High-Dimensional Probability

Lectured by Hao Ge

LATEXed by Chengxin Gong

October 14, 2023

Contents

U	Appetizer	2
1	Preliminaries on random variables	2
2	Concentration of sums of independent random variables	2
3	Random vectors in high dimensions	4

0 Appetizer

- Convex combination: For $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the form of $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i z_i$ with $\lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$. Convex hull of $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$: conv $(T) = \{\text{convex combinations of } z_1, \dots, z_m \in T, m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- Caratheodory's theorem: Every point in the convex hull of a set $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ can be expressed as a convex combination of at most n+1 points from T.
- Approximate Caratheodory's theorem: Consider $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, diam $(T) = \sup\{||s-t||_2, s, t \in T\} < 1$. Then for any $x \in \text{conv}(T)$ and any k, one can find points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in T$ such that $||x \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i||_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$ (repetition is allowed).

Proof WLOG assume
$$||t||_2 \le 1, \forall t \in T$$
. Fix $x \in \text{conv}(T), x = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i z_i, z_i \in T$. Define $Z, \mathbb{P}(Z = z_i) = \lambda_i, \mathbb{E}Z = x$. Consider i.i.d. Z_1, Z_2, \dots of $Z, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j \to x$ a.s. $n \to +\infty$. $\mathbb{E}||x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k Z_j||_2^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}||Z_j - x||_2^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k (\mathbb{E}||Z_j||^2 - ||\mathbb{E}Z_j||_2^2) \le \frac{1}{k} \Rightarrow \exists$ a realization of Z_1, \dots, Z_k such that $||x - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k Z_j||_2 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$. □

• Corollary (Covering polytopes by balls): P is a polytope in \mathbb{R}^n with N vertices, diam $(P) \leq 1$. Then P can be covered by at most $N^{\lfloor 1/\epsilon^2 \rfloor}$ Euclidean balls of radii $\epsilon > 0$.

1 Preliminaries on random variables

- Jensen's inequality: convex ϕ , $\phi(\mathbb{E}X) \leq \mathbb{E}\phi(X)$. $\Rightarrow ||X||_{L^p} \leq ||X||_{L^q}$ for $p \leq q$.
- Minkowski inequality: $p \ge 1, ||X + Y||_{L^p} \le ||X||_{L^p} + ||Y||_{L^p}.$
- Cauchy-Schwarz inequality: $\mathbb{E}|XY| \leq ||X||_{L^2}||Y||_{L^2}$.
- Holder inequality: $p, q \in (1, +\infty), \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \text{ or } p = 1, q = \infty, \mathbb{E}||XY|| \le ||X||_{L^p}||Y||_{L^q}$.
- $X \ge 0$, then $\mathbb{E}X = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(X > t) dt$.
- Markov inequality: $X \ge 0, t > 0, \mathbb{P}(X \ge t) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}X}{t}$.
- LLN: X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots i.i.d., $\mathbb{E}X_i = \mu, \operatorname{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2, S_N = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$. Then: (WLLN) $\mathbb{P}(|\frac{S_N}{N} \mu| > \epsilon) \to 0, \forall \epsilon > 0$; (SLLN) $\mathbb{P}(\frac{S_N}{N} \to \mu, N \to +\infty) = 1$.
- CLT: $Z_N = \frac{S_N \mathbb{E}S_N}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(S_N)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$
- $X_{N,i}, 1 \leq i \leq N$ independent $\operatorname{Ber}(p_{N,i}), \max_{i \leq N} p_{N,i} \to 0, S_N = \sum_{i=1}^N X_{N,i}, \mathbb{E}S_N \to \lambda < +\infty$. Then $S_N \xrightarrow{d} \operatorname{Poisson}(\lambda)$.

2 Concentration of sums of independent random variables

- Question: N times, $\mathbb{P}(\text{head} \geq \frac{3}{4}N) = ?$ Let S_N be the number of heads, $\mathbb{E}S_N = \frac{N}{2}$, $\text{Var}(S_N) = \frac{N}{4}$. (1) Chebyshev's inequality: $\mathbb{P}(S_N \geq \frac{3}{4}N) \leq \mathbb{P}(|S_N \frac{N}{2}| \geq \frac{N}{4}) \leq \frac{4}{N}$; (2) $Z_N = \frac{S_N \frac{N}{2}}{\sqrt{N/4}}$, expect: $\mathbb{P}(Z_N \geq \sqrt{N/4}) \approx \mathbb{P}(g \geq \sqrt{N/4}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-N/8}$ where $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.
- For all t > 0, $(\frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{t^3}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} \le \mathbb{P}(g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \ge t) \le \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2}$.
- Berry-Esseen bound: $|\mathbb{P}(Z_N \geq t) \mathbb{P}(g \geq t)| \leq \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{N}}$ where $\rho = \mathbb{E}|X_1 \mu|^3/\sigma^3$. And in general, no improvement since $\mathbb{P}(S_N = \frac{N}{2}) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(Z_N = 0) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ but $\mathbb{P}(g = 0) = 0$.
- Hoeffding's inequality: X_1, \dots, X_N i.i.d. symmetric Bernoulli $(\mathbb{P}(X=1) = \mathbb{P}(X=-1) = \frac{1}{2}), a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then $\forall t \geq 0, \mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i X_i \geq t) \leq e^{-t^2/2||a||_2^2}, \mathbb{P}(|\sum_{i=1}^N a_i X_i \geq t|) \leq 2e^{-t^2/2||a||_2^2}$.

Proof WLOG,
$$||a||_{2}^{2} = 1$$
. For $\lambda > 0$, $\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}X_{i} \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(e^{\lambda \sum a_{i}X_{i}} \geq e^{\lambda t}) \leq e^{-\lambda t}\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}X_{i}} = e^{-\lambda t}\prod_{i=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda a_{i}X_{i}} = e^{-\lambda t}\prod_{i=1}^{N}e^{\lambda^{2}a_{i}^{2}/2} = e^{-\lambda t + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}X_{i} \geq t) \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0}e^{-\lambda t + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}} = e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}}(\lambda = t)$.

CONCENTRATION OF SUMS OF INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES

- Bounded r.v.s: X_1, \dots, X_N independent, $X_i \in [m_i, M_i]$. Then $\forall t \geq 0, \mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^N (X_i \mathbb{E}X_i) \geq t) \leq e^{-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N (M_i m_i)^2}}$.
- Chernoff's inequality: $X_i \sim \text{Ber}(p_i)$ independent, $S_N = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i, \mu = \mathbb{E}S_N \Rightarrow \forall t > \mu, \mathbb{P}(S_N \geq t) \leq e^{-\mu} (\frac{e\mu}{t})^t$. $Proof \ \mathbb{P}(S_N \geq t) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X_i}. \ \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X_i} = e^{\lambda}p_i + (1-p_i) = 1 + (e^{\lambda}-1)p_i \leq e^{(e^{\lambda}-1)p_i} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(S_N \geq t) \leq e^{-\lambda t}e^{(e^{\lambda}-1)\mu}.$ Take $\lambda^* = \log(t/\mu)$.
- d = (n-1)p is the expected degree. There is an absolute constant C s.t. for G(n,p), $d \ge C \log n$. Then with high prob (for example 0.9), all vertices of G have degrees between 0.9d and 1.1d.

Proof Ex 2.3.5 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|d_i - d| \ge \delta d) \le 2e^{-c\delta^2 d}$. Union bound: $\mathbb{P}(\exists i, |d_i - d| \ge \delta d) \le n \cdot 2e^{-c\delta^2 d} \le n \cdot 2 \cdots n^{-Cc\delta^2} = 2n^{1-Cc\delta^2} \le 1-p^*$ (let $Cc\delta^2 > 1$).

• Sub-gaussian properties: The following are equivalent: (i) $\mathbb{P}(|X| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/k_1^2}$ for all $t \geq 0$; (ii) $|X||_{L^p} = (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p} \leq k_2\sqrt{p}$ for all $p \geq 1$; (iii) $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda^2X^2} \leq e^{k_3^2\lambda^2}$ for all λ s.t. $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{k_3}$; (iv) $\mathbb{E}e^{X^2/k_4^2} \leq 2$; (v) $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X} \leq e^{k_5^2\lambda^2}$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (if $\mathbb{E}X = 0$).

 $Proof \text{ (i)} \Rightarrow \text{ (ii): WLOG } k_1 = 1. \ \mathbb{E}|X|^p = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X| \ge t) pt^{p-1} \mathrm{d}t \le \int_0^{+\infty} 2e^{-t^2} pt^{p-1} \mathrm{d}t = p\Gamma(\frac{p}{2}) \overset{\Gamma(x) \le 3x^x \text{ for } x \ge \frac{1}{2}}{\le} 3p(\frac{p}{2})^{p/2} \Rightarrow \|X\|_p \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (3p)^{1/p} p^{1/2} \le 3\sqrt{p}.$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): WLOG $k_2 = 1$. $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda^2 X^2} = \mathbb{E}\left[1 + \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(\lambda^2 X^2)^p}{p!}\right]$. $\mathbb{E}|X|^{2p} \leq (2p)^p, p! \geq (\frac{p}{e})^p \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda^2 X^2} \leq 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(2\lambda^2 p)^p}{(\frac{p}{e})^p} = \frac{1}{1-2e\lambda^2}$ (if $2e\lambda^2 < 1$) $\leq e^{4e\lambda^2}$ (if $2e\lambda^2 \leq 1/2 \Leftrightarrow \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{e}}$).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): trivial.

- (iv) \Rightarrow (i): $\mathbb{P}(|X| \ge t) = \mathbb{P}(e^{X^2} \le e^{t^2}) \le e^{-t^2} \mathbb{E}e^{X^2} \le 2e^{-t^2}$.
- (iii) \Rightarrow (v): WLOG $k_3 = 1$. If $|\lambda| \le 1$, then $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X} \le \mathbb{E}(\lambda X + e^{\lambda^2 X^2}) = \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda^2 X^2} \le e^{\lambda^2}$. If $|\lambda| \ge 1$, then $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X} \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{X^2}{2}} e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} e^{\frac{\lambda^2}{2}$
- $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$: mimic the proof of $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$.
- Sub-gaussian r.v.: satisfy the above sub-gaussian properties. $||X||_{\psi_2} = \inf\{t > 0 : \mathbb{E}e^{X^2/t^2} \le 2\}$. Thus $\mathbb{P}(|X| \ge t) \le 2e^{-ct^2/||X||^2_{\psi_2}}; ||X||_{L^p} \le C||X||_{\psi_2}\sqrt{p}$; if $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ then $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X} \le e^{C\lambda^2||X||^2_{\psi_2}}$.
- Let X_1, \dots, X_N be i.i.d. and mean zero sub-gaussian, then $||\sum_{i=1}^N X_i||_{\psi_2}^2 \leq C \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N ||X_i||_{\psi_2}^2$. Proof $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N X_i} = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X_i} \leq \prod_{i=1}^N e^{c\lambda^2 ||X_i||_{\psi_2}^2} = e^{c\lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^n ||X_i||_{\psi_2}^2}$
- Centering: X is sub-gaussian $\Rightarrow X \mathbb{E}X$ is sub-gaussian and $||X \mathbb{E}X||_{\psi_2} \le C||X||_{\psi_2}$.

 $Proof ||\mathbb{E}X||_{\psi_2} \le C_1 |\mathbb{E}X| \le C_1 \mathbb{E}|X| = C_1 ||X||_{L^1} \le C_1 C_2 ||X||_{\psi_2}.$

• Sub-exponential properties: The following are equivalent: (1) $\mathbb{P}(|X| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-t/k_1}, \forall t \geq 0$; (2) $||X||_{L^p} \leq k_2 p, p \geq 1$; (3) $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda|X|} \leq e^{k_3\lambda}$ for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{k_3}$; (4) $\mathbb{E}e^{|X|/k_4} \leq 2$; (5) if $\mathbb{E}X = 0, \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X} \leq e^{k_5^2\lambda^2}$ for $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{k_5}$.

Proof (2) \Rightarrow (5): $k_2 = 1$, $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X} = 1 + \sum_{p=2}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^p \mathbb{E}X^p}{p!} \le 1 + \sum_{p=2}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^p p^p}{(p/e)^p} = 1 + \frac{(e\lambda)^2}{1-e\lambda} (|e\lambda| < 1)$. If $|e\lambda| \le \frac{1}{2}$, $1 + \frac{(e\lambda)^2}{1-e\lambda} \le 1 + 2e^2\lambda^2 \le e^{2e^2\lambda^2}$, i.e. $k_5 = 2e$.

- $(5) \Rightarrow (1): k_5 = 1, |x|^p \le p^p(e^x + e^{-x}) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}|X|^p \le p^p(\mathbb{E}e^X + \mathbb{E}e^{-X}) \le 2ep^p.$
- $||X||_{\psi_1}=\inf\{t>0:\mathbb{E}e^{|X|/t}\leq 2\}$. X is sub-gaussian $\Leftrightarrow X^2$ is sub-exponential. $||X^2||_{\psi_1}=||X||_{\psi_2}^2$.
- X, Y are sub-gaussian $\Rightarrow XY$ is sub-exponential and $||XY||_{\psi_1} \leq ||X||_{\psi_2} ||Y||_{\psi_2}$.

Proof WLOG $||X||_{\psi_2} = ||Y||_{\psi_2} = 1$. $\mathbb{E}e^{XY} \le \mathbb{E}e^{\frac{X^2 + Y^2}{2}} = \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{X^2}{2} + \frac{Y^2}{2}}] \le \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{E}e^{X^2} + \mathbb{E}e^{Y^2}) = 2$.

- Orlicz function/space: $\psi: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$, convex, increasing, $\psi(0) = 0$, $\psi(x) \to +\infty$, $x \to +\infty$. $||X||_{\psi} := \inf\{t > 0 : \mathbb{E}\psi(|X|/t) \le 1\}$. $L_{\psi} := \{X : ||X||_{\psi} < +\infty\}$ is Banach space. Examples: (1) $L_p : \psi(x) = x^p, p \ge 1$; (2) $L_{\psi_2} : \psi_2(x) = e^{x^2} 1, L_{\infty} \subset L_{\psi_2} \subset L_p$.
- Bernstein's inequality: X_1, \dots, X_N i.i.d., mean zero and sub-exponential. Then for $t \geq 0, \mathbb{P}(|\sum_{i=1}^N X_i| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-c\min(\frac{t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N ||X_i||_{\psi_1}^2}, \frac{t}{\max_i ||X_i||_{\psi_1}})}$.

RANDOM VECTORS IN HIGH DIMENSIONS

 $Proof \ \ S = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i. \ \ \mathbb{P}(S \geq t) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_i}. \ \ \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_i} \leq e^{c\lambda^2 ||X_i||^2_{\psi_1}} \ \ \text{if} \ |\lambda| \leq \frac{c}{\max ||X_i||_{\psi_1}}. \ \ \text{Then} \ \ \mathbb{P}(S \geq t) \leq e^{-\lambda t + c\lambda^2 \sigma^2} \ \ \text{where}$ $\sigma^2 := \sum_{i=1}^N ||X_i||^2_{\psi_1}. \ \ \text{The following is to find the minimum of a quadratic function with the restriction} \ |\lambda| \leq \frac{c}{\max ||X_i||_{\psi_1}}.$

- Corollary 1: $\mathbb{P}(|\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i X_i| \ge t) \le 2e^{-c\min(\frac{t^2}{K^2||a||_2^2}, \frac{t}{K||a||_{\infty}})}$ where $K = \max_i ||X_i||_{\psi}$.
- Corollary 2: $|X_i| \leq K$, then $\mathbb{P}(|\sum_{i=1}^N X_i| \geq t) \leq 2\exp(-\frac{t^2/2}{\sigma^2 + Kt/3})$ where $\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E} X_i^2$.

3 Random vectors in high dimensions

- $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$, independent sub-gaussian coordinate X_i , $\mathbb{E}X_i^2 = 1$. Then $\|\|X\|_2 \sqrt{n}\|_{\psi_2} \le CK^2$, $K = \max_i \|X_i\|_{\psi_2}$. $Proof \ \mathbb{E}X_i^2 = 1 \Rightarrow K \ge 1$. $\|X_i^2 - 1\|_{\psi_1} \le C\|X_i^2\|_{\psi_1} = C\|X_i\|_{\psi_2}^2 \le CK^2$. Bernstein's inequality: $\mathbb{P}(|\frac{1}{n}||X||_2^2 - 1| \ge u) = \mathbb{P}(|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 - 1)| \ge u) \le 2e^{-cn\min(\frac{u^2}{K^4}, \frac{u}{K^2})} \le 2e^{-\frac{cn}{K^4}\min(u^2, u)}$. For any $\delta > 0$, $\mathbb{P}(|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}||X||_2 - 1| \ge \delta) \le \mathbb{P}(|\frac{1}{n}||X||_2^2 - 1| \ge \max(\delta, \delta^2)) \le 2e^{-\frac{cn}{K^4}\delta^2} \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(|\|X\|_2 - \sqrt{n}| \ge t) \le 2e^{-ct^2/K^4}$.
- Isotropy: $\Sigma(X) = \mathbb{E}XX^T = I$. If $\Sigma \neq I_n$, then let $Z = \Sigma^{-1/2}X$. X is isotropic $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}\langle X, x \rangle^2 = ||x||_2^2$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof
$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, x \rangle^2 = \mathbb{E}(x^T X X^T x) = x^T (\mathbb{E}X X^T) x$$
. $||x||_2^2 = x^T I_n x$. $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}X X^T = I_n$.

• X is isotropic $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}||X||_2^2 = n$. If X, Y are independent and isotropic $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\langle X, Y \rangle^2 = n$.

Proof
$$\mathbb{E}||X||_2^2 = \mathbb{E}(X^T X) = \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{tr}(X^T X)) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{E}XX^T) = n$$
.
 $\mathbb{E}\langle X, Y \rangle^2 = \mathbb{E}(X^T Y Y^T X) = \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{tr}(X^T Y Y^T X)) = \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{tr}(X X^T Y Y^T)) = \operatorname{tr}((\mathbb{E}XX^T)(\mathbb{E}YY^T)) = n$.

- Examples: $X \sim U(\sqrt{n}\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), X \sim U(\{-1,1\}^n), X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \text{ i.i.d.}, \mathbb{E}X_i = 0, \text{Var}(X_i) = 1 \text{ are all isotropic.}$
- $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_n)$, then $\mathbb{P}(|\|g\|_2 \sqrt{n}| \ge t) \le 2e^{-ct^2}$.
- Frame: $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, Approximate Parseval's identity: $A||x||_2^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \langle u_i, x \rangle^2 \leq B||x||_2^2$. A, B: frame bounds. A = B: tight frame $(\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^N u_i u_i^T = AI_n)$ and in this case, $\sum_{i=1}^N \langle u_i, x \rangle u_i = Ax$.
- (a) Tight frame $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N$, $A = B, X = \text{Unif}\{u_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$, then $(\frac{N}{A})^{1/2}X$ is isotropic. (b) X is isotropic, $\mathbb{P}(X = x_i) = p_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then $u_i = \sqrt{p_i}x_i$ form a tight frame with A = B = 1.
- Isotropic convex sets: $X \sim \mathrm{Unif}(K), K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ convex, bounded, non-empty interior (convex body). Assume $\mathbb{E}X = 0, \Sigma = \mathrm{Cov}(X)$. Then $Z = \Sigma^{-1/2}X$ is isotropic and $Z \sim \mathrm{Unif}(\Sigma^{-1/2}K)$.
- $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is sub-gaussian $\Leftrightarrow \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^n, \langle X, x \rangle$ are sub-gaussian. $||X||_{\psi_2} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} ||\langle X, x \rangle||_{\psi_2}$.
- $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ independent, mean zero, sub-gaussian coordinate. Then X is sub-gaussian with $||X||_{\psi_2} \le C \max_{i \le n} ||X_i||_{\psi_2}$.

Proof
$$||\langle X, x \rangle||_{\psi_2}^2 = ||\sum X_i x_i||_{\psi_2}^2 \le C \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 ||X_i||_{\psi_2}^2 \le C \max_{i \le n} ||X_i||_{\psi_2}^2.$$

- Gaussian dist: $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_n), ||X||_{\psi_2} \leq C$.
- Discrete dist: $X \sim \text{Unif}\{\sqrt{n}e_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}, ||X||_{\psi_2} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}}$.
- Uniform dist: $X \sim \text{Unif}\{\sqrt{n}\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}, ||X||_{\psi_2} \leq C.$ $Proof \ g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_n), X \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\sqrt{n}g}{||g||_2}. \ p(t) := \mathbb{P}(|X_1| \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(\frac{|g|}{||g||_2} \geq \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}). \ ||\|g\|_2 \sqrt{n}\|_{\psi_2} \leq C \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\|g\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}) \leq 2e^{-cn}. \ \text{Need to show that all one-dimensional marginals } \langle X, x \rangle \text{ are sub-gaussian. By rotation invariance, we may assume that } x = (1, 0, \cdots, 0).$ Let $\mathcal{E} = \{\|g\|_2 \geq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\} \Rightarrow p(t) \leq \mathbb{P}(\frac{|g|}{\|g\|_2} \geq \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}, \mathcal{E}) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \leq \mathbb{P}(|g_1| \geq \frac{t}{2}, \mathcal{E}) + 2e^{-cn} \leq 2e^{-t^2/8} + 2e^{-cn} \stackrel{t \leq \sqrt{n}}{\leq} 4e^{-ct^2}.$
- Grothendieck's inequality: $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{m \times n}$ of real numbers. Assume $\forall x_i, y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, we have $|\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i y_j| \leq 1$. Then for any Hilbert space \mathscr{H} , any $u_i, v_j \in \mathscr{H}$ satisfying $||u_i|| = ||v_j|| = 1$, we have $|\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \langle u_i, v_j \rangle| \leq K$ with $K \leq 1.783$.

RANDOM VECTORS IN HIGH DIMENSIONS

Proof (1) Reduction. For any $u_i, v_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ s.t. $||u_i||_2 = ||v_j||_2 = 1, K_{u,v} := \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \langle u_i, v_j \rangle, K = \sup_{||u||_2 = ||v||_2 = 1} K_{u,v}$.

- (2) Introduce randomness. $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_N), U_i = \langle g, u_i \rangle, V_j = \langle g, v_j \rangle, \mathbb{E}U_iV_j = \langle u_i, v_j \rangle.$ $K_{u,v} = \mathbb{E}(\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}U_iV_j) \Rightarrow K_{u,v} \leq R^2$ if $|U_i| \leq R, |V_j| \leq R$.
- (3) Truncation. Given $R \geq 1, U_i = U_i^- + U_i^+, U_i^- = U_i \mathbb{1}_{\{|U_i| \leq R\}}, V_j = V_j^- + V_j^+, |U_i^-| \leq R, |V_j^-| \leq R.$ $||U_i^+||_{L^2}^2 \leq 2(R + \frac{1}{R}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-R^2/2} < \frac{4}{R^2}(R > 1).$
- (4) Breaking up the sum. $\sum a_{ij}U_iV_j = \sum a_{ij}U_i^-V_j^- + \sum a_{ij}U_i^+V_j^- + \sum a_{ij}U_i^-V_j^+ + \sum a_{ij}U_i^+V_j^+ := S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4.$ $S_1 \leq R^2, S_2 \leq K \max ||U_i^+||_2 \max ||V_j^-||_2 \leq K \frac{2}{R}, S_3 \leq K \frac{2}{R}, S_4 \leq K \frac{4}{R^2}.$
- (5) Putting everything together. $K_{u,v} \leq R^2 + \frac{4K}{R} + \frac{4K}{R^2} \Rightarrow K \leq R^2 + \frac{4K}{R} + \frac{4K}{R^2} \Rightarrow K \leq \frac{R^2}{1 \frac{4}{R} \frac{4}{P^2}}$.
- Remark: The assumption can be equivalently stated as $|\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i y_j| \le \max_i |x_i| \max_j |y_j|$. The conclusion can be equivalently stated as $|\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \langle u_i, v_j \rangle| \le K \max_i ||u_i|| \max_j ||v_j||$.