

11.4. Wednesday for MAT3040

Reviewing. Unitary Operators

$$\langle T\mathbf{v}, T\mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle, \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V.$$

11.4.1. Unitary Operator

■ **Example 11.8** Let $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ with usual inner product. For the linear operator $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$, T is orthogonal if and only if $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$.

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ with usual inner product. For the linear operator $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$, T is unitary if and only if $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$. ■

Proposition 11.7 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator on a vector space over \mathbb{K} satisfying $T'T = I$. Then for all eigenvalues λ of T , we have $|\lambda| = 1$.

Proof. Suppose we have the eigen-pair (λ, \mathbf{v}) , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T\mathbf{v}, T\mathbf{v} \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ \iff \langle \lambda\mathbf{v}, \lambda\mathbf{v} \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ \iff \bar{\lambda}\lambda \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \neq 0$ ($\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$), we imply $|\lambda|^2 = 1$, i.e., $|\lambda| = 1$. ■

Proposition 11.8 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be an operator on a finite dimension V over \mathbb{K} satisfying $T'T = I$. If $U \leq V$ is T -invariant, then U is also T^{-1} -invariant.

Proof. Since $T'T = I$, i.e., T is invertible, we imply 0 is not a root of $\chi_T(x)$, i.e., 0 is not a root of $m_T(x)$. Since $m_T(0) \neq 0$, $m_T(x)$ has the form

$$m_T(x) = x^m + \cdots + a_1x + a_0, \quad a_0 \neq 0,$$

which follows that

$$m_T(T) = T^m + \cdots + a_0 I = 0 \implies T(T^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 I) = -a_0 I$$

Or equivalently,

$$T \left(-\frac{1}{a_0} (T^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 I) \right) = I$$

Therefore,

$$T^{-1} = -\frac{1}{a_0} T^{m-1} - \cdots - \frac{a_2}{a_0} T - \frac{a_1}{a_0} I,$$

i.e., the inverse T^{-1} can be expressed as a polynomial involving T only.

Since U is T -invariant, we imply U is T^m -invariant for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore U is T^{-1} -invariant since T^{-1} is a polynomial of T . ■

Proposition 11.9 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ satisfies $T' T = I$ ($\dim(V) < \infty$), then $U \leq V$ is T -invariant implies U^\perp is T -invariant.

Proof. Let $v \in U^\perp$, it suffices to show $T(v) \in U^\perp$.

For all $u \in U$, we have

$$\langle u, T(v) \rangle = \langle T'(u), v \rangle = \langle T^{-1}(u), v \rangle$$

Since U is T^{-1} -invariant, we imply $T^{-1}(u) \in U$, and therefore

$$\langle u, T(v) \rangle = \langle T^{-1}(u), v \rangle = 0 \implies T(v) \in U^\perp.$$

■

Theorem 11.2 Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a unitary operator on finite dimension V (over \mathbb{C}), then there exists an orthonormal basis \mathcal{A} such that

$$(T)_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \quad |\lambda_i| = 1, \quad \forall i.$$

Proof Outline. Note that $\chi_T(x)$ always admits a root in \mathbb{C} , so we can always find an

eigenvector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ of T .

Then the theorem follows by the same argument before on self-adjoint operators.

- Consider $U = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}\}$
- $V = U \oplus U^\perp$ and U^\perp is T -invariant
- Use induction on the unitary operator $T|_{U^\perp}: U^\perp \rightarrow U^\perp$

■

R

- The argument fails for orthogonal operators

$$\begin{aligned} T &: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \text{with } T(\mathbf{v}) &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} \\ \text{where } \mathbf{A} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The matrix \mathbf{A} is not diagonalizable over \mathbb{R} . It has no real eigenvalues.

However, if we treat \mathbf{A} as $T: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ with $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$, then $\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$, and therefore T is unitary. Then \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} with eigenvalues $e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}$

- As a corollary of the theorem, for all $\mathbf{A} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$, there exists $P \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$P^{-1}AP = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \quad |\lambda_i| = 1,$$

where $P = (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n)$, with $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ forming orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^n .

In fact,

$$P^H P = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^H \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_n^H \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{u}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Conclusion: all matrices $\mathbf{A} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathbf{P},$$

with some \mathbf{P} satisfying $\mathbf{P}^H \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}$.

Notation. Let $U(n) = \{\mathbf{A} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}\}$ be the unitary group, then all $\mathbf{A} \in U(n)$ can be diagonalized by

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{P} \in U(n).$$

11.4.2. Normal Operators

Definition 11.10 [Normal] Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator over a \mathbb{C} inner product vector space V . We say T is **normal**, if

$$T'T = TT'$$

■ **Example 11.9** • All self-adjoint operators are normal:

$$T = T' \implies TT' = T'T = T^2$$

• All unitary operators are normal:

$$T'T = TT' = \mathbf{I}$$

Proposition 11.10 Let T be a normal operator on V . Then

1. $\|T(\mathbf{v})\| = \|T'(\mathbf{v})\|, \forall \mathbf{v} \in V$.

In particular, $T(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ if and only if $T'(\mathbf{v}) = 0$

2. $(T - \lambda I)$ is also a normal operator, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$
3. $T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda \mathbf{v}$ if and only if $T'(\mathbf{v}) = \bar{\lambda} \mathbf{v}$.

Proof. 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle T\mathbf{v}, T\mathbf{v} \rangle &= \langle T'T\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\
 &= \langle TT'\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \\
 &= \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, TT'\mathbf{v} \rangle} \\
 &= \overline{\langle T'\mathbf{v}, T'\mathbf{v} \rangle} \\
 &= \langle T'\mathbf{v}, T'\mathbf{v} \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|T(\mathbf{v})\|^2 = \|T'(\mathbf{v})\|^2$, i.e., $\|T(\mathbf{v})\| = \|T'(\mathbf{v})\|$.

2. By hw4, $(T - \lambda I)' = T' - \bar{\lambda}I$. It suffices to check

$$(T - \lambda I)'(T - \lambda I) = (T - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)',$$

Expanding both sides out gives the desired result, i.e.,

$$(T - \lambda I)'(T - \lambda I) = (T' - \bar{\lambda}I)(T - \lambda I) = T'T - \bar{\lambda}T - \lambda T' + \lambda \bar{\lambda}I$$

and

$$(T - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)' = (T - \lambda I)(T' - \bar{\lambda}I) = TT' - \bar{\lambda}T - \lambda T' + \lambda \bar{\lambda}I$$

3. The proof for (3) will be discussed in the next lecture.

■