a)$.

Example 2.2 (Testing) $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$ with action “0” associated with accepting $H_0: \theta \in \Omega_0$ and “1”: $H_1: \theta \in \Omega_1$. δ_x is a Bernolli distribution. $\mathcal{L}(\theta, a) = I\{a = 1, \theta \in \Omega_0\} + I\{a = 0, \theta \in \Omega_1\}$. Risk $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{P}_\theta(A = 1)1_{\theta \in \Omega_0} + \mathbb{P}_\theta(A = 0)1_{\theta \in \Omega_1}$.

Definition 2.2 (Admissibility) A decision rule δ is called inadmissible if a competing rule δ^* such that $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta^*) \leq \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$ and $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta^*) < \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$ for at least one $\theta \in \Omega$. Otherwise, δ is admissible.

Definition 2.3 (Bayes rule) The maximum risk $\bar{\mathcal{R}}(\delta) = \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$ and the Bayes risk $r(\Lambda, \delta) = \int \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) d\Lambda(\theta) = \int \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta) d\mathbb{P}(x, \theta)$ ($\Lambda(\theta)$ is a prior). A decision rule that minimizes the Bayes risk is called a Bayes rule, that is, $\hat{\delta}: r(\Lambda, \hat{\delta}) = \inf_{\delta} r(\Lambda, \delta)$. Minimax rule $\delta^*: \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta^*) = \inf_{\delta} \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$.

Theorem 2.1 If risk functions for all decision rules are continuous in θ , if δ is Bayesian for Λ and has finite integrated risk $r(\Lambda, \delta) < \infty$, and if the support of Λ is the whole state space Ω , then δ is admissible.

Property 2.1 $p(\theta|x) = \frac{p_\theta(x)\lambda(\theta)}{\int p_\theta(x)\lambda(\theta)d\theta} := \frac{p_\theta(x)\lambda(\theta)}{m(x)}$. Define the posterior risk of δ : $r(\delta|X = x) = \int \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(x)) d\mathbb{P}(\theta|x)$. The Bayes risk $r(\Lambda, \delta)$ satisfies that $r(\Lambda, \delta) = \int r(\delta|x) dM(x)$. Let $\hat{\delta}(x)$ be the value of δ that minimizes $r(\delta|x)$. Then $\hat{\delta}$ is the Bayes rule.

Example 2.3 (Application to supervised learning: regression) $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A} = \Omega = \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X}, \delta = f, \mathcal{L}(Y, f(X)) = \|Y - f(X)\|_p^p, p \geq 1$, risk $R_f = \iint \mathcal{L}(y, f(x)) d\mathbb{P}(x, y) = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))|X]$. When $p = 2$, $r(f|X = x) = \int \mathcal{L}(y, f(x)) d\mathbb{P}(y|x) = \int |y - f(x)|^2 d\mathbb{P}(y|x)$. Regression function is $g(x) := \int y d\mathbb{P}(y|x) \Rightarrow R_f = \mathbb{E}|Y - f(X)|^2 = \mathbb{E}|Y - g(X) + g(X) - f(X)|^2 = \mathbb{E}|Y - g(X)|^2 + \mathbb{E}|g(X) - f(X)|^2 \geq \mathbb{E}|Y - g(X)|^2$.

Example 2.4 (Application to supervised learning: pattern classification) $Y \in \{0, 1\}, p_0 = P(Y = 0), p_1 = P(Y = 1) = 1 - p_0, \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))] = P(Y \neq f(X))$. The Bayesian predictor is given by $f(x) = 1_{\{P(Y=1|X=x) \geq \frac{\mathcal{L}(1,0) - \mathcal{L}(0,0)}{\mathcal{L}(0,1) - \mathcal{L}(1,1)} P(Y=0|X=x)\}}$.

Proof $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))|X = x] = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, 0)|X = x] = \mathcal{L}(0, 0)\mathbb{P}(Y = 0|X = x) + \mathcal{L}(1, 0)\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) \\ \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, 1)|X = x] = \mathcal{L}(0, 1)\mathbb{P}(Y = 0|X = x) + \mathcal{L}(1, 1)\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) \end{cases}$, compare the sizes of the two. □

Property 2.2 (Continuation) $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) = \mathbb{E}(Y|X = x) := g(x)$, $f(x) = 1_{\{g(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}}$. Then $0 \leq \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) \neq Y) - \mathbb{P}(f(X) \neq Y) \leq 2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} |\hat{g}(x) - g(x)| \mu(dx) \leq 2(\int_{\mathcal{X}} |\hat{g}(x) - g(x)|^2 \mu(dx))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In Example 2.4, $f(x) = 1_{\{\frac{p(x|y=1)}{p(x|y=0)} \geq \frac{p_0(\mathcal{L}(0,1) - \mathcal{L}(0,0))}{p_1(\mathcal{L}(1,0) - \mathcal{L}(1,1))}\}}$, which takes the same form as the likelihood ratio test (LRT): Likelihood $L(X) := \frac{p(X|Y=1)}{p(X|Y=0)}$ and $f(x) = 1_{\{L(x) \geq \eta\}}$.

Definition 2.4 (Confusion table) True Positive Rate: $\text{TPR} = \mathbb{P}(\hat{Y} = 1|Y = 1)$; False Negative Rate: $\text{FNR} = 1 - \text{TPR}$, type II error; False Positive Rate: $\text{FPR} = \mathbb{P}(\hat{Y} = 1|Y = 0)$, type I error; True Negative Rate: $\text{TNR} = 1 - \text{FPR}$. Precision: $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|\hat{Y} = 1) = \frac{p_1 \text{TPR}}{p_0 \text{FPR} + p_1 \text{TPR}}$. F_1 -score: F_1 is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, which can be written as $F_1 = \frac{2 \text{TPR}}{1 + \text{TPR} + \frac{p_0}{p_1} \text{FPR}}$.

	$Y = 0$	$Y = 1$
$\hat{Y} = 0$	true negative	false negative
$\hat{Y} = 1$	false positive	true positive

Theorem 2.2 (N-P lemma) Optimization: maximize TPR subject to $\text{FPR} \leq \alpha, \alpha \in [0, 1]$. Randomized rule: Q return 1 with probability $Q(x)$ and 0 with probability $1 - Q(x)$. Maximize $\mathbb{E}[Q(x)|Y = 1]$ subject to $\mathbb{E}[Q(x)|Y = 0] \leq \alpha$. Suppose the likelihood functions $p(x|y)$ are continuous. Then the optimal predictor is a deterministic LRT.

Proof Let η be the threshold for an LRT such that the predictor $Q_\eta(x) = 1_{\{\alpha(x) \geq \eta\}}$ has $\text{FPR} = \alpha$. Such an LRT exists because likelihood functions are continuous. Let β denote the TPR of Q_η . Prove that Q_η is optimal for risk minimization problem corresponding to the loss functions $\mathcal{L}(0, 1) = \eta \frac{p_1}{p_0}, \mathcal{L}(1, 0) = 1, \mathcal{L}(1, 1) = \mathcal{L}(0, 0) = 0$ since $\frac{p_0(\mathcal{L}(0,1) - \mathcal{L}(0,0))}{p_1(\mathcal{L}(1,0) - \mathcal{L}(1,1))} = \frac{p_0 \mathcal{L}(0,1)}{p_1 \mathcal{L}(1,0)} = \eta$. Under these loss functions, the risk of Bayes predictor for Q is $\mathcal{R}_Q = p_0 \text{FPR}(Q) \mathcal{L}(0, 1) + p_1(1 - \text{TPR}(Q)) \mathcal{L}(1, 0) = p_1 \eta \text{FPR}(Q) + p_1(1 - \text{TPR}(Q))$. Now let Q be any other rule with $\text{FPR}(Q) \leq \alpha$, $\mathcal{R}_{Q_\eta} = p_1 \eta \alpha + p_1(1 - \beta) \leq p_1 \eta \text{FPR}(Q) + p_1(1 - \text{TPR}(Q)) \leq p_1 \eta \alpha + p_1(1 - \text{TPR}(Q)) \Rightarrow \text{TPR}(Q) \leq \beta$. \square

Definition 2.5 (ROC (Receiver operating character) curve) y -axis is TPR and x -axis is FPR.

Proposition 2.1 (1) The points $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$ are on the ROC curve; (2) The ROC must lie above the main diagonal; (3) The ROC curve is concave.

Proof We only prove (2). Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and consider a randomized rule $\text{TPR} = \text{FPR} = \alpha$, $Q(x) \equiv \alpha$; (3): Consider two rules $(\text{FPR}(\eta_1), \text{TPR}(\eta_1))$ and $(\text{FPR}(\eta_2), \text{TPR}(\eta_2))$. Flip a biased coin and use the first rule with probability t and the second rule with probability $1 - t$. Then this yields a randomized rule with $(\text{FPR}, \text{TPR}) = (t \text{FPR}(\eta_1) + (1 - t) \text{FPR}(\eta_2), t \text{TPR}(\eta_1) + (1 - t) \text{TPR}(\eta_2))$. Fixing $\text{FPR} \leq t \text{FPR}(\eta_1) + (1 - t) \text{FPR}(\eta_2)$, $\text{TPR} \geq t \text{TPR}(\eta_1) + (1 - t) \text{TPR}(\eta_2)$. \square

3 Markov Decision Process

Definition 3.1 (Basic concepts) Five elements: decision epoches, states, actions, transition probabilities and rewards.

(1) Decision epoches: Let T denote the set of decision epoches, discrete: $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$; continuous: $[0, N]$; $N < / = \infty$: finite or infinite. (2) State and action sets: decision epoch $t \in T$, the system occupies a state $S_t \in \mathcal{S}$, the decision maker $a \in \mathcal{A}$. (3) Reward and transition probabilities: t , in state s , choose action a , (i) the decision maker receives a reward $r_t(s, a)$, (ii) the system state at the next decision epoch is determined by the probability distribution $p_t(\cdot|s, a)$.

Definition 3.2 (Decision rules) Prescribe a procedure for action selection in each state at a specified decision epoch. Four cases: (1) Markovian and Deterministic (MD): $\delta_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$; (2) M and Randomized (MR): $\delta_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{A})(q_{\delta_t(s)}(a))$; (3) History-dependent and D (HD): $h_t = (s_1, a_1, \dots, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, s_t) = (h_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, s_t)$, $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}, \dots, \delta_t : \mathcal{H}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$; (4) HR: $\delta_t : \mathcal{H}_t \times \Delta(\mathcal{A})$. A policy $\pi = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{N-1})$ is stationary if $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \dots = \delta$ for $t \in T$.

Definition 3.3 Let $\pi = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{N-1})$ in HR and $R_t := r_t(X_t, Y_t)$ denote the random reward, $R_N := r_N(X_N)$, $R := (R_1, \dots, R_N)$. The expected total reward $U_N^\pi(s) := \mathbb{E}^\pi \{ \sum_{t=1}^{N-1} r_t(X_t, Y_t) + r_N(X_N) | X_1 = s \}$. Assume $|r_t(s, a)| \leq M < \infty$ for all $(s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$. Optimal policy: $U_N^*(s) \geq U_N^\pi(s), s \in \mathcal{S}$. ε -optimal policy: $U_N^{\pi^*}(s) + \varepsilon > U_N^\pi(s), s \in \mathcal{S}$. The value of the MDP: $U_N^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}} U_N^\pi(s), s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Property 3.1 (Finite-Horizon Policy Evaluation) $V_t^\pi(h_t) = \mathbb{E}^\pi\{\sum_{k=t}^{N-1} r_k(X_k, Y_k) + r_N(X_N) | h_t\}$, $V_N^\pi(h_N) = r_N(s)$, $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HD}}$. By the formula of total expectation,

$$V_t^\pi(h_t) = r_t(s_t, \delta_t(h_t)) + \mathbb{E}_{h_t}^\pi V_{t+1}^\pi(h_t, \delta_t(h_t), X_{t+1}) = r_t(s_t, \delta_t(h_t)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}^\pi(h_t, \delta_t(h_t), j) p(j | s_t, \delta_t(h_t)).$$

Consider randomness, i.e. $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}$,

$$V_t^\pi(h_t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\delta_t(h_t)}(a) \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}^\pi(h_t, a, j) p(j | s_t, a)\}.$$

Computational complexity: let $K = |\mathcal{S}|$, $L = |\mathcal{A}|$, at decision epoch t , $K^{t+1}L^t$ histories, $K^2 \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (KL)^i$ multiplications. If $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MD}}$,

$$V_t^\pi(s_t) = r_t(s_t, \delta_t(s_t)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}^\pi(j) p(j | s_t, \delta_t(s_t)),$$

only $(N-1)K^2$ multiplications. On the other hand, given π , this yields a valid and accurate calculation method for $U_N^\pi(s)$.

Theorem 3.1 (The Bellman Equations) Let $V_t^*(h_t) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}} V_t^\pi(h_t)$. The optimality equations:

$$V_t(h_t) = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}(h_t, a, j) p_t(j | s_t, a)\} \text{ for } t = 1, 2, \dots, N-1 \text{ and } h_t = (h_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, s_t) \in \mathcal{H}_t.$$

For $t = N$, $V_N(h_N) = r_N(s_N)$. Suppose V_t is a solution and V_N satisfies $V_N(h_N) = r_N(s_N)$. Then $V_t(h_t) = V_t^*(h_t)$ for all $h_t \in \mathcal{H}_t$, $t = 1, \dots, N$ and $V_1(s_1) = V_1^*(s_1) = U_N^*(s_1)$ for all $s_1 \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof We divide the proof into two parts.

Step 1: Prove $V_n(h_n) \geq V_n^*(h_n)$ for all $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$. By induction: For $t = N$, $V_N(h_N) = r_N(s_N) = V_N^*(h_N)$ for all h_N, π . Now assume that $V_t(h_t) \geq V_t^*(h_t)$ for all $h_t \in \mathcal{H}_t$ for $t = n+1, \dots, N$. Let $\pi' = (\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_{N-1})$ be an arbitrary policy in \mathcal{D}^{HR} . On the one hand, for $t = n$, it is trivial that

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(h_n) &= \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_n(s_n, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j | s_n, a) V_{n+1}(h_n, a, j)\} \geq \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_n(s_n, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j | s_n, a) V_{n+1}^*(h_n, a, j)\} \\ &\geq \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_n(s_n, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j | s_n, a) V_{n+1}^{\pi'}(h_n, a, j)\} \geq V_n^{\pi'}(h_n). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HD}}$ such that

$$V_n^{\pi'}(h_n) + (N-n)\varepsilon \geq V_n(h_n) \Rightarrow V_n^*(h_n) + (N-n)\varepsilon \geq V_n^{\pi'}(h_n) + (N-n)\varepsilon \geq V_n(h_n) \geq V_n^*(h_n).$$

Construct a policy $\pi' = (\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_{N-1})$ by choosing $\delta'_n(h_n)$ to satisfy

$$r_n(s_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j | s_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) V_{n+1}(h_n, \delta'_n(h_n), j) + \varepsilon \geq V_n(h_n).$$

By induction: For $t = N$, $V_N^{\pi'}(h_N) = V_N(h_N)$. Assume $V_t^{\pi'}(h_t) + (N-t)\varepsilon \geq V_t(h_t)$ for $t = n+1, \dots, N$. For $t = n$,

$$V_n^{\pi'}(h_n) = r_n(s_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j | s_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) V_{n+1}^{\pi'}(h_n, \delta'_n(h_n), j) \geq V_n(h_n) - (N-n)\varepsilon. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.1 The equations yield that $\delta_t^*(h_t) \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(h_t, a, j)\}$, which means it is HD, i.e. $U_N^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}} U_N^\pi(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HD}}} U_N^\pi(s) \stackrel{?}{=} \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MD}}} U_N^\pi(s)$. We will answer “?” in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 Let $V_t^*, t = 1, \dots, N$ be solutions of Bellman Equations. Then (a) For each $t = 1, \dots, N$, $V_t^*(h_t)$ depends on h_t only through s_t ; (b) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an ε -optimal policy which is D and M; (c) Maximum can be achieved, it is optimal, which is MD.

Proof We only prove (a). By induction, $V_N^*(h_N) = V_N^*(h_{N-1}, a_{N-1}, s) = r_N(s)$ for all $h_{N-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}$. Assume (a) is valid for $t = n + 1, \dots, N$. Then $V_n^*(h_n) = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(j|s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(j)\} = V_n^*(s_t)$. \square

Definition 3.4 (Backward Induction (Dynamic Programming) Algorithm) 1. Set $t = N$ and $V_N^*(s_N) = r_N(s_N)$ for all $s_N \in \mathcal{S}$; 2. Substitute $t - 1$ for t and compute $V_t^*(s_t)$ for each $s_t \in \mathcal{S}$ according to

$$V_t^*(s_t) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(j|s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(j)\},$$

and set $\mathcal{A}_{s_t} = \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(j|s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(j)\}$; 3. If $t = 1$, stop. Otherwise return to Step 2.

Remark 3.2 (1) At time t , specialized \mathcal{S}_t and \mathcal{A}_s , special structure for r_t and p_t ; (2) $K = |\mathcal{S}|$ and $L = |\mathcal{A}|$, at each t , only $(N - 1)LK^2$ multiplications, ease computation and storage cost (because there are $(L^K)^{N-1}$ DM policies).

Definition 3.5 (Infinite-Horizon MDPs) Assumptions: Stationary reward and transition probabilities, i.e. $r_t(s, a) \equiv r(s, a)$, $p_t(j|s, a) \equiv p(j|s, a)$; Bounded rewards, i.e. $|r(s, a)| \leq M < \infty$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}$; Discounting coefficient $\lambda, 0 \leq \lambda < 1$; Discrete state space \mathcal{S} . The expected total reward of policy $\pi = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}$:

$$U^\pi(s) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^N \lambda^{t-1} r(X_t, Y_t) \right\} = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_t, Y_t) \right\}.$$

We say that a policy π^* is optimal when $U^{\pi^*}(s) \geq U^\pi(s)$ for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and all $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}$. Define the value of the MDP $U^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}} U^\pi(s)$. Let $U_\nu^\pi(s)$ denote the expected reward obtained by using π when the horizon ν is random. Then $U_\nu^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\nu \sim P} \sum_{t=1}^\nu r(X_t, Y_t) \right\}$.

Theorem 3.3 Suppose ν has a GD(λ), i.e. $\mathbb{P}(\nu = n) = \lambda^{n-1}(1 - \lambda)$. Then $U^\pi(s) = U_\nu^\pi(s)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof $\mathbb{E}_s^\pi \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{t=1}^n r(X_t, Y_t) (1 - \lambda) \lambda^{n-1} \right\} = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=t}^{+\infty} r(X_t, Y_t) (1 - \lambda) \lambda^{n-1} \right\} = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_t, Y_t) \right\}$. \square

Theorem 3.4 Suppose $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}$, then for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists a $\pi' \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MR}}$ for which $U^{\pi'}(s) = U^\pi(s)$.

Proof Note that

$$U^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_t, Y_t) \right\} = \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda^{t-1} r(j, a) p^\pi(X_t = j, Y_t = a | X_1 = s).$$

Fixing $s \in \mathcal{S}$, we only need to check

$$p^\pi(X_t = j, Y_t = a | X_1 = s) = p^{\pi'}(X_t = j, Y_t = a | X_1 = s).$$

For each $j \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, define the randomized Markov decision rule δ'_t by

$$q_{\delta'_t(j)}(a) = p^\pi(Y_t = a | X_t = j, X_1 = s).$$

Then

$$p^{\pi'}(Y_t = a | X_t = j) = p^\pi(Y_t = a | X_t = j, X_1 = s).$$

Assume the conclusion holds for $t = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\pi'}(X_n = j, Y_n = a | X_1 = s) &= p^{\pi'}(Y_n = a | X_n = j, X_1 = s) p^{\pi'}(X_n = j | X_1 = s) \\ &= p^\pi(Y_n = a | X_n = j, X_1 = s) p^{\pi'}(X_n = j | X_1 = s). \end{aligned}$$

Then by induction assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} p^\pi(X_n = j | X_1 = s) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} p^\pi(X_{n-1} = k, Y_{n-1} = a | X_1 = s) p(j|k, a) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} p^{\pi'}(X_{n-1} = k, Y_{n-1} = a | X_1 = s) p(j|k, a) = p^{\pi'}(X_n = j | X_1 = s) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.1 (Vector expression for MDP) Let δ be MD, define $r_\delta(s)$ and $p_\delta(j|s)$ by

$$r_\delta(s) := r(s, \delta(s)), p_\delta(j|s) := p(j|s, \delta(s)).$$

Denote $r_\delta = (r_\delta(1), \dots, r_\delta(|\mathcal{S}|))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$, $p_\delta = (p_\delta)_{(s,j)} = p(j|s, \delta(s))$. For MR δ , define

$$r_\delta(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\delta(s)}(a) r(s, a), p_\delta(j|s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\delta(s)}(a) p(j|s, a).$$

The (s, j) -th component of the t -step transition probability matrix p_π^t satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} p_\pi^t(j|s) &= [p_{\delta_1} p_{\delta_2} \cdots p_{\delta_t}](j|s) = p^\pi(X_{t+1} = j | X_1 = s) \\ \mathbb{E}_s^\pi g(X_t) &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_\pi^{t-1}(j|s) g(j) = (p_\pi^t g)_s \\ U^\pi &= \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} p_\pi^{t-1} r_{\delta_t} = r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} (r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_2} r_{\delta_2} + \cdots) = r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} U^{\pi_1}. \end{aligned}$$

When π is stationary, $U = r_\delta + \lambda p_\delta U$.

Theorem 3.5 Define $\mathcal{L}U = \sup_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MD}}} \{r_d + \lambda p_d U\}$. Suppose there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ for which (a) $U \geq \mathcal{L}U$, then $U \geq U^*$; (b) $U \leq \mathcal{L}U$, then $U \leq U^*$; (c) $U = \mathcal{L}U$, then $U = U^*$.

Proof (a) By the given conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} U &\geq \sup_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MR}}} \{r_\delta + \lambda p_\delta U\} \geq r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} U \geq r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} (r_{\delta_2} + \lambda p_{\delta_2} U) \\ &\geq r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} r_{\delta_2} + \cdots + \lambda^{n-1} p_{\delta_1} p_{\delta_2} \cdots p_{\delta_{n-1}} r_{\delta_n} + \lambda^n p_\pi^n U \\ \Rightarrow U - U^\pi &\geq \lambda^n p_\pi^n U - \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} \lambda^k p_\pi^k r_{\delta_{k+1}} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(b) $U \leq \mathcal{L}U \Rightarrow U \leq r_d + \lambda p_d U + \varepsilon 1 \Rightarrow (I - \lambda p_d)U \leq r_d + \varepsilon 1 \Rightarrow U \leq (I - \lambda p_d)^{-1}(r_d + \varepsilon 1) = U^\pi + \varepsilon(1 - \lambda)^{-1} 1_{|\mathcal{S}|}$.

(c) Omitted. \square

Theorem 3.6 If $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, \mathcal{L} is a contraction mapping on \mathcal{U} .

Proof Let u and v in \mathcal{U} . For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, assume $\mathcal{L}v(s) \geq \mathcal{L}u(s)$ and let $a_s^* = \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r(s, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda p(j|s, a) v(j)\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \mathcal{L}v(s) - \mathcal{L}u(s) &\leq r(s, a_s^*) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda p(j|s, a_s^*) v(j) - r(s, a_s^*) - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda p(j|s, a_s^*) u(j) \\ &= \lambda \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a_s^*) (v(j) - u(j)) \leq \lambda \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a_s^*) \|u - v\| = \lambda \|u - v\|. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

4 Statistical Learning Theory

Definition 4.1 (Basic concepts) $(X, Y) \sim P \in \mathcal{P}$, definite $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ i.i.d., $\mathcal{D}_n = \{(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)\}$, risk $\mathcal{R}_n(f) = \mathbb{E}_{(X, Y) \in \mathcal{D}_n} l(X, Y)$. An algorithm A is a mapping from \mathcal{D}_n to a function $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Excess risk: $\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n)) - \mathcal{R}_P^*$. Expected error: $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n))]$. An algorithm is called consistent in expectation for P iff $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n))] - \mathcal{R}_P^* \rightarrow 0$. PAC (probability approximately correct): for a given $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n)) - \mathcal{R}_P^* \leq \varepsilon) \geq 1 - \delta$.

Definition 4.2 (Consistency) $g(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x]$, $g_n(x, \mathcal{D}_n) = g_n(x)$, $\mathbb{E}\{|g_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_n(x) - g(x)|^2 \mu(dx) + \mathbb{E}[g(X) - Y]^2$. A sequence of regression function estimates $\{g_n\}$ is called (a) weakly consistent for a certain distribution of (X, Y) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}\{\int [g_n(x) - g(x)] \mu(dx)\} = 0$; (b) strongly consistent for a certain distribution if $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int [g_n(x) - g(x)]^2 \mu(dx) = 0$ with probability 1; (c) weakly universally consistent if for all distributions of (X, Y) with $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty$, \dots ; (d) strongly universally consistent \dots .

Definition 4.3 (Penalized model) $g_n = \arg \min_f \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 + J_n(f)\}$. Penalized term for f :

$$J_n(f) = \lambda_n \int |f''(t)|^2 dt \text{ or } J_{n,k}(f) = \lambda_n \int \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \left| \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{t_1} \cdots \partial x_{t_d}} \right|^2 dt, \dots$$

Proposition 4.1 (Curse of dimensionality) Let X, X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d. \mathbb{R}^d uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]^d$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_\infty(d, n) &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \|X - X_i\|_\infty\right\} = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left\{\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \|X - X_i\|_\infty > t\right\} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - \mathbb{P}\left\{\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \|X - X_i\|_\infty < t\right\}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{P}\{\min_i \|X - X_i\|_\infty < t\} \leq n\mathbb{P}(\|X - X_1\|_\infty \leq t) \leq n(2t)^d$, $d_\infty(d, n) \geq \frac{d}{2(d+1)} n^{-\frac{1}{d}}$.

Theorem 4.1 (No-Free lunch theorem) Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0. For every sequence of regression estimates, there exists a distribution of (X, Y) such that X is uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, $Y = g(X)$, g is ± 1 valued, and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n - g\|^2}{a_n} \geq 1$.

Proof Let $\{p_j\}$ be a probability distribution and let $A = \{A_j\}$ be a partition of $[0, 1]$ such that A_j is an interval of length p_j . Consider regression function indexed by a parameter $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots)$ with $c_j \in \{\pm 1\}$. Define $g^{(c)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ by $g^{(c)}(x) = c_j$ iff $x \in A_j$ and $Y = g^{(c)}(X)$. For $x \in A_j$, define $\bar{g}_n(x) = \frac{1}{p_j} \int_{A_j} g_n(z) \mu(dz)$ to be the projection of g_n on A . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_j} |g_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx) &= \int_{A_j} |g_n(x) - \bar{g}_n(x)|^2 \mu(dx) + \int_A |\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \\ &\geq \int_A |\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\hat{c}_{nj} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \int_{A_j} g_n(z) \mu(dz) \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. For $x \in A_j$, if $\hat{c}_{nj} = 1$ and $c_j = -1$, then $\bar{g}_n(x) \geq 0$ and $g^{(c)}(x) = -1$, implying $|\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)| \geq 1$; if $\hat{c}_{nj} = -1$ and $c_j = 1$, then $\bar{g}_n(x) < 0$ and $g^{(c)}(x) = 1$, also implying $|\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)| \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx) &\geq 1_{\{\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_j\}} \int_{A_j} 1 \mu(dx) \geq 1_{\{\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_j\}} p_j \geq 1_{\{\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_j\}} 1_{\{\mu_n(A_j)=0\}} p_j \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int |g_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right\} &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_j, \mu_n(A_j) = 0) p_j := R_n(c). \end{aligned}$$

Now we randomize c . Let C_1, C_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables independent of X_1, X_2, \dots which satisfy $\mathbb{P}(C_1 = 1) = \mathbb{P}(C_1 = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} R_n(C) &= \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{P}(\hat{C}_{nj} \neq C_j, \mu_n(A_j) = 0) p_j \stackrel{\text{total expectation}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E} \{1_{\{\mu_n(A_j)=0\}} \mathbb{P}(\hat{C}_{nj} \neq C_j | X_1, \dots, X_n)\} p_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n(A_j) = 0) p_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$R_n(c) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n(A_j) = 0) p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j \Rightarrow \frac{R_n(c)}{\mathbb{E} R_n(C)} \leq 2.$$

By Fatou's lemma,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R_n(C)}{\mathbb{E} R_n(C)} \right\} \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ \frac{R_n(C)}{\mathbb{E} R_n(C)} \right\} = 1,$$

which implies that there exists $c \in C$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R_n(C)}{\mathbb{E} R_n(C)} \geq 1 \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left\{ \int |g_n(x) - g(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right\}}{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j} \geq 1.$$

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 with $\frac{1}{2} \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots$, then there exists a probability $\{p_j\}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j \geq a_n, \forall n$. □

Definition 4.4 (Minimax lower bounds) (a) The sequence of positive numbers a_n is called the lower minimax rate of convergence for the \mathcal{P} if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{g_n} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n - g\|^2}{a_n} = c_1 > 0$. (b) a_n is called optimal rate of convergence for the class \mathcal{P} if it is a lower minimax rate of convergence and there is an estimate g_n such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n - g\|^2}{a_n} = c_n < \infty$.

Definition 4.5 (Smoothness) Let $q = k + \beta$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and let $\rho > 0$. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called (q, ρ) -smooth if for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d), \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = k$, the partial derivative $\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$ exists and satisfies $\left| \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}(x) - \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}(z) \right| \leq \rho \|x - z\|^\beta$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{(q, \rho)}$ be the set of all (q, ρ) -smooth functions f . Let $\mathcal{P}^{(q, \rho)}$ be the class of distributions (X, Y) such that (i) X is uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]^d$; (ii) $Y = g(X) + N$, where $X \perp\!\!\!\perp N$, and N is standard normal; (iii) $g \in \mathcal{F}^{q, \rho}$.

Lemma 4.1 Let u be an l -dimensional real vector, let C be a zero means random variables taking values in $\{-1, 1\}$ and let N be an l -dimensional standard normal independent of C . Set $Z = Cu + N$. Then the error probability of the Bayesian decision for C based on Z is $\mathcal{R}^* = \min_{g: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(g(Z) \neq C) = \Phi(-\|u\|)$.

Proof $\mathbb{P}(C = 1) = \mathbb{P}(C = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathbb{P}(Z|C = 1) = \mathcal{N}(u, I)$, $\mathbb{P}(Z|C = -1) = \mathcal{N}(-u, I)$. By the Bayes formula,

$$\mathbb{P}(C = 1|Z = z) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(C = 1)\mathbb{P}(Z|C = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(C = 1)\mathbb{P}(Z|C = 1) + \mathbb{P}(C = -1)\mathbb{P}(Z|C = -1)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{\|Z - u\|^2}{2} - \frac{\|Z + u\|^2}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-2Z^T u)}.$$

Therefore, the optimal Bayes decision is $g^*(Z) = \text{sgn}(Z^T u)$, and the risk is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^* &= \mathbb{P}(g^*(Z) \neq C) = \mathbb{P}(Z^T u < 0, C = 1) + \mathbb{P}(Z^T u > 0, C = -1) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\|u\|^2 + u^T N < 0, C = 1) + \mathbb{P}(-\|u\|^2 + u^T N > 0, C = -1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}(u^T N \leq -\|u\|^2) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}(u^T N > \|u\|^2) = \Phi(-\|u\|). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.2 For the class $\mathcal{P}^{(q, \rho)}$, the sequence $a_n = n^{-\frac{2q}{2q+d}}$ is a lower minimax rate of convergence. In particular,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{g_n} \sup_{P_{(X, Y)} \in \mathcal{P}^{(q, \rho)}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n - g\|^2}{\rho^{\frac{2d}{2q+d}} n^{-\frac{2q}{2q+d}}} \geq c_1 > 0.$$

Proof Step 1: Construct an auxiliary function $g^{(c)}(x)$. Set $M_n = \lceil (\rho^2 n)^{\frac{1}{2q+d}} \rceil$. Partition $[0, 1]^d$ into M_n^d cubes $\{A_{n,j}\}$ of side length $\frac{1}{M_n}$ and with centers $\{a_{n,j}\}$. Choose a function $\bar{f} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the support of \bar{f} is a subset of $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$, $\int \bar{f}^2(x) dx > 0$ and $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{F}^{(q, 2^{\beta-1})}$. Define $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f = \rho \bar{f}$. Let $c_n = (c_{n,1}, \dots, c_{n,M_n^d}) \in \mathcal{C}_n$ take values in $\{\pm 1\}$. Define $g^{(c_n)}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} c_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x)$ where $f_{n,j}(x) = M_n^{-q} f(M_n(x - a_{n,j}))$.

Step 2: Show that $g^{(c_n)} \in \mathcal{F}^{(q, \rho)}$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d), \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j = k$ and $D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$. If $x, z \in A_{n,j}$,

$$|D^\alpha g^{(c_n)}(x) - D^\alpha g^{(c_n)}(z)| = |c_{n,j}| |D^\alpha f_{n,j}(x) - D^\alpha f_{n,j}(z)| \leq \rho \|x - z\|^\beta.$$

If $x \in A_{n,i}, z \in A_{n,j}$, choose \bar{x}, \bar{z} on the line between x and z such that \bar{x} is on the boundary of $A_{n,i}$ and \bar{z} is on the boundary of $A_{n,j}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\alpha g^{(c_n)}(x) - D^\alpha g^{(c_n)}(z)| &\leq |c_{n,i} D^\alpha f_{n,i}(x)| + |c_{n,j} D^\alpha f_{n,j}(z)| \\ &= |c_{n,i}| |D^\alpha f_{n,i}(x) - D^\alpha f_{n,i}(\bar{x})| + |c_{n,j}| |D^\alpha f_{n,j}(z) - D^\alpha f_{n,j}(\bar{z})| \\ &\leq \rho 2^{\beta-1} (\|x - \bar{x}\|^\beta + \|z - \bar{z}\|^\beta) = \rho 2^\beta \left(\frac{\|x - \bar{x}\|^\beta}{2} + \frac{\|z - \bar{z}\|^\beta}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \rho 2^\beta \left(\frac{\|x - \bar{x}\|}{2} + \frac{\|z - \bar{z}\|}{2} \right)^\beta \leq \rho \|x - z\|^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Prove that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{g_n} \sup_{Y = g^{(c)}(X) + N, c \in \mathcal{C}_n} \frac{M_n^{2q}}{\rho^2} \mathbb{E}\|g_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 > 0.$$

$\{f_{n,j}\}$ forms a set of orthogonal basis. Let g_n be an arbitrary estimate, and the projection \bar{g}_n of g_n to $\{g^{(c)} : c \in \mathcal{C}_n\}$ is given by $\bar{g}_n = \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \tilde{c}_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 &= \|g_n - \bar{g}_n\|^2 + \|g_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 \geq \|\bar{g}_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \int_{A_{n,j}} (\tilde{c}_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x) - c_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x))^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \int_{A_{n,j}} (\tilde{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j})^2 f_{n,j}^2(x) dx = \int f^2(x) dx \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} (\tilde{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j})^2 \frac{1}{M_n^{2q+d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\bar{c}_{n,j} = \text{sgn}(\tilde{c}_{n,j})$, then

$$|\tilde{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j}| \geq \frac{|\bar{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j}|}{2} \Rightarrow \|g_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 \geq \int f^2(x) dx \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{M_n^{2q+d}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} (\bar{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j})^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{M_n^{2q}} \int \bar{f}^2(x) dx \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} 1_{\{\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq c_{n,j}\}}.$$

Step 4: Prove that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\bar{c}_n} \sup_{c_n} \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \mathbb{P}(\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq c_{n,j}) > 0.$$

Now we randomize c_n . Let $c_{n,1}, \dots, c_{n,M_n^d}$ be i.i.d. random variables independent of $(X_1, N_1), \dots, (X_n, N_n)$, $\mathbb{P}(C_{n,1} = 1) = \mathbb{P}(C_{n,1} = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. $\bar{c}_{n,j}$ can be interpreted as a decision on $C_{n,j}$ using \mathcal{D}_n . Let $\bar{C}_{n,j} = 1$ if $\mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,j} = 1 | \mathcal{D}_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\bar{c}_n} \sup_{c_n} \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \mathbb{P}(\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq c_{n,j}) &\geq \inf_{\bar{c}_n} \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \mathbb{P}(\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq C_{n,j}) \geq \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,j} \neq C_{n,j}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,1} \neq C_{n,1}) = \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,1} \neq C_{n,1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_t} be those $X_i \in A_{n,1}$, $(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_t}) = C_{n,1}(f_{n,1}(X_{i_1}), \dots, f_{n,1}(X_{i_t})) + (N_{i_1}, \dots, N_{i_t})$. By lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,1} \neq C_{n,1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)\} &= \mathbb{E}\Phi\left(-\sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^t f_{n,1}^2(X_{i_r})}\right) = \mathbb{E}\Phi\left(-\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n f_{n,1}^2(X_i)}\right) \\ &\geq \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{n,1}^2(X_i)}\right) \geq \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\int f^2(x) dx}\right) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5 Uniform Laws of Large Numbers

Definition 5.1 (Background) Set $Z = (X, Y)$, $Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$, $g_f(x, y) = |f(x) - y|^2$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $G_n = \{g_f : f \in \mathcal{F}_n\}$, consider the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right|$.

Lemma 5.1 (Hoeffding's inequality) For $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$, the following inequalities hold:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{B^2}} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq 2|\mathcal{G}_n|e^{-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{B^2}}.$$

For finite class \mathcal{G} satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |\mathcal{G}_n|e^{-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{B^2}} < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, by Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \varepsilon \text{ i.o.}\right) = 0$$

Definition 5.2 (Covering number) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and \mathcal{G} be a set of functions $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Every finite collection of functions $g_1, \dots, g_N : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property that for every $g \in \mathcal{G}$ there is a $j = j(g) \in [N]$ such that $\|g - g_j\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ is called an ε -cover of \mathcal{G} w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Let $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ or $\mathcal{N}_\infty(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G})$ be the smallest ε -cover of \mathcal{G} w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Theorem 5.1 For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{G}_n be a set of functions $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\} \right| > \varepsilon \right) \leq 2\mathcal{N}_\infty \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \mathcal{G}_n \right) \exp \left(-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{9B^2} \right).$$

Proof Let $\mathcal{G}_{n, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}}$ be an $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ -cover of \mathcal{G}_n w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ of minimal cardinality. Fix $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$, there exists $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}}$ such that $\|g - \bar{g}\|_\infty < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (g(Z_i) - \bar{g}(Z_i)) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{g}(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{\bar{g}(Z)\} \right| + |\mathbb{E}\bar{g}(Z) - \mathbb{E}g(Z)| \\ &\leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{g}(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{\bar{g}(Z)\} \right|, \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\} \right| > \varepsilon \right) &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{n, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then use Hoeffding's inequality. □

Definition 5.3 Let $\varepsilon > 0$, \mathcal{G} be a set of functions $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and ν be a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d . (a) Every finite collection of functions $g_1, \dots, g_N : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property that for every $g \in \mathcal{G}$ there is a $j = j(g) \in [N]$ such that $\|g - g_j\|_{L_p(\nu)} < \varepsilon$ is called a ε -cover of \mathcal{G} . Similarly define $\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$. (b) Let $Z^{1:n} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and ν_n be the corresponding empirical measure, then $\|f\|_{L_p(\nu_n)} := \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and similarly define $\mathcal{N}_p(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n})$.

Definition 5.4 (Packing number) (a) Every finite collection of functions $g_1, \dots, g_N \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\|g_j - g_k\|_{L_p(\nu)} \geq \varepsilon$ for all $1 \leq j < k \leq N$ is called ε -packing of \mathcal{G} with $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}$. The largest ε -packing is denoted as $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$. Similarly define $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n})$.

Property 5.1 (Covering number v.s. packing number)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(2\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) &\leq \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}), \\ \mathcal{M}(2\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n}) &\leq \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n}) \leq \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2 Let \mathcal{F} be a set of functions $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that \mathcal{F} is a linear vector space of dimension D . Then for arbitrary $R > 0, \varepsilon > 0$, and $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathcal{N}_2 \left(\varepsilon, \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(z_i)|^2 \leq R^2 \right\}, Z^{1:n} \right) \leq \left(\frac{4R + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^D.$$

Definition 5.5 Let \mathcal{A} be a class of subsets of \mathbb{R}^d and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, define $s(\mathcal{A}, \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}) = |\{A \cap \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}|$.

Definition 5.6 Let \mathcal{G} be a subset of \mathbb{R}^d of size n . We say \mathcal{A} shatters \mathcal{G} if $s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G}) = 2^n$. The n th shatter coefficient of \mathcal{A} is $S(\mathcal{A}, n) = \max_{\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d} s(\mathcal{A}, \{z_1, \dots, z_n\})$, the maximum number of different subsets of n points that can be picked out by set from \mathcal{A} .

Definition 5.7 (VC dimension) Let \mathcal{A} be a class of subsets of \mathbb{R}^d with $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$. The VC dimension $V_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} is defined by $V_{\mathcal{A}} = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N}, S(\mathcal{A}, n) = 2^n\}$.

Proposition 5.1 $S(\mathcal{A}, n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{V_{\mathcal{A}}} \binom{n}{i}$.

Theorem 5.3 Let \mathcal{G} be a set of functions $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z) - \mathbb{E}[g(Z)] \right| > \varepsilon \right\} \leq 8\mathbb{E}\mathcal{N}_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{128B^2} \right).$$

Proof Step 1: Symmetrization. Let $Z^{1:n}$ be i.i.d. samples from the same distribution and independent of $Z^{1:n}$ and $g^* \in \mathcal{G}$ be a function such that $\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| > \varepsilon$ if there exists such one. Otherwise, let g^* be an arbitrary function in \mathcal{G} . $g^*(z)$ depends on $Z^{1:n}$ and $\mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \middle| Z^{1:n} \right\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n})}{n(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})^2} \leq \frac{B^2/4}{n\varepsilon^2/4} = \frac{B^2}{n\varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ holds for $n \geq \frac{2B^2}{\varepsilon^2}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} &\geq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ &\geq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \right| > \varepsilon, \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ 1_{\left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \right| > \varepsilon \right\}} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \middle| Z^{1:n} \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \right| > \varepsilon \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $2\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \geq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g(Z)] \right| > \varepsilon \right\}$.

Step 2: Introduction of additive randomness by random signs. Let U_1, \dots, U_n be independent and uniformly distributed over $\{-1, 1\}$ and independent $Z^{1:n}$ and $Z'^{1:n}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [g(Z_i) - g(Z'_i)] \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i [g(Z_i) - g(Z'_i)] \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right\} + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z'_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right\} \\ &= 2\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Conditioning and introduction of a covering on $Z^{1:n}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}$ be an L_1 $\frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ -cover of \mathcal{G} in $Z^{1:n}$. Fix $g \in \mathcal{G}$, then there exists $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i) - \bar{g}(Z_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. $\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i [g(Z_i) - \bar{g}(Z_i)] \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(Z_i) \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. Thus

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right\} \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}} : \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \right\} \leq |\mathcal{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}| \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{8}}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \right\}$$

Step 4: Application of Hoeffding's inequality: $|U_i g(Z_i)| \leq B \Rightarrow \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \right\} \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{2n(\frac{\varepsilon}{8})^2}{(2B)^2} \right) = 2 \exp \left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{128B^2} \right)$. \square

Theorem 5.4 Let \mathcal{G} be a class of functions $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$ with $V_{\mathcal{G}^+} \geq 2$ where $\mathcal{G}^+ := \{(z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : t \leq g(z), g \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Let $p \geq 1$, ν be a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{B}{4}$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq 3 \left(\frac{2eB^p}{\varepsilon^p} \log \frac{3eB^p}{\varepsilon^p} \right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}}.$$

Proof Step 1: Set $p = 1$. Relate $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$ to a shatter coefficient of \mathcal{G}^+ . Set $m = \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$ and let $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ be a ε -packing of \mathcal{G} w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}$. Let $Q_1, \dots, Q_K \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be K independent r.v.'s with common ν . Generate K independent r.v.'s T_1, \dots, T_K uniformly distributed on $[0, B]$. Denote $R_i = (Q_i, T_i), i = 1, \dots, K, \mathcal{G}_f = \{(x, t) : t \leq f(x)\}$ for $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$. Then

$$S(\mathcal{G}^+, K) = \max_{\{z_1, \dots, z_K\} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} s(\mathcal{G}^+, \{z_1, \dots, z_K\}) \geq \mathbb{E} s(\mathcal{G}_+, \{R_1, \dots, R_K\}) \geq \mathbb{E} s(\{\mathcal{G}_f : f \in \mathcal{G}\}, \{R_1, \dots, R_K\})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \mathbb{E}s(\{\mathcal{G}_f : f \in \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K} \text{ for all } g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f\}, R^{1:K}) \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}} 1_{\{\mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K} \text{ for all } g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f\}} \right\} = \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K} \text{ for all } g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f) \\
 &= \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}} (1 - \mathbb{P}(\exists g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f, \mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K})) \geq \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}} \left(1 - m \max_{g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K}) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

For $f, g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, f \neq g$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\})^K,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\}) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\}) = 1 - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\} | Q_1)] \\
 &= 1 - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(f(Q_1) < T \leq g(Q_1) \text{ or } g(Q_1) < T \leq f(Q_1) | Q_1)] = 1 - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{|f(Q_1) - g(Q_1)|}{B} \right] \\
 &= 1 - \frac{1}{B} \int |f(x) - g(x)| \nu(dx) \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\})^K \leq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{B} \right)^K \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon K}{B} \right) \\
 \Rightarrow S(\mathcal{G}^+, K) &\geq m \left(1 - m \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon K}{B} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Set $K = \left\lfloor \frac{B}{\varepsilon} \log(2m) \right\rfloor$. Then

$$1 - m \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon K}{B} \right) \geq 1 - m \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{B} \left(\frac{B}{\varepsilon} \log(2m) - 1 \right) \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{B} \right) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \geq \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow m \leq 3S(\mathcal{G}^+, K).$$

Step 2: Relate $S(\mathcal{G}^+, K)$ to $V_{\mathcal{G}^+}$. Set $K = \lfloor \frac{B}{\varepsilon} \log(2\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})) \rfloor \leq V_{\mathcal{G}^+} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \exp(V_{\mathcal{G}^+}) \leq 3 \left(\frac{2eB}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{3eB}{\varepsilon} \right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}}$. In the case $K > V_{\mathcal{G}^+}$, use the following lemma:

Lemma 5.2 Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $V_{\mathcal{A}} < \infty$. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, S(\mathcal{A}, n) \leq (n+1)^{V_{\mathcal{A}}}$ and $\forall n \geq V_{\mathcal{A}}, S(\mathcal{A}, n) \leq \left(\frac{en}{V_{\mathcal{A}}} \right)^{V_{\mathcal{A}}}$.

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq 3 \left(\frac{eK}{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}} \right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}} \leq 3 \left(\frac{eB}{\varepsilon V_{\mathcal{G}^+}} \log(2\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})) \right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}}.$$

Step 3: Setting $a = \frac{eB}{\varepsilon}$ and $b = V_{\mathcal{G}^+}$, $\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) := x \leq 3 \left(\frac{a}{b} \log(2x) \right)^b \Rightarrow x \leq 3(2a \log(3a))^b$.

Step 4: Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then for any $g_j, g_k \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\|g_j - g_k\|_{L_p(\nu)}^p \leq B^{p-1} \|g_j - g_k\|_{L_1(\nu)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq \mathcal{M} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^p}{B^{p-1}}, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)} \right). \quad \square$$

Theorem 5.5 (ULLN) Let \mathcal{G} be a class of functions $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, G(x) = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} |g(x)|$ be an envelope of \mathcal{G} . Assume $\mathbb{E}G(Z) < \infty$ and $V_{\mathcal{G}^+} < \infty$. Then

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

Proof For $L > 0$, set $\mathcal{G}_L := \{g \cdot 1_{\{G \leq L\}} : g \in \mathcal{G}\}$. For $g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) 1_{\{G(Z_i) \leq L\}} \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) 1_{\{G(Z_i) \leq L\}} - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{G(Z) \leq L}\} \right| + |\mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{G(Z) \leq L}\} - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) 1_{\{G(Z_i) > L\}} \right| + \mathbb{E}|g(Z)| 1_{\{G(Z) > L\}} + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) 1_{\{G(Z_i) \leq L\}} - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{G(Z) \leq L}\} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_L} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| > \varepsilon) \leq 8\mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathcal{M}_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \mathcal{G}_L, Z^{1:n} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{128(2L)^2} \right) \right\}$, use the B-C lemma. \square

6 Least Square Estimates: Consistency and Convergence Rate

Definition 6.1 (Notation) $\mathbb{E}\{(m(X) - Y)^2\} = \inf_f \mathbb{E}\{(f(X) - Y)^2\} \Rightarrow m(X) = \mathbb{E}[Y|X]$. Define

$$m_n = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2, m^* = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{E}\{(f(X) - Y)^2\}.$$

Theorem 6.1 Let \mathcal{F}_n be a class of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ depending on the data $\mathcal{D}_n = \{(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)\}$. Then

$$\int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq 2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \mathbb{E}\{(f(X) - Y)^2\} \right| + \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \nu(dx).$$

Proof We do the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \nu(dx) &= \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}[|m(X) - Y|^2] \\ &= \left\{ \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 \right\} + \left\{ \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 \right\} \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$I_1 \leq 2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 \right|. \quad I_2 = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \int (f(x) - m(x))^2 \nu(dx). \quad \square$$

Proposition 6.1 (Method of Sieves) Let $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded functions such that $|\psi_j(x)| \leq 1$. Assume the set of functions $\cup_{k=1}^{+\infty} \{ \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \psi_j(x) : a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R} \}$ is dense in $L_2(\mu)$ for any probability measure μ on \mathbb{R}^d . Define the regression function estimate m_n as a function minimizing the empirical L_2 risk $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - Y_i)^2$ over the function form $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j \psi_j(x)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |a_j| \leq \beta_n$. If $\mathbb{E}(Y^2) < \infty$ and k_n and β_n satisfy $k_n \rightarrow \infty, \beta_n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{k_n \beta_n^4 \log \beta_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\beta_n^4}{n^{1-\delta}} \rightarrow 0$ for some $\delta > 0$, then $\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) \rightarrow 0$ with probability 1.

Proposition 6.2 Consider $\mathcal{F}_n = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j \psi_j(x) : \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |a_j| \leq \beta_n \right\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j \psi_j(x) : a_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. Step 1: derive \widetilde{m}_n by using $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$. Step 2: Truncation of \widetilde{m}_n , $m_n(x) = T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{m}_n(x)$ where $T_L u = \begin{cases} u, & \text{if } |u| \leq L \\ L \operatorname{sgn}(u), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. (a) If $\mathbb{E}(Y^2) < \infty$ and k_n and β_n satisfy $k_n \rightarrow \infty, \beta_n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{k_n \beta_n^4 \log \beta_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$, then $\mathbb{E} \left\{ \int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) \right\} \rightarrow 0$. (b) If adding the extra condition $\frac{\beta_n^4}{n^{1-\delta}} \rightarrow 0$ for some $\delta > 0$, then $\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

Proposition 6.3 Let $\widetilde{F}_n = \widetilde{F}_n(\mathcal{D}_n)$ be a class of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $|Y| \leq \beta_n$ a.s., then

$$\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) \leq 2 \sup_{f \in T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 \right| + \inf_{f \in \widetilde{F}_n, \|f\|_\infty \leq \beta_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx)$$

Theorem 6.2 Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathcal{D}_n)$ be a class of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $Y_L = T_L Y, Y_{i,L} = T_L Y_i$. (a) If

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_n = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{f \in \widetilde{F}_n, \|f\|_\infty \leq \beta_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx) &= 0 \text{ a.s.,} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{f \in T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_{i,L}|^2 - \mathbb{E}(f(X) - Y_L)^2 \right| &= 0 \text{ a.s. for all } L > 0, \end{aligned}$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx) = 0$ a.s. (b) If $\beta_n \rightarrow +\infty, \mathbb{E}\{\sim\} \rightarrow 0, \mathbb{E}\{\sim\} \rightarrow 0$, then $\mathbb{E}\{\sim\} \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 6.2 (Piecewise polynomial partition estimate) $\mathcal{P}_n = \{A_{n,1}, A_{n,2}, \dots\}$ be a partition of \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\hat{m}_n(x) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}}}$$

where $A_n(x)$ denotes the cell $A_{n,j} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ which contains x .

Theorem 6.3 Let \mathcal{F} be a class of function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded in absolute value by B . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } \|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \varepsilon\} \leq \mathbb{E} \mathcal{N}_2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{24} \varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, X^{1:2n} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{288B^2} \right)$$

where $\|f\|_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i)|^2$.

Proof Step 1: Replace $L_2(\mu)$ norm by the empirical norm. Let $\tilde{X}^{1:n} = (X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{2n})$ be a ghost sample of i.i.d. r.v.'s as X and independent of $X^{1:n}$. Define $\|f\|_{n'}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(X_i)|^2$. Let f^* be a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \varepsilon$ if there exists any such function, and let f^* be an arbitrary function in \mathcal{F} if such a function does not exist. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2 | X^{1:n}\} &\geq \mathbb{P}\{4\|f^*\|_{n'}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} > \|f^*\|_2^2 | X^{1:n}\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}\{4\|f^*\|_{n'}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \leq \|f^*\|_2^2 | X^{1:n}\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}\{3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \leq 4(\|f^*\|_2^2 - \|f^*\|_{n'}^2) | X^{1:n}\} \geq 1 - \frac{16\text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f^*(X_i)|^2 \middle| X^{1:n}\right)}{(3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4})^2} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\frac{16}{n} B^2 \|f^*\|_2^2}{(3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4})^2} \geq 1 - \frac{\frac{16}{3} \frac{B^2}{n}}{3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}} \geq 1 - \frac{64}{3\varepsilon^2} \frac{B^2}{n} \geq \frac{2}{3} \text{ for } n \geq \frac{64B^2}{\varepsilon^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_{n'} - \|f\|_n > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\} &\geq \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} - 2\|f^*\|_n > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - 2\|f^*\|_n > \varepsilon, 2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2\} \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}\{\|f^*\|_2 - 2\|f^*\|_n > \varepsilon, 2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\{1_{\{\|f^*\|_2 - 2\|f^*\|_n > \varepsilon\}} \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2 | X^{1:n}\}\} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{P}\{\|f^*\|_2 - 2\|f^*\|_n > \varepsilon\} = \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves $\mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_{n'} - \|f\|_n > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}$.

Step 2: Introduction of additional randomness. Let U_1, \dots, U_n be independent and uniformly distributed on $\{-1, 1\}$ and independent of X_1, \dots, X_{2n} . Set $Z_i = \begin{cases} X_{i+n} & \text{if } U_i = 1 \\ X_i & \text{if } U_i = -1 \end{cases}$ and $Z_{i+n} = \begin{cases} X_i & \text{if } U_i = 1 \\ X_{i+n} & \text{if } U_i = -1 \end{cases}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_{n'} - \|f\|_n > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\} &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(X_i)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Conditioning and introduction of a covery. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_j : j = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_2(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{24} \varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, X^{1:2n})\}$ be a $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{24} \varepsilon$ -cover of \mathcal{F} w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{2n}$ of minimal size. $\|f\|_{2n}^2 = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |f(X_i)|^2$. Fix $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\|f - g\|_{2n} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{24} \varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i) - g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i) - f(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2}\|f - g\|_{2n} + \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} + \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

In this way,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \middle| X^{1:2n} \right\} \\
 & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{12} \middle| X^{1:2n} \right\} \\
 & \leq |\mathcal{G}| \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{12} \middle| X^{1:2n} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Application of Hoeffding's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \leq \left| \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right|}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i |g(X_i)|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i |g(X_{i+n})|^2 \right|}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\varepsilon}{12} \middle| X^{1:2n} \right\} & \leq 2 \exp \left(- \frac{2n^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{144} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |g(X_i)|^2 \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n 4(|g(X_i)|^2 - |g(X_{i+n})|^2)^2} \right) \\
 & \leq 2 \exp \left(- \frac{2n^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{144} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |g(X_i)|^2 \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n 4B^2(|g(X_i)|^2 + |g(X_{i+n})|^2)} \right) \\
 & = \exp \left(- \frac{n\varepsilon^2}{288B^2} \right). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.4 Assume $\sigma^2 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \text{Var}(Y|X = x) < \infty$. Let $k_n = k_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the vector space dimension of \mathcal{F}_n . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \|\widetilde{m}_n - m\|_n^2 | X^{1:n} \} \leq \frac{\sigma^2 k_n}{n} + \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \|f - m\|_n^2.$$

Proof Denote $\mathbb{E}^* \{ \cdot \} = \mathbb{E} \{ \cdot | X^{1:n} \}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}^* \{ \|\widetilde{m}_n - m\|_n^2 \} & = \mathbb{E}^* \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\widetilde{m}_n(X_i) - m(X_i)|^2 \right\} \\
 & = \mathbb{E}^* \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\widetilde{m}_n(X_i) - \mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n(X_i)) + \mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n(X_i)) - m(X_i)|^2 \right\} \\
 & = \mathbb{E}^* \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\widetilde{m}_n(X_i) - \mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n(X_i))|^2 \right\} + \mathbb{E}^* \{ |\mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n(X_i)) - m(X_i)|^2 \} \\
 & = \mathbb{E}^* \{ \|\widetilde{m}_n - \mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n)\|_n^2 \} + \|\mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n) - m\|_n^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Write that $\widetilde{m}_n = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j f_{j,n}$ where $f_{1,n}, \dots, f_{k_n,n}$ is a basis of \mathcal{F}_n , and $a = (a_j)_{j=1, \dots, k_n}$ satisfies that $\frac{1}{n} B^T B a = \frac{1}{n} B^T Y$, $B = (f_{j,n}(X_i))_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k_n}$ and $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^T$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}^* \{ \widetilde{m}_n \} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \mathbb{E}^* \{ a_j \} f_{j,n} \text{ and } \frac{1}{n} B^T B \mathbb{E}^* a = \frac{1}{n} B^T \mathbb{E}^* Y = \frac{1}{n} B^T (m(X_1), \dots, m(X_n))^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n) - m\|_n^2 = \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \|f - m\|_n^2.$$

Choose a complete orthonormal system f_1, \dots, f_k in \mathcal{F}_n w.r.t. the empirical scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$ where $\langle f, g \rangle_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)g(X_i)$, $k \leq k_n$. We remind our readers that such a system depends on X_1, \dots, X_n . Then, on $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$, $\text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}_n$, $\widetilde{m}_n(x) = f(x)^T \frac{1}{n} B^T Y$ where $B = (f_j(X_i))_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k}$, $B^T B = I$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^*\{|\widetilde{m}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n(x))|^2\} &= \mathbb{E}^*\left\{\left|f(x)^T \frac{1}{n} B^T Y - f(x)^T \frac{1}{n} B^T (m(X_1), \dots, m(X_n))^T\right|^2\right\} \\ &= f(x)^T \frac{1}{n} B^T (\mathbb{E}^*\{(Y_i - m(X_i))(Y_j - m(X_j))^T\}) \frac{1}{n} B f(x) \\ &\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}^*\{\|\widetilde{m}_n - \mathbb{E}^*(\widetilde{m}_n)\|_n^2\} \leq \frac{1}{n^2} f^T B^T \sigma^2 I B f = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_n^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} k \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n} k_n. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 6.5 Assume $\sigma^2 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \text{Var}(Y|X = x) < \infty$ and $\|m\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |m(x)| \leq L \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $m_n(\cdot) = T_L \widetilde{m}_n(\cdot)$.

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \leq C \cdot \max\{\sigma^2, L^2\} \frac{\log(n) + 1}{n} k_n + 8 \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx).$$

Proof First we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx) &= (\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n + 2\|m_n - m\|_n)^2 \\ &\leq (\max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\} + 2\|m_n - m\|_n)^2 \\ &\leq 2(\max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\})^2 + 8\|m_n - m\|_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{8\|m_n - m\|_n^2\} &\leq 8\mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{E}\{\|\widetilde{m}_n - m\|_n^2 | X_1, \dots, X_n\}\} \\ &\leq 8\sigma^2 \frac{k_n}{n} + 8\mathbb{E}\left\{\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \|f - m\|_n^2\right\} \\ &\leq 8\sigma^2 \frac{k_n}{n} + 8 \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{E}\|f - m\|_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(2 \max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\} > u) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\exists f \in T_L \mathcal{F}_n : \|f - m\|_2 - 2\|f - m\|_n > \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq 3\mathbb{E} \mathcal{N}_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{u}}{24}, \mathcal{F}_n, X^{1:2n}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{nu}{576(2L)^2}\right) \\ &\leq 9(12en)^{2(k_n+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{nu}{2304L^2}\right) \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(2 \max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\}) &\leq u + \int_u^\infty \mathbb{P}(2 \max\{\|m_n - m\|_2 - 2\|m_n - m\|_n, 0\} > t) dt \\ \left(\text{take } u \geq \frac{576L^2}{n}\right) &\leq CL^2 \frac{\log(n) + 1}{n} k_n. \end{aligned}$$

Combine these two bounds together. □

Property 6.1 (Nonlinear LSE) $|Y| \leq L \leq \beta_n$ a.s., $m_n(\cdot) = T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{m}_n(\cdot)$, $\widetilde{m}_n(\cdot) = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2$. We do the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx) &= \left\{ \mathbb{E}\{|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n\} - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] \right\} \leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\widetilde{m}_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2 \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] \right\} \\
 &\leq \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] \right\} \\
 &= \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \{ \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 \} \\
 &= \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx)
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] > \varepsilon \right\} \\
 &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2] \right\} \\
 &\leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in T_{\beta_n} \mathcal{F}_n : \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2] \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Set $Z = (X, Y)$, $Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$, $g(Z) = |f(X) - Y|^2 - |m(X) - Y|^2$. We can rewrite the above equation as

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}g(Z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right\}.$$

Since $|g(Z)| = |(f(X) + m(X) - 2Y)(f(X) - m(X))| \leq 4\beta_n |f(X) - m(X)|$, $\sigma^2 := \text{Var}(g(Z)) \leq \mathbb{E}g(Z)^2 \leq 16\beta_n^2 \mathbb{E}|f(X) - m(X)|^2 = 16\beta_n^2 (\mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2)$, the above equation is upper-bounded by

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}g(Z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{Var}(g(Z))}{16\beta_n^2} \right\} \stackrel{\text{Bernstein's inequality}}{\leq} \exp \left(- \frac{n[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{32\beta_n^2}]^2}{2\sigma^2 + 2\frac{8\beta_n^2}{3}[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{32\beta_n^2}]} \right) \leq \exp \left(- \frac{1}{128 + \frac{32}{3}\beta_n^2} \frac{n\varepsilon}{\beta_n^2} \right).$$

Theorem 6.6 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq L < \infty$. Assume $|Y| \leq L$ a.s. Let estimate m_n be defined by minimization of the empirical l_2 risk over a set of functions \mathcal{F}_n and truncation at L . Then one has

$$\mathbb{E} \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \leq \frac{c_1}{n} + \frac{(c_2 + c_3 \log n) V_{\mathcal{F}_n^+}}{n} + 2 \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx)$$

Proof We first introduce a theorem/lemma.

Theorem 6.7 **Lemma 6.1** Assume $|Y| \leq B$ a.s. and $B \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{F} be a set of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $|f(x)| \leq B$. Then for any $n \geq 1$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \geq \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta + \mathbb{E}|f(X) - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}|m(X) - Y|^2) \right\} \\
 &\leq 14 \sup_{X^{1:n}} \mathcal{N}_1 \left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{20B}, \mathcal{F}, X^{1:n} \right) \exp \left(- \frac{\varepsilon^2(1 - \varepsilon)\alpha n}{214(1 + \varepsilon)B^2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let's return to the original **Theorem 6.6**.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx) &= \left\{ \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}[|m(X) - Y|^2] - 2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2 \right) \right\} \\
 &\quad + 2 \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2 \right\} \\
 &:= T_{1,n} + T_{2,n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}(T_{2,n}) \leq 2 \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx), \quad \mathbb{E}(T_{1,n}) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(T_{1,n} > t) dt \leq \varepsilon + \int_\varepsilon^\infty \mathbb{P}(T_{1,n} > t) dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(T_{1,n} > t) &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}[|m(X) - Y|^2] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|m_n(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{2} + \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}[|m(X) - Y|^2] \right) \right\} \\
 &\leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in T_L \mathcal{F}_n : \mathbb{E}[f(X) - Y]^2 - \mathbb{E}[m(X) - Y]^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [|f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - |m(X_i) - Y_i|^2] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{2} + \mathbb{E}[f(X) - Y]^2 - \mathbb{E}[m(X) - Y]^2 \right) \right\} \\
 &\stackrel{\text{(by lemma 6.1)}}{\leq} 14 \sup_{X^{1:n}} \mathcal{N}_1 \left(\frac{1}{80Ln}, T_L \mathcal{F}_n, X^{1:n} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{nt}{24 \cdot 214L^4} \right) \\
 &\leq 3(480eL^2n)^{2V_{(T_L \mathcal{F}_n)^+}} \exp \left(-\frac{nt}{24 \cdot 214L^4} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Plug this bound into the integral in the previous expectation bound,

$$\mathbb{E}(T_{1,n}) \leq \varepsilon + \frac{24 \cdot 214L^4}{n} 42(480eL^2n)^{2V_{\mathcal{F}_n^+}} \exp \left(-\frac{n\varepsilon}{24 \cdot 214L^4} \right).$$

□

Lemma 6.2 Let V_1, \dots, V_n i.i.d. r.v.'s, $0 \leq V_i \leq B$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\nu > 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i - \mathbb{E}V_1 \right|}{\nu + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i + \mathbb{E}V_1} > \alpha \right\} \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i - \mathbb{E}V_1 \right|}{\nu + \mathbb{E}V_1} > \alpha \right\} < \frac{B}{4\alpha^2\nu n}.$$

Proof The first inequality is trivial. For the second, note that

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (V_i - \mathbb{E}V_1) \right| > \alpha n(\nu + \mathbb{E}V_1) \right\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (V_i - \mathbb{E}V_1) \right|^2}{[\alpha n(\nu + \mathbb{E}V_1)]^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(V_1)}{n\alpha^2(\nu + \mathbb{E}V_1)^2} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}V_1(B - \mathbb{E}V_1)}{n\alpha^2(\nu + \mathbb{E}V_1)^2}$$

where the last inequality holds since

$$\text{Var}(V_1) = \mathbb{E}\{(V_1 - \mathbb{E}V_1)(V_1 - \mathbb{E}V_1) = \mathbb{E}V_1(V_1 - \mathbb{E}V_1) \leq \mathbb{E}V_1(B - \mathbb{E}V_1)\}.$$

In addition,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}V_1(B - \mathbb{E}V_1)}{n\alpha^2(\nu + \mathbb{E}V_1)^2} \leq \max_{x \in [0, B]} \frac{x(B - x)}{n\alpha^2(\nu + x)^2} = \frac{B^2}{4\alpha^2\nu n(B + \nu)} < \frac{B}{4\alpha^2\nu n}.$$

□

Theorem 6.8 Let $B \geq 1$ and G be a set of functions $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be i.i.d. \mathbb{R}^d -valued r.v.'s. Assume $\alpha > 0$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $n \geq 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z)}{\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}g(Z)} > \varepsilon \right\} \leq 4\mathbb{E} \mathcal{N}_1 \left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{5}, G, Z^{1:n} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{3\varepsilon^2 \alpha n}{40B} \right).$$

Proof Step 1: Replace the expectation with empirical mean. Ghost sample $Z'_{1:n} = (Z'_1, \dots, Z'_n)$ i.i.d. Let g^* be a function $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) > \varepsilon \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right)$$

if there exists any such function. Otherwise, let g^* be an arbitrary function in G . g^* depends on $Z^{1:n}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) > \varepsilon \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right) \text{ and } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) + \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right) \\
 &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) > \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon\alpha + \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) + \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}\mathbb{E}g(Z) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \left(1 - \frac{5}{8}\varepsilon \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) \right) > \frac{3}{8}\varepsilon \left(2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) \right) + \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}\mathbb{E}g(Z)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) \right),$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) \right) \right\} \\ & \geq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) \right) \right\} \\ & \geq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] > \varepsilon \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \right) \text{ and } \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) + \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \right) \right\} \\ & = \mathbb{E} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] > \varepsilon(\dots) \right\}} \underbrace{\mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(\dots) \right\}}_{> 1 - \frac{B}{4(\frac{\varepsilon}{4})^2 \alpha n} = 1 - \frac{4B}{\varepsilon^2 \alpha n} \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } n > \frac{8B}{\varepsilon^2 \alpha} \text{ by lemma 6.2}} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] > \varepsilon \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] \right) \right\} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g(Z)] > \varepsilon \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}[g(Z)] \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Symmetrization. Let $U_1, \dots, U_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} U\{-1, 1\}$ independent of $Z'_{1:n}, Z^{1:n}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) \right) \right\} \\ & = \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i [g(Z_i) - g(Z'_i)] > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(2\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) \right) \right\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) \right) \right\} + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z'_i) < -\frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i) \right) \right\} \\ & = 2\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Conditioning and introduction of a covering. Let $\delta > 0$ and \mathcal{G}_δ be an L_1 δ -cover of \mathcal{G} on $z^{1:n}$. For $g \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists a $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{G}_\delta$ such that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(z_i) - \bar{g}(z_i)| < \delta$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(z_i) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(z_i) - \bar{g}(z_i)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(z_i) + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(z_i) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{g}(z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(z_i) - \bar{g}(z_i)| \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{g}(z_i) - \delta$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(z_i) \right) \right\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G}_\delta : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) + \delta > \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(z_i) - \delta \right) \right\} \\ & \leq |\mathcal{G}_\delta| \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}_\delta} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) > \frac{3\varepsilon\alpha}{8} - \frac{3\varepsilon\delta}{8} - \delta + \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(z_i) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\text{Take } \delta = \frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{5} \right) \leq |\mathcal{G}_\delta| \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}_\delta} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) > \frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{10} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(z_i) \right\}$$

Step 4: Application of Hoeffding's inequality. \square

Proof (Theorem 6.7 Lemma 6.1) Let $Z = (X, Y)$, $Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$, $g_f(x, y) = |f(x) - y|^2 - |m(x) - y|^2$ where $m(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x]$. Since $|f(x)| \leq B$, $|Y| \leq B$ and $|m(x)| \leq B$, $-4B^2 \leq g_f(x, y) \leq 4B^2$. Therefore, LHS can be written as

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{E}g_f(Z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z_i) \geq \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta + \mathbb{E}g_f(Z)) \right\}.$$

Step 1: Symmetrization by a ghost sample $Z'_{1:n} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} Z_{1:n}$. Consider a function $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ depending on $Z_{1:n}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_{f_n}(Z_i) \geq \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta + \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}])$$

if such a function exists in \mathcal{F} . Since $\text{Var}(g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}) \leq 16B^2 \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_{f_n}(Z'_i) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] \middle| Z_{1:n} \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{\text{Var}(g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n})}{n \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] \right)^2} \leq \frac{16B^2 \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}]}{n \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] \right)^2} \\ & \leq \frac{16B^2}{\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \beta)n} \leq \frac{1}{8} \left(\text{for } n > \frac{128B^2}{\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \beta)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

That's to say,

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_{f_n}(Z'_i) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] \middle| Z_{1:n} \right\} \geq \frac{7}{8}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z'_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}g_f(Z) \right\} \\ & \geq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_{f_n}(Z'_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_{f_n}(Z_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] \right\} \\ & \geq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_{f_n}(Z_i) \geq \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta) + \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}], \right. \\ & \quad \left. \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_{f_n}(Z'_i) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] \right\} \\ & \geq \frac{7}{8} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_{f_n}(Z_i) \geq \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta) + \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[g_{f_n}(Z)|Z_{1:n}] \right\} \\ & = \frac{7}{8} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{E}g_f(Z) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z_i) \geq \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta + \mathbb{E}g_f(Z)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\text{LHS} \leq \frac{8}{7} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z'_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}g_f(Z) \right\}.$$

Step 2: Replacement of the expectation by an empirical mean of the ghost sample. That's to say,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z'_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}g_f(Z) \right\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z'_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \mathbb{E}g_f(Z), \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z) \leq \varepsilon \left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z) \right), \\
 & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z'_i) - \mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z) \leq \varepsilon \left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z) \right) \Big\} \\
 & + 2\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z)}{\alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z)} > \varepsilon \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality follows from the fact that

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}((A \cap B \cap C) \cup \bar{B} \cup \bar{C}) \leq \mathbb{P}(A \cap B \cap C) + \mathbb{P}(\bar{B}) + \mathbb{P}(\bar{C}).$$

By theorem 6.8,

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z)}{\alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) + \mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z)} > \varepsilon \right\} \leq 4\mathbb{E}\mathcal{N}_1 \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{5} \varepsilon, \{g_f : f \in \mathcal{F}, Z_{1:n}\} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \beta)n}{40 \times 16B^4} \right).$$

Now we consider the first probability on the RHS. The second inequality inside the probability implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 + \varepsilon)\mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z) & \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) - \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta) \\
 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{32B^2}\mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z) & \geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) - \frac{\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta)}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}g_f(Z) \geq \frac{1}{16B^2}\mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z) = \frac{2}{32B^2}\mathbb{E}g_f^2(Z),$$

the first probability on the RHS can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z'_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f(Z_i) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) - \frac{\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta)}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z'_i) - \frac{\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta)}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} \right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Additional randomization by random signs. The bound is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i[g_f(Z'_i) - g_f(Z_i)] \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) - \frac{\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta)}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z'_i) - \frac{\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta)}{32B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} \right) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by

$$2\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g_f(Z_i) \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(\alpha + \beta) - \frac{\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \beta)}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} + \frac{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(Z_i) \right\}.$$

Step 4: Conditioning and using covering:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g_f(z_i) \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta)}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \beta)}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} + \frac{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(z_i) \right\} \\
 & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G}_\delta : \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) \right| + \delta \geq \frac{\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta)}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \beta)}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} + \frac{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(z_i) - 8B^2\delta \right) \right\} \\
 & \leq |\mathcal{G}_\delta| \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}_\delta} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta)}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon^2(\alpha + \beta)}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} - \delta - \delta \frac{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}{8(1 + \varepsilon)} + \frac{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_f^2(z_i) \right\} \\
 & \left(\text{Take } \delta = \frac{\varepsilon\beta}{5} \right) \leq |\mathcal{G}_\delta| \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}_\delta} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon\alpha}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon^2\alpha}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)} + \frac{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}{64B^2(1 + \varepsilon)}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^2(z_i) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 5: Application of Bernstein's inequality:

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(z_i) \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon \alpha}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon^2 \alpha}{64 B^2 (1 + \varepsilon)} + \frac{\varepsilon (1 - \varepsilon)}{64 B^2 (1 + \varepsilon)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^2(z_i) \right\} \leq 2 \exp \left(- \frac{\varepsilon^2 (1 - \varepsilon) \alpha n}{140 B^2 (1 + \varepsilon)} \right).$$

Step 6: Bounding the covering number:

$$\mathcal{N}_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon \beta}{5}, \{g_f, f \in \mathcal{F}\}, Z^{1:n} \right) \leq \mathcal{N}_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon \beta}{20 B}, \mathcal{F}, X^{1:n} \right).$$

□

7 Advanced Techniques from Empirical Process Theory