**WEB 2890 Project Feedback Form**

**Name of Student being Reviewed**: Avrey Semadeni

**Name of Reviewer**: Jacob Ashcraft

**Company/Position**: Software Developer specializing in web development and media design

**Contact information (phone, email)**:

**Relation to Student (check one)**: Client [ ] Friend [ ]

Acquaintance [ ] Professional [ X ]

Web/UX professor [ ] Weber State professor [ ]

Weber State staff [ ] Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# Comments from All Reviewers

**Please provide ratings and comments on the following project criteria (1 = low and 5 = high):**

1 2 3 (**4)** 5 **Overall project quality**

**Comments**:

Did great on following most if not all project criteria. However there were some cases where you could use <section> instead of <div>. Also some of the headings were misused in the name of styling, though that is common in the website industry sadly. Images seemed to be of appropriate size.

1 2 3 4 (**5)** **Level of creativity and originality demonstrated in the project**

**Comments**:

It was creative. It followed a lot of standard practices. Though the colors and some background image usage was great as styling and giving texture.

1 2 3 4  **(5)** **Project contains an appropriate amount of information to meet its objectives.**

**Comments**:

Sufficient information for each page was provided.

1 2 3 (**4** ) 5 **Quality of elements making up project (HTML/CSS, photos, vector graphics, video, audio, animation, web objects/elements)**

**Comments**:

It looked great, though in some areas <section> could have been used instead of <div>. There was no audio that I could tell or really any animations outside of the image slider provided by bootstrap snippet code.

1 2 3 (**4)** 5 **Project design (color, fonts, typography, layout/composition). The overall concept/theme/unified look of the website is effective.**

**Comments**:

It overall looked great. Though there was some inconsistent layout for navigation depending on screen size (having logo left vs. right). Also the footer link placement for the Privacy Policy was in a strange placement. Also the footer logo image was really close to the links and the footer did not look as well spaced/designed as the rest (at least in desktop).

**Do you feel this project is appropriate to include in the student's portfolio considering skill-level demonstrated?**

**Comments**:

Yes.

**Did you experience any technical difficulties as you navigated through the website or displayed the project?**

**Comments**:

No.

**What are the main strengths of the project?**

**Comments**:

Color scheme seemed to be consistent. The information provided was styled in a decent presentable manner.

**What are the main things that could be improved with the project?**

**Comments**:

Usage of <section> vs. <div>. A little more consistency with Logo placement across screen sizes. A better footer layout for desktop view. Usage of headers in some cases for styling vs. accessibility (not much, but some was notable).