

# Chinese Remainder Theorem

Discrete Mathematics  
Andrei Bulatov

Discrete Mathematics – Chinese Remainder Theorem

34-2

## Previous Lecture

- Residues and arithmetic operations
- Caesar cipher
- Pseudorandom generators

Discrete Mathematics – Modular Arithmetic II

33-3

## Divisors of Zero

- It is not hard to see that the operation tables of addition looks similar for all  $m$
- It is not the case for multiplication. Consider

$\cdot$	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3
2	0	2	0	2
3	0	3	2	1

- A **proper divisor of 0** modulo  $m$  is a residue  $a$  such that there is  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  with  $a \cdot b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  has a proper divisor of zero.  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  does not.

Discrete Mathematics – Modular Arithmetic II

33-4

## Inverse

- A residue  $b$  modulo  $m$  is called an inverse of a residue  $a$  if  $a \cdot b \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , denoted  $a^{-1}$
- 3 is the inverse of 2 modulo 5
- 2 does not have an inverse modulo 4



### Theorem

Let  $a$  be residue modulo  $m$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- $a$  has an inverse;
- $a$  is not a proper divisor of 0;
- $a$  is relatively prime with  $m$ .

Discrete Mathematics – Modular Arithmetic II

33-5



## Inverse (cntd)

- **Proof.**
  - $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  By contraposition.  
Suppose  $a \cdot b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  for some  $b$ .  
Then  $a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot b \equiv a^{-1} \cdot 0 \pmod{m}$   
 $b \equiv 1 \cdot b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$
  - $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  By contraposition.  
Suppose  $\gcd(a, m) = d$  and  $a = ld, m = kd$ . Note that  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}$   
Then  $ak \equiv kld \equiv lm \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . Thus  $a$  is a proper divisor of 0.
  - $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$   
Suppose  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ . Then there are  $u, v$  with  $au + mv = 1$ .  
Thus  $au \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ ;  $a$  has an inverse.

Discrete Mathematics – Modular Arithmetic II

33-6

## Linear Congruences

- A congruence of the form  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  where  $m$  is a positive integer,  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, and  $x$  is a variable, is called a **linear congruence**.
- We will solve linear congruences
- If  $a$  is relatively prime with  $m$ , then it has the inverse  $a^{-1}$ . Then  $a^{-1} \cdot ax \equiv a^{-1} \cdot b \pmod{m}$   
 $x \equiv a^{-1} \cdot b \pmod{m}$
- Find the inverse of 3 modulo 7
- Solve the linear congruence  $3x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$

### The Chinese Remainder Theorem

- A linear congruence is similar to a single linear equation. What about systems of equations
- (Sun Tzu's puzzle, 400 – 460 BC):  
"There are certain things whose number is unknown. When divided by 3, the remainder is 2; when divided by 5, the remainder is 3; and when divided by 7, the remainder is 2. What will be the number of things?"

- This can be translated into the following question: What are the solutions of the system of congruences

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$

### The Chinese Remainder Theorem (cntd)

#### Theorem

Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  arbitrary integers. Then the system

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}$$

has a unique solution modulo  $m = m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_k$ . (That is, there is a solution  $x$  with  $0 \leq x < m$ , and all other solutions are congruent modulo  $m$  to this solution.)

### The Chinese Remainder Theorem (cntd)

#### Proof.

We construct a solution to this system

Set  $M_i = \frac{m}{m_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Thus  $M_i$  is the product of all the moduli except for  $m_i$

Since  $m_i$  and  $m_j$  are relatively prime when  $i \neq j$ ,  $\gcd(M_i, m_i) = 1$

Therefore  $M_i$  has the inverse modulo  $m_i$ , that is  $y_i$  such that

$$M_i y_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$$

Let us set  $x = a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \dots + a_k M_k y_k$

Note that  $M_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m_i}$  whenever  $i \neq j$ , all terms except for the  $i$ th term in this sum are congruent to 0 modulo  $m_i$ . As  $M_i y_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$  we have

$$x \equiv a_i M_i y_i \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$$

### Sun Tzu's Puzzle

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$

### Fermat's Theorem

- **Fermat's Great (Last) Theorem.**  
For any  $n > 2$ , the equation  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  does not have integer solutions  $x, y, z > 0$
- It had remained unproven for 358 years (posed in 1637, proved in 1995)
- Andrew Wiles proved it in 1995



### Fermat's Little Theorem

#### Fermat's Little Theorem.

If  $p$  is prime and  $a$  is an integer not divisible by  $p$ , then  
 $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

- Clearly, it suffices to consider only residues modulo  $p$ .

$Z_5$

$\cdot$	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	0	2	4	1	3
3	0	3	1	4	2
4	0	4	3	2	1

### Fermat's Little Theorem (cntd)

- Fermat's Little Theorem was improved by Euler
- Fermat's Little Theorem improved**  
For any integers  $m$  and  $a$  such that they are relatively prime  
$$a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
where  $\varphi(m)$  denotes the Euler totient function, the number of numbers  $0 < k < m$  relatively prime with  $m$
- Example:  $\mathbb{Z}_8$



### Public Key Cryptography

- Earlier we considered Caesar cipher. To encrypt and decrypt messages using this cipher one needs to know the key
- Caesar cipher uses the same key for encryption and decryption; it is secret, and if one knows the key he knows everything.
- Public key cryptosystems use a different approach
- Such a system uses different keys for encryption and decryption: Every person has a key for encryption, and can write an encrypted message  
But this does not help to decrypt the message

### RSA Cryptosystem

- RSA stands for the names of the inventors: Rivest, Shamir, Adleman



From left to right:  
Ron Rivest  
Adi Shamir  
Len Adleman

- RSA key: a modulus  $n = pq$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are large prime numbers (current standards are 128, 256, or 512 digits each),  $n$  is public while  $p$  and  $q$  are secret, and an exponent  $e$  relatively prime with  $(p-1)(q-1)$

### RSA Encryption

- In the RSA method, messages are translated into an integer (a short message) or a sequence of integers
- Let  $M$  be the **plaintext** (the original message). Then the ciphertext is the residue

$$C \equiv M^e \pmod{n}$$

- Example. Encrypt the message STOP using the RSA cryptosystem with  $p = 43$  and  $q = 59$ , so that  $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$ , and with  $e = 13$ .  
Note that  $\gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = \gcd(13, 42 \cdot 58) = 1$
- Solution. Translate the letters of STOP into their numerical equivalents and group them into groups of four: 1819 1415  
Encrypt them using  $C \equiv M^{13} \pmod{2537}$ . We get  
 $1819^{13} \equiv 2081 \pmod{2537}$  and  $1415^{13} \equiv 2182 \pmod{2537}$   
Thus, the encrypted message is 2081 2182

### RSA Decryption

- The decryption key  $d$  is the inverse of  $e$  modulo  $(p-1)(q-1)$ . It is secret!  
Since  $\gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1$ , the inverse exists.
- Indeed,  $de \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$ , therefore there is  $k$  such that  $de = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1)$ . Hence  
$$C^d \equiv (M^e)^d \equiv M^{de} \equiv M^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} \pmod{n}$$
Note that  $\varphi(n) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) = (p-1)(q-1)$   
By Fermat's Little Theorem,  $M^{k(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv (M^{\varphi(n)})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$   
Hence,  $C^d \equiv M \cdot M^{k(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv M \pmod{n}$   
Thus  $C^d \equiv M \pmod{n}$

### Example

- We receive the encrypted message 0981 0461. What is the plaintext if it was encrypted using the RSA cipher from the previous example.
- Solution  
The encryption keys were  $n = 43 \cdot 59$  and  $e = 13$ .  
It is not hard to see that  $d = 937$  is the inverse of 13 modulo  $42 \cdot 58 = 2436$ .  
Therefore to decrypt a cipher block  $C$ , we compute  
$$P \equiv C^{937} \pmod{n}$$
In our case we have  
 $0981^{937} \equiv 0704 \pmod{2537}$  and  $0461^{937} \equiv 1115 \pmod{2537}$   
Thus the plaintext is 0704 1115, that is HELP

### Why RSA Works

- The secrecy comes from the fact that it is incredibly difficult to find an inverse modulo a big number if we do not know it. And we do not know  $(p-1)(q-1)$ , as we do not know the prime decomposition of  $n = pq$ .
- However, it is also very difficult to find a prime decomposition of a number if its prime factors are big. The most efficient factorization method known requires billions of years of work of the fastest computers to factorize a 400-digit number.
- We need  $n$  to be the product of 2 prime numbers, because the method works only if the message is relatively prime with  $n$ . Thus  $n$  needs to have very few divisors.

### Homework

Exercises from the Book:

No. 1, 5, 9, 12, 20, 23 (page 696)