

# Classical Mechanics

## Problem Set 2

Collisions in two dimensions & applications of the equation of motion

Xin, Wenkang

June 3, 2023

# Collisions in 2-D

## 1 Elastic collisions in 2D

(a) Suppose  $m_1$  has a velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  in the centre of mass (CM) frame, such that  $m_2$  has a velocity  $-m_1\mathbf{v}/m_2$ . Let  $m_1$  have a velocity  $\mathbf{v}_1$  after the collision and  $m_2$  have a velocity  $\mathbf{v}_2$ . By conservation of momentum (COM):

$$\mathbf{0} = m_1\mathbf{v}_1 + m_2\mathbf{v}_2 \quad (1)$$

By conservation of energy (COE):

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( m_1 v^2 + m_2 \frac{m_1^2}{m_2^2} v^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) m_1 v^2 = \frac{1}{2} (m_1 v_1^2 + m_2 v_2^2) \quad (2)$$

Substitute the first equation to the second:

$$\left( 1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) m_1 v^2 = \left( m_1 + \frac{m_1^2}{m_2} \right) v_1^2 \quad (3)$$

Thus  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \pm \mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \mp \frac{m_1}{m_2} \mathbf{v}$ . It is seen that the magnitude of the velocities do not change.

(b) By COM:

$$m\mathbf{u}_1 = m\mathbf{v}_1 + m\mathbf{v}_2 \quad (4)$$

Squaring both sides:

$$u^2 = v_1^2 + 2\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 + v_2^2 \quad (5)$$

By COE:

$$\frac{1}{2} m u_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} m v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} m v_2^2 \quad (6)$$

Substituting yields:

$$v_1^2 + 2\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 + v_2^2 = v_1^2 + v_2^2 \quad (7)$$

Hence  $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = 0$ . This implies either  $\mathbf{v}_1 = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_2 = 0$  or  $\mathbf{v}_1 \perp \mathbf{v}_2$ . But if  $\mathbf{v}_2 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1$ , there is no change to the system and thus no collision. So  $\mathbf{v}_2 = 0$  is ruled out.

Taking a dot product  $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1$  gives:

$$\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = v_1^2 + \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = v_1^2 > 0 \quad (8)$$

But  $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = uv \cos \theta$  where  $\theta$  is the scattering angle. Therefore,  $\cos \theta > 0$  and  $-90^\circ \leq \theta \leq 90^\circ$ .

(c) In CM frame,  $P_1$  has an initial velocity  $\mathbf{u}_1/2$  and  $P_2$  has  $-\mathbf{u}_1/2$ . COM gives

$$\mathbf{0} = m\mathbf{v}'_1 + m\mathbf{v}'_2 \quad (9)$$

COE gives:

$$m \frac{u_1^2}{4} = \frac{1}{2} m v_{1CM}'^2 + \frac{1}{2} m v_{2CM}'^2 \quad (10)$$

Substitution yields  $v'_1 = v'_2 = u_1/2$  and  $\mathbf{v}'_1 = -\mathbf{v}'_2$ . Thus:

$$\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = (\mathbf{v}'_1 + \mathbf{u}_1/2) \cdot (\mathbf{v}'_2 + \mathbf{u}_1/2) = (\mathbf{v}'_1 + \mathbf{u}_1/2) \cdot (-\mathbf{v}'_1 + \mathbf{u}_1/2) = \frac{u_1^2}{4} - v_1'^2 = 0 \quad (11)$$

And:

$$\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{v}'_1 + \mathbf{u}_1/2) = \frac{u_1^2}{2} (\cos \phi + 1) \geq 0 \quad (12)$$

•

## 2 Collision of an alpha particle with a proton

Let the alpha particle have an initial velocity  $\mathbf{v}$ . Also let the alpha particle have a velocity  $\mathbf{v}_1$  after the collision and the proton have  $\mathbf{v}_2$ . By COM:

$$4\mathbf{v} = 4\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \quad (13)$$

or  $4\mathbf{v} - 4\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2$ .

Squaring the equation:

$$16v^2 - 32\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 + 16v_1^2 = v_2^2 \quad (14)$$

By COE:

$$4v^2 = 4v_1^2 + v_2^2 \quad (15)$$

Substituting:

$$\begin{aligned}
 4v^2 &= 4v_1^2 + 16v^2 - 32\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 + 16v_1^2 \\
 3v^2 + 5v_1^2 &= 8\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 = 8vv_1 \cos \theta \\
 \cos \theta &= \frac{3v^2 + 5v_1^2}{8vv_1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where  $\theta$  is the deflection angle.

Since  $v$  is a constant, we can differentiate the equation with respect to  $v_1$ :

$$\frac{d}{dv_1} \cos \theta = \frac{5v_1^2 - 3v^2}{8vv_1^2} \tag{17}$$

Therefore,  $v_1 = \pm\sqrt{3/5}v$  for a maximum  $\cos \theta$ . The maximum of  $\theta$  is thus:

$$\theta_{max} = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{6v^2}{\pm 8\sqrt{3/5}v^2} \right) = \pm 14.5^\circ \tag{18}$$

•

### 3 Inelastic collision in 2-D

In the CM frame,  $2m$  has an initial velocity  $\mathbf{u}/3$  while  $m$  has an initial velocity  $-2\mathbf{u}/3$ . Let them have velocities  $\mathbf{v}_{1CM}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{2CM}$  respectively after the collision. By COM:

$$\mathbf{0} = 2\mathbf{v}_{1CM} + \mathbf{v}_{2CM} \tag{19}$$

By the definition of coefficient of restitution  $\alpha$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbf{v}_{1CM} - \mathbf{v}_{2CM}| &= \alpha u \\
 |3\mathbf{v}_{1CM}| &= |3\mathbf{v}_{2CM}/2| = \alpha u
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

or  $v_{1CM} = \alpha u/3$  and  $v_{2CM} = 2\alpha u/3$ .

Given  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_{2CM} + 2\mathbf{u}/3$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_2^2 &= v_{2CM}^2 - \frac{4}{3}v_{2CM}u \cos \phi + \frac{4}{9}u^2 \\
 &= \frac{4}{9}u^2 (\alpha^2 + 1 - 3\alpha \cos \phi)
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where  $\phi$  is the angle between  $\mathbf{v}_{2CM}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$

But  $v_{2CM} \sin \phi = v_2 \sin \theta$ , so:

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{2CM}^2(1 - \cos^2 \phi) &= v_2^2(1 - \cos^2 \theta) \\
 \cos^2 \phi &= 1 - \frac{9v_2^2}{4\alpha^2 u^2}(1 - \cos^2 \theta)
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

This expression can be substituted back to the equation for  $v^2$ , and **after some nasty algebra**, we get:

$$v_2 = \frac{2u}{3} \left( \cos \theta \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \sin^2 \theta} \right) \tag{23}$$

A geometric approach is much simpler.

•

# Work, potential energy and conservation of energy

## 4 The Work Energy Theorem

(a) Assuming that  $m$  is a constant, by Newton's second law:

$$m \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = mv \frac{dv}{dx} = F(x) \quad (24)$$

This has now become a separable differential equation. Integrating yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{v_a}^{v_b} mv dv &= \int_a^b F(x) dx \\ \frac{1}{2}mv_b^2 - \frac{1}{2}mv_a^2 &= W_{ab} \\ W_{ab} &= T(b) - T(a) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

This expression applies to all forces.

(b) The term 'minimum approach' only makes sense if  $x_0 > 0$  and  $u < 0$ , i.e., the negative velocity points at the origin. In this case, using the work energy theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_0}^{x_{\min}} \frac{k}{x^2} dx &= 0 - \frac{1}{2}mu^2 \\ k \left( \frac{1}{x_{\min}} - \frac{1}{x_0} \right) &= \frac{1}{2}mu^2 \\ x_{\min} &= \frac{1}{mu^2/2k + 1/x_0} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

•

## 5 Gravitational potential of two point masses

(a) The y-components of the forces cancel, so we only consider the x-components:

$$F(x) = -2G \frac{Mm}{x^2 + a^2} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} = -2G \frac{Mmx}{(x^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} \quad (27)$$

(b) Treating infinity as the reference point, by the definition of potential energy:

$$V(x) = \int_{\infty}^x 2G \frac{Mmx}{(x^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} dx = GMm \left[ -2 \frac{1}{(x^2 + a^2)^{1/2}} \right]_{\infty}^x = \frac{-2GMm}{\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} \quad (28)$$

This is a symmetric potential well centred at  $x = 0$ . The minimum point has an energy  $-2GMm/a$ . Thus, for a particle trapped at the centre, it needs at least  $2GMm/a$  amount of kinetic energy to be able to escape the well.

(c) By COE:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-2GMm}{\sqrt{25a^2/16}} &= \frac{-2GMm}{\sqrt{a^2}} + \frac{1}{2}mv_{\max}^2 \\ v_{\max} &= \sqrt{\frac{4GM}{5a}} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

•

## 6 SHM about stable equilibrium

(a) Differentiating  $U(r)$  with respect to  $r$ :

$$\frac{dU}{dr} = 12\epsilon \left( \frac{r_0^6}{r^7} - \frac{r_0^{12}}{r^{13}} \right) \quad (30)$$

For the minimum point,  $r_0^6/r^7 = r_0^{12}/r^{13}$  or  $r = r_0$ . At this point,  $U(r_0) = -\epsilon$ .

(b)

$$U(r - r_0) \approx U(r_0) + U'(r_0)(r - r_0) + \frac{1}{2}U''(r_0)(r - r_0)^2 = \epsilon \left[ 36 \frac{(r - r_0)^2}{r_0^2} - 1 \right] \quad (31)$$

(c) By the definition of a force due to a potential:

$$F(r - r_0) = -\frac{dU}{d(r - r_0)} \approx -72\epsilon \frac{r - r_0}{r_0^2} \quad (32)$$

which shows that for small  $(r - r_0)$ , the motion is simple harmonic.

The frequency  $\omega$  is:

$$\omega = \frac{6}{r_0} \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon}{m}} \quad (33)$$

The frequency is  $\omega = \sqrt{2k/m}$ , as this is the interaction between two particles:

$$\omega = \frac{12}{r_0} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{m}} \quad (34)$$

(d)

$$k = \omega^2 m = (2\pi f)^2 m \approx 1.7 \times 10^6 \text{ Nm}^{-1} \quad (35)$$

•



# Applications of equation of motion and resistive forces

## 7 Projectile in 2D

The ball only experiences a force in the negative z-axis. The motion is restricted to the plane spanned by  $-g\hat{\mathbf{z}}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$ . If the motion were to leave this plane, the ball must experiences a force that has a component perpendicular to the plane, which violates the first condition.

(a)

$$T = \frac{2V \sin \theta}{g} \quad (36)$$

(b)

$$h = V \sin \theta \frac{T}{2} - \frac{1}{2}g \frac{T^2}{4} = \frac{V^2 \sin^2 \theta}{2g} \quad (37)$$

(c)

$$D = V \cos \theta T = \frac{V^2 \sin 2\theta}{g} \quad (38)$$

(d)

$$\frac{1}{2}mV^2 \cos^2 \theta + mg \frac{V^2 \sin^2 \theta}{2g} = \frac{1}{2}mV^2 \quad (39)$$

The computed firing angles differ from the actual angle of  $45^\circ$ . This is due to possible air resistance.

•

## 8

$$\begin{aligned} mgh &= \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + f_{\text{ave}}h \\ f_{\text{ave}} &= mg - \frac{1}{2h}mv^2 = 1.81 \text{ N} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The 'average force' here is a constant force that would have done the same negative work on the falling body as the actual air resistance, that is:

$$f_{\text{ave}}h = \int_0^h f_{\text{actual}} dx \quad (41)$$

•

**9****(a)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 6\pi a\eta v_f &= mg \\
 v_f &= \frac{mg}{6\pi a\eta} = 102 \text{ ms}^{-1}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{42}$$

**(b)** By Newton's second law, at speed  $v$ :

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = mg - 6\pi a\eta v \tag{43}$$

or dividing by  $m$ :

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = g - \frac{6\pi a\eta}{m} v \tag{44}$$

This is a separable differential equation:

$$\int_0^v \frac{1}{g - 6\pi a\eta v/m} dv = \int_0^t dt \tag{45}$$

Integrating this equation yields:

$$v(t) = \frac{g}{\lambda}(1 - \lambda t) \tag{46}$$

where  $\lambda \equiv 6\pi a\eta/m$ .For  $1 - \lambda t = 0.95$ :

$$t = \frac{0.05}{\lambda} = 0.52 \text{ s} \tag{47}$$

**(c)** Given an additional force, a quadratic equation results:

$$6\pi a\eta v_f + 0.87(av)^2 = mg \tag{48}$$

Solving the equation yields  $v_f = 0.50 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . The current model is more realistic.

•

**10****(a)** Taking upwards as positive, for the upward motion:

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = -g - \frac{\alpha}{m}v^2 \quad (49)$$

For the downward motion:

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = -g + \frac{\alpha}{m}v^2 \quad (50)$$

Focusing on the upwards equation, using the identity  $dv/dt = v dv/dx$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v \frac{dv}{dx} &= -g - \frac{\alpha}{m}v^2 \\ \int_{v_0}^0 \frac{v}{-g - \alpha v^2/m} dv &= \int_0^h dx \\ h &= \frac{m}{2\alpha} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{mg} v_0^2 \right) = a \ln [1 + (v_0/v_l)^2] \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

(b) Applying the same method on the downwards motion:

$$\begin{aligned} v \frac{dv}{dx} &= -g + \frac{\alpha}{m}v^2 \\ \int_0^{-v_r} \frac{v}{-g + \alpha v^2/m} dv &= \int_h^0 dx \\ v_r^2 &= \frac{mg}{\alpha} [1 - \exp(-2\alpha h/m)] = v_l^2 [1 - \exp(-h/a)] \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

•

## Additional questions