# Localizations of Models of Dependent Type Theory

Author: Matteo Durante Advisor: Hoang-Kim Nguyen

Regensburg University

July 3, 2022

# Objective

A modern proof of the following theorem.

# Theorem (Kapulkin 2015)

Given a categorical model of type theory C, its  $\infty$ -categorical localization L(C) is a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category.

### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

#### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

# Objects

Dependent types A over contexts  $\Gamma$  and their terms x : A.

#### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

# Objects

Dependent types A over contexts  $\Gamma$  and their terms x : A.

#### Structural rules

How to work with variables.

#### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

# Objects

Dependent types A over contexts  $\Gamma$  and their terms x : A.

#### Structural rules

How to work with variables.

# Logical rules

Construct new types and their terms from old, carry out computations, provide  $\Sigma$ -types  $\Sigma(A,B)$ ,  $\Pi$ -types  $\Pi(A,B)$  and Id-types Id<sub>A</sub>, natural-numbers-type Nat...

# Models

### Problem

Providing a model of Dependent Type Theory is hard.

# Models

### Problem

Providing a model of Dependent Type Theory is hard.

### Solution

Defining a class of algebraic models.

# Modeling structural rules

# Definition (contextual categories)

A category C with:

- **1** a grading on objects  $Ob C = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Ob_n C$ ;
- ② a map  $\operatorname{ft}_n \colon \operatorname{Ob}_{n+1} \mathsf{C} \to \operatorname{Ob}_n \mathsf{C}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- **3** basic dependent projections  $p_A : \Gamma.A \to \mathrm{ft}_n(\Gamma.A) = \Gamma$ ;
- a functorial choice of pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta.f^*A & \xrightarrow{q(f,A)} & \Gamma.A \\
\downarrow \rho_{f^*A} & & \downarrow \rho_A; \\
\Delta & \xrightarrow{f} & \Gamma
\end{array}$$

**⑤** ..



# Modeling logical rules

#### Extra structure

Id-types require from  $\Gamma.A$  an object  $\Gamma.A.A. \operatorname{Id}_{A...}$   $\Pi$ -types require from  $\Gamma.A.B$  an object  $\Gamma.\Pi(A,B)$ , a map  $\operatorname{app}_{A,B} \colon \Gamma.\Pi(A,B).A \to \Gamma.A.B$ ,  $(f,a) \mapsto (a,\operatorname{app}(f,a))...$ 

# Modeling logical rules

#### Extra structure

Id-types require from  $\Gamma.A$  an object  $\Gamma.A.A$ . Id<sub>A</sub>...  $\Pi$ -types require from  $\Gamma.A.B$  an object  $\Gamma.\Pi(A,B)$ , a map  $\operatorname{app}_{A,B} \colon \Gamma.\Pi(A,B).A \to \Gamma.A.B$ ,  $(f,a) \mapsto (a,\operatorname{app}(f,a))...$ 

#### **Definition**

A categorical model of type theory is a contextual category C with  $\Sigma$ , Id and  $\Pi$  structures.

# Bi-invertibility

# Definition (bi-invertible map)

A map  $f: \Gamma \to \Delta$  in a contextual category with Id-structure C for which we can provide:

- maps  $g_1: \Delta \to \Gamma$ ,  $\eta: \Gamma \to \Gamma.(1_{\Gamma}, g_1 \cdot f)^* \operatorname{Id}_{\Gamma}$ ;
- $② \ \textit{maps} \ \textit{g}_2 \colon \Delta \to \Gamma \text{, } \epsilon \colon \Delta \to \Delta. (1_{\Delta}, f \cdot \textit{g}_2)^* \, \mathsf{Id}_{\Delta}.$

# Bi-invertibility

### Definition (bi-invertible map)

A map  $f: \Gamma \to \Delta$  in a contextual category with Id-structure C for which we can provide:

- **1** maps  $g_1: \Delta \to \Gamma$ ,  $\eta: \Gamma \to \Gamma.(1_{\Gamma}, g_1 \cdot f)^* \operatorname{Id}_{\Gamma}$ ;
- $② \ \textit{maps} \ \textit{g}_2 \colon \Delta \to \Gamma \text{, } \epsilon \colon \Delta \to \Delta. (1_{\Delta}, f \cdot \textit{g}_2)^* \operatorname{Id}_{\Delta}.$

#### Question

What if we localize at bi-invertible maps?

### Fibrational structure

# Definition ( $\infty$ -categories with weak equivalences and fibrations)

A triple (C, W, Fib) where:

...a weakening of the definition of fibration categories, with  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  an  $\infty\text{-category}.$ 

# Fibrational structure

# Definition ( $\infty$ -categories with weak equivalences and fibrations)

A triple (C, W, Fib) where:

...a weakening of the definition of fibration categories, with  ${\mathfrak C}$  an  $\infty$ -category.

# Theorem (Avigad-Kapulkin-Lumsdaine 2013)

A contextual category with  $\Sigma$  and ld structures defines a fibration category, where weak equivalences are bi-invertible maps and fibrations are maps isomorphic to compositions of basic dependent projections  $p_A \colon \Gamma.A \to \Gamma$ .

# Localizing fibrational categories

# Proposition (Cisinski)

The localization at weak equivalences of an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathfrak C$  is a finitely complete  $\infty$ -category.

# Proposition (Cisinski)

Given an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathbb{C}$ , if for every fibration  $f: x \to y$  between fibrant objects the pullback functor between fibrant slices  $f^*: \mathbb{C}(y) \to \mathbb{C}(x)$  has a right adjoint preserving trivial fibrations, then  $L(\mathbb{C})$  is locally cartesian closed.

# Localizations of models are Cartesian closed

# Theorem (Kapulkin 2015)

Given a categorical model of type theory C, its localization L(C) is a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category.

# Localizations of models are Cartesian closed

# Theorem (Kapulkin 2015)

Given a categorical model of type theory C, its localization L(C) is a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category.

#### Proof.

For any basic dependent projection  $p_A \colon \Gamma.A \to \Gamma$ , there exists a right adjoint to  $p_A^* \colon C(\Gamma) \to C(\Gamma.A)$  given by

$$(p_A)_*(\Gamma.A.\Theta) = \Gamma.\Pi(A,\Theta)$$

with counit induced by  $\operatorname{app}_{A,\Theta}$ . It preserves the fibrational structure.



Thank you for your attention!

# Why is Dependent Type Theory cool?

- Closely linked to computations and computer science, makes proof assistants possible
- Enough by itself as a foundation, unlike Set Theory or Propositional Calculus
- Proofs are internal objects
- Better treatment of equality
- Makes "fully faithful + essentially surjective = equivalence" independent from the Axiom of Choice
- **1** Homotopical interpretation in  $\infty$ -groupoids



# Internal Languages Conjecture

### Conjecture (Kapulkin-Lumsdaine 2016)

The horizontal maps, given by simplicial localization, induce equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{CxlCat}_{\Sigma,1,\mathsf{Id},\Pi} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{LCCC}_{\infty} \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & \mathsf{CxlCat}_{\Sigma,1,\mathsf{Id}} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Lex}_{\infty} \end{array}$$

A proof by Nguyen-Uemura has recently become available on arxiv. One hopes to extend this to an equivalence between  $CxlCat_{HoTT}$  and  $ElTopos_{\infty}$ .