Effects of Personality Traits and Serum Lipid Levels on Myocardial Enzyme of Angina Patients

WANG Yi-he¹, DU Jin-ling², Angie LeRoy³, LIU De-xiang⁴, LU Qian³, PAN Fang⁴ (1.Department of Neurology, School of Clinical Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan 250012, China; 2.Department of Heart Disease, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan 250012, China; 3.Department of Psychology, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, U.S.A; 4.Department of Medical Psychology, School of Basic Medical Science, Shandong University, Jinan 250012, China)

[Abstract] Objective: To examine the effects of personality traits and serum lipid levels on myocardial enzyme of angina patients. Methods: Total 155 subjects including 45 unstable angina patients, 60 stable angina patients and 50 healthy individuals were enrolled in the study. Type A personality, type D personality were assessed. Blood samples were taken to assess levels of serum lipid and myocardial enzyme including troponin I(CTNI), creatine kinase isoenzyme(CKMB), lactate dehydrogenase(LDH) and lactate dehydrogenase1(LDH1). Results: Unstable angina patients had lower level of serum highdensity lipoprotein(HDL), higher sub-dimensions scores of type A and type D, and higher level of serum myocardial enzyme than stable angina patients and the healthy control. HDL was negatively correlated with LDH1, Time Hurry was positively correlated with LDH and LDH₁, Competitive and Hostility were positively correlated with LDH. There was no significant association between type D personality and serum myocardial enzyme level. The η^2 of HDL and those personality traits on serum myocardial enzyme ranged from 0.125 to 0.039, indicating both HDL and personality traits had medium or small size effects on serum myocardial enzyme levels. Conclusion: Lower level of serum HDL, Time Hurry and Hostility of type A personality may contribute to myocardial injury in CHD.

[Key words] Personality trait; Myocardial enzymes; Lipid

中图分类号: R395.1

DOI: 10.16128/j.cnki.1005-3611.2017.06.012

人格特征与血脂水平对心绞痛患者心肌酶的影响

王一赫¹, 杜金玲², Angie LeRoy³, 刘德祥⁴, 吕倩³, 潘芳⁴ 山东大学临床医学院神经病学系,济南 250012; 山东大学齐鲁医院心内科,济南 250012; 3美国休斯敦大学心理学系: 4山东大学基础医学院医学心理学系,济南 250012

目的:探讨人格特征和血脂水平对心绞痛患者心肌酶的影响。方法:采用调查法对45例不稳定型心绞痛 患者、60例稳定型心绞痛患者和50例健康人进行A型和D型人格问卷检测,同时检测血脂、肌钙蛋白I(CTNI)、肌酸 激酶同工酶(CKMB)、乳酸脱氢酶(LDH)及乳酸脱氢酶1(LDH,)水平。结果:与稳定型心绞痛和健康对照组比较,不 稳定型心绞痛患者血清高密度脂蛋白水平显著降低;A型人格和D型人格得分、血清心肌酶水平显著升高。血清高 密度脂蛋白水平与LDH,显著负相关,时间紧迫感与血清LDH、LDH,水平显著正相关,竞争和敌意与血清LDH水平 显著正相关;D型人格特征与血清心肌酶水平无显著相关。高密度脂蛋白、时间紧迫感、竞争和敌意对心肌酶的效 应值分布在0.125-0.039之间,为中等和较小效应值。结论:血清高密度脂蛋白、时间紧迫感和敌意与冠心病心肌损 伤密切相关。

【关键词】 人格特征;心肌酶;血脂

1 Introduction

There is a growing interest in the role of personality as a contributing factor to the increased risk of cardiovascular disease(CVD) progress and mortality^[1, 2]. Type A personality is characterized by a chronic strug-

【基金项目】 济南市高校创新基金(201202227)

通讯作者:潘芳,panfang@sdu.edu.cn. 共同通讯作者: 吕倩, qlu.ucla@gmail.com gle to achieve goals. Studies had yielded a relationship between Type A personality and CVD[2]. However, others had failed to show a contribution of Type A personality to CVD[3, 4]. Type D personality, being characterized by negative affectivity and social inhibition, was thought to have a prognostic relevance of CVD[1]. But, one study pointed out that Type D personality was only a strong predictor of persistent depressive symptoms of CVD^[5]. Given the inconsistent findings in the relevant



literature, it is important to include objective markers when studying the influence of personality on CVD.

Early evidence suggested that Type A personality was an independent risk factor for left ventricular hypertrophy in male patients^[6,7]. Recent literature indicated that competitive and hostility were the basic destroying factors in Type A personality because they were strongly associated with dysfunction of autonomic nervous system and disturbance of metabolism such as higher heart rate and blood lipid level[8-10]. In addition, one study showed that the incidence of coronary artery ischemia in Type A personality group was higher than that in Non-Type A personality group, the ischemic change of ECG ST-T in Type A personality group was associated with the changes of coronary angiographic ischemia[11]. These studies suggested that it is more beneficial to analyze the relationship between biomarkers of CVD and type A personality.

Many studies documented that Type D personality could partially account for prognosis of CVD^[1]. CVD patients with Type D personality have higher cardiovascular and endocrine reactivity under acute stress^[12-14]. A five year follow-up study reported that CVD patients with Type D personality had an increased risk of death as compared to patients with non-Type D personality negatively affects the health status of heart failure patients. However, the mechanism that links Type D personality with poor clinical outcomes of CVD patients is not clear.

In addition to personality traits, blood lipid is clearly regarded as central dangerous factor of poor clinical outcomes of CVD patients^[17]. Lower level of serum high-density lipoprotein and higher level of serum low-density lipoprotein could predicate onset and progression of heart ischemia in patients with CVD^[18]. Serum myocardial enzymes level is considered to be a biomarker of myocardial injury after heart ischemia^[19-21]. Angina, often marked as severe pain in the chest due to lacking of myocardial oxygen, is the major symptom of CVD. Patients with CVD may have stable angina or unstable angina according to the degree of myocardial ischemia. To date, limited number of studies examined the relationship between personality traits, serum lipid

levels and myocardial enzyme levels of angina patients. The aim of this study is to compare the scores of type A and D personality traits between patients with stable angina, unstable angina and control group, to examine the associations between type A and D personality traits, serum lipid and serum myocardial enzymes levels and the effects of personality traits and serum lipid on serum myocardial enzymes of patients.

2 Methods

2.1 Participants

Total 155 participants (60 stable angina patients, 45 unstable angina patients and 50 healthy individuals) were recruited in this study. Patients were recruited from an affiliated hospital of university in China. Patients were newly diagnosed with coronary heart disease, either as unstable angina patients, or stable angina patients, according to 2007 European American Society of Cardiology diagnosis standards and coronary angiography. 50 healthy individuals without prior history of CHD according to self-report indication were also recruited from the general population to match the demographic characteristics of the patient groups. Exclusion criteria included Opresence of other life-threatening co-morbidities, 2 insufficient knowledge of written or spoken Chinese language, (3) cognitive impairment, and 4 chronic severe psychiatric condition(except for depression or anxiety). Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Medical School of Shandong University and written consent was obtained from each subject.

2.2 Measures

- 2.2.1 Demographic and general clinical variables Demographic variables including gender, age, educational level, and employment state were assessed. General clinical variables consisting of height, weight, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure were obtained from the patients' medical records.
- 2.2.2 Type D personality Type D personality was assessed by means of the type D scale Chinese version (DS14-CV)^[22], a 14-item questionnaire consisting of two subscales: Social Inhibition(SI) and Negative Affect (NA), each comprising 7 items. Items are answered on a 5-point Likert scale. Cronbach's α of negative affec-

No.6 201

tivity and social inhibition are 0.92 and 0.79.

2.2.3 Type A personality The Chinese version of the Type A Behavior Questionnaire(TABQ) was used to determine patients' behavior type^[23]. TABQ contains 60 items divided into 2 subscales: Time Hurry(TH) and Competitive and Hostility(CH). The Cronbach's α of time urgency and hostility are 0.63 and 0.70.

2.2.4 Serum lipid and myocardial enzyme measurement Blood samples from the subjects were collected in the morning the day after admission. Serum lipid including cholesterol(Cho), triglycerides(TG), high-density lipoprotein(HDL), and low-density lipoprotein(LDL) was measured using enzyme method. Cardiac markers troponin I(CTNI) was measured using the immune chemistry method(Beckman coulter AceessII, Beckman coulter, USA). Creatine kinase isoenzyme(CKMB), lactate dehydrogenase(LDH) and lactate dehydrogenase1 (LDH₁) were determined by the immune chemistry method using the Roche Hitachi-7600 automatic bio-

chemical analyzer(Japan).

2.3 Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS 18.0 for Windows. The Chi-square test was used for dichotomous variables and one-way ANOVA and LSD-test were used for continuous variables. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to determine the correlation between personality traits, serum lipid and serum myocardial enzymes. Effect sizes of personality traits and serum lipid on myocardial enzymes were examined using linear regression analysis. *P*<0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 The comparison of demographic and general clinical characteristics among the three groups

No significant differences emerged for demographic and clinical characteristics among the three groups (Table 1).

variables	control group(n=50) count(%)	stable angina(n=60) count(%)	unstable angina(n=45) count(%)	X ²	P
gender				0.331	0.718
male	29(58%)	34(56.7%)	27(51%)		
female	21(42%)	26(43.3%)	18(49%)		
education				1.694	0.186
high school graduate	18(36.0%)	22(36.7%)	16(35.6%)		
college graduated	19(38.0%)	25(41.7%)	19(38.0%)		
post graduated	13(26.0%)	13(21.7%)	10(22.2%)		
employment				1.561	0.214
employed	28(56.0%)	34(56.7%)	27(60.0%)		
retired	22(44.0%)	26(43.3%)	18(40.0%)		
	$Mean\pm SD$	Mean±SD	$Mean\pm SD$	$\boldsymbol{\mathit{F}}$	\boldsymbol{P}
age(years)	57.30±9.63	59.85±10.39	53.63±9.34	2.092	0.128
height(cm)	166.60±6.57	166.53±6.95	167.67±6.59	0.381	0.684
weight(kg)	72.86±9.19	73.59±11.43	73.73±12.31	0.058	0.944
systolic blood pressure(mmHg)	130.60±16.56	137.02±18.66	131.13±19.39	1.546	0.217
diastolic blood pressure(mmHg)	75.63±12.37	75.84±11.61	75.77±12.32	0.003	0.997

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants(n=155)

3.2 The comparison of serum lipid levels among the three groups

The unstable angina patient group had a lower serum HDL level than the stable angina and control groups. No significant differences in Cho, TG and LDL were found among the three groups(Table 2).

3.3 The comparison of Type A and Type D personality traits among the groups

Unstable angina patients had higher scores of the Type D subscales(NA and SI) and Type A personality subscales(TH and CH) than those of control group. Compared with the stable angina patients, unstable angina patients scored higher SI and NA, and higher CH. There were no significant differences of type A and type D personality between stable angina patients group healthy control(Table 3).

Table 2 Comparison of serum lipid levels among the groups(mean±SD)

variables (mmol/L)	control group (n=50)	stable angina (n=60)	unstable angina (n=45)	F	P
Cho	4.46±0.95	4.86±1.26	4.87±1.19	1.352	0.263
TG	1.51±0.95	1.73±0.76	1.85±0.87	1.427	0.244
HDL	1.22±0.23	1.20±0.36	1.03±0.25****	5.222	0.007
LDL	2.41±0.82	2.77±0.91	2.71±0.76	1.903	0.154

**P<0.01 compared with control group; **P<0.01 compared with stable angina group. Cho means cholesterol; TG means triglycerides; HDL means high-density lipoprotein; LDL means low-density lipoprotein.

Table 3 Comparison of personality traits between groups(mean±SD)

variables	control group (n=50)	stable angina (n=60)	unstable angina (n=45)	F	P
SI	8.53±3.92	9.06±5.05	12.02±5.31****	5.87	0.004
NA	6.05±4.74	6.21±4.04	11.74±5.91****	17.74	< 0.001
TH	13.22±4.84	15.74±3.66	17.34±3.90**	9.40	< 0.001
CH	12.54±3.76	13.71±3.58	15.46±4.03***	5.78	0.004

**P<0.01 compared with control group; "P<0.01, "P<0.05 compared with stable angina group. SI means social inhibition; NA means negative affect; TH means time hurry; CH means competitive and hostility.

3.4 The comparison of serum myocardial enzymes levels among the groups

Unstable angina patient group had a higher level of serum CTNI, CKMB, LDH and LDH₁ compared with the stable angina and control groups. There was no significant difference of serum myocardial enzyme between the stable angina group and control group(table 4).

Table 4 Comparison of serum myocardial enzymes levels among the groups(mean±SD)

variables (ng/l)	control group (n=50)	stable angina (n=60)	unstable angina (n=45)	F	P
CTNI	0.01±0.01	0.01±0.01	0.69±1.76**	6.08	0.003
CKMB	12.40±4.22	12.35±4.88	16.50±10.41***	4.46	0.014
LDH	171.83±54.72	163.80±0.47	213.46±67.18***	11.12	<0.001
LDH_1	52.37±7.01	45.85±0.34	77.12±37.46***	8.74	<0.001

*P<0.05 compared with control group; "P<0.01, "P<0.05 compared with stable angina group. CTNI means cardiac markers troponin I; CK-MB means creatine kinase isoenzyme; LDH means lactate dehyrogenase; LDH₁ means lactate dehyrogenase1.

3.5 The correlation between personality traits, HDL and myocardial enzyme levels

HDL was negatively related with LDH₁. TH and CH were positively related with LDH, and CH was positively related with LDH₁. Both SI and NA had no association with myocardial enzyme(Table 5).

Table 5 Correlation between personality traits, HDL and myocardial enzyme(n=105)

variables	CKMB	CTNI	LDH	LDH ₁
HDL	-0.160	-0.036	-0.132	-0.199*
SI	0.177	0.094	0.065	-0.008
NA	0.059	0.089	0.026	0.153
TH	0.072	0.123	0.354**	0.228**
CH	0.062	0.062	0.263**	0.151

**P<0.01: *P<0.05.

3.6 The effects of high-density lipoprotein and personality traits on myocardial enzyme levels

Using linear regression analysis, we tested the effects of HDL and personality traits on myocardial enzymes levels in patients. The results showed that both HDL and A type personality traits had small or medium size effect on myocardial enzymes levels: the η^2 of HDL on LDH₁ was 0.039, the η^2 of TH on LDH₁ and LDH were 0.052 and 0.125; the η^2 of CH on LDH was 0.069.

4 Discussion

The current study found unstable angina patients had lower serum HDL level, more Type D and Type A personality traits, as well as higher myocardial enzyme level compared with stable angina patients and healthy control. Further, a negative association between HDL and LDH₁, a positive association between TH and serum levels LDH and LDH₁, as well as positive correlation between CH and LDH were observed. The present study contributes to the literature, as few studies have explored the effect of serum lipid and type A and type D traits on serum myocardial enzyme levels.

This study had showed that the prevalence of Type D personality among patients with CVD ranged from 18.24% to 27%^[24,25], and a significantly higher incidence of Type A personality(61%) in patients with CVD compared with general population^[5,25]. Our results are consistent with those findings that unstable angina patients scored higher on Type D personality and A personality compared to the control group and unstable angina patients scored higher than stable angina patients on Type D personality and CH of Type A personality. These data provide the evidence that unstable angina patients had more Type D and Type A traits. Moreover, unstable angina patients had higher scores in

competitive and hostility but no higher scores in time hurry compared with stable angina patients. These data supports the conclusion that competitive and hostility is the key risk factor in morbidity of CVD. Inconsistent with our previous hypothesis, the stable angina patients did not differ from the control group in personality trait. The reason may be related to the patients enrolled in this study. For example, one seminal study found that heart attack patients with Type D personality traits had an increased risk of mortality[26]. In contrast, another study using a sample of heart failure patients yielded null findings^[27]. Given unstable angina is a more severe form of angina, our finding that stable angina and unstable angina patients differed in Type D personality trait implicated that Type D personality traits may be related with serious outcomes of patients with unstable angina^[5].

The study showed that both lipid level and Type A personality traits were related to myocardial injury and had small and medium size effects on serum myocardial enzymes level. Specifically, HDL was negatively related with LDH₁, TH was positively related with LDH and LDH₁ and CH was positively related with LDH. HDL, TH and CH had small size effects on LDH₁, TH had medium size effect on LDH. These data support the conclusion that there was significant relationship between hostility and impatient and the presence of CVD[19, 20, 25]. Moreover, present study found that serum HDL level had a small size effect on serum LDH1 level, this indicated serum lipid is key factor influencing on progression of CVD. Consistently, one recent study also indicated that serum myocardial enzyme levels are more closely correlated with morbidity than with diagnoses[28]. In all, present study provides clue for the validity of using myocardial enzymes, lipid and personality for predicating morbidity of CHD.

References

- 1 Reich J, Schatzberg A. Personality traits and medical outcome of cardiac illness. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 2010, 44(15): 1017-1020
- 2 Sirri L, Fava GA, Guidi J, et al. Type A behaviour: a reappraisal of its characteristics in cardiovascular disease. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 2012, 66(9): 854-861
- 3 Ringoir L, Pedersen SS, Widdershoven JW, et al. Prevalence of psychological distress in elderly hypertension patients in

- primary care. Netherlands Heart Journal, 2014, 22(2): 71-76
- 4 Dembroski TM, MacDougall JM, Williams RB, et al. Components of Type A, hostility, and anger-in: relationship to angiographic findings. Psychosomatic Medicine, 1985, 47: 219-233
- 5 Grande G, Romppel M, Barth J. Association between Type D personality and prognosis in patients with cardiovascular diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2012, 43: 299-310
- 6 Munakata M, Hiraizumi T, Nunokawa T, et al. Type A behavior is associated with an increased risk of left ventricular hypertrophy in male patients with essential hypertension. Journal of Hypertension, 1999, 17: 115-120
- 7 Hendrix WH, Hughes RL. Relationship of trait, Type A behavior, and physical fitness variables to cardiovascular reactivity and coronary heart disease risk potential. The American Journal of Health Promotion, 1997, 11: 264-271
- 8 Corse CD, Manuck SB, Cantwell JD, et al. Coronary-prone behavior pattern and cardiovascular response in persons with and without coronary heart disease. Psychosomatic Medicine, 1982, 44: 449-459
- 9 Karlberg L, Krakau I, Undén AL. Type A behavior intervention in primary health care reduces hostility and time pressure: a study in Sweden. Social Science & Medicine, 1998, 46: 397-402
- 10 Lemogne C, Nabi H, Zins M, et al. Hostility may explain the association between depressive mood and mortality: evidence from the French GAZEL cohort study. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 2010, 79: 164-171
- 11 Huang W, Yang MS, Xiao XH, et al. Type A behavior and electrocadiogram ST-T ischemic change and coronary artery lesion. Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology, 2015, 23(5): 861-864
- 12 Habra ME, Linden W, Anderson JC, et al. Type D personality is related to cardiovascular and neuroendocrine reactivity to acute stress. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2003, 55: 235-245
- 13 Kupper N, Gidron Y, Winter J, et al. Association between Type D personality, depression, and oxidative stress in patients with chronic heart failure. Psychosomatic Medicine, 2009, 71: 973-980
- 14 Mommersteeg PM, Pelle AJ, Ramakers C, et al. Type D personality and course of health status over 18 months in outpatients with heart failure: multiple mediating inflammatory biomarkers. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity, 2012, 26: 301–310
- 15 Denollet J, Pedersen SS, Vrints CJ, et al. Usefulness of type D personality in predicting five-year cardiac events above and beyond concurrent symptoms of stress in patients with

- coronary heart disease. American Journal of Cardiology, 2006, 97: 970-973
- 16 Pedersen SS, Hermann-lingen C, de Jonge P, et al. Type D personality is a predictor of poor emotional quality of life in primary care heart failure patients independent of depressive symptoms and New York Heart Association functional class. Journal of Behavoral Medicine, 2010, 33: 72-80
- 17 Miller GJ, Miller NE. Plasma high density lipoprotein concentration and development of ischaemic heart-disease. Lancet, 1975, 1(7897): 16-19
- 18 Robin PC, Neil R. High-density lipoproteins and cardiovascular disease: the plots thicken. Heart, 2013, 99: 222-224
- 19 Huillet C, Adrait A, Lebert D, et al. Accurate quantification of cardiovascular biomarkers in serum using Protein Standard Absolute Quantification(PSAQ™) and selected reaction monitoring. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics, 2012, 11: M111.008235
- 20 Mueller M, Celik S, Biener M, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic performance of a novel high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T assay compared to a contemporary sensitive cardiac troponin I assay in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Clinical Research in Cardiology, 2012, 101: 837-845
- 21 Haaf P, Drexler B, Reichlin T, et al. High-sensitivity cardiac troponin in the distinction of acute myocardial infarction from acute cardiac noncoronary artery disease. Circulation, 2012, 126: 31-40

- 22 Yu XN, Zhang J, Liu X. Application of the Type D Scale (DS14) in Chinese cornnary heart disease patients and health controls. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2008, 65: 595-601
- 23 Zhang MY. The Manual of Mental Disorders. Shanghai: Shanghai Science and Technology Press, 1999. 415–425
- 24 Kelpis TG, Anastasiadis K, Nimatoudis I, et al. Prevalence of "distressed" personality in patients with coronary artery disease and its correlation with morbidity after coronary surgery. The Hellenic Journal of Cardiology, 2013, 54(5): 362– 367
- 25 Jamil G, Haque A, Namawar A, et al. "Personality traits and heart disease in the Middle East". Is there a link? American Journal of Cardiovascular Disease, 2013, 3(3): 163-169
- 26 Denollet J, Sys, Stanilas U, et al. Personality and mortality after myocardial infarction. Psychosomatic Medicine, 1995, 57: 582-591
- 27 Coyne JC, Jaarsma T, Luttik ML, et al. Lack of prognostic value of type D personality for mortality in a large sample of heart failure patients. Psychosomatic Medicine, 2011, 73: 557-562
- 28 Ricciardi MJ, Davidson CJ, Gubernikoff G, et al. Troponin I elevation and cardiac events after percutaneous coronary intervention. American Heart Journal, 2003, 145: 522-528

(收稿日期:2016-09-03)

(上接第1000页)

- 14 Nan H, Ni M, Lee P, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the Chinese version of the Subjective Happiness Scale. The 48th Annual Meeting of the Society for Epidemiologic Research. Boston; Oxford University Press, 2013
- 15 Xing Z. The development of the rivised subjective well-being scale for Chinese Citizens (SWBS-CC) and its nation wide norms. Psychological Science, 2008, 31(6): 1484-1488
- 16 Lu L. The Relationship Between Subjective Well-Being and Psychosocial Variables in Taiwan. Journal of Social Psychology, 1995, 135(3): 351
- 17 Lu L, Shih J. Personality and happiness: Is mental health a mediator?. Personality and Individual Differences, 1997, 22
 (2): 249-256
- 18 Lu L, Shih J. Sources of happiness: A qualitative approach. The Journal of Social Psychology, 1997, 137(2): 181–187
- 19 Chen S, Cheung F, Bond M, et al. Going beyond self-esteem to predict life satisfaction: The Chinese case. Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 2006, 9(1): 24-35
- 20 Shin D, Inoguchi T. Avowed happiness in Confucian Asia: Ascertaining its distribution, patterns, and sources. Social Indicators Research, 2009, 92(2): 405-427

- 21 Lu L, Gilmour R, Kao S. Cultural values and happiness: An East-West dialogue. Journal of Social Psychology, 2001, 141 (4): 477-493
- 22 Liu J, Li J, Huang X. Development of the Questionaire for Urban Well-being Index. Journal of Southwest University (Social Sciences Edition), 2012, 38(5): 92-99
- 23 Mcdowell I. Measures of self-perceived well-being. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 2010, 69(1): 69-79
- 24 Rosenberg M. The Self-Esteem Scale, SES. Chinese Mental Health Journal, 1999, (S.I.): 318-320
- 25 Nunnally J, Bernstein I. Psychometric Theory, 3rd edn. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994. 701
- 26 Loevinger J. Objective Tests As Instruments of Psychological Theory. Psychological Reports, 1957, 3(3): 635-694
- 27 Kaiser H. An index of factorial simplicity. Psychometrika, 1974, 39(1): 31-36
- 28 Hau K, Wen Z, Chen Z. Structural Equation Model and Its Applications. Beijing: Educational Science Press, 2004. 161
- 29 Wu M. Structural Equation model: Operation and Application of AMOS. Chongqing: Chongqing University Press, 2009. 52-53

(收稿日期:2017-03-26)

