

Mathematical Methods

# Problem Sheet 1

Normed and Inner Product Vector Space

Xin, Wenkang

October 12, 2023

# Normed and Inner Product Vector Space

## 1 Examples of Function Vector Spaces

(a) For  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , the vector addition can be defined as:

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \quad (1)$$

while the scalar multiplication can be defined as:

$$(\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x) \quad (2)$$

Apparently:

$$(\alpha f + \beta g)(x) = \alpha f(x) + \beta g(x) \quad (3)$$

which satisfies the definition of vector space.

(b) Subspaces of  $\mathcal{F}$  include:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \{f_n(x) = x^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \mathcal{E} &= \{f_n(x) = e^{nx}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{f_n(x) = \sin nx, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(c) A possible scalar product for  $\mathcal{P}$  is:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) \, dx \quad (5)$$

•

## 2 Polarisation Identities

(a) Consider the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v + w, v \rangle + \langle v + w, w \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle^* + \langle w, v \rangle^* + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v - w, v - w \rangle &= \langle v - w, v \rangle - \langle v - w, w \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle^* - \langle w, v \rangle^* + \langle w, w \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + iw, v + iw \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + i \langle v, w \rangle - i \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v - iw, v - iw \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle - i \langle v, w \rangle + i \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Combining the above results, we have:

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle - \langle v - w, v - w \rangle - i \langle v + iw, v + iw \rangle + i \langle v - iw, v - iw \rangle = 4 \langle v, w \rangle\tag{8}$$

as required.

**(b)** If  $V$  is a real inner product space, then:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2 \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v - w, v - w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle - 2 \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

so that:

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle - \langle v - w, v - w \rangle = 4 \langle v, w \rangle\tag{10}$$

**(c)** We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + w, T(v + w) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle + \langle w, T(v) \rangle + \langle v, T(w) \rangle + \langle w, T(w) \rangle \\ \langle v - w, T(v - w) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle - \langle w, T(v) \rangle - \langle v, T(w) \rangle + \langle w, T(w) \rangle \\ \langle v + iw, T(v + iw) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle - i \langle w, T(v) \rangle + i \langle v, T(w) \rangle + \langle w, T(w) \rangle \\ \langle v - iw, T(v - iw) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle + i \langle w, T(v) \rangle - i \langle v, T(w) \rangle + \langle w, T(w) \rangle\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Combining the above results, we have:

$$\langle v + w, T(v + w) \rangle - \langle v - w, T(v - w) \rangle - i \langle v + iw, T(v + iw) \rangle + i \langle v - iw, T(v - iw) \rangle = 4 \langle v, T(w) \rangle\tag{12}$$

as required.

**(d)** If  $\langle v, T(v) \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ , then:

$$\langle 2v, 2T(v) \rangle - i \langle v + iv, T(v + iv) \rangle + i \langle v - iv, T(v - iv) \rangle = 0 \quad (13)$$

However, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v + iv, T(v + iv) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle - i \langle v, T(v) \rangle + i \langle v, T(v) \rangle + \langle v, T(v) \rangle = 2 \langle v, T(v) \rangle \\ \langle v - iv, T(v - iv) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle + i \langle v, T(v) \rangle - i \langle v, T(v) \rangle + \langle v, T(v) \rangle = 2 \langle v, T(v) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Therefore, the following equality holds:

$$(4 - 2i + 2i) \langle v, T(v) \rangle = 0 \quad (15)$$

which implies that  $\langle v, T(v) \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

This means that  $T(v) = 0$  because  $v$  is arbitrary.

(e) First suppose  $T$  is hermitian, then by definition:

$$\langle v, T(v) \rangle = \langle T^\dagger(v), v \rangle = \langle T(v), v \rangle \quad (16)$$

But  $\langle v, T(v) \rangle = \langle T(v), v \rangle^*$  for a complex inner product space. This means  $\langle v, T(v) \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now suppose  $\langle v, T(v) \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  for some  $T$ , then:

$$\langle v, T(v) \rangle = \langle v, T(v) \rangle^* = \langle T(v), v \rangle \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\langle v, T(v) \rangle = \langle T^\dagger(v), v \rangle \quad (18)$$

which means:

$$\langle v, T(v) - T^\dagger(v) \rangle = 0 \quad (19)$$

for all  $v \in V$ . Therefore,  $T = T^\dagger$  and  $T$  is hermitian.

•

### 3 The Normed Vector Space and the Parallelogram Identity

(a) We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v + w, v + w \rangle + \langle v - w, v - w \rangle \\
&= \langle v, v \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, v \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\
&= 2 \langle v, v \rangle + 2 \langle w, w \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

as required.

(b) Apparently the norm is positive definite because for a sequence  $(x_i)$  not all zero. Consider the linearity condition:

$$\|\alpha(x_i)\| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} = |\alpha| \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} = |\alpha| \|x_i\| \tag{21}$$

To prove the triangle inequality, we use without proof the Holder's inequality:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i w_i| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |w_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \tag{22}$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

Assuming a non-zero  $\|x_i + y_i\|$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(x_i + y_i)\|^p &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |x_i + y_i| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} (|x_i| + |y_i|) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |x_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |y_i|
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

But by Holder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |x_i| &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1-1/p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |y_i| &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1-1/p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^p \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Thus:

$$\|(x_i + y_i)\|^p \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1-1/p} (\|x_i\| + \|y_i\|) = \frac{\|(x_i + y_i)\|^p}{\|x_i + y_i\|} (\|x_i\| + \|y_i\|) \quad (25)$$

and the triangle inequality results.

(c) Suppose on the contrary that there exists some inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for some  $p \neq 2$ . Then the associated norm satisfies the parallelogram identity. With the proposed vectors, we have:

$$\|v + w\|^2 + \|v - w\|^2 = (2 \times (1^p))^{2/p} + (2 \times (1^p))^{2/p} \quad (26)$$

On the other hand:

$$2\|v\|^2 + 2\|w\|^2 = 2 \times (1^p) + 2 \times (1^p) \quad (27)$$

These are only equal when  $p = 2$ , which contradicts the assumption.

•

## 4 Recap of Gram-Schmidt Procedure

(a) With the basis  $1, x, x^2$ , we start from 1 and normalize it:

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle 1, 1 \rangle}} = \left( \int e^{-x^2} dx \right)^{-1/2} = \pi^{-1/4} \quad (28)$$

Then the (un-normalised) second basis is:

$$p_2 = x - \langle x, \hat{p}_1 \rangle \hat{p}_1 = x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int x e^{-x^2} dx = x \quad (29)$$

Normalising it, we have:

$$\hat{p}_2 = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}} = x \left( \int x^2 e^{-x^2} dx \right)^{-1/2} = \left( \frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} x \quad (30)$$

Finally, the (un-normalised) third basis is:

$$p_3 = x^2 - \langle x^2, \hat{p}_1 \rangle \hat{p}_1 - \langle x^2, \hat{p}_2 \rangle \hat{p}_2 = x^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int x^2 e^{-x^2} dx - \frac{4}{\pi} \int x^3 e^{-x^2} dx = x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \quad (31)$$

Normalising it, we have:

$$\hat{p}_3 = \frac{x^2 - 1/2}{\sqrt{\langle x^2 - 1/2, x^2 - 1/2 \rangle}} = \left( \int \left( x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 e^{-x^2} dx \right)^{-1/2} = \left( \frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} \left( x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (32)$$

(b) We will have:

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^2 b_k \hat{p}_k(x) \quad (33)$$

where  $b_k = \langle q, \hat{p}_k \rangle$ .

(c) We have:

$$\langle q, q \rangle = \langle b_i \hat{p}_i, b_j \hat{p}_j \rangle = b_i b_j \langle \hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j \rangle = b_i b_j \delta_{ij} = b_i^2 \quad (34)$$

(d) Given the linear operator, we can write the scalar product as:

$$\langle q, T(q) \rangle = \int q \frac{d}{dx} \left( w \frac{dq}{dx} \right) dx \quad (35)$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\langle T(q), q \rangle = \int \frac{d}{dx} \left( w \frac{dq}{dx} \right) q dx = \langle q, T(q) \rangle \quad (36)$$

Therefore,  $T$  is hermitian.

We can compute the effect of  $T$  on the basis vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\hat{p}_1) &= 0 \\ T(\hat{p}_2) &= \left( \frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} (-2x) = -2\hat{p}_2 \\ T(\hat{p}_3) &= \left( \frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} (-4x^2 + 2) = -4\hat{p}_3 \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Thus the matrix representation of  $T$  is:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (38)$$

•

## 5 Orthonormal Basis

(a) Any vector  $v$  can be written as:

$$v = \sum_i \langle v | \epsilon_i \rangle | \epsilon_i \rangle \quad (39)$$

so that the inner product between  $v$  and  $w$  is:

$$\langle v | w \rangle = \sum_i \sum_j \langle v | \epsilon_i \rangle \langle \epsilon_j | w \rangle = \sum_i \langle v | \epsilon_i \rangle \langle \epsilon_i | w \rangle \quad (40)$$

as the basis is orthonormal.

(b) The entries of the hermitian conjugate of  $P$  are:

$$P_{ij}^\dagger = P_{ji}^* = \langle \epsilon'_j | \epsilon_i \rangle^* = \langle \epsilon_i | \epsilon'_j \rangle \quad (41)$$

The matrix product  $P^\dagger P$  is:

$$(P^\dagger P)_{ij} = \sum_k P_{ik}^\dagger P_{kj} = \sum_k \langle \epsilon_i | \epsilon'_k \rangle \langle \epsilon'_k | \epsilon_j \rangle = \langle \epsilon_i | \epsilon_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad (42)$$

which means  $P^\dagger P = I$  or that  $P$  is unitary.

(c) We have:

$$(PTP^\dagger)_{ij} = P_{ik} T_{kl} P_{lj}^\dagger = \sum_{k,l} \langle \epsilon'_i | \epsilon_k \rangle \langle \epsilon_k | T | \epsilon_l \rangle \langle \epsilon_l | \epsilon'_j \rangle = \langle \epsilon'_i | T | \epsilon'_j \rangle = T'_{ij} \quad (43)$$

•

## 6 Rotations and Unitary Matrices

(a) Given that  $R \approx I + iT$  is a rotation matrix, consider the product  $R^\dagger R$ :

$$\delta_{ij} = (R^\dagger R)_{ij} = R_{ik}^\dagger R_{kj} = (\delta_{ik} + iT_{ki})(\delta_{kj} + iT_{kj}) = \delta_{ij} + iT_{ij} + iT_{ji} - T_{ki}T_{kj} \approx \delta_{ij} + iT_{ij} + iT_{ji} \quad (44)$$

where at the last step we have ignored the second order terms.



This equation holds only if  $T_{ij} = -T_{ji}$ , which means  $T$  is anti-symmetric.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j]_{kl} &= \left( \tilde{T}_i \tilde{T}_j - \tilde{T}_j \tilde{T}_i \right)_{kl} \\
 &= \left( \tilde{T}_i \right)_{km} \left( \tilde{T}_j \right)_{ml} - \left( \tilde{T}_j \right)_{km} \left( \tilde{T}_i \right)_{ml} \\
 &= -\epsilon_{ikm} \epsilon_{jml} + \epsilon_{jkm} \epsilon_{iml} \\
 &= -(\delta_{il} \delta_{kj} - \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}) + (\delta_{jl} \delta_{ki} - \delta_{ji} \delta_{kl}) \\
 &= \delta_{jl} \delta_{ki} - \delta_{il} \delta_{kj}
 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

On the other hand:

$$i\epsilon_{ijk} \tilde{T}_k = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{klm} = \delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl} = \delta_{jl} \delta_{ki} - \delta_{il} \delta_{kj} \tag{46}$$

which means  $[\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} \tilde{T}_k$ .

(c) Given that  $U \approx I + iS$  is a unitary matrix, consider the product  $U^\dagger U$ :

$$\delta_{ij} = (U^\dagger U)_{ij} = U_{ik}^\dagger U_{kj} = (\delta_{ik} - iS_{ik}^\dagger)(\delta_{kj} + iS_{kj}) = \delta_{ij} + iS_{ij} - iS_{ij}^\dagger - S_{ik}^\dagger S_{kj} \approx \delta_{ij} + iS_{ij} - iS_{ij}^\dagger \tag{47}$$

which means  $S_{ij}^\dagger = S_{ij}$ , which means  $S$  is hermitian.

On the other hand, suppose that  $S$  has the form:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{pmatrix} \tag{48}$$

where  $a$  and  $c$  must be real because  $S$  is hermitian.

The requirement of unit determinant means:

$$\det\{(I + iS)\} = (ia + 1)(ic + 1) + |b|^2 = 1 \tag{49}$$

or that:

$$-ac + i(a + c) + |b|^2 = 0 \tag{50}$$

which means that  $a + c = 0$  or that  $S$  is traceless.

•

## 7 Convergence and Completeness

(a) Consider the difference  $x^i - x^j$ , where we assume without loss of generality that  $j > i$  so that this difference is positive. Let us choose  $\epsilon = a^s$  for some  $s > 0$ . Consider the integer  $k = \lceil s \rceil + 1 > s$ . We can choose  $i = k$  and  $j = k + n$ , where  $n$  is an arbitrary positive integer. Then:

$$x^i - x^j = x^k(1 - x^n) < x^k < a^k < a^s = \epsilon \quad (51)$$

This shows that the sequence is Cauchy.

To show that it converges to 0, we still choose  $\epsilon = a^s$  and consider the integer  $k = \lceil s \rceil + 1 > s$ . Then:

$$x^k - 0 = a^k < a^s = \epsilon \quad (52)$$

This shows that the sequence converges to 0.

(b) Consider the difference  $s_j - s_i$ , where we assume without loss of generality that  $j > i$ .