**WEB 2890 Project Feedback Form**

**Name of Student being Reviewed**: Mack Parker

**Name of Reviewer**: Jace Nordgren

**Company/Position**: National Testing Proctor

**Contact information (phone, email)**: jacenordgren@mail.weber.edu

**Relation to Student (check one)**: Client [ ] Friend [ X ]

Acquaintance [ ] Professional [ ]

Web/UX professor [ ] Weber State professor [ ]

Weber State staff [ ] Other, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

# Part 1 - Questions for Client Only: -not a client

**1. Were the requirements/objectives of the project met by the student?**

[ ] All requirements were met

[ ] Most requirements were met

[ ] Some requirements were met

[ ] No requirements were met

**Add Comment**:

**2. How satisfied were you with the communication during your project’s completion?**

[ ] Very Satisfied [ ] Satisfied [ ] Neutral [ ] Dissatisfied [ ] Very Dissatisfied

**Add Comment**:

**3. What is your impression of the student’s knowledge and skill level based on your experience working with him/her?**

[ ] 5 (High) [ ] 4 [ ] 3 [ ] 2 [ ] 1 (Low)

**Add Comment**:

**4. How effective was the student in solving problems and making decisions during the completion of the project?**

[ ] Very Effective [ ] Somewhat Effective [ ] Not sure [ ] Ineffective [ ] Very Ineffective

**Add Comment**:

**5. How likely are you to use the project in its final format (i.e., print and mail a marketing card to customers, add video or images to website, have business cards or letterhead printed with new logo)?**

[ ] Very Likely [ ] Somewhat Likely [ ] Not Sure [ ] Unlikely [ ] Very Unlikely

**Add Comment**:

**6. How likely are you to ask this student to complete another project for you?**

[ ] Very Likely [ ] Somewhat Likely [ ] Not Sure [ ] Unlikely [ ] Very Unlikely

**Add Comment**:

# Comments from All Reviewers

**Please provide ratings and comments on the following project criteria (1 = low and 5 = high):**

1 2 3 4 5 **Overall project quality** rating 5

**Comments**: It was a good looking website.

1 2 3 4 5 **Level of creativity and originality demonstrated in the project**  rating 5

**Comments**: There was a good amount of creativity. The website had a good flow as well as was easy to navigate.

1 2 3 4 5 **Project contains an appropriate amount of information to meet its objectives.**

Rating 5

**Comments**: From my understanding of the objectives they were all met within this project.

1 2 3 4 5 **Quality of elements making up project (HTML/CSS, photos, vector graphics, video, audio, animation, web objects/elements)** rating 5

**Comments**: There was a wide use of different elements to make up the websites.

1 2 3 4 5 **Project design (color, fonts, typography, layout/composition). The overall concept/theme/unified look of the website is effective.** Rating 5

**Comments**: The website had a color theme that worked very well.

**Do you feel this project is appropriate to include in the student's portfolio considering skill-level demonstrated?**

**Comments**: Yes, I believe so.

**Did you experience any technical difficulties as you navigated through the website or displayed the project?**

**Comments**: Other then some of the buttons being just for show, no.

**What are the main strengths of the project?**

**Comments**: there was a wide variety of different elements displayed within the project

**What are the main things that could be improved with the project?**

**Comments**: Some of the buttons could be