

Localizations of Models of Dependent Type Theory

Matteo Durante

Advisor: Hoang-Kim Nguyen

Coadvisor: Denis-Charles Cisinski

Regensburg University

June 22, 2022

Introduction

Dependent Type Theory is a candidate foundation for mathematics. Why is it interesting?

1. closely linked to *computations* and *computer science*, thereby allowing the creation of programming languages and software tools like Coq, Lean and Agda to formalize and check mathematical reasoning and even automatically generate some tedious bits;
2. sufficient by itself, unlike Set Theory and Propositional Calculus;
3. *proofs* are internal objects;
4. allows a more nuanced concept of *equality*, where a *proof of equality* expresses in what sense two objects are equal.

Dependent Type Theory

Dependent Type Theory talks about *dependent types* A over *contexts* Γ and their *terms* $x : A$.

It gives *structural rules* to specify how to substitute variables and *logical rules* to construct new types and their terms from old and how to carry out computations through extra logical structure, like Σ -types $\Sigma(A, B)$ (corresponding to coproducts), Π -types $\Pi(A, B)$ (also called *function types*) and Id_A .

Problem: providing a model of Dependent Type Theory is hard because we have to deal with a lot of bureaucracy, especially from the structural rules.

Solution: defining an algebraic model so that we can build new models from them.

Contextual Categories

Definition

A category \mathbf{C} with:

1. a grading on objects $\text{Ob } \mathbf{C} = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ob}_n \mathbf{C}$, where $\text{Ob}_0 \mathbf{C}$ has only one element, which is the terminal;
2. a map $\text{ft}_n: \text{Ob}_{n+1} \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \text{Ob}_n \mathbf{C}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
3. for each object $\Gamma.A \in \text{Ob}_{n+1} \mathbf{C}$ a dependent projection $p_A: \Gamma.A \rightarrow \text{ft}(\Gamma.A) = \Gamma$;
4. for each morphism $f: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $p_A: \Gamma.A \rightarrow \Gamma$, a functorial choice of a pullback square;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta.f^*A & \xrightarrow{q(f,A)} & \Gamma.A \\ p_{f^*A} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_A \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{f} & \Gamma \end{array}$$

This only models the structural rules.

Extra Structure

Modeling logical rules requires some extra structure. Id-types require:

1. from $\Gamma.A$ an object $\Gamma.A.A.\text{Id}_A$;
2. ...

Π -types require:

1. from $\Gamma.A.B$ an object $\Gamma.\Pi(A, B)$;
2. a map $\text{app}_{A,B} : \Gamma.\Pi(A, B).A \rightarrow \Gamma.A.B$ modeling function application;
3. ...

The data needed to model the logical rules we mentioned are called Σ -structure, Id-structure and Π -structure.

Definition

A categorical model of type theory is a contextual category \mathcal{C} with Σ , Id and Π structure. The associated category with structure-preserving functors as maps is $\mathcal{C}xI_{\Sigma, \text{Id}, \Pi}$.

Internal Languages Conjecture

Dependent type theories also have models provided by homotopy theory, like the category of ∞ -groupoids. In particular, it has been conjectured that Dependent Type Theory is the *internal language* of ∞ -categories.

Conjecture

The horizontal maps by quasi-localizing contextual categories induce equivalences of ∞ -categories.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CxI_{\Sigma,1,\text{Id},\Pi} & \longrightarrow & LCCC_{\infty} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ CxI_{\Sigma,1,\text{Id}} & \longrightarrow & Lex_{\infty} \end{array}$$

A proof by Uemura and Nguyen has recently been published on arxiv and one hopes to build from this an equivalence between CxI_{HoTT} and $ETopos_{\infty}$.