

---


## CSE 250a. Assignment 3

**Out:** Tue Oct 13

**Due:** Tue Oct 20

---

### 3.1 Inference



Consider the belief network shown above with random variables  $X_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Suppose that the CPT at each non-root node is given by the same  $m \times m$  transition matrix; that is, for all  $t \geq 1$ , we have:

$$A_{ij} = P(X_{t+1}=j|X_t=i).$$


- (a) Show that  $P(X_{t+1}=j|X_1=i) = [A^t]_{ij}$ , where  $A^t$  is the  $t^{\text{th}}$  power of the transition matrix.
  - (b) Consider the computational complexity of this inference. Devise a simple algorithm, based on matrix-vector multiplication, that scales as  $O(m^2 t)$ .
  - (c) Show alternatively that the inference can also be done in  $O(m^3 \log_2 t)$ .
  - (d) Suppose that the transition matrix  $A_{ij}$  is sparse, with at most  $s \ll m$  non-zero elements per row. Show that in this case the inference can be done in  $O(smt)$ .
- 

### 3.2 Stochastic simulation

Consider the belief network shown below, with  $n$  binary random variables  $B_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and an *integer* random variable  $Z$ . Let  $f(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{i-1} B_i$  denote the nonnegative integer whose binary representation is given by  $B_n B_{n-1} \dots B_2 B_1$ . Suppose that each bit has prior probability  $P(B_i=1) = \frac{1}{2}$ , and that

$$P(Z|B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) = \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \right)^{\alpha|Z-f(B)|}$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is a parameter measuring the amount of noise in the conversion from binary to decimal. (Larger values of  $\alpha$  indicate greater levels of noise.)



- (a) Show that the conditional distribution for binary to decimal conversion is normalized; namely, that  $\sum_z P(Z=z|B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) = 1$ , where the sum is over all integers  $z \in [-\infty, +\infty]$ .
- (b) Use the method of *likelihood weighting* to estimate the probability  $P(B_7 = 1|Z = 64)$  for a network with  $n=10$  bits and noise level  $\alpha=0.35$ .
- (c) Plot your estimate in part (b) *as a function of the number of samples*. You should be confident from the plot that your estimate has converged to a good degree of precision (say, at least two significant digits).
- (d) Submit a hard-copy print-out of your source code. You may program in the language of your choice, and you may use any program at your disposal to plot the results.



### 3.3 Node clustering

Consider the belief network shown below over binary variables  $X, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Z_1$ , and  $Z_2$ . The network can be transformed into a polytree by clustering the nodes  $Y_1, Y_2$ , and  $Y_3$  into a single node  $Y$ . From the CPTs in the original belief network, fill in the missing elements of the CPTs for the polytree.

$X$	$P(Y_1 = 1 X)$	$P(Y_2 = 1 X)$	$P(Y_3 = 1 X)$
0	0.75	0.50	0.25
1	0.50	0.25	0.75

$Y_1$	$Y_2$	$Y_3$	$P(Z_1 = 1 Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$	$P(Z_2 = 1 Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$
0	0	0	0.9	0.1
1	0	0	0.8	0.2
0	1	0	0.7	0.3
0	0	1	0.6	0.4
1	1	0	0.5	0.5
1	0	1	0.4	0.6
0	1	1	0.3	0.7
1	1	1	0.2	0.8


$Y_1$	$Y_2$	$Y_3$	$Y$	$P(Y X=0)$	$P(Y X=1)$	$P(Z_1=1 Y)$	$P(Z_2=1 Y)$
0	0	0	1				
1	0	0	2				
0	1	0	3				
0	0	1	4				
1	1	0	5				
1	0	1	6				
0	1	1	7				
1	1	1	8				



---

### 3.4 Maximum likelihood estimation

Consider the two DAGs shown below,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , over the same nodes  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_T\}$ , that differ only in the direction of their edges.



Suppose that the CPTs for these DAGs are obtained by maximum likelihood estimation from a “fully observed” data set in which each example provides a complete instantiation of the nodes in the DAG. In this data set, let  $\text{COUNT}_t(x)$  denote the number of examples in which  $X_t = x$ , and let  $\text{COUNT}_t(x, x')$  denote the number of examples in which  $X_t = x$  and  $X_{t+1} = x'$ .

- (a) Express the maximum likelihood estimates for the CPTs in  $G_1$  in terms of these counts.
  - (b) Express the maximum likelihood estimates for the CPTs in  $G_2$  in terms of these counts.
  - (c) Using your answers from parts (a) and (b), show that the maximum likelihood CPTs for  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  from this data set give rise to the same joint distribution over the nodes  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_T\}$ .
-

---

### 3.5 Statistical language modeling

In this problem, you will explore some simple statistical models of English text. Download and examine the data files on Piazza for this assignment. (Start with the `readme.txt` file.) These files contain unigram and bigram counts for 500 frequently occurring tokens in English text. These tokens include actual words as well as punctuation symbols and other textual markers. In addition, an “unknown” token is used to represent all words that occur outside this basic vocabulary. Turn in a printed copy of all your source code and results for the following problems. You may program in the language of your choice.

- (a) Compute the maximum likelihood estimate of the unigram distribution  $P_u(w)$  over words  $w$ . Print out a table of all the tokens (i.e., words) that start with the letter “M”, along with their numerical unigram *probabilities* (not counts). (You do not need to print out the unigram probabilities for all 500 tokens.)
- (b) Compute the maximum likelihood estimate of the bigram distribution  $P_b(w'|w)$ . Print out a table of the ten most likely words to follow the word “THE”, along with their numerical bigram probabilities.
- (c) Consider the sentence “**The stock market fell by one hundred points last week.**” Ignoring punctuation, compute and compare the log-likelihoods of this sentence under the unigram and bigram models:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_u &= \log \left[ P_u(\text{the}) P_u(\text{stock}) P_u(\text{market}) \dots P_u(\text{points}) P_u(\text{last}) P_u(\text{week}) \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_b &= \log \left[ P_b(\text{the}|\langle s \rangle) P_b(\text{stock}|\text{the}) P_b(\text{market}|\text{stock}) \dots P_b(\text{last}|\text{points}) P_b(\text{week}|\text{last}) \right]\end{aligned}$$

In the equation for the bigram log-likelihood, the token  $\langle s \rangle$  is used to mark the beginning of a sentence. Which model yields the highest log-likelihood?

- (d) Consider the sentence “**The sixteen officials sold fire insurance.**” Ignoring punctuation, compute and compare the log-likelihoods of this sentence under the unigram and bigram models:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_u &= \log \left[ P_u(\text{the}) P_u(\text{sixteen}) P_u(\text{officials}) \dots P_u(\text{sold}) P_u(\text{fire}) P_u(\text{insurance}) \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_b &= \log \left[ P_b(\text{the}|\langle s \rangle) P_b(\text{sixteen}|\text{the}) P_b(\text{officials}|\text{sixteen}) \dots P_b(\text{fire}|\text{sold}) P_b(\text{insurance}|\text{fire}) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Which pairs of adjacent words in this sentence are not observed in the training corpus? What effect does this have on the log-likelihood from the bigram model?

- (e) Consider the so-called *mixture* model that predicts words from a weighted interpolation of the unigram and bigram models:

$$P_m(w'|w) = \lambda P_u(w') + (1 - \lambda) P_b(w'|w),$$

where  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  determines how much weight is attached to each prediction. Under this mixture model, the log-likelihood of the sentence from part (d) is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \log \left[ P_m(\text{the}|\langle s \rangle) P_m(\text{sixteen}|\text{the}) P_m(\text{officials}|\text{sixteen}) \dots P_m(\text{fire}|\text{sold}) P_m(\text{insurance}|\text{fire}) \right].$$

Compute and plot the value of this log-likelihood  $\mathcal{L}_m$  as a function of the parameter  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . From your results, deduce the optimal value of  $\lambda$  to two significant digits.