## **Student Information**

Name: Wang Tianduo Student ID: 1002963

Due Date: 8 Nov 2018, 11:59pm.

Submit answers on eDimension in pdf format. Submission without student information will **NOT** be marked! Any questions regarding the homework can be directed to the TA through email (contact information on eDimension).

#### Week 6

### **Question 1**

- **1.** Consider a hash table of size  $m = \sqrt{n}$ . Then under the uniform hashing assumption, as  $n \to \infty$ , the number of empty slots tends to:
- a) a constant
- b) zero
- c) infinity
- **2.** If m = cn; c > 0 then as  $n \to \infty$ , the number of empty slots tends to:
- a) a constant
- b) zero
- c) infinity
- **3.** If  $m = n^a$ ; a > 1 then as  $n \to \infty$ , the number of empty slots tends to:
- a) a constant
- b) zero
- c) infinity

### **Question 2**

Consider a hash table of size 1000, and n = 1500 keys to be hashed uniformly.

- **1.** The expected number of empty slots is about:
- a) 150
- b) 220
- c) 350
- **2.** The expected number of collisions is about:
- a) 100
- b) 230
- c) 720
- **3.** For a random slot, the average number of keys that hash on that slot is:
- a) 0.5
- b) 2
- c) 1.5

# **Question 3**

- **1.** If the loading factor is greater 1, we expect:
- a) all slots to have at least one item
- b) most slots to have at least one item
- c) it can never happen that more than half of the slots are empty
- **2.** If the loading factor is smaller 1, we expect:
- a) most slots to be empty
- b) very few slots to have one or more items
- c) very few slots to have two or more items

#### **Question 4**

Given the following adjacency lists:

```
adj(s) = [a, c, d],

adj(a) = [],

adj(c) = [e, b],

adj(b) = [d],

adj(d) = [c],

adj(e) = [s].
```

- **1.** Starting with node *s*, list the visited node order for:
- a) Breadth First Search S, a, C, d, e, b
- b) Depth First Search S, a, C, e, b, d

- **2.** The adjacency list representation is better in terms of space than the matrix representation for graphs that are not dense (i.e., the number of edges m = O(n), where n is the number of nodes) because
- a) it is simpler to represent the edges from each node as a linked list
- b) an adjacency matrix representation does not explicitly name the set of edges
- c) when the graph is not dense, the number of edges is m = O(n) and the space complexity in bits when using the adjacency list representation is  $O(n \log n)$  instead of  $O(n^2)$
- d) for non-dense graphs both representations are equivalent since the complexity is proportional to the number of nodes