## **EVENT-TRIGGERED SLIDING MODE OBSERVER**

## A. Actuator Side Implementation

Theorem 2: Consider the plant (3) and the observer (10) with the event-triggering mechanism (8). Let  $\varepsilon_a > 0$  be any scalar. Suppose that the  $(\widetilde{A}_{11}, \widetilde{C}_{11})$  pair is observable. Choose  $c_1 > \lambda_{\min}^2(P_1)\varepsilon_a^2/(16\lambda_{\max}(P_1))$  and  $c_2 > \varepsilon_a/4$ . Assume that  $z(0), \hat{z}(0) \in \Omega(c_1, c_2)$ , and z(t) is bounded for all  $t \ge 0$ . Moreover, the triggering parameter satisfies the following inequality:

$$\alpha_a < \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon_a}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\min}(P_1)}{\lambda_{\max}(P_1)}} \frac{\lambda_{\min}(Q_1)}{\|P_1 \widetilde{L}_1\|} \frac{\varepsilon_a}{4\sqrt{2}} \right\}.$$
(12)

Then, there exist K > 0,  $\tau_a > 0$ , and  $T_a \ge 0$  such that

1) the estimation error  $\tilde{z}(t) := z(t) - \hat{z}(t)$  is bounded for all  $t \ge 0$ , and moreover, it holds that

$$\|\tilde{z}(t)\| \leqslant \varepsilon_a, \quad \forall t \geqslant T_a$$

2)  $t_{k+1}^y - t_k^y \geqslant \tau_a$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ .

$$\dot{z}_1 = \widetilde{A}_{11} z_1 + \widetilde{A}_{12} z_2 \tag{3a}$$

$$\dot{z}_2 = \widetilde{A}_{21}z_1 + \widetilde{A}_{22}z_2 + u + d$$
 (3b)

$$\dot{\hat{z}}_{1}(t) = \widetilde{A}_{11}\hat{z}_{1}(t) + \widetilde{A}_{12}\hat{z}_{2}(t) + L_{1}\widetilde{C}_{11}\tilde{z}_{1}(t) + \widetilde{A}_{12}\tilde{z}_{2}(t) + L_{1}\widetilde{C}_{11}e_{1}(t) + \widetilde{A}_{12}e_{2}(t)$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\widehat{z}}_2(t) &= \widetilde{A}_{21} \widehat{z}_1(t) + \widetilde{A}_{22} z_2(t) + K \mathrm{sign}\left(\widetilde{z}_2(t) + e_2(t)\right) \\ &+ u(t) + \widetilde{A}_{22} e_2(t) \,. \end{split}$$

## **EVENT-TRIGGERED SLIDING MODE OBSERVER**

## B. Sensor Side Implementation

Sliding mode observer:

$$\dot{\hat{z}}_{1}(t) = \widetilde{A}_{11}\hat{z}_{1}(t) + \widetilde{A}_{12}\hat{z}_{2}(t) + L_{1}\widetilde{C}_{11}\tilde{z}_{1}(t) + \widetilde{A}_{12}\tilde{z}_{2}(t) 
\dot{\hat{z}}_{2}(t) = \widetilde{A}_{21}\hat{z}_{1}(t) + \widetilde{A}_{22}z_{2}(t) + u(t_{\ell}^{z}) + K \text{sign}\left(\widetilde{z}_{2}(t)\right).$$
(13)

$$t_{\ell+1}^z = \inf \left\{ t > t_{\ell}^z : \|\hat{z}(t_{\ell}^z) - \hat{z}(t)\| \geqslant \sigma_s \alpha_s \right\}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$$

$$t_0^z = 0$$

Theorem 3: Consider the plant (3) and the observer (13). Let  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  be any scalars, and  $\alpha_s > 0$  be some given constant. Suppose that the pair  $(\widetilde{A}_{11}, \widetilde{C}_{11})$  is observable. Moreover, assume that  $z(0), \hat{z}(0) \in \Omega(c_1, c_2)$  and z(t) is bounded for all  $t \ge 0$ . Then, there exist K > 0 and  $\tau_s > 0$  such that

- 1) the trajectory  $\tilde{z}(t)$  is bounded for all  $t \ge 0$ , and moreover  $\tilde{z}(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ ;
- 2)  $t_{\ell+1}^z t_{\ell}^z \geqslant \tau_s$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ .

The triggering parameter has no role in the estimation accuracy, the selection of this parameter does not depend on the observer dynamics, albeit it is an integral part of it.