

Quantum Mechanics

Problem Sheet 4

Transformations & Orbital Angular Momentum

Xin, Wenkang

January 22, 2024

Transformations

4.1 Reflection symmetry around a point \mathbf{x}_0

Let $|\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}\rangle$ be a position eigenstate that yields $\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}$ upon measurement of position. On physical grounds, reflecting the eigenstate about the point \mathbf{x}_0 should yield the eigenstate $|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}\rangle$:

$$\hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} |\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}\rangle = |\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}\rangle \quad (1)$$

With this, consider the effect of $\hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \hat{x} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ on a position eigenstate:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \hat{x} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} |\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}\rangle &= \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \hat{x} |\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}\rangle \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}) \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} |\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}\rangle \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}) |\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}\rangle \\ &= (2\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}) |\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}\rangle \\ &= (2\mathbf{x}_0 \mathbb{I} - \hat{x}) |\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Further consider $\hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \hat{p} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$. Apparently \hat{p} anticommutes with $\hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ so that $\hat{p} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} = -\hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \hat{p}$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \hat{p} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} &= -\hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \hat{p} \\ &= -\hat{p} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

since two successive reflections about the same point is equivalent to no reflection at all.

Consider the position wave function after the reflection:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv \langle \hat{x} | \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} | \psi \rangle \\ &= \int \langle \hat{x} | \hat{P}_{\mathbf{x}_0} | \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}' | \psi \rangle d^3x' \\ &= \int \langle \hat{x} | \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}' \rangle \psi(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}') d^3x' \\ &= \int (\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}') \psi(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}') d^3x' \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Consider the change of variable $\mathbf{x}' \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}'$:

$$\psi'(\mathbf{x}) = \int \mathbf{x}' \psi(2\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}') d^3x' = \psi(2\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}) \quad (5)$$

•

4.2

For translation invariance, \hat{H} must commute with \hat{p} . Since \hat{x} and \hat{p} generally do not commute, the only form $V(\hat{x})$ can take is a constant.

•

4.3

We define the orbital angular momentum operator \hat{L}_i as:

$$\hat{L}_i \equiv \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{x}_j \hat{p}_k \quad (6)$$

Its Hermitian conjugate is:

$$\hat{L}_i^\dagger = \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{p}_k^\dagger \hat{x}_j^\dagger = \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{p}_k \hat{x}_j \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, from the canonical commutation relation:

$$[\hat{x}_j, \hat{p}_k] = i\hbar \delta_{jk} \mathbb{I} \quad (8)$$

which implies that \hat{x}_j and \hat{p}_k commute if $j \neq k$.

Therefore:

$$\hat{L}_i^\dagger = \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{p}_k \hat{x}_j = \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{x}_j \hat{p}_k = \hat{L}_i \quad (9)$$

•

4.4

For a central potential, we write the Hamiltonian as:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{r}^2) \quad (10)$$

where we define the radial position operator \hat{r}^2 as:

$$\hat{r}^2 \equiv \hat{x}_1^2 + \hat{x}_2^2 + \hat{x}_3^2 \quad (11)$$

Let us write the potential as an expansion in terms of \hat{r}^2 :

$$V(\hat{r}^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \hat{r}^{2n} \quad (12)$$

Consider the commutator $[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_i]$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{H}, \hat{L}_i] &= \frac{1}{2m} [\hat{p}^2, \hat{L}_i] + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n [\hat{r}^{2n}, \hat{L}_i] \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{j=1,2,3} [\hat{p}_j^2, \hat{L}_i] + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \sum_{j=1,2,3} [\hat{x}_j^{2n}, \hat{L}_i] \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} \epsilon_{ikl} \sum_{j=1,2,3} [\hat{p}_j^2, \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l] + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \epsilon_{ikl} \sum_{j=1,2,3} [\hat{x}_j^{2n}, \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Let us consider the commutators separately. Note the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [AB, C] &= A[B, C] + [A, C]B \\ [A, BC] &= [A, B]C + B[A, C] \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For $[\hat{p}_j^2, \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l]$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{p}_j^2, \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l] &= \hat{p}_j [\hat{p}_j, \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l] + [\hat{p}_j, \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l] \hat{p}_j \\ &= \hat{p}_j [\hat{p}_j, \hat{x}_k] \hat{p}_l + \hat{p}_j \hat{x}_k [\hat{p}_j, \hat{p}_l] + [\hat{p}_j, \hat{x}_k] \hat{p}_l \hat{p}_j + \hat{x}_k [\hat{p}_j, \hat{p}_l] \hat{p}_j \\ &= \hat{p}_j [\hat{p}_j, \hat{x}_k] \hat{p}_l + [\hat{p}_j, \hat{x}_k] \hat{p}_l \hat{p}_j \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where we have used the fact that \hat{p}_j and \hat{p}_l commute.

This commutator is nonzero only when $k = j$, in which case:

$$[\hat{p}_j^2, \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l] = -2i\hbar \hat{p}_j \hat{p}_l \quad (16)$$

Then the first term in the commutator $[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_i]$ becomes:

$$\frac{1}{2m} \epsilon_{ijl} \sum_{j=1,2,3} (-2i\hbar \hat{p}_j \hat{p}_l) = \frac{1}{im} \epsilon_{ijl} \hat{p}_j \hat{p}_l \quad (17)$$

This is zero since \hat{p}_j and \hat{p}_l commute. We then consider the second commutator $[\hat{x}_j^{2n}, \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l]$:

$$[\hat{x}_j^{2n}, \hat{x}_k \hat{p}_l] = [\hat{x}_j^{2n}, \hat{x}_k] \hat{p}_l + \hat{x}_k [\hat{x}_j^{2n}, \hat{p}_l] \quad (18)$$

where the first term is always zero since \hat{x}_j^{2n} and \hat{x}_k commute and the second term is nonzero only when $l = j$, in which case:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_j^{2n}, \hat{p}_l] &= \hat{x}_k [\hat{x}_j^{2n}, \hat{p}_j] \\ &= \hat{x}_k \{ \hat{x}_j^{2n-1} [\hat{x}_j, \hat{p}_j] + [\hat{x}_j^{2n-1}, \hat{p}_j] \hat{x}_j \} \\ &= \hat{x}_k \{ \hat{x}_j^{2n-1} [\hat{x}_j, \hat{p}_j] + \hat{x}_j^{2n-2} [\hat{x}_j, \hat{p}_j] \hat{x}_j + \cdots + [\hat{x}_j, \hat{p}_j] \hat{x}_j^{2n-1} \} \\ &= i\hbar(2n) \hat{x}_k \hat{x}_j^{2n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Therefore the second term in the commutator $[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_i]$ becomes:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \epsilon_{ikj} \sum_{j=1,2,3} i\hbar(2n) \hat{x}_k \hat{x}_j^{2n-1} \quad (20)$$

which is always zero since \hat{x}_k and \hat{x}_j^{2n-1} commute.

Therefore $[\hat{H}, \hat{L}_i] = 0$ for a central potential and the angular momentum is conserved.

Furthermore, consider a potential that has azimuthal symmetry, i.e. $V(\mathbf{x}) = V(\hat{x}_1^2 + \hat{x}_2^2)$. In this case, we can write the potential as:

$$V = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (\hat{x}_1^2 + \hat{x}_2^2)^n \quad (21)$$

The change from previous results occurs on the second term, where we only let j run over 1 and 2. Due to the presence of the ϵ_{ikj} term, the sum is zero only for $i = 3$, since for the other two cases we will miss one term in the sum due to $j = 3$ missing. Therefore, the Hamiltonian only commutes with \hat{L}_3 , which is the z -component of the angular momentum. The x - and y -components of the angular momentum are not conserved.

4.5

Let us expand the expression using binomial theorem:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{N}\right)^N &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^n \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} \frac{1}{N^n} x^n \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{N(N-1)(N-2) \cdots (N-n+1)}{N^n} \frac{1}{n!} x^n \\
&= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} x^n \\
&= e^x
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where at the last step we have used the fact that the sum is the Taylor series of e^x .

This can in some way be viewed as a definition of e^x . Indeed, the definition of exponential for an operator is just this limit:

$$\exp(\hat{A}) \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\hat{A}}{N}\right)^N = \left(1 + \frac{\hat{A}}{N}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\hat{A}}{N}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{\hat{A}}{N}\right) \tag{23}$$

which can be viewed as applying the operator $(1 + \hat{A}/N)$ to the state N times.

•

4.6 Heisenberg equations of motion for the SHO