# Localizations of Models of dependent type theory

Author: Matteo Durante Advisor: Hoang-Kim Nguyen

Regensburg University

July 7, 2022

# Objective

A modern proof of the following theorem.

### Theorem (Kapulkin 2015)

Given a dependent type theory T with  $\Sigma$ -, Id- and  $\Pi$ -types, the  $\infty$ -localization of its syntactic category Syn(T) is a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category.

### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

## Objects

Dependent types A over contexts  $\Gamma = (x_0 : A_0, \dots, x_n : A_n)$  and their terms x : A.

### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

## Objects

Dependent types A over contexts  $\Gamma = (x_0 : A_0, \dots, x_n : A_n)$  and their terms x : A.

#### Structural rules

How to work with variables.

#### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

## Objects

Dependent types A over contexts  $\Gamma = (x_0 : A_0, \dots, x_n : A_n)$  and their terms x : A.

#### Structural rules

How to work with variables.

### Logical rules

Construct new types and their terms from old, carry out computations. They provide  $\Sigma$ -types  $\Sigma(A,B)$ ,  $\Pi$ -types  $\Pi(A,B)$ ,  $\operatorname{Id}$ -types  $\operatorname{Id}_A$ , natural-numbers-type  $\operatorname{Nat}$ ...



### Models

### Idea

To reason about a theory we can look at its interpretations.

### Models

### Idea

To reason about a theory we can look at its interpretations.

### **Problem**

Providing a model of dependent type theory is hard.

## Models

#### Idea

To reason about a theory we can look at its interpretations.

### Problem

Providing a model of dependent type theory is hard.

### Solution

Defining a class of algebraic models.

# Modeling structural rules

## Definition (contextual categories)

### A category C with:

- **1** a grading on objects (or *contexts*) Ob C =  $\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Ob_n C$ ;
- 2 a unique and terminal object in Ob<sub>0</sub> C, the *empty context*;
- **3** a map  $\operatorname{ft}_n \colon \operatorname{Ob}_{n+1} \mathsf{C} \to \operatorname{Ob}_n \mathsf{C}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- **1** basic dependent projections  $p_A : \Gamma.A \to \operatorname{ft}_n(\Gamma.A) = \Gamma$ ;
- a functorial choice of pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta.f^*A \xrightarrow{q(f,A)} \Gamma.A \\
\downarrow p_A \\
\Delta \xrightarrow{f} & \Gamma
\end{array}$$

## Example

## Construction (syntactic category of a type theory Syn(T))

A category Syn(T) where:

- **1** *n*-objects are contexts  $[x_0 : A_0, \ldots, x_n : A_n]$ ;
- 2 the empty context is [];
- **3** morphisms  $[x_0 : A_0, ..., x_n : A_n]$  →  $[y_0 : B_0, ..., y_m : B_m]$  are tuples of terms  $(f_0 : B_0, ..., f_m : B_m)$  derivable from  $x_0 : A_0, ..., x_n : A_n$ ;
- composition is given by subsitution;
- **1** a basic projection is a tuple  $(x_0 : A_0, \ldots, x_n : A_n)$ ;
- pullback squares are given by context substitution.



# Modeling logical rules

#### Extra structure

Id-types require from  $\Gamma.A$  an Id-object  $\Gamma.A.A.$  Id<sub>A</sub>...

Π-types require from Γ.A.B a Π-object Γ.Π(A, B), an evaluation map  $\operatorname{app}_{A,B} : \Gamma.\Pi(A,B).A \to \Gamma.A.B$ ,  $(f,a) \mapsto (a,\operatorname{app}(f,a))...$ 

# Modeling logical rules

#### Extra structure

Id-types require from  $\Gamma.A$  an Id-object  $\Gamma.A.A.$  Id<sub>A</sub>...

Π-types require from Γ.A.B a Π-object Γ.Π(A, B), an evaluation map  $\operatorname{app}_{A.B} : \Gamma.\Pi(A, B).A \to \Gamma.A.B$ ,  $(f, a) \mapsto (a, \operatorname{app}(f, a))...$ 

### Example

If T has some logical rules, then Syn(T) has the corresponding logical structures.

# Bi-invertibility

### Definition (bi-invertible map)

A map  $f: \Gamma \to \Delta$  in a contextual category with Id-structure C for which we can provide:

- **1** maps  $g_1: \Delta \to \Gamma$ ,  $\eta: \Gamma \to \Gamma.(1_{\Gamma}, g_1 \cdot f)^* \operatorname{Id}_{\Gamma}$ ;
- $② \ \textit{maps} \ \textit{g}_2 \colon \Delta \to \Gamma \text{, } \epsilon \colon \Delta \to \Delta. (1_{\Delta}, f \cdot \textit{g}_2)^* \, \mathsf{Id}_{\Delta}.$

# Bi-invertibility

### Definition (bi-invertible map)

A map  $f: \Gamma \to \Delta$  in a contextual category with Id-structure C for which we can provide:

- **1** maps  $g_1: \Delta \to \Gamma$ ,  $\eta: \Gamma \to \Gamma.(1_{\Gamma}, g_1 \cdot f)^* \operatorname{Id}_{\Gamma}$ ;
- $② \ \textit{maps} \ \textit{g}_2 \colon \Delta \to \Gamma \text{, } \epsilon \colon \Delta \to \Delta. (1_{\Delta}, f \cdot \textit{g}_2)^* \operatorname{Id}_{\Delta}.$

### Question

What if we localize at bi-invertible maps?

### Fibrational structure

### Definition ( $\infty$ -categories with weak equivalences and fibrations)

A triple (C, W, Fib) where:

...a weakening of the definition of fibration categories, with  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  an  $\infty\text{-category}.$ 

### Fibrational structure

## Definition ( $\infty$ -categories with weak equivalences and fibrations)

A triple (C, W, Fib) where:

...a weakening of the definition of fibration categories, with  ${\mathfrak C}$  an  $\infty$ -category.

## Theorem (Avigad-Kapulkin-Lumsdaine 2013)

A contextual category with  $\Sigma$ - and Id-structures defines a fibration category, where weak equivalences are bi-invertible maps and fibrations are maps isomorphic to compositions of basic dependent projections  $p_A \colon \Gamma.A \to \Gamma$ .

## Localizing fibrational categories

## Proposition (Cisinski)

The localization at weak equivalences of an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathfrak C$  is a finitely complete  $\infty$ -category.

## Localizing fibrational categories

### Proposition (Cisinski)

The localization at weak equivalences of an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathfrak C$  is a finitely complete  $\infty$ -category.

## Construction (fibrant slice C(x))

Given a fibrant object x in  $\mathbb{C}$ , lift the fibrational structure through  $\mathbb{C}/x \to \mathbb{C}$  and then take the subcategory of fibrant objects of  $\mathbb{C}/x$ .

# Localizing fibrational categories

### Proposition (Cisinski)

The localization at weak equivalences of an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathfrak C$  is a finitely complete  $\infty$ -category.

### Construction (fibrant slice C(x))

Given a fibrant object x in  $\mathbb{C}$ , lift the fibrational structure through  $\mathbb{C}/x \to \mathbb{C}$  and then take the subcategory of fibrant objects of  $\mathbb{C}/x$ .

### Proposition (Cisinski)

Given an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathbb{C}$ , if for every fibration  $f: x \to y$  between fibrant objects the pullback functor between fibrant slices  $f^*: \mathbb{C}(y) \to \mathbb{C}(x)$  has a right adjoint preserving trivial fibrations, then  $L(\mathbb{C})$  is locally cartesian closed.

### Localizations of models are cartesian closed

### Theorem (Kapulkin 2015)

Given a dependent type theory T with  $\Sigma$ -, Id- and  $\Pi$ -types, the localization of its syntactic category Syn(T) is a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category.

## Localizations of models are cartesian closed

### Theorem (Kapulkin 2015)

Given a dependent type theory T with  $\Sigma$ -, Id- and  $\Pi$ -types, the localization of its syntactic category Syn(T) is a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category.

#### Proof.

For any basic dependent projection  $p_A \colon \Gamma.A \to \Gamma$ , there exists a right adjoint to  $p_A^* \colon \operatorname{Syn}(\mathbf{T})(\Gamma) \to \operatorname{Syn}(\mathbf{T})(\Gamma.A)$  given by

$$(p_A)_*(\Gamma.A.\Theta) = \Gamma.\Pi(A,\Theta)$$

with counit induced by  $app_{A,\Theta}$ . It preserves the fibrational structure.



Thank you for your attention!

# Why is dependent type theory cool?

- Closely linked to computations and computer science, makes proof assistants possible.
- Enough by itself as a foundation, unlike set theory or propositional calculus.
- Opening a second of the sec
- Better treatment of equality.
- Makes "fully faithful + essentially surjective = equivalence" independent from the axiom of choice.
- **1** Homotopical interpretation in  $\infty$ -groupoids.

## Internal languages conjecture

### Conjecture (Kapulkin-Lumsdaine 2016)

The horizontal maps, given by simplicial localization, induce equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{CxlCat}_{\Sigma,1,\mathsf{Id},\Pi} & \longrightarrow \mathsf{LCCC}_{\infty} \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{CxlCat}_{\Sigma,1,\mathsf{Id}} & \longrightarrow \mathsf{Lex}_{\infty} \end{array}$$

A proof by Nguyen-Uemura has recently become available on arxiv. One hopes to extend this to an equivalence between  $CxlCat_{HoTT}$  and  $ElTopos_{\infty}$ .