

### PRODUCTION LINE PERFORMANCE

PROJECT WORK IN LANGUAGES AND ALGORITHMS FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE CLASS (MODULE 2)

Alessio Falai alessio.falai astudio.unibo.it
August 14, 2020

Alma Mater Studiorum - University of Bologna

### TABLE OF CONTENTS I

page
------

1	Datasets	. 4
2	Preprocessing	. 8
3	Clustering	22

### TABLE OF CONTENTS II

		page
4	Classification	31
5	Execution	39
6	Results	45

### **DATASETS**

- Arrest: Contains statistics, in arrests per 100.000
   residents, for assault, murder, and rape in each of the 50
   US states in 1973. It also gives the percent of the
   population living in urban areas.
- Adult: Aims at separating people whose income is greater than 50 thousands dollars per year from the rest.

### TEST DATASETS

- Arrest: Contains statistics, in arrests per 100.000
  residents, for assault, murder, and rape in each of the 50
  US states in 1973. It also gives the percent of the
  population living in urban areas.
- Adult: Aims at separating people whose income is greater than 50 thousands dollars per year from the rest.

### **ACTUAL DATASET**

 Bosch: Aims at predicting internal failures using thousands of measurements and tests made for each component along different assembly lines.

## PREPROCESSING

- The Bosch dataset includes 3 subsets: numerical, categorical and time data.
- As stated in [2], categorical data is extremely sparse, and thus not exploited in subsequent stages.
- Our first analysis will be focused on just numerical data:

- The Bosch dataset includes 3 subsets: numerical, categorical and time data.
- As stated in [2], categorical data is extremely sparse, and thus not exploited in subsequent stages.
- Our first analysis will be focused on just numerical data:
  - 968 anonymized features
  - 1183747 labeled examples
  - 0.58% of failed products
  - 78.5% of missing values

- The Bosch dataset includes 3 subsets: numerical, categorical and time data.
- As stated in [2], categorical data is extremely sparse, and thus not exploited in subsequent stages.
- Our first analysis will be focused on just numerical data:
  - 968 anonymized features
  - 1183747 labeled examples
  - 0.58% of failed products
  - 78.5% of missing values

- The Bosch dataset includes 3 subsets: numerical, categorical and time data.
- As stated in [2], categorical data is extremely sparse, and thus not exploited in subsequent stages.
- Our first analysis will be focused on just numerical data:
  - 968 anonymized features
  - 1183747 labeled examples
  - 0.58% of failed products
  - 78.5% of missing values

### TWO-STAGE APPROACH

- **Stage I**: This step clusters data with similar processes together into process groups.
- Stage II: This step uses supervised learning to predict the failed products. Each cluster is treated as an independent dataset and has its own classifier.

### TWO-STAGE APPROACH

- Stage I: This step clusters data with similar processes together into process groups.
- **Stage II**: This step uses supervised learning to predict the failed products. Each cluster is treated as an independent dataset and has its own classifier.

- **Common**: Columns containing null values and constant values in each row are dropped.
- Clustering: Values are binarized (0 meaning null value and 1 meaning not null value) and PCA is applied to binarized features.
- Classification: A feature imputation method (mean value over the column), followed by feature standardization (zero mean, unit variance) and PCA, is applied over non-binary values in each cluster.
- Prediction: A new example follows the same preprocessing scheme as the whole dataset.

- **Common**: Columns containing null values and constant values in each row are dropped.
- Clustering: Values are binarized (0 meaning null value and 1 meaning not null value) and PCA is applied to binarized features.
- Classification: A feature imputation method (mean value over the column), followed by feature standardization (zero mean, unit variance) and PCA, is applied over non-binary values in each cluster.
- Prediction: A new example follows the same preprocessing scheme as the whole dataset.

- **Common**: Columns containing null values and constant values in each row are dropped.
- **Clustering**: Values are binarized (0 meaning null value and 1 meaning not null value) and *PCA* is applied to binarized features.
- Classification: A feature imputation method (mean value over the column), followed by feature standardization (zero mean, unit variance) and PCA, is applied over non-binary values in each cluster.
- Prediction: A new example follows the same preprocessing scheme as the whole dataset.

- **Common**: Columns containing null values and constant values in each row are dropped.
- Clustering: Values are binarized (0 meaning null value and 1 meaning not null value) and PCA is applied to binarized features.
- Classification: A feature imputation method (mean value over the column), followed by feature standardization (zero mean, unit variance) and PCA, is applied over non-binary values in each cluster.
- Prediction: A new example follows the same preprocessing scheme as the whole dataset.

# Custom implementation of the PCA workflow, taking into account:

- Features assembly and features standardization.
- Selection of the minimum number of principal components explaining the given percentage of variance in the data (defaults to 95%).
- Transformation matrix storage, so that new examples can be easily converted into principal components.

Custom implementation of the PCA workflow, taking into account:

- Features assembly and features standardization.
- Selection of the minimum number of principal components *explaining* the given percentage of *variance* in the data (defaults to 95%).
- *Transformation matrix* storage, so that new examples can be easily converted into principal components.

Custom implementation of the PCA workflow, taking into account:

- Features assembly and features standardization.
- Selection of the minimum number of principal components *explaining* the given percentage of *variance* in the data (defaults to 95%).
- *Transformation matrix* storage, so that new examples can be easily converted into principal components.

6 / 12

# CLUSTERING

The chosen clustering algorithm is **k-means**, since density-based methods (like **DBSCAN**) are still not available in Spark. So, the following issues needed to be addressed:

- Problem: Automatically select the right amount of clusters:
  - Silhouette and elbow methods are not ideal, since they require human analysis.
  - Spark 3.0.0 dropped support for *inertia* computation, maintaining only evaluation by silhouette scores.
- **Solution**: Ad-hoc implementation of the *Gap statistic* method, described in [1].

The chosen clustering algorithm is **k-means**, since density-based methods (like **DBSCAN**) are still not available in Spark. So, the following issues needed to be addressed:

- Problem: Automatically select the right amount of clusters:
  - Silhouette and elbow methods are not ideal, since they require human analysis.
  - Spark 3.0.0 dropped support for *inertia* computation, maintaining only evaluation by silhouette scores.
- Solution: Ad-hoc implementation of the Gap statistic method, described in [1].

The chosen clustering algorithm is **k-means**, since density-based methods (like **DBSCAN**) are still not available in Spark. So, the following issues needed to be addressed:

- Problem: Automatically select the right amount of clusters:
  - Silhouette and elbow methods are not ideal, since they require human analysis.
  - Spark 3.0.0 dropped support for *inertia* computation, maintaining only evaluation by silhouette scores.
- **Solution**: Ad-hoc implementation of the *Gap statistic* method, described in [1].

- For k=1,..,K, where K is the maximum number of clusters, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_k$ .
- Generate B reference datasets by sampling from a uniform distribution over each feature, where the support is directly identified by features ranges. Then, for k=1,..,K and b=1,..,B, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_{kb}$ . Finally, estimate  $E^*[log(I_{kb})]$  as  $\frac{1}{B}\sum_b log(I_{kb})$ .
- Compute the Gap score as  $Gap(k) = E^*[log(I_{kb})] log(I_k)$ .
- Compute the standard deviation  $sd_k$  of  $log(I_{kb})$  and define  $s_k = sd_k * \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{B}}.$
- Select the minimum k s.t.  $Gap(k) Gap(k+1) + s_{k+1} \ge 0.1$

- For k=1,..,K, where K is the maximum number of clusters, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_k$ .
- Generate B reference datasets by sampling from a uniform distribution over each feature, where the support is directly identified by features ranges. Then, for k=1,..,K and b=1,..,B, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_{kb}$ . Finally, estimate  $E^*[log(I_{kb})]$  as  $\frac{1}{B}\sum_b log(I_{kb})$ .
- Compute the Gap score as  $Gap(k) = E^*[log(I_{kb})] log(I_k)$
- Compute the standard deviation  $sd_k$  of  $log(I_{kb})$  and define  $s_k = sd_k * \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{B}}.$

• Select the minimum k s.t.  $Gap(k) - Gap(k+1) + s_{k+1} \ge 0$ 

- For k=1,..,K, where K is the maximum number of clusters, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_k$ .
- Generate B reference datasets by sampling from a uniform distribution over each feature, where the support is directly identified by features ranges. Then, for k=1,..,K and b=1,..,B, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_{kb}$ . Finally, estimate  $E^*[log(I_{kb})]$  as  $\frac{1}{B}\sum_b log(I_{kb})$ .
- Compute the Gap score as  $Gap(k) = E^*[log(I_{kb})] log(I_k)$ .
- Compute the standard deviation  $sd_k$  of  $log(I_{kb})$  and define  $s_k = sd_k * \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{B}}.$
- Select the minimum k s.t.  $Gap(k) Gap(k+1) + s_{k+1} \ge 0$ .

### **GAP STATISTIC**

- For k=1,..,K, where K is the maximum number of clusters, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_k$ .
- Generate B reference datasets by sampling from a uniform distribution over each feature, where the support is directly identified by features ranges. Then, for k=1,..,K and b=1,..,B, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_{kb}$ . Finally, estimate  $E^*[log(I_{kb})]$  as  $\frac{1}{B}\sum_b log(I_{kb})$ .
- Compute the Gap score as  $Gap(k) = E^*[log(I_{kb})] log(I_k)$ .
- Compute the standard deviation  $sd_k$  of  $log(I_{kb})$  and define  $s_k = sd_k * \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{B}}$ .
- Select the minimum k s.t.  $Gap(k) Gap(k+1) + s_{k+1} \ge 0$ .

### GAP STATISTIC

- For k=1,..,K, where K is the maximum number of clusters, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_k$ .
- Generate B reference datasets by sampling from a uniform distribution over each feature, where the support is directly identified by features ranges. Then, for k=1,..,K and b=1,..,B, perform k-means and compute the resulting inertia  $I_{kb}$ . Finally, estimate  $E^*[log(I_{kb})]$  as  $\frac{1}{B}\sum_b log(I_{kb})$ .
- Compute the Gap score as  $Gap(k) = E^*[log(I_{kb})] log(I_k)$ .
- Compute the standard deviation  $sd_k$  of  $log(I_{kb})$  and define  $s_k = sd_k * \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{B}}$ .
- Select the minimum k s.t.  $Gap(k) Gap(k+1) + s_{k+1} \ge 0$ .

# CLASSIFICATION

### **CLASSIFIERS**

- Implemented models: Decision Tree, Random Forest and Gradient Boosted Tree.
- Training strategy: Hyper-parameters selected by cross-validation on a parameter grid, mainly consisting of the following:
  - Maximum depth of each tree, ranging from 1 to 30 with step 5.
  - Minimum number of instances each child must have after split, ranging from 1 to 10 with step 2.

### **CLASSIFIERS**

- Implemented models: Decision Tree, Random Forest and Gradient Boosted Tree.
- Training strategy: Hyper-parameters selected by cross-validation on a parameter grid, mainly consisting of the following:
  - Maximum *depth* of each tree, ranging from 1 to 30 with step 5.
  - Minimum number of instances each child must have after split, ranging from 1 to 10 with step 2.

### **CLASSIFIERS**

- Implemented models: Decision Tree, Random Forest and Gradient Boosted Tree.
- Training strategy: Hyper-parameters selected by cross-validation on a parameter grid, mainly consisting of the following:
  - Maximum *depth* of each tree, ranging from 1 to 30 with step 5.
  - Minimum *number of instances* each child must have after split, ranging from 1 to 10 with step 2.

- Accuracy:  $\frac{TP+TN}{TP+FP+FN+TN}$  (not well-suited to the Bosch dataset, given its high class imbalance).
- $F_1$ -score:  $2 \times \frac{PPV \times TPR}{PPV + TPR}$ , where  $PPV = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$  and  $TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$ .
- Area under ROC: The two-dimensional area underneath the entire ROC curve (TPR/FPR) at varying thresholds) from (0,0) to (1,1), where  $FPR = \frac{FP}{FP+TN}$ .
- Matthew's Correlation Coefficient (MCC)  $\frac{TP \times TN FP \times FN}{\sqrt{(TP + FP) \times (TP + FN) \times (TN + FP) \times (TN + FN)}}.$

### **EVALUATION**

- Accuracy:  $\frac{TP+TN}{TP+FP+FN+TN}$  (not well-suited to the Bosch dataset, given its high class imbalance).
- $F_1$ -score:  $2 \times \frac{PPV \times TPR}{PPV + TPR}$ , where  $PPV = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$  and  $TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$ .
- Area under ROC: The two-dimensional area underneath the entire ROC curve (TPR/FPR) at varying thresholds from (0,0) to (1,1), where  $FPR = \frac{FP}{FP+TN}$ .
- Matthew's Correlation Coefficient (MCC)  $\frac{TP \times TN FP \times FN}{\sqrt{(TP + FP) \times (TP + FN) \times (TN + FP) \times (TN + FN)}}.$

- Accuracy:  $\frac{TP+TN}{TP+FP+FN+TN}$  (not well-suited to the Bosch dataset, given its high class imbalance).
- $F_1$ -score:  $2 \times \frac{PPV \times TPR}{PPV + TPR}$ , where  $PPV = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$  and  $TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$ .
- Area under ROC: The two-dimensional area underneath the entire ROC curve (TPR/FPR) at varying thresholds) from (0,0) to (1,1), where  $FPR = \frac{FP}{FP+TN}$ .
- Matthew's Correlation Coefficient (MCC)  $\frac{TP \times TN FP \times FN}{\sqrt{(TP+FP) \times (TP+FN) \times (TN+FP) \times (TN+FN)}}.$

### **EVALUATION**

- Accuracy:  $\frac{TP+TN}{TP+FP+FN+TN}$  (not well-suited to the Bosch dataset, given its high class imbalance).
- $F_1$ -score:  $2 \times \frac{PPV \times TPR}{PPV + TPR}$ , where  $PPV = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$  and  $TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$ .
- Area under ROC: The two-dimensional area underneath the entire ROC curve (TPR/FPR) at varying thresholds) from (0,0) to (1,1), where  $FPR = \frac{FP}{FP+TN}$ .
- Matthew's Correlation Coefficient (MCC):

$$\frac{TP \times TN - FP \times FN}{\sqrt{(TP+FP) \times (TP+FN) \times (TN+FP) \times (TN+FN)}}.$$

# EXECUTION

- Spark 3.0.0 is not yet available on the AWS EMR service (the last version that can be used is 2.4.4).
- Spark 3.0.0 could be manually installed to EC2 clusters, but the Flintrock service already provides some shortcuts to create the desidered clusters and automatically install the selected Spark/Hadoop version.
- Unfortunately, Flintrock was not tested with Spark 3.0.0, which in some installations relies on Java 11 (as opposed to Spark 2.4.4 which simply uses Java 8).

- **Spark 3.0.0** is not yet available on the AWS **EMR** service (the last version that can be used is **2.4.4**).
- Spark 3.0.0 could be manually installed to EC2 clusters, but the Flintrock service already provides some shortcuts to create the desidered clusters and automatically install the selected Spark/Hadoop version.
- Unfortunately, Flintrock was not tested with Spark 3.0.0, which in some installations relies on Java 11 (as opposed to Spark 2.4.4 which simply uses Java 8).

- **Spark 3.0.0** is not yet available on the AWS **EMR** service (the last version that can be used is **2.4.4**).
- Spark 3.0.0 could be manually installed to EC2 clusters, but the Flintrock service already provides some shortcuts to create the desidered clusters and automatically install the selected Spark/Hadoop version.
- Unfortunately, Flintrock was not tested with Spark 3.0.0, which in some installations relies on Java 11 (as opposed to Spark 2.4.4 which simply uses Java 8).

### **MACHINES**

### • Local:

■ Type: Macbook Pro 16-inch 2019

■ CPU: 2.3 Ghz 8-Core Intel Core i9

■ RAM: 16 GB 2667 MHz DDR4

#### Cloud:

■ Type: t2.xlarge

■ CPU: 4 vCPUs based on Intel Xeon with Intel AVX, Intel Turbo

RAM: 16 GB

### **MACHINES**

### • Local:

■ Type: Macbook Pro 16-inch 2019

■ CPU: 2.3 Ghz 8-Core Intel Core i9

■ RAM: 16 GB 2667 MHz DDR4

### • Cloud:

■ Type: t2.xlarge

■ CPU: 4 vCPUs based on Intel Xeon with Intel AVX, Intel Turbo

■ RAM: 16 GB





### REFERENCES

Robert Tibshirani, Guenther Walther, and Trevor Hastie.

Estimating the number of clusters in a dataset via the gap statistic.

63:411-423, 2000.

Darui Zhang, Bin Xu, and Jasmine Wood.
Predict failures in production lines: A two-stage approach with clustering and supervised learning.

pages 2070-2074, 12 2016.