# Ladislav Kavan's Physically Based Simulation 2017ed

# Edited by wxgopher

# May 11, 2019

# **Contents**

1		Classical Mechanics		
	1.1	Basics	a harmonic oscillator	2
2	Tim	e Integration 2		
3	Optimization			2
	3.1	Impli	cit Newmark	2
	3.2	Optin	nization Problems	2
		3.2.1	Solving unconstrained problems	3
		3.2.2	Newton's method	3
	3.3			4
		3.3.1	Direct solvers	4
		3.3.2	Iterative solvers	5
4	Elastic Materials and Finite Element Simulation			5
5 Something more			5	
6	6 Papers and books			5

This lecture note is based on Dr. Ladislav Kavan's Physics-based Animation course CS6660, originally taught at the University of Utah. I also try to include some supplementary materials from other resources.

Warning: Although as I strive to make this material useful, there are certain bugs, use this material at your own risk. I would also be grateful to hear feedbacks.

.....

### 1 Classical Mechanics

#### 1.1 Basics: a harmonic oscillator

# 2 Time Integration

### 3 Optimization

### 3.1 Implicit Newmark

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{h}{2}(v_n + v_{n+1}),$$
 (1a)

$$v_{n+1} = v_n = \frac{h}{2}(f_n + f_{n+1}),$$
 (1b)

where *x* and *v* are positions and velocities, and  $f_n \equiv f(x_n)$ . Thus we have

$$x_{n+1} - x_n - hv_n = \frac{h^2}{4} (f_n + f_{n+1}).$$
 (2)

Let  $y = x_n + h_n v_n + \frac{h^2}{4} f_n$ ,  $x_{n+1} = x$ , note that  $\nabla E = -f$ , then (2) becomes

$$x - y = \frac{h^2}{4}f \Rightarrow x - y + \frac{h^2}{4}f\nabla E = 0.$$
(3)

Let  $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}||x - y|^2 + \frac{h^2}{4}E$ , then solving g equals to solve x for

$$\min_{x} \quad g(x). \tag{4}$$

### 3.2 Optimization Problems

Problem formulation:

$$\min \quad g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad g \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5}$$

Optimization problems can be categorized into constrained or unconstrained problems, or convex or non-convex problems.

**Theorem 1.** For a convex problem (where both objective and feasible set are convex), if the objective is  $C^2$ , then the Hessian  $H \succeq 0$ , and the local minimum is the global minimum.

**Definition 1.** A linear programming (LP) is a problem with linear objective and linear equality or inequality constraints. A quadratic programming (QP) is the same as LP except with a quadratic objective.

**Note 1.** Convex QP has polynomial time solver but non-convex QP is NP-hard.

**Example 1.** A non-convex QP:

$$\min_{x} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||Ax||^2, \tag{6a}$$

$$s.t. ||x||_2 = 1.$$
 (6b)

Note 2. Software package for solving non-convex problem: IpOPT, KNITRO, or NEOS-Guide

#### 3.2.1 Solving unconstrained problems

There are two ways to solve an unconstrained problem: descent method or trust-region method.

Descent method refers to pick a descent direction d and do an exact or inexact line search (LS) to determine descent distance  $\alpha d$ .

**Definition 2.** *Descent direction*:  $\forall \alpha \in (0, \alpha_0), \alpha_0 > 0, g(x + \alpha d) < g(x).$ 

**Definition 3.** *Exact LS refers to solving the following problem:* 

$$\underset{\alpha>0}{\arg\min}\,g(x+\alpha d).$$

#### Backtracking LS

#### 3.2.2 Newton's method

A usual descent direction is called **gradient descent** (GD), denoted by  $d = -\nabla g$ . It doesn't work well sometime, for example:

Example 2.

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} g(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + \gamma x_2^2), \quad \gamma > 0.$$
 (7)

If we do exact LS, we get

$$x_1^k = \gamma (\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1})^k,\tag{8a}$$

$$x_2^k = (-\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1})^k. {(8b)}$$

If gamma becomes huge, we can tell GD converges slowly (as two GD directions are perpendicular).

Newton's method refers to do a quadratic approximation to problem (5), given by

$$g(x+p) = g(x) + \nabla g^{\mathrm{T}}(x)p + \frac{1}{2}p^{\mathrm{T}}\nabla^{2}g(x)p + O(\|p\|^{2}) \equiv \tilde{g}(x) + O.$$
 (9)

Thus we have

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial p} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \nabla g + \nabla^2 g p = 0.$$

The **Newton descent direction** is given by

$$p = -(\nabla^2 g)^{-1} \nabla g. \tag{10}$$

**Note 3.** Newton's method is affine invariant. Let x = Ty with T being a nonsingular matrix, so problem (5) is equivalent to problem

$$\min_{y} \tilde{g}(y). \tag{11}$$

We also have

$$\nabla \tilde{g} = T^2 \nabla g, \quad \nabla^2 \tilde{g} = T^T \nabla^2 g T.$$

Newton direction  $\Delta y$  of  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\Delta x$  of g is given by

$$\Delta y = -T^{-1}\nabla^2 g \nabla g = T^{-1}\Delta x.$$

**Definition 4.** Newton decrement: substitute Newton descent direction (10) into (9), we get the Newton decrement

$$\lambda^2 = g - \frac{1}{2} \nabla g^{\mathsf{T}} (\nabla^2 g)^{-1} \nabla g. \tag{12}$$

A stopping criteria for Newton's method would be

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \le \epsilon$$
.

Note that Newton decrement is also affine invariant.

Convergence analysis of the Newton's method is given as follows:

**Theorem 2.** *Kantorovich*: if g is strictly convex and  $\nabla^2 g$  is Lipschitz continuous, then  $\exists \epsilon > 0, \eta > 0, \gamma > 0, s.t.$ 

**Damped phase I** *If* 
$$\|\nabla g\| \ge \eta$$
, then  $\|g^{k+1}\| \le \|g^k\| - \gamma$ ;

**Quadratic phase II** If  $\|\nabla g\| < \eta$ , then  $\|g^{k+1}\| \le c\|g^k\|^2$ .

Some cases where Newton's method doesn't work well:

- 1. If *g* is highly nonlinear, the damped phase will be long;
- 2. If *g* is non-convex, solution might not converge to a minimum.

In practical implementations, the Hessian might not always be positive definite. A possible Hessian modification is:

**Note 4.** Hessian modification: Consider  $A = \nabla^2 g + cI$ , where c > 0. It is shown that if  $\nabla^2 g = Q\Lambda Q^T$  ( $\nabla^2 g$  is symmetric, hence it is always diagonalizable), then  $A = Q(\Lambda + cI)Q^T$ , hence A is positive definite given sufficiently large c > 0.

Solving Newton's direction (10) refers to solving a linear system. We introduce several techniques to tackle this.

### 3.3 Numerical Linear Algebra

We consider solving a linear system Ax = b.

#### 3.3.1 Direct solvers

We can prefactor A into  $A = UV^{T}$ , with U and V being low rank matrices.

Common factorization methods are

LU If A is nonsingular, then there exist L, P, U, where they are lower-triangular matrix, permutation matrix (hence orthogonal), and upper-triangular matrix, respectively, s.t. A = PLU.

**Sparse LU**  $A = P_1LUP_2$ , where  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are permutations to utilize sparse information of A.

**Cholesky** For symmetric positive-definite matrix, we have  $A = LL^{T}$  with unique L. Note that for positive semi-definite matrix, Cholesky is not unique.

LDLT

#### 3.3.2 Iterative solvers

Iterative solvers includes:

- 1. Classical method: Jacobian, Gauss-Seidel, SOR (super over-relaxation), etc.;
- 2. Krylov subspace method: CG (conjugate gradient), GMRES (generalized minimal residual), etc.;
- 3. multigrid method.

**Classical method** Suppose *P* is easy to invert, let A = P + A - P, then

$$Ax = b \Leftrightarrow Px = (P - A)x + b.$$

P = diag(A) gives us Jacobian method, and it's GPU-friendly; P = lower(A) gives us GS method;  $P = diag(A) + w \cdot lower(A)$  gives us SOR method where w < 2.

The residual term follows

$$r_k \equiv A(x^* - x_k) = b - Ax_k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad r_{k+1} = (I - P^{-1}A)r_k.$$

To make classical method converge, we need  $\rho(I - P^{-1}A) < 1$ , where  $\rho(\cdot)$  is the spectrum radius.

**Krylov subpace method** A good reference on CG is [4]. Assuming  $A^T = A$  and  $A \succeq 0$ .

### 4 Elastic Materials and Finite Element Simulation

# 5 Something more...

# 6 Papers and books

Numerical optimization can refer to [2] [3].

[1] is a great reference for continuum mechanics and FEM.

### References

- [1] Javier Bonet and Richard D Wood. *Nonlinear continuum mechanics for finite element analysis*. Cambridge university press, 1997.
- [2] Stephen Boyd and Lieven Vandenberghe. Convex optimization. Cambridge university press, 2004.
- [3] Jorge Nocedal and Stephen Wright. Numerical optimization. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [4] Jonathan Richard Shewchuk et al. An introduction to the conjugate gradient method without the agonizing pain, 1994.