Table C.1: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------|---------|--|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value | |
| $SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$ | 0.1377 | 0.7107 | 0.0465 | 0.8293 | |
| $SP \Rightarrow h_{Wheat 1}$ | 11.1196 | 9e-04*** | 38.0902 | 0*** | |
| $SP \Rightarrow h_{Wheat 2}$ | 10.5923 | 0.0012*** | 38.1092 | 0*** | |
| $SP \Rightarrow h_{Wheat 3}$ | 18.7426 | 0*** | 33.4092 | 0*** | |
| $SP \Rightarrow h_{Wheat 4}$ | 4.3195 | 0.0381** | 25.4415 | 0*** | |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$ | 0.0038 | 0.951 | 0.8849 | 0.3472 | |
| $h_{Wheat 1} \Rightarrow SP$ | 0.2514 | 0.6163 | 0.036 | 0.8495 | |
| $h_{Wheat 2} \Rightarrow SP$ | 0.0334 | 0.8551 | 0.4265 | 0.5139 | |
| $h_{Wheat 3} \Rightarrow SP$ | 0.0137 | 0.9068 | 0.0069 | 0.934 | |
| $h_{Wheat 4} \Rightarrow SP$ | 0.0305 | 0.8615 | 1.1292 | 0.2883 | |

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.2: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$ | 0.6979 | 0.4039 | 0.4269 | 0.5137 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{KC Wheat 1}$ | 0.0295 | 0.8637 | 0.3998 | 0.5274 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{KC Wheat 2}$ | 0.212 | 0.6454 | 1.0279 | 0.3109 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{KC Wheat 3}$ | 0.2288 | 0.6326 | 1.6676 | 0.1969 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{KC Wheat 4}$ | 0.022 | 0.8822 | 4.9303 | 0.0267** |
| $h_{S \otimes P 500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0286 | 0.8657 | 0.8064 | 0.3695 |
| $h_{KC\ Wheat\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.2087 | 0.648 | 0.9992 | 0.3178 |
| $h_{KC\ Wheat\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.5236 | 0.4696 | 0.4435 | 0.5056 |
| $h_{KC\ Wheat\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0428 | 0.8362 | 0.0082 | 0.9281 |
| $h_{KC\ Wheat\ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.408 | 0.5233 | 0 | 0.997 |

The table reports the results of the Granger causality test between the first differences of conditional volatility and the first differences of speculation index during pre-financialisation period and financialisation period. CV and SI represent conditional volatility and speculation index respectively. Speculation index is measured by $\frac{Non-commercial\ Long\ Position}{Total\ Open\ Interest}$ following Robles and Von Braun (2010).

Aulerich, Nicole M., Scott H. Irwin, and Philip Garcia. 2014. "Bubbles, Food Prices, and Speculation: Evidence from the CFTC's Daily Large Trader." In The Economics of Food Price Volatility, edited by Jean-Paul Chavas, David Hummels, and Brian D. Wright, 211–53. October. University of Chicago Press. http://www.nber.org/chapters/c12814.

Brunetti, Celso, and Bahattin Büyükşahin. 2011. "Is Speculation Destabilizing?" https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1393524.

Irwin, Scott H., Dwight R. Sanders, and Robert P. Merrin. 2009. "Devil or Angel? The Role of Speculation in the Recent Commodity Price Boom (and Bust)." Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics 41 (2): 377–91. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1074070800002856.

Mou, Yiqun. 2011. "Limits to Arbitrage and Commodity Index Investment: Front-Running the Goldman Roll." http://ssrn.com/abstract=1716841.

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.3: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \& P500}$ | 1.2711 | 0.26 | 3.7968 | 0.0517* |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Corn\ 1}$ | 0.0341 | 0.8535 | 0.2511 | 0.6164 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Corn\ 2}$ | 0.2721 | 0.6021 | 0.288 | 0.5917 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Corn 3}$ | 1.6521 | 0.1992 | 0.0536 | 0.817 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Corn 4}$ | 1.124 | 0.2895 | 0.3682 | 0.5442 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0104 | 0.919 | 2.8446 | 0.0921* |
| $h_{Corn\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.133 | 0.7155 | 1.3886 | 0.239 |
| $h_{Corn\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.1097 | 0.7407 | 1.5915 | 0.2075 |
| $h_{Corn 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.5417 | 0.462 | 3.4086 | 0.0652* |
| $h_{Corn \not 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.9148 | 0.167 | 0.6159 | 0.4328 |

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.4: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$ | 4e-04 | 0.9837 | 1.4377 | 0.2309 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean\ 1}$ | 1.78 | 0.1827 | 3.47 | 0.0628* |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean 2}$ | 2.4997 | 0.1144 | 4.4202 | 0.0358** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean 3}$ | 0.0052 | 0.9428 | 5.7252 | 0.0169** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean 4}$ | 0.0019 | 0.9652 | 2.1352 | 0.1443 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0221 | 0.8818 | 0.4142 | 0.52 |
| $h_{Soybean\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.37 | 0.5432 | 0 | 0.9989 |
| $h_{Soybean 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.5962 | 0.207 | 0.035 | 0.8517 |
| $h_{Soybean 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 3.1099 | 0.0784* | 0.1338 | 0.7146 |
| $h_{Soybean 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 4.5715 | 0.0329** | 0.3691 | 0.5437 |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.5: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|--|----------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \& P500}$ | 1.959 | 0.1622 | 2.3582 | 0.125 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ oil \ 1}$ | 0.4892 | 0.4846 | 4.924 | 0.0268** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ oil \ 2}$ | 1.4662 | 0.2265 | 3.93 | 0.0478** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ oil \ 3}$ | 4.0184 | 0.0455** | 3.8282 | 0.0507* |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ oil \ 4}$ | 6.3101 | 0.0123** | 5.5599 | 0.0186** |
| $h_{S \otimes P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.1734 | 0.6772 | 2.9571 | 0.0859* |
| $h_{Soybean\ oil\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.39 | 0.5325 | 0.0052 | 0.9424 |
| $h_{Soybean\ oil\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.2011 | 0.654 | 0.0066 | 0.9353 |
| $h_{Soybean\ oil\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.2203 | 0.639 | 0.0499 | 0.8233 |
| $h_{Soybean\ oil\ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.5917 | 0.4421 | 0.127 | 0.7217 |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.6: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \bowtie P500}$ | 2.2601 | 0.1333 | 0.0451 | 0.8319 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Oats\ 1}$ | 0.0233 | 0.8788 | 3.7464 | 0.0533* |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Oats 2}$ | 1.0486 | 0.3063 | 2.4771 | 0.1159 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Oats \ 3}$ | 0.485 | 0.4864 | 2.5853 | 0.1082 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0015 | 0.9692 | 0.2509 | 0.6165 |
| $h_{Oats\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.7478 | 0.3875 | 0.1548 | 0.6941 |
| $h_{Oats 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.9659 | 0.1614 | 0.3089 | 0.5785 |
| $h_{Oats \ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.4807 | 0.4884 | 0.4138 | 0.5202 |

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.7: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{SP500}$ | 0.3172 | 0.5736 | 1.21 | 0.2717 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{MPLS \ Wheat \ 1}$ | 0.1893 | 0.6637 | 0.245 | 0.6208 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{MPLS \ Wheat \ 2}$ | 1.4204 | 0.234 | 0.0386 | 0.8443 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{MPLS \ Wheat \ 3}$ | 1.6065 | 0.2056 | 0.1314 | 0.7171 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{MPLS \ Wheat \ 4}$ | 0.8042 | 0.3703 | 0.1831 | 0.6688 |
| $h_{SP500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.6425 | 0.4232 | 0.2411 | 0.6235 |
| $h_{MPLS \ Wheat \ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.5771 | 0.4479 | 0.1782 | 0.673 |
| $h_{MPLS Wheat 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.6275 | 0.4287 | 0.2005 | 0.6544 |
| $h_{MPLS Wheat 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.8077 | 0.3693 | 0.5085 | 0.476 |
| $h_{MPLS\ Wheat\ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.4875 | 0.4854 | 0.04 | 0.8415 |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.8: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|---|----------------------|----------|------------------|---------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S\&P500}$ | 0.1621 | 0.6873 | 0.0033 | 0.9542 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ meal \ 1}$ | 5.3949 | 0.0205** | 0.0029 | 0.9574 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ meal \ 2}$ | 5.0594 | 0.0249** | 0.1628 | 0.6867 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ meal \ 3}$ | 5.619 | 0.0181** | 0.404 | 0.5252 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ meal \ 4}$ | 4.0904 | 0.0436** | 0.9364 | 0.3335 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.949 | 0.3304 | 0.0078 | 0.9297 |
| $h_{Soybean \ meal \ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.638 | 0.4248 | 0.0132 | 0.9085 |
| $h_{Soybean \ meal \ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.9763 | 0.1603 | 0.0067 | 0.9349 |
| $h_{Soybean \ meal \ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 4.1275 | 0.0427** | 0.0085 | 0.9264 |
| $h_{Soybean \ meal \ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 3.7947 | 0.0519* | 0.0388 | 0.844 |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.9: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{SP500}$ | 1.5674 | 0.2112 | 0.109 | 0.7414 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Rough\ rice\ 1}$ | 0.0216 | 0.8831 | 0.2365 | 0.6269 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Rough\ rice\ 2}$ | 1.4773 | 0.2248 | 0.5645 | 0.4527 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Rough\ rice\ 3}$ | 0.2849 | 0.5937 | 1.4209 | 0.2336 |
| $h_{SP500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.1076 | 0.7431 | 0.2999 | 0.5841 |
| $h_{Rough\ rice\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.1352 | 0.7133 | 0.0021 | 0.9637 |
| $h_{Rough\ rice\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.3188 | 0.2514 | 0.3686 | 0.544 |
| $h_{Rough\ rice\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.7979 | 0.3722 | 0.6545 | 0.4187 |

^{* ⇒} means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.10: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$ | 0.2638 | 0.6077 | 4.0101 | 0.0456** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Coffee\ 1}$ | 0.0017 | 0.9673 | 0.6828 | 0.4089 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Coffee\ 2}$ | 0.0977 | 0.7547 | 0.7 | 0.403 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Coffee \ 3}$ | 0.506 | 0.4772 | 0.6852 | 0.408 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Coffee \ 4}$ | 0.2891 | 0.591 | 0.8398 | 0.3597 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 4.3659 | 0.0371** | 0.2967 | 0.5861 |
| $h_{Coffee 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0279 | 0.8674 | 2.2692 | 0.1324 |
| $h_{Coffee 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0066 | 0.9351 | 2.2716 | 0.1321 |
| $h_{Coffee 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0021 | 0.9635 | 2.3509 | 0.1256 |
| $h_{Coffee 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0074 | 0.9315 | 2.2411 | 0.1348 |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.11: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$ | 2e-04 | 0.989 | 1.1124 | 0.2919 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Sugar\ 1}$ | 1.6395 | 0.2009 | 0.0186 | 0.8915 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Sugar\ 3}$ | 0.0015 | 0.9696 | 0.0239 | 0.8772 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Sugar 4}$ | 0.8376 | 0.3605 | 0.1632 | 0.6864 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.8014 | 0.3711 | 0.1293 | 0.7193 |
| $h_{Sugar\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.4949 | 0.482 | 1.0138 | 0.3143 |
| $h_{Sugar 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.6456 | 0.422 | 0.1686 | 0.6815 |
| $h_{Sugar\ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.6953 | 0.4047 | 0.5382 | 0.4634 |

^{* ⇒} means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.12: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness) $\,$

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$ | 0.0104 | 0.9189 | 4.2492 | 0.0396** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Cocoa\ 1}$ | 0.0145 | 0.9043 | 4e-04 | 0.9835 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Cocoa\ 2}$ | 0.2479 | 0.6188 | 1.8133 | 0.1785 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Cocoa\ 3}$ | 0.8371 | 0.3606 | 1.9103 | 0.1673 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Cocoa 4}$ | 0.8034 | 0.3705 | 0.9362 | 0.3335 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.3221 | 0.5706 | 0.5133 | 0.4739 |
| $h_{Cocoa\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.6622 | 0.4161 | 4.2035 | 0.0407** |
| $h_{Cocoa\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.4552 | 0.5002 | 5.1645 | 0.0233** |
| $h_{Cocoa\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.1847 | 0.6675 | 5.3871 | 0.0205** |
| $h_{Cocoa} _{4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0357 | 0.8501 | 4.4194 | 0.0358** |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.13: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness) $\,$

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------|---------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \& P500}$ | 1e-04 | 0.9933 | 1.0334 | 0.3097 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Cotton\ 1}$ | 2.649 | 0.1042 | 0.1138 | 0.736 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Cotton 2}$ | 3.0114 | 0.0832* | 1.6872 | 0.1943 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Cotton 3}$ | 2.4067 | 0.1214 | 4.1084 | 0.043** |
| $IR \not\Rightarrow h_{Cotton \ 4}$ | 4.6341 | 0.0318** | 3.4395 | 0.064* |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.3441 | 0.5577 | 0.4252 | 0.5145 |
| $h_{Cotton\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.3057 | 0.5806 | 0.0202 | 0.887 |
| $h_{Cotton 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 2.2193 | 0.1368 | 0.0962 | 0.7566 |
| $h_{Cotton 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.1811 | 0.6706 | 1e-04 | 0.9918 |
| $h_{Cotton \ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.9153 | 0.3391 | 0.0306 | 0.8611 |

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.14: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financi | ialisation |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$ | 5.2833 | 0.0219** | 0.8537 | 0.3558 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Orange\ juice\ 2}$ | 0.5497 | 0.4588 | 3.7767 | 0.0523* |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Orange\ juice\ 3}$ | 1.1423 | 0.2856 | 3.8795 | 0.0492** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Orange\ juice\ 4}$ | 0.0971 | 0.7555 | 5.2366 | 0.0224** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Orange\ juice\ 5}$ | 0.0018 | 0.9658 | 5.7591 | 0.0166** |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.5128 | 0.2192 | 0.0147 | 0.9034 |
| $h_{Orange\ juice\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.006 | 0.9381 | 1.3089 | 0.2529 |
| $h_{Orange\ juice\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0232 | 0.8791 | 0.6968 | 0.4041 |
| $h_{Orange\ juice\ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.011 | 0.9166 | 1.0343 | 0.3094 |
| $h_{Orange\ juice\ 5} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0094 | 0.9227 | 1.0561 | 0.3044 |

The table reports the results of the Granger causality test between the first differences of conditional volatility and the first differences of speculation index during pre-financialisation period and financialisation period. CV and SI represent conditional volatility and speculation index respectively. Speculation index is measured by $\frac{Non-commercial\ Long\ Position}{Total\ Open\ Interest}$ following Robles and Von Braun (2010).

Table C.15: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S eep500}$ | 0.0344 | 0.853 | 0.0212 | 0.8844 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Lumber\ 1}$ | 0.3568 | 0.5505 | 0 | 0.9973 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Lumber\ 2}$ | 2.2688 | 0.1326 | 0.0201 | 0.8872 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.5991 | 0.4392 | 0.0482 | 0.8264 |
| $h_{Lumber\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.5334 | 0.4655 | 2.4345 | 0.1191 |
| $h_{Lumber\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0054 | 0.9414 | 0.7187 | 0.3968 |

Note:

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.16: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \bowtie P500}$ | 0.7877 | 0.3752 | 8.9811 | 0.0028*** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Live\ cattle\ 1}$ | 0.6535 | 0.4192 | 0.3964 | 0.5292 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Live\ cattle\ 2}$ | 0.1768 | 0.6743 | 0.0507 | 0.8219 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Live\ cattle\ 3}$ | 2.0723 | 0.1505 | 0.1939 | 0.6598 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Live\ cattle\ 4}$ | 0.5081 | 0.4763 | 4.731 | 0.0299** |
| $h_{S \otimes P 500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0373 | 0.8468 | 0.0709 | 0.7902 |
| $h_{Live\ cattle\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0158 | 0.9 | 2.5863 | 0.1082 |
| $h_{Live\ cattle\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.0325 | 0.857 | 4.9264 | 0.0267** |
| $h_{Live\ cattle\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.1974 | 0.657 | 7.6041 | 0.006*** |
| $h_{Live\ cattle\ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.4427 | 0.2302 | 4.1813 | 0.0412** |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.17: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness) $\,$

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financ | ialisation |
|--|----------------------|---------|-------------|------------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$ | 0.0606 | 0.8057 | 1.2379 | 0.2662 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 1}$ | 0.0534 | 0.8174 | 1.4773 | 0.2245 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 2}$ | 0.1062 | 0.7446 | 1.3763 | 0.2411 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 3}$ | 0.2675 | 0.6052 | 1.6739 | 0.1961 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 4}$ | 0.1076 | 0.743 | 2.7411 | 0.0982* |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.0944 | 0.2959 | 0.127 | 0.7216 |
| $h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.0805 | 0.299 | 0.0154 | 0.9013 |
| $h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.1096 | 0.2926 | 0.1396 | 0.7088 |
| $h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 2.3101 | 0.1291 | 2e-04 | 0.9884 |
| $h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.7892 | 0.3747 | 0.6545 | 0.4187 |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.18: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness)

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financi | ialisation |
|--|----------------------|---------|-------------|------------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \& P500}$ | 0.0046 | 0.9461 | 0.968 | 0.3255 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Heating \ oil \ 1}$ | 0.479 | 0.4891 | 2.5158 | 0.1131 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Heating \ oil \ 2}$ | 1.2205 | 0.2697 | 2.7101 | 0.1001 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Heating \ oil \ 3}$ | 0.7393 | 0.3902 | 2.8641 | 0.091* |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Heating \ oil \ 4}$ | 0.9521 | 0.3296 | 3.6602 | 0.0561* |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.7922 | 0.3738 | 0.6904 | 0.4063 |
| $h_{Heating\ oil\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.4116 | 0.2353 | 0.2106 | 0.6464 |
| $h_{Heating\ oil\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.4086 | 0.5229 | 0.0757 | 0.7833 |
| $h_{Heating\ oil\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.1885 | 0.6643 | 0.0105 | 0.9183 |
| $h_{Heating\ oil\ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.4116 | 0.5214 | 0 | 0.9962 |

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.19: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness) $\,$

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financi | ialisation |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \& P500}$ | 1.8281 | 0.1769 | 0.1153 | 0.7342 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Natural\ gas\ 1}$ | 1.5143 | 0.219 | 0.0169 | 0.8965 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Natural\ gas\ 2}$ | 5.139 | 0.0238** | 0.0768 | 0.7818 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Natural\ gas\ 3}$ | 2.6558 | 0.1037 | 1.3266 | 0.2497 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Natural\ gas\ 4}$ | 2.167 | 0.1416 | 1.5312 | 0.2163 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.6899 | 0.4065 | 0.1532 | 0.6956 |
| $h_{Natural\ gas\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.3856 | 0.5349 | 1.0253 | 0.3116 |
| $h_{Natural\ gas\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.0426 | 0.3076 | 0.5627 | 0.4534 |
| $h_{Natural\ gas\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.7751 | 0.379 | 0.4247 | 0.5148 |
| $h_{Natural\ gas\ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.7199 | 0.3965 | 1.1333 | 0.2874 |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.20: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness) $\,$

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------|---------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \& P500}$ | 0.5896 | 0.4429 | 0.0129 | 0.9098 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Gold\ 1}$ | 12.2889 | 5e-04*** | 0.6041 | 0.4372 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Gold\ 2}$ | 12.3623 | 5e-04*** | 0.0398 | 0.842 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Gold 3}$ | 12.4843 | 4e-04*** | 0.754 | 0.3855 |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Gold \ 4}$ | 14.3992 | 2e-04*** | 0.3697 | 0.5434 |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.9286 | 0.3356 | 4e-04 | 0.9836 |
| $h_{Gold 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 3.657 | 0.0563* | 0 | 0.9951 |
| $h_{Gold 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 3.7362 | 0.0537* | 0.1781 | 0.6731 |
| $h_{Gold 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 3.8059 | 0.0516* | 0.2043 | 0.6514 |
| $h_{Gold \ 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 3.5506 | 0.06* | 0 | 0.9974 |

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.21: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculation index (robustness) $\frac{1}{2}$

| | Pre-financialisation | | Financialisation | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|
| Null Hypothesis | F Statistic | p-value | F Statistic | p-value |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$ | 0.3229 | 0.5701 | 2.8752 | 0.0903* |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Copper\ 1}$ | 1.2237 | 0.2691 | 9.0731 | 0.0027*** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Copper\ 2}$ | 0.6404 | 0.4239 | 10.1161 | 0.0015*** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Copper\ 3}$ | 0.2945 | 0.5876 | 12.7651 | 4e-04*** |
| $IR \Rightarrow h_{Copper 4}$ | 0.2249 | 0.6355 | 10.7818 | 0.0011*** |
| $h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.7693 | 0.184 | 1.0983 | 0.2949 |
| $h_{Copper\ 1} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.082 | 0.7748 | 0.3254 | 0.5685 |
| $h_{Copper\ 2} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.8539 | 0.3558 | 0.0939 | 0.7593 |
| $h_{Copper\ 3} \Rightarrow IR$ | 0.8463 | 0.358 | 0.1338 | 0.7146 |
| $h_{Copper 4} \Rightarrow IR$ | 1.339 | 0.2477 | 0.2957 | 0.5867 |

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.