P("concotion" | "pop") = $\frac{1}{1}$ = 1,

P("pop" | 1/he") = $\frac{0}{2}$ = 0

P("concotion" | ricky) = $\frac{0}{1}$ = 0

(b)

P("pop martin") Chould be higher.

MLE-cotimated uniform model: P("pop martian") = $\frac{1}{10}$. $\frac{1}{10}$ = $\frac{1}{100}$ = P("pop martin") = $\frac{1}{10}$. $\frac{1}{100}$ = $\frac{1}{100$

(a)
$$p(q|di) = \frac{2}{10} = \frac{3}{10} = \frac{3}{50}$$
 $p(q|di) = \frac{2}{10} = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{9}{100}$

(b) $p(q|di) = \left(\frac{10}{10410} \cdot \frac{2}{10} + \frac{10}{10410} \cdot \frac{80009}{10000}\right) \left(\frac{10}{10410} \cdot \frac{2}{10} + \frac{10}{10410} \cdot \frac{1000}{10000}\right)$
 $= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5} = \frac{1}{10}$
 $p(q|dz) = \left(\frac{10}{10410} \cdot \frac{1}{10} + \frac{10}{10410} \cdot \frac{8000}{10000}\right) \left(\frac{10}{10410} \cdot \frac{1}{10} + \frac{10}{10110} \cdot \frac{1000}{10000}\right)$
 $= \frac{2}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{10} = \frac{3}{40}$
 $\Rightarrow p(q|dz) \Rightarrow p(q|dz)$

(c) The rank in (b), $p(q|dz) \Rightarrow p(q|dz)$ is more reasonable because $p(w|q|q|z)$ is the likely to the location of the position of th

The rank in (b), p(gld1) > p(gld2) is more reasonable because p(w1) REF) is very high suggests that w, occurrence in more adocuments than w, and is likely to be a stopward. Hence, suggests that w, occurrence in more adocuments than w, and is likely to be a stopward. Hence, suggests that w, occurrence is more important to the relevance of queries and documents. On the its occurrence should be less important to the relevance of queries and documents. On the other hand, we has a smaller p(us | REF) so its more important than w, considering relevance other hand, we have reasonable. Therefore, rankid1) should be higher than rankid2), (b)'s ranking is more reasonable.

Therefore, rankid1) should be higher than rankid2), (b)'s ranking is more reasonable.