Problem Set 1

Wentao Zhu

1

(a)

Multiplications: $n*n=n^2$

Additions: $n*n-1=n^2-1$

(b)

Equivalent form: $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i) * (\sum_{j=1}^n b_j)$

 ${\bf Multiplications:}\ 1$

Additions: 2*(n-1)=2n-2

2

By definition, there exist $lpha_0>1, \lambda_0>0$ satisfying

$$\lim_{n o\infty}rac{\leftert p_{n+1}-p
ightert }{\leftert p_{n}-p
ightert ^{lpha_{0}}}=\lambda_{0}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n o\infty}rac{|p_{n+1}-p|}{|p_n-p|}=\lim_{n o\infty}rac{|p_{n+1}-p|}{|p_n-p|^{lpha_0}}\cdot\lim_{n o\infty}|p_n-p|^{lpha_0-1}=\lambda_0\cdot 0=0$$

 p_n converges to p superlinearly.

3

	Chopping	Rounding
e	$0.27182*10^{1}$	$0.27183*10^{1}$
$\frac{1}{7}$	$0.14285*10^{0}$	$0.14286*10^{0}$

4

By Definition,

$$fl_{round}(x) = egin{cases} (.\,d_1d_2\dots d_p)_eta imeseta^e & ext{if }d_{p+1}<rac{eta}{2} \ [(.\,d_1d_2\dots d_p)_eta+eta^{-p}] imeseta^e & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\epsilon_{abs} = |fl_{round}(x) - x| \leq rac{eta}{2} imes eta^{e-p-1}$$

$$\epsilon_{rel} = rac{\epsilon_{abs}}{|x|} \leq rac{rac{eta}{2} imes eta^{e-p-1}}{eta^{e-1}} = rac{1}{2}eta^{1-p}$$

5

$$f_a(x) = x^2 - a$$

Use Newton's Method,

$$g(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$$

We get

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - rac{x_n^2 - a}{2x_n} = rac{1}{2}(x_n + rac{a}{x_n})$$

$$\lim_{n o\infty}x_n=a$$

Set $x_0=1$, $\{x_n\}$ can be computed recursively.

6

Secant Method:

$$p_{n+1} = p_n - rac{f(p_n)(p_n - p_{n-1})}{f(p_n) - f(p_{n-1})}$$

Compute p_4 using *Matlab*:

```
 f = @(x) \log(1+x) - \cos(x); 
 p = [0,1,0,0,0]; 
 for i = 2:4 
 p(i+1) = p(i) - (f(p(i))*(p(i)-p(i-1)))/(f(p(i))-f(p(i-1))); 
 fprintf('p_%d = %f, f(p_%d) = %f \ ', i, p(i+1), i, f(p(i+1))); 
end
```

Result:

```
p_2 = 0.867419, f(p_2) = -0.022239

p_3 = 0.884260, f(p_3) = -0.000327

p_4 = 0.884511, f(p_4) = 0.000001
```

7

(a)

```
solve(0,1)
global t;
t = 0;
function x = solve(a,b)
    f = Q(x) \log(1+x) - \cos(x);
    global t;
    epsilon = 1e-6;
    p = (a+b)/2;
    t = t + 1;
    fprintf('p_{d} = f, f(p_{d}) = fn', t, p, t, f(p));
    if (p-a<=epsilon)</pre>
        x = p;
    elseif (f(a)*f(p)<0)
        x = solve(a,p);
    else
        x = solve(p,b);
    end
end
```

Result:

```
p_1 = 0.500000, f(p_1) = -0.472117

p_2 = 0.750000, f(p_2) = -0.172073

p_3 = 0.875000, f(p_3) = -0.012388
```

```
p_4 = 0.937500, f(p_4) = 0.069593
p 5 = 0.906250, f(p 5) = 0.028436
p 6 = 0.890625, f(p 6) = 0.007981
p_7 = 0.882812, f(p_7) = -0.002214
p_8 = 0.886719, f(p_8) = 0.002881
p 9 = 0.884766, f(p 9) = 0.000333
p 10 = 0.883789, f(p 10) = -0.000941
p_11 = 0.884277, f(p_11) = -0.000304
p_12 = 0.884521, f(p_12) = 0.000014
p_13 = 0.884399, f(p_13) = -0.000145
p_14 = 0.884460, f(p_14) = -0.000065
p_15 = 0.884491, f(p_15) = -0.000026
p_16 = 0.884506, f(p_16) = -0.000006
p 17 = 0.884514, f(p 17) = 0.000004
p_18 = 0.884510, f(p_18) = -0.000001
p_19 = 0.884512, f(p_19) = 0.000002
p_20 = 0.884511, f(p_20) = 0.000000
```

(b)

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{1+x} + sin(x)$$

```
f = @(x) log(1+x)-cos(x);
fd = @(x) 1/(1+x)+sin(x);
p = 1/2;
q = 0;
epsilon = 1e-6;
t = 0;

while (1)
    t = t + 1;
    fprintf('p_%d = %f, f(p_%d) = %f\n', t, p, t, f(p));
    if (abs(p-q)<=epsilon)
        break
end
    q = p;
    p = p - f(p)/fd(p);
end</pre>
```

Result:

```
p_1 = 0.500000, f(p_1) = -0.472117
p_2 = 0.911937, f(p_2) = 0.035901
p_3 = 0.884609, f(p_3) = 0.000128
p_4 = 0.884511, f(p_4) = 0.000000
p_5 = 0.884511, f(p_5) = 0.000000
```

(c)

Newton's method is more adaptive and therefore converges quicklier, while *bisection method* is more inflexible.

(d)

```
f = @(x) \log(1+x) - \cos(x);
p = zeros(1,1000);
epsilon = 1e-6;
p(2) = 1;
i = 2;
while (abs(p(i)-p(i-1)) > epsilon)
p(i+1) = p(i) - (f(p(i))*(p(i)-p(i-1)))/(f(p(i))-f(p(i-1)));
fprintf('p_%d = %f, f(p_%d) = %f \ ', i, p(i+1), i, f(p(i+1)));
i = i + 1;
end
```

Result:

```
p_2 = 0.867419, f(p_2) = -0.022239

p_3 = 0.884260, f(p_3) = -0.000327

p_4 = 0.884511, f(p_4) = 0.000001

p_5 = 0.884511, f(p_5) = -0.000000
```

Secant method and Newton method converge quicklier than bisection method, while secant method and bisection method require less information for the function f(x) than Newton method.