

NOTES ON ∞ -CATEGORIES

ZOU HAITAO

ABSTRACT. This is a short notes for ∞ -categories and its applications.

1. ∞ -CATEGORY

In this section, we will give the definition of ∞ -category given by Jacob Lurie, which is defined with notion of quasi-category. We assume that readers have already learnt some simplicial homotopy theory and model category.

Definition 1.0.1. Suppose \mathcal{C} be a simplicial set. \mathcal{C} is called a ∞ -category if it is a weak Kan complex i.e there is following extensions for each positive integers n and $0 < i < n$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_i^n & \xrightarrow{f_n} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow & \\ \Delta[n] & & \end{array}$$

Example 1.0.1: The nerve of a locally small category is a ∞ -category since is a Kan complex so is a weak Kan complex.

An **object** of ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is a simplicial sets morphism $\Delta[0] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ or equivalently a 0-simplic of \mathcal{C} . A **1-morphism** of \mathcal{C} is morphism $\Delta[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ or equivalently an 1-simplic of \mathcal{C}

$$x \rightarrow y$$

It is not very hard to verify that for any ∞ -category, there is small category \mathcal{C}' whose nerve is isomorph to it [see 1] so any classical locally small category can be viewed as an ∞ -category with respect to its nerve and ∞ -category can be viewed as generalization of ordinary category from some viewpoint.

1.1. Objects, morphisms and mapping spaces. As in classical category theory, we can also define **initial object**, **terminal object** and **zero object** in the setting of ∞ -category. But this generalization is not obvious which relies on definition of mapping spaces.

Suppose \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category. We now define an object $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ for any two objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} , which plays the role of morphism class in ordinary category theory.

First, we need to recall the homotopy category of a simplicial set. Suppose S be a simplicial set, we make a simplicial category $C[S]$ associated to S . Since $C[S]$ has model structure and all objects are fibrant and cofibrant, localization of weak equivalences is homotopy category, denoted by hS .

The simplicial category $C[\Delta^n]$ is defined as follows

- **Ob :** Objects of $[n]$
- **Mor :**

$$\text{Map}_{C[\Delta^n]}(i, j) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } j < i \\ N(P_{ij}) & \text{if } i \leq j \end{cases}$$

$P_{ij} = \{I \subset [n] \mid (i, j \in I) \wedge (\forall k \in I)[i \leq k \leq j]\}$ is a partial order set so can be viewed as a category.

Definition 1.1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a ∞ -category.

- (1) an initial object of \mathcal{C} is an object which is initial object in associated homotopy category $h\mathcal{C}$ or equivalently mapping space $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(0, Y)$ is weak contractible for any object $Y \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (2) a final object of \mathcal{C} is an object which is final object in associated homotopy category $h\mathcal{C}$ or equivalently mapping space $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, 0)$ is weak contractible for any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$.
- (3) a object is called **zero object** if it is both final and initial.

Definition 1.1.2. An ∞ -category is **pointed** if it is with zero object.

1.2. ∞ -groupoids. In ordinary category theory, groupoid is an important notion which is generalization of group. In ∞ -category version, groupoids are not just a generalization of groups but essential role in homotopy theory.

Informally, an ∞ -groupoid is an ∞ -category with all k -morphisms are invertable.

Definition 1.2.1. An ∞ -category \mathcal{G} is called ∞ -groupoid if it is a Kan complex.

Proposition 1.2.1 (Joyal): Suppose \mathcal{G} be an ∞ -category, then following conditions are equivalent

- (1) \mathcal{G} is an ∞ -groupoid
- (2) \mathcal{G} satisfies extension condition for all horn inclusions \wedge_n^n
- (3) \mathcal{G} satisfies extension condition for all horn inclusions \wedge_0^n
- (4) homotopy category $h\mathcal{G}$ is a groupoid.

1.3. Stable ∞ -categories.

Proposition 1.3.1: Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category. Then \mathcal{C} is stable iff the following conditions are satisfied

- (1) The ∞ -category \mathcal{C} admits finite limits and colimits
- (2) A square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

is pull-back if and only if is push-out.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.