

## Long-term effects of maternal cannabis vapor exposure on emotional reactivity, social behavior, and behavioral flexibility in offspring

Halle V. Weimar<sup>a</sup>, Hayden R. Wright<sup>a</sup>, Collin R. Warrick<sup>a,b</sup>, Amanda M. Brown<sup>a</sup>, Janelle M. Lugo<sup>a</sup>, Timothy G. Freels<sup>a</sup>, Ryan J. McLaughlin<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departments of Integrative Physiology and Neuroscience, Washington State University, WA, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Molecular Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Psychology, Washington State University, WA, USA



### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Prenatal cannabis exposure  
Emotional reactivity  
Behavioral flexibility  
Anxiety

### ABSTRACT

The use of cannabis during pregnancy is a growing public health concern. As more countries implement legislation permitting recreational cannabis use, there is an urgent need to better understand its impact on fetal neurodevelopment and its long-term effects in exposed offspring. Studies examining effects of prenatal cannabis exposure typically employ injections of synthetic cannabinoids or isolated cannabis constituents that may not accurately model cannabis use in human populations. To address this limitation, we developed a novel e-cigarette technology-based system to deliver vaporized cannabis extracts to pregnant Long Evans rats. We used this model to determine effects of prenatal cannabis exposure on emotional, social, and cognitive endpoints of male and female offspring during early development and into adulthood. Dams were exposed to cannabis vapor ( $CAN_{THC}$ : 400 mg/ml), vehicle vapor (VEH), or no vapor (AIR) twice daily during mating and gestation. Offspring exposed to  $CAN_{THC}$  and VEH showed reduced weight gain relative to AIR offspring prior to weaning.  $CAN_{THC}$  offspring made more isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) on postnatal day 6 (P6) relative to VEH-exposed offspring, which is indicative of increased emotional reactivity. Male  $CAN_{THC}$  offspring engaged in fewer social investigation behaviors than VEH-exposed male offspring during a social play test on P26. In adulthood,  $CAN_{THC}$ -exposed offspring spent less time exploring the open arms of the elevated plus maze and exhibited dose-dependent deficits in behavioral flexibility in an attentional set-shifting task relative to AIR controls. These data collectively indicate that prenatal cannabis exposure may cause enduring effects on the behavioral profile of offspring.

### 1. Introduction

The use of cannabis during pregnancy is becoming a global health crisis. Although the worldwide prevalence of maternal cannabis use is not known, cannabis is considered the most commonly used illicit drug by pregnant women in western countries (Lozano et al., 2007; El Marroun et al., 2008; Jaques et al., 2014). In the United States, the prevalence of cannabis use during pregnancy has more than doubled over the past two decades, with approximately 7% of pregnant women reporting using cannabis (Volkow et al., 2019), while in Canada, cannabis use among pregnant women aged 15–24 years has increased from 4.9% to 6.5% between 2012 and 2017 (Corsi et al., 2019). Approximately 70% of women believe that there is little or no risk in using cannabis once or

twice a week during pregnancy (Ko et al., 2015). Additionally, cannabis use among pregnant women is becoming more prevalent, in part due to its antiemetic properties (Roberson et al., 2014; Westfall et al., 2006) and endorsements from cannabis dispensaries (Dickson et al., 2018). As the perceived harm and social stigma associated with cannabis use continue to decline (Wen et al., 2019), there is significant concern that the use of cannabis among pregnant women will continue to rise in the coming years. This is particularly troubling since the long-term ramifications of prenatal cannabis exposure remain largely unknown.

The main psychoactive component in cannabis,  $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), is known to cross the placenta (Bailey et al., 1987), and can interfere with endogenous cannabinoid signaling, which is critically involved in several neurodevelopmental processes (see Scheyer et al.,

\* Corresponding author. Washington State University, College of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Integrative Physiology and Neuroscience, P.O. Box 647620, Pullman, WA, 99164, USA.

E-mail address: [ryan.mclaughlin@wsu.edu](mailto:ryan.mclaughlin@wsu.edu) (R.J. McLaughlin).

2019b for review). Our understanding of the developmental effects of prenatal cannabis exposure has largely been informed by human longitudinal studies of cannabis-exposed offspring (Fried and Watkinson, 2001; Fried et al., 1998, 2003; Richardson et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2016). These studies have revealed associations between prenatal cannabis exposure and deficits in cognition (Fried et al., 1998), executive functioning (Smith et al., 2016), and working memory (Fried and Watkinson, 1990). Cross-sectional retrospective studies have also linked prenatal cannabis exposure to impaired memory, impulse control, problem solving, and quantitative reasoning (Sharapova et al., 2018), as well as alterations in emotional reactivity and increased symptoms of affective disorders (De Moraes Barros et al., 2006; Fried and Makin, 1987; Leech et al., 2006; Lester and Dreher, 1989). However, the interpretation of the human literature is complicated by confounding factors, including underreporting of use (Metz et al., 2017) and co-use of other drugs (Qato et al., 2020). Thus, very little is known about the enduring effects of prenatal cannabis exposure, independent of these extraneous factors.

Animal models provide an opportunity to explore causal effects of prenatal cannabis exposure without the confounds inherent to human studies. Models of prenatal cannabis exposure in rodents have supported alterations or deficits across cognitive, emotional, social, and motor domains in offspring (Antonelli et al., 2005; Campolongo et al., 2011; Manduca et al., 2020; Navarro et al., 1994, 1996; Newson and Kelly, 2008; Trezza et al., 2008). Moreover, lasting changes in epigenetic regulation (DiNieri et al., 2011; Spano et al., 2007), synaptic plasticity (Bara et al., 2018; Scheyer et al., 2019a), and dopamine neuron ontogeny/signaling (Bonnin et al., 1994, 1995, 1996; DiNieri et al., 2011; Frau et al., 2019; Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 1990, 1991, 1992; Wang et al., 2004) have been observed in rodents that have been perinatally exposed to isolated THC or the CB1 receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2. However, intraperitoneal or subcutaneous injections of synthetic cannabinoids may not fully recapitulate the effects of whole-plant cannabis, which contains a multitude of phytocannabinoids beyond THC that each have unique pharmacological and physiological effects (Morales et al., 2017; Russo, 2011; Turner et al., 2017). Moreover, synthetic CB1 receptor agonists are known to recruit different intracellular signaling cascades (Laprairie et al., 2014, 2016) and differences in pharmacokinetics due to the route of administration can dramatically influence the amount of fetal exposure (McLaughlin, 2018). More recently, a cannabis vapor delivery system has been introduced to more closely mimic the intrapulmonary route of administration that is most common among human cannabis users (Freels et al., 2020; Javadi-Paydar et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2016). This approach takes advantage of commercial ‘e-cigarette’ technology to deliver vaporized whole-plant cannabis extracts to rodents to produce pharmacologically and behaviorally relevant cannabinoid concentrations (Freels et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2016). Importantly, implementation of this more ecologically valid approach could provide better insight into the long-term effects of developmental cannabis exposure (McLaughlin, 2018).

In the current study, we exposed female rat dams to either whole-plant cannabis extract vapor (CAN<sub>THC</sub>), vehicle vapor (VEH), or no vapor (AIR) twice daily prior to, and during, gestation. To test our hypothesis that prenatal cannabis exposure has long-term effects on the behavioral profile of offspring, we conducted tests of emotional reactivity, social and novel environment-induced anxiety-like behavior, and behavioral flexibility at neonatal, juvenile, and adult timepoints, respectively. Our results indicate that prenatal cannabis exposure increases isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations in neonates, negatively impacts social play and investigation at the juvenile stage, and alters anxiety-like behavior induced by novel, anxiogenic environments in adulthood. Moreover, we found that offspring prenatally exposed to cannabis of both sexes exhibited impaired performance in an automated attentional set-shifting task designed to assess behavioral flexibility in adulthood. Thus, maternal cannabis vapor exposure may cause age-dependent effects in exposed offspring that extend into adulthood.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Animals

Male and female Long Evans rats (Simonsen Laboratories, Gilroy, CA; 60–70 day old) were same-sex, pair-housed in a humidity-controlled animal room on a 12:12 reverse light cycle (7:00 lights off, 19:00 lights on) with food and water available *ad libitum*. One week after arrival, all females were randomly assigned to CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, or AIR groups and then paired with a male in a Double Decker cage (Tecniplast, Milan, Italy). All females regardless of group were weighed and handled daily. After one week of mating, males were removed and females were single housed until the day of birth, which was designated as postnatal day 0 (P0). Pups were cross fostered between P0 and P2 depending on the availability of litters. Before cross-fostering, each litter was weighed, and the number and sex of pups was determined. To distinguish prenatal treatment condition, a small ink dot was tattooed on the right, left, or neither hindpaw with Super Black™ india ink (Speedball, Statesville, NC, USA). Litters were culled and subsequently cross-fostered so that each dam raised 10–12 pups. Ideally, each cross-fostered litter contained pups from all three prenatal treatments; however, in some cases only two conditions were raised by each dam due to differences in the timing of births between litters. One VEH- and one AIR-exposed dam from different cohorts raised their own biological litters due to the lack of available litters for cross-fostering. Litters remained undisturbed (except for ultrasonic vocalization [USV] tests and cage changes) until weaning on P21 when they were group-housed with same-sex littermates. All procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines in the National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Washington State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.


### 2.2. Prenatal drug delivery

#### 2.2.1. Drugs

The whole-plant cannabis extract (CAN<sub>THC</sub>) was obtained from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) Drug Supply Program. According to the certificate of analyses provided upon shipment, the CAN<sub>THC</sub> extract contained 24.8±0.08% THC, 1.2±0.01% cannabidiol (CBD), and 2.1±0.02% cannabinol (CBN). Extracts were heated to 60 °C under constant stirring and dissolved in 80% propylene glycol/20% vegetable glycerol at a concentration of 400 mg/ml based on previous studies (Freels et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2016). For studies assessing behavioral flexibility, an additional low CAN<sub>THC</sub> concentration group (50 mg/ml) was included to examine potential dose-dependent effects. The final estimated concentrations of phytocannabinoids in the 400 mg/ml CAN<sub>THC</sub> preparation were: 99.2 mg/ml THC, 4.8 mg/ml CBD, and 8.4 mg/ml CBN. The final estimated concentrations of phytocannabinoids in the 50 mg/ml CAN<sub>THC</sub> preparation were: 12.4 mg/ml THC, 0.6 mg/ml CBD, and 1.1 mg/ml CBN.

#### 2.2.2. Vapor exposure regimen

Vapor exposure sessions were conducted using 13.5" x 9.0" x 8.25" (L x W x H) 16.4 L vapor chambers from La Jolla Alcohol Research Inc. (La Jolla, CA) with vaporizer boxes controlled by MED Associates IV software (Fairfax, VT). The air intake port pulled air through tubing connected to an air flow meter and tubing connected to a commercial e-cigarette cartridge (first generation: Protank 3 Dual Coil; 2.2 Ω atomizer; KangerTech, Shenzhen, China; second generation: SMOK Tank Baby Beast TFV8 with 0.2Ω M2 atomizer, 40–60 W range) filled with CAN<sub>THC</sub> or VEH. Starting on the day of pairing, female rats in the two vapor groups began twice-daily vapor exposure sessions, which coincided with the first and last hour of the dark cycle (Fig. 1). Vapor was delivered every 2 min for 60 min under continuous rear-port evacuation via a house vacuum system containing in-line Whatman HEPA-Cap filters. For studies using first generation vaporizers and cartridges (i.e., first cohort



**Fig. 1.** Timeline of vapor exposure paradigm. Schematic timeline of exposure paradigm starting at arrival of rats at facility through cross-fostering on P2.

of adult elevated plus maze [EPM] and first two cohorts of behavioral flexibility studies), vapor was delivered in discrete 10 s puffs. For studies using second generation vaporizers and cartridges (i.e., USVs, social play, juvenile EPM, second adult EPM cohort, and third cohort of behavioral flexibility testing), vapor was delivered in discrete 5 s puffs. Vapor exposure sessions concluded 24–48 h before the anticipated date of birth.

### 2.3. Behavioral testing

#### 2.3.1. Ultrasonic vocalizations

Isolation-induced USVs were recorded from each pup on P6, P10, and P13 as previously described (Hofer et al., 2001). Starting 2 h into the dark cycle, dams were removed from the home cage and placed in a familiar transfer cage in a separate room. Home cages with litters were transferred to another holding room and placed on a heating pad. Starting after a 10 min habituation period, individual pups were carried to a separate testing room and placed in the center of an empty 17" x 12" x 7.5" (L x W x H) standard rat cage with a 3 x 3 grid visible underneath. USVs were recorded for 3 min by an UltraSoundGate 116 microphone (Avisoft Bioacoustics, Glienicker/Nordbahn, Germany) suspended 15 cm above the cage floor. Recordings from each session were analyzed offline using DeepSqueak software (Coffey et al., 2019) to determine the number and types of calls. The number of grid crossings per session was determined from the video recordings and scored manually by a researcher who was blinded to treatment condition.

#### 2.3.2. Social play behavior

Assessment of social investigation and play behavior was performed on P26 using a protocol modified from Holman et al. (2019). For 2 days prior to testing, juvenile rats were habituated to the play arena for 5 min, which was a 24" x 24" x 12" (L x W x H) open field filled with a layer of clean bedding. On the last day of habituation, juvenile rats were single housed overnight to facilitate play behavior during the test phase. On the test day, the fur on both hips of each rat was marked with black markers for identification purposes during later review. Three unfamiliar rats were allowed to interact for 10-min videotaped sessions. Following testing, rats were returned to group housing in their home cage. In rare cases, a rat underwent social play twice on the same day if there were not enough rats to form triads of unfamiliar playmates. In these cases, only the first play session was used for behavioral analysis for this rat. Videos were reviewed by two blinded experimenters who

individually recorded time stamps for the following: social investigation behaviors (i.e., anogenital sniffing, body sniffing, social grooming), play behaviors (i.e., dorsal contact, pinning, pouncing, following, evading, wrestling), and asocial behaviors (i.e., digging, self-grooming). The two researchers then reconciled the time stamps together to generate frequency and latency data for each behavioral measure for each rat (see Table 1 for descriptive statistics). The total number of play, social investigation, and asocial behaviors were also tallied to produce aggregate scores for each social domain and were subsequently compared between treatment groups.

#### 2.3.3. Elevated plus maze

Behavior in the EPM was assessed during the juvenile period (P27) and during adulthood (P73) using separate cohorts of rats according to previously described methods (Berger et al., 2018; Freels et al., 2020; Walf and Frye, 2007). The EPM apparatus consisted of a raised Plexiglas platform (28.5 inches high) with two open exposed arms and two darker enclosed arms of equal length (21.5 inches/arm; Med Associates Inc., St. Albans, VT). The floors were made of clear Plexiglas and the walls of both closed arms were black. Beginning 2 h into the dark cycle, rats were transported individually into the testing room and placed at the center of the EPM facing an open arm. The rat was allowed to explore the maze for 5 min while being recorded from above. All tests were run in dim lighting (~10 lux), and behaviors were recorded with Noldus Ethovision XT behavioral tracking software (Noldus, Leesburg, VA). The number of entries and percent time spent in the open and closed arms, and distance traveled in the open arms of the EPM were compared across sexes and treatment groups.

#### 2.3.4. Behavioral flexibility

An automated attentional set-shifting task was used to assess behavioral flexibility in adulthood. This was conducted using eight 10" x 12" x 12" (L x W x H) Coulbourn Habitest (Holliston, MA) operant chambers each equipped with 2 retractable lever modules, cue lights positioned above each lever, a house light, food trough, and pellet dispenser filled with 45 mg sucrose pellets (Bio-Serv, Flemington, NJ). All rats were single housed beginning on P60 and maintained at 90% free-feeding weight during operant testing in order to incentivize responding for sucrose pellets. Operant training was conducted between P70-110. A small subset of rats from each treatment group (N = 25) were injected with latex retrobeads into the basolateral amygdala a minimum of one week prior to the initiation of food restriction, though this had no discernible impact on task performance in these rats. Rats of the same sex were trained and tested in separate male- and female-designated chambers in order to minimize effects of sex-specific olfactory cues.

The attentional set-shifting task was conducted as described previously (Floresco et al., 2008; Brady and Floresco, 2015) (see Fig. 5B for a schematic of the different training phases). Pre-training began with lever press shaping on a fixed-ratio 1 reinforcement schedule where the left or

**Table 1**

Descriptive statistics table for social play. Values for each treatment group are provided as means plus or minus standard error of the mean (SEM).

Behavior Frequency	AIR	VEH	CAN <sub>THC</sub>	F	df	p	Effect size
Play	23.4 ± 8.9	11.2 ± 9.9	14.2 ± 10.6	5.830	2	0.006	0.226
Dorsal contact	5.4 ± 3.7	2.6 ± 2.4	4.1 ± 3.4	2.605	2	0.086	0.115
Evade	0.9 ± 1.1	0.3 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 1.5	2.641	2	0.084	0.117
Chase	5.0 ± 3.2	1.9 ± 2.5	2.2 ± 1.9	5.773	2	0.006	0.224
Pin	2.7 ± 1.5	0.9 ± 1.5	1.0 ± 1.5	7.703	2	0.001	0.278
Pounce	0.1 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.8	4.359	2	0.019	0.179
Wrestling	9.3 ± 5.4	5.5 ± 4.8	5.4 ± 6.1	2.265	2	0.117	0.102
Social investigation	14.4 ± 4.4	15.9 ± 3.1	14.0 ± 2.5	1.140	2	0.330	0.054
Anogenital sniffing	1.3 ± 1.2	1.5 ± 1.2	1.5 ± 1.6	0.139	2	0.870	0.007
Body sniffing	13.0 ± 3.8	13.7 ± 2.8	11.6 ± 2.9	1.432	2	0.251	0.067
Social grooming	0.1 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 1.4	2.324	2	0.111	0.104
Asocial	5.5 ± 1.9	3.4 ± 2.1	4.5 ± 1.7	3.812	2	0.031	0.160
Digging	2.4 ± 2.1	1.1 ± 1.1	1.6 ± 1.6	2.459	2	0.098	0.109
Self grooming	3.1 ± 1.5	2.4 ± 1.5	2.9 ± 1.6	0.697	2	0.504	0.034

right lever (counterbalanced between rats) remained extended throughout the session. Rats were required to make a minimum of 60 presses in a single session to reach criterion. After reaching criterion for a given lever, rats were then required to make 60 or more presses on the opposite lever in a single session before advancing to retractable lever training. For retractable lever training, both levers were extended every 20 s, and rats were required to press either lever within 10 s to receive a sucrose pellet. If a rat failed to make a response within 10 s, the levers were retracted and the trial was scored as an omission. All rats received retractable lever training for a minimum of 5 days and continued training until  $\leq 5$  omissions were committed for 2 consecutive sessions. Rats then performed side preference testing on the same day they completed retractable lever training. Side preference testing consisted of 7 trials (with 2–8 sub-trials) separated by a 20 s inter-trial interval. During each trial, both levers were extended and a rat's initial response (left or right lever) was recorded. Side preference was determined by identifying the lever on which the majority of initial responses across the 7 trials were made. Upon completion of pre-training, rats then performed the visual cue discrimination task in which they were required to press the lever associated with an illuminated cue light to obtain the sucrose pellet reinforcer. Rats continued until they made 10 consecutive correct responses. Next, rats performed the set-shifting task that required an extradimensional shift in strategy selection such that they were now required to ignore the visual cue and press the lever opposite to their side preference to obtain the reinforcer. After making 10 consecutive correct responses during set-shifting, rats then advanced to the reversal task. In this task, rats were required to make an intradimensional shift in strategy selection such that they were now required to press the lever opposite to the lever that was reinforced during the set-shifting task.

For visual-cue discrimination, set-shifting, and reversal tasks, the total number of trials required to reach criterion was tabulated and compared across groups. Error types were also analyzed for set-shifting and reversal tasks by dividing sessions into 16 trial blocks as described previously (Floresco et al., 2008). In the set-shifting task, errors involving the use of the previously reinforced strategy were classified as perseverative errors until fewer than six errors were committed in a block, at which point errors were classified as regressive errors. Never-reinforced errors were classified as when a rat made a response that was not reinforced in either the visual-cue discrimination or set-shifting task. In the reversal task, errors were classified as perseverative until fewer than 10 errors were made in a block. Subsequent errors were then scored as regressive errors. Additionally, it was noted whether perseverative and regressive errors were made toward or away from the cue light during the reversal task.

#### 2.4. Statistics

Data were analyzed in SPSS using two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with prenatal treatment (CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, AIR) and sex (male, female) as between subject factors. For latency of behaviors in the social play task, we used one-way ANOVAs with treatment as between subject factors due to the number of omissions resulting from frequencies of zero for some behaviors. When significant main effects or interactions were detected, Tukey post-hoc tests were conducted. Alpha was set at 0.05 for all studies and effect sizes are reported as  $\eta_p^2$ .

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Maternal vapor exposure affects body weight gain in neonatal offspring

The number of pups born to each dam was averaged within each treatment group and a one-way ANOVA revealed no significant differences between groups ( $F_{(2,17)} = 2.41, p = .12$ ). Individual body weights

were measured following USV testing on P6, P10, and P13. A two-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of treatment on each day (P6:  $F_{(2,76)} = 9.820, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.205$ ; P10:  $F_{(2,76)} = 20.209, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.347$ ; P13:  $F_{(2,76)} = 20.197, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.347$ ; Fig. 2B). On P6, AIR pups weighed more than CAN<sub>THC</sub> and VEH ( $p$ 's < 0.05,  $d$ 's = 0.70–1.11). On both P10 and P13, AIR pups again outweighed CAN<sub>THC</sub> and VEH neonates, and neonates exposed to CAN<sub>THC</sub> were also heavier than VEH pups ( $p$ 's < 0.05,  $d$ 's = 0.74–1.69).

#### 3.2. Prenatal vehicle vapor exposure decreases neonatal locomotor activity

The number of grids crossed by pups during USV testing on P6, P10, and P13 was determined. On P13 only, a two-way ANOVA found a main effect of treatment ( $F_{(2,76)} = 3.861, p = .025, \eta_p^2 = 0.092$ ; Fig. 2C), with neonate VEH pups crossing fewer grids than AIR pups during the USV session on P13 ( $p < .05, d = 0.73$ ).






#### 3.3. Prenatal cannabis exposure increases emotional reactivity during the neonatal period

USVs were recorded on P6, P10, and P13. A two-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of treatment on P6 only ( $F_{(2,76)} = 3.091, p = .051, \eta_p^2 = 0.075$ , Fig. 2D). Pups prenatally exposed to CAN<sub>THC</sub> made more total calls than VEH (but not AIR) neonates ( $p < .05, d = 0.71$ ). Upon further breakdown by number of each call type using a two-way ANOVA, a main effect of treatment was only found for frequency-modulated harmonic calls ( $F_{(2,76)} = 4.615, p = .013, \eta_p^2 = 0.108$ , Fig. 2E). CAN<sub>THC</sub> pups emitted more frequency-modulated harmonic calls on P6 than VEH neonates ( $p < .05, d = 0.84$ ). No main effects of sex or interactions were observed for any USV measure.

#### 3.4. Maternal vapor exposure alters social behavior in juvenile male and female offspring

Frequency and latency of play, social investigation, and asocial behaviors during social play sessions were determined. Two-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of treatment on frequency of all play behaviors ( $F_{(2,40)} = 5.830, p = .006, \eta_p^2 = 0.226$ ; Fig. 3B). Specifically, male and female juvenile rats exposed to CAN<sub>THC</sub> or VEH vapor *in utero* performed fewer total play behaviors compared to AIR controls ( $p$ 's < 0.05,  $d$ 's = 0.93–1.29). This difference may have been driven in part by AIR rats pinning and chasing playmates more than either CAN<sub>THC</sub> or VEH vapor-exposed rats (pin: main effect of treatment -  $F_{(2,40)} = 7.703, p = .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.278$  - Tukey's =  $p < .05, d$ 's = 1.13–1.17; chase: main effect of treatment -  $F_{(2,40)} = 5.773, p = .006, \eta_p^2 = 0.224$  - Tukey's =  $p < .05, d = 1.05$ ; Table 1). Additionally, CAN<sub>THC</sub> juveniles of both sexes pinned playmates more times than did VEH controls ( $p < .05, d = 0.03$ ). In addition to decreased frequency of play behaviors, a one-way ANOVA revealed an effect of treatment on latencies to first pin and wrestling (pin:  $F_{(2,24)} = 3.401, p = .05, \eta_p^2 = 0.221$ ; wrestling:  $F_{(2,35)} = 3.991, p = .027, \eta_p^2 = 0.186$ ; data not shown). Specifically, CAN<sub>THC</sub> rats initiated their first pin later than AIR controls, and VEH rats started wrestling later than AIR controls ( $p$ 's < 0.05,  $d$ 's = 0.89–0.98).

There was a significant treatment \* sex interaction for the composite social investigation frequency ( $F_{(2,40)} = 3.301, p = .047, \eta_p^2 = 0.142$ , Fig. 3C). Male (but not female) CAN<sub>THC</sub> rats performed fewer total social investigation behaviors during the session compared to male VEH rats ( $p < .05, d = 1.52$ ). One-way ANOVA also revealed a main effect of treatment on the latency to first body sniffing ( $F_{(2,43)} = 3.994, p = .026, \eta_p^2 = 0.157$ , data not shown). Specifically, CAN<sub>THC</sub> rats of both sexes showed an increased latency to begin body sniffing their playmates ( $p < .05, d = 1.47$ ). No significant differences between the total number of

**A.****B.****D.****C.****E.**

**Fig. 2. Gestational cannabis exposure is associated with altered body weight gain and emotional reactivity in neonate offspring.** (A) Schematic timeline of neonatal testing timepoints. (B) Scatter plot of individual body weights for cannabis vapor- (CAN<sub>THC</sub>; green dots), vehicle vapor- (VEH; gray dots), and no vapor- (AIR; white dots) exposed neonates on postnatal day (P) 6, P10, and P13. Average weights for each age and treatment group are as follows: P6: 12.99 g for AIR pups, 12.11 g for CAN<sub>THC</sub> pups, and 11.28 g for VEH pups; P10: 20.02 g for AIR pups, 18.77 g for CAN<sub>THC</sub> pups, and 16.78 g for VEH pups; P13: 25.17 g for AIR pups, 23.56 g for CAN<sub>THC</sub> pups, and 21.32 g for VEH pups. (C) Mean number of grid lines crossed by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR pups during ultrasonic vocalization (USV) testing on P6, P10, and P13. (D) Mean number of total USV calls during the 3-min session by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR neonates on P6, P10, and P13. (E) Mean number of frequency-modulated harmonic calls emitted by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR pups on P6. For all measures, the number of pups per treatment and sex were as follows: 36 AIR pups (17 males and 19 females), 25 CAN<sub>THC</sub> pups (13 males and 12 females), and 21 VEH pups (12 males and 9 females). In all graphs, male and female pups are graphed together in their treatment group. \* represents a significant difference between the indicated conditions. ^ represents a significant difference between CAN<sub>THC</sub> and AIR. % represents a significant difference between VEH and AIR. # represents a significant difference between CAN<sub>THC</sub> and VEH. Data points are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

individual social investigation behaviors were observed ( $p$ 's > 0.05).

Lastly, there was a main effect of treatment on the total number of asocial behaviors ( $F_{(2,40)} = 3.812, p = .031, \eta_p^2 = 0.160$ ; Fig. 3D). Specifically, VEH rats of both sexes engaged in fewer asocial behaviors than AIR controls during the play session ( $p < .05, d = 1.03$ ). No differences between the individual frequency of digging or self-grooming was noted between any groups ( $p$ 's > 0.05).

### 3.5. Prenatal vapor exposure does not alter anxiety-like behavior during the juvenile period

Behavior of juvenile rats in the EPM was tracked and used as an index of anxiety-like behavior. Two-way ANOVAs indicated no main effects of treatment, sex, or treatment \* sex interactions on percent time exploring the open or closed arms, number of open or closed arm entries, or the total distance traveled in the EPM (all  $p$ 's > 0.05, Fig. 3E–G).

### 3.6. Prenatal cannabis exposure increases anxiety-like behavior during adulthood








Separate cohorts of male and female rats were tested for anxiety-like behavior in the EPM during adulthood. A two-way ANOVA uncovered a main effect of treatment on the percent of time spent exploring the open arms ( $F_{(2,46)} = 3.843, p = .029, \eta_p^2 = 0.143$ , Fig. 4B) and the number of open arm entries in adult offspring ( $F_{(2,46)} = 6.738, p = .003, \eta_p^2 = 0.227$ , Fig. 4C). Specifically, CAN<sub>THC</sub> rats of both sexes spent less time exploring the open arms of the EPM and made fewer open arm entries than AIR controls ( $p$ 's ≤ 0.05,  $d$ 's = 0.81–1.24). There was no effect of treatment or sex on the total distance traveled in the EPM ( $p$  > 0.05).

### 3.7. Prenatal cannabis exposure dose-dependently impairs behavioral flexibility in adulthood

A cohort of rats that did not undergo tests of emotional reactivity or social behavior were tested in the attentional set-shifting task to evaluate effects of prenatal vapor exposure on behavioral flexibility in adulthood. There was no effect of treatment or sex on the acquisition of the initial visual cue discrimination strategy ( $p > .05$ ). However, there was a marginally significant effect of treatment on the number of trials required to meet criterion during the set-shifting task ( $F_{(3,78)} = 2.620, p = .056, \eta_p^2 = 0.092$ , Fig. 5C). *A priori* post-hoc analyses comparing task performance in CAN<sub>THC</sub>-exposed rats to VEH and AIR controls revealed that rats exposed to 400 mg/ml (but not 50 mg/ml) CAN<sub>THC</sub> required significantly more trials to reach criterion than AIR controls ( $p < .05, d = 0.72$ ). When error types were examined, two-way ANOVAs revealed a significant main effect of treatment on the number of regressive errors ( $F_{(3,78)} = 3.240, p = .026, \eta_p^2 = 0.111$ , Fig. 5E) and never-reinforced errors ( $F_{(3,78)} = 3.290, p = .025, \eta_p^2 = 0.112$ , Fig. 5F) committed, with CAN<sub>THC</sub>-exposed rats (400 mg/ml) committing more regressive and never-reinforced errors than AIR controls ( $p$ 's < 0.05,  $d$ 's = 0.82–1.74). No main effects of treatment, sex, or treatment \* sex interactions were found for perseverative errors ( $p > .05$ , Fig. 5D). There was no effect of treatment or sex on performance in the reversal learning phase or the frequency of error subtypes committed during the reversal task (all  $p$ 's > 0.05).

## 4. Discussion

We employed a novel, translationally relevant model of maternal


**A.****B.****C.****D.****E.****F.****G.**

**Fig. 3.** Prenatal cannabis exposure is linked to alterations in social behavior in juvenile rats. (A) Schematic timeline of juvenile behavioral testing. Mean frequency of total (B) play behaviors (i.e. dorsal contact, pinning, pouncing, following, evading, wrestling), (C) social investigation behaviors (i.e., body sniffing, anogenital sniffing, social grooming), and (D) asocial behaviors (i.e. digging and self-grooming) exhibited by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR exposed rats during the social play session. For social play, the number of rats per treatment and sex were as follows: 14 AIR rats (7 males and 7 females), 14 CAN<sub>THC</sub> rats (7 males and 7 females), and 18 VEH rats (11 males and 7 females). (E) Mean percent of time spent on the open arms of the elevated plus maze (EPM) in CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR juvenile offspring. (F) Mean number of open arm entries made by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR juveniles on the EPM. (G) Mean distance traveled in cm by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR juveniles on the EPM. For EPM, the number of rats per treatment and sex were as follows: 14 AIR rats (7 males and 6 females), 14 CAN<sub>THC</sub> rats (7 males and 7 females), and 18 VEH rats (11 males and 7 females). Due to falling off the maze mid-task, the data from one AIR female pup was eliminated. \* represents a significant difference between the indicated treatment groups. On the bar graphs, bars with no patterns represent males and bars with a checkered pattern represent females. NS stands for nonsignificant. Data points are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM.

cannabis vapor exposure to interrogate the effects of prenatal cannabis exposure on emotional, social, and cognitive endpoints in male and female offspring during early development and into adulthood. Our results indicate that cannabis-exposed offspring made more isolation-induced USVs on P6 relative to VEH-exposed offspring, which is indicative of increased emotional reactivity. Furthermore, we show that male (but not female) cannabis-exposed offspring engaged in fewer social investigation behaviors than VEH-exposed male offspring on P26. In adulthood, cannabis-exposed offspring spent less time exploring the open arms of the EPM and exhibited dose-dependent deficits in set-shifting performance relative to AIR controls, which may indicate long-lasting effects of prenatal cannabis exposure on anxiety-like behavior and behavioral flexibility, respectively. These data support the feasibility of the maternal cannabis vapor model and suggest that prenatal cannabis exposure may elicit enduring behavioral effects in offspring.

#### 4.1. Passive vapor delivery produces lower birthweight irrespective of cannabis exposure

Perhaps one of the most well-established consequences of maternal cannabis use in human populations is lower birth weights in exposed offspring (Gunn et al., 2016). However, animal studies that have used injections of isolated THC or synthetic cannabinoids have failed to observe alterations in neonatal birth weights or body weight gain (Antonelli et al., 2005; Bara et al., 2018; Manduca et al., 2020; Newson and Kelly, 2008; Trezza et al., 2008). Our results indicate that twice daily cannabis vapor exposure during pregnancy is associated with decreased body weight gain compared to AIR controls. However, decreased body weight gain was also observed in VEH-exposed offspring, which suggests that this effect may not be caused by cannabis exposure *per se*, but rather by the stress associated with passive vapor delivery. Accordingly, studies in humans have linked prenatal



**Fig. 4.** Prenatal cannabis exposure is linked to increased anxiety-like behavior in adulthood. (A) Schematic timeline of adult elevated plus maze (EPM) testing. (B) Mean percent of time that CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR adults spent on the open arms of the EPM. (C) Mean number of open arm entries made by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR rats on the EPM. (D) Mean percent of time that CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR adults spent on the closed arms of the EPM. (E) Mean distance traveled in cm by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR adults on the EPM. For EPM, the number of rats per treatment and sex were as follows: 19 AIR rats (9 males and 10 females), 23 CAN<sub>THC</sub> rats (12 males and 11 females), and 10 VEH rats (6 males and 4 females). \* represents a significant difference between the indicated treatment groups. On the bar graphs, bars with no patterns represent males and bars with a checkered pattern represent females. Data points are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM.







stress to low birth weight (Lima et al., 2018). Surprisingly, cannabis-exposed offspring in our study weighed significantly more than VEH-exposed offspring on P10 and P13, which could indicate that cannabis exposure partially mitigates the effects of vapor-related stress on neonatal body weight gain. These results underscore the need to include both VEH- and AIR-exposed control groups when employing forced vapor delivery regimens.

#### 4.2. Prenatal cannabis exposure alters emotionality in a sex- and age-dependent manner

In this study, we found that cannabis-exposed neonates emitted more USVs than VEH control pups on P6, which indicates that they are more emotionally reactive to isolation from the nest and littermates. These data are supported by a handful of human studies that have indicated effects of maternal cannabis use during pregnancy on the emotional reactivity of infants (De Moraes Barros et al., 2006; Fried and Makin, 1987; Lester and Dreher, 1989). While no other study to date has tested cannabinoid-exposed pups at P6, another study conducted by Trezza et al. (2008) similarly documented an increase in the frequency of USVs emitted in THC-exposed offspring at P12. However, other studies have found decreased USVs in pups exposed to the synthetic cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2 (Antonelli et al., 2005; Manduca et al., 2020). It is important to note that, in addition to their use of a synthetic cannabinoid, these studies only recorded calls for the first 15 s of isolation in P10 pups, which may partially explain the differences between their findings and ours. Additionally, we found that cannabis-exposed pups emitted more frequency-modulated harmonic calls on P6 than VEH-exposed offspring. Frequency modulation is a particularly salient characteristic of pup calls that increases the likelihood of being noticed by the dam and assists with pup localization (Brudzynski et al., 1999). Thus, the increased frequency

of USVs, particularly of the frequency-modulated harmonic subtype, observed in cannabis-exposed offspring may be advantageous in that it would increase the likelihood that the pup would be retrieved by the dam in the event of separation from the litter.

In humans, prenatal cannabis exposure has been linked to increased symptoms of anxiety and depression in preadolescence (Leech et al., 2006). However, we did not find any significant group differences in juvenile rats tested in the EPM, which is congruent with recent studies in juvenile rats from dams that were subcutaneously injected with THC during gestation (Frau et al., 2019). While these preclinical findings appear to diverge from the human literature, it should be noted that the EPM test only measures a particular facet of unconditioned anxiety-like behavior in rats, specifically that induced by a novel, anxiogenic environment (Walf and Frye, 2013). Moreover, behavior in the EPM can have multiple interpretations beyond anxiety, such as a difference in risk-taking behavior. Thus, the EPM test may not truly capture the domain of anxiety that is experienced in preadolescent human offspring. Unfortunately, to our knowledge, no human studies have examined whether this anxiety phenotype observed in cannabis-exposed offspring extends into adulthood. In contrast to the lack of effect observed during the juvenile period, we unexpectedly found that a separate cohort of male and female rats exposed to cannabis vapor *in utero* made fewer open arm entries and spent less time exploring the open arms of the EPM compared to AIR controls, which may be indicative of increased anxiety-like behavior. Notably, an anxiogenic phenotype has been reported twice before in animal models of prenatal cannabinoid exposure; once using the EPM and another using the open field task (Newson and Kelly, 2008; Trezza et al., 2008). However, two other studies have indicated no differences in EPM behaviors (Bara et al., 2018; Manduca et al., 2020), which could be due to differences in the drug employed, route of administration, and/or the maternal exposure regimen

**A.****B.****C.****D.****E.****F.**

employed.

#### 4.3. Prenatal cannabis exposure is associated with altered juvenile social play behavior

Our data indicate that cannabis-exposed juvenile offspring engaged in less social play behavior and exhibited decreased social investigation, which may be indicative of increased social anxiety during this period. Specifically, cannabis-exposed rats performed fewer play behaviors and pinned playmates later in the session than AIR controls. A decrease in social play behavior has been reported previously in THC-exposed offspring (Trezza et al., 2008), whereas others have reported no differences (Manduca et al., 2020). Our data also indicate that male (but not female) cannabis-exposed offspring engaged in fewer social investigation behaviors than male AIR controls. However, this is somewhat inconsistent with previous rodent studies that have instead found no effects of prenatal cannabinoid exposure on social investigation (Manduca et al., 2020; Trezza et al., 2008). Importantly, the methodology and strategy for quantifying social investigation differed from ours, which may help to explain differences across studies. It is also important to note that social behaviors in VEH-exposed juveniles were also decreased

**Fig. 5. Prenatal cannabis exposure is associated with impaired behavioral flexibility in adulthood.** (A) Schematic timeline of adulthood operant training and behavioral flexibility testing. (B) Schematic diagram of the sequence of the different training and testing phases (adapted from Brady and Floresco, 2015). (C) Mean number of trials completed by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR rats to reach criterion on the set shifting task. (D) Mean number of perseverative errors made by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR rats on the set shifting task. (E) Mean number of regressive errors made by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR rats on the set shifting task. (F) Mean number of never reinforced errors made by CAN<sub>THC</sub>, VEH, and AIR rats on the set shifting task. For behavioral flexibility, the number of rats per treatment per sex were as follows: 29 AIR rats (12 males and 17 females), 9 CAN<sub>50</sub> rats (6 males and 3 females), 21 CAN<sub>400</sub> rats (12 males and 9 females), and 25 VEH rats (15 males and 10 females). \* represents a significant difference between the indicated treatment groups. On the bar graphs, bars with no patterns represent males and bars with a checkered pattern represent females. Data points are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM.

compared to AIR controls in our study. Thus, the putative effects of passive vapor delivery may also contribute to this social anxiety phenotype in a manner that is independent of the effects of cannabis. We unexpectedly found that AIR pups engaged in more asocial behaviors (i.e., self-grooming), which would be indicative of a more socially anxious phenotype. However, these bouts of self-grooming typically followed instances of play behavior, which were generally less frequent in vapor-exposed offspring. Therefore, the increase in self-grooming in AIR controls relative to vapor-exposed offspring was likely a byproduct of the increased frequency of play behavior in these rats.

#### 4.4. Prenatal cannabis exposure is linked to behavioral flexibility deficits in offspring

Our data indicate that the effects of prenatal cannabis exposure also extend to domains of executive functioning. Specifically, cannabis exposed offspring exhibited dose-dependent impairment in set-shifting performance in adulthood relative to AIR-exposed offspring. Importantly, these deficits are selective to flexible responding that requires an extradimensional strategy shift, since no differences were observed during the reversal learning component that required an

intradimensional shift. Further analysis revealed that this flexibility impairment was due to an increase in the frequency of regressive and never-reinforced errors committed. A large body of research has shown that the mesocorticolimbic dopamiine circuit is critical for optimal set-shifting performance in behavioral flexibility tasks (Darvas and Palmiter, 2011; Floresco, 2013; Ragozzino, 2002). Accordingly, developmental cannabinoid exposure has been repeatedly shown to alter the ontogeny of dopamine neurons (Bonnin et al., 1994, 1995, 1996; Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 1991, 1992) and cause augmented dopaminergic activity in humans and laboratory animals (DiNieri et al., 2011; Frau et al., 2019; Rodríguez de Fonseca et al., 1990, 1992). Interestingly, similar increases in regressive and never-reinforced errors have been observed in a set-shifting task following local inactivation of the nucleus accumbens core (Floresco et al., 2006). Moreover, perinatal cannabinoid exposure via maternal subcutaneous injections (Bara et al., 2018) or via breastmilk (Scheyer et al., 2019a) causes significant impairments in endocannabinoid-mediated plasticity in the medial prefrontal cortex of exposed offspring. Thus, the observed deficits in set-shifting performance in the current study could be due to cannabis-induced aberrations in corticostriatal dopaminergic functioning. Notably, since we used a cannabis extract containing other phytocannabinoids in addition to THC, it remains unknown whether alterations in CB1R-dependent signaling are directly responsible for this behavioral phenotype. Future studies are needed to systematically evaluate the effects of prenatal cannabis exposure on endocannabinoid signaling within the mesocorticolimbic circuit and its precise contribution to the behavioral deficits observed herein.

#### 4.5. Limitations and considerations for future studies

Although our approach has multiple strengths, there are some notable limitations that influence the interpretability of our results. Since we did not collect blood from dams following cannabis exposure, it is not possible to compare the amount of THC exposure to cannabis-using mothers. Our doses of cannabis extracts were chosen based on data from our lab collected in female rats (unpublished observations) and a previous study employing passive delivery of the same extract in male rats (Nguyen et al., 2016). However, cross-species comparisons are further complicated by recent studies indicating that women modify their cannabis use patterns during pregnancy, including their frequency of use (Alshaarawy and Anthony, 2019) and what products they use (Young-Wolff et al., 2020). Nonetheless, future studies should include a measure of THC in pregnant dams to ensure that THC and metabolite levels are within the range of human users.

Additionally, since we used a cross-fostering approach in an attempt to minimize potential differences in maternal care, there remains a possibility that residual cannabinoids could be present in the breast milk, which could confound data interpretation. However, this is unlikely given that THC vapor inhalation produces a rapid peak of plasma and brain THC levels that is quickly metabolized in rats (Baglot et al. under review). This rapid peak and clearance of THC reduces the likelihood that there are still biologically relevant levels of THC in the breast milk at the time of cross-fostering, which was approximately 72–96 h after the last vapor session.

Maternal nutrition and care are often notable confounding factors in studies of human cannabis-exposed offspring. Although we report no group differences in maternal weight gain or litter weights at birth, it is important to note that we did not directly measure maternal food intake during pregnancy and we did not quantify maternal care, each of which could have influenced our endpoints of interest. These are important considerations and as such, future studies should systematically measure food intake and maternal behavior, and/or consider including a pair-fed group that receives the same vapor exposure regimen to control for these potential effects.

Finally, litter effects are often a concern when interpreting neurodevelopmental studies that use animal models (Wainwright, 1998; Lazic

and Essioux, 2013). We used cross-fostering and 2 pups/sex from each litter where possible in our study instead of the gold standard of 1 pup/sex (Festing, 2006), which reduces (but does not entirely eliminate) the likelihood that pups from a particular litter are driving treatment effects. This is unlikely however, since comparisons of pups between litters within each treatment group revealed no significant differences on any endpoints of interest. Moreover, since we cross-fostered pups to other experimental dams, this complicates grouping of pups by litter (i.e., our behaviors of interest may have been influenced by the dam and litter they were reared with), we analyzed data using each pup as the experimental unit rather than the litter. Future studies should be designed to ensure that litter effects are fully accounted for by including only one pup per sex from each litter and using experimentally naïve surrogate dams.

#### 4.6. Summary and conclusions

In summary, this study supports the feasibility of using a maternal cannabis vapor delivery regimen to assess emotional, social, and cognitive outcomes across early development and into adulthood. Our findings indicate long-lasting alterations in emotional reactivity, social play behavior, and behavioral flexibility following prenatal cannabis exposure that extend into adulthood. Our hope is that the knowledge generated from these studies will provide critical information to women and health care professionals about the potential long-term consequences of prenatal cannabis exposure.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Halle V. Weimar:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **Hayden R. Wright:** Investigation. **Collin R. Warrick:** Investigation. **Amanda M. Brown:** Investigation. **Janelle M. Lugo:** Investigation. **Timothy G. Freels:** Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing - original draft. **Ryan J. McLaughlin:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Visualization, Writing - review & editing.

#### Acknowledgement

Support for this research was provided by the Washington State University Alcohol and Drug Abuse Research Program (132628) to RJM.

#### References

- Alshaarawy, O., Anthony, J.C., 2019. Cannabis use among women of reproductive age in the United States: 2002-2017. *Addict. Beyond Behav.* 99, 106082. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2019.106082>.
- Antonelli, T., Tomasini, M.C., Tattoli, M., Cassano, T., Tanganeli, S., Finetti, S., Mazzoni, E., Trabace, L., Steardo, L., Cuomo, V., Ferraro, L., 2005. Prenatal exposure to the CB1 receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 causes learning disruption associated with impaired cortical NMDA receptor function and emotional reactivity changes in rat offspring. *Cerebr. Cortex* 15, 2013–2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhi076>.
- Bailey, J.R., Cunny, H.C., Paule, M.G., Slikker Jr., W., 1987. Fetal disposition of delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) during late pregnancy in the rhesus monkey. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 90, 315–321. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-008x\(87\)90338-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-008x(87)90338-3).
- Bara, A., Manduca, A., Bernabeu, A., Borsoi, M., Serviado, M., Lassalle, O., Murphy, M., Wager-Miller, J., Mackie, K., Pelissier-Alicot, A.L., Trezza, V., Manzoni, O.J., 2018. *eLife* 7, e36234. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36234>.
- Berger, A.L., Henricks, A.M., Lugo, J.M., Wright, H.R., Warrick, C.R., Sticht, M.A., Morena, M., Bonilla, I., Laredo, S.A., Craft, R.M., Parsons, L.H., Grandes, P.R., Hillard, C.J., Hill, M.N., McLaughlin, R.J., 2018. The lateral habenula directs coping styles under conditions of stress via recruitment of the endocannabinoid system. *Biol. Psychiatr.* 84, 611–623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.04.018>.
- Bonnin, A., de Miguel, R., Rodríguez-Manzaneque, J.C., Fernández-Ruiz, J.J., Santos, A., Ramos, J.A., 1994. Changes in tyrosine hydroxylase gene expression in mesencephalic catecholaminergic neurons of immature and adult male rats perinatally exposed to cannabinoids. *Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res.* 81, 147–150. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-3806\(94\)90079-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-3806(94)90079-5).
- Bonnin, A., de Miguel, R., Hernández, M.L., Ramos, J.A., Fernández-Ruiz, J.J., 1995. The prenatal exposure to delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol affects the gene expression and the activity of tyrosine hydroxylase during early brain development. *Life Sci.* 56, 2177–2184. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205\(95\)00205-k](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(95)00205-k).

- Bonnin, A., de Miguel, R., Castro, J.G., Ramos, J.A., Fernandez-Ruiz, J.J., 1996. Effects of perinatal exposure to delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol on the fetal and early postnatal development of tyrosine hydroxylase-containing neurons in rat brain. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 7, 291–308. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02737066>.
- Brady, A.M., Floresco, S.B., 2015. Operant procedures for assessing behavioral flexibility in rats. *JoVE* 96, e52387. <https://doi.org/10.3791/52387>.
- Brudzynski, S.M., Kehoe, P., Callahan, M., 1999. Sonographic structure of isolation-induced ultrasonic calls of rat pups. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 34, 195–204. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2302\(199904\)34:3<195::AID-DEV4>3.0.CO;2-S](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2302(199904)34:3<195::AID-DEV4>3.0.CO;2-S).
- Campolongo, P., Trezza, V., Ratano, P., Palmery, M., Cuomo, V., 2011. Developmental consequences of perinatal cannabis exposure: behavioral and neuroendocrine effects in adult rodents. *Psychopharmacology* 214, 5–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-010-1892-x>.
- Coffey, K.R., Marx, R.G., Neumaier, J.F., 2019. DeepSqueak: a deep learning-based system for detection and analysis of ultrasonic vocalizations. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 44, 859–868. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018-03036>.
- Corsi, D.J., Hsu, H., Weiss, D., Fell, D.B., Walker, M., 2019. Trends and correlates of cannabis use in pregnancy: a population-based study in Ontario, Canada from 2012 to 2017. *Can. J. Public Health* 110 (1), 76–84. <https://doi.org/10.17269/s41997-018-0148-0>.
- Darvas, M., Palmiter, R.D., 2011. Contributions of striatal dopamine signaling to the modulation of cognitive flexibility. *Biol. Psychiatr.* 69, 704–707. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.09.033>.
- De Moraes Barros, M.C., Guinsburg, R., de Araújo Peres, C., Mitsuhiro, S., Chalem, E., Laranjeira, R.R., 2006. Exposure to marijuana during pregnancy alters neurobehavior in the early neonatal period. *J. Pediatr.* 149, 781–787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.08.046>.
- Dickson, B., Mansfield, C., Guihais, M., Allshouse, A.A., Borgelt, L.M., Sheeder, J., Silver, R.M., Metz, T.D., 2018. Recommendations from cannabis dispensaries about first-trimester cannabis use. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 131, 1031–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000002619>.
- DiNieri, J.A., Wang, X., Szutorisz, H., Spano, S.M., Kaur, J., Casaccia, P., Dow-Edwards, D., Hurd, Y.L., 2011. Maternal cannabis use alters ventral striatal dopamine D2 gene regulation in the offspring. *Biol. Psychiatr.* 70, 763–769. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.06.027>.
- El Marroun, H., Tiemeier, H., Jaddoe, V.W., Hofman, A., Mackenbach, J.P., Steegers, E.A., et al., 2008. Demographic, emotional and social determinants of cannabis use in early pregnancy: the Generation R study. *Drug. Alcohol Depend.* 98 (3), 218–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2008.05.010>.
- Festing, M.F., 2006. Design and statistical methods in studies using animal models of development. *ILAR J.* 47 (1), 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ilar.47.1.5>.
- Floresco, S.B., 2013. Prefrontal dopamine and behavioral flexibility: shifting from an “inverted-u” toward a family of functions. *Front. Neurosci.* 7, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2013.00062>.
- Floresco, S.B., Ghods-Sharifi, S., Vexelman, C., Magyar, O., 2006. Dissociable roles for the nucleus accumbens core and shell in regulating set shifting. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 2449–2457. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4431-05.2006>.
- Floresco, S.B., Block, A.E., Tse, M.T., 2008. Inactivation of the medial prefrontal cortex of the rat impairs strategy set-shifting, but not reversal learning, using a novel, automated procedure. *Behav. Brain Res.* 190, 85–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2008.02.008>.
- Frau, R., Mitzán, V., Traccis, F., Aroni, S., Pongor, C.I., Saba, P., Serra, V., Sagheddu, C., Fanni, S., Congiu, M., Devoto, P., Cheer, J.F., Katona, I., Melis, M., 2019. Prenatal THC exposure produces a hyperdopaminergic phenotype rescued by pregnenolone. *Nat. Neurosci.* 22, 1975–1985. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0512-2>.
- Freels, T.G., Baxter-Potter, L.N., Lugo, J.M., Glodosky, N.C., Wright, H.R., Baglot, S.L., Petrie, G.N., Zhihao, Y., Clowers, B.H., Cuttler, C., Fuchs, R.A., Hill, M.N., McLaughlin, R.J., 2020. Vaporized cannabis extracts have reinforcing properties and support conditioned drug-seeking behavior in rats. *J. Neurosci.* 40, 1897–1908. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2416-19.2020>.
- Fried, P.A., Makin, J.E., 1987. Neonatal behavioural correlates of prenatal exposure to marihuana, cigarettes and alcohol in a low risk population. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 9, 1–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0892-0362\(87\)90062-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0892-0362(87)90062-6).
- Fried, P.A., Watkinson, B., 1990. 36- and 48-month neurobehavioral follow-up of children prenatally exposed to marijuana, cigarettes, and alcohol. *J. Dev. Behav. Pediatr.* 11, 49–58. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004703-199004000-00003>.
- Fried, P.A., Watkinson, B., 2001. Differential effects on facets of attention in adolescents prenatally exposed to cigarettes and marijuana. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 23, 421–430. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0892-0362\(01\)00160-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0892-0362(01)00160-x).
- Fried, P.A., Watkinson, B., Gray, R., 1998. Differential effects on cognitive functioning in 9- to 12-year olds prenatally exposed to cigarettes and marijuana. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 20, 293–306. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0892-0362\(97\)00091-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0892-0362(97)00091-3).
- Fried, P.A., Watkinson, B., Gray, R., 2003. Differential effects on cognitive functioning in 13- and 16-year-olds prenatally exposed to cigarettes and marijuana. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 25, 427–436. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0892-0362\(03\)00029-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0892-0362(03)00029-1).
- Gunn, J.K., Rosales, C.B., Center, K.E., Nuñez, A., Gibson, S.J., Christ, C., Ehiri, J.E., 2016. Prenatal exposure to cannabis and maternal and child health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 6, e009986. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjjopen-2015-009986>.
- Hofer, M.A., Shair, H.N., Brunelli, S.A., 2001. Ultrasonic vocalizations in rat and mouse pups. *Curr. Protoc. Neurosci.* 17 <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142301.ns0814s17, 8.14.1-8.14.16>.
- Holman, P.J., Baglot, S.L., Morgan, E., Weinberg, J., 2019. Effects of prenatal alcohol exposure on social competence: asymmetry in play partner preference among heterogeneous triads of male and female rats. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 61, 513–524. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21842>.
- Jaques, S.C., Kingsbury, A., Henschke, P., Chomchai, C., Clews, S., Falconer, J., Abdel-Latif, M.E., Feller, J.M., Oei, J.L., 2014. Cannabis, the pregnant woman and her child: weeding out the myths. *Perinatol* 34 (6), 417–424. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2013.180>.
- Javadi-Paydar, M., Nguyen, J.D., Kerr, T.M., Grant, Y., Vandewater, S.A., Cole, M., Taffe, M.A., 2018. Effects of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC and cannabidiol vapor inhalation in male and female rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 235, 2541–2557. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-018-4946-0>.
- Ko, J.Y., Farr, S.L., Tong, V.T., Creanga, A.A., Callaghan, W.M., 2015. Prevalence and patterns of marijuana use among pregnant and nonpregnant women of reproductive age. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 213 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2015.03.021>, 201.e1–201.e10.
- Laprairie, R.B., Bagheri, A.M., Kelly, M.E., Dupré, D.J., Denovan-Wright, E.M., 2014. Type 1 cannabinoid receptor ligands display functional selectivity in a cell culture model of striatal medium spiny projection neurons. *J. Biol. Chem.* 289, 24845–24862. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.557025>.
- Laprairie, R.B., Bagheri, A.M., Kelly, M.E., Denovan-Wright, E.M., 2016. Biased type 1 cannabinoid receptor signaling influences neuronal viability in a cell culture model of Huntington Disease. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 89, 364–375. <https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.115.101980>.
- Lazic, S.E., Essioux, L., 2013. Improving basic and translational science by accounting for litter-to-litter variation in animal models. *BMC Neurosci.* 22, 14–37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-14-37>.
- Leech, S.L., Larkby, C.A., Day, R., Day, N.L., 2006. Predictors and correlates of high levels of depression and anxiety symptoms among children at age 10. *Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 45, 223–230. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.chi.0000184930.18552.4d>.
- Lester, B.M., Dreher, M., 1989. Effects of marijuana use during pregnancy on newborn cry. *Child Dev.* 60, 765–771. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1131016>.
- Lima, S.A.M., El Dib, R.P., Rodrigues, M.R.K., Ferraz, G.A.R., Molina, A.C., Neto, C.A.P., de Lima, M.A.F., Rudge, M.V.C., 2018. Is the risk of low birth weight or preterm labor greater when maternal stress is experienced during pregnancy? A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *PLoS One* 13, e0200594. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200594>.
- Lozano, J., García-Algar, O., Marchesi, E., Vall, O., Monleon, T., Giovannandrea, R.D., Pichini, S., 2007. Prevalence of gestational exposure to cannabis in a Mediterranean city by meconium analysis. *Acta Paediatr.* 96 (12), 1734–1737. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2007.00535.x>.
- Manduca, A., Servadio, M., Melancia, F., Schiavi, S., Mazoni, O.J., Trezza, V., 2020. Sex-specific behavioural deficits induced at early life by prenatal exposure to the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN55, 212-2 depend on mGlu5 receptor signalling. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 177, 449–463. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14879>.
- McLaughlin, R.J., 2018. Towards a translationally relevant preclinical model of cannabis use. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 43, 213–231. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2017.191>.
- Metz, T.D., Allshouse, A.A., Hogue, C.J., Goldenberg, R.L., Dudley, D.J., Varner, M.W., Conway, D.L., Saade, G.R., Silver, R.M., 2017. Maternal marijuana use, adverse pregnancy outcomes, and neonatal morbidity. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 217 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2017.05.050>, 478.e1–478.e38.
- Morales, P., Hurst, D.P., Reggio, P.H., 2017. Molecular targets of the phytocannabinoids: a complex picture. *Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod.* 103, 103–131. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45541-9\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45541-9_4).
- Navarro, M., Rodríguez de Fonseca, F., Hernández, M.L., Ramos, J.A., Fernández-Ruiz, J., 1994. Motor behavior and nigrostriatal dopaminergic activity in adult rats peripherally exposed to cannabinoids. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 47, 47–58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-3057\(94\)90110-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-3057(94)90110-4).
- Navarro, M., de Miguel, R., Rodríguez de Fonseca, F., Ramos, J.A., Fernández-Ruiz, J.J., 1996. Perinatal cannabinoid exposure modifies the sociosexual approach behavior and the mesolimbic dopaminergic activity of adult male rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 75, 91–98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-4328\(96\)00176-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-4328(96)00176-3).
- Newson, R.J., Kelly, S.J., 2008. Perinatal delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol exposure disrupts social and open field behavior in adult male rats. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2007.12.007>, 30, 213–219.
- Nguyen, J.D., Aarde, S.M., Vandewater, S.A., Grant, Y., Stouffer, D.G., Parsons, L.H., Cole, M., Taffe, M.A., 2016. Inhaled delivery of Δ<sup>9</sup>-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) to rats by e-cigarette vapor technology. *Neuropharmacology* 109, 112–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2016.05.021>.
- Qato, D.M., Zhang, C., Gandhi, A.B., Simoni-Wastila, L., Coleman-Cowger, V.H., 2020. Co-use of alcohol, tobacco, and licit and illicit controlled substances among pregnant and non-pregnant women in the United States: findings from 2006 to 2014 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) data. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 206, 107729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.107729>.
- Ragozzino, M.E., 2002. The effects of dopamine D<sub>1</sub> receptor blockade in the prelimbic-infralimbic areas on behavioral flexibility. *Learn. Mem.* 9, 18–28. <https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.45802>.
- Richardson, G.A., Ryan, C., Willford, J., Day, N.L., Goldschmidt, L., 2002. Prenatal alcohol and marijuana exposure: effects on neuropsychological outcomes at 10 years. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 24, 309–320. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0892-0362\(02\)00193-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0892-0362(02)00193-9).
- Roberson, E.K., Patrick, W.K., Hurwitz, H.L., 2014. Marijuana use and maternal experiences of severe nausea during pregnancy in Hawai'i. *Hawai'i J. Med. Public Health* 73, 283–287.
- Rodríguez de Fonseca, F., Cebeira, M., Hernández, M.L., Ramos, J.A., Fernández-Ruiz, J., 1990. Changes in brain dopaminergic indices induced by perinatal exposure to

- cannabinoids in rats. *Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res.* 51, 237–240. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-3806\(90\)90280-c](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-3806(90)90280-c).
- Rodríguez de Fonseca, F., Cebeira, M., Fernández-Ruiz, J.J., Navarro, M., Ramos, J.A., 1991. Effects of pre- and perinatal exposure to hashish extracts on the ontogeny of brain dopaminergic neurons. *Neuroscience* 43, 713–723. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522\(91\)90329-m](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(91)90329-m).
- Rodríguez de Fonseca, F., Hernández, M.L., de Miguel, R., Fernández-Ruiz, J.J., Ramos, J.A., 1992. Early changes in the development of dopaminergic neurotransmission after maternal exposure to cannabinoids. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 41, 469–474. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-3057\(92\)90359-n](https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-3057(92)90359-n).
- Russo, E.B., 2011. Taming THC: potential cannabis synergy and phytocannabinoid-terpenoid entourage effects. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 163, 1344–1364. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01238.x>.
- Scheyer, A.F., Borsoi, M., Wager-Miller, J., Pelissier-Alicot, A.L., Murphy, M.N., Mackie, K., Manzoni, O.J.J., 2019a. Cannabinoid exposure via lactation in rats disrupts perinatal programming of the gamma-aminobutyric acid trajectory and select early-life behaviors. *Biol. Psychiatr.* S0006-3223 (19), 31662-2.
- Scheyer, A.F., Melis, M., Trezza, V., Manzoni, O.J., 2019b. Consequences of perinatal cannabis exposure. *Trends Neurosci.* 42, 871–884. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2019.08.010>.
- Sharapova, S.R., Phillips, E., Sirocco, K., Kaminski, J.W., Leeb, R.T., Rolle, I., 2018. Effects of prenatal marijuana exposure on neuropsychological outcomes in children aged 1–11 years: a systematic review. *Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol.* 32, 512–532. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppe.12505>.
- Smith, A.M., Mioduszewski, O., Hatchard, T., Byron-Alhassan, A., Fall, C., Fried, P.A., 2016. Prenatal marijuana exposure impacts executive functioning into young adulthood: an fMRI study. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 58, 53–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2016.05.010>.
- Spano, M.S., Ellgren, M., Wang, X., Hurd, Y.L., 2007. Prenatal cannabis exposure increases heroin seeking with allostatic changes in limbic enkephalin systems in adulthood. *Biol. Psychiatr.* 61, 554–563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.03.073>.
- Trezza, V., Campolongo, P., Cassano, T., Macheda, T., Dipasquale, P., Carratù, M.R., Gaetani, S., Cuomo, V., 2008. Effects of perinatal exposure to delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol on the emotional reactivity of offspring: a longitudinal behavioral study in Wistar rats. *Psychopharmacology* 198, 529–537. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-008-1162-3>.
- Turner, S.E., Williams, C.M., Iversen, L., Whalley, B.J., 2017. Molecular pharmacology of phytocannabinoids. *Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod.* 103, 61–101. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45541-9\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45541-9_3).
- Volkow, N.D., Han, B., Compton, W.M., McCance-Katz, E.F., 2019. Self-reported medical and nonmedical cannabis use among pregnant women in the United States. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 322, 167–169. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.7982>.
- Wainwright, P.E., 1998. Issues of design and analysis relating to the use of multiparous species in developmental nutritional studies. *J. Nutr.* 128 (3), 661–663. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/128.3.661>.
- Walf, A.A., Frye, C.A., 2007. The use of the elevated plus maze as an assay of anxiety-related behavior in rodents. *Nat. Protoc.* 2, 322–328. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.44>.
- Wang, X., Dow-Edwards, D., Anderson, V., Minkoff, H., Hurd, Y.L., 2004. In utero marijuana exposure associated with abnormal amygdala dopamine D2 gene expression in the human fetus. *Biol. Psychiatr.* 56, 909–915. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.10.015>.
- Wen, H., Hockenberry, J.M., Druss, B.G., 2019. The effect of medical marijuana laws on marijuana-related attitudes and perception among US adolescents and young adults. *Prev. Sci.* 20, 215–223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-018-0903-8>.
- Westfall, R.E., Janssen, P.A., Lucas, P., Capler, R., 2006. Survey of medicinal cannabis use among childbearing women: patterns of its use in pregnancy and retroactive self-assessment of its efficacy against ‘morning sickness.’ *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* 12, 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2005.09.006>.
- Young-Wolff, K.C., Adams, S.R., Wi, S., Weisner, C., Conway, A., 2020. Routes of cannabis administration among females in the year before and during pregnancy: results from a pilot project. *Addict. Behav.* 100, 106125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2019.106125>.