

WEB 2890 Project Feedback Form

Name of Student being Reviewed: Katie Arner

Name of Reviewer: Connor Russell

Company/Position: A friend, who will give me helpful feedback

Contact information (phone, email): 801-648-6468

Relation to Student (check one): Client ☐ Friend ☒
Acquaintance ☐ Professional ☐
Web/UX professor ☐ Weber State professor ☐
Weber State staff ☐ Other, please specify: _____

Part 1 - Questions for Client Only:

1. Were the requirements/objectives of the project met by the student?

- ☐ All requirements were met
☐ Most requirements were met
☐ Some requirements were met
☐ No requirements were met

Add Comment:

2. How satisfied were you with the communication during your project's completion?

- ☐ Very Satisfied ☐ Satisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Dissatisfied ☐ Very Dissatisfied

Add Comment:

3. What is your impression of the student's knowledge and skill level based on your experience working with him/her?

- ☐ 5 (High) ☐ 4 ☐ 3 ☐ 2 ☐ 1 (Low)

Add Comment:

4. How effective was the student in solving problems and making decisions during the completion of the project?

- ☐ Very Effective ☐ Somewhat Effective ☐ Not sure ☐ Ineffective ☐ Very Ineffective

Add Comment:

5. How likely are you to use the project in its final format (i.e., print and mail a marketing card to customers, add video or images to a website, have business cards or letterhead printed with a new logo)?

☐ Very Likely ☐ Somewhat Likely ☐ Not Sure ☐ Unlikely ☐ Very Unlikely

Add Comment:

6. How likely are you to ask this student to complete another project for you?

☐ Very Likely ☐ Somewhat Likely ☐ Not Sure ☐ Unlikely ☐ Very Unlikely

Add Comment:

Comments from All Reviewers

Please provide ratings and comments on the following project criteria (1 = low and 5 = high):

1 2 3 4 5 Overall project quality

Comments: The functionality is up to par if not better than most websites.

1 2 3 4 5 Level of creativity and originality demonstrated in the project

Comments: Because there are still creative changes that are added but it still looks similar to the original website.

1 2 3 4 5 Project contains an appropriate amount of information to meet its objectives.

Comments: It contains information from the original website just in a different layout which is great.

1 2 3 4 5 Quality of elements making up project (HTML/CSS, photos, vector graphics, video, audio, animation, web objects/elements)

Comments: It is great but you could add a little animation which might look good.

1 2 3 4 5 Project design (color, fonts, typography, layout/composition). The overall concept/theme/unified look of the website is effective.

Comments: This part is really great and looks amazing.

Do you feel this project is appropriate to include in the student's portfolio considering skill-level demonstrated?

Comments: Yes, because it looks great.

Did you experience any technical difficulties as you navigated through the website or displayed the project?

Comments: No I did not.

What are the main strengths of the project?

Comments: The layout, quality of images, and functionality.

What are the main things that could be improved with the project?

Comments: There could be more pages.