Table I	. The clu	istering p	erformar	ice comp	arison be	tween rep	oaram	eterizatio	on and nu	clear nor	m regula	rization o	on ⊖.	
Metric	ACC ↑	NMI ↑	ARI ↑	<b>PUR</b> ↑	<b>F1S</b> ↑	Time $\downarrow$	Rank	ACC ↑	NMI ↑	ARI ↑	<b>PUR</b> ↑	F1S↑	Time $\downarrow$	Rank
	NGs						3Sources							
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm			0.965 <sub>±0.00</sub> 0.945 <sub>±0.00</sub>				<b>5</b> 500	_0.00	_0.00	_0.00	_0.00	0.736 <sub>±0.00</sub> 0.711 <sub>±0.01</sub>		<b>6</b> 169
	WebKB						NUS-WIDE							
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm	<b>0.977</b> <sub>±0.00</sub> ER	<b>0.798</b> <sub>±0.00</sub> ER	<b>0.901</b> <sub>±0.00</sub> ER	<b>0.977</b> <sub>±0.00</sub> ER	<b>0.965</b> <sub>±0.00</sub> ER	<b>0.033</b> ER	2 ER						0.136 <sub>±0.05</sub> 1.142 <sub>±0.04</sub>	
	BBCSport							100Leaves						
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm			0.957 <sub>±0.01</sub> 0.849 <sub>±0.00</sub>				5 537					0.881 <sub>±0.01</sub> 0.515 <sub>±0.07</sub>		100 1600
	Youtube						ALOI							
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm			0.244 <sub>±0.00</sub> 0.082 <sub>±0.00</sub>				10 1997					0.796 <sub>±0.00</sub> 0.550 <sub>±0.03</sub>		10 630
	Mfeat						BBCNews							
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm			0.851 <sub>±0.00</sub> 0.638 <sub>±0.00</sub>				10 1994					0.864 <sub>±0.00</sub> 0.814 <sub>±0.00</sub>		5 682
	Cifar10						Cifar100							
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm						<b>11.827</b> 20690.036	10 49994					0.959 <sub>±0.01</sub> 0.854 <sub>±0.02</sub>		100 49986
	YTF-10						YTF-20							
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm			0.741 <sub>±0.02</sub> 0.701 <sub>±0.01</sub>				10 36896					<b>0.658</b> <sub>±0.03</sub> 0.611 <sub>±0.01</sub>	<b>7.252</b> 15206.441	20 60051
	YTF-50						YTF-100							
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm	<b>0.755</b> <sub>±0.02</sub> OOM	<b>0.849</b> <sub>±0.00</sub> OOM	<b>0.659</b> <sub>±0.01</sub> OOM	<b>0.798</b> <sub>±0.01</sub> OOM	<b>0.667</b> <sub>±0.01</sub> OOM	<b>34.716</b> OOM	50 OOM	<b>0.691</b> <sub>±0.01</sub> OOM	<b>0.837</b> <sub>±0.01</sub> OOM	<b>0.585</b> <sub>±0.03</sub> OOM	<b>0.737</b> <sub>±0.01</sub> OOM	<b>0.590</b> <sub>±0.03</sub> OOM	<b>94.086</b> OOM	100 OOM
	YTF-200						YTF-400							
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm	<b>0.635</b> <sub>±0.02</sub> OOM	<b>0.831</b> <sub>±0.01</sub> OOM	<b>0.498</b> <sub>±0.04</sub> OOM	<b>0.686</b> <sub>±0.01</sub> OOM	0.501 <sub>±0.04</sub> OOM	367.938 OOM	200 OOM	0.558 <sub>±0.00</sub> OOM	<b>0.817</b> <sub>±0.00</sub> OOM	<b>0.373</b> <sub>±0.02</sub> OOM	<b>0.622</b> <sub>±0.00</sub> OOM	<b>0.376</b> <sub>±0.02</sub> OOM	<b>921.626</b> OOM	400 OOM

<sup>†:</sup>Best results are in bold. ↑ following a metric indicates that a higher value corresponds to better clustering performance whereas ↓ vice versa. ER indicates ill-conditioned matrix occurs when performing SVD. OOM indicates the method suffers out-of-memory error.

Table 2. The memory usage (MB) comparison between reparameterization and nuclear norm regularization on  $\Theta$ .

Datasets	Cifar10	Cifar100	YTF10	YTF20	YTF50	YTF100	YTF200	YTF400
Reparameterization Nuclear Norm	<b>1371.003</b> 20440.674	<b>1405.335</b> 20440.674	<b>790.940</b> 12187.311	<b>1312.318</b> 32451.088	<b>2617.790</b> OOM	4135.350 OOM	<b>6266.856</b> OOM	9332.602 OOM

 $<sup>\</sup>dot{\uparrow} :$  Best results are in bold. OOM indicates that the method suffers out-of-memory error.