## 作业讲解 IV

Anyi Cao

Nanjing University

#### Exercises 8.5

- 8.5 Let F be a (length-preserving) pseudorandom permutation.
  - (a) Show that the function  $f(x,y) = F_x(y)$  is not one-way.
  - (b) Show that the function  $f(y) = F_{0^n}(y)$  (where n = |y|) is not one-way.
  - (c) Prove that the function  $f(x) = F_x(0^n)$  (where n = |x|) is one-way.

#### Solution:

- (a) When receiving f(x,y), pick x' randomly and compute  $y' = F_{x'}^{-1}(f(x,y))$ , there is  $f(x',y') = F_{x'}(y') = f(x,y)$ . Outputs (x',y').
- (b) When receiving f(y), compute  $y' = F_{0^n}^{-1}(f(y))$  so that f(y') = F(y). Outputs y'.

(c) To be solved, there're still some problems :(

That is, if we assume there is an adversary  $\mathcal A$  can invert  $f(x)=F_x(0^n)$  with a non-negligible probability  $\epsilon(n)$ ,  $\mathcal A$  will not care the value he received is from  $F_k(\cdot)$  or a random permutation  $g(\cdot)$ . So when the oracle is  $g(\cdot)$  and query it by  $0^n$  to get a value  $y=g(0^n)$ , if there exists x that  $F_x(0^n)=y$ ,  $\mathcal A$  will return x with probability  $\epsilon(n)$ , which satisfies  $F_x(0^n)=\mathcal O(0^n)=y$ .

To sovle this problem we try to query another value unequal to  $0^n$ , like  $1^n$ , and compute whether  $F_x(1^n)=\mathcal{O}(1^n)$ . Then we meet another problem that, when the oracle is  $F_k(\cdot)$ , we may find a set  $\{x_i\}$  that for each  $x_i$ ,  $F_{x_i}(0^n)=F_k(0^n)$ , and  $\mathcal A$  will return any of them with some probability distribution. When  $\mathcal A$  return a  $x\neq k$  while  $F_x(0^n)=F_k(0^n)$ , then the probability of second query passed, i.e.  $F_x(1^n)=F_k(1^n)$ , is only  $\frac{1}{2^n}$ .

When the oracle is  $F_k$ , the key k is sampled randomly, so that whatver the distribution  $\mathcal A$  outputing  $x\in\{x_i\}$  is, the total probability that x=k is  $\frac{\epsilon(n)}{|\{x_i\}|}$ . Unfortunately, the size of  $\{x_i\}$  may be a exponential value about n, like  $2^{n/2}$ , which leads to the final probability still a negligible value.

So above is my thoughts and problems, if you have any idea please discuss with me. (ORZ)

#### Exercises 8.8

8.8 Let f be a length-preserving one-way function. Is  $g(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(f(x))$  necessarily one-way? What about  $g'(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x) || f(f(x))$ ?

(a) g(x)=f(f(x)) is not necessarily one-way, we give a counterexample as follow. Let h be any length-preserving one-way function, we define f as follow: if  $x_1x_2\cdots x_{n/2}=0^{n/2}$  then  $f(x)=0^n$ , else  $f(x)=0^n||h(x_{n/2}x_{n/2+1}\cdots x_n)$ .

We first prove that f is one-way. Assume there is a probabilistic polynomial-timeadversary  $\mathcal A$  that  $\Pr_{x \xleftarrow{\$} \{0,1\}^n} [\mathcal A(1^n,\mathit{f}(x)) \in \mathit{f}^{-1}(\mathit{f}(x))] = \epsilon(\mathit{n}),$ 

then we can construct  $\mathcal{A}'$  to invert h.  $\mathcal{A}'$  receives  $1^{n/2}$  and a value  $y \in \{0,1\}^{n/2}$  and attempts to find a value  $x \in h^{-1}(y)$ .  $\mathcal{A}'$  set  $(1^n,0^{n/2}||y)$  as the input of  $\mathcal{A}$  and runs  $\mathcal{A}$ , finally ouputs the same with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$\begin{split} &\Pr_{\substack{x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n}} [\mathcal{A}(1^n, \mathit{f}(x)) \in \mathit{f}^{-1}(\mathit{f}(x))] \\ &= \Pr_{\substack{x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n}} [\mathcal{A}(1^n, \mathit{f}(x)) \in \mathit{f}^{-1}(\mathit{f}(x)) | \mathit{x}_{[1,n/2] = 0^{n/2}}] \Pr[\mathit{x}_{[1,n/2] = 0^{n/2}}] \\ &+ \Pr_{\substack{x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n}} [\mathcal{A}(1^n, \mathit{f}(x)) \in \mathit{f}^{-1}(\mathit{f}(x)) | \mathit{x}_{[1,n/2] \neq 0^{n/2}}] \Pr[\mathit{x}_{[1,n/2] \neq 0^{n/2}}] \\ &\leq 1 * \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} + \Pr_{\substack{x \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{n/2}}} [\mathcal{A}'(1^{n/2}, \mathit{h}(x)) \in \mathit{h}^{-1}(\mathit{h}(x))] \end{split}$$

So we have  $\Pr_{x \xleftarrow{\$} \{0,1\}^{n/2}}[\mathcal{A}'(1^{n/2}, \mathit{h}(x)) \in \mathit{h}^{-1}(\mathit{h}(x))] \geq \epsilon - \frac{1}{2^{n/2}}$ , which is a

non-negligible probability. By this way we prove that f is one-way.

Next we show that g is not one-way. Because the first half of f(x) is always  $0^{n/2}$ , we have  $g(x) = f(f(x)) = 0^n$  for any x, so the adversary can output any value as the inverted value.

Anyi Cao (CS@NJU) 7/J

(b) g'(x)=f(x)||f(f(x)) one-way, we prove it by reduction. Assume there is a probabilistic polynomial-timeadversary  ${\cal A}$  that

 $\Pr_{\substack{x \overset{\$}{\longleftarrow} \{0,1\}^n \\ \text{invert } f. \text{ When } \mathcal{A}' \text{ receives a value } y \in \{0,1\}^n, \text{ he computes } y||f(y) \text{ and send it to } \mathcal{A}, \text{ finally ouputs the same with } \mathcal{A}. \text{ The ouputs of } \mathcal{A} \text{ satisfies that } f(x')||f(f(x')) = f(x)||f(f(x)), \text{ which indicates that } f(x') = f(x). \text{ So that there is:}$ 

$$\Pr_{\substack{x \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n}} [\mathcal{A}'(1^n, f(x)) \in f^{-1}(f(x))]$$

$$= \Pr_{\substack{x \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^n}} [\mathcal{A}(1^n, g'(x)) \in g'^{-1}(g'(x))]$$

$$= \epsilon(n)$$

So g' is one-way.

#### Exercises 9.4(b)

(b) Let p,q be relatively prime. Show that  $\phi(pq)=\phi(p)\cdot\phi(q)$ . (You may use the Chinese remainder theorem.)

When p,q are relatively prime, the Chinese remainder theorem says  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}^*$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ . Then we can get

$$\phi(pq) = |\mathbb{Z}_{pq}^*| = |\mathbb{Z}_p^*| \cdot |\mathbb{Z}_q^*| = \phi(p) \cdot \phi(q).$$

We provide another solution that does not use the Chinese remainder theorem. Let p and q be relatively prime. Consider the integers  $\{1, \cdots, pq\}$  arranged in an array as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & p+1 & \cdots & (q-1)p+1 \\
2 & p+2 & \cdots & (q-1)p+2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
p & 2p & \cdots & qp
\end{array}$$

For any r having a factor in common with p, every element in the row

$$r p+r \cdots (q-1)p+r$$

has a factor in common with p and hence also has a factor in common with pq. Eliminate those rows from consideration, and consider the remaining  $\phi(p)$  rows.

Let

$$s p+s \cdots (q-1)p+s$$

be such a row (i.e., gcd(s, p) = 1).

We claim that

$$[s \mod q]$$
  $[p + s \mod q]$   $\cdots$   $[(q-1)p + s \mod q]$ 

is a permutation of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ .

From this it follows that each remaining row contains exactly  $\phi(q)$  elements relatively prime to q.

The leaves a total of  $\phi(p)\phi(q)$  elements relatively prime to pq.

#### Exercises 9.11

- 9.11 This question concerns the group  $\mathbb{Z}_{21}^*$ .
  - (a) How many elements are in this group? List the elements.
  - (b) What is  $\phi(21)$ ?
  - (c) Compute  $[11^{-1} \mod 21]$ .
  - (d) Compute  $[2^{2403} \mod 21]$  (by hand).

(a) 
$$\mathbb{Z}_{21}^* = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20\}, |\mathbb{Z}_{21}^*| = 12.$$

(b) 
$$\phi(21) = \phi(3)\phi(7) = 2 * 6 = 12$$

- (c) By CRT we know  $\mathbb{Z}_{21}^*$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_3^* \times \mathbb{Z}_7^*$ , so that  $11 \leftrightarrow (11 \mod 3, 11 \mod 7) = (2, 4)$ , and  $[2^{-1} \mod 3] = 2$ ,  $[4^{-1} \mod 7] = 2$ . So  $[11^{-1} \mod 21] \leftrightarrow (2, 2) \leftrightarrow 2$ . Another way by using use the extended Euclidean algorithm to compute  $11X + 21Y = 1 \mod 21$ , set Y = -1 and get X = 2, so  $[11^{-1} \mod 21] = 2$ .
- (d) By Fermat-Euler Theorem we know  $a^{\phi(N)} = 1 \mod N$ . So that  $2^{2403} \mod 21 = 2^{2403 \mod \phi(21)} \mod 21 = 2^{2403 \mod 12} \mod 21 = 2^3 \mod 21 = 8$ .

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 める()

Anyi Cao (CS@NJU) 13/19

#### Exercises 9.18

9.18 Fix N, e with  $gcd(e, \phi(N)) = 1$ , and assume there is an adversary  $\mathcal A$  running in time t for which

$$\Pr\left[\mathcal{A}\left(\left[x^e \bmod N\right]\right) = x\right] = 0.01,$$

where the probability is taken over uniform choice of  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ . Show that it is possible to construct an adversary  $\mathcal{A}'$  for which

$$\Pr\left[\mathcal{A}'\left(\left[x^e \bmod N\right]\right) = x\right] = 0.99$$

for all x. The running time t' of  $\mathcal{A}'$  should be polynomial in t and ||N||.

**Hint:** Use the fact that  $y^{1/e} \cdot r = (y \cdot r^e)^{1/e} \mod N$ .

**Solution:** Let s be a parameter, fixed later. Construct  $\mathcal{A}'$  as follows:

On input N, y, e do:

for 
$$i = 1$$
 to  $s$  do

Chooser  $i \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ .

Run  $\mathcal{A}([(r_i)^e \cdot y \bmod N])$  to obtain  $x_i$ .

If  $(x_i)^e = (r_i)^e \cdot y \bmod N$  then output  $[x_i/r_i \bmod N]$  and terminate.

#### end

If the algorithm has not yet terminated, output fail.

#### Algorithm 1: A'

Let y be arbitrary. In every iteration, A is run on a uniform element of  $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$ , irrespective of how y is distributed. This is so since  $r_i$  is uniform, hence  $[(r_i)^e \mod N]$  is uniform (since raising to eth powers is a permutation), and thus  $[(r_i)^e \cdot y \mod N]$  is uniform.

Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{A}$  ever correctly computes an eth root in any iteration, then  $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs the eth root of y because  $(x_i)^e = (r_i)^e \cdot y \mod N$  implies  $(x_i/r_i)^e = y \mod N$ .

4 □ ト 4 ② ト 4 恵 ト も ま ト 4 恵 ト も き ト 9 0 ℃
Anvi Cao (CS@NJU) 15 / 19

Combining the observations above and setting  $s=100\ln 100$ , we see that the probability that  $\mathcal{A}'$  fails to output an inverse is

$$(1 - \frac{1}{100})^{100 \ln 100} = ((1 - \frac{1}{100})^{100})^{\ln 100} \le e^{-\ln 100} = \frac{1}{100}$$

The running time of A' is  $O(t \cdot poly(||N||))$ 

Anyi Cao (CS@NJU) 16/19

#### Exercises 11.4

- 11.4 Consider the following key-exchange protocol:
  - (a) Alice chooses uniform  $k, r \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , and sends  $s := k \oplus r$  to Bob.
  - (b) Bob chooses uniform  $t \in \{0,1\}^n$ , and sends  $u := s \oplus t$  to Alice.
  - (c) Alice computes  $w := u \oplus r$  and sends w to Bob.
  - (d) Alice outputs k and Bob outputs  $w \oplus t$ .

Show that Alice and Bob output the same key. Analyze the security of this protocol against a passive eavesdropper.

**Solution:** Alice ouputs k and Bob outputs  $w \oplus t$ .

$$w \oplus t = u \oplus r \oplus t = s \oplus t \oplus r \oplus t = s \oplus r = k \oplus r \oplus r = k$$

The scheme is not secure against a passive eavesdropper because if an adversary can get message (s, u, w) they exchanged, he can compute

$$s \oplus u \oplus w = s \oplus s \oplus t \oplus w = t \oplus w = k$$

to get the key they used.

# The End