Table C.1: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financialisation	
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \& P 500}$	0.1377	0.7107	0.0465	0.8293
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Wheat 1}$	11.1196	9e-04***	38.0902	0***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Wheat 2}$	10.5923	0.0012***	38.1092	0***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Wheat 3}$	18.7426	0***	33.4092	0***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Wheat 4}$	4.3195	0.0381**	25.4415	0***
$h_{S \otimes P 500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0038	0.951	0.8849	0.3472
$h_{Wheat 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.2514	0.6163	0.036	0.8495
$h_{Wheat 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0334	0.8551	0.4265	0.5139
$h_{Wheat 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0137	0.9068	0.0069	0.934
$h_{Wheat 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0305	0.8615	1.1292	0.2883

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.2:	Granger	causality	test	between	conditional	volatility	and	speculative
pressure (re	bustness))						

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	0.0236	0.8779	0.4133	0.5205
$SP \Rightarrow h_{KC\ Wheat\ 1}$	2.8871	0.0898*	21.2032	0***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{KC\ Wheat\ 2}$	0.3732	0.5415	20.2163	0***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{KC\ Wheat\ 3}$	0.2903	0.5902	22.0564	0***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{KC\ Wheat\ 4}$	0.5543	0.4569	19.308	0***
$h_{S \otimes P 500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0058	0.9392	0.3013	0.5832
$h_{KC\ Wheat\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	1.057	0.3043	0.8142	0.3671
$h_{KC\ Wheat\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	2.2812	0.1315	1.0864	0.2976
$h_{KC\ Wheat\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	1.4204	0.2338	0.5892	0.4429
$h_{KC\ Wheat\ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	1.2621	0.2617	0.1277	0.7209

The table reports the results of the Granger causality test between the first differences of conditional volatility and the first differences of speculative pressure during pre-financialisation period and financialisation period. h and SP represent conditional volatility and speculative pressure respectively. Speculative pressure is measured by $\frac{NCL-NCS}{NCL+NCS}$ following De Roon, Nijman, and Veld (2000) and Sanders, Boris, and Manfredo (2004) where NCL represents non-commercial long position and NCS represents non-commercial short position.

* \$\noting \text{means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Aulerich, Nicole M., Scott H. Irwin, and Philip Garcia. 2014. "Bubbles, Food Prices, and Speculation: Evidence from the CFTC's Daily Large Trader." In The Economics of Food Price Volatility, edited by Jean-Paul Chavas, David Hummels, and Brian D. Wright, 211–53. October. University of Chicago Press. http://www.nber.org/chapters/c12814.

Brunetti, Celso, and Bahattin Büyükşahin. 2011. "Is Speculation Destabilizing?" https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1393524.

Irwin, Scott H., Dwight R. Sanders, and Robert P. Merrin. 2009. "Devil or Angel? The Role of Speculation in the Recent Commodity Price Boom (and Bust)." Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics 41 (2): 377–91. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1074070800002856.

Mou, Yiqun. 2011. "Limits to Arbitrage and Commodity Index Investment:

Table C.3: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financialisation	
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	0.6368	0.4252	0.9053	0.3417
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Corn\ 1}$	4.6575	0.0313**	1.134	0.2872
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Corn\ 2}$	10.986	0.001***	0.4475	0.5037
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Corn 3}$	15.8495	1e-04***	4.2136	0.0404**
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Corn 4}$	18.8345	0***	1.5171	0.2184
$h_{S \otimes P 500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.3117	0.5769	0.8954	0.3443
$h_{Corn\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.1027	0.7487	0.0479	0.8268
$h_{Corn\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0418	0.838	0.1494	0.6992
$h_{Corn 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.131	0.7175	2e-04	0.9888
$h_{Corn \ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.3658	0.5455	0.5582	0.4552

The table reports the results of the Granger causality test between the first differences of conditional volatility and the first differences of speculative pressure during pre-financialisation period and financialisation period. h and SP represent conditional volatility and speculative pressure respectively. Speculative pressure is measured by $\frac{NCL-NCS}{NCL+NCS}$ following De Roon, Nijman, and Veld (2000) and Sanders, Boris, and Manfredo (2004) where NCL represents non-commercial long position and NCS represents non-commercial short position.

Front-Running the Goldman Roll." http://ssrn.com/abstract=1716841.

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.4: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	lalisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	0.135	0.7134	0.9945	0.3189
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean\ 1}$	1.0297	0.3107	1.377	0.2409
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean 2}$	0.0537	0.8169	0.4516	0.5018
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean 3}$	0.2876	0.5919	0.004	0.9494
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean 4}$	0.6196	0.4315	0.5959	0.4404
$h_{S \otimes P 500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0971	0.7555	0.1764	0.6746
$h_{Soybean\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.086	0.7695	0.0871	0.768
$h_{Soybean 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0729	0.7872	0.0614	0.8043
$h_{Soybean 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.423	0.5157	0.0026	0.9597
$h_{Soybean 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.5157	0.473	0.0169	0.8966

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.5: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$	2.0297	0.1548	0.2904	0.5901
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ oil \ 1}$	1.0759	0.3001	0.0225	0.8809
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ oil \ 2}$	0.3699	0.5433	0.182	0.6697
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ oil \ 3}$	2e-04	0.9901	0.5772	0.4476
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ oil \ 4}$	0.0506	0.8222	0.1312	0.7173
$h_{S \otimes P500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.1995	0.6553	2.5197	0.1128
$h_{Soybean\ oil\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.055	0.8147	1.186	0.2765
$h_{Soybean \ oil \ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0107	0.9177	1.6002	0.2062
$h_{Soybean \ oil \ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0306	0.8613	2.1216	0.1456
$h_{Soybean\ oil\ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0635	0.8011	2.3569	0.1251

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.6: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$	0.913	0.3397	0.8344	0.3613
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Oats\ 1}$	1.074	0.3005	2.907	0.0886*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Oats \ 2}$	2.422	0.1202	3.4414	0.0639*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Oats 3}$	2.9355	0.0872*	3.5564	0.0597*
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	3.892	0.049**	0.4132	0.5205
$h_{Oats \ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.1997	0.6551	0.4062	0.5241
$h_{Oats\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0329	0.8562	0.277	0.5988
$h_{Oats \ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0478	0.827	0.053	0.8179

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.7: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financialisation	
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{SP500}$	1.7153	0.191	0.0783	0.7797
$SP \Rightarrow h_{MPLS \ Wheat \ 1}$	8.646	0.0034***	0.8022	0.3707
$SP \Rightarrow h_{MPLS \ Wheat \ 2}$	11.959	6e-04***	1.7196	0.1901
$SP \Rightarrow h_{MPLS \ Wheat \ 3}$	9.3373	0.0024***	1.8918	0.1694
$SP \Rightarrow h_{MPLS Wheat 4}$	7.7079	0.0057***	2.5942	0.1077
$h_{SP500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.4694	0.4936	0.0456	0.8309
$h_{MPLS\ Wheat\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	1.7875	0.1819	0.198	0.6565
$h_{MPLS \ Wheat \ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	1.0302	0.3107	0.2192	0.6398
$h_{MPLS\ Wheat\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.5351	0.4649	0.8289	0.3629
$h_{MPLS\ Wheat\ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.4105	0.522	0.8821	0.3479

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.8: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$	0.5028	0.4786	0.1491	0.6995
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ meal \ 1}$	0.5431	0.4615	2.7198	0.0995*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ meal \ 2}$	0.8142	0.3673	4.6146	0.032**
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ meal \ 3}$	1.2711	0.26	6.2546	0.0126**
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Soybean \ meal \ 4}$	1.9837	0.1595	3.8742	0.0494**
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.3236	0.5697	1.8906	0.1695
$h_{Soybean meal 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.4624	0.4968	0.1196	0.7295
$h_{Soybean meal 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.4755	0.4907	0.6961	0.4043
$h_{Soybean meal 3} \Rightarrow SP$	1.3356	0.2483	0.2953	0.587
$h_{Soybean \ meal \ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	1.2501	0.264	0.5448	0.4607

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.9: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financ	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{SP500}$	1.6715	0.1967	0.817	0.3663
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Rough\ rice\ 1}$	0.1707	0.6796	0.2747	0.6003
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Rough\ rice\ 2}$	2.3859	0.1231	0.3633	0.5468
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Rough\ rice\ 3}$	2.3046	0.1297	1e-04	0.9912
$h_{SP500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.2147	0.6434	2.7582	0.0971*
$h_{Rough\ rice\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.1699	0.6803	0.0928	0.7607
$h_{Rough\ rice\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0413	0.8391	0.2654	0.6066
$h_{Rough\ rice\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.7707	0.3804	1.2802	0.2582

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.10: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	0.1213	0.7277	11.2426	8e-04***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Coffee\ 1}$	4.7663	0.0294**	7.1995	0.0074***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Coffee\ 2}$	2.9067	0.0888*	7.8304	0.0053***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Coffee \ 3}$	3.5477	0.0601*	8.7666	0.0032***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Coffee \ 4}$	2.7191	0.0997*	8.2308	0.0042***
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	6.4542	0.0113**	0.2367	0.6267
$h_{Coffee 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0254	0.8735	0.8119	0.3678
$h_{Coffee} \underset{2}{\Rightarrow} SP$	4e-04	0.9831	0.3564	0.5507
$h_{Coffee 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.2836	0.5945	0.6499	0.4204
$h_{Coffee \ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.3598	0.5488	0.5593	0.4548

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.11: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$	0.167	0.6829	1.6448	0.2
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Sugar\ 1}$	0.8092	0.3687	0.7591	0.3839
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Sugar\ 3}$	0.3045	0.5813	1.4063	0.236
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Sugar 4}$	0.1446	0.7039	0.6528	0.4194
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.6614	0.4164	0.0146	0.9039
$h_{Sugar\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0832	0.7731	3.9932	0.046**
$h_{Sugar\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.126	0.7228	2.2092	0.1376
$h_{Sugar 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.273	0.6015	4.0054	0.0457**

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.12: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financialisation	
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	0.0183	0.8923	0.0082	0.9277
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Cocoa\ 1}$	2.4583	0.1175	39.3379	0***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Cocoa\ 2}$	3.031	0.0822*	39.5397	0***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Cocoa\ 3}$	3.8053	0.0516*	42.2556	0***
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Cocoa\ 4}$	3.5557	0.0599*	44.2814	0***
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0035	0.953	0.2451	0.6207
$h_{Cocoa\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.2647	0.6071	4.1673	0.0415**
$h_{Cocoa\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.3167	0.5738	5.7872	0.0164**
$h_{Cocoa\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.1404	0.708	6.2156	0.0129**
$h_{Cocoa\ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0625	0.8027	5.2859	0.0217**

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.13: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financialisation	
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	0.7437	0.3888	0.5735	0.4491
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Cotton\ 1}$	11.1898	9e-04***	2.1999	0.1384
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Cotton\ 2}$	0.7201	0.3965	2.7502	0.0976*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Cotton \ 3}$	0.6048	0.4371	3.5613	0.0595*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Cotton \ 4}$	0.7278	0.394	2.9349	0.0871*
$h_{S \otimes P 500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0088	0.9254	0.0439	0.8341
$h_{Cotton\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	1.5273	0.217	0.184	0.6681
$h_{Cotton 2} \Rightarrow SP$	1.4715	0.2256	0	0.9978
$h_{Cotton 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.9641	0.3266	0.0267	0.8701
$h_{Cotton 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.564	0.453	0.2334	0.6292

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.14: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financialisation	
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \& P500}$	0.2572	0.6123	3.4256	0.0645*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Orange\ juice\ 2}$	0.3448	0.5573	0.0259	0.8722
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Orange\ juice\ 3}$	0.5872	0.4438	0.1839	0.6682
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Orange\ juice\ 4}$	0.0224	0.8812	0.0138	0.9067
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Orange\ juice\ 5}$	0.4573	0.4992	0.0072	0.9325
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0832	0.7731	0.0381	0.8454
$h_{Orange\ juice\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0128	0.9099	0.1477	0.7009
$h_{Orange\ juice\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0157	0.9004	0.2327	0.6297
$h_{Orange\ juice\ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0126	0.9107	0.159	0.6901
$h_{Orange\ juice\ 5} \Rightarrow SP$	0.005	0.9435	0.1254	0.7234

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.15: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	0.0759	0.783	3.5173	0.0611*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Lumber\ 1}$	0.6892	0.4068	3.7847	0.0521*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Lumber\ 2}$	0.9178	0.3385	4.9338	0.0266**
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	1.1916	0.2755	0.0104	0.9186
$h_{Lumber\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	5.0347	0.0252**	0.0093	0.9231
$h_{Lumber\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	2.8179	0.0938*	0.2028	0.6526

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.16: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financialisation	
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	0.1544	0.6946	0.5321	0.4659
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Live\ cattle\ 1}$	1.0748	0.3003	1.8828	0.1704
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Live\ cattle\ 2}$	0.2015	0.6537	0.8726	0.3505
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Live\ cattle\ 3}$	0.0549	0.8148	2.5245	0.1125
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Live\ cattle\ 4}$	0.0791	0.7787	9.4211	0.0022***
$h_{S \otimes P 500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.2689	0.6043	1.3903	0.2387
$h_{Live\ cattle\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	4e-04	0.9836	0.0213	0.884
$h_{Live\ cattle\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.2128	0.6447	0.1781	0.6731
$h_{Live\ cattle\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0125	0.9109	0.4276	0.5134
$h_{Live\ cattle\ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.8074	0.3693	0.1632	0.6863

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.17: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S\&P500}$	1.3863	0.2395	0.3239	0.5694
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 1}$	0.2286	0.6328	0.0155	0.9011
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 2}$	0.0283	0.8665	1.501	0.2209
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 3}$	0.6574	0.4178	2.3772	0.1235
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 4}$	0.5796	0.4468	4.0396	0.0448**
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0668	0.7961	0.4785	0.4893
$h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.026	0.8721	0	0.9989
$h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	1.0394	0.3084	0.0053	0.942
$h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.1758	0.6752	0.0024	0.9612
$h_{Feeder\ cattle\ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0089	0.925	0.4934	0.4826

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.18: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	alisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S\&P500}$	0.0358	0.8499	2.8589	0.0912*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Heating \ oil \ 1}$	0.7586	0.3841	2.031	0.1545
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Heating \ oil \ 2}$	2.702	0.1008	3.2058	0.0737*
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Heating \ oil \ 3}$	3.6687	0.0559*	4.0243	0.0452**
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Heating \ oil \ 4}$	3.9101	0.0485**	5.7667	0.0166**
$h_{S \otimes P500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0237	0.8778	0.4768	0.4901
$h_{Heating\ oil\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0021	0.9635	1.285	0.2573
$h_{Heating\ oil\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.72	0.3965	0.6499	0.4204
$h_{Heating\ oil\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	1.3723	0.2419	0.1698	0.6804
$h_{Heating\ oil\ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	1.7156	0.1908	0.0015	0.9696

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.19: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financi	ialisation
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P500}$	1.4733	0.2253	0.9319	0.3346
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Natural\ gas\ 1}$	1e-04	0.9938	1.6581	0.1982
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Natural\ gas\ 2}$	0.1576	0.6915	1.3271	0.2496
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Natural\ gas\ 3}$	0.0629	0.8021	0.1096	0.7407
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Natural\ gas\ 4}$	0.0074	0.9313	0.0056	0.9403
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	2.339	0.1267	0.0778	0.7803
$h_{Natural\ gas\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.1188	0.7305	0.0191	0.8901
$h_{Natural\ gas\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.1552	0.6937	0.0092	0.9237
$h_{Natural\ gas\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.6057	0.4367	0.0067	0.9345
$h_{Natural\ gas\ 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.9313	0.3349	0.0145	0.9041

^{* \$\}Rightarrow\$ means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.20: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financialisation	
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	0.0077	0.9299	1.2485	0.2642
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Gold\ 1}$	5.6038	0.0183**	0.0466	0.8291
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Gold\ 2}$	5.8768	0.0157**	0.9643	0.3264
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Gold \ 3}$	5.4992	0.0194**	0.2898	0.5905
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Gold \ 4}$	6.5815	0.0106**	0.1245	0.7243
$h_{S\&P500} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0359	0.8497	0.9343	0.334
$h_{Gold\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0441	0.8337	0.0011	0.9734
$h_{Gold\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0617	0.8039	0.3794	0.5381
$h_{Gold 3} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0656	0.798	0.2934	0.5882
$h_{Gold 4} \Rightarrow SP$	0.0232	0.879	2e-04	0.9896

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.

Table C.21: Granger causality test between conditional volatility and speculative pressure (robustness)

	Pre-financialisation		Financialisation	
Null Hypothesis	F Statistic	p-value	F Statistic	p-value
$SP \Rightarrow h_{S \otimes P 500}$	2.0345	0.1543	4.0511	0.0445**
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Copper\ 1}$	0.0409	0.8397	0.5221	0.4702
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Copper\ 2}$	0.0894	0.765	0.7155	0.3979
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Copper\ 3}$	0.2891	0.591	1.3965	0.2376
$SP \Rightarrow h_{Copper\ 4}$	0.3617	0.5478	1.103	0.2939
$h_{S \otimes P 500} \Rightarrow SP$	1.7295	0.189	0.042	0.8377
$h_{Copper\ 1} \Rightarrow SP$	1.279	0.2586	0.0325	0.8569
$h_{Copper\ 2} \Rightarrow SP$	1.7374	0.188	0.0188	0.891
$h_{Copper\ 3} \Rightarrow SP$	1.4194	0.234	0.0605	0.8057
$h_{Copper 4} \Rightarrow SP$	1.7055	0.1921	0.001	0.9753

^{*} \Rightarrow means "does not Granger-cause". ***,**, and * denote statistical significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% level.