

---

# From Dependent Type Theory to Locally Cartesian Closed $(\infty, 1)$ -Categories

---

## 1 This is [not] an outline

We can also rewrite the paper by Kapulkin about LCCC arising from TT using the language of localizations of quasi-categories. There they develop the relevant theory showing that under some conditions the frame associated to a fibration category is locally cartesian closed, but using Cisinski's results we can prove the same theorem directly using a more mainstream theory.

What should be included in such an overview?

- 1- Cisinski's theory of localizations (of fibration  $\infty$ -categories)
- 2- an introduction to contextual categories: where do they come from? Why are they useful? Check out Voevodsky's papers about C-systems

We explain what dependent type theory is (Martin-Lof's notes from 1984) and why it's an interesting foundation of mathematics. We mention Homotopy Type Theory as an effort to provide homotopical foundations which better model how we think about identities, which explains why intensional identity types are more interesting to us than extensional ones.

We move on to defining contextual categories (1211.2851, 1406.7413, 1507.02648) and what the Pi, Sigma and Id structures are (1406.7413, 1211.2851 Appendix B). To understand what the link between such structures and syntactically presented type theories we refer to 1507.02648, Sec. 1.1, while the statement of the conjectured correspondence is in 1304.0680, Sec. 2.1.

Where does the link between dependent type theories and  $\infty$ -categories come from? We see that  $\infty$ -categories intuitively model the behavior of type theories and their type constructions, especially when considering Homotopy Type Theory, however this relation is known only partially (references in the intro of 1507.02648). The idea is that the type theory we are interested in should be the internal language of some class of  $\infty$ -categories and a precise statement would require us to provide homotopical functors in both directions which induce an equivalence on the associated  $\infty$ -categories. The idea is to construct the functor from contextual categories as a localization functor, that is we need to provide a homotopical structure on contextual categories, as they do in 1507.02648 (there should be an older reference) which then provides an associated  $\infty$ -category. This is the object of the Initiality Conjecture, stated in 1610.00037, in

the hope that such a correspondence will extend to Homotopy Type Theory and some notion of Elementary Higher Toposes, perhaps the one specified in 1805.03805. At the moment we know that HoTT can be interpreted in Higher Toposes with some structure. Current progress: 1709.09519, an upcoming paper by Nguyen-Uemura (HoTTest talk).

Our aim is to show that when taking contextual categories with the structure we specified earlier we obtain a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category. To do so we provide a fibrational structure on contextual categories (1304.0680, 1507.02648), which as we anticipate will imply that their simplicial localizations are finitely complete. We also prove that the hypothesis of [Cis19, Thm. 7.6.16] are satisfied, informing that this will be sufficient to prove Kapulkin's main result from 1507.02648.

We then develop the theory of localizations of  $\infty$ -categories by Cisinski and specifically develop the results concerning  $\infty$ -categories with fibrations and weak equivalences. Localizations of such  $\infty$ -categories are finitely complete. The objective is to show [Cis19, Thm. 7.6.16]. How in depth should we go?

Why all of this is interesting: we are proving Kapulkin's result internalizing all of the discussion within the language of  $\infty$ -category theory and relying only on its simplicial model.

## 2 Localizations of $\infty$ -Categories

To prove that localizing a categorical model of type theory we get a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category we need a theory of localizations. We shall provide one in the general context of  $\infty$ -categories as developed by Cisinski in *Higher Categories and Homotopical Algebra* with the aim of proving [Cis19, Thm. 7.6.16], which will do the heavy lifting in showing the desired result. Those familiar with the theory may skip the entire chapter while keeping in mind yadda yadda ([LIST THE MAJOR RESULTS](#)).

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a simplicial set and  $W \subset X$  a simplicial subset. Given an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we define  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(X, \mathcal{C})$  to be the full simplicial subset of  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, \mathcal{C})$  whose objects are the morphisms  $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  sending the 1-simplices in  $W$  to isomorphisms.

**Remark 2.2.** The above definition induces a canonical pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(X, \mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, \mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(W, \mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(W, \mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

given by the inclusion  $W \rightarrow X$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Given an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $W \subset \mathcal{C}$ , a *localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $W$*  is a functor  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  such that:

1.  $L(\mathcal{C})$  is an  $\infty$ -category;
2.  $\gamma$  sends the 1-simplices of  $W$  to isomorphisms in  $L(\mathcal{C})$ ;

3. for any  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}$  there is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

given by precomposing with  $\gamma$ .

(CISINSKI DOES NOT ASK FOR  $\mathcal{C}$  TO BE AN  $\infty$ -CATEGORY. SHOULD WE BE LESS GENERAL AS WE HAVE DONE?)

**Proposition 2.4.** Given an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a subsimplicial set  $W$ , the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $W$  always exists and it is essentially unique.

*Proof.* We begin by proving that a localization exists in the case where  $W = \mathcal{C}$ .

In this context,  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}^\cong)$  canonically, where  $\mathcal{D}^\cong$  is the maximal subgroupoid of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Factoring  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta^0$  in the Kan model structure, we find an anodyne map  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$ .

Remember that for any anodyne map  $A \rightarrow B$  we get a trivial fibration  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(B, \mathcal{D}^\cong) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\cong)$ . Looking then at the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}^\cong) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\gamma^*} & \mathrm{Hom}_W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}^\cong) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \end{array},$$

by the 2-out-of-3 property we see that the lower  $\gamma^*$  is an equivalence.

We now move on to the general case. First of all, notice that as a particular case of the previous one we get that localizing  $\Delta^1$  at its non-trivial morphism we obtain  $\Delta^1 \rightarrow J = L(\Delta^1) \sim \Delta^0$ . Taking then  $W \subset \mathcal{C}$ , we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{f \in W_1} \Delta^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{f \in W_1} J & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \searrow \gamma \\ \nearrow \sim \\ \downarrow \\ L(\mathcal{C}) \end{array},$$

where  $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  is an inner anodyne map obtained by taking the fibrant replacement of  $\mathcal{C}'$  in the Joyal model structure. This can be done functorially via the small object argument.

For any  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}$ , we get a trivial fibration  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{D})$  and a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{D}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{f \in W_1} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(J, \mathcal{D}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{f \in W_1} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{D}) \end{array},$$

which together with the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Pi_{f \in W_1} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{D}^\cong) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_{f \in W_1} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

implies by pasting that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{D}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Pi_{f \in W_1} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(J, \mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Pi_{f \in W_1} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{D}^\cong) \end{array}$$

is also a pullback and therefore the upper arrow is a trivial fibration. Composing it with the other one we get  $\gamma^*: \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ , which is then a trivial fibration and therefore an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories.

7.1.4 Observe that, through this construction, one can always construct  $L(\mathcal{C})$  so that  $\gamma$  is a bijection on objects because  $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  is an inner anodyne extension and therefore a retract of a countable composition of sums of pushouts of maps which are the identity on objects, that is the inner horn inclusions.

We now move on to proving that the localization is essentially unique. For this, we notice that  $\gamma$  establishes then an isomorphism between  $\pi_0(k(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(\mathcal{C}, -)))$  and  $\pi_0(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), -)) = ho(\mathbf{sSet})(L(\mathcal{C}), -)$  with respect to the Joyal model structure, thus by Yoneda  $(L(\mathcal{C}), \gamma)$  is unique up to unique isomorphism in  $ho(\mathbf{sSet})$  and up to a contractible space of equivalences in  $\mathbf{sSet}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** 7.1.5

In this context, we may define  $\overline{W}$ , the saturation of  $W$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , as the cartesian square such that  $\overline{W}$  is precisely the maximal simplicial subset of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose morphisms are the ones which become invertible in  $L(\mathcal{C})$ .

We have then inclusions  $Sk_1(W) \subset W \subset \overline{W}$  and, for any  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}$ , this induces equalities

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{Sk_1(W)}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\overline{W}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}),$$

implying that  $(L(\mathcal{C}), \gamma)$  is also the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $Sk_1(W)$  and the one by  $\overline{W}$ , however the inclusion  $W \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a fibration in the Joyal model category as it is the pullback of one, implying that  $\overline{W}$  is itself an  $\infty$ -category.

We shall say that  $W$  is saturated if  $W = \overline{W}$ .

**Remark 2.6.** 7.1.6

The functor  $ho(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow ho(L(\mathcal{C}))$  exhibits  $ho(L(\mathcal{C}))$  as the 1-categorical localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  at  $\mathrm{Arr}(\tau(W))$ , as can be seen by using the universal property.

On the other hand, given a 1-category  $\mathcal{C}$  and localizing at a set of morphisms  $W$ , not necessarily the induced map  $L(N(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow N(L(\mathcal{C}))$  is an isomorphism. Indeed,  $L(N(\mathcal{C}))$

can have much better properties, as can be seen for example from yadda yadda ([PROPOSITION ABOUT FINITE COMPLETENESS](#)) and in fact localizing 1-categories after taking their nerves gives every  $\infty$ -category ([MORE PRECISE STATEMENT](#)).

**Proposition 2.7.** 7.1.9

Given a universe  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $W$  a simplicial subset of a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  be the associated localization. Then the functor  $\gamma^*: \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C})^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S})$  is fully faithful and its essential image consists of all presheaves  $F: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  sending maps  $u: x \rightarrow y$  in  $W$  to invertible maps  $u^*: Fy \rightarrow Fx$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* The map  $\gamma$  gives us a morphism

$$\gamma^*: \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C})^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{W^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S}),$$

which has a left adjoint  $\gamma_!$  and a right adjoint  $\gamma_*$ . Now, for any presheaf  $F: L(\mathcal{C})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , the unit map  $F \rightarrow \gamma_*\gamma^*F$  is invertible and, by adjunction, the same goes for the counit map  $\gamma_!\gamma^*F \rightarrow F$ , which means that  $u^*$  is fully faithful. On the other hand, given a presheaf  $F: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  sending 1-simplices in  $W$  to invertible maps, the counit  $\gamma^*\gamma_*F \rightarrow F$  and the unit  $F \rightarrow \gamma^*\gamma_!F$  are both invertible since the restrictions of these adjunctions to  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{W^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S})$  form adjoint equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories as  $\gamma^*$  is an equivalence.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** 7.1.10

Given an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a simplicial subset  $W$ , the localization functor  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  is final and cofinal. In particular, if  $e: \Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  encodes a final or a cofinal object, so does  $\gamma(e)$ .

*Proof.* First of all, the functor  $\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}$  is also a localization, so it suffices to prove that  $\gamma$  is final. To do this, we look at the commutative diagram of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & L(\mathcal{C}) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \Delta^0 & \end{array},$$

which induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\Delta^0, \mathcal{S}) \cong \mathcal{S} & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{S}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}) \end{array}$$

where the lower map is fully faithful. Also,  $\gamma^*$  is the right adjoint of  $\gamma_!$ , hence taking left adjoints of the above maps gives us the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_!} & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{S}) \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & \mathcal{S} & \end{array},$$

colim colim

which commutes up to natural isomorphism.

The unit  $\text{id} \rightarrow \gamma^* \gamma_!$  of the adjunction  $\gamma_! \dashv \gamma^*$  is a natural isomorphism by full faithfulness of  $\gamma^*$  and therefore we have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\text{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} F \cong \text{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} (\gamma_! \gamma^* F) \cong \text{colim}_{\mathcal{C}} (\gamma^* F),$$

for any functor  $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , which proves our claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** 7.1.11 Let's fix a universe  $\mathbf{U}$ , a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a simplicial subset  $W$ . Consider then a functor  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is a small  $\infty$ -category. Then  $f$  exhibits  $\mathcal{D}$  as the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $W$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. the functor  $f$  sends the 1-simplices of  $W$  to invertible maps of  $\mathcal{D}$ ;
2. the functor  $f$  is essentially surjective;
3. the functor  $f^*$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$f^*: \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{W^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}).$$

*Proof.* One implication is trivial (for (2) look at the construction in 2.4). For the converse, let's pick a localization  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  and, through condition (1), we get a factorization  $g: L(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that  $g \cdot \gamma \cong f$ , giving us a triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & \underline{\text{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{E}) \\ & \searrow f^* \quad \swarrow \gamma^* & \\ & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{W^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{E}) & \end{array}$$

commuting up to  $J$ -homotopy for any  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$ . Picking  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\gamma^*$  and  $f^*$  are equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories, the latter by (3). It follows by 2-out-of-3 that  $g^*$  is one too, and therefore the same applies to its left adjoint  $g_!$ , which is then fully faithful. This is equivalent to  $g$  being fully faithful ([FUN THEOREM, MAYBE STATE IT AT LEAST 6.1.5](#)) and, since  $f$  is essentially surjective by (2), the same goes for  $g$ . It follows that  $g$  is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** 7.1.14

Let  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functors between  $\infty$ -categories with a right adjoint  $g: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and suppose that we are given simplicial subsets  $V \subset \mathcal{C}$ ,  $W \subset \mathcal{D}$  such that  $f(V) \subset W$ ,  $g(W) \subset V$ . Then we can lift them to an adjunction  $\bar{f}: L(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows L(\mathcal{D}) : \bar{g}$  such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{D} \\ \gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \\ L(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & L(\mathcal{D}) \end{array} \quad , \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{C} \\ \gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \\ L(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & L(\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Let's write  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_V^W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  for the full subcategory of  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  whose objects are functors  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(V) \subset W$ . The equivalence  $\gamma_{\mathcal{C}}^*: \text{Hom}(L(\mathcal{C}), L(\mathcal{D})) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_V(\mathcal{C}, L(\mathcal{D}))$  allows us to construct a functor  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_V^W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_V(\mathcal{C}, L(\mathcal{D})) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})$  which associates to any  $\phi$  as above a functor  $\bar{\phi}$  making the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{C} \\ \gamma_{\mathcal{D}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \\ L(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & L(\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

commute up to  $J$ -homotopy.

The proof works by observing that our map also lifts natural transformations functorially, which allows us to show the triangle identities for the lifted unit and counit.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.** 7.1.18

Let  $u: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor between  $\infty$ -categories with a fully faithful right adjoint  $v$  and consider  $W = k(\mathcal{D}) \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}$ , the subcategory of maps of  $\mathcal{C}$  which become invertible in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $u$  exhibits  $\mathcal{D}$  as the localization of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $W$ .

*Proof.* Given a localization  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  by  $W$ , we get a functor  $\gamma \cdot v: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  which, paired with the  $\bar{u}$  obtained from the construction in the previous proof, lifts the adjunction  $u \dashv v$  to the localizations (where  $L(\mathcal{D}) \cong \mathcal{D}$  as we localize at the identities). Lifting maintains the counit invertible, which allows us to conclude that  $\gamma \cdot v$  is fully faithful.

Essential surjectivity follows from the fact that, for any object  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the unit  $\eta_c$  is such that  $\epsilon_{u(c)} \cdot u(\eta_c) = \text{id}_{u(c)}$  and, since  $\epsilon$  is invertible, so is  $u(\eta_c)$ , thus  $\eta_c$  becomes invertible in  $L(\mathcal{C})$  and shows that  $(\gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \cdot v)(u(c)) = \gamma_{\mathcal{C}}(vu(c)) \cong c$ . Notice that here we used that  $L(\mathcal{C})_0 = \mathcal{C}_0$ , which is permissible up to equivalence as previously noted.

(PLEASE CHECK PROOF)  $\square$

**Definition 2.12.** An  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations is a triple  $(\mathcal{C}, W, \text{Fib})$  where  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $\infty$ -category with a final object,  $W \subset \mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory with the 2-out-of-3 property and  $\text{Fib} \subset \mathcal{C}$  a subsimplicial set such that:

1. for any pullback square of  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x' & \xrightarrow{u} & x \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ y' & \xrightarrow{v} & y \end{array}$$

in which  $y$  is fibrant and  $p$  lies in  $\text{Fib}$  (and  $W$ ), the same goes for  $p'$ ;

2. for any map  $f: x \rightarrow y$  with fibrant codomain can be factored as a map in  $W$  followed by one in  $\text{Fib}$ .

By *fibrant object* we mean an object whose map to the terminal one is in  $\text{Fib}$ .

We shall call *weak equivalences* the maps in  $W$  and *fibrations* the ones in  $\text{Fib}$ . Maps which are both shall be referred to as *trivial fibrations*.

**Proposition 2.13.** 7.5.5

**Proposition 2.14.** 7.5.6

Given an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathcal{C}$ , the localization  $L(\mathcal{C}_f)$  has finite limits and the localization functor  $\mathcal{C}_f \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C}_f)$  is left exact. Moreover, for any  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}$  with finite limits and any left exact functor  $f: \mathcal{C}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , the induced functor  $\bar{F}: L(\mathcal{C}_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is left exact.

*Proof.* Maybe do not prove it? It relies on a bunch of results from ch. 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 which we do not really want to prove.

7.1.10, 7.2.18, 7.2.25, 7.3.27, 7.4.13, 7.4.16 □

**Definition 2.15.** An  $\infty$ -category of fibrant objects is an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathcal{C}$  in which all objects are fibrant.

**Example 2.16.** For any  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathcal{C}$ , its full subcategory given by fibrant objects is an  $\infty$ -category of fibrant objects. We shall denote it by  $\mathcal{C}_f$ .

**Proposition 2.17.** 7.5.16

Let  $x$  be a fibrant object in an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathcal{C}$ . The induced functor  $\mathcal{C}_f/\gamma_f(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\gamma(x)$  is final.

*Proof.* We have that  $\mathcal{C}_f/\gamma_f(x) = L(\mathcal{C}_f)/\gamma_f(x) \times_{L(\mathcal{C}_f)} \mathcal{C}_f$  and  $\mathcal{C}/\gamma(x) = L(\mathcal{C})/\gamma(x) \times_{L(\mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C}$  and the functor we are considering is induced by  $\bar{\iota}: L(\mathcal{C}_f) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$ .

To prove that it is final, it is sufficient to show that for any object  $(c, u)$  of  $L(\mathcal{C})/\gamma(x)$  the coslice  $(c, u)$

$(\mathcal{C}_f/\gamma_f(x))$  is weakly contractible and, to do this, by [Cis19, Lem. 4.3.15] we can show that any functor  $F: E \rightarrow (c, u)$

$(\mathcal{C}_f/\gamma_f(x))$ , where  $E$  is the nerve of a finite partially ordered set, is  $\Delta^1$ -homotopic to a constant functor. This can be done through the theory of Reedy fibrant diagrams developed in [Cis19, Ch. 7.4]. □

**Proposition 2.18.** 7.5.17

Let  $\mathbf{U}$  be a universe and  $\mathcal{C}$  a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations. For any  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{D}$  with  $\mathbf{U}$ -small colimits and any functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , we have an isomorphism

$$(\gamma_f)_! \iota^*(F) \cong \bar{\iota}^* \gamma_!(F)$$

induced by the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_f & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{C} \\ \gamma_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ L(\mathcal{C}_f) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}} & L(\mathcal{C}) \end{array} ,$$

which commutes up to  $J$ -homotopy.



*Proof.* We only need to prove that the evaluation of the canonical map  $(\gamma_f)_! \iota^*(F) \cong \bar{\iota}^* \gamma_!(F)$  at any object  $x$  of  $\mathcal{C}_f$  is invertible. This evaluation is equivalent by [Cis19, Prop. 6.4.9] to the map

$$\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{C}_f/\gamma_f(x)} i^*(F)/\gamma_f(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{C}/\gamma(x)} F/\gamma(x),$$

where  $F/\gamma(x)$  is define by composing  $F$  with the canonical projection  $\mathcal{C}/\gamma(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and similarly for  $i^*(F)/\gamma_f(x)$ . Using 2.17 and the commutativity of the square above, we get that the desired map is indeed invertible for all  $x$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.19.** 7.5.18

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations. The canonical functor  $\bar{\iota}: L(\mathcal{C}_f) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories, hence the  $\infty$ -category  $L(\mathcal{C})$  has finite limits and the localization functor  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C})$  is left exact.

*Proof.* 7.5.6, 7.5.17

We already know that  $\bar{\iota}$  is essentially surjective as every object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly equivalent to one in  $\mathcal{C}_f$  and the localization functors are essentially surjective themselves, thus it is enough to prove that it is fully faithful. To do this, we may fix a universe  $\mathbf{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\mathbf{U}$ -small and prove that the functor

$$\bar{\iota}_!: \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}_f), \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{S})$$

is fully faithful by [Cis19, Prop. 6.1.15], which is equivalent to proving that the unit map  $1 \rightarrow \bar{\iota}^* \bar{\iota}_!$  of the adjunction  $\bar{\iota}^* \dashv \bar{\iota}_!$  is invertible.

We know that  $\bar{\iota}_*$  and  $\bar{\iota}^*$  both have right adjoints, thus they preserve colimits. Also, every  $\mathcal{S}$ -valued functor indexed by a  $\mathbf{U}$ -small  $\infty$ -category can be obtained as a colimit of representable ones, hence it is enough to check that the condition holds for any representable functor  $F$ . Also,  $\gamma_f$  is essentially surjective, which means that it is sufficient to check that map  $(\gamma_f)_! \rightarrow \bar{\iota}^* \bar{\iota}_!(\gamma_f)_!$  which we get by precomposing the unit with  $(\gamma_f)_!$  is invertible.

We have then the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_f)_! &\cong (\gamma_f)_! \bar{\iota}^* \bar{\iota}_! \\ &\cong \bar{\iota}^* \gamma_f \iota_! \\ &\cong \bar{\iota}^* \bar{\iota}_!(\gamma_f)_!, \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism comes from the full faithfulness of  $\iota$ , the second one from 2.18 and the last one the fact that  $\bar{\iota} \cdot \gamma_f \cong \gamma \cdot \iota$ , as noted in 2.18.

The second claim follows directly from the first one and 2.14.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.20.** 7.5.19

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations. For a morphism between fibrant objects  $p: x \rightarrow y$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

1. the morphism  $p$  has a section in  $ho(L(\mathcal{C}))$ ;

2. there exists a morphism  $p': x' \rightarrow x$  s.t. the composition of  $p'$  and  $p$  is a weak equivalence;
3. there exists a fibration  $p': x' \rightarrow x$  s.t. the composition of  $p'$  and  $p$  is a weak equivalence.

*Proof.* 7.5.18

We see that (iii) trivially implies (ii), therefore we shall focus on the other implication. Given then such a morphism  $p'$ , we factor it as  $qi = p'$ , a weak equivalence followed by a fibration. Since  $p \cdot p' = p \cdot (q \cdot i) = (p \cdot q) \cdot i$ , by 2-out-of-3  $p \cdot q$  is a weak equivalence, giving us what we wanted.

Should we prove (i)? Uses right calculus of fractions, but it's rather simple.  $\square$

### Construction 2.21. 7.5.22

Given an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  with weak equivalences and fibrations, we can get another one  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  with the same underlying  $\infty$ -category and class of fibrations, but where the weak equivalences are given by the saturation  $\bar{W}$  as described in 2.5.

*Proof.* 7.5.19, 7.5.20  $\square$

**Remark 2.22.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences  $W$  and  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor. The precomposition functor  $\gamma^*: \underline{\text{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  does not have a left adjoint in general, but we may ask whether  $\text{Hom}(F, \gamma^*(-))$  is representable in  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D})$ . If it is, a representative is denoted by  $\mathbf{R}F: L(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and is called the *right derived functor of  $F$* . Beware that to be precise one would have to specify the natural transformation  $F \rightarrow \mathbf{R}F \cdot \gamma$  exhibiting it as such. Dually, a representative of  $\text{Hom}(\gamma^*(-), F)$  is the *left derived functor of  $F$* .

### Proposition 2.23. 7.5.24

If  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  sends weak equivalences to isomorphisms, then the functor  $\bar{F}: L(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , associated to  $F$  by the universal property of  $L(\mathcal{C})$ , is the right derived functor of  $F$ .

*Proof.* Let's fix a universe  $\mathbf{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are  $\mathbf{U}$ -small and let  $G: L(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be any functor. Then the invertible map  $\bar{F} \cdot \gamma \cong F$  and the equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories  $\underline{\text{Hom}}(L(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}_W(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  induce invertible maps  $\text{Hom}(\bar{F}, G) \simeq \text{Hom}(\bar{F} \cdot \gamma, G \cdot \gamma) \simeq \text{Hom}(F, G \cdot \gamma)$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , functorially in  $G$ .  $\square$

### Construction 2.24. (NOT COMPLETE)

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations. Given any functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  sending weak equivalences between fibrant objects to invertible maps then has a right derived functor  $\mathbf{R}F$ , which may be constructed as follows.

First we choose a quasi-inverse  $R: L(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C}_f)$  of the equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories specified in 2.19, then we choose a functor  $\bar{F}: L(\mathcal{C}_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and a natural isomorphism  $j: \bar{F} \cdot \gamma_f \rightarrow F \cdot \iota$ . We set then  $\mathbf{R}F = \bar{F} \cdot R$ .

There are some interesting remarks which may be included!!!!

**Proposition 2.25.** 7.5.28

For any left exact functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  between  $\infty$ -categories with weak equivalences and fibrations, the right derived functor  $\mathbf{R}F: L(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{D})$  is left exact.

*Proof.* 7.5.6

We have a square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(\mathcal{C}_f) & \xrightarrow{\overline{F}} & L(\mathcal{D}_f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}F} & L(\mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

commuting up to  $J$ -homotopy, where the vertical maps are equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories and  $\overline{F}$  is the functor obtained by restricting  $F$  to the subcategories of fibrant objects  $\mathcal{C}_f$  and  $\mathcal{D}_f$ . It therefore suffices to show that  $\overline{F}$  is left exact, but this follows from 2.14.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.26.** 7.6.2

*Proof.* 7.2.10.4, 7.2.18, 7.4.13  $\square$

**Lemma 2.27.** 7.6.4

*Proof.* 7.2.10.4, 7.2.18, 7.4.13  $\square$

**Lemma 2.28.** 7.6.5

*Proof.* 4.2.9  $\square$

**Lemma 2.29.** 7.6.7

*Proof.* 4.3.15, 7.4.19, 7.5.5  $\square$

**Theorem 2.30.** 7.6.10

*Proof.* 7.3.29, 7.6.2, 7.6.5, 7.6.7  $\square$

**Corollary 2.31.** 7.6.13

*Proof.* 7.5.18, 7.5.22, 7.5.24, 7.5.28, 7.6.4, 7.6.10  $\square$

**Theorem 2.32.** 7.6.16

*Proof.* 6.1.6, 6.1.7, 6.1.8, 7.1.14, 7.4.14, 7.5.18, 7.6.13  $\square$

### 3 Categorical Models of TT as Locally Cartesian Closed Fibration Categories

**Definition 3.1.** A fibration category  $\mathcal{P}$  is *locally cartesian closed* if, for any fibration  $p: a \rightarrow b$ , the pullback functor  $p^*: \mathcal{P} \downarrow b \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \downarrow a$  admits a right adjoint  $p_*$  which is an exact functor.

How does Kapulkin prove that a categorical model of Type Theory is a locally cartesian closed fibration category?

First of all, he refers to AKL15 to show that  $\mathcal{P}$  has a fibrational structure, then he goes on to show the following results, whose proofs are extremely terse and therefore should be expanded.

(I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT  $f^*b$  SHOULD BE IN 1211.2851, DEF. 1.2.4. WHAT FOLLOWS IS MY IDEA.)

**Definition 3.2.** Given  $p_A: \Gamma.A \rightarrow \Gamma$ , a section  $a: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma.A$  and  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ , we look at the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Delta & \xrightarrow{a \cdot f} & \Gamma.A \\
 \text{\scriptsize $f^*a$} \swarrow \text{\scriptsize $\Delta$} & & \downarrow \text{\scriptsize $p_{f^*A}$} \\
 \Delta.f^*A & \xrightarrow{q(f,A)} & \Gamma.A \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p_A \\
 \Delta & \xrightarrow{f} & \Gamma
 \end{array}$$

which gives us  $f^*a$  as the factorization through the pullback square of the pair  $(\text{id}_\Delta, a \cdot f)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** For any dependent projection  $p_\Delta: \Gamma.\Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  in a categorical model of type theory  $\mathcal{C}$ , the pullback functor  $p_\Delta^*: \mathcal{C} \downarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \downarrow \Gamma.\Delta$  admits a right adjoint.

*Proof.* Kapulkin 1507.02648, Lemma 5.5. □

We know that every fibration in  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to a composite of dependent projections, so this tells us that every fibration induces an adjunction between fibrational slices (YOU SHOULD DEFINE THEM).

**Lemma 3.4.** Consider an iterated context extension  $\Gamma.\Delta.\Theta.\Psi$  in a categorical model of type theory  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the contexts

$$\Gamma.\Pi(\Delta, \Theta).\Pi(p_{\Pi(\Delta, \Theta)}^* \Delta.app_{\Delta, \Theta}^* \Psi) \text{ and } \Gamma.\Pi(\Delta, \Theta.\Psi)$$

are isomorphic (actually equal) in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Kapulchich 1507.02648, Lemma 5.5. It simply refers to the construction of the  $\Pi$ -structure on  $\mathcal{C}^{cxt}$ . □

We are finally ready to prove the result leading to the final one we want.

**Proposition 3.5.** A categorical model of type theory  $\mathcal{C}$  is a locally cartesian closed fibration category.

*Proof.* Kapulkin 1507.02648, Proposition 5.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Given a categorical model of type theory  $\mathcal{C}$ , the  $\infty$ -category  $L(\mathcal{C})$  is locally cartesian closed.

*Proof.* Since a fibration category is more generally a  $\infty$ -category with fibrations and weak equivalences, we can apply [Cis19, Prop. 7.6.16] as the hypothesis are satisfied by 3.5.  $\square$

## 4 Pushforward

One may ask whether cocartesian fibrations in  $\mathbf{sSet}$  model Pi types, which is a piece needed to understand a novel model of dependent type theory provided by  $\mathbf{sSet}$ . To answer this question, an explicit description of the right adjoint  $p_*$  of the pullback functor  $p^*: \mathbf{sSet}/Y \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}/X$  induced by a morphism  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  is needed.

Consider an object  $f: T \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{sSet}/X$ . What is  $p_*(f): T' \rightarrow Y$ ? We know that a  $n$ -simplex  $t'$  of  $T'$  corresponds bijectively to a map  $t': \Delta^n \rightarrow T'$ , which in turn corresponds bijectively to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{t'} & T' \\ & \searrow y & \swarrow p_*(f) \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

and, under the adjunction  $p^* \dashv p_*$ , we get bijectively another commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{t} & T \\ & \searrow p^*(y) & \swarrow f \\ & X & \end{array},$$

from which follows that

$$T'_n \cong \{(y, t) \mid y \in Y_n, t \in \mathbf{sSet}/X(p^*(y), f)\}$$

and the map  $p_*(f)$  then sends  $(y, t) \in T'_n$  to  $y \in Y_n$ .

The same method can be extended to give us the pushforward along a map of marked simplicial sets  $p: (X, E_X) \rightarrow (Y, E_Y)$  in  $\mathbf{mSet}$ . Specifically, our previous construction can be adapted to give us the  $n$ -simplices by starting from maps  $(\Delta^n)_\flat \rightarrow p_*(T, E_T) = (T', E_{T'})$ , telling us again that

$$T'_n \cong \{(y, t) \mid y \in Y_n, t \in \mathbf{mSet}/X(p^*(y), f)\},$$

while to get the markings we notice that every marked edge in  $p_*(T, E_T)$  corresponds to a unique map  $(\Delta^1)_\natural \rightarrow p_*(T, E_T)$  and the same procedure allows us to write

$$E_{T'} = \{(y, t) \mid y \in E_Y, t \in \mathbf{mSet} / X(p^*(y), f)\},$$

which fully specifies the needed data.

Now, under which conditions on  $p$  does this specify a Quillen adjunction when the slices of  $\mathbf{mSet}$  are equipped with the contravariant model structure? If it is a coCartesian fibration, it generally doesn't, but it does when it is a Cartesian fibration. How can we specify an approximation  $q$  of  $p_*$  such that, after localizing in the infinity-sense, we get an adjunction  $p^* \dashv q$ ?

Idea: use the theory of bifibrations. From a coCartesian fibration  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  we can construct a bifibration  $E \rightarrow X \times Y$  by constructing the maps  $p: E \rightarrow X$ ,  $q: E \rightarrow Y$  by first taking the pullback of  $\phi$  along  $ev_0: Y^{\Delta^1} \rightarrow Y$  and then composing the map  $E \rightarrow Y^{\Delta^1}$  with  $ev_1$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \phi \\ Y^{\Delta^1} & \xrightarrow{ev_0} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \\ Y & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} q \\ \downarrow \\ ev_1 \end{array}$$

We want to show that, for any (coCartesian?) morphism  $f: A \rightarrow X$ , we have an isomorphism between  $\phi_*(f)$  and  $q_*p^*(f)$ .

Canonically, we have

$$E_n = \{(x, \Delta^n \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{g} Y) \mid x \in X_n, \phi(x) = g|_{\Delta^n \times \{0\}}\}$$

and, by pasting the pullback squares, we also get

$$(\text{dom}(p^*f))_n = \{(a, \Delta^n \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{g} Y) \mid a \in A_n, f(a) = g|_{\Delta^n \times \{0\}}\}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{dom}(q_*p^*(f)))_n &= \{(y, q^*(y) \xrightarrow{g} p^*(f)) \mid y \in Y_n\} \\ &= \{(y, p!q^*(y) \xrightarrow{g} f) \mid y \in Y_n\}, \end{aligned}$$

which we want to relate to  $\phi_*(f)$ .

To do this, we want to understand the maps  $p!q^*(y) \xrightarrow{g} f$  and somehow relate them to  $\phi^*(y) \rightarrow f$ . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(p!q^*(y))_k &= \text{dom}(q^*(y))_k \\ &= \{(x, \Delta^k \times \Delta^1 \xrightarrow{h} Y, t) \mid x \in X_k, \phi(x) = h|_{\Delta^k \times \{0\}}, t \in (\Delta^n)_k, y(t) = h|_{\Delta^k \times \{1\}}\}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $q^*(y)(x, h, t) = (x, h)$ , thus  $p!q^*(y)(x, h, t) = x$ .

On the other hand, we have

$$\text{dom}(\phi^*(y))_k = \{(x, t) \mid x \in X_k, t \in (\Delta^n)_k, \phi(x) = y(t)\}$$

and  $\phi^*(y)(t, x) = x$ .

If we can create a bijection between morphisms of the form  $p_!q^*(y) \rightarrow f$  and  $\phi^*(y) \rightarrow f$  we are done. Unfortunately, I do not see how we can do this: any morphism  $p_!q^*(y) \rightarrow f$  induces a morphism  $\phi^*(y) \rightarrow f$  by precomposing with the inclusion  $\phi^*(y) \rightarrow p_!q^*(y)$ ,  $(x, t) \mapsto (x, h_{\phi(x)}, t)$ , where  $h_{\phi(x)}$  is obtained by precomposing  $\phi(x): \Delta^k \rightarrow Y$  with  $p_{\Delta^k}: \Delta^k \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^k$ , but this association is only injective, not surjective, and I have no good idea about how to construct others.

To construct the bijection I may start from a morphism  $p_!q^*(y) \rightarrow f$  and construct another one with  $\phi^*(y)$  as domain by lifting morphisms  $\Delta^k \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow Y$  to decide where to map  $(x, h, t) \in \text{dom}(p_!q^*(y))_k$ , however this involves solving a coherence problem and I would have to do so coherently to define a morphism of simplicial sets as desired. Perhaps these restrictions actually allow a solution, but I do not believe so.

It may also be possible that the injective morphism we mentioned earlier is a weak equivalence with respect to our model structure, which may be enough.

We provide a counterexample to the previous claim in the context of right fibrations. Consider  $\phi: \partial\Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^1$ ,  $i \mapsto 0$ , which is a right fibration. We have that  $\phi^*(1) = 0$ , the empty simplicial set, thus  $\phi_*(f)^{-1}(1) \cong \Delta^0$ . On the other hand,  $(p_!q^*)(1) = U \amalg V$ , thus  $q_*p^*(f)^{-1}(1)$  can be a disjoint union of non-zero simplicial sets, which would then not be an equivalent  $\infty$ -groupoid. It follows that our map is not, in general, a weak equivalence in the model structure of right fibrations on slices of **sSet**. (MAYBE WRONG: YOU CAN'T CHECK THIS ON FIBERS BECAUSE THESE ARE NOT FIBRANT OBJECTS IN **sSet**/Y! NEED TO USE THE DEFINITION CONCERNING HOMOTOPY CLASSES OF MAPS INTO FIBRANT OBJECTS IN THE SLICE)

If instead from a right fibration  $\phi$  we first take the opposites of  $\phi$  and  $f$ , then do the pushforward and finally we take again the opposites we get  $\phi_*(f)$ , which is encoded in the following commutative diagram where the vertical maps are isomorphisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f: Z \rightarrow X & & \mathbf{sSet}/X & \xrightarrow{\phi_*} & \mathbf{sSet}/Y & & f: Z \rightarrow Y \\ \downarrow & & \text{op} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{op} & & \downarrow \\ f^{\text{op}}: Z^{\text{op}} \rightarrow X^{\text{op}} & & \mathbf{sSet}/X^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\phi_*^{\text{op}}]{} & \mathbf{sSet}/Y^{\text{op}} & & f^{\text{op}}: Z^{\text{op}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{op}} \end{array}$$

The same argument extends to show that any map  $\phi_*(f) \rightarrow q_*p^*(f)$  or in the other direction is not a weak equivalence in general. A concrete example can be given by taking  $f = \phi$ .

## References

- [Cis19] D. Cisinski. *Higher Categories and Homotopical Algebra*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2019. ISBN: 9781108473200.

URL: <https://books.google.it/books?id=RawqvQEACAAJ> (cit. on pp. 2, 8, 9, 13).