

Mathematical Methods

Problem Sheet 1

Normed and Inner Product Vector Space

Xin, Wenkang

October 20, 2023

Normed and Inner Product Vector Space

1 Examples of function vector spaces

(a) For f and g in \mathcal{F} , the vector addition can be defined as:

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \quad (1)$$

while the scalar multiplication can be defined as:

$$(\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x) \quad (2)$$

Apparently:

$$(\alpha f + \beta g)(x) = \alpha f(x) + \beta g(x) \quad (3)$$

There also exists a zero vector $0(x) = 0$. This means \mathcal{F} is a vector space.

(b) Subspaces of \mathcal{F} include:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \{f_n(x) = x^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \mathcal{E} &= \{f_n(x) = e^{nx}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{f_n(x) = \sin nx, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(c) A possible scalar product for \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{S} is:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) \, dx \quad (5)$$

with the associated norm:

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\int_0^1 f(x)^2 \, dx} \quad (6)$$

•

2 Polarisation identities

(a) Consider the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v + w, v \rangle + \langle v + w, w \rangle \\
&= \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle^* + \langle w, v \rangle^* + \langle w, w \rangle \\
\langle v - w, v - w \rangle &= \langle v - w, v \rangle - \langle v - w, w \rangle \\
&= \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle^* - \langle w, v \rangle^* + \langle w, w \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v + iw, v + iw \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + i \langle v, w \rangle - i \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\
\langle v - iw, v - iw \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle - i \langle v, w \rangle + i \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Combining the above results, we have:

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle - \langle v - w, v - w \rangle - i \langle v + iw, v + iw \rangle + i \langle v - iw, v - iw \rangle = 4 \langle v, w \rangle \tag{9}$$

as required.

(b) If V is a real inner product space, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2 \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\
\langle v - w, v - w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle - 2 \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

so that:

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle - \langle v - w, v - w \rangle = 4 \langle v, w \rangle \tag{11}$$

(c) We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v + w, T(v + w) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle + \langle w, T(v) \rangle + \langle v, T(w) \rangle + \langle w, T(w) \rangle \\
\langle v - w, T(v - w) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle - \langle w, T(v) \rangle - \langle v, T(w) \rangle + \langle w, T(w) \rangle \\
\langle v + iw, T(v + iw) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle - i \langle w, T(v) \rangle + i \langle v, T(w) \rangle + \langle w, T(w) \rangle \\
\langle v - iw, T(v - iw) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle + i \langle w, T(v) \rangle - i \langle v, T(w) \rangle + \langle w, T(w) \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Combining the above results, we have:

$$\langle v + w, T(v + w) \rangle - \langle v - w, T(v - w) \rangle - i \langle v + iw, T(v + iw) \rangle + i \langle v - iw, T(v - iw) \rangle = 4 \langle v, T(w) \rangle \quad (13)$$

as required.

(d) If $\langle v, T(v) \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in V$, then:

$$\langle 2v, 2T(v) \rangle - i \langle v + iv, T(v + iv) \rangle + i \langle v - iv, T(v - iv) \rangle = 0 \quad (14)$$

However, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v + iv, T(v + iv) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle - i \langle v, T(v) \rangle + i \langle v, T(v) \rangle + \langle v, T(v) \rangle = 2 \langle v, T(v) \rangle \\ \langle v - iv, T(v - iv) \rangle &= \langle v, T(v) \rangle + i \langle v, T(v) \rangle - i \langle v, T(v) \rangle + \langle v, T(v) \rangle = 2 \langle v, T(v) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Therefore, the following equality holds:

$$(4 - 2i + 2i) \langle v, T(v) \rangle = 0 \quad (16)$$

which implies that $\langle v, T(v) \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

This means that $T(v) = 0$ because v is arbitrary.

(e) First suppose T is hermitian, then by definition:

$$\langle v, T(v) \rangle = \langle T^\dagger(v), v \rangle = \langle T(v), v \rangle \quad (17)$$

But $\langle v, T(v) \rangle = \langle T(v), v \rangle^*$ for a complex inner product space. This means $\langle v, T(v) \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now suppose $\langle v, T(v) \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for some T , then:

$$\langle v, T(v) \rangle = \langle v, T(v) \rangle^* = \langle T(v), v \rangle \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\langle v, T(v) \rangle = \langle T^\dagger(v), v \rangle \quad (19)$$

which means:

$$\langle v, T(v) - T^\dagger(v) \rangle = 0 \quad (20)$$

for all $v \in V$. Therefore, $T = T^\dagger$ and T is hermitian.

•

3 The normed vector space and the parallelogram identity

(a) We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle v + w, v + w \rangle + \langle v - w, v - w \rangle \\
 &= \langle v, v \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, v \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\
 &= 2 \langle v, v \rangle + 2 \langle w, w \rangle
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

as required.

(b) Apparently the norm is positive definite because for a sequence (x_i) not all zero. Consider the linearity condition:

$$\|\alpha(x_i)\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} = |\alpha| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} = |\alpha| \|x_i\| \tag{22}$$

To prove the triangle inequality, we use without proof the Holder's inequality:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i w_i| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |w_i|^q \right)^{1/q} \tag{23}$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

Assuming a non-zero $\|x_i + y_i\|$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(x_i + y_i)\|^p &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |x_i + y_i| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} (|x_i| + |y_i|) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |x_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |y_i|
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

But by Holder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |x_i| &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1-1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} |y_i| &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1-1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^p \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Thus:

$$\|(x_i + y_i)\|^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1-1/p} (\|x_i\| + \|y_i\|) = \frac{\|(x_i + y_i)\|^p}{\|x_i + y_i\|} (\|x_i\| + \|y_i\|) \tag{26}$$

and the triangle inequality results.

(c) Suppose on the contrary that there exists some inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for some $p \neq 2$. Then the associated norm satisfies the parallelogram identity. With the proposed vectors, we have:

$$\|v + w\|^2 + \|v - w\|^2 = (2 \times (1^p))^{2/p} + (2 \times (1^p))^{2/p} \tag{27}$$

On the other hand:

$$2\|v\|^2 + 2\|w\|^2 = 2 \times (1^p) + 2 \times (1^p) \tag{28}$$

These are only equal when $p = 2$, which contradicts the assumption.

•

4 Recap of Gram-Schmidt procedure

(a) With the basis $1, x, x^2$, we start from 1 and normalize it:

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle 1, 1 \rangle}} = \left(\int e^{-x^2} dx \right)^{-1/2} = \pi^{-1/4} \tag{29}$$

Then the (un-normalised) second basis is:

$$p_2 = x - \langle x, \hat{p}_1 \rangle \hat{p}_1 = x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int x e^{-x^2} dx = x \tag{30}$$

Normalising it, we have:

$$\hat{p}_2 = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}} = x \left(\int x^2 e^{-x^2} dx \right)^{-1/2} = \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} x \quad (31)$$

Finally, the (un-normalised) third basis is:

$$p_3 = x^2 - \langle x^2, \hat{p}_1 \rangle \hat{p}_1 - \langle x^2, \hat{p}_2 \rangle \hat{p}_2 = x^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int x^2 e^{-x^2} dx - \frac{4}{\pi} \int x^3 e^{-x^2} dx = x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \quad (32)$$

Normalising it, we have:

$$\hat{p}_3 = \frac{x^2 - 1/2}{\sqrt{\langle x^2 - 1/2, x^2 - 1/2 \rangle}} = \left(\int \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 e^{-x^2} dx \right)^{-1/2} = \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \right)^{1/4} \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (33)$$

(b) We will have:

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^2 b_k \hat{p}_k(x) \quad (34)$$

where $b_k = \langle q, \hat{p}_k \rangle$.

The coefficients should be:

$$b_k = \langle \hat{p}_k, q \rangle \quad (35)$$

(c) We have:

$$\langle q, q \rangle = \langle b_i \hat{p}_i, b_j \hat{p}_j \rangle = b_i b_j \langle \hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j \rangle = b_i b_j \delta_{ij} = b_i^2 \quad (36)$$

(d) Given the linear operator, we can write the scalar product as:

$$\langle q, T(q) \rangle = \int q \frac{d}{dx} \left(w \frac{dq}{dx} \right) dx \quad (37)$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\langle T(q), q \rangle = \int \frac{d}{dx} \left(w \frac{dq}{dx} \right) q dx = \langle q, T(q) \rangle \quad (38)$$

Therefore, T is hermitian.

We can compute the effect of T on the basis vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}
T(\hat{p}_1) &= 0 \\
T(\hat{p}_2) &= \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} (-2x) = -2\hat{p}_2 \\
T(\hat{p}_3) &= \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} (-4x^2 + 2) = -4\hat{p}_3
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Thus the matrix representation of T is:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{40}$$

•

5 Orthonormal basis

(a) Any vector v can be written as:

$$v = \sum_i \langle v | \epsilon_i \rangle | \epsilon_i \rangle \tag{41}$$

so that the inner product between v and w is:

$$\langle v | w \rangle = \sum_i \sum_j \langle v | \epsilon_i \rangle \langle \epsilon_j | w \rangle = \sum_i \langle v | \epsilon_i \rangle \langle \epsilon_i | w \rangle \tag{42}$$

as the basis is orthonormal.

(b) The entries of the hermitian conjugate of P are:

$$P_{ij}^\dagger = P_{ji}^* = \langle \epsilon'_j | \epsilon_i \rangle^* = \langle \epsilon_i | \epsilon'_j \rangle \tag{43}$$

The matrix product $P^\dagger P$ is:

$$(P^\dagger P)_{ij} = \sum_k P_{ik}^\dagger P_{kj} = \sum_k \langle \epsilon_i | \epsilon'_k \rangle \langle \epsilon'_k | \epsilon_j \rangle = \langle \epsilon_i | \epsilon_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \tag{44}$$

which means $P^\dagger P = I$ or that P is unitary.

(c) We have:

$$(PTP^\dagger)_{ij} = P_{ik}T_{kl}P_{lj}^\dagger = \sum_{k,l} \langle \epsilon'_i | \epsilon_k \rangle \langle \epsilon_k | T | \epsilon_l \rangle \langle \epsilon_l | \epsilon'_j \rangle = \langle \epsilon'_i | T | \epsilon'_j \rangle = T'_{ij} \quad (45)$$

•

6 Rotations and unitary matrices

(a) Given that $R \approx I + iT$ is a rotation matrix, consider the product $R^\dagger R$:

$$\delta_{ij} = (R^\dagger R)_{ij} = R_{ik}^\dagger R_{kj} = (\delta_{ik} + iT_{ki})(\delta_{kj} + iT_{kj}) = \delta_{ij} + iT_{ij} + iT_{ji} - T_{ki}T_{kj} \approx \delta_{ij} + iT_{ij} + iT_{ji} \quad (46)$$

where at the last step we have ignored the second order terms.

This equation holds only if $T_{ij} = -T_{ji}$, which means T is anti-symmetric.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j]_{kl} &= (\tilde{T}_i \tilde{T}_j - \tilde{T}_j \tilde{T}_i)_{kl} \\ &= (\tilde{T}_i)_{km} (\tilde{T}_j)_{ml} - (\tilde{T}_j)_{km} (\tilde{T}_i)_{ml} \\ &= -\epsilon_{ikm} \epsilon_{jml} + \epsilon_{jkm} \epsilon_{iml} \\ &= -(\delta_{il} \delta_{kj} - \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}) + (\delta_{jl} \delta_{ki} - \delta_{ji} \delta_{kl}) \\ &= \delta_{jl} \delta_{ki} - \delta_{il} \delta_{kj} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

On the other hand:

$$i\epsilon_{ijk} \tilde{T}_k = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{klm} = \delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl} = \delta_{jl} \delta_{ki} - \delta_{il} \delta_{kj} \quad (48)$$

which means $[\tilde{T}_i, \tilde{T}_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} \tilde{T}_k$.

(c) Given that $U \approx I + iS$ is a unitary matrix, consider the product $U^\dagger U$:

$$\delta_{ij} = (U^\dagger U)_{ij} = U_{ik}^\dagger U_{kj} = (\delta_{ik} - iS_{ik}^\dagger)(\delta_{kj} + iS_{kj}) = \delta_{ij} + iS_{ij} - iS_{ij}^\dagger - S_{ik}^\dagger S_{kj} \approx \delta_{ij} + iS_{ij} - iS_{ij}^\dagger \quad (49)$$

which means $S_{ij}^\dagger = S_{ij}$, which means S is hermitian.

On the other hand, suppose that S has the form:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{pmatrix} \quad (50)$$

where a and c must be real because S is hermitian.

The requirement of unit determinant means:

$$\det\{(I + iS)\} = (ia + 1)(ic + 1) + |b|^2 = 1 \quad (51)$$

or that:

$$-ac + i(a + c) + |b|^2 = 0 \quad (52)$$

which means that $a + c = 0$ or that S is traceless.

•

7 Convergence and completeness

(a) Consider the difference $x^i - x^j$, where we assume without loss of generality that $j > i$ so that this difference is positive. Let us choose $\epsilon = a^s$ for some $s > 0$. Consider the integer $k = \lceil s \rceil + 1 > s$. We can choose $i = k$ and $j = k + n$, where n is an arbitrary positive integer. Then:

$$x^i - x^j = x^k(1 - x^n) < x^k < a^k < a^s = \epsilon \quad (53)$$

$$x^i - x^j = x^k(1 - x^n) < x^k \leq a^k < a^s = \epsilon \quad (54)$$

This shows that the sequence is Cauchy.

To show that it converges to 0, we still choose $\epsilon = a^s$ and consider the integer $k = \lceil s \rceil + 1 > s$. Then:

$$x^k - 0 = a^k < a^s = \epsilon \quad (55)$$

This shows that the sequence converges to 0.

(b) Consider the difference $s_j - s_i$, where we assume without loss of generality that $j > i$.

Let us choose $\epsilon = (1 - a)^{-s}$ for some $s > 1$. Then:

$$s_j - s_i = \sum_{k=i+1}^j x^k \leq \sum_{k=i+1}^j a^k < \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = \frac{1}{1-a} < \left(\frac{1}{1-a}\right)^s = \epsilon \quad (56)$$

This shows that the sequence is Cauchy.

•