

WEB 2890 Project Feedback Form

Name of Student being Reviewed: Rick Smith

Name of Reviewer: Chris Page

Company/Position: Web Developer

Contact information (phone, email): cjpthatme@gmail.com

Relation to Student (check one): Client ☐ Friend ☒
Acquaintance ☐ Professional ☒
Web/UX professor ☐ Weber State professor ☐
Weber State staff ☐ Other, please specify: _____

Part 1 - Questions for Client Only:

1. Were the requirements/objectives of the project met by the student?

- ☐ All requirements were met
☐ Most requirements were met
☐ Some requirements were met
☐ No requirements were met

Add Comment:

2. How satisfied were you with the communication during your project's completion?

- ☐ Very Satisfied ☐ Satisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Dissatisfied ☐ Very Dissatisfied

Add Comment:

3. What is your impression of the student's knowledge and skill level based on your experience working with him/her?

- ☐ 5 (High) ☐ 4 ☐ 3 ☐ 2 ☐ 1 (Low)

Add Comment:

4. How effective was the student in solving problems and making decisions during the completion of the project?

- ☐ Very Effective ☐ Somewhat Effective ☐ Not sure ☐ Ineffective ☐ Very Ineffective

Add Comment:

5. How likely are you to use the project in its final format (i.e., print and mail a marketing card to customers, add video or images to website, have business cards or letterhead printed with new logo)?

☐ Very Likely ☐ Somewhat Likely ☐ Not Sure ☐ Unlikely ☐ Very Unlikely

Add Comment:

6. How likely are you to ask this student to complete another project for you?

☐ Very Likely ☐ Somewhat Likely ☐ Not Sure ☐ Unlikely ☐ Very Unlikely

Add Comment:

Comments from All Reviewers

Please provide ratings and comments on the following project criteria (1 = low and 5 = high):

1 2 3 **4** 5 Overall project quality

Comments:

The site achieves what is needed. Provides the information. The responsiveness works across different devices. Everything that needs to be there, is there.

1 2 **3** 4 5 Level of creativity and originality demonstrated in the project

Comments:

The design does somewhat suffer from Bootstrapitis. Very sound fundamentally if not somewhat overdone. However there are some nice touches that break out of the box.

1 2 3 **4** 5 Project contains an appropriate amount of information to meet its objectives.

Comments:

The information is there as was provided. I can see the classic conundrum of waiting on more content from the client. For what is there, it provides the needed info.

1 2 3 **4** 5 Quality of elements making up project (HTML/CSS, photos, vector graphics, video, audio, animation, web objects/elements)

Comments:

The HTML and CSS are structured really well. The HTML is semantic and easy to read. The CSS has no major issues. The photos being primarily from Instagram, the file compression is not the best.

1 2 **3** 4 5 Project design (color, fonts, typography, layout/composition). The overall concept/theme/unified look of the website is effective.

Comments:

There are a few accessibility issues with typography and color. The contrast ratio in some places needs improvement.

Do you feel this project is appropriate to include in the student's portfolio considering skill-level demonstrated?

Comments:

After addressing a the accessibility and the image quality issues, I think it would be appropriate. Also if the remaining content is provided.

Did you experience any technical difficulties as you navigated through the website or displayed the project?

Comments:

No

What are the main strengths of the project?

Comments:

The structure of the code was very impressive. It is much better than half of the code I see on a daily basis.

What are the main things that could be improved with the project?

Comments:

I would remove the load bloat. Bootstrap seems like a lot of overhead for the small site. I would recommend dropping it and doing some simple css.