

# Theoretical Machine Learning

Lectured by [Zhihua Zhang](#)

L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>Xed by [Chengxin Gong](#)

April 15, 2024

## Contents

<a href="#">1</a>	<a href="#">简介</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2</a>	<a href="#">统计决策理论</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">3</a>	<a href="#">统计学习理论</a>	<a href="#">5</a>

# 1 简介

- 机器学习的主要任务: 生成、预测、决策. 生成:  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim F$ , 推断分析  $F$ , 无监督学习, GAN, GPT,  $\dots$ . 预测: 数据对  $(X^{(1)}, Y^{(1)}), \dots, (X^{(n)}, Y^{(n)})$ ,  $X^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  输入变量,  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}, x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , 归因, 有监督学习. 决策: 强化学习, Agent  $\leftarrow$  action, state, reward  $\rightarrow$  环境.
- 求解问题的途径: 参数/非参数, 频率 (MLE)/贝叶斯.
- 误差模型: 有监督:  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , 回归:  $Y \in \mathbb{R}$ ; 分类:  $Y \in \{0, 1\}(\{-1, 1\}, \{1, \dots, M\}, \{0, 1\}^M)$ ;  $X$  随机, Random design(生成模型),  $Y = g(X) + \varepsilon \stackrel{\text{or}}{=} g(X, Z), Y^{(i)} = g(X^{(i)}, Z^{(i)})$ ;  $X$  固定  $X = x$ , Fixed design(判别模型),  $Y^{(i)} = g(x^{(i)}, Z^{(i)})$ . 无监督:  $X = g(Z)$ (因子模型:  $X = AZ + \varepsilon, Z \in \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ ).

# 2 统计决策理论

- Consider a state space  $\Omega$ , data space  $\mathcal{D}$ , model  $\mathcal{P} = \{p(\theta, x)\}$ , action space  $\mathcal{A}$ . Loss function:  $\mathcal{L}: \Omega \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ , measurable, nonnegative. A measurable function  $\delta: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a nonrandomized decision rule. Risk function is defined as  $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = \int \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(x)) dP_\theta(x) = \mathbb{E}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(X))$ . Randomized decision: for each  $X = x$ ,  $\delta(x)$  is a probability distribution:  $[A|X = x] \sim \delta_x$ . Risk function for  $\delta$ :  $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta \mathcal{L}(\theta, A) = \mathbb{E}_\theta \mathbb{E}_a \mathcal{L}(\theta, A|X) = \iint \mathcal{L}(\theta, a) d\delta_x(a) dP_\theta(x)$ .
- Example [参数估计]:  $\theta \in \Omega, \mathcal{A} = \Omega, \mathcal{L}(\theta, a) = \|\theta - a\|_2^2 \stackrel{\text{or}}{=} \|\theta - a\|_p^p (p \geq 1) \stackrel{\text{or}}{=} \int \log \frac{P_\theta(x)}{P_a(x)} P_\theta(x) dm(x) (\text{KL})$ .  $\mathcal{R} = \text{Var}(a) + \text{bias}^2(a)$ . Bregmass loss:  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  describe any strictly convex differentiable function. Then  $\mathcal{L}_\phi(\theta, a) = \phi(a) - \phi(\theta) - (\phi - a)^T \nabla \phi(a)$ .
- Example [Testing]:  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$  with action “0” associated with accepting  $H_0: \theta \in \Omega_0$  and “1”:  $H_1: \theta \in \Omega_1$ .  $\delta_x$  is a Bernolli distribution.  $\mathcal{L}(\theta, a) = I\{a = 1, \theta \in \Omega_0\} + I\{a = 0, \theta \in \Omega_1\}$ . Risk  $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) = \mathbb{P}_\theta(A = 1)1_{\theta \in \Omega_0} + \mathbb{P}_\theta(A = 0)1_{\theta \in \Omega_1}$ .
- A decision rule  $\delta$  is called inadmissible if a competing rule  $\delta^*$  such that  $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta^*) \leq \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$  for all  $\theta \in \Omega$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta^*) < \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$  for at least one  $\theta \in \Omega$ . Otherwise,  $\delta$  is admissible.
- The maximum risk  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}(\delta) = \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$  and the Bayes risk  $r(\Lambda, \delta) = \int \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta) d\Lambda(\theta) = \int \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta) d\mathbb{P}(x, \theta)$  ( $\Lambda(\theta)$  is a prior). A decision rule that minimizes the Bayes risk is called a Bayes rule, that is,  $\hat{\delta}: r(\Lambda, \hat{\delta}) = \inf_\delta r(\Lambda, \delta)$ . Minimax rule  $\delta^*: \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta^*) = \inf_\delta \sup_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathcal{R}(\theta, \delta)$ .
- If risk functions for all decision rules are continuous in  $\theta$ , if  $\delta$  is Bayesian for  $\Lambda$  and has finite integrated risk  $r(\Lambda, \delta) < \infty$ , and if the support of  $\Lambda$  is the whole state space  $\Omega$ , then  $\delta$  is admissible.
- $p(\theta|x) = \frac{p_\theta(x)\lambda(\theta)}{\int p_\theta(x)\lambda(\theta)d\theta} := \frac{p_\theta(x)\lambda(\theta)}{m(x)}$ . Define the posterior risk of  $\delta$ :  $r(\delta|X = x) = \int \mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(x)) d\mathbb{P}(\theta|x)$ . The Bayes risk  $r(\Lambda, \delta)$  satisfies that  $r(\Lambda, \delta) = \int r(\delta|x) dM(x)$ . Let  $\hat{\delta}(x)$  be the value of  $\delta$  that minimizes  $r(\delta|x)$ . Then  $\hat{\delta}$  is the Bayes rule.
- Application to supervised learning. Case 1: Regression.  $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A} = \Omega = \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X}, \delta = f, \mathcal{L}(Y, f(X)) = \|Y - f(X)\|_p^p, p \geq 1$ , risk  $R_f = \iint \mathcal{L}(y, f(x)) d\mathbb{P}(x, y) = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))|X]$ . When  $p = 2$ ,  $r(f|X = x) = \int \mathcal{L}(y, f(x)) d\mathbb{P}(y|x) = \int |y - f(x)|^2 d\mathbb{P}(y|x)$ . 回归函数  $g(x) := \int y d\mathbb{P}(y|x) \Rightarrow R_f = \mathbb{E}|Y - f(X)|^2 = \mathbb{E}|Y - g(X) + g(X) - f(X)|^2 = \mathbb{E}|Y - g(X)|^2 + \mathbb{E}|g(X) - f(X)|^2 \geq \mathbb{E}|Y - g(X)|^2$ .
- Case 2: Pattern classification.  $Y \in \{0, 1\}, p_0 = P(Y = 0), p_1 = P(Y = 1) = 1 - p_0, \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))] = P(Y \neq f(X))$ . The Bayesian rule (predictor) is given by  $f(x) = 1\{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) \geq \frac{\mathcal{L}(1,0) - \mathcal{L}(0,0)}{\mathcal{L}(0,1) - \mathcal{L}(1,1)} \mathbb{P}(Y = 0|X = x)\}$ . (Proof: 
$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, f(X))|X = x] = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, 0)|X = x] = \mathcal{L}(0,0)\mathbb{P}(Y = 0|X = x) + \mathcal{L}(1,0)\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) \\ \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}(Y, 1)|X = x] = \mathcal{L}(0,1)\mathbb{P}(Y = 0|X = x) + \mathcal{L}(1,1)\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) \end{cases}, \text{ 比较大小}$$
)
- 连续化:  $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x) = \mathbb{E}(Y|X = x) := g(x)$  (回归),  $f(x) = 1\{g(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Then  $0 \leq \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) \neq Y) - \mathbb{P}(f(X) \neq Y) \leq 2 \int_{\mathcal{X}} |\hat{g}(x) - g(x)| \mu(dx) \leq 2(\int_{\mathcal{X}} |\hat{g}(x) - g(x)|^2 \mu(dx))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

- 回到 Case 2.  $f(x) = 1\{\frac{p(x|y=1)}{p(x|y=0)} \geq \frac{p_0(\mathcal{L}(0,1)-\mathcal{L}(0,0))}{p_1(\mathcal{L}(1,0)-\mathcal{L}(1,1))}\}$ , 这与似然比检验 (LRT) 相同: Likelihood  $L(X) := \frac{p(X|Y=1)}{p(X|Y=0)}$ , 形式为  $f(x) = 1\{L(x) \geq \eta\}$ .

- Confusion table:

	Y = 0	Y = 1
$\hat{Y} = 0$	true negative	false negative
$\hat{Y} = 1$	false positive	true positive

True Positive Rate:  $\text{TPR} = \mathbb{P}(\hat{Y} = 1|Y = 1)$ ; False Negative Rate:  $\text{FNR} = 1 - \text{TPR}$ , type II error; False Positive Rate:  $\text{FPR} = \mathbb{P}(\hat{Y} = 1|Y = 0)$ , type I error; True Negative Rate:  $\text{TNR} = 1 - \text{FPR}$ . Precision:  $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|\hat{Y} = 1) = \frac{p_1 \text{TPR}}{p_0 \text{FPR} + p_1 \text{TPR}}$ .  $F_1$ -score:  $F_1$  is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, which can be written as  $F_1 = \frac{2\text{TPR}}{1 + \text{TPR} + \frac{p_0}{p_1} \text{FPR}}$ .

- Optimization: maximize TPR subject to  $\text{FPR} \leq \alpha, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Randomized rule:  $Q$  return 1 with probability  $Q(x)$  and 0 with probability  $1 - Q(x)$ . Maximize  $\mathbb{E}[Q(x)|Y = 1]$  subject to  $\mathbb{E}[Q(x)|Y = 0] \leq \alpha$ . Suppose the likelihood functions  $p(x|y)$  are continuous. Then the optimal predictor is a deterministic LRT (N-P lemma). (Proof: Let  $\eta$  be the threshold for an LRT such that the predictor  $Q_\eta(x) = 1\{\alpha(x) \geq \eta\}$  has  $\text{FPR} = \alpha$ . Such an LRT exists because likelihood are continuous. Let  $\beta$  denote the TPR of  $Q_\eta$ . Prove that  $Q_\eta$  is optimal for risk minimization problem corresponding to the loss functions  $\mathcal{L}(0, 1) = \eta \frac{p_1}{p_0}, \mathcal{L}(1, 0) = 1, \mathcal{L}(1, 1) = \mathcal{L}(0, 0) = 0$  since  $\frac{p_0(\mathcal{L}(0,1)-\mathcal{L}(0,0))}{p_1(\mathcal{L}(1,0)-\mathcal{L}(1,1))} = \frac{p_0 \mathcal{L}(0,1)}{p_1 \mathcal{L}(1,0)} = \eta$ . Under these loss functions, the risk of Bayes predictor for  $Q$  is  $\mathcal{R}_Q = p_0 \text{FPR}(Q) \mathcal{L}(0, 1) + p_1(1 - \text{TPR}(Q)) \mathcal{L}(1, 0) = p_1 \eta \text{FPR}(Q) + p_1(1 - \text{TPR}(Q))$ . Now let  $Q$  be any other rule with  $\text{FPR}(Q) \leq \alpha, \mathcal{R}_{Q_\eta} = p_1 \eta \alpha + p_1(1 - \beta) \leq p_1 \eta \text{FPR}(Q) + p_1(1 - \text{TPR}(Q)) \leq p_1 \eta \alpha + p_1(1 - \text{TPR}(Q)) \Rightarrow \text{TPR}(Q) \leq \beta$ )
- ROC (Receiver operating character) curve:  $y$ -axis is TPR and  $x$ -axis is FPR. Proposition: (1) The points (0,0) and (1,1) are on the ROC curve; (2) The ROC must lie above the main diagonal; (3) The ROC curve is concave. (Proof: (2): Fix  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and consider a randomized rate  $\text{TPR} = \text{FPR} = \alpha, Q(x) \equiv \alpha$ ; (3): Consider two rules  $(\text{FPR}(\eta_1), \text{TPR}(\eta_1))$  and  $(\text{FPR}(\eta_2), \text{TPR}(\eta_2))$ . If we flip a biased coin and use the first rule with probability  $t$  and use the second rule with probability  $1 - t$ . Then this yields a randomized rule with  $(\text{FPR}, \text{TPR}) = (t\text{FPR}(\eta_1) + (1 - t)\text{FPR}(\eta_2), t\text{TPR}(\eta_1) + (1 - t)\text{TPR}(\eta_2))$ . Fixing  $\text{FPR} \leq t\text{FPR}(\eta_1) + (1 - t)\text{FPR}(\eta_2), \text{TPR} \geq t\text{TPR}(\eta_1) + (1 - t)\text{TPR}(\eta_2)$ .)
- Markov Decision Processes (MDPs): Five elements: decision epoches, states, actions, transition probabilities and rewards. (1) Decision epoches: Let  $T$  denote the set of decision epoches, discrete:  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ ; continuous:  $[0, N]$ ;  $N < / = \infty$ : finite or infinite. (2) State and action sets: decision epoch  $t \in T$ , the system occupies a state  $S_t \in \mathcal{S}$ , the decision maker  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . (3) Reward and transition probabilities:  $t$ , in state  $s$ , choose action  $a$ , (i) the decision maker receives a reward  $r_t(s, a)$ , (ii) the system state at the next decision epoch is determined by the probability distribution  $p_t(\cdot|s_t, a)$ .
- Decision rules: Prescribe a procedure for action selection in each state at a specified decision epoch. Four cases: (1) Markovian and Deterministic:  $\delta_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ; (2) M and Randomized:  $\delta_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{A})(q_{\delta_t(s)}(a))$ ; (3) History-dependent and D:  $h_t = (s_1, a_1, \dots, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, s_t) = (h_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, s_t), \mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}, \dots, \delta_t : \mathcal{H}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ; (4) HR:  $\delta_t : \mathcal{H}_t \times \Delta(\mathcal{A})$ . A policy  $\pi = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{N-1})$  is stationary if  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \dots = \delta$  for  $t \in T$ .
- Let  $\pi = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{N-1})$  in HR and  $R_t := r_t(X_t, Y_t)$  denote the random reward,  $R_N := r_N(X_N), R := (R_1, \dots, R_N)$ . The expected total reward  $U_N^\pi(s) := \mathbb{E}^\pi\{\sum_{t=1}^{N-1} r_t(X_t, Y_t) + r_N(X_N)|X_1 = s\}$ . Assume  $|r_t(s, a)| \leq M < \infty$  for all  $(s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$ . Optimal policy:  $U_N^*(s) \geq U_N^\pi(s), s \in \mathcal{S}$ .  $\varepsilon$ -optimal policy:  $U_N^{\pi^*}(s) + \varepsilon > U_N^\pi(s), s \in \mathcal{S}$ . The value of the MDP:  $U_N^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}} U_N^\pi(s), s \in \mathcal{S}$ .

- Finite-Horizon Policy Evaluation:  $V_t^\pi(h_t) = \mathbb{E}^\pi\{\sum_{k=t}^{N-1} r_k(X_k, Y_k) + r_N(X_N)|h_t\}, V_N^\pi(h_N) = r_N(s), \pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HD}}$ . 由重期望公式,  $V_t^\pi(h_t) = r_t(s_t, \delta_t(h_t)) + \mathbb{E}_{h_t}^\pi V_{t+1}^\pi(h_t, \delta_t(h_t), X_{t+1}) = r_t(s_t, \delta_t(h_t)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}^\pi(h_t, \delta_t(h_t), j) p(j|s_t, \delta_t(h_t))$ .

Consider randomness (i.e.  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}$ ):  $V_t^\pi(h_t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\delta_t(h_t)}(a) \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}^\pi(h_t, a, j) p(j|s_t, a)\}$ . Computational complexity: let  $K = |\mathcal{S}|, L = |\mathcal{A}|$ , at decision epoch  $t$ ,  $K^{t+1}L^t$  histories,  $K^2 \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (KL)^i$  multiplications. If  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MD}}$ ,  $V_t^\pi(s_t) = r_t(s_t, \delta_t(s_t)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}^\pi(j) p(j|s_t, \delta_t(s_t))$ , only  $(N-1)K^2$  multiplications. On the other hand, given  $\pi$ , this yields a valid and accurate calculation method for  $U_N^\pi(s)$ .

- The Bellman Equations: Let  $V_t^*(h_t) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}} V_t^\pi(h_t)$ . The optimality equations:  $V_t(h_t) = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} V_{t+1}(h_t, a, j) p_t(j|s_t, a)\}$  for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$  and  $h_t = (h_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, s_t) \in \mathcal{H}_t$ . For  $t = N$ ,  $V_N(h_N) = r_N(s_N)$ . Suppose  $V_t$  is a solution and  $V_N$  satisfies  $V_N(h_N) = r_N(s_N)$ . Then  $V_t(h_t) = V_t^*(h_t)$  for all  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}_t, t = 1, \dots, N$  and  $V_1(s_1) = V_1^*(s_1) = U_N^*(s_1)$  for all  $s_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ . (**Proof:** Two parts. First prove  $V_n(h_n) \geq V_n^*(h_n)$  for all  $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . By induction:  $N : V_N(h_N) = r_N(s_N) = V_N^*(h_N)$  for all  $h_N, \pi$ . Now assume that  $V_t(h_t) \geq V_t^*(h_t)$  for all  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}_t$  for  $t = n+1, \dots, N$ . Let  $\pi' = (\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_{N-1})$  be an arbitrary policy in  $\mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}$ . For  $t = n$ , the Bellman equations  $V_n(h_n) = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_n(s_n, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s_n, a) V_{n+1}(h_n, a, j)\} \geq \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_n(s_n, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j|s_n, a) V_{n+1}^*(h_n, a, j)\} \geq \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_n(s_n, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j|s_n, a) V_{n+1}^{\pi'}(h_n, a, j)\} \geq V_n^{\pi'}(h_n)$ . Second prove for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HD}}$  for which  $V_n^{\pi'}(h_n) + (N-n)\varepsilon \geq V_n(h_n) \Rightarrow V_n^*(h_n) + (N-n)\varepsilon \geq V_n^{\pi'}(h_n) + (N-n)\varepsilon \geq V_n(h_n) \geq V_n^*(h_n)$ . Construct a policy  $\pi' = (\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_{N-1})$  by choosing  $\delta'_n(h_n)$  to satisfy  $r_n(s_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j|s_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) V_{n+1}(h_n, \delta'_n(h_n), j) + \varepsilon \geq V_n(h_n)$ . By induction:  $N : V_N^{\pi'}(h_N) = V_N(h_N)$ . Assume that  $V_t^{\pi'}(h_t) + (N-t)\varepsilon \geq V_t(h_t)$  for  $t = n+1, \dots, N$ . For  $t = n$ ,  $V_n^{\pi'}(h_n) = r_n(s_n, \pi'_n(h_n)) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_n(j|s_n, \delta'_n(h_n)) V_{n+1}^{\pi'}(h_n, \delta'_n(h_n), j) \geq V_n(h_n) - (N-n)\varepsilon$ . The equations yield that  $\delta'_t(h_t) \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(h_t, a, j)\}$ , which means it is HD, i.e.  $U_N^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}} U_N^\pi(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HD}}} U_N^\pi(s) \stackrel{?}{=} \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MD}}} U_N^\pi(s)$ .
- Let  $V_t^*, t = 1, \dots, N$  be solutions of Bellman Equations. Then (a) For each  $t = 1, \dots, N, V_t^*(h_t)$  depends on  $h_t$  only through  $s_t$ ; (b) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -optimal policy which is D and M; (c) Max can be achieved, it is optimal, which is MD. (**Proof:** (a): By induction,  $V_N^*(h_N) = V_N^*(h_{N-1}, a_{N-1}, s) = r_N(s)$  for all  $h_{N-1} \in \mathcal{H}_{N-1}$ . Assume (a) is valid for  $t = n+1, \dots, N$ . Then  $V_n^*(h_n) = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(j|s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(j)\} = V_n^*(s_t)$ .
- Backward Induction (Dynamic Programming) Algorithm: 1. Set  $t = N$  and  $V_N^*(s_N) = r_N(s_N)$  for all  $s_N \in \mathcal{S}$ ; 2. Substitute  $t-1$  for  $t$  and compute  $V_t^*(s_t)$  for each  $s_t \in \mathcal{S}$ :  $V_t^*(s_t) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(j|s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(s_t)\}$ , set  $\mathcal{A}_{s_t} = \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r_t(s_t, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_t(j|s_t, a) V_{t+1}^*(s_t)\}$ ; 3. If  $t = 1$ , stop. Otherwise return to Step 2.
- Other remarks: (1) At time  $t$ , specialized  $\mathcal{S}_t$  and  $\mathcal{A}_s$ , special structure for  $r_t$  and  $p_t$ ; (2)  $K = |\mathcal{S}|$  and  $L = |\mathcal{A}|$ , at each  $t$ , only  $(N-1)LK^2$  multiplications, ease computation and storage cost (because there are  $(L^K)^{N-1}$  DM policies).
- Infinite-Horizon MDPs: Assumptions: Stationary reward and transition probabilities  $r_t(s, a) \equiv r(s, a), p_t(j|s, a) \equiv p(j|s, a)$ ; Bounded rewards  $|r(s, a)| \leq M < \infty$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ ; Discounting  $\lambda, 0 \leq \lambda < 1$ ; Discrete state space  $\mathcal{S}$ . The expected total reward of policy  $\pi = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}$ :  $U^\pi(s) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \{ \sum_{t=1}^N \lambda^{t-1} r(X_t, Y_t) \} = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_t, Y_t) \}$ . We say that a policy  $\pi^*$  is optimal when  $U^{\pi^*}(s) \geq U^\pi(s)$  for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  and all  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}$ . Define the value of the MDP  $U^*(s) = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}} U^\pi(s)$ . Let  $U_\nu^\pi(s)$  denote the expected reward obtained by using  $\pi$  when the horizon  $\nu$  is random. Then  $U_\nu^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \{ \mathbb{E}_{\nu \sim P} \sum_{t=1}^\nu r(X_t, Y_t) \}$ . Let's recall geometric distribution with parameter  $\lambda : \mathbb{P}(\nu = n) = (1-\lambda)\lambda^{n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ .
- Suppose  $\nu$  has a GD( $\lambda$ ). Then  $U^\pi(s) = U_\nu^\pi(s)$  for all  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . (**Proof:**  $\mathbb{E}_\nu^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \{ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{t=1}^n r(X_t, Y_t) (1-\lambda)\lambda^{n-1} \} = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=t}^{+\infty} r(X_t, Y_t) (1-\lambda)\lambda^{n-1} \} = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_t, Y_t) \}$ )

- Suppose  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{HR}}$ , then for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , there exists a  $\pi' \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MR}}$  for which  $U^{\pi'}(s) = U^\pi(s)$ . (**Proof:** Note that  $U^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_s^\pi \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} r(X_t, Y_t) \right\} = \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda^{t-1} r(j, a) p^\pi(X_t = j, Y_t = a | X_1 = s)$ . Fix  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , so we only need to check  $p^\pi(X_t = j, Y_t = a | X_1 = s) = p^{\pi'}(X_t = j, Y_t = a | X_1 = s)$ . For each  $j \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , define the randomized Markov decision rule  $\delta'_t$  by  $q_{\delta'_t(j)}(a) = p^\pi(Y_t = a | X_t = j, X_1 = s)$ . Then  $p^{\pi'}(Y_t = a | X_t = j) = p^\pi(Y_t = a | X_t = j, X_1 = s)$ . Assume the conclusion holds for  $t = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then  $p^{\pi'}(X_n = j, Y_n = a | X_1 = s) = p^{\pi'}(Y_n = a | X_n = j, X_1 = s) p^{\pi'}(X_n = j | X_1 = s) = p^\pi(Y_n = a | X_n = j, X_1 = s) p^{\pi'}(X_n = j | X_1 = s)$ . Then by induction assumption,  $p^\pi(X_n = j | X_1 = s) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} p^\pi(X_{n-1} = k, Y_{n-1} = a | X_1 = s) p(j|k, a) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} p^{\pi'}(X_{n-1} = k, Y_{n-1} = a | X_1 = s) p(j|k, a) = p^{\pi'}(X_n = j | X_1 = s)$ .)
- Vector express for MDP:  $\delta$  MD, define  $r_\delta(s)$  and  $p_\delta(j|s)$  by  $r_\delta(s) := r(s, \delta(s))$ ,  $p_\delta(j|s) = p(j|s, \delta(s))$ . Denote  $r_\delta = (r_\delta(1), \dots, r_\delta(|\mathcal{S}|))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ ,  $p_\delta = (p_\delta)_{(s,j)} = p(j|s, \delta(s))$ . For MR  $\delta$ , define  $r_\delta(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\delta(s)}(a) r(s, a)$ ,  $p_\delta(j|s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\delta(s)}(a) p(j|s, a)$ . The  $(s, j)$ -th component of the  $t$ -step transition probability matrix  $p_\pi^t$  satisfies  $p_\pi^t(j|s) = [p_{\delta_1} p_{\delta_2} \dots p_{\delta_t}](j|s) = p^\pi(X_{t+1} = j | X_1 = s)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_s^\pi g(X_t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p_\pi^{t-1}(j|s) g(j) = (p_\pi^t g)_s$ , and  $U^\pi = \sum_{t=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^{t-1} p_\pi^{t-1} r_{\delta_t} = r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} (r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_2} r_{\delta_2} + \dots) = r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} U^{\pi_1}$ . When  $\pi$  is stationary,  $U = r_\delta + \lambda p_\delta U$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{L}U = \sup_{d \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MD}}} \{r_d + \lambda p_d U\}$ . Suppose there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  for which (a)  $U \geq \mathcal{L}U$ , then  $U \geq U^*$ ; (b)  $U \leq \mathcal{L}U$ , then  $U \leq U^*$ ; (c)  $U = \mathcal{L}U$ , then  $U = U^*$ . (**Proof:** (a)  $U \geq \sup_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{MR}}} \{r_\delta + \lambda p_\delta U\} \geq r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} U \geq r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} (r_{\delta_2} + \lambda p_{\delta_2} U) \geq r_{\delta_1} + \lambda p_{\delta_1} r_{\delta_2} + \dots + \lambda^{n-1} p_{\delta_1} p_{\delta_2} \dots p_{\delta_{n-1}} r_{\delta_n} + \lambda^n p_\pi^n U \Rightarrow U - U^\pi \geq \lambda^n p_\pi^n U - \sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} \lambda^k p_\pi^k r_{\delta_{k+1}} \geq 0$ ; (b)  $U \leq \mathcal{L}U \Rightarrow U \leq r_d + \lambda p_d U + \varepsilon 1 \Rightarrow (I - \lambda p_d)U \leq r_d + \varepsilon 1 \Rightarrow U \leq (I - \lambda p_d)^{-1} (r_d + \varepsilon 1) = U^\pi + \varepsilon (1 - \lambda)^{-1} 1_{|\mathcal{S}|}$ .)
- If  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a contraction mapping on  $\mathcal{U}$ . (**Proof:** Let  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , assume that  $\mathcal{L}v(s) \geq \mathcal{L}u(s)$  and let  $a_s^* = \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \{r(s, a) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda p(j|s, a) v(j)\}$ . Then  $0 \leq \mathcal{L}v(s) - \mathcal{L}u(s) \leq r(s, a_s^*) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda p(j|s, a_s^*) v(j) - r(s, a_s^*) - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda p(j|s, a_s^*) u(j) = \lambda \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a_s^*) (v(j) - u(j)) \leq \lambda \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} p(j|s, a_s^*) \|u - v\| = \lambda \|u - v\|$ .)

### 3 统计学习理论

- $(X, Y) \sim P \in \mathcal{P}$ , definite  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  i.i.d.,  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)\}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_n(f) = \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y) \in \mathcal{D}_n} l(X, Y)$ . An algorithm  $A$  is a mapping from  $\mathcal{D}_n$  to function from  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ . Excess risk of  $A$ :  $\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n)) - \mathcal{R}_P^*$ . Expected error  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n))]$ . An algorithm is called consistent in expectation for  $P$  iff  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n))] - \mathcal{R}_P^* \rightarrow 0$ . PAC (probability approximately correct): for a given  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{R}_P(A(\mathcal{D}_n)) - \mathcal{R}_P^* \leq \epsilon) \geq 1 - \delta$ .
- 回归:  $g(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x]$ ,  $g_n(x, \mathcal{D}_n) = g_n(x)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\{[g_n(X) - Y]^2 | \mathcal{D}_n\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_n(x) - g(x)|^2 \mu(dx) + \mathbb{E}[g(X) - Y]^2$ . A sequence of regression function estimates  $\{g_n\}$  is called weakly consistent for a certain distribution of  $(X, Y)$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}\{\int [g_n(x) - g(x)] \mu(dx)\} = 0$ ; strongly consistent for a certain distribution if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int [g_n(x) - g(x)]^2 \mu(dx) = 0$  with probability 1; weakly universally consistent if for all distributions of  $(X, Y)$  with  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] < \infty$ ,  $\dots$ ; strongly universally consistent  $\dots$ .
- Penalized model:  $g_n = \arg \min_f \{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 + J_n(f) \}$ . Penalized term for  $f$ :  $J_n(f) = \lambda_n \int |f''(t)|^2 dt$ ,  $J_{n,k}(f) = \lambda_n \int \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \left| \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{t_1} \dots \partial x_{t_k}} \right|^2 dt$ .
- Curse of dimensionality: let  $X, X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $\mathbb{R}^d$  uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]^d$ .  $d_\infty(d, n) = \mathbb{E}\{\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \|X - X_i\|_\infty\} = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \|X - X_i\|_\infty > t\} dt = \int_0^\infty (1 - \mathbb{P}\{\min_{i=1, \dots, n} \|X - X_i\|_\infty < t\}) dt$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}\{\min_i \|X - X_i\|_\infty < t\} \leq n \mathbb{P}(\|X - X_1\|_\infty \leq t) \leq n(2t)^d$ , 原式  $\geq \frac{d}{2(d+1)} n^{-\frac{1}{d}}$ .
- No-Free lunch: Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0. For every sequence of regression estimates, there exists a distribution of  $(X, Y)$  such that  $X$  is uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $Y = g(X)$ ,  $g$  is  $\pm 1$  valued, and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n - g\|^2}{a_n} \geq 1$ . (**Proof:** Let  $\{p_i\}$  be a probability distribution and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_j\}$

be a partition of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_j$  is an interval of length  $p_j$ . Consider regression function indexed by a parameter  $c$ ,  $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots)$  where  $c_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Define  $g^{(c)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $g^{(c)}(x) = c_j$  if  $x \in \mathcal{A}_j$  and  $Y = g^{(c)}(x)$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{A}_j$ , define  $\bar{g}_n(x) = \frac{1}{p_j} \int_{\mathcal{A}_j} g_n(z) \mu(dz)$  to be the projection of  $g_n$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\int_{\mathcal{A}_j} |g_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_j} |g_n(x) - \bar{g}_n(x)|^2 \mu(dx) + \int_{\mathcal{A}_j} |\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \geq \int_{\mathcal{A}_j} |\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx)$ . Set  $\hat{c}_{nj} = 1$  if  $\int_{\mathcal{A}_j} g_n(z) \mu(dz) \geq 0$ ;  $= -1$ , otherwise. For  $x \in \mathcal{A}_j$ , if  $\hat{c}_{nj} = 1$  and  $c_j = -1$ , then  $\bar{g}_n(x) \geq 0$  and  $g^{(c)}(x) = -1$ , implying  $|\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)| \geq 1$ ; if  $\hat{c}_{nj} = -1$  and  $c_j = 1$ , then  $\bar{g}_n(x) < 0$  and  $g^{(c)}(x) = 1 \Rightarrow |\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)| \geq 1$ . Therefore  $\int_{\mathcal{A}} |\bar{g}_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \geq 1_{\{\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_j\}} \int_{\mathcal{A}_j} 1 \mu(dx) \geq 1_{\{\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_j\}} p_j \geq 1_{\{\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_j\}} 1_{\{\mu_n(\mathcal{A}_j) = 0\}} p_j \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\{\int |g_n(x) - g^{(c)}(x)|^2 \mu(dx)\} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\hat{c}_{nj} \neq c_j, \mu_n(\mathcal{A}_j) = 0) p_j := R_n(c)$ . Now we randomize  $c$ . Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables independent of  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  which satisfy  $\mathbb{P}(c_1 = 1) = \mathbb{P}(c_1 = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{E}R_n(C) = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\mathbb{P}(\hat{C}_{nj} \neq C_j, \mu_n(\mathcal{A}_j) = 0) p_j \stackrel{\text{重期望}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\{1_{\{\mu_n(\mathcal{A}_j)=0\}} \mathbb{P}(\hat{C}_{nj} \neq C_j | X_1, \dots, X_n)\} p_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n(\mathcal{A}_j) = 0) p_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j$ . On the other hand,  $R_n(c) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n(\mathcal{A}_j) = 0) p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j \Rightarrow \frac{R_n(c)}{\mathbb{E}R_n(C)} \leq 2$ . By Fatou's lemma,  $\mathbb{E}\{\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R_n(C)}{\mathbb{E}R_n(C)}\} \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \{\frac{R_n(C)}{\mathbb{E}R_n(C)}\} = 1$ , which implies that there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R_n(C)}{\mathbb{E}R_n(C)} \geq 1 \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\{\int |g_n(x) - g(x)|^2 \mu(dx)\}}{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j} \geq 1$ . Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 with  $\frac{1}{2} \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots$ , then there exists a probability  $\{p_j\}$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} (1 - p_j)^n p_j \geq a_n, \forall n$ .

- **Minimax lower Bounds:** (a) The sequence of positive numbers  $a_n$  is called the lower minimax rate of convergence for the  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{g_n} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\{\|g_n - g\|^2\}}{a_n} = c_1 > 0$ . (b)  $a_n$  is called optimal rate of convergence for the class  $\mathcal{P}$  if it is a lower minimax rate of convergence and there is an estimate  $g_n$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n - g\|^2}{a_n} = c_n < \infty$ .
- **Smoothness:** Let  $q = k + \beta$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  and let  $\rho > 0$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $(q, \rho)$ -smooth if for every  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d), \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i = k$ , the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$  exists and satisfies  $\left| \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}(x) - \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}(z) \right| \leq \rho \|x - z\|^\beta$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}^{(q, \rho)}$  be the set of all  $(q, \rho)$ -smooth functions  $f$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}^{(q, \rho)}$  be the class of distributions  $(X, Y)$  such that (i)  $X$  is uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]^d$ ; (ii)  $Y = g(X) + N$ , where  $X \perp\!\!\!\perp N$ , and  $N$  is standard normal; (iii)  $g \in \mathcal{F}^{q, \rho}$ .
- Let  $u$  be an  $l$ -dimensional real vector, let  $C$  be a zero means random variables taking values in  $\{-1, 1\}$  and let  $N$  be an  $l$ -dimensional standard normal independent of  $C$ . Set  $Z = Cu + N$ . Then the error probability of the Bayesian decision for  $C$  based on  $Z$  is  $\mathcal{R}^* = \min_{g: \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(g(Z) \neq C) = \Phi(-\|u\|)$ . (**Proof:**  $\mathbb{P}(C = 1) = \mathbb{P}(C = -1) = \frac{1}{2}, \mathbb{P}(Z|C = 1) = \mathcal{N}(u, I), \mathbb{P}(Z|C = -1) = \mathcal{N}(-u, I)$ . By the Bayes formula,  $\mathbb{P}(C = 1|Z = z) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(C=1)\mathbb{P}(Z|C=1)}{\mathbb{P}(C=1)\mathbb{P}(Z|C=1) + \mathbb{P}(C=-1)\mathbb{P}(Z|C=-1)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\frac{\|Z - u\|^2}{2} - \frac{\|Z + u\|^2}{2})} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-2Z^T u)}$ . Therefore, the optimal Bayes decision is  $g^*(Z) = \text{sgn}(Z^T u)$ , the risk  $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathbb{P}(g^*(Z) \neq C) = \mathbb{P}(Z^T u < 0, C = 1) + \mathbb{P}(Z^T u > 0, C = -1) = \mathbb{P}(\|u\|^2 + u^T N < 0, C = 1) + \mathbb{P}(-\|u\|^2 + u^T N > 0, C = -1) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(u^T N \leq -\|u\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(u^T N > \|u\|^2) = \Phi(-\|u\|)$ .)
- For the class  $\mathcal{P}^{(q, \rho)}$ , the sequence  $a_n = n^{-\frac{2q}{2q+d}}$  is a lower minimax rate of convergence. In particular,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{g_n} \sup_{P_{(X, Y)} \in \mathcal{P}^{(q, \rho)}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|g_n - g\|^2}{\rho^{\frac{2d}{2q+d}} n^{-\frac{2q}{2q+d}}} \geq c_1 > 0.$$

证明分为 4 步. Step 1: 构造一个辅助函数  $g^{(c)}$ . Set  $M_n = \lceil (\rho^2 n)^{\frac{1}{2q+d}} \rceil$ . Partition  $[0, 1]^d$  by  $M_n^d$  cubes  $\{A_{n,j}\}$  of side length  $\frac{1}{M_n}$  and with centers  $\{a_{n,j}\}$ . Choose a function  $\bar{f} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the support of  $\bar{f}$  is a subset of  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d, \int \bar{f}(x) dx > 0$  and  $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{F}^{(q, 2^{\beta-1})}$ . Define  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f = \rho \bar{f}$ . Let  $c_n = (c_{n,1}, \dots, c_{n,M_n^d}) \in \mathcal{C}_n$  take values in  $\{\pm 1\}$ .  $g^{(c_n)}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{M_n^d} c_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x)$  where  $f_{n,j}(x) = M_n^{-q} f(M_n(x - a_{n,j}))$ .

Step 2: 证明  $g^{(c_n)} \in \mathcal{F}^{(q, \rho)}$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d), \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j = k$ . Set  $D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$ . If  $x, z \in A_{n,j}$ ,  $|D^\alpha g^{(c_n)}(x) - D^\alpha g^{(c_n)}(z)| = |c_{n,j}| |D^\alpha f_{n,j}(x) - D^\alpha f_{n,j}(z)| \leq \rho \|x - z\|^\beta$ . If  $x \in A_{n,i}, z \in A_{n,j}$ , choose  $\bar{x}, \bar{z}$  on the



line between  $x$  and  $z$  such that  $\bar{x}$  is on the boundary of  $A_{n,i}$  and  $\bar{z}$  is on the boundary of  $A_{n,j}$ .  $|D^\alpha g^{(c_n)}(x) - D^\alpha g^{(c_n)}(z)| \leq |c_{n,i} D^\alpha f_{n,i}(x)| + |c_{n,j} D^\alpha f_{n,j}(z)| = |c_{n,i}| |D^\alpha f_{n,i}(x) - D^\alpha f_{n,i}(\bar{x})| + |c_{n,j}| |D^\alpha f_{n,j}(z) - D^\alpha f_{n,j}(\bar{z})| \leq \rho 2^{\beta-1} (\|x - \bar{x}\|^\beta + \|z - \bar{z}\|^\beta) = \rho 2^\beta \left( \frac{\|x - \bar{x}\|^\beta}{2} + \frac{\|z - \bar{z}\|^\beta}{2} \right) \leq \rho 2^\beta \left( \frac{\|x - \bar{x}\|}{2} + \frac{\|z - \bar{z}\|}{2} \right)^\beta \leq \rho \|x - z\|^\beta$ .

Step 3: Prove that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{g_n} \sup_{Y=g^{(c)}(X)+N, c \in \mathcal{C}_n} \frac{M_n^{2q}}{\rho^2} \mathbb{E} \|g_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 > 0$ .  $\{f_{n,j}\}$  forms a set of orthogonal basis.

Let  $g_n$  be an arbitrary estimate, and the projection  $\bar{g}_n$  of  $g_n$  to  $\{g^{(c)} : c \in \mathcal{C}_n\}$  is given by  $\bar{g}_n = \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \tilde{c}_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x)$ .

$$\|g_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 = \|g_n - \bar{g}_n\|^2 + \|g_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 \geq \|\bar{g}_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \int_{A_{n,j}} (\tilde{c}_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x) - c_{n,j} f_{n,j}(x))^2 dx = \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \int_{A_{n,j}} (\tilde{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j})^2 f_{n,j}^2(x) dx = \int f^2(x) dx \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} (\tilde{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j})^2 \frac{1}{M_n^{2q+d}}. \text{ Define } \bar{c}_{n,j} = \text{sgn}(\tilde{c}_{n,j}) \Rightarrow |\tilde{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j}| \geq \frac{|\bar{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j}|}{2} \Rightarrow \|g_n - g^{(c)}\|^2 \geq \int f^2(x) dx \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{M_n^{2q+d}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} (\bar{c}_{n,j} - c_{n,j})^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{M_n^{2q}} \int \bar{f}^2(x) dx \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} 1_{\{\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq c_{n,j}\}}.$$

Step 4: Prove that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\bar{c}_n} \sup_{c_n} \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \mathbb{P}(\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq c_{n,j}) > 0$ . Now we randomize  $c_n$ . Let  $c_{n,1}, \dots, c_{n,M_n^d}$  be i.i.d. random variables independent of  $(X_1, N_1), \dots, (X_n, N_n)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(C_{n,1} = 1) = \mathbb{P}(C_{n,1} = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\bar{c}_{n,j}$  can be interpreted as a decision on  $C_{n,j}$  using  $\mathcal{D}_n$ . Let  $\bar{C}_{n,j} = 1$  if  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,j} = 1 | \mathcal{D}_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,  $\inf_{\bar{c}_n} \sup_{c_n} \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \mathbb{P}(\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq c_{n,j}) \geq \inf_{\bar{c}_n} \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \mathbb{P}(\bar{c}_{n,j} \neq C_{n,j}) \geq \frac{1}{M_n^d} \sum_{j=1}^{M_n} \mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,j} \neq C_{n,j}) = \mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,1} \neq C_{n,1}) = \mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,1} \neq C_{n,1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)\}$ .

Let  $X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_t}$  be those  $X_i \in A_{n,1}$ ,  $(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_t}) = C_{n,1}(f_{n,1}(X_{i_1}), \dots, f_{n,1}(X_{i_t})) + (N_{i_1}, \dots, N_{i_t})$ . By the latest "•",  $\mathbb{E}\{\mathbb{P}(\bar{C}_{n,1} \neq C_{n,1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)\} = \mathbb{E}\Phi\left(-\sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^t f_{n,1}^2(X_{i_r})}\right) = \mathbb{E}\Phi\left(-\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n f_{n,1}^2(X_i)}\right) \geq \Phi\left(-\sqrt{\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n f_{n,1}^2(X_i)}\right) \geq \Phi(-\sqrt{\int f^2(x) dx}) > 0$ .

- Uniform laws of large numbers: Set  $Z = (X, Y)$ ,  $Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$ ,  $g_f(x, y) = |f(x) - y|^2$  for  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ ,  $G_n = \{g_f : f \in \mathcal{F}_n\}$ , consider the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right|$ .

- Hoeffding's inequality:  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$ ,  $\begin{cases} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{2n\epsilon^2}{B^2}} \\ \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq 2|\mathcal{G}_n|e^{-\frac{2n\epsilon^2}{B^2}} \end{cases}$ . For finite

class  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |\mathcal{G}_n|e^{-\frac{2n\epsilon^2}{B^2}} < \infty$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , by Borel-Cantelli lemma, the event  $\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \epsilon$  occurs f.o.

- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be a set of functions  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Every finite collection of functions  $g_1, \dots, g_N : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the property that for every  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  there is a  $j = j(g) \in [N]$  such that  $\|g - g_j\|_\infty < \epsilon$  is called an  $\epsilon$ -cover of  $\mathcal{G}$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  or  $\mathcal{N}_\infty(\epsilon, \mathcal{G})$  be the smallest  $\epsilon$ -cover of  $\mathcal{G}$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  be a set of functions  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq 2\mathcal{N}_\infty\left(\frac{\epsilon}{3}, \mathcal{G}_n\right)e^{-\frac{2n\epsilon^2}{9B^2}}$ . (**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{G}_{n, \frac{\epsilon}{3}}$  be an  $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$ -cover of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  of minimal cardinality. Fix  $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ , there exists  $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{n, \frac{\epsilon}{3}}$  such that  $\|g - \bar{g}\|_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Since  $\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z)\right| \leq \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (g(Z_i) - \bar{g}(Z_i))\right| + \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{g}(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{\bar{g}(Z)\}\right| + |\mathbb{E}\bar{g}(Z) - \mathbb{E}g(Z)| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{3} + \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{g}(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{\bar{g}(Z)\}\right|$ . Thus  $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_n} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{n, \frac{\epsilon}{3}}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)$ . Then use Hoeffding's inequality.)

- Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be a set of functions  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $\nu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . (a) Every finite collection of functions  $g_1, \dots, g_N : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the property that for every  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  there is a  $j = j(g) \in [N]$  such that  $\|g - g_j\|_{L_p(\nu)} < \epsilon$  is called a  $\epsilon$ -cover of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Similarly define  $\mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$ . (b) Let  $Z^{1:n} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\nu_n$  be the corresponding empirical measure, then  $\|f\|_{L_p(\nu_n)} := \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^p\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and similarly define  $\mathcal{N}_p(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n})$ .

- Packing numbers: (a) Every finite collection of functions  $g_1, \dots, g_N \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $\|g_j - g_k\|_{L_p(\nu)} \geq \epsilon$  for all  $1 \leq j < k \leq N$  is called  $\epsilon$ -packing of  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}$ . The largest  $\epsilon$ -packing is denoted as  $\mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$ . Similarly define  $\mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n})$ .
- $\mathcal{M}(2\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq \mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq \mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}), \mathcal{M}(2\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n}) \leq \mathcal{N}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n}) \leq \mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n})$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of functions  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a linear vector space of dimension  $D$ . Then for arbitrary  $R > 0, \epsilon > 0$ , and  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_2(\epsilon, \{f \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(z_i)|^2 \leq R^2\}, Z^{1:n}) \leq (\frac{4R+\epsilon}{\epsilon})^D$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a class of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (a) For  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , define  $s(\mathcal{A}, \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}) = |\{A \cap \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}|$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of size  $n$ . We say  $\mathcal{A}$  shatters  $\mathcal{G}$  if  $s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G}) = 2^n$ . The  $n$ th shatter coefficient of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $S(\mathcal{A}, n) = \max_{\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d} s(\mathcal{A}, \{z_1, \dots, z_n\})$ , the maximum number of different subsets of  $n$  points that can be picked out by set from  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a class of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ . The VC dimension  $V_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined by  $V_{\mathcal{A}} = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N}, S(\mathcal{A}, n) = 2^n\}$ .
- $S(\mathcal{A}, n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{V_{\mathcal{A}}} \binom{n}{i}$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a set of functions  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g(Z)]\right| > \epsilon\right\} \leq 8\mathbb{E}\mathcal{N}_1(\frac{\epsilon}{8}, \mathcal{G}, Z^{1:n})e^{-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{128B^2}}$ . (**Proof:** Step 1: Symmetrization. Let  $Z'^{1:n}$  be i.i.d. samples from the same distribution and independent of  $Z^{1:n}$  and  $g^*$  be a function  $g \in \mathcal{G}$   $\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z)\right| > \epsilon$  if there exists such a function. Otherwise, let  $g^*$  be an arbitrary function in  $\mathcal{G}$ .  $g^*$  depends on  $Z^{1:n}$ .  $\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} |Z^{1:n}\right\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n})}{n(\frac{\epsilon}{2})^2} \leq \frac{B^2/4}{n\epsilon^2/4} = \frac{B^2}{n\epsilon^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for  $n \geq \frac{2B^2}{\epsilon^2}$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} &\geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right| > \epsilon, \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left\{1_{\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right| > \epsilon\right\}} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z'_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} |Z^{1:n}\right)\right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g^*(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g^*(Z)|Z^{1:n}]\right| > \epsilon\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $2\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z'_i)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} \geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}[g(Z)]\right| > \epsilon\right\}$ .

Step 2: Introduction of additive randomness by random signs. Let  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  be independent and uniformly distributed over  $\{-1, 1\}$  and independent  $Z^{1:n}$  and  $Z'^{1:n}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [g(Z_i) - g(Z'_i)]\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i [g(Z_i) - g(Z'_i)]\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z'_i)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right\} \\ &= 2\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right\} \end{aligned}$$



Step 3: Conditioning and introduction of a covering on  $Z^{1:n}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\frac{\epsilon}{8}}$  be an  $L_1$   $\frac{\epsilon}{8}$ -cover of  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $Z^{1:n}$ . Fix  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , then there exists  $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\frac{\epsilon}{8}}$  s.t.  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i) - \bar{g}(Z_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{8}$ .  $\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(Z_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i [g(Z_i) - \bar{g}(Z_i)] \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(Z_i) \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{8}$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G} : \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right\} \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists g \in \mathcal{G}_{\frac{\epsilon}{8}} : \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \bar{g}(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{8} \right\} \leq |\mathcal{G}_{\frac{\epsilon}{8}}| \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{\frac{\epsilon}{8}}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{8} \right\}$$

Step 4: Application of Hoeffding's inequality:  $-B \leq U_i g(Z_i) \leq B \Rightarrow \mathbb{P} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i g(Z_i) \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{8} \right\} \leq 2 \exp \left( -\frac{2n(\frac{\epsilon}{8})^2}{(2B)^2} \right) = 2 \exp \left( -\frac{n\epsilon^2}{128B^2} \right)$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of functions  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$  with  $V_{\mathcal{G}^+} \geq 2$  where  $\mathcal{G}^+ := \{(z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : t \leq g(z), g \in \mathcal{G}\}$ . Let  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\nu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{B}{4}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq 3 \left( \frac{2eB^p}{\epsilon^p} \log \frac{3eB^p}{\epsilon^p} \right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}}$ . (**Proof:** Step 1: Set  $p = 1$ . Relate  $\mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$  to a shatter coefficient of  $\mathcal{G}^+$ . Set  $m = \mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})$  and let  $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  be a  $\epsilon$ -packing of  $\mathcal{G}$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}$ . Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_K \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be  $K$  independent r.v.'s with common  $\nu$ . Generate  $K$  independent r.v.'s  $T_1, \dots, T_K$  uniformly distributed on  $[0, B]$ . Denote  $R_i = (Q_i, T_i), i = 1, \dots, K, \mathcal{G}_f = \{(x, t) : t \leq f(x)\}$  for  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, B]$ . Then  $S(\mathcal{G}^+, K) = \max_{\{z_1, \dots, z_K\} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} s(\mathcal{G}^+, \{z_1, \dots, z_K\}) \geq \mathbb{E} s(\mathcal{G}_+, \{R_1, \dots, R_K\}) \geq \mathbb{E} s(\{\mathcal{G}_f : f \in \mathcal{G}\}, \{R_1, \dots, R_K\}) \geq \mathbb{E} s(\{\mathcal{G}_f : f \in \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K} \text{ for all } g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f\}, R^{1:K}) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}} 1_{\{\mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K} \text{ for all } g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f\}} \right\} = \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K} \text{ for all } g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f) = \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}} (1 - \mathbb{P}(\exists g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f, \mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K})) \geq \sum_{f \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}} (1 - m \max_{g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, g \neq f} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K})).$  For  $f, g \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}, f \neq g, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap R^{1:K} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap R^{1:K}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\})^K$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\}) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\}) = 1 - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} \neq \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\} | Q_1)] = 1 - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(f(Q_1) < T \leq g(Q_1) \text{ or } g(Q_1) < T \leq f(Q_1) | Q_1)] = 1 - \mathbb{E}[\frac{|f(Q_1) - g(Q_1)|}{B}] = 1 - \frac{1}{B} \int |f(x) - g(x)| \nu(dx) \leq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_f \cap \{R_1\} = \mathcal{G}_g \cap \{R_1\})^K \leq (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{B})^K \leq \exp(-\frac{\epsilon K}{B}) \Rightarrow S(\mathcal{G}^+, K) \geq m(1 - m \exp(-\frac{\epsilon K}{B})).$  Set  $K = \lfloor \frac{B}{\epsilon} \log(2m) \rfloor$ . Then  $1 - m \exp(-\frac{\epsilon K}{B}) \geq 1 - m \exp(-\frac{\epsilon}{B} (\frac{B}{\epsilon} \log(2m) - 1)) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \exp(\frac{\epsilon}{B}) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} \exp(\frac{1}{4}) \geq \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow m \leq 3S(\mathcal{G}_+, K)$ .

Step 2: Relate  $S(\mathcal{G}_+, K)$  to  $V_{\mathcal{G}^+}$ . If  $K = \lfloor \frac{B}{\epsilon} \log(2\mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})) \rfloor \leq V_{\mathcal{G}^+} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \exp(V_{\mathcal{G}^+}) \leq 3 \left( \frac{2eB}{\epsilon} \log \frac{3eB}{\epsilon} \right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}}$ . In the case  $K > V_{\mathcal{G}^+}$ , use the lemma:

*Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $V_{\mathcal{A}} < \infty$ . Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, S(\mathcal{A}, n) \leq (n+1)^{V_{\mathcal{A}}}$  and  $\forall n \geq V_{\mathcal{A}}, S(\mathcal{A}, n) \leq (\frac{en}{V_{\mathcal{A}}})^{V_{\mathcal{A}}}$ .*

Then  $\mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq 3 \left( \frac{\epsilon K}{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}} \right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}} \leq 3 \left( \frac{\epsilon B}{\epsilon V_{\mathcal{G}^+}} \log(2\mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)})) \right)^{V_{\mathcal{G}^+}}$ .

Step 3: Setting  $a = \frac{\epsilon B}{\epsilon}$  and  $b = V_{\mathcal{G}^+}, \mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) := x \leq 3 \left( \frac{a}{b} \log(2x) \right)^b \Rightarrow x \leq 3(2a \log(3a))^b$ .

Step 4: Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then for any  $g_j, g_k \in \mathcal{G}, \|g_j - g_k\|_{L_p(\nu)}^p \leq B^{p-1} \|g_j - g_k\|_{L_1(\nu)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\epsilon, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_p(\nu)}) \leq \mathcal{M}(\frac{\epsilon^p}{B^{p-1}}, \mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|_{L_1(\nu)})$ .

- A uniform law of large numbers: Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of functions  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $G : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, G(x) = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} |g(x)|$  be an envelope of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Assume  $\mathbb{E}G(Z) < \infty$  and  $V_{\mathcal{G}^+} < \infty$ . Then  $\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow +\infty)$  a.s. (**Proof:** For  $L > 0$ , set  $\mathcal{G}_L := \{g \cdot 1_{\{G \leq L\}} : g \in \mathcal{G}\}$ . For  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) 1_{\{G(Z_i) \leq L\}} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) 1_{\{G(Z_i) \leq L\}} - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{\{G(Z) \leq L\}}\} \right| + |\mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{\{G(Z) \leq L\}}\} - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z)\}| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) 1_{\{G(Z_i) > L\}} \right| + \mathbb{E}|g(Z)| 1_{\{G(Z) > L\}} + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) 1_{\{G(Z_i) \leq L\}} - \mathbb{E}\{g(Z) 1_{\{G(Z) \leq L\}}\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}_L} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}g(Z) \right| > \epsilon) \leq 8\mathbb{E}\{\mathcal{M}_1(\frac{\epsilon}{8}, \mathcal{G}_L, Z^{1:n}) \exp\left(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{128(2L)^2}\right)\}$ , use B-C lemma.)

- Least square estimates:  $\mathbb{E}\{(m(X)-Y)^2\} = \inf_f \mathbb{E}\{(f(X)-Y)^2\} \Rightarrow m(X) = \mathbb{E}[Y|X]$ .  $m_n = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2$ ,  $m^* = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{E}\{(f(X) - Y)^2\}$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be a class of functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  depending on the data  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)\}$ . Then  $\int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq 2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \mathbb{E}\{(f(X) - Y)^2\} \right| + \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \nu(dx)$ .

(Proof:  $\int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \nu(dx) = \mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \mathbb{E}[|m(X) - Y|^2] = \{\mathbb{E}[|m_n(X) - Y|^2 | \mathcal{D}_n] - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{E}[f(X) - Y]^2\} + \{\inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{E}[f(X) - Y]^2 - \mathbb{E}[m(X) - Y]^2\} := I_1 + I_2$ .  $I_1 \leq 2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \mathbb{E}[f(X) - Y]^2 \right|$ .  $I_2 = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \int (f(x) - m(x))^2 \nu(dx)$ .)

- Method of Sieves: Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded functions such that  $|\psi_j(x)| \leq 1$ . Assume that the set of functions  $\cup_{k=1}^{+\infty} \{\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \psi_j(x) : a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is dense in  $L_2(\mu)$  for any probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Define the regression function estimate  $m_n$  as a function minimizing the empirical  $L_2$  risk  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - Y_i)^2$  over function  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j \psi_j(x)$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |a_j| \leq \beta_n$ . If  $\mathbb{E}(Y^2) < \infty$  and  $k_n$  and  $\beta_n$  satisfy  $k_n \rightarrow \infty, \beta_n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{k_n \beta_n^4 \log \beta_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{\beta_n^4}{n^{1-\delta}} \rightarrow 0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) \rightarrow 0$  with probability 1.

- Consider  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j \psi_j(x) : \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |a_j| \leq \beta_n\}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n = \{\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} a_j \psi_j(x) : a_j \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Step 1: derive  $\widetilde{m}_n$  by using  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n$ .

Step 2: Truncation of  $\widetilde{m}_n$ ,  $m_n(x) = T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{m}_n(x)$  where  $T_L u = \begin{cases} u, & \text{if } |u| \leq L \\ L \operatorname{sgn}(u), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ . (a) If  $\mathbb{E}(Y^2) < \infty$  and  $k_n$

and  $\beta_n$  satisfy  $k_n \rightarrow \infty, \beta_n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{k_n \beta_n^4 \log \beta_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E} \int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) \rightarrow 0$ . (b) If adding the extra condition  $\frac{\beta_n^4}{n^{1-\delta}} \rightarrow 0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) \rightarrow 0$  a.s.

- Let  $\widetilde{F}_n = \widetilde{F}_n(\mathcal{D}_n)$  be a class of functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $|Y| \leq \beta_n$  a.s., then

$$\int (m_n(x) - m(x))^2 \mu(dx) \leq 2 \sup_{f \in T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_i|^2 - \mathbb{E}[f(X) - Y]^2 \right| + \inf_{f \in \widetilde{F}_n, \|f\|_\infty \leq \beta_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx)$$

- Let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_n(\mathcal{D}_n)$  be a class of functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y_L = T_L Y, Y_{i,L} = T_L Y_i$ . (a) If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_n = \infty, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{f \in \widetilde{F}_n, \|f\|_\infty \leq \beta_n} \int |f(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx) = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{f \in T_{\beta_n} \widetilde{F}_n} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - Y_{i,L}|^2 - \mathbb{E}(f(X) - Y_L)^2 \right| = 0 \text{ a.s. for all } L > 0$$

, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int |m_n(x) - m(x)|^2 \mu(dx) = 0$  a.s. (b) If  $\beta_n \rightarrow +\infty, \mathbb{E}\{\cdot\} \rightarrow 0, \mathbb{E}\{\cdot\} \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}\{\cdot\} \rightarrow 0$ .

- Piecewise polynomial partition estimate:  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{A_{n,1}, A_{n,2}, \dots\}$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\hat{m}_n(x) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\{X_i \in A_n(x)\}}}$

where  $A_n(x)$  denotes the cell  $A_{n,j} \in \mathcal{P}_n$  which contains  $x$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  bounded in absolute value by  $B$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } \|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \epsilon\} \leq \mathbb{E} \mathcal{N}_2(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{24} \epsilon, \mathcal{F}, X^{1:2n}) \exp(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{288B^2})$  where  $\|f\|_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i)|^2$ . (Proof: Step 1: Replace  $L_2(\mu)$  norm by the empirical norm. Let  $\widetilde{X}^{1:n} = (X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{2n})$  be a ghost sample of i.i.d. r.v.'s as  $X$  and independent of  $X^{1:n}$ . Define  $\|f\|_{n'}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(X_i)|^2$ . Let  $f^*$  be a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \epsilon$  if there exists any such function, and let  $f^*$  be an arbitrary function in  $\mathcal{F}$  if such a function does not exist. Then  $\mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2 | X^{1:n}\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{4\|f^*\|_{n'}^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} > \|f^*\|_2^2 | X^{1:n}\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}\{4\|f^*\|_{n'}^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \leq \|f^*\|_2^2 | X^{1:n}\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}\{3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \leq 4(\|f^*\|_2^2 - \|f^*\|_{n'}^2) | X^{1:n}\} \geq 1 - \frac{16 \operatorname{Var}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f^*(X_i)|^2 | X^{1:n})}{(3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4})^2} \geq 1 - \frac{\frac{16}{3} B^2 \|f^*\|_2^2}{(3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4})^2} \geq 1 - \frac{\frac{16}{3} \frac{B^2}{n}}{3\|f^*\|_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}} \geq 1 - \frac{64}{3\epsilon^2} \frac{B^2}{n} \geq \frac{2}{3}$  for

$n \geq \frac{64B^2}{\epsilon^2}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_{n'} - \|f\|_n > \frac{\epsilon}{4}\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} - 2\|f^*\|_n > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} - 2\|f^*\|_n > \epsilon, 2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{\|f^*\|_2 - 2\|f^*\|_n > \epsilon, 2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2\} = \mathbb{E}\{1_{\{\|f^*\|_2 - 2\|f^*\|_n > \epsilon\}} \mathbb{P}\{2\|f^*\|_{n'} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} > \|f^*\|_2 | X^{1:n}\}\} \geq \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{P}\{\|f^*\|_2 - 2\|f^*\|_n > \epsilon\} = \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \epsilon\}$ . This proves  $\mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_2 - 2\|f\|_n > \epsilon\} \leq \frac{3}{2} \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_{n'} - \|f\|_n > \frac{\epsilon}{4}\}$ .

Step 2: Introduction of additional randomness. Let  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  be independent and uniformly distributed on  $\{-1, 1\}$  and independent of  $X_1, \dots, X_{2n}$ . Set  $Z_i = \begin{cases} X_{i+n} & \text{if } U_i = 1 \\ X_i & \text{if } U_i = -1 \end{cases}$  and  $Z_{i+n} = \begin{cases} X_i & \text{if } U_i = 1 \\ X_{i+n} & \text{if } U_i = -1 \end{cases}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : \|f\|_{n'} - \|f\|_n > \frac{\epsilon}{4}\} &= \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(X_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\epsilon}{4}\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\epsilon}{4}\} \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Conditioning and introduction of a cover. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_j : j = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_2(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{24}\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, X^{1:2n})\}$  be a  $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{24}\epsilon$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F}$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_{2n}$  of minimal size.  $\|f\|_{2n}^2 = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |f(X_i)|^2$ . Fix  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\|f - g\|_{2n} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{24}\epsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i) - g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i) - f(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2}\|f - g\|_{2n} + \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{6} + \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} - \{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

In this way,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\exists f \in \mathcal{F} : (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |f(Z_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(Z_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\epsilon}{4} | X^{1:2n}\} \\ \leq \mathbb{P}\{\exists g \in \mathcal{G} : (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\epsilon}{12} | X^{1:2n}\} \\ \leq |\mathcal{G}| \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \mathbb{P}\{(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\epsilon}{12} | X^{1:2n}\} \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Application of Hoeffding's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right|}{\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2 \right|}{\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i |g(X_i)|^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i |g(X_{i+n})|^2 \right|}{\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |g(Z_i)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |g(Z_i)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > \frac{\epsilon}{12} |X^{1:2n}\right\} &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2n^2 \frac{\epsilon^2}{144} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |g(X_i)|^2\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n 4(|g(X_i)|^2 - |g(X_{i+n})|^2)^2}\right) \\
 &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2n^2 \frac{\epsilon^2}{144} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |g(X_i)|^2\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n 4B^2(|g(X_i)|^2 + |g(X_{i+n})|^2)}\right) \\
 &= \exp\left(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{288B^2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Assume  $\sigma^2 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \text{Var}(Y|X=x) < \infty$ . Let  $k_n = k_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be the vector space dimension of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}\{\|\tilde{m}_n - m\|_n^2 | X^{1:n}\} \leq \frac{\sigma^2 k_n}{n} + \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n} \|f - m\|_n^2$ . (**Proof:** Dnote  $\mathbb{E}^*\{\cdot\} = \mathbb{E}\{\cdot | X^{1:n}\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}^*\{\|\tilde{m}_n - m\|_n^2\} = \mathbb{E}^*\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{m}_n(X_i) - m(X_i)|^2\} = \mathbb{E}^*\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{m}_n(X_i) - \mathbb{E}^*(\tilde{m}_n(X_i)) + \mathbb{E}^*(\tilde{m}_n(X_i)) - m(X_i)|^2\} = \mathbb{E}^*\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{m}_n(X_i) - \mathbb{E}^*(\tilde{m}_n(X_i))|^2\} + \mathbb{E}^*\{|\mathbb{E}^*(\tilde{m}_n(X_i)) - m(X_i)|^2\} = \mathbb{E}^*\{\|\tilde{m}_n - \mathbb{E}^*(\tilde{m}_n)\|_n^2\} + \|\mathbb{E}^*(\tilde{m}_n) - m\|_n^2$ )