Assessment for tourism and walrus - show to Varvara for the comments and checks
AECO guidelines mention in a description of the "certain restrictions"
Provide a brief description of each human use and show what threats/impacts are associated with it. Provide description of how each taxa group relates to this use.
Note the issue of scale - for some CFs result of the assessment will be different - less strict ones more fine data is considered, e.g. summer habitat of X - is 2 but once the concentration areas of X are identified, it can be moved to 1, and 2 will stay just for these concentration areas.
Think how to consider weighting done for the target setting.
Main page - disclaimer/index explaining the purpose of the tool, dictionary, links to other pages (industries, human uses description etc).
Make the tool able to generate reports for a CF - how compatible it is with a range of human uses. Include a calendar with seasons (make a clickable table, Y- human uses, X - months)
Show CF metadata and a relevant distribution map (import from Chikory?).
Make the tool able to generate reports for a subregion/area similar format as for Chikory - a description/statistics.
Integrate data/datasets from Chikory in Geranium.
Separate NA (non-applicable) from 0 (no restrictions). Show NA in the bottom of a table half-transparent?
Keep in mind that some space for the future assessment of the conflicts with the actual human uses is needed in the reports.
Explain difference between approaches in the "seals" and "whales" assessments. For seals key habitats are assigned with 1 as there is no more fine data, for belugas/whales - 0 as there are more fine datasets and CFs.
Make the choice available not only by the industries (as it now) but for an area - so the report provides information for all human uses for this area (table - Y - CFs, X - human uses), and a summary of what conservation measures are desirable for an area (make this available just for the preselected subregions, PACs?)