

# Simplicial Objects in Algebraic Topology

J.Peter May

September 18, 2017



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Simplicial Objects and Homotopy</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Definitions and examples . . . . .	5
1.2	Simplicial objects in categories; homology . . . . .	6
1.3	Homotopy of Kan complexes . . . . .	7
1.4	The group structures . . . . .	9



# Chapter 1

## Simplicial Objects and Homotopy

### 1.1 Definitions and examples

We introduce the concept of simplicial set and give several examples here. A categorical definition will be given in the next section.

**Definition 1.1.** A simplicial set  $K$  is a graded set indexed on the non-negative integers together with maps  $d_i : K_q \rightarrow K_{q-1}$  and  $s_i : K_q \rightarrow K_{q+1}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq q$ , which satisfy the following identities:

- (i)  $d_i d_j = d_{j-1} d_i$  if  $i, j$ ,
- (ii)  $s_i s_j = s_{j+1} s_i$  if  $i \leq j$ ,
- (iii)  $d_i s_j = s_{j-1} d_i$  if  $i < j$ ,  
 $d_j s_j = \text{identity} = d_{j+1} s_j$ ,  
 $d_i s_j = s_j d_{i-1}$  if  $i > j + 1$

The elements of  $K_q$  are called  $q$ -**simplices**. The  $d_i$  and  $s_j$  are called face and degeneracy operations. A simplex  $x$  is **degenerate** if  $x = s_i y$  for some simplex  $y$  and degeneracy operator  $j_i$ ; otherwise  $x$  is non-degenerate.

**Definition 1.2.** A simplicial map  $f : K \rightarrow L$  is a map of degree zero of graded sets which commutes with the face and degeneracy operators; that is,  $f$  consists of  $f_q : K_q \rightarrow L_q$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f_q d_i &= d_i f_{q+1}, \\ f_q s_i &= s_i f_{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.3.** A simplicial set  $k$  is said to satisfy the extension condition if for every collection of  $n + 1$   $n$ -simplices  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, \dots, x_{n+1}$  which satisfy the compatibility condition  $d_i x_j = d_{j-1} x_i$ ,  $i < j$ ,  $i \neq k$ ,  $j \neq k$ , there exists an  $(n + 1)$ -simplex  $x$  such that  $d_i x = x_i$  for  $i \neq k$ .

**Example 1.4.** We recall that a simplicial complex  $K$  is a set of finite subsets, called simplices, of a given set  $\bar{K}$  subject to the condition that every non-empty subset of an element of  $K$  is itself an element of  $K$ . A simplicial set  $\tilde{K}$  arises from  $K$  in the following manner. An  $n$ -simplex of  $\tilde{K}$  is a sequence  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  of elements of  $\bar{K}$  such that the set  $\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$  is an  $m$ -simplex of  $K$  for some  $m \leq n$ . The face and degeneracy operators of  $\tilde{K}$  are defined by:

$$d_i(a_0, \dots, a_n) = (a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

and

$$s_j(a_0, \dots, a_n) = (a_0, \dots, a_i, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

If the elements of  $\bar{K}$  are ordered and we require  $\tilde{K}$  to consist of those sequences  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  such that  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  such that  $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  and  $\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$  is an  $m$ -simplex of  $K$  for some  $m \leq n$ , then there will be exactly one non-degenerate  $n$ -simplex of  $\tilde{K}$  for every  $n$ -simplex of  $K$ .

**Example 1.5.** Let  $\Delta_n = \{(t_0, \dots, t_n) | 0 \leq t_i \leq 1, \sum t_i = 1\} \subset R^{n+1}$ . If  $X$  is a topological space, singular  $n$ -simplex of  $X$  is a continuous function  $f : \Delta_n \rightarrow X$ . The graded set  $S(X)$ , where  $S_n(X)$  is the set of singular  $n$ -simplices of  $X$ , is called the total singular complex of  $X$ ,  $S(X)$  becomes a simplicial set if we define face and degeneracy operators by:

$$d_i f(t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}) = f(t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_i, \dots, t_{n-1})$$

and

$$s_i f(t_0, \dots, t_{n+1}) = f(t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_i + t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_{n+1})$$

The following elementary fact will later be used to show that  $S(X)$  determines the homotopy groups of  $X$ .

**Lemma 1.1.**  $S(X)$  satisfies the extension condition.

*Proof.* Since the union of any  $n + 1$  faces of  $\Delta_{n+1}$  is a retract of  $\Delta_{n+1}$ , any continuous function defined on such a union can be extended to  $\Delta_{n+1}$ .  $\square$

*Conventions 1.1.* The word "complex" (unmodified) will always mean simplicial set. A complex which satisfies the extension condition will be called a Kan complex.

## 1.2 Simplicial objects in categories; homology

Recall that a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of object together with a family of disjoint sets  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ , one for each pair of objects, a function  $\text{Hom}(B, C) \times \text{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, C)$ ,  $\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \alpha\beta$ , and an element  $1_A \in \text{Hom}(A, A)$ , all subject to the conditions  $\alpha(\beta\gamma)$  whenever either is defined and  $\alpha \circ \text{id}_A = \alpha = \text{id}_B \circ \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ . The elements of  $\text{Hom}(A, B)$  are morphisms with domain  $A$  and range  $B$ . The opposite category  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$  of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  has an object  $A^{\text{op}}$  for each object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and a morphism  $\alpha^{\text{op}} \in \text{Hom}(B^{\text{op}}, A^{\text{op}})$  for each morphism  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ ;  $\alpha^{\text{op}}\beta^{\text{op}}$  is defined and equal to  $(\beta\alpha)^{\text{op}}$  whenever  $\beta\alpha$  is defined.

A covariant (resp., contravariant) functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a correspondence which assigns to each object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  an object  $F(A) \in \mathcal{D}$  and to each morphism  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$  a morphism  $F(\alpha) \in \text{Hom}(F(A), F(B))$  (resp.,  $F(\alpha) \in \text{Hom}(F(B), F(A))$ ) subject to the conditions  $F(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{F(A)}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $F(\alpha\beta) = F(\alpha)F(\beta)$  (resp.,  $F(\alpha\beta) = F(\beta)F(\alpha)$ ) whenever  $\alpha\beta$  is defined in  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$  is defined by  $T(A) = A^{\text{op}}$  and  $T(\alpha) = \alpha^{\text{op}}$ , then  $T$  is a contravariant functor; any contravariant functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  may be considered as the covariant functor  $TF : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$  or  $FT : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . If  $F$  and  $G$  are covariant (resp., contravariant) functors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , a natural transformation  $\lambda : F \Rightarrow G$  is a function which assigns to each object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  a morphism  $\lambda(A) \in \text{Hom}(F(A), G(A))$  subject to the condition that if  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ , then  $G(\alpha)\lambda(A) = \lambda(B)F(\alpha)$  (resp.,  $G(\alpha)\lambda(B) = \lambda(A)F(\alpha)$ ).

Now we define a category  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$  as follows. The objects  $\Delta_n$  of  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$  are sequence of integers,  $\Delta_n = (0, 1, \dots, n)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . The morphisms of  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$  are the monotonic maps  $\mu : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_m$ , that is, the maps  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(i) \leq \mu(j)$  if  $i < j$ . Define morphisms  $\delta_i : \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta_n$  and  $\sigma_i : \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta_n$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , by

$$\delta_i(j) = j \text{ if } j < i; \quad \delta_i(j) = j + 1 \text{ if } j \geq i, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sigma_i(j) = j \text{ if } j \leq i; \quad \sigma_i(j) = j - 1 \text{ if } j > i. \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $\mu \in \text{Hom}(\Delta_n, \Delta_m)$ ,  $\mu$  not an identity. Suppose  $i_1, \dots, i_s$ , in reverse order, are the elements of  $\Delta_m$  not in  $\mu(\Delta_n)$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_{t'}$  in order, are the elements of  $\Delta_n$  such that  $\mu(j) = \mu(j + 1)$ . Then:

$$\mu = \delta_{i_1} \dots \delta_{i_s} \sigma_{j_1} \dots \sigma_{j_t}, \text{ where, } 0 \leq i_s < \dots < i_1 \leq m, 0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_t < n, \text{ and } n - t + s = m. \quad (1.3)$$

Further, the factorization of  $\mu$  in the form 1.3 is unique. Having defined  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$ , we can formulate

**Definition 1.6.** A simplicial object in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a contravariant functor  $F : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . Such functors form the objects of a category  $\mathcal{C}^s$ , the elements of  $F(\Delta_n)$  are called  $n$ -simplices, and the maps  $d_i = F(\delta_i)$  and  $s_j = F(\sigma_j)$  satisfy (i)-(iii) of 1.1. Any simplicial set  $K$  determines a contravariant functor  $F : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of sets, by the rules  $F(\Delta_n) = K_n$  and

$$F(\mu) = s_{j_t} \dots s_{j_1} d_{i_s} \dots d_{i_1},$$

where  $\mu$  is a morphism of  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$  expressed in the form 1.3. Thus a simplicial set may be uniquely identified with a simplicial object in the category of sets. Analogously, we will speak of simplicial groups, simplicial modules, and so forth, depending on the choice of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Remark 1.2.* Let  $\Delta = (\Delta^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$  denote the opposite category of  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$ ,  $T : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta$  the contravariant functor defined above. The category  $\mathcal{C}^s$  could equally well be defined as that of covariant functors from  $\Delta$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Now suppose that  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a covariant functor. By composition,  $F$  induces a covariant functor  $F^s : \mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s$ . In particular, suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of sets,  $\mathcal{D}$  that of Abelian groups, and for  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $F(A)$  is the free Abelian group generated by  $A$ . Then if  $K \in \mathcal{C}^s$ ,  $F^s(K)$  may be given a structure of chain complex with differential  $d$  defined on  $F^s(K)_n = F^s(K_n)$  by

$$d = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i.$$

We denote this chain complex by  $C(K)$ . If  $G$  is an Abelian group, we define the homology and cohomology of  $K$  with coefficients in  $G$  by

$$H_*(K; G) := H(C(K) \otimes G) \text{ and } H^*(K; G) := H(\text{Hom}(C(K), G)).$$

In case  $K = S(X)$ , these are, of course, the singular homology and cohomology groups of the space  $X$ .

### 1.3 Homotopy of Kan complexes

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $K$  be a complex. Two  $n$ -simplices  $x$  and  $x'$  of  $K$  are homotopic, written  $x \sim x'$ , if  $d_i x = d_i x'$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , and there exists a simplex  $y \in K_{n+1}$  such that  $d_n y = x$ ,  $d_{n+1} y = x'$ , and  $d_i y = s_{n-1} d_i x = s_{n-1} d_i x'$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ . The simplex  $y$  is called a homotopy from  $x$  to  $x'$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** If  $K$  is a Kan complex, then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on the  $n$ -simplices of  $K$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The relation  $\sim$  is reflexive since

$$d_n s_n x = x = d_{n+1} s_n x$$

and  $d_i s_n x = s_{n-1} d_i x$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ . Suppose  $x \sim x'$  and  $x \sim x''$ . We must prove  $x' \sim x''$ . Let  $y'$  satisfy

$$d_n y' = x, d_{n+1} y' = x', \text{ and } d_i y' = s_{n-1} d_i x', i < n.$$

Let  $y''$  satisfy

$$d_n y'' = x, d_{n+1} y'' = x'', \text{ and } d_i y'' = s_{n-1} d_i x'', i < n.$$

Then the  $n+2$  ( $n+1$ )-simplices

$$d_0 s_n s_n x', \dots, d_{n-1} s_n s_n x', y', y''$$

are seen to satisfy the compatibility condition. Therefore there exists an  $(n+2)$ -simplex  $z$  such that  $d_i z = d_i s_n s_n x'$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ ,  $d_n z = y'$ , and  $d_{n+1} z = y''$ . It follows that

$$d_i d_{n+2} z = s_{n-1} d_i x', 0 \leq i < n,$$

$d_n d_{n+2} z = x'$ , and  $d_{n+1} d_{n+2} z = x''$ , hence  $x' \sim x''$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $L$  be a subcomplex of  $K$ . Two  $n$ -simplices  $x$  and  $x'$ ,  $n > 0$ , are homotopic relative to  $L$ , written  $x \sim x' \text{ rel } L$ , if  $d_i x = d_i x'$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , if  $d_0 x \sim d_0 x'$  in  $L$  and a simplex  $w \in K_{n+1}$  such that  $d_0 w = y$ ,  $d_n w = x$ ,  $d_{n+1} w = x'$  and  $d_i w = s_{n-1} d_i x = s_{n-1} d_i x'$ ,  $1 \leq i < n$ . The simplex  $w$  is called a relative homotopy from  $x$  to  $x'$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** If  $L$  is a sub Kan complex of the Kan complex  $K$ , then  $\sim \text{ rel } L$  is an equivalence relative homotopy from  $x$  to  $x'$ .

*Proof.* The relation  $\sim \text{ rel } L$  is reflexive since if  $d_0 x \in L$ , then  $s_{n-1} d_0 x$  is a homotopy in  $L$  from  $d_0 x$  to  $d_0 x$ , and if  $w = s_n x$ , then  $d_i w = s_{n-1} d_i x$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ , and

$$d_n w = x = d_{n+1} w.$$

Suppose  $x \sim x' \text{ rel } L$  and  $x \sim x'' \text{ rel } L$ . We must prove that  $x' \sim x'' \text{ rel } L$  and  $x \sim x'' \text{ rel } L$ . We must prove that  $x' \sim x'' \text{ rel } L$ . Let  $y'$  and  $y''$  be homotopies in  $L$  from  $d_0 x$  to  $d_0 x'$  and from  $d_0 x$  to  $d_0 x''$ , and suppose  $w'$  and  $w''$  are relative homotopies from  $x$  to  $x'$  and from  $x$  to  $x''$  which satisfy  $d_0 w' = y'$  and  $d_0 w'' = y''$ . As in the proof of 1.2, we may choose  $z \in L_{n+1}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_i z &= d_i s_{n-1} s_{n-1} d_0 x', 0 \leq i < n-1 \\ d_{n-1} z &= y' \text{ and } d_n z = y'' \end{aligned}$$

Then  $y = d_{n+1} z$  is a homotopy in  $L$  from  $d_0 x'$  to  $d_0 x''$ . Now it is easy to see that the  $n+2$   $(n+1)$ -simplices

$$z, d_1 s_n s_n x', \dots, d_{n-1} s_n s_n x', w', w''$$

satisfy the compatibility condition so that there exists  $v \in K_{n+2}$  such that  $d_i v = d_i s_n s_n x'$ ,  $1 \leq i < n$ ,  $d_0 v = z$ ,  $d_n v = w'$  and  $d_{n+1} v = w''$ . Let  $w = d_{n+2} v$ . Then  $d_i w = s_{n-1} d_i x'$ ,  $1 \leq i < n$ ,  $d_0 w = y$ ,  $d_n w = x'$ , and  $d_{n+1} w = x''$ .  $\square$

**Notations 1.3.** Let  $K$  be a complex,  $\phi \in K_0$ .  $\phi$  generates a subcomplex of  $K$  which has exactly one simplex  $s_{n-1}, \dots, s_0 \phi$  in each dimension  $n$ . We will abuse notation by letting  $\phi$  denote ambiguously either this subcomplex or any of its simplices. We call  $(K, \phi)$  a Kan pair if  $K$  is a Kan complex. We call  $(K, L, \phi)$  a Kan tripe if  $\phi \in L_0$  and  $L$  is a sub Kan complex of the Kan complex  $K$ . Simplicial maps of pairs and triples are defined in the obvious manner.

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $(K, \phi)$  be a Kan pair. Let  $\tilde{K}_n, n \geq 0$ , denote the set of all  $x \in K_n$  which satisfy  $d_i x = \phi$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Then we define  $\pi_n(K, \phi) = \tilde{K}_n / (\sim)$ .  $\pi_0(K, \phi)$  is called the set of path components of  $K$ .  $K$  is connected if  $\pi_0(K, \phi) = \phi$  (where we are letting  $\phi$  denote its equivalence class).  $K$  is  $n$ -connected if  $\pi_n(K, \phi) = \phi$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $(K, L, \phi)$  be a Kan triple. Let  $\tilde{K}(L)_n, n \geq 1$ , denote the set of all  $x \in K_n$  which satisfy  $d_0 x \in L_{n-1}$  and  $d_i x = \phi$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then we define

$$\pi_n(K, L, \phi) = \tilde{K}(L)_n / (\sim \text{ rel } L).$$

Note that  $\pi_n(K, \phi, \phi) = \pi_n(K, \phi)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Finally, we define  $d : \pi_n(K, L, \phi) \rightarrow \pi_n(L, \phi)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , by  $d[x] = [d_0 x]$ , where  $[x]$  denotes the homotopy class of  $x$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $(K, L, \phi)$  be a Kan triple. Then the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(K, L, \phi) \xrightarrow{d} \pi_n(L, \phi) \xrightarrow{i} \pi_n(K, \phi) \xrightarrow{j} \pi_n(K, L, \phi) \rightarrow \dots$$

of sets with distinguished elements  $\phi$  is exact, where the maps  $i$  and  $j$  are induced by inclusion.

*Proof.* (i)  $i \circ d = \phi$ : Consider  $i[d_0 x] = i \circ d[x]$ ,  $x \in K(L)_{n+1}$ . The  $n+2$   $(n+1)$ -simplices  $-, \phi, \dots, \phi, x$  are compatible, hence we may choose  $z \in K_{n+2}$  such that  $d_i z = \phi$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ ,  $d_{n+2} z = x$ . Then  $d_i d_0 z = d_0 d_{i+1} z = \phi$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ , and  $d_{n+1} d_0 z = d_0 x$ , so that  $d_0 x \sim \phi$  in  $K$ .



- (ii) Image  $d = \text{Kernel } i$ : Let  $i[y] = \phi, y \in \tilde{L}_n$ . Then  $y \sim \phi$  in  $K_n$ , say  $d_i z \phi, 0 \leq i \leq n, d_{n+1} z = y$ . The  $n+2$   $(n+1)$ -simplices  $z, \phi, \dots, \phi$  are compatible, hence there exists  $w \in K_{n+2}$  with  $d_0 w = z, d_i w = \phi, 1 \leq i \leq n+1$ .  $d_i d_{n+2} w = \phi, 1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , and  $d_0 d_{n+2} w = y$ , so that  $d[d_{n+2} w] = [y]$ .
- (iii)  $d_j = \phi : d_j[x] = \phi$  since  $d_0 x = \phi, x \in \tilde{K}_n$ .
- (iv) Image  $j = \text{Kernel } d$ : Let  $d[x] = [d_0 x] = \phi, x \in \tilde{K}(L)_n$ . There exists  $z \in L_n$  such that  $d_i z = \phi, 0 \leq i \leq n, d_n z = d_0 x$ . The  $n+1$   $n$ -simplices  $z, \phi, \dots, \phi$  (where  $-$  means  $k = n$  in the compatibility condition) are compatible, say  $d_0 y = z, d_i y = \phi, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, d_{n+1} y = x$ . Thus  $x \sim d_n y \text{ rel } L$ . Since  $d_i d_n y = \phi, 0 \leq i \leq n, [x] = j[d_n y]$ .
- (v)  $j \circ i = \phi$ : Consider  $j \circ i[y], y \in \tilde{L}_n$ . The  $n+1$   $n$ -simplices  $-, \phi, \dots, \phi, y$  are compatible in  $L$ , say  $z \in L_{n+1}$  satisfies  $d_i z = \phi, 1 \leq i \leq n, d_{n+1} z = y$ .  $d_i d_0 z = \phi, 0 \leq i \leq n$ , hence  $d_0 z$  is homotopy between  $\phi$  and  $\phi = d_0 y$ , so  $z$  is a relative homotopy between  $\phi$  and  $y$ .
- (vi) Image  $i = \text{Kernel } j$ : Let  $j[x] = \phi, x \in \tilde{K}_n$ . Choose  $w \in K_{n+1}$  such that  $d_i w = \phi, 1 \leq i \leq n, d_{n+1} w = x$ , and  $d_0 w = z \in L_n$ . The  $n+1$   $n$ -simplices  $z, \phi, \dots, \phi, -$  are compatible in  $L$ , say  $d_0 v = z$  and  $d_i v = \phi, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . The  $n+2$   $(n+1)$ -simplices  $s_{n-1} z, \phi, \dots, \phi, v, w, -$  are compatible in  $K$ , say  $d_0 t = s_{n-1} z, d_i t = \phi, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, d_n t = v, d_{n+1} t = w$ . Then  $d_{n+2} t$  is a homotopy  $d_{n+1} v \sim x$ , hence  $[x] = i[d_{n+1} v]$ . □

## 1.4 The group structures

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $(K, \phi)$  be a Kan pair. Write  $x \in \alpha$  if  $x$  represent  $a$ . Suppose  $\alpha, \beta \in \pi_n(K, \phi), n \leq 1$ , and let  $x \in \alpha, y \in \beta$ . Then  $n+1$   $n$ -simplices  $\phi, \dots, \phi, x, -, y$  are compatible, say  $d_i z = \phi, 0 \leq i < n-1, d_{n-1} z = x, d_{n+1} z = y$ . We define  $\alpha\beta = [d_n z]$ .

**Lemma 1.5.**  $\alpha\beta$  is well defined.

*Proof.* Suppose  $z'$  also satisfies  $d_i z' = \phi, 0 \leq i < n-1, d_{n-1} z' = x$  and  $d_{n+1} z' = y$ . By the extension condition, there exists  $w \in K_{n+2}$  such that  $d_i w = \phi, 0 \leq i \leq n-2$ ,

$$d_{n-1} w = s_n d_{n-1} z, d_{n+1} w = z, \text{ and } d_{n+2} w = z'.$$

$d_n w$  is then a homotopy from  $d_n z$  to  $d_n z'$ . Suppose  $y \sim y'$ , say  $d_i w = \phi, 0 \leq i < n, d_n w = y', d_{n+1} w = y$ . Choose  $z'$  such that  $d_{n-1} z' = x, d_{n+1} z' = y'$ , and  $d_i z' = \phi, 0 \leq i < n-1$ . By the extension condition, there exists  $u \in K_{n+2}$  such that  $d_j u = \phi, 0 \leq j < n-1$  □