

JUDGMENT SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BALOCHISTAN, TURBAT BENCH
AT QUETTA.

Criminal Bail Application No(T).17 of 2025
(CC #100307800234)

Jeehand Liaquat alias Adnan
Vs.
The State

O R D E R

Date of hearing: 27.11.2025 Announced on: 02.12.2025

Applicant by: Mr. Jadain Dashti, Advocate.

State by: Mr. Sudheer Baloch, Additional Prosecutor General.

Sardar Ahmad Haleemi, J:- This order disposes of Criminal Bail Application No(T).17 of 2025, filed by the applicant/accused Jeehand Liaquat alias Adnan in case FIR No.90 of 2025, dated 21st September, 2025, registered under sections 3, 4 and 5 of the Explosive Substances Act, 1908, read with section 7 of the Anti-Terrorism Act, 1997, at CTD Mekran, Panjgur, on the complaint of SI Attaullah, alleging recovery of 1185 grams of explosive substance, a mobile phone, CNIC, student card, and SIMs from the possession of the applicant/accused.

2. The applicant/accused was arrested and investigated. The investigating officer submitted the challan before the learned Sessions Judge/Special Judge ATC, Panjgoor (hereinafter referred to as "**the trial Court**").

3. Thereafter, the applicant/accused filed Criminal Bail Application No.08 of 2025 before the trial Court, and the said

application was dismissed vide order dated 11th October, 2025. Hence, the instant post-arrest bail application.

4. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the available record.

5. Perusal of the record reveals that although the applicant/accused has been nominated in the FIR, the entire case of the prosecution rests upon spy information and the alleged recovery effected by CTD officials, without the association of any private witness from the locality. The allegation is that the applicant/accused, allegedly affiliated with the banned organization BLF, was standing near Washbood road near graveyard-bridge in Panjgur with a black shopper containing explosive material. As per documents annexed to the bail application, the applicant/accused is an undergraduate student of the University of Turbat, enrolled in the 3rd semester, which prima facie suggests that he is engaged in academic pursuits. It also appears from the record that the applicant's/accused mother, Mst. Bibi Naseema submitted an application to the SSP Turbat alleging that on 25.05.2025, at about 12:00 a.m., a few armed persons entered her house and abducted her son; however, the said application was not entertained. Likewise, the University fellows also recorded their protests on social media regarding the applicant's/accused disappearance, while the crime report was lodged on 21.09.2025. The trial Court would determine the evidentiary value of the documents mentioned above at the conclusion of the trial. All the above facts and circumstances squarely bring the applicant's/accused case within the ambit of further inquiry under

section 497(2), Cr.P.C. Reliance in this regard is placed on the case of Gul Manan v. The State (2021 SCMR 1804).

6. At the bail stage, a deeper appreciation of evidence is unwarranted, as doing so may prejudice the merits at trial. However, tentative assessment of the material presently available on record reveals that the prosecution's story is not free from doubt, and the applicant/accused has succeeded in making out a case of further inquiry in terms of Section 497(2), Cr.P.C.

For the above reasons, Criminal Bail Application No(T).17 of 2025 is hereby allowed. The applicant/accused Jeehand Liaquat alias Adnan son of Liaqat Ali is admitted on bail in case FIR No.90 of 2025, dated 21st September, 2025, Police Station CTD Mekran, Kech, subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.200,000/- (Rupees Two Lac Only) and a P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Additional Registrar of this Court or the trial Court.

The observations made herein are tentative in nature and shall not prejudice the case of either party during the trial.

Announced in open Court
Quetta, on 2nd December, 2025

Judge

Judge.