# Localizations of models of dependent type theory

Author: Matteo Durante Advisor: Hoang-Kim Nguyen

Regensburg University

July 10, 2022

# Objective

A modern proof of the following theorem.

### Theorem (Kapulkin 2015)

Given a dependent type theory T with  $\Sigma$ -, Id- and  $\Pi$ -types, the  $\infty$ -localization of its syntactic category Syn(T) is a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category.

#### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

#### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

## Objects

Dependent types A and their terms x : A in contexts

$$\Gamma = (x_0 : A_0, \ldots, x_n : A_n).$$

#### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

## Objects

Dependent types A and their terms x : A in contexts

 $\Gamma = (x_0 : A_0, \ldots, x_n : A_n).$ 

#### Structural rules

How to work with variables.

#### What

A theory of computations and a foundation of mathematics.

## Objects

Dependent types A and their terms x : A in contexts  $\Gamma = (x_0 : A_0, \dots, x_n : A_n)$ .

#### Structural rules

How to work with variables.

### Logical rules

Construct new types and their terms from old, carry out computations. They provide  $\Sigma$ -types  $\Sigma(A,B)$ ,  $\Pi$ -types  $\Pi(A,B)$ , Id-types Id<sub>A</sub>, natural-numbers-type Nat. . .



## Models

### Idea

To reason about a theory we can look at its interpretations.

### Models

#### Idea

To reason about a theory we can look at its interpretations.

#### **Problem**

Providing a model of dependent type theory is hard.

## Models

#### Idea

To reason about a theory we can look at its interpretations.

#### Problem

Providing a model of dependent type theory is hard.

### Solution

Defining a class of algebraic models.

# Modeling structural rules

## Definition (contextual categories)

### A category C with:

- **1** a grading on objects (or *contexts*) Ob C =  $\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Ob_n C$ ;
- 2 a unique and terminal object in Ob<sub>0</sub> C, the *empty context*;
- **3** a map  $\operatorname{ft}_n \colon \operatorname{Ob}_{n+1} \mathsf{C} \to \operatorname{Ob}_n \mathsf{C}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- **1** basic dependent projections  $p_A : \Gamma.A \to \operatorname{ft}_n(\Gamma.A) = \Gamma$ ;
- a functorial choice of pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta.f^*A \xrightarrow{q(f,A)} \Gamma.A \\
\downarrow p_A \\
\Delta \xrightarrow{f} & \Gamma
\end{array}$$

# Modeling logical rules

#### Extra structure

Id-types require from  $\Gamma.A$  an Id-object  $\Gamma.A.A$ . Id<sub>A</sub>...  $\Pi$ -types require from  $\Gamma.A.B$  a  $\Pi$ -object  $\Gamma.\Pi(A,B)$ , an evaluation map  $\operatorname{app}_{A,B} \colon \Gamma.\Pi(A,B).A \to \Gamma.A.B$ ,  $(f,a) \mapsto (a,\operatorname{app}(f,a))...$ 

# Modeling logical rules

#### Extra structure

Id-types require from  $\Gamma.A$  an Id-object  $\Gamma.A.A$ . Id<sub>A</sub>...  $\Pi$ -types require from  $\Gamma.A.B$  a  $\Pi$ -object  $\Gamma.\Pi(A,B)$ , an evaluation map  $\operatorname{app}_{A,B} \colon \Gamma.\Pi(A,B).A \to \Gamma.A.B$ ,  $(f,a) \mapsto (a,\operatorname{app}(f,a))...$ 

### Example

If **T** has some logical rules, then its (contextual) syntactic category  $\operatorname{Syn}(\mathbf{T})$  has the corresponding logical structures. It is freely generated by the theory: objects are contexts, morphisms  $[x_0:A_0,\ldots,x_n:A_n] \to [y_0:B_0,\ldots,y_m:B_m]$  are tuples of terms  $(f_0:B_0,\ldots,f_m:B_m)$  derivable from  $x_0:A_0,\ldots,x_n:A_n$ .

# Bi-invertibility

### Definition (bi-invertible map)

A map  $f: \Gamma \to \Delta$  in a contextual category with Id-structure C for which we can provide:

- maps  $g_1 : \Delta \to \Gamma$ ,  $\eta : \Gamma \to \Gamma . (1_{\Gamma}, g_1 \cdot f)^* \operatorname{Id}_{\Gamma}$ ;
- $② \ \textit{maps} \ \textit{g}_2 \colon \Delta \to \Gamma \text{, } \epsilon \colon \Delta \to \Delta. (1_{\Delta}, f \cdot \textit{g}_2)^* \ \mathsf{Id}_{\Delta}.$

# Bi-invertibility

### Definition (bi-invertible map)

A map  $f: \Gamma \to \Delta$  in a contextual category with Id-structure C for which we can provide:

- maps  $g_1: \Delta \to \Gamma$ ,  $\eta: \Gamma \to \Gamma.(1_{\Gamma}, g_1 \cdot f)^* \operatorname{Id}_{\Gamma}$ ;
- $② \ \textit{maps} \ \textit{g}_2 \colon \Delta \to \Gamma \text{, } \epsilon \colon \Delta \to \Delta. (1_{\Delta}, f \cdot \textit{g}_2)^* \operatorname{Id}_{\Delta}.$

#### Question

What if we localize at bi-invertible maps?

### Fibrational structure

## Definition ( $\infty$ -categories with weak equivalences and fibrations)

A triple (C, W, Fib) where:

...a weakening of the definition of fibration categories, with  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  an  $\infty\text{-category}.$ 

### Fibrational structure

## Definition ( $\infty$ -categories with weak equivalences and fibrations)

A triple (C, W, Fib) where:

...a weakening of the definition of fibration categories, with  ${\mathfrak C}$  an  $\infty$ -category.

### Theorem (Avigad-Kapulkin-Lumsdaine 2013)

A contextual category with  $\Sigma$ - and Id-structures defines a fibration category, where weak equivalences are bi-invertible maps and fibrations are maps isomorphic to compositions of basic dependent projections  $p_A \colon \Gamma.A \to \Gamma$ .

## Localizing fibrational categories

## Construction (fibrant slice C(x))

Given a fibrant object x in  $\mathbb{C}$ , lift the fibrational structure through  $\mathbb{C}/x \to \mathbb{C}$  and then take the subcategory of fibrant objects of  $\mathbb{C}/x$ .

# Localizing fibrational categories

## Construction (fibrant slice C(x))

Given a fibrant object x in C, lift the fibrational structure through  $C/x \to C$  and then take the subcategory of fibrant objects of C/x.

## Proposition (Cisinski)

Given an  $\infty$ -category with weak equivalences and fibrations  $\mathbb{C}$ , if for every fibration  $f: x \to y$  between fibrant objects the pullback functor between fibrant slices  $f^*: \mathbb{C}(y) \to \mathbb{C}(x)$  has a right adjoint preserving trivial fibrations, then  $L(\mathbb{C})$  is locally cartesian closed.

## Localizations of models are cartesian closed

### Theorem (Kapulkin 2015)

Given a dependent type theory T with  $\Sigma$ -, Id- and  $\Pi$ -types, the localization of its syntactic category Syn(T) is a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category.

## Localizations of models are cartesian closed

### Theorem (Kapulkin 2015)

Given a dependent type theory T with  $\Sigma$ -, Id- and  $\Pi$ -types, the localization of its syntactic category Syn(T) is a locally cartesian closed  $\infty$ -category.

#### Proof.

For any basic dependent projection  $p_A \colon \Gamma.A \to \Gamma$ , there exists a right adjoint to  $p_A^* \colon \operatorname{Syn}(\mathbf{T})(\Gamma) \to \operatorname{Syn}(\mathbf{T})(\Gamma.A)$  given by

$$(p_A)_*(\Gamma.A.\Theta) = \Gamma.\Pi(A,\Theta)$$

with counit induced by  $app_{A,\Theta}$ . It preserves the fibrational structure.



Thank you for your attention!

Essentially, folklore.

#### Extended structures

We used extensions of the Id-,  $\Sigma$ - and  $\Pi$ -structures: from  $\Gamma.A.\Theta$  we have a  $\Pi$ -object  $\Gamma.\Pi(A,\Theta)$  only when  $\operatorname{ft}(\Gamma.A.\Theta) = \Gamma.A$ , however  $\Theta$  represents an arbitrary extension, like  $\Gamma.A.B$  or  $\Gamma.A.B.C$ . These extended structures were mentioned in the literature, but not entirely defined.

### Internal languages

Researchers often argue by relying on them, intuitive but undefined tools. We chose to work with syntactic categories because then we can reason as we wish.

# Why is dependent type theory cool?

- Closely linked to computations and computer science, makes proof assistants possible.
- Enough by itself as a foundation, unlike set theory or propositional calculus.
- Opening a second of the sec
- Better treatment of equality.
- Makes "fully faithful + essentially surjective = equivalence" independent from the axiom of choice.
- **1** Homotopical interpretation in  $\infty$ -groupoids.

## Internal languages conjecture

### Conjecture (Kapulkin-Lumsdaine 2016)

The horizontal maps, given by simplicial localization, induce equivalences of  $\infty$ -categories.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{CxlCat}_{\Sigma,1,\mathsf{Id},\Pi} & \longrightarrow \mathsf{LCCC}_{\infty} \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ \mathsf{CxlCat}_{\Sigma,1,\mathsf{Id}} & \longrightarrow \mathsf{Lex}_{\infty} \end{array}$$

A proof by Nguyen-Uemura has recently become available on arxiv. One hopes to extend this to an equivalence between  $CxlCat_{HoTT}$  and  $ElTopos_{\infty}$ .