Association of microbiome vs mask task in GIMA dataset

Kai Xia

20 December 2018

Corerlation of mask task and the estimated number of testing

Table 1: neo: Correlation matrix of mask task using average

	FacialFear	VocalDistress	BodilyFear	StartleResponse	EscapeBehavior
FacialFear	1.00	0.98	0.87	0.80	0.52
VocalDistress	0.98	1.00	0.88	0.80	0.59
BodilyFear	0.87	0.88	1.00	0.71	0.56
StartleResponse	0.80	0.80	0.71	1.00	0.32
${\bf Escape Behavior}$	0.52	0.59	0.56	0.32	1.00

Table 2: neo: The estimated number of testing

	Neff	Meff1	Meff2
Estimated Number of Testing	3.6	2.9	2.2

Table 3: yr1: Correlation matrix of mask task using average

	FacialFear	VocalDistress	BodilyFear	StartleResponse	EscapeBehavior
	r acian car	V O COMP IS OT COS	Bourny r car	Btar tiertesponse	Евеаревенатог
FacialFear	1.00	0.98	0.87	0.80	0.52
VocalDistress	0.98	1.00	0.88	0.80	0.59
BodilyFear	0.87	0.88	1.00	0.71	0.56
StartleResponse	0.80	0.80	0.71	1.00	0.32
EscapeBehavior	0.52	0.59	0.56	0.32	1.00

Table 4: yr1: The estimated number of testing

	Neff	Meff1	Meff2
Estimated Number of Testing	3.6	2.9	2.2

Microbiome beta diversity (PC1 and PC2) correlation (yr1 vs neo)

Table 5: Correlation matrix of beta diversity between neo and yr1

	wunifrac.PC.1.neo	wunifrac.PC.2.neo	wunifrac.PC.1.yr1	wunifrac.PC.2.yr1
wunifrac.PC.1.neo	1.00	0.43	-0.35	-0.04
wunifrac.PC.2.neo	0.43	1.00	-0.36	0.18
wunifrac.PC.1.yr1	-0.35	-0.36	1.00	-0.08
wunifrac. PC. 2. yr1	-0.04	0.18	-0.08	1.00

Association analysis between diversity and covariates using linear model for max, sum and average

Table 6: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.009	0.158	0.055	0.956	-0.314	0.331	0
AGEVISITNEO	0.000	0.005	-0.059	0.953	-0.010	0.010	0

Table 7: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	0.198 -0.007	0.329 0.011	0.600 -0.608	0.000	-0.475 -0.028	$0.870 \\ 0.015$	0.000 0.012

Table 8: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept METHNIC	-0.015 0.020	$0.105 \\ 0.122$	-0.140 0.162	0.000	-0.230 -0.229	$0.200 \\ 0.268$	0.000 0.001

Table 9: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.195	0.251	-0.777	0.443	-0.708	0.318	0.00
PAGE	0.006	0.008	0.794	0.433	-0.009	0.021	0.02

Table 10: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	0.070	0.112 0.126	0.625 -0.707		-0.158 -0.347	0.298 0.169	0.000

Table 11: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	0.131 -0.008	$0.412 \\ 0.026$	0.318 -0.321	$0.752 \\ 0.750$	-0.71 -0.06	0.0.0	0.000 0.003

Table 12: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.317 -0.020	0.315 0.019	1.006 -1.020	0.0	-0.326 -0.059	0.000	$0.000 \\ 0.032$

Table 13: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.041	0.083	-0.492	0.626	-0.211	0.129	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.118	0.140	0.838	0.409	-0.169	0.405	0.026
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.040	0.120	0.337	0.739	-0.205	0.285	0.004

Table 14: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.097	0.079	1.228	0.229	-0.065	0.259	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.164	0.103	-1.593	0.122	-0.374	0.046	0.076

Table 15: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	0.054 -0.041	$0.158 \\ 0.113$	0.341 -0.362			$0.376 \\ 0.190$	

Table 16: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.217	1.979	0.615	0.543	-2.825	5.259	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.004	0.007	-0.615	0.543	-0.019	0.010	0.012

Table 17: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-0.188 0.000	0.442 0.000	-0.426 0.429	$0.673 \\ 0.671$	-1.091 0.000	$0.714 \\ 0.000$	0.000 0.006

Table 18: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.026	0.064	0.405	0.688	-0.106	0.158	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.076	0.110	-0.691	0.495	-0.301	0.149	0.015

Table 19: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.051	0.060	-0.853	0.401	-0.173	0.071	0.000
MPSYCH	0.181	0.112	1.608	0.118	-0.049	0.410	0.077

Table 20: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.065	0.064	1.027	0.313	-0.065	0.195	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.174	0.104	-1.677	0.104	-0.387	0.038	0.083

Table 21: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.017	0.062	-0.278	0.783	-0.144	0.110	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.068	0.224	0.302	0.765	-0.391	0.526	0.003
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.107	0.150	0.715	0.480	-0.199	0.413	0.016
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-0.117	0.310	-0.378	0.708	-0.753	0.519	0.005

Table 22: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept AGEVISITNEO	0.087 -0.003	0.073 0.002	1.200 -1.272	0.=-0	-0.061 -0.008	$0.235 \\ 0.002$	0.00 0.05

Table 23: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.538	0.120	-4.470	0	-0.784	-0.292	0.000
MAGE	0.018	0.004	4.528	0	0.010	0.026	0.398

Table 24: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.050	0.049	-1.023	0.314	-0.149	0.049	0.000
METHNIC	0.066	0.056	1.182	0.247	-0.048	0.181	0.043

Table 25: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.197 0.006	0.114 0.003	-1.730 1.769	0.00 =	-0.430 -0.001	0.000	$0.000 \\ 0.092$

Table 26: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	-0.040 0.051	$0.052 \\ 0.059$	-0.756 0.855		-0.147 -0.070	$0.067 \\ 0.172$	0.000 0.023

Table 27: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.340	0.184	-1.847	0.075	-0.716	0.036	0.000
MEDUY	0.021	0.011	1.862	0.072	-0.002	0.045	0.101

Table 28: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.273	0.142	-1.920	0.064	-0.563	0.017	0.000
PEDUY	0.017	0.009	1.947	0.061	-0.001	0.035	0.109

Table 29: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.006	0.039	0.148	0.883	-0.073	0.085	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.061	0.065	-0.935	0.358	-0.194	0.072	0.033
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.020	0.056	0.365	0.718	-0.094	0.134	0.005

Table 30: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.052	0.037	-1.422	0.165	-0.128	0.023	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.088	0.048	1.846	0.075	-0.009	0.186	0.099

Table 31: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.074	-0.148	0.883	-0.163	0.141	0.000
SEX	0.008	0.054	0.157	0.876	-0.101	0.118	0.001

Table 32: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.308	0.908	-1.441	0.16	-3.163	0.546	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.005	0.003	1.442	0.16	-0.002	0.011	0.063

Table 33: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.13	0.208	-0.625	0.537	-0.554	0.294	0.000
BW	0.00	0.000	0.629	0.534	0.000	0.000	0.013

Table 34: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.003	0.031	0.086	0.932	-0.060	0.065	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.008	0.052	-0.147	0.884	-0.114	0.099	0.001

Table 35: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	0.010 -0.035	$0.029 \\ 0.055$	0.343 -0.647	00-	-0.049 -0.147	0.000	0.000 0.013

Table 36: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.028	0.030	-0.921	0.364	-0.090	0.034	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.074	0.049	1.504	0.143	-0.027	0.175	0.068

Table 37: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.020	0.026	-0.769	0.448	-0.072	0.033	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.035	0.093	0.379	0.708	-0.155	0.225	0.004
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.153	0.062	2.470	0.020	0.026	0.280	0.156
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-0.202	0.128	-1.571	0.127	-0.465	0.061	0.063

Table 38: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

		1 1 (> 0)	2.0 /0	97.5 %	R2
0.00.	0.290	v.,, -	•	0.156	0.000
_	9 0.067 1 0.002	0.00.		0.001 0.200 01 0.111	

Table 39: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.056	0.140	0.400	0.692	-0.230	0.342	0.000
MAGE	-0.002	0.005	-0.405	0.688	-0.011	0.007	0.005

Table 40: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.019	0.044	-0.430	0.0	-0.110	0.072	0.000
METHNIC	0.025	0.051	0.496		-0.079	0.130	0.008

Table 41: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.002	0.108	0.014	0.989	-0.218	0.221	0
PAGE	0.000	0.003	-0.015	0.988	-0.007	0.007	0

Table 42: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.032	0.047	-0.675	0.505	-0.128	0.065	0.000
PETHNIC	0.041	0.053	0.763	0.451	-0.068	0.150	0.018

Table 43: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.065	0.175	0.374	0.711	-0.291	0.422	0.000
MEDUY	-0.004	0.011	-0.377	0.709	-0.026	0.018	0.005

Table 44: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.198 -0.012	0.131 0.008	1.516 -1.537		-0.069 -0.029	$0.465 \\ 0.004$	0.000

Table 45: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.013	0.035	0.363	0.719	-0.059	0.085	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.001	0.060	-0.013	0.990	-0.123	0.121	0.000
Income.code.MID	-0.034	0.051	-0.663	0.513	-0.138	0.070	0.017

Table 46: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.001	0.035	0.018	0.986	-0.071	0.072	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.001	0.045	-0.023	0.982	-0.094	0.092	0

Table 47: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.078	0.065	1.187	0.245	-0.056	0.211	0.000
SEX	-0.059	0.047	-1.259	0.218	-0.155	0.037	0.049

Table 48: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.512	0.839	0.610	0.546	-1.202	2.226	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.002	0.003	-0.611	0.546	-0.008	0.004	0.012

Table 49: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.101	0.187	-0.540	0.593	-0.483	0.281	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	0.543	0.591	0.000	0.000	0.009

Table 50: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.027	-0.410	0.685	-0.067	0.045	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.033	0.047	0.699	0.490	-0.063	0.128	0.016

Table 51: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.029	0.024	-1.206	0.237	-0.079	0.020	0.000
MPSYCH	0.104	0.046	2.275	0.030	0.011	0.198	0.143

Table 52: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.006	0.028	-0.204	0.839	-0.063	0.052	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.015	0.046	0.334	0.741	-0.079	0.109	0.004

Table 53: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.027	0.024	-1.123	0.271	-0.075	0.022	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.034	0.086	0.391	0.699	-0.142	0.209	0.004
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.109	0.057	1.904	0.067	-0.008	0.226	0.095
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.243	0.119	2.041	0.051	-0.001	0.487	0.108

Table 54: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.024	0.055	-0.439	0.664	-0.137	0.088	0.000
AGEVISITNEO	0.001	0.002	0.466	0.645	-0.003	0.004	0.007

Table 55: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.103 0.003	0.114 0.004	-0.902 0.914		-0.337 -0.004	0.131	0.000 0.026
MAGE	0.005	0.004	0.914	0.508	-0.004	0.011	0.020

Table 56: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.022	0.037	0.597	0.000	-0.053	0.097	0.000
METHNIC	-0.029	0.042	-0.690	0.496	-0.115	0.057	0.015

Table 57: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	0.091 -0.003	$0.087 \\ 0.003$	1.043 -1.067	0.000	-0.087 -0.008		$0.000 \\ 0.035$

Table 58: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac. PC.4 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.058	0.038	1.543	0.133	-0.019	0.135	0.00
PETHNIC	-0.074	0.043	-1.746	0.091	-0.161	0.013	0.09

Table 59: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.082	0.144	-0.571	0.572	-0.376	0.212	0.000
MEDUY	0.005	0.009	0.575	0.569	-0.013	0.023	0.011

Table 60: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.218	0.105	-2.086	0.046	-0.432	-0.005	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
PEDUY	0.014	0.006	2.115	0.043	0.000	0.027	0.126

Table 61: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.007	0.029	0.232	0.818	-0.053	0.067	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.030	0.049	-0.606	0.549	-0.131	0.071	0.014
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.001	0.042	-0.015	0.988	-0.087	0.086	0.000

Table 62: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.001	0.029	-0.037	0.971	-0.060	0.058	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.002	0.038	0.048	0.962	-0.075	0.079	0

Table 63: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.022	0.055	0.404	0.689	-0.091	0.135	0.000
SEX	-0.017	0.040	-0.428	0.672	-0.098	0.064	0.006

Table 64: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.781	0.683	1.143	0.262	-0.614	2.176	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.003	0.002	-1.144	0.262	-0.008	0.002	0.04

Table 65: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	$0.146 \\ 0.000$	$0.153 \\ 0.000$	0.954 -0.961	$0.347 \\ 0.344$	-0.166 0.000	0.100	0.000 0.029

Table 66: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.002	0.023	-0.07	0.944	-0.048	0.045	0
MaternalInfection	0.005	0.039	0.12	0.905	-0.075	0.084	0

Table 67: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.003	0.022	0.145	0.886	-0.041	0.048	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.011	0.041	-0.273	0.787	-0.095	0.073	0.002

Table 68: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.002	0.023	0.092	0.927	-0.045	0.050	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.006	0.038	-0.150	0.882	-0.084	0.072	0.001

Table 69: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.001	0.022	-0.058	0.955	-0.046	0.043	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.014	0.079	0.182	0.857	-0.147	0.176	0.001
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.012	0.053	-0.233	0.817	-0.120	0.095	0.002
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.073	0.109	0.666	0.511	-0.151	0.296	0.014

Table 70: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.006	0.085	0.076	0.940	-0.166	0.179	0
AGEVISITNEO	0.000	0.003	-0.081	0.936	-0.006	0.005	0

Table 71: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.142	0.176	-0.81	0.425	-0.501	0.217	0.000
MAGE	0.005	0.006	0.82	0.419	-0.007	0.016	0.021

Table 72: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.042	0.056	-0.756	0.455	-0.156	0.072	0.000
METHNIC	0.056	0.064	0.873	0.389	-0.075	0.188	0.024

Table 73: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs PAGE, df=30 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.186 0.006	$0.132 \\ 0.004$	-1.416 1.447	00.	-0.455 -0.002	0.00=	0.000

Table 74: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	-0.001 0.002	0.060 0.068	-0.023 0.027	0.00-	-0.125 -0.138	0.122 0.141	0

Table 75: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.034	0.221	0.156	0.877	-0.417	0.486	0.000
MEDUY	-0.002	0.014	-0.157	0.876	-0.030	0.026	0.001

Table 76: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.072	0.171	-0.419	0.678	-0.421	0.278	0.000
PEDUY	0.004	0.011	0.425	0.674	-0.017	0.026	0.006

Table 77: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.023	0.045	-0.521	0.606	-0.115	0.068	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.025	0.075	0.327	0.746	-0.130	0.179	0.004
Income.code.MID	0.048	0.064	0.739	0.466	-0.084	0.179	0.021

Table 78: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs OLDERSIB-LINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.006	0.044	0.141	0.889	-0.084	0.097	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.011	0.057	-0.183	0.856	-0.128	0.107	0.001

Table 79: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.007	0.085	-0.088	0.930	-0.181	0.166	0

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SEX	0.006	0.061	0.094	0.926	-0.119	0.130	0

Table 80: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.645	1.061	0.608	0.0 -0	-1.522	2.813	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.002	0.004	-0.608	0.547	-0.010	0.006	0.012

Table 81: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.56	0.214	2.615	0.014	0.123	0.998	0.000
BW	0.00	0.000	-2.633	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.183

Table 82: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.019	0.034	-0.556	0.582	-0.089	0.051	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.056	0.059	0.949	0.350	-0.064	0.175	0.028

Table 83: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.012	0.033	-0.378	0.,00	-0.080	0.055	0.000
MPSYCH	0.044	0.062	0.714	0.481	-0.083	0.172	0.016

Table 84: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.009	0.036	-0.246	0.807	-0.082	0.064	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.023	0.058	0.402	0.690	-0.095	0.142	0.005

Table 85: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.031	0.142	0.888	-0.060	0.069	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.114	0.113	1.011	0.321	-0.117	0.345	0.030
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.106	0.075	-1.409	0.170	-0.260	0.048	0.058

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
PrePregBMI.Under	0.160	0.156	1.026	0.314	-0.160	0.481	0.030

Table 86: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.104	0.072	-1.442	0.160	-0.251	0.043	0.00
AGEVISITNEO	0.003	0.002	1.530	0.137	-0.001	0.008	0.07

Table 87: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	0.30 -0.01	0.147 0.005	2.043 -2.069	0.050 0.047	0.00	0.000	0.000 0.121

Table 88: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.060	0.048	-1.236	0.226	-0.158	0.039	0.000
METHNIC	0.079	0.056	1.428	0.164	-0.034	0.193	0.062

Table 89: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	0.076 -0.002	$0.119 \\ 0.004$	0.641 -0.655			$0.320 \\ 0.005$	

Table 90: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.047	0.052	-0.905	0.373	-0.154	0.060	0.000
PETHNIC	0.061	0.059	1.024	0.314	-0.060	0.182	0.033

Table 91: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.108	0.194	0.556	0.00-	-0.289	0.505	0.00
MEDUY	-0.007	0.012	-0.561	0.579	-0.031	0.018	0.01

Table 92: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.255 -0.016	0.144 0.009	1.773 -1.798	0.000	-0.039 -0.034	$0.549 \\ 0.002$	0.000 0.094

Table 93: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Income.code.LOW	0.006 -0.051	$0.039 \\ 0.066$	0.157 -0.773	0.0.0	-0.074 -0.186	$0.086 \\ 0.084$	0.000 0.023
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.013	0.056	0.239	0.813	-0.102	0.129	0.002

Table 94: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.041	0.038	1.097	0.282	-0.036	0.119	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.070	0.049	-1.423	0.165	-0.170	0.030	0.061

Table 95: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.057	0.074	0.764	00-	-0.095	000	0.000
SEX	-0.043	0.053	-0.810	0.424	-0.152	0.065	0.021

Table 96: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.708	0.933	0.759	0.454	-1.198	2.615	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.003	0.003	-0.759	0.454	-0.009	0.004	0.018

Table 97: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.273	0.204	-1.342	0.190	-0.689	0.143	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	1.351	0.187	0.000	0.000	

Table 98: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.040	0.028	-1.412	0.168	-0.097	0.018	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.116	0.048	2.408	0.022	0.018	0.214	0.158

Table 99: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.023	0.028	-0.829	0	-0.081	0.034	0.000
MPSYCH	0.083	0.053	1.562	0.129	-0.026	0.192	0.0

Table 100: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.021	0.031	-0.696	0.492	-0.084	0.042	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	0.057	0.050	1.137	0.265	-0.046	0.160	0.04

Table 101: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.021	0.028	-0.774	0.445	-0.078	0.035	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.141	0.100	1.419	0.167	-0.063	0.345	0.057
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.034	0.066	0.516	0.610	-0.102	0.170	0.008
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.230	0.138	1.665	0.107	-0.053	0.512	0.077

Table 102: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.013	0.070	-0.191	0.850	-0.156	0.130	0.000
AGEVISITNEO	0.000	0.002	0.202	0.841	-0.004	0.005	0.001

Table 103: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs MAGE, df=30 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.148	0.144	-1.022	0.315	-0.442	0.147	0.000
MAGE	0.005	0.005	1.036	0.309	-0.005	0.014	0.033

Table 104: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs METHNIC, df=30

LStin	nate Std. Erro	or t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
	.032 0.04 .042 0.05	0.000	000	-0.063 -0.151	$0.126 \\ 0.067$	

Table 105: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs PAGE, df=30 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	0.051 -0.002	0.112 0.003	0.45 -0.46	0.000	-0.179 -0.008	000	0.000 0.007

Table 106: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	0.039 -0.050	$0.049 \\ 0.056$	0.788 -0.892	0.20.	-0.062 -0.164	$0.139 \\ 0.064$	0.000 0.025

Table 107: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.169	0.180	-0.935	0.357	-0.537	0.200	0.000
MEDUY	0.011	0.011	0.943	0.353	-0.012	0.033	0.028

Table 108: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.221	0.136	-1.626	0.114	-0.498	0.057	0.000
PEDUY	0.014	0.008	1.648	0.110	-0.003	0.031	0.081

Table 109: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.036	0.508	0.615	-0.055	0.092	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.079	0.061	-1.293	0.206	-0.204	0.046	0.061
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.003	0.052	-0.056	0.956	-0.109	0.104	0.000

Table 110: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.043	0.035	-1.233	0.227	-0.115	0.028	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.073	0.046	1.601	0.120	-0.020	0.166	0.076

Table 111: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.076	0.069	1.114	v. _ , _	-0.064	00	0.000
SEX	-0.058	0.049	-1.181	0.247	-0.159	0.042	0.043

Table 112: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.353	0.881	-0.401	0.691	-2.152	1.446	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.001	0.003	0.401	0.691	-0.005	0.008	0.005

Table 113: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.061	0.196	0.312	0.757	-0.34	0.462	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	-0.314	0.756	0.00	0.000	0.003

Table 114: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.014	0.029	-0.488	0.629	-0.072	0.044	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.040	0.049	0.833	0.412	-0.059	0.140	0.022

Table 115: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	0.028 -0.100	0.026 0.049	1.087 -2.049	0.200	-0.025 -0.199	0.001	0.000 0.119

Table 116: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.018	0.029	-0.608	0.548	-0.077	0.042	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.047	0.047	0.992	0.329	-0.050	0.144	0.031

Table 117: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.012	0.028	0.420	0.678	-0.045	0.068	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.042	0.099	-0.425	0.674	-0.246	0.161	0.006
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.044	0.066	-0.660	0.515	-0.180	0.092	0.014
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-0.067	0.138	-0.484	0.632	-0.349	0.215	0.007

Table 118: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept AGEVISITNEO	0.088 -0.003	$0.062 \\ 0.002$	1.408 -1.493	000	-0.039 -0.007	$0.215 \\ 0.001$	0.000

Table 119: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.164	0.132	-1.243	0.223	-0.433	0.105	0.000
MAGE	0.005	0.004	1.259	0.218	-0.003	0.014	0.049

Table 120: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	-0.009 0.012	0.043 0.050	-0.206 0.238	0.000	-0.097 -0.090	0.079 0.113	0.000 0.002

Table 121: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.165 0.005	$0.099 \\ 0.003$	-1.671 1.709	000	-0.368 -0.001	$0.037 \\ 0.011$	0.000 0.086

Table 122: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.018	0.046	-0.398	0.693	-0.112	0.075	0.000
PETHNIC	0.023	0.052	0.450	0.656	-0.083	0.129	0.006

Table 123: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	-0.059 0.004	0.168 0.010	-0.353 0.356	00	-0.403 -0.018	$0.284 \\ 0.025$	0.000

Table 124: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.238	0.123	1.939	0.062	-0.013	0.490	0.000
PEDUY	-0.015	0.008	-1.966	0.059	-0.030	0.001	0.111

Table 125: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.034	0.033	1.041	0.306	-0.033	0.102	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.079	0.056	-1.413	0.168	-0.194	0.035	0.070
Income.code.MID	-0.046	0.048	-0.958	0.346	-0.143	0.052	0.032

Table 126: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.029	0.033	-0.871	0.00-	-0.096	0.039	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.048	0.043	1.131		-0.039	0.136	0.04

Table 127: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.056	0.064	-0.887	0.382	-0.186	0.074	0.000
SEX	0.043	0.046	0.940	0.355	-0.050	0.136	0.028

Table 128: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.1	0.813	0.123	0.903	-1.561	1.761	0
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.0	0.003	-0.123	0.903	-0.006	0.006	0

Table 129: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	0.141 0.000	0.179 0.000	0.789 -0.794	000	-0.225 0.000	0.507 0.000	0.00

Table 130: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.008	0.026	0.320	0.752	-0.046	0.062	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.025	0.045	-0.545	0.590	-0.117	0.067	0.009

Table 131: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.012	0.025	0.466	0.644	-0.039	0.063	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.042	0.047	-0.879	0.386	-0.138	0.055	0.024

Table 132: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.002	0.027	-0.086	0.932	-0.058	0.053	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.006	0.044	0.140	0.890	-0.084	0.097	0.001

Table 133: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.015	0.025	-0.623	0.538	-0.066	0.035	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.094	0.089	1.060	0.298	-0.088	0.276	0.034
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.033	0.059	0.553	0.585	-0.089	0.155	0.009
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.139	0.123	1.127	0.269	-0.114	0.392	0.038

Table 134: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	81.539	16.503	4.941	0.000	47.836	115.242	0.000
AGEVISITNEO	0.397	0.515	0.771	0.447	-0.655	1.450	0.019

Table 135: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	117.15 -0.78	34.685 1.131	3.378 -0.690	0.00=	46.315 -3.089	187.985 1.530	0.000

Table 136: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept METHNIC	89.336 5.599	11.077 12.791	8.065 0.438	0.000 0.665		111.959 31.722	

Table 137: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	81.782	26.703	3.063	0.005	27.246	136.317	0.000
PAGE	0.362	0.805	0.450	0.656	-1.281	2.005	0.006

Table 138: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	103.251 -12.436	11.709 13.247	8.818 -0.939	$0.000 \\ 0.355$		127.165 14.619	

Table 139: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	124.810	43.177	2.891			212.989	
MEDUY	-1.958	2.682	-0.730	0.471	-7.435	3.518	0.017

Table 140: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	143.277	32.480	4.411	0.000	76.943	209.611	0.000
PEDUY	-3.103	1.998	-1.553	0.131	-7.184	0.979	0.072

Table 141: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	105.800	8.300	12.748	0.000	88.826	122.774	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-14.830	14.029	-1.057	0.299	-43.522	13.862	0.036
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-24.053	11.979	-2.008	0.054	-48.554	0.447	0.130

Table 142: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	97.199	8.674	11.206	0.000	79.484	114.914	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	-6.169	11.257	-0.548	0.588	-29.159	16.821	0.01

Table 143: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	93.161	16.686	5.583	0.000	59.084	127.238	0
SEX	0.286	11.987	0.024	0.981	-24.196	24.767	0

Table 144: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	384.131	203.362	1.889	0.069	-31.189	799.451	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-1.051	0.736	-1.429	0.163	-2.554	0.451	0.062

Table 145: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	129.875	46.304	2.805	0.009	35.309	224.440	0.00
BW	-0.011	0.014	-0.790	0.436	-0.039	0.017	0.02

Table 146: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	89.140	6.721	13.263	0.000	75.414	102.866	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	12.788	11.463	1.116	0.273	-10.623	36.199	0.039

Table 147: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	93.912	6.553	14.332	0.000	80.53	107.294	0

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
MPSYCH	-1.336	12.356	-0.108	0.915	-26.57	23.898	0

Table 148: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	104.219	6.265	16.635	0.000	91.424	117.014	0.0
VITAMINDNEO	-28.488	10.231	-2.785	0.009	-49.382	-7.594	0.2

Table 149: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	92.184	6.581	14.007	0.000	78.703	105.665	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	13.756	23.729	0.580	0.567	-34.850	62.362	0.011
PrePregBMI.Overweight	5.013	15.849	0.316	0.754	-27.453	37.479	0.003
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-9.319	32.906	-0.283	0.779	-76.723	58.086	0.003

Table 150: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs AGEVISIT-NEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	47.367	9.612	4.928	0.000	27.736	66.998	0.000
AGEVISITNEO	0.303	0.300	1.008	0.322	-0.311	0.916	0.032

Table 151: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	75.649	20.194	3.746	0.001	34.408	116.890	0.000
MAGE	-0.632	0.658	-0.960	0.344	-1.977	0.712	0.029

Table 152: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	54.200	6.499	8.340	0.000	40.927	67.473	0.000
METHNIC	3.067	7.504	0.409	0.686	-12.259	18.393	

Table 153: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	55.337	15.712	3.522	0.001	23.249	87.425	0

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
PAGE	0.036	0.473	0.076	0.940	-0.931	1.003	0

Table 154: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	62.771	6.846	9.170	0.000	48.791	76.752	0.000
PETHNIC	-8.027	7.745	-1.036	0.308	-23.845	7.790	0.033

Table 155: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	72.946	25.366	2.876	0.007	21.142	124.749	0.000
MEDUY	-1.030	1.575	-0.654	0.518	-4.247	2.188	0.014

Table 156: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	82.287	19.215	4.282	0.000	43.044	121.529	0.000
PEDUY	-1.609	1.182	-1.361	0.184	-4.023	0.806	0.056

Table 157: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	63.246	4.883	12.951	0.000	53.258	73.234	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-7.046	8.254	-0.854	0.400	-23.928	9.836	0.024
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-13.879	7.049	-1.969	0.059	-28.295	0.536	0.128

Table 158: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept OLDERSIBLINGS	60.200 -6.232	5.037 6.537	11.952 -0.953	$0.000 \\ 0.348$	49.914 -19.581	70.486 7.118	0.000

Table 159: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	56.29	9.785	5.752	0.000	36.305	76.275	0

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SEX	0.16	7.030	0.023	0.982	-14.197	14.517	0

Table 160: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	153.211	121.986	1.256	0.219	-95.918	402.340	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.350	0.441	-0.793	0.434	-1.251	0.551	0.02

Table 161: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	69.958	27.325	2.560	0.016	14.153	125.763	0.000
BW	-0.004	0.008	-0.496	0.623	-0.021	0.013	0.008

Table 162: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	54.443	3.971	13.710	0.000	46.333	62.553	0.000
MaternalInfection	5.984	6.773	0.884	0.384	-7.848	19.817	0.025

Table 163: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	55.252 4.437	3.819 7.202	14.466 0.616	$0.000 \\ 0.543$	47.452 -10.272	63.053 19.145	0.000

Table 164: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	61.700 -13.867	3.819 6.237	16.156 -2.223	$0.000 \\ 0.034$	53.900 -26.603	69.50 -1.13	0.000 0.138

Table 165: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	56.479	3.866	14.608	0.000	48.559	64.399	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	7.021	13.940	0.504	0.618	-21.534	35.576	0.008

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-1.419	9.311	-0.152	0.880	-20.493	17.654	0.001
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-6.279	19.332	-0.325	0.748	-45.878	33.320	0.003

Table 166: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs AGEVIS-ITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.095	0.537	7.626	0.000	2.998	5.191	0.000
AGEVISITNEO	0.021	0.017	1.223	0.231	-0.014	0.055	0.046

Table 167: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.778	1.089	6.223	0.000	4.553	9.002	0.000
MAGE	-0.068	0.036	-1.919	0.064	-0.141	0.004	0.106

Table 168: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.748	0.367	12.946	0.000	3.999	5.497	0
METHNIC	-0.046	0.424	-0.109	0.914	-0.911	0.819	0

Table 169: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	5.126 -0.013	0.881 0.027	5.817 -0.478	0.000	3.326 -0.067	0.0_0	0.000 0.007

Table 170: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	4.965 -0.322	0.389 0.440	12.774 -0.733	0.000	4.172 -1.220		0.000 0.017

Table 171: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.647	1.393	4.771	0.000	3.801	9.492	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
MEDUY	-0.121	0.087	-1.399	0.172	-0.298	0.056	0.059

Table 172: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.479	1.066	6.08	0.000	4.303	8.655	0.000
PEDUY	-0.110	0.066	-1.68	0.103	-0.244	0.024	0.083

Table 173: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.872	0.276	17.623	0.000	4.306	5.437	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.248	0.467	0.532	0.599	-0.707	1.204	0.010
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.567	0.399	-1.420	0.166	-1.383	0.249	0.072

Table 174: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs OLDER-SIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.968	0.281	17.654	0.00	4.393	5.543	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.428	0.365	-1.173	0.25	-1.174	0.318	0.042

Table 175: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.563	0.550	8.296	0.000	3.440	5.687	0.000
SEX	0.114	0.395	0.290	0.774	-0.693	0.922	0.003

Table 176: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	12.949	6.773	1.912	0.065	-0.884	26.782	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.030	0.024	-1.216	0.233	-0.080	0.020	0.046

Table 177: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	3.77 0.00	1.535 0.000	2.457 0.619		0.636 -0.001	6.904 0.001	0.000

Table 178: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs Maternal-Infection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.686	0.226	20.71	0.000	4.224	5.148	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.081	0.386	0.21	0.835	-0.707	0.869	0.001

Table 179: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	4.592 0.432	0.212 0.400	21.633 1.079	0.000 0.289	4.159 -0.385	0.0-0	0.000 0.036

Table 180: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs VITA-MINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.936	0.222	22.202	0.000	4.482	5.390	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.593	0.363	-1.634	0.113	-1.335	0.148	0.079

Table 181: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.653	0.217	21.444	0.000	4.209	5.098	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.343	0.782	0.439	0.664	-1.259	1.946	0.006
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.304	0.523	0.582	0.565	-0.766	1.375	0.011
PrePregBMI.Under	-0.274	1.085	-0.252	0.803	-2.496	1.949	0.002

Table 182: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs AGEVISITNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept AGEVISITNEO	2.840 -0.005	0.336 0.011	8.442 -0.485	0.000	2.153 -0.027	3.527 0.016	0.000

Table 183: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	3.482 -0.026	$0.693 \\ 0.023$	5.026 -1.164	$0.000 \\ 0.254$	2.067 -0.072		0.000 0.042

Table 184: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.627	0.225	11.685	0.000		3.086	0.000
METHNIC	0.078	0.260	0.302	0.765	-0.452	0.609	0.003

Table 185: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.857	0.542	5.271	0.00	1.750	3.963	0.000
PAGE	-0.005	0.016	-0.321	0.75	-0.039	0.028	0.003

Table 186: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.628	0.240	10.929	0.000	2.137	3.119	0.000
PETHNIC	0.075	0.272	0.275	0.785	-0.481	0.630	0.002

Table 187: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	3.054 -0.023	$0.880 \\ 0.055$	3.471 -0.422	0.00=	1.257 -0.135	$4.852 \\ 0.089$	0.000 0.006

Table 188: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.758	0.684	4.033	0.000	1.362	4.155	0
PEDUY	-0.005	0.042	-0.107	0.915	-0.090	0.081	0

Table 189: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.815	0.164	17.206	0.000	2.48	3.150	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.176	0.277	0.636	0.530	-0.39	0.741	0.014
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.447	0.236	-1.891	0.069	-0.93	0.036	0.120

Table 190: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.616	0.176	14.874	0.000	2.256	2.975	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.119	0.228	0.520	0.607	-0.347	0.585	0.009

Table 191: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.87	0.336	8.535	0.000	2.183	0.000	0.000
SEX	-0.14	0.242	-0.579	0.567	-0.633	0.353	0.011

Table 192: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	6.554 -0.014	4.200 0.015	1.561 -0.921	00	-2.023 -0.045	15.131 0.017	0.000

Table 193: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	2.873 0.000	0.947 0.000	3.032	0.005 0.844	0.938	4.807	$0.000 \\ 0.001$

Table 194: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.621	0.137	19.062	0.000	2.340	2.901	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.190	0.234	0.811	0.424	-0.289	0.669	0.021

Table 195: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.576	0.127	20.240	0.000	2.316	2.836	0.000
MPSYCH	0.392	0.240	1.632	0.113	-0.099	0.882	0.079

Table 196: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.933	0.122	24.049	0.000	2.684	3.182	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	-0.658	0.199	-3.303	0.002	-1.065	-0.251	0.26

Table 197: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.760	0.131	21.029	0.000	2.491	3.029	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.438	0.473	-0.925	0.363	-1.407	0.531	0.027

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.273	0.316	-0.863	0.396	-0.920	0.375	0.023
PrePregBMI.Under	-0.117	0.656	-0.178	0.860	-1.461	1.227	0.001

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
# neo mask task vs dive	rsitv						

Table 198: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.661	0.177	20.723	0.000	3.288	4.033	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 1	0.573	0.561	1.022	0.321	-0.610	1.755	0.055

Table 199: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs wunifrac. PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.632	0.179	20.297	0.00	3.254	4.009	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.432	1.186	-0.364		-2.933	2.070	0.007

Table 200: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.598	0.179	20.111	0.000	3.220	3.975	
wunifrac.PC.3	1.292	1.379	0.937	0.362	-1.618	4.202	0.046

Table 201: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.674	0.179	20.484	0.000	3.296	4.053	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	1.848	1.815	1.018	0.323	-1.982	5.678	0.054

Table 202: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.642	0.183	19.907	0.000	3.256	4.028	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	0.318	1.137	0.279	0.783	-2.081	2.716	0.004

Table 203: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs unifrac. PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.631	0.180	20.157	0.00	3.251	4.012	0
unifrac. $PC.2$	0.017	1.423	0.012	0.99	-2.986	3.020	0

Table 204: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs unifrac. PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	3.695 -2.036	00	21.306 -1.528	0.000	3.329 -4.847		0.000 0.115

Table 205: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs unifrac. PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.768	0.178	21.150	0.000	3.392	4.144	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-4.894	2.569	-1.905	0.074	-10.315	0.527	0.168

Table 206: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MasksPresented vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.705	0.648	4.175	0.001	1.338	4.072	0.000
chao1	0.010	0.007	1.482	0.157	-0.004	0.024	0.109

Table 207: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.346	0.731	3.212	0.005	0.805	3.888	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.023	0.013	1.806	0.089	-0.004	0.051	0.153

Table 208: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.640	0.803	0.796	0.437	-1.055	2.334	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.635	0.168	3.776	0.002	0.280	0.990	0.442

Table 209: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept shannon	2.130 0.551	0.816 0.293	2.609 1.878	0.0-0	0.407 -0.068	3.852 1.170	

Table 210: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.345	0.989	3.38	0.004	1.257	5.432	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 1	-0.470	3.140	-0.15	0.883	-7.094	6.154	0.001

Table 211: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.367	0.941	3.576	0.002	1.381	5.353	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	7.151	6.239	1.146	0.268	-6.012	20.313	0.068

Table 212: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.985	0.887	3.366	0.004	1.114	4.856	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 3	14.556	6.836	2.129	0.048	0.132	28.979	0.201

Table 213: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.865	0.864	4.474	0.000	2.042	5.688	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	21.446	8.743	2.453	0.025	3.000	39.892	0.251

Table 214: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.099	0.943	3.286	0.004	1.109	5.089	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-8.325	5.860	-1.421	0.174	-20.690	4.039	0.101

Table 215: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.469	0.928	3.738	0.002	1.511	5.426	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-10.277	7.331	-1.402	0.179	-25.745	5.191	0.098

Table 216: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	3.213 5.020	0.994 7.633	$3.234 \\ 0.658$	$0.005 \\ 0.520$	1.117 -11.085	0.000	0.000 0.023

Table 217: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.4	3.447 -2.803	1.067 15.383	3.231	0.005	1.196 -35.258		0.000

Table 218: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.747	3.742	0.734	0.473	-5.147	10.641	0.000
chao1	0.007	0.039	0.172	0.865	-0.075	0.089	0.002

Table 219: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.970	4.337	0.685	0.503	-6.182	12.121	0
$observed_otus$	0.007	0.077	0.094	0.926	-0.154	0.169	0

Table 220: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	3.995 -0.133	5.924 1.241	0.0		-8.503 -2.751	$16.492 \\ 2.485$	

Table 221: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.911	4.535	-0.862	0.40	-13.480	5.657	0.00
shannon	2.670	1.630	1.638	0.12	-0.768	6.108	0.13

Table 222: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	2.428 0.145	$0.268 \\ 0.850$	9.062 0.171	$0.000 \\ 0.866$	1.863 -1.649	2.994 1.939	0.000 0.002

Table 223: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.421	0.252	9.611	0.000	1.89	2.953	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-2.218	1.669	-1.329	0.202	-5.74	1.30^{4}	4

Table 224: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.526	0.240	10.533	0.000	2.020	3.032	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-3.969	1.849	-2.147	0.047	-7.869	-0.068	0.204

Table 225: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.309	0.246	9.378	0.000	1.789	2.828	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-4.855	2.492	-1.948	0.068	-10.111	0.402	0.174

Table 226: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	2.511 2.779	0.247 1.538	10.146 1.807	0.000 0.088	1.989 -0.465	0.000	$0.000 \\ 0.154$

Table 227: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.395	0.253	9.475	0.000	1.862	2.929	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	2.643	1.997	1.323	0.203	-1.571	6.857	0.089

Table 228: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	2.439 -0.585	0.272 2.089	8.97 -0.28	0.000 0.783	1.865 -4.992	0.010	0.000

Table 229: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.394	0.289	8.291	0.00	1.785	3.003	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	0.964	4.165	0.231	0.82	-7.823	9.750	0.003

Table 230: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.892	1.006	1.881	0.077	-0.230	4.014	0.000
chao1	0.006	0.010	0.545	0.593	-0.016	0.028	0.016

Table 231: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.802	1.165	1.546	0.140	-0.656	4.260	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.011	0.021	0.546	0.592	-0.032	0.055	0.016

Table 232: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	$1.655 \\ 0.163$	1.594 0.334	$1.038 \\ 0.488$		-1.709 -0.542		0.000 0.013

Table 233: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.789	1.278	2.965	0.009	1.093	6.485	0.000
shannon	-0.502	0.459	-1.092	0.290	-1.470	0.467	0.062

Table 234: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	2.094 -0.217	0.274 0.871	7.630 -0.249	0.000 0.806	1.515 -2.055		$0.000 \\ 0.003$

Table 235: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.106	0.257	8.196	0.000	1.564	2.648	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-2.389	1.702	-1.403	0.179	-5.981	1.203	0.099

Table 236: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.183	0.261	8.359	0.000	1.632	2.734	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.951	2.013	-1.466	0.161	-7.199	1.297	0.107

Table 237: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.996	0.255	7.823	0.000	1.457	2.534	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-4.730	2.582	-1.832	0.085	-10.178	0.718	0.157

Table 238: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.188	0.259	8.459	0.000	1.642		0.000
unifrac.PC.1	2.545	1.607	1.584	0.132	-0.845		0.122

Table 239: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.08	0.261	7.980	0.000	1.530	2.630	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	2.55	2.060	1.238	0.233	-1.796	6.895	0.078

Table 240: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.111	0.279	7.556	0.000	1.522	2.700	0
unifrac.PC.3	-0.183	2.146	-0.085	0.933	-4.711	4.345	0

Table 241: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.075	0.296	7.011	0.000	1.451	2.700	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	1.075	4.269	0.252	0.804	-7.931	10.081	0.004

Table 242: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.456	1.036	2.37	0.03	0.269	4.642	0.000
chao1	-0.004	0.011	-0.35	0.73	-0.027	0.019	0.007

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.298	1.204	1.909	0.073	-0.242	4.838	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.003	0.021	-0.164	0.871	-0.048	0.041	0.002

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.596	1.641	1.582	0.132	-0.866	6.059	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.104	0.344	-0.303	0.765	-0.830	0.621	0.005

Table 245: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.618	1.303	2.778	0.013	0.870	6.366	0.000
shannon	-0.555	0.468	-1.185	0.252	-1.542	0.433	0.072

Table 246: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.794	0.219	8.176	0.000	1.331	2.257 1.552	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	0.083	0.696	0.120	0.906	-1.385		0.001

Table 247: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.790	0.214	8.345	0.000	1.337	2.242	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-0.839	1.421	-0.590	0.563	-3.837	2.159	0.019

Table 248: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.879	0.193	9.714	0.000	1.471	2.288	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-3.412	1.492	-2.287	0.035	-6.559	-0.265	0.225

Table 249: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.75	0.219	7.989	0.000	1.288	2.212	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.71	2.217	-0.771	0.451	-6.387	2.967	0.032

Table 250: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	1.858 2.128	$0.205 \\ 1.274$	$9.067 \\ 1.671$	0.000 0.113	1.426 -0.559		0.000 0.134

Table 251: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.775	0.213	8.345	0.000	1.327	2.224	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.437	1.681	0.855	0.405	-2.110	4.983	0.039

Table 252: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.777	0.223	7.980	0.000	1.307		0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.400	1.711	0.234	0.818	-3.210		0.003

Table 253: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.679	0.227	7.391	0.000	1.200	2.158	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	3.951	3.276	1.206	0.244	-2.962	10.863	0.075

Table 254: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.510	0.810	3.098	0.007	0.801	4.22	0.000
chao1	-0.008	0.008	-0.921	0.370	-0.026	0.01	0.045

Table 255: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.938	0.918	3.199	0.005	1.000	4.875	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.021	0.016	-1.283	0.217	-0.055	0.013	0.084

Table 256: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.586	1.237	2.898	0.010	0.975	6.196	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.381	0.259	-1.472	0.159	-0.928	0.165	0.107

Table 257: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.510	0.847	5.327	0.000	2.724	6.297	0.000
shannon	-0.998	0.304	-3.280	0.004	-1.640	-0.356	0.374

Table 258: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.383	0.117	3.281	0.004	0.137	0.629	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	0.280	0.370	0.756	0.460	-0.501	1.060	0.031

Table 259: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.369	0.114	3.243	0.005	0.129	0.608	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-0.759	0.753	-1.007	0.328	-2.348	0.830	0.053

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 260: & mask_vs_diversity_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.397	0.115	3.458	0.003	0.155	0.638	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-1.066	0.884	-1.206	0.244	-2.932	0.799	0.075

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 261: & mask_vs_diversity_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.352	0.119	2.956	0.009	0.101	0.604	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.693	1.206	-0.574	0.573	-3.238	1.852	0.018

Table 262: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.363	0.119	3.045	0.007	0.112	0.615	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-0.162	0.741	-0.219	0.829	-1.726	1.401	0.003

Table 263: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.364	0.116	3.124	0.006	0.118	0.610	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	0.470	0.920	0.511	0.616	-1.471	2.411	0.014

Table 264: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	0.377 -0.269	0.120 0.923	3.135 -0.291	0.000	0.123 -2.216	0.000	0.000 0.005

Table 265: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.265	0.112	2.373	0.030	0.029	0.500	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	3.711	1.609	2.307	0.034	0.317	7.106	0.228

Table 266: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.560	0.446	1.255	0.226	-0.381	1.500	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.005	-0.444	0.662	-0.012	0.008	0.011

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.873	0.504	1.733	0.101	-0.190	1.937	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.009	0.009	-1.028	0.318	-0.028	0.010	0.055

Table 268: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.635	0.706	0.899	0.381	-0.855	2.126	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.057	0.148	-0.383	0.707	-0.369	0.256	0.008

Table 269: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept shannon	1.278 -0.334	$0.539 \\ 0.194$	2.371 -1.723	0.000	0.141 -0.742	$2.416 \\ 0.075$	0.000

Table 270: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.991	0.195	5.088	0.000	0.580	1.402	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.170	0.618	-0.276	0.786	-1.475	1.134	0.004

Table 271: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.192	5.222	0.000	0.596	1.404	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.597	1.269	-0.470	0.644	-3.274	2.081	0.012

Table 272: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.072	0.177	6.062	0.00	0.699	1.446	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.746	1.364	-2.013	0.06	-5.623	0.131	0.184

Table 273: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.975	0.197	4.960	0.000	0.560	1.39	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.078	1.990	-0.542	0.595	-5.275	3.12	0.016

Table 274: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.049	0.188	5.590	0.000	0.653	1.445	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.515	1.166	1.299	0.211	-0.945	3.976	0.086

Table 275: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.991	0.191	5.183	0.000	0.588	1.394	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	0.928	1.511	0.614	0.547	-2.259	4.116	0.021

Table 276: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	0.962 1.219	0.195 1.496	4.942 0.815	0.000	0.551 -1.937		0.000 0.036

Table 277: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.996	0.211	4.730	0.000	0.552	1.440	0
unifrac.PC.4	0.137	3.037	0.045	0.964	-6.271	6.545	0

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.206	0.737	1.637	0.120	-0.349	2.761	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.008	-0.290	0.775	-0.018	0.014	0.005

Table 279: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.225	0.854	1.435	0.17	-0.577	3.027	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.004	0.015	-0.270	0.79	-0.036	0.028	0.004

Table 280: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.084	1.138	1.831	0.085	-0.317	4.485	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.230	0.238	-0.966	0.348	-0.733	0.273	0.049

Table 281: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.730	0.862	3.167	0.006	0.911	4.549	0.000
shannon	-0.635	0.310	-2.048	0.056	-1.288	0.019	0.189

Table 282: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.170	0.876	7.041	0.000	4.321	8.019	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.796	2.781	-0.286	0.778	-6.662	5.071	

Table 283: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.210	0.847	7.329	0.000	4.422	7.997	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	5.026	5.614	0.895	0.383	-6.819	16.871	0.043

Table 284: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.866	0.784	7.480	0.000	4.212	7.521	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	13.066	6.046	2.161	0.045	0.310	25.823	0.206

Table 285: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.593	0.799	8.249	0.000	4.907	8.279	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	16.514	8.089	2.042	0.057	-0.551	33.580	0.188

Table 286: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	5.968 -7.499	$0.835 \\ 5.190$	7.145 -1.445	$0.000 \\ 0.167$	4.206 -18.449	7.730 3.451	0.000 0.104

Table 287: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.264	0.853	7.345	0.000	4.465	8.064	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-5.517	6.739	-0.819	0.424	-19.734	8.701	0.036

Table 288: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.167	0.892	6.917	0.00	4.286	0.0-0	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	1.405	6.850	0.205	0.84	-13.046		0.002

Table 289: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.637	0.912	7.280	0.000	4.714	8.561	
unifrac.PC.4	-15.280	13.149	-1.162	0.261	-43.023	12.463	

Table 290: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.593	3.319	1.685	0.110	-1.410	12.595	0.000
chao1	0.007	0.035	0.193	0.849	-0.066	0.080	0.002

Table 291: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.966	3.837	1.294	0.213	-3.129	13.061	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.023	0.068	0.333	0.743	-0.120	0.166	0.006

Table 292: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.137	5.203	0.603	0.555	-7.840	14.114	0.00
PD_whole_tree	0.653	1.090	0.599	0.557	-1.647	2.952	0.02

Table 293: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.682	3.98	-0.171	0.866	-9.078	7.715	0.000
shannon	2.528	1.43	1.768	0.095	-0.489	5.544	0.148

Table 294: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.696 0.236	0.234 0.743	7.243 0.317	$0.000 \\ 0.755$	1.202 -1.332		0.000 0.006

Table 295: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.2	1.685 -1.762	0.223 1.475	7.566 -1.194	0.000	1.215 -4.875	2.154 1.351	0.000

Table 296: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.790	0.200	8.937	0.000	1.367	2.213	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-4.012	1.544	-2.598	0.019	-7.270	-0.754	0.273

Table 297: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.594	0.219	7.264	0.000	1.131	2.057	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-3.892	2.221	-1.752	0.098	-8.578	0.794	0.146

Table 298: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	1.746 1.914	$0.225 \\ 1.395$	7.777 1.372	0.000	1.272 -1.029		$0.000 \\ 0.095$

Table 299: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.663	0.223	7.462	0.000	1.193	2.134	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	2.152	1.761	1.222	0.238	-1.563	5.868	0.077

Table 300: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	1.695 -0.336	0.238 1.832	7.108 -0.183	$0.000 \\ 0.857$	1.192 -4.201		0.000 0.002

Table 301: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.585	0.246	6.440	0.000	1.066	2.105	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	3.543	3.550	0.998	0.332	-3.947	11.033	0.052

Table 302: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.551	0.888	1.747	0.099	-0.322	3.424	0.000
chao1	0.001	0.009	0.156	0.878	-0.018	0.021	0.001

Table 303: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.813	1.029	1.763	0.096	-0.357	3.984	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.002	0.018	-0.129	0.899	-0.041	0.036	0.001

Table 304: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.062	1.403	1.470	0.160	-0.897	5.021	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.080	0.294	-0.273	0.788	-0.700	0.540	0.004

Table 305: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.596	1.057	3.404	0.003	1.367	5.825	0.000
shannon	-0.701	0.380	-1.847	0.082	-1.502	0.100	0.159

Table 306: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.307 -0.086	0.225 0.713	5.814 -0.121	0.000	0.833 -1.591		0.000

Table 307: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.312	0.217	6.055	0.00	0.855	1.769	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-1.323	1.435	-0.922	0.37	-4.352	1.705	0.045

Table 308: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.393	0.205	6.810	0.000	0.962	1.825	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-3.105	1.577	-1.969	0.066	-6.433	0.223	0.177

Table 309: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.226	0.21	5.824	0.000	0.782	1.670	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-3.693	2.13	-1.733	0.101	-8.187	0.802	0.143

Table 310: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.350	0.222	6.089	0.000	0.882	1.818	0.00
unifrac.PC.1	1.197	1.378	0.869	0.397	-1.710	4.104	0.04

Table 311: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.296	0.217	5.967	0.000	0.838	1.754	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.595	1.716	0.930	0.366	-2.025	5.215	0.046

Table 312: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.300	0.228	5.696	0.000	0.818	1.782	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.368	1.754	0.210	0.836	-3.331	4.068	0.002

MaskAver-

Table 313: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.180	0.229	5.147	0.000	0.696	1.664	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	4.694	3.307	1.419	0.174	-2.284	11.672	0.101

Table 314: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.639	0.847	1.935	0.070	-0.148	3.425	0.000
chao1	-0.004	0.009	-0.400	0.694	-0.022	0.015	0.009

Table 315: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.926	0.974	1.978	0.064	-0.128	3.980	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.011	0.017	-0.648	0.526	-0.047	0.025	0.023

Table 316: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.657	1.305	2.036	0.058	-0.096	5.410	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.286	0.273	-1.046	0.310	-0.862	0.291	0.057

Table 317: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.160	1.010	3.130	0.006	1.030	5.290	0.000
shannon	-0.678	0.363	-1.869	0.079	-1.443	0.087	0.162

Table 318: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.365	0.201	6.792	$0.000 \\ 0.757$	0.941	1.790	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	0.201	0.638	0.314		-1.145	1.546	0.005

Table 319: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.355	0.198	6.831	0.000	0.937	1.774	0.000
wunifrac. $PC.2$	-0.419	1.315	-0.319	0.754	-3.193	2.355	0.006

Table 320: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.429	0.183	7.798	0.000	1.042	1.815	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.794	1.413	-1.978	0.064	-5.775	0.186	0.179

Table 321: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.316	0.201	6.561	0.000	0.892	1.739	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.716	2.029	-0.846	0.409	-5.998	2.566	0.038

Table 322: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	1.414 1.803	0.191 1.184	7.418 1.522	0.000	1.012 -0.696		0.000 0.114

Table 323: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.341	0.194	6.904	0.000	0.931	1.750	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.503	1.534	0.979	0.341	-1.734	4.739	0.051

Table 324: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.339	0.204	6.558	0.000	0.908	1.770	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.514	1.569	0.327	0.747	-2.797	3.824	0.006

Table 325: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.216	0.201	6.062	0.000	0.793	1.639	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	4.995	2.892	1.727	0.102	-1.106	11.097	0.142

Table 326: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.685	0.758	2.223	0.040	0.086	3.285	0.000
chao1	-0.004	0.008	-0.451	0.658	-0.020	0.013	0.011

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.183	0.859	2.541	0.021	0.370	3.996	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.015	0.015	-0.989	0.337	-0.047	0.017	0.052

Table 328: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.891	1.146	2.523	0.022	0.473	5.309	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.326	0.240	-1.359	0.192	-0.833	0.180	0.093

Table 329: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.646	0.816	4.467	0.000	1.924	0.000	0.000
shannon	-0.840	0.293	-2.864	0.011	-1.459	-0.221	0.313

Table 330: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	$0.217 \\ 0.136$	$0.080 \\ 0.253$	$2.728 \\ 0.537$	0.014 0.598	0.049 -0.398	0.000	0.000 0.016

Table 331: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.211	0.079	2.674	0.016	0.044	0.377	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.260	0.522	-0.497	0.625	-1.361	0.842	0.014

Table 332: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.224	0.079	2.821	0.012	0.056	0.392	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-0.512	0.612	-0.837	0.414	-1.804	0.779	0.037

Table 333: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.199	0.081	2.467	0.025	0.029	0.370	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.486	0.817	-0.595	0.560	-2.211	1.238	0.019

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.211	0.081	2.606	0.018	0.040	0.382	0
unifrac.PC.1	0.016	0.503	0.033	0.974	-1.045	1.078	0

Table 335: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.210	0.080	2.636	0.017	0.042	0.377	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	0.098	0.628	0.155	0.878	-1.228	1.423	0.001

Table 336: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.211	0.082	2.578	0.020	0.038	0.383	0
unifrac.PC.3	-0.001	0.628	-0.002	0.998	-1.325	1.323	0

Table 337: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.132	0.073	1.817	0.087	-0.021	0.286	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	2.804	1.049	2.673	0.016	0.591	5.017	0.284

Table 338: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.410	0.300	1.368	0.189	-0.222	1.043	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.003	-0.690	0.499	-0.009	0.004	0.026

Table 339: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.629	0.337	1.868	0.079	-0.081	1.339	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.008	0.006	-1.275	0.219	-0.020	0.005	0.083

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.799	0.459	1.741	0.100	-0.169	1.767	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.125	0.096	-1.300	0.211	-0.328	0.078	0.086

Table 341: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.819	0.366	2.236	0.039	0.046	1.592	0.000
shannon	-0.223	0.132	-1.696	0.108	-0.501	0.055	0.138

Table 342: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	$0.577 \\ 0.128$	0.115 0.366	4.994 0.350	0.000	0.333 -0.645	0.0_0	0.000

Table 343: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.570	0.113	5.026	0.000	0.331	0.81	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-0.396	0.752	-0.527	0.605	-1.982	1.19	0.015

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 344: & mask_vs_diversity_neo: & MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17 & MaskAverage$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.618	0.102	6.081	0.000	0.404	0.833	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-1.828	0.784	-2.331	0.032	-3.482	-0.174	0.232

Table 345: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.541	0.114	4.757	0.000	0.301	0.781	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.263	1.151	-1.097	0.288	-3.691	1.165	0.063

Table 346: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.603	0.110	5.490	0.000	0.371	0.835	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.015	0.683	1.487	0.155	-0.425	2.455	0.109

Table 347: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.571	0.115	4.978	0.000	0.329	0.813	0
unifrac. $PC.2$	-0.078	0.906	-0.086	0.933	-1.990	1.834	0

Table 348: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.57	0.118	4.842	0	0.322	0.819	0
unifrac.PC.3	0.00	0.905	0.000	1	-1.909	1.909	0

Table 349: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.545	0.124	4.395	0.000	0.284	0.807	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	0.889	1.789	0.497	0.626	-2.886	4.664	0.014

Table 350: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.779	0.435	1.789	0.091	-0.140	1.697	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.005	-0.496	0.626	-0.012	0.007	0.013

Table 351: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.724	0.506	1.429	0.171	-0.345	1.792	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.003	0.009	-0.311	0.760	-0.022	0.016	0.005

Table 352: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.248	0.673	1.854	0.081	-0.172	2.669	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.144	0.141	-1.021	0.321	-0.442	0.154	0.055

Table 353: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.340	0.538	2.489	0.023	0.204	2.477	0.000
shannon	-0.282	0.193	-1.460	0.163	-0.691	0.126	0.106

Table 354: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	24.36 -1.20	3.589 11.387	6.788 -0.105		16.789 -25.225		

Table 355: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.418	3.469	7.038	0.000	17.098	31.737	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	19.632	22.990	0.854	0.405	-28.872	68.136	0.039

Table 356: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	22.958	3.169	7.244	0.000	16.272	29.645	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	55.509	24.434	2.272	0.036	3.958	107.060	0.223

Table 357: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	25.984	3.266	7.956	0.000	19.094	32.875	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	67.499	33.054	2.042	0.057	-2.239	137.237	0.188

Table 358: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	23.485	3.436	6.835	0.000	16.235	30.734	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-28.929	21.351	-1.355	0.193	-73.977	16.118	0.093

Table 359: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.646	3.482	7.078	0.000	17.30	31.993	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-23.117	27.511	-0.840	0.412	-81.16	34.925	0.038

Table 360: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.382	3.648	6.684	0.000	16.686	32.078	0
unifrac.PC.3	1.250	28.025	0.045	0.965	-57.877	60.377	0

Table 361: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	26.065	3.743	6.964	0.000	18.168	33.961	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-58.824	53.977	-1.090	0.291	-172.705	55.057	0.062

Table 362: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	19.987	13.533	1.477	0.158	-8.565	48.539	0.000
chao1	0.048	0.141	0.339	0.738	-0.249	0.345	0.006

Table 363: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	17.178	15.627	1.099	0.287	-15.792	50.149	0.000
$_{ m observed_otus}$	0.131	0.276	0.476	0.640	-0.451	0.714	0.012

Table 364: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.396	21.073	0.351	0.730	-37.065	51.857	0.000
PD_whole_tree	3.616	4.414	0.819	0.424	-5.698	12.929	0.036

Table 365: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-5.981	16.015	-0.373	0.713	-39.768	27.807	0.000
shannon	11.149	5.754	1.938	0.069	-0.991	23.289	0.173

Table 366: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

MaskSummed-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	$6.865 \\ 0.447$	$0.957 \\ 3.037$	7.173 0.147	$0.000 \\ 0.885$	4.846 -5.960	$8.884 \\ 6.854$	0.000

Table 367: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

MaskSummed-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.843	0.910	7.516	0.000	4.922	8.764	
wunifrac.PC.2	-6.930	6.033	-1.149	0.267	-19.659	5.798	

Table 368: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

MaskSummed-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.286	0.807	9.034	0.000	5.585	8.988	0.00
wunifrac.PC.3	-16.858	6.218	-2.711	0.015	-29.977	-3.739	0.29

Table 369: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

MaskSummed-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.473	0.894	7.24	0.000	4.587	8.360	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-15.929	9.050	-1.76	0.096	-35.022	3.164	0.147

Table 370: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

MaskSummed-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.081	0.921	7.691	0.000	5.139	0.0	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	7.390	5.721	1.292	0.214	-4.680	19.461	0.085

Table 371: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.756	0.908	7.440	0.000	4.840	8.671	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	8.872	7.174	1.237	0.233	-6.263	24.007	0.078

Table 372: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs_unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.850	0.973	7.039	0.000	4.797	8.903	0
unifrac.PC.3	-0.251	7.476	-0.034	0.974	-16.024	15.522	0

Table 373: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.462	1.007	6.417	0.000	4.337	8.587	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	13.599	14.523	0.936	0.362	-17.042	44.241	0.046

Table 374: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.798	3.622	1.877	0.078	-0.844	14.44	0
chao1	0.000	0.038	0.013	0.990	-0.079	0.08	0

Table 375: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.925	4.188	1.892	0.076	-0.910	16.760	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.020	0.074	-0.265	0.794	-0.176	0.136	0.004

Table 376: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.536	5.693	1.675	0.112	-2.475	21.547	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.572	1.193	-0.480	0.638	-3.088	1.944	0.013

Table 377: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score FacialFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept shannon	15.198 -3.064	4.243 1.524	3.582 -2.010		-	$24.150 \\ 0.152$	

Table 378: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.321	0.924	5.757	0.000	3.371	7.272	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.928	2.933	-0.316	0.756	-7.116	5.260	0.006

Table 379: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.369	0.895	5.997	0.000	3.480	7.258	0.00
wunifrac. PC. 2	-5.150	5.933	-0.868	0.397	-17.668	7.367	0.04

Table 380: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.721	0.834	6.857	0.000	3.961	7.481	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-13.381	6.432	-2.080	0.053	-26.952	0.190	0.194

Table 381: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.016	0.868	5.781	0.000	3.185	6.847	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-15.218	8.782	-1.733	0.101	-33.746	3.310	0.143

Table 382: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.517	0.917	6.019	0.00	3.583	7.451	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	4.603	5.696	0.808	0.43	-7.414	16.620	0.035

Table 383: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.302	0.894	5.932	0.00	3.417	7.188	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	6.780	7.062	0.960	0.35	-8.119	21.679	0.049

Table 384: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.282	0.938	5.632	0.000	3.303	7.261	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	2.777	7.206	0.385	0.705	-12.428	17.981	

Table 385: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.868	0.953	5.106	0.00	2.856	6.879	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	17.924	13.747	1.304	0.21	-11.081	46.928	0.086

Table 386: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.255	3.475	2.088	0.052	-0.076	14.585	0.000
chao1	-0.020	0.036	-0.562	0.581	-0.097	0.056	0.017

Table 387: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.518	3.986	2.137	0.047	0.108	16.928	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.057	0.070	-0.811	0.429	-0.206	0.091	0.035

Table 388: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	12.181	5.290	2.303	0.034	1.021	23.342	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-1.447	1.108	-1.306	0.209	-3.785	0.891	0.087

Table 389: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score VocalDistress vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	13.684	4.080	3.354	0.004	5.077	22.292	0.000
shannon	-3.050	1.466	-2.080	0.053	-6.142	0.043	0.194

Table 390: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.542	0.822	6.742	0.000	3.808	7.276	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	0.306	2.608	0.117	0.908	-5.196	5.809	

Table 391: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.527	0.810	6.827	0.000	3.819	7.235	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-1.558	5.364	-0.290	0.775	-12.876	9.760	0.005

Table 392: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.842	0.738	7.916	0.00	4.285	7.399	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-11.989	5.690	-2.107	0.05	-23.994	0.017	0.198

Table 393: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.359	0.817	6.562	0.000	3.636	7.082	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-7.225	8.265	-0.874	0.394	-24.663	10.213	0.041

Table 394: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	5.751 6.944	$0.783 \\ 4.865$	7.346 1.427	$0.000 \\ 0.172$	1.000	7.403 17.207	0.000 0.102

Table 395: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.465	0.791	6.910	0.000	3.797	7.134	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	6.273	6.249	1.004	0.329	-6.910	19.457	0.053

Table 396: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	5.429 3.147	0.830 6.374	6.543 0.494	0.000	3.678 -10.301	7.179 16.596	0.000

Table 397: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.984	0.824	6.045	0.000	3.245	6.724	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	19.407	11.891	1.632	0.121	-5.680	44.494	0.129

Table 398: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.337	3.077	2.385	0.029	0.846	13.829	0.00
chao1	-0.020	0.032	-0.610	0.550	-0.087	0.048	0.02

Table 399: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.404	3.472	2.709	0.015	2.079	16.728	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.070	0.061	-1.146	0.268	-0.200	0.059	0.068

Table 400: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD whole tree	12.853 -1.556	4.580	2.806 -1.622		3.191 -3.580	22.515 0.468	
I D_whole_tree	-1.550	0.909	-1.022	0.123	-3.360	0.408	0.120

Table 401: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	15.396	3.234	4.761	0.000	8.573	22.219	0.00
shannon	-3.619	1.162	-3.115	0.006	-6.071	-1.168	0.35

Table 402: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.911 0.313	0.336 1.068	2.707 0.293	0.015 0.773	0.201 -1.939	1.620 2.565	0.000

Table 403: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.895	0.331	2.705	0.015	0.197	1.593	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.994	2.193	-0.453	0.656	-5.620	3.632	0.011

Table 404: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.958	0.331	2.891	0.010	0.259	1.657	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.409	2.555	-0.943	0.359	-7.800	2.982	0.047

Table 405: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.847	0.339	2.500	0.023	0.132	1.562	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.047	3.430	-0.597	0.559	-9.283	5.189	0.019

Table 406: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.880	0.339	2.594	0.019	0.164	1.596	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-0.447	2.109	-0.212	0.835	-4.896	4.002	0.002

Table 407: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.892	0.334	2.674	0.016	0.188	1.597	0
unifrac.PC.2	0.241	2.637	0.091	0.928	-5.323	5.805	0

Table 408: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.881	0.342	2.572	0.020	0.158	1.603	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.453	2.631	0.172	0.865	-5.098	6.003	0.002

Table 409: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.574	0.308	1.862	0.080	-0.076	1.225	0.00
unifrac.PC.4	11.474	4.446	2.581	0.019	2.093	20.855	0.27

Table 410: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.925	1.249	1.541	0.142	-0.711	4.560	0.000
chao1	-0.011	0.013	-0.854	0.405	-0.039	0.016	0.039

Table 411: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.757	1.403	1.965	0.066	-0.204	5.718	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.034	0.025	-1.362	0.191	-0.086	0.019	0.093

Table 412: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.686	1.898	1.942	0.069	-0.319	7.691	0.00
PD_whole_tree	-0.593	0.398	-1.491	0.154	-1.432	0.246	0.11

Table 413: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.400	1.542	2.204	0.042	0.145	6.654	0.000
shannon	-0.919	0.554	-1.657	0.116	-2.088	0.251	0.132

Table 414: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.413	0.531	4.548	0.000	1.293	3.532	0
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.160	1.684	-0.095	0.926	-3.712	3.393	0

Table 415: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.421	0.521	4.645	0.000	1.322	3.521	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-1.418	3.454	-0.411	0.686	-8.706	5.869	0.009

Table 416: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.643	0.465	5.687	0.000	1.662	3.624	0.000
wunifrac. $PC.3$	-8.422	3.583	-2.350	0.031	-15.982	-0.862	0.235

Table 417: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.292	0.522	4.391	0.000	1.19	3.393	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-5.584	5.283	-1.057	0.305	-16.73	5.562	0.058

Table 418: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.549	0.512	4.979	0.00	1.469	3.629	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	3.957	3.181	1.244	0.23	-2.755	10.669	0.079

Table 419: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.419	0.525	4.604	0.000	1.310	3.527	0
unifrac.PC.2	0.227	4.151	0.055	0.957	-8.531	8.984	0

Table 420: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.374	0.537	4.419	0.000	1.241	3.508	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	1.514	4.127	0.367	0.718	-7.194	10.222	0.007

Table 421: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.353	0.571	4.122	0.001	1.149	3.558	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	2.427	8.233	0.295	0.772	-14.944	19.798	0.005

Table 422: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=17

-	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.919	1.972	1.987	0.063	-0.242	8.080	0.000
chao1	-0.016	0.021	-0.787	0.442	-0.059	0.027	0.033

Table 423: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.852	2.298	1.676	0.112	-0.997	8.701	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.026	0.041	-0.639	0.531	-0.112	0.060	0.022

Table 424: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.812	2.987	2.28	0.036	0.509	13.115	0.00
PD_whole_tree	-0.933	0.626	-1.49	0.154	-2.253	0.388	0.11

Table 425: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.636	2.399	2.766	0.013	1.574	11.698	0.000
shannon	-1.546	0.862	-1.793	0.091	-3.364	0.273	0.152

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
# neo mask	task vs cov	ariate					

Table 426: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs AgeAt1yr Visit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.122	1.549	3.952	0.001	2.868	9.376	0.000
${\bf AgeAt1yrVisit}$	-0.006	0.004	-1.604	0.126	-0.015	0.002	0.119

Table 427: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	4.542 -0.029	$0.939 \\ 0.030$	4.835 -0.965	$0.000 \\ 0.347$	2.568 -0.093	$6.515 \\ 0.034$	0.000

Table 428: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	4.556 -0.027	$0.752 \\ 0.022$	6.060 -1.235	$0.000 \\ 0.233$	2.977 -0.074	000	0.000

Table 429: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.309	1.305	3.302	0.004	1.568	7.050	0.000
MEDUY	-0.041	0.081	-0.509	0.617	-0.210	0.128	0.013

Table 430: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.313	1.042	3.179	0.005	1.124	5.501	0.000
PEDUY	0.021	0.065	0.328	0.746	-0.115	0.158	0.006

Table 431: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.333	0.240	13.899	0.000	2.827	3.839	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.667	0.379	1.758	0.097	-0.133	1.467	0.149
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.467	0.401	1.163	0.261	-0.380	1.313	0.065

Table 432: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.857	0.283	13.632	0.000	3.263	4.452	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.319	0.351	-0.908	0.376	-1.056	0.419	0.042

Table 433: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.516	0.513	6.857	0.000	2.439	4.594	0.000
SEX	0.099	0.358	0.276	0.786	-0.654	0.851	0.004

Table 434: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	17.931	5.443	3.295	0.004	6.497	29.366	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.052	0.020	-2.625	0.017	-0.093	-0.010	0.266

Table 435: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.376	1.633	3.904	0.001	2.945	9.806	0.000
BW	-0.001	0.000	-1.677	0.111	-0.002	0.000	0.129

Table 436: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.667	0.221	16.598	0.000	3.203	4.131	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.042	0.349	-0.119	0.906	-0.776	0.692	0.001

Table 437: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	$3.533 \\ 0.467$	0.19 0.38	18.610 1.229	0.000	3.134 -0.331	3.932 1.264	

Table 438: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.692	0.212	17.444	0.000	3.248	4.137	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.121	0.358	-0.338	0.739	-0.873	0.631	0.006

Table 439: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs Pre
PregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.643	0.209	17.415	0.000	3.202	4.084	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.357	0.810	0.441	0.665	-1.352	2.066	0.010
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.043	0.408	-0.105	0.918	-0.903	0.817	0.001

Table 440: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs ANTIBI-OTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1 ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	3.500 0.278 0.500	0.243 0.353 0.807	14.391 0.786 0.620	0.1	2.987 -0.468 -1.202	4.013 1.023 2.202	0.000 0.032 0.020

Table 441: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs FOR-MULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.700	0.246	15.015	0.000	3.180	4.220	0.000
$FORMULA_1yr.1$	-0.144	0.358	-0.403	0.692	-0.900	0.611	0.009
FORMULA_1yr.NA	0.300	0.817	0.367	0.718	-1.424	2.024	0.007

Table 442: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.545	0.228	15.558	0.000	3.067	4.024	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.232	0.340	0.684	0.503	-0.481	0.946	0.024

Table 443: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.571	0.207	17.228	0.000	3.134	4.009	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.229	0.404	0.566	0.579	-0.624	1.081	0.017
FEVER_1yr.NA	0.429	0.803	0.534	0.600	-1.265	2.123	0.015

Table 444: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1	$3.583 \\ 0.017$	$0.223 \\ 0.411$	$16.091 \\ 0.041$	$0.000 \\ 0.968$	3.113 -0.850	$4.053 \\ 0.883$	0.000
DAYCARE.NA	0.417	0.498	0.837	0.414	-0.634	1.467	0.038

Table 445: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.800	0.240	15.809	0.000	3.293	4.307	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1	-0.356	0.349	-1.018	0.323	-1.092	0.381	0.054
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	0.200	0.797	0.251	0.805	-1.482	1.882	0.003

Table 446: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs French-Fries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.857	0.288	13.402	0.000	3.250	4.464	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	-0.357	0.362	-0.986	0.338	-1.121	0.407	0.053
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	0.143	0.814	0.175	0.863	-1.575	1.860	0.002

Table 447: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.250	0.377	8.612	0.000	2.454	4.046	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.483	0.425	1.138	0.271	-0.413	1.379	0.072
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	0.750	0.844	0.889	0.386	-1.030	2.530	0.044

Table 448: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.571	0.295	12.092	0.000	2.948	4.195	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.095	0.372	0.256	0.801	-0.689	0.879	0.004
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	0.429	0.835	0.513	0.615	-1.334	2.191	0.015

Table 449: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.5	0.642	5.449	0.000	2.101	4.899	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	0.5	1.112	0.449	0.661	-1.924	2.924	0.014
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.0	0.787	0.000	1.000	-1.714	1.714	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.5	1.112	0.449	0.661	-1.924	2.924	0.014
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.1	0.760	0.132	0.897	-1.556	1.756	0.002
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.5	1.112	0.449	0.661	-1.924	2.924	0.014
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.1	0.760	0.132	0.897	-1.556	1.756	0.002
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.5	1.112	0.449	0.661	-1.924	2.924	0.014

Table 450: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.692	0.208	17.791	0.000	3.254	4.130	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	-0.442	0.428	-1.034	0.316	-1.345	0.460	0.054
VITAMIND_6mo.NA	0.308	0.479	0.642	0.529	-0.704	1.319	0.021

Table 451: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.400	0.339	10.041	0.000	2.686	4.114	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.236	0.408	0.579	0.570	-0.625	1.098	0.022
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.600	0.508	1.181	0.254	-0.472	1.672	0.094

Table 452: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs STATE, df=7

		~ . .		5 (1.1)			
	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	0.816	4.899	0.002	2.069	5.931	0.000
STATE.22	-0.333	0.943	-0.354	0.734	-2.563	1.896	0.014
STATE.23	-1.000	1.000	-1.000	0.351	-3.365	1.365	0.088
STATE.24	0.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	-2.365	2.365	0.000
STATE.26	-1.000	1.000	-1.000	0.351	-3.365	1.365	0.088
STATE.29	-2.000	1.155	-1.732	0.127	-4.730	0.730	0.187
STATE.35	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.38	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.39	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.40	0.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	-2.365	2.365	0.000
STATE.41	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.73	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.NA	0.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	-2.365	2.365	0.000

Table 453: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.0	0.316	12.649	0.000	3.187	4.813	0.000
TRAIT.22	-2.0	0.447	-4.472	0.007	-3.150	-0.850	0.276

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.24	0.0	0.387	0.000	1.000	-0.996	0.996	0.000
TRAIT.26	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.27	-0.5	0.387	-1.291	0.253	-1.496	0.496	0.033
TRAIT.28	-2.0	0.447	-4.472	0.007	-3.150	-0.850	0.276
TRAIT.29	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.30	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.32	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.33	0.0	0.387	0.000	1.000	-0.996	0.996	0.000
TRAIT.36	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.39	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.49	-2.0	0.447	-4.472	0.007	-3.150	-0.850	0.276
TRAIT.52	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.NA	0.0	0.365	0.000	1.000	-0.939	0.939	0.000

Table 454: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs Negative LifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.333	0.488	6.827	0.000	2.259	4.408	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.067	0.618	0.108	0.916	-1.293	1.426	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.2	0.667	0.772	0.864	0.406	-1.032	2.366	0.045
NegativeLifeEvents.26	0.667	0.976	0.683	0.509	-1.483	2.816	0.024
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-0.333	0.772	-0.432	0.674	-2.032	1.366	0.011
NegativeLifeEvents.4	0.667	0.976	0.683	0.509	-1.483	2.816	0.024
NegativeLifeEvents.5	0.667	0.772	0.864	0.406	-1.032	2.366	0.045
Negative Life Events. 7	0.667	0.976	0.683	0.509	-1.483	2.816	0.024
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	0.667	0.690	0.966	0.355	-0.853	2.186	0.064

Table 455: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs Positive LifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	0.542	5.539	0.000	1.793	4.207	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	1.000	0.938	1.066	0.311	-1.090	3.090	0.042
PositiveLifeEvents.12	1.000	0.938	1.066	0.311	-1.090	3.090	0.042
PositiveLifeEvents.3	0.600	0.641	0.936	0.371	-0.828	2.028	0.059
Positive Life Events. 5	1.000	0.766	1.306	0.221	-0.707	2.707	0.079
PositiveLifeEvents.6	0.667	0.699	0.953	0.363	-0.891	2.225	0.050
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-1.000	0.938	-1.066	0.311	-3.090	1.090	0.042
PositiveLifeEvents.8	1.000	0.938	1.066	0.311	-1.090	3.090	0.042
PositiveLifeEvents.9	1.000	0.938	1.066	0.311	-1.090	3.090	0.042
${\bf Positive Life Events. NA}$	1.000	0.699	1.430	0.183	-0.558	2.558	0.112

Table 456: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs Total-LifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.00	0.581	5.164	0.000	1.706	4.294	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.10	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
Total Life Events. 11	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
Total Life Events. 13	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
Total Life Events. 15	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
TotalLifeEvents.29	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
Total Life Events. 6	0.00	0.822	0.000	1.000	-1.831	1.831	0.000
Total Life Events. 7	0.25	0.712	0.351	0.733	-1.335	1.835	0.009
Total Life Events. 8	1.00	0.712	1.405	0.190	-0.585	2.585	0.136
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	1.00	0.750	1.333	0.212	-0.671	2.671	0.108

Table 457: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.769	0.207	18.213	0.000	3.334	4.204	
Stranger	-0.341	0.350	-0.974	0.343	-1.076	0.394	0.048

Table 458: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	11.347	8.825	1.286	0.215	-7.192	29.887	0.000
${\bf Age At 1 yr Visit}$	-0.021	0.022	-0.923	0.368	-0.067	0.026	0.043

Table 459: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-3.563	4.993	-0.714		-14.053	0.0_0	0.000
MAGE	0.223	0.161	1.387	0.182	-0.115	0.560	0.092

Table 460: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.179	4.263	0.511	0.615	-6.776	11.134	0.000
PAGE	0.032	0.125	0.258	0.800	-0.231	0.295	0.003

Table 461: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-9.516	6.492	-1.466	000	-23.154		0.000
MEDUY	0.795	0.401	1.983	0.063	-0.047	1.638	0.172

Table 462: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.013	5.499	-0.548	0.590	-14.566	8.539	0.000
PEDUY	0.395	0.342	1.155	0.263	-0.324	1.114	0.066

Table 463: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.889	1.244	2.322	0.033	0.263	5.514	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-1.722	1.968	-0.875	0.394	-5.873	2.429	0.039
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	3.511	2.082	1.686	0.110	-0.882	7.904	0.143

Table 464: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.143	1.578	1.992	0.062	-0.172	6.457	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.165	1.957	0.084	0.934	-3.946	4.276	0

Table 465: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	4.956 -1.264	2.770 1.934	1.789 -0.653	0.000	-0.863 -5.328	10.775 2.800	0.000

Table 466: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	24.267	34.549	0.702	0.491	-48.317	96.850	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.076	0.125	-0.609	0.550	-0.339	0.187	0.019

Table 467: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.994	9.509	0.841	0.412	-11.985	27.972	0.000
BW	-0.001	0.003	-0.501	0.622	-0.007	0.004	0.013

Table 468: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.25	1.205	2.697	0.015	0.718	5.782	0
MaternalInfection	0.00	1.906	0.000	1.000	-4.004	4.004	0

Table 469: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.2	1.078	2.969	0.008	0.936	5.464	0
MPSYCH	0.2	2.155	0.093	0.927	-4.328	4.728	0

Table 470: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.615	1.149	3.147	0.006	1.202	0.0_0	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-1.044	1.942	-0.538	0.597	-5.123	3.035	0.015

Table 471: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.214	1.136	2.829	0.012	0.817	5.611	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-2.214	4.400	-0.503	0.621	-11.497	7.069	0.013
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.586	2.215	0.264	0.795	-4.087	5.258	0.004

Table 472: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.5	1.144	3.059	0.007	1.086	5.914	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-1.5	1.662	-0.902	0.379	-5.007	2.007	0.034
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	8.5	3.794	2.240	0.039	0.495	16.505	0.209

Table 473: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.700	1.126	3.285	0.004	1.324	6.076	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	-1.922	1.636	-1.175	0.256	-5.375	1.530	0.056
FORMULA_1yr.NA	8.300	3.736	2.222	0.040	0.419	16.181	0.202

Table 474: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.818	1.132	4.257	0.000	2.44	7.196	0.000
$FORMULA_6mo$	-3.485	1.687	-2.065	0.054	-7.03	0.060	0.183

Table 475: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.643	0.987	2.677	0.016	0.560	4.726	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.557	1.925	0.289	0.776	-3.504	4.618	0.003
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	9.357	3.824	2.447	0.026	1.289	17.425	0.242

Table 476: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	2.417 1.983 2.250	1.198 2.209 2.679	2.017 0.898 0.840	0.060 0.382 0.413	-0.111 -2.677 -3.402	4.944 6.644 7.902	0.000 0.042 0.036

Table 477: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	2.800 -0.022 9.200	1.171 1.702 3.884	2.391 -0.013 2.369	0.029 0.990 0.030	0.329 -3.612 1.005	5.271 3.568 17.395	0.000

Table 478: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.143	1.201	4.281	0.001	2.608	7.678	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	-3.726	1.512	-2.465	0.025	-6.916	-0.537	0.220
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	6.857	3.398	2.018	0.060	-0.312	14.027	0.147

Table 479: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.750	1.773	2.680	0.016	1.010	8.490	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-2.483	1.995	-1.245	0.230	-6.693	1.726	0.074

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	7.250	3.964	1.829	0.085	-1.113	15.613	0.160

Table 480: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	1.398	2.145	0.047	0.050	5.950	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.333	1.759	-0.189	0.852	-4.045	3.379	0.002
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	9.000	3.955	2.276	0.036	0.656	17.344	0.228

Table 481: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	2.466	0.405	0.692	-4.374	6.374	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	0.0	4.272	0.000	1.000	-9.308	9.308	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.0	3.021	0.000	1.000	-6.582	6.582	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.0	4.272	0.000	1.000	-9.308	9.308	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	1.6	2.918	0.548	0.594	-4.759	7.959	0.021
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	11.0	4.272	2.575	0.024	1.692	20.308	0.251
WHSTOTHER.6 months	5.2	2.918	1.782	0.100	-1.159	11.559	0.222
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.0	4.272	0.000	1.000	-9.308	9.308	0.000

Table 482: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.154	1.126	3.688	0.002	1.778	6.530	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo.1	-3.154	2.322	-1.358	0.192	-8.053	1.745	0.090
VITAMIND_6mo.NA	-1.821	2.601	-0.700	0.493	-7.308	3.667	0.024

Table 483: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.200	1.904	1.681	0.111	-0.817	7.217	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.436	2.296	0.190	0.852	-4.408	5.281	0.003
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.950	2.856	-0.333	0.743	-6.975	5.075	0.008

Table 484: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	5.486	0.182	0.861	-11.972	13.972	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	4.667	6.335	0.737	0.485	-10.312	19.646	0.074
STATE.23	5.500	6.719	0.819	0.440	-10.388	21.388	0.072
STATE.24	2.500	6.719	0.372	0.721	-13.388	18.388	0.015
STATE.26	1.500	6.719	0.223	0.830	-14.388	17.388	0.005
STATE.29	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.35	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.38	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.39	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.40	5.500	6.719	0.819	0.440	-10.388	21.388	0.072
STATE.41	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.73	1.000	7.758	0.129	0.901	-17.345	19.345	0.001
STATE.NA	0.000	6.719	0.000	1.000	-15.888	15.888	0.000

Table 485: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	4.933	0.811	0.454	-8.680	16.680	0.000
TRAIT.22	-4.000	6.976	-0.573	0.591	-21.933	13.933	0.023
TRAIT.24	2.500	6.042	0.414	0.696	-13.030	18.030	0.017
TRAIT.26	2.000	6.976	0.287	0.786	-15.933	19.933	0.006
TRAIT.27	2.500	6.042	0.414	0.696	-13.030	18.030	0.017
TRAIT.28	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.29	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.30	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.32	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.33	-3.000	6.042	-0.497	0.641	-18.530	12.530	0.025
TRAIT.36	1.000	6.976	0.143	0.892	-16.933	18.933	0.001
TRAIT.39	8.000	6.976	1.147	0.303	-9.933	25.933	0.093
TRAIT.49	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.52	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.NA	-2.667	5.696	-0.468	0.659	-17.309	11.975	0.028

Table 486: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.0	2.512	2.389	0.036	0.472	11.528	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	-4.4	3.177	-1.385	0.194	-11.393	2.593	0.126
NegativeLifeEvents.2	0.5	3.971	0.126	0.902	-8.241	9.241	0.001
Negative Life Events. 26	-4.0	5.024	-0.796	0.443	-15.057	7.057	0.026
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.5	3.971	0.126	0.902	-8.241	9.241	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.4	-5.0	5.024	-0.995	0.341	-16.057	6.057	0.041
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-3.0	3.971	-0.755	0.466	-11.741	5.741	0.028
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-5.0	5.024	-0.995	0.341	-16.057	6.057	0.041
Negative Life Events. NA	-5.0	3.552	-1.408	0.187	-12.818	2.818	0.111

Table 487: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	2.767	0.361	0.725	-5.166	7.166	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.000	4.793	0.000	1.000	-10.680	10.680	0.000
Positive Life Events. 12	3.000	4.793	0.626	0.545	-7.680	13.680	0.016
PositiveLifeEvents.3	1.000	3.274	0.305	0.766	-6.296	8.296	0.007
PositiveLifeEvents.5	5.500	3.914	1.405	0.190	-3.220	14.220	0.102
PositiveLifeEvents.6	3.667	3.573	1.026	0.329	-4.294	11.627	0.064
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-1.000	4.793	-0.209	0.839	-11.680	9.680	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.8	5.000	4.793	1.043	0.321	-5.680	15.680	0.045
PositiveLifeEvents.9	11.000	4.793	2.295	0.045	0.320	21.680	0.216
Positive Life Events. NA	0.000	3.573	0.000	1.000	-7.960	7.960	0.000

Table 488: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.00	2.482	0.403	0.696	-4.531	6.531	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	0.00	4.300	0.000	1.000	-9.580	9.580	0.000
Total Life Events. 11	11.00	4.300	2.558	0.028	1.420	20.580	0.233
Total Life Events. 13	3.00	4.300	0.698	0.501	-6.580	12.580	0.017
Total Life Events. 15	0.00	4.300	0.000	1.000	-9.580	9.580	0.000
Total Life Events. 29	1.00	4.300	0.233	0.821	-8.580	10.580	0.002
Total Life Events. 6	5.50	3.511	1.567	0.148	-2.322	13.322	0.110
${\bf Total Life Events.7}$	-0.25	3.040	-0.082	0.936	-7.024	6.524	0.000
Total Life Events. 8	5.00	3.040	1.645	0.131	-1.774	11.774	0.162
Total Life Events. NA	0.00	3.205	0.000	1.000	-7.141	7.141	0.000

Table 489: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.923	1.126	3.483	0.003	1.556	6.290	0.000
Stranger	-1.923	1.904	-1.010	0.326	-5.923	2.077	0.051

Table 490: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.794	2.411	0.329	0.746	-4.271	5.859	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.004	0.006	0.691	0.499	-0.009	0.017	0.024

Table 491: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	4.374 -0.063	1.344 0.043	3.255 -1.455	0.00-	1.550 -0.154	7.197 0.028	0.0

Table 492: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	2.937 -0.015	1.149 0.034	2.556 -0.434	0.020 0.669	0.523 -0.085	5.351 0.056	0.00

Table 493: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	5.960 -0.219	1.749 0.108	3.407 -2.023	0.000	2.284 -0.446	9.635 0.008	0.000

Table 494: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.897	1.502	2.595	0.018	0.742	7.053	0.000
PEDUY	-0.091	0.093	-0.977	0.342	-0.288	0.105	0.048

Table 495: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.556	0.337	7.573	0.000	1.844	3.268	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.444	0.534	0.833	0.416	-0.681	1.570	0.035
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.956	0.565	-1.692	0.109	-2.147	0.236	0.144

Table 496: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.429	0.427	5.691	0.000	1.532	3.325	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.033	0.529	0.062	0.951	-1.079	1.145	0

Table 497: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.198	0.755	2.910	0.009	0.611	3.785	0.000
SEX	0.187	0.528	0.354	0.727	-0.921	1.295	0.007

Table 498: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.981	9.433	0.104	0.918	-18.837	20.800	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.005	0.034	0.156	0.878	-0.067	0.077	0.001

Table 499: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.05	2.588	0.792	000	-3.388		0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.00	0.001	0.155	0.878	-0.001	0.002	0.001

Table 500: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.417	0.326	7.419	0.000	1.732	3.101	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.083	0.515	0.162	0.873	-0.999	1.165	0.001

Table 501: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	2.467 -0.067	0.291 0.583	8.464 -0.114	0.00 0.91	1.854 -1.291	0.0.0	0.000

Table 502: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.385	0.312	7.641	0.000	1.729	3.040	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.187	0.528	0.354	0.727	-0.921	1.295	0.007

Table 503: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.5	0.306	8.167	0.000	1.854	3.146	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.5	1.186	0.422	0.678	-2.001	3.001	0.009
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.3	0.597	-0.503	0.622	-1.559	0.959	0.013

Table 504: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	2.400 0.378	$0.306 \\ 0.445$	7.834 0.849		1.754 -0.561	3.046 1.317	0.029
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-2.400	1.016	-2.362	0.030	-4.544	-0.256	0.228

Table 505: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	2.300 0.589 -2.300	0.297 0.431 0.985	7.746 1.365 -2.335	0.000 0.190 0.032	1.674 -0.321 -4.378		0.000 0.073 0.214

Table 506: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA 6mo	2.091 0.798	0.316 0.471	6.612 1.693	0.000	1.427 -0.192	,,,,	

Table 507: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.643	0.263	10.064	0.000	2.089	3.197	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.243	0.512	-0.474	0.641	-1.323	0.837	0.009
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-2.643	1.017	-2.598	0.019	-4.789	-0.497	0.263

Table 508: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.667	0.324	8.221	0.000	1.982	3.351	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.467	0.598	-0.780	0.446	-1.729	0.795	0.031

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.667	0.725	-0.919	0.371	-2.197	0.864	0.044

Table 509: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.600	0.313	8.315	0.000	1.940	3.260	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1	-0.044	0.454	-0.098	0.923	-1.003	0.914	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-2.600	1.037	-2.507	0.023	-4.788	-0.412	0.257

Table 510: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FrenchFries_1yr.1	2.000 0.917	0.329 0.415	6.071 2.211	0.000 0.041	1.305 0.042	2.695 1.791	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-2.000	0.413	-2.146	0.041 0.047	-3.966	-0.034	00-

Table 511: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.250	0.486	4.626	0.000	1.224	3.276	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1	0.417	0.547	0.761	0.457	-0.738	1.571	0.028
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-2.250	1.087	-2.069	0.054	-4.544	0.044	0.205

Table 512: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.429	0.371	6.546	0.000	1.646	3.211	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.238	0.467	0.510	0.617	-0.747	1.223	0.011
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-2.429	1.049	-2.314	0.033	-4.643	-0.215	0.232

Table 513: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
	Loumace	Std. Ellor	· varae	11(> 0)	2.0 70	01.5 70	
Intercept	3.0	0.707	4.243	0.001	1.459	4.541	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	0.0	1.225	0.000	1.000	-2.668	2.668	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.0	0.866	0.000	1.000	-1.887	1.887	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.0	1.225	0.000	1.000	-2.668	2.668	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.4	0.837	-0.478	0.641	-2.223	1.423	0.018
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-3.0	1.225	-2.449	0.031	-5.668	-0.332	0.255

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.2	0.837	-1.434	0.177	-3.023	0.623	0.161
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.0	1.225	0.000	1.000	-2.668	2.668	0.000

Table 514: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.154	0.299	7.215	0.000	1.524	2.784	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.846	0.615	1.375	0.187	-0.452	2.145	0.088
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.846	0.689	1.227	0.236	-0.608	2.301	0.070

Table 515: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.400	0.502	4.785	0.000	1.342	3.458	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.127	0.605	-0.210	0.836	-1.403	1.149	0.003
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.600	0.752	0.798	0.436	-0.987	2.187	0.046

Table 516: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.000	1.423	2.109	0.073	-0.364	6.364	0.000
STATE.22	-1.333	1.643	-0.812	0.444	-5.218	2.551	0.088
STATE.23	-1.500	1.742	-0.861	0.418	-5.620	2.620	0.079
STATE.24	-0.500	1.742	-0.287	0.782	-4.620	3.620	0.009
STATE.26	0.000	1.742	0.000	1.000	-4.120	4.120	0.000
STATE.29	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.35	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.38	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.39	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.40	-1.500	1.742	-0.861	0.418	-5.620	2.620	0.079
STATE.41	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.73	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.NA	0.000	1.742	0.000	1.000	-4.120	4.120	0.000

Table 517: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.0	1.342	1.491	0.196	-1.449	5.449	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.24	-0.5	1.643	-0.304	0.773	-4.724	3.724	0.009
TRAIT.26	0.0	1.897	0.000	1.000	-4.877	4.877	0.000
TRAIT.27	-0.5	1.643	-0.304	0.773	-4.724	3.724	0.009

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.29	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.30	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.32	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.33	1.0	1.643	0.609	0.569	-3.224	5.224	0.035
TRAIT.36	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.39	-2.0	1.897	-1.054	0.340	-6.877	2.877	0.075
TRAIT.49	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.52	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.NA	1.0	1.549	0.645	0.547	-2.982	4.982	0.050

Table 518: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.667	0.662	2.517	0.029	0.209	3.124	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	1.133	0.838	1.353	0.203	-0.710	2.977	0.113
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.167	1.047	-0.159	0.876	-2.471	2.138	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.26	1.333	1.324	1.007	0.336	-1.581	4.248	0.040
${\bf Negative Life Events. 3}$	-0.167	1.047	-0.159	0.876	-2.471	2.138	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.333	1.324	1.007	0.336	-1.581	4.248	0.040
${\bf Negative Life Events. 5}$	1.333	1.047	1.274	0.229	-0.971	3.638	0.075
NegativeLifeEvents.7	1.333	1.324	1.007	0.336	-1.581	4.248	0.040
Negative Life Events. NA	1.333	0.936	1.424	0.182	-0.728	3.394	0.106

Table 519: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
3.0	0.725	4.140	0.002	1.386	4.614	0.000
0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
-1.0	1.255	-0.797	0.444	-3.796	1.796	0.026
0.0	0.857	0.000	1.000	-1.910	1.910	0.000
-1.5	1.025	-1.464	0.174	-3.783	0.783	0.109
-1.0	0.935	-1.069	0.310	-3.084	1.084	0.069
0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
-1.0	1.255	-0.797	0.444	-3.796	1.796	0.026
-3.0	1.255	-2.390	0.038	-5.796	-0.204	0.231
0.0	0.935	0.000	1.000	-2.084	2.084	0.000
	3.0 0.0 -1.0 0.0 -1.5 -1.0 0.0 -1.0 -3.0	3.0 0.725 0.0 1.255 -1.0 1.255 0.0 0.857 -1.5 1.025 -1.0 0.935 0.0 1.255 -1.0 1.255 -3.0 1.255	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 520: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.0	0.725	4.140	0.002	1.386	4.614	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
Total Life Events. 11	-3.0	1.255	-2.390	0.038	-5.796	-0.204	0.233

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.0	1.255	-0.797	0.444	-3.796	1.796	0.026
Total Life Events. 15	0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.29	0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
Total Life Events. 6	-1.5	1.025	-1.464	0.174	-3.783	0.783	0.110
Total Life Events. 7	0.0	0.887	0.000	1.000	-1.977	1.977	0.000
Total Life Events. 8	-1.0	0.887	-1.127	0.286	-2.977	0.977	0.087
Total Life Events. NA	0.0	0.935	0.000	1.000	-2.084	2.084	0.000

Table 521: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.231	0.301	7.417	0.000	1.599	2.863	0.000
Stranger	0.626	0.508	1.232	0.234	-0.442	1.694	0.074

Table 522: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-2.053	2.284	-0.899	0.381	-6.852	2.747	0.00
${\bf Age At 1 yr Visit}$	0.011	0.006	1.828	0.084	-0.002	0.023	0.15

Table 523: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.314	1.346	3.205	0.000		7.142	0.000
MAGE	-0.072	0.043	-1.672	0.112	-0.163	0.019	0.128

Table 524: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.325	1.175	1.979	0.063	-0.144	4.793	0.000
PAGE	-0.007	0.034	-0.196	0.847	-0.079	0.066	0.002

Table 525: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.268	1.707	3.672	0.002	2.682	9.854	0.000
MEDUY	-0.260	0.105	-2.463	0.024	-0.481	-0.038	0.242

Table 526: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.21	1.547	2.075	0.053	-0.041	6.460	0.000
PEDUY	-0.07	0.096	-0.727	0.477	-0.272	0.132	0.027

Table 527: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.222	0.340	6.536	0.00	1.505	2.940	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.444	0.538	0.827	0.42	-0.690	1.579	0.034
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.022	0.569	-1.797	0.09	-2.223	0.178	0.160

Table 528: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.143	0.434	4.933	0.000	1.230	3.056	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.066	0.539	-0.122	0.904	-1.198	1.066	0.001

Table 529: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	1.714 0.286	0.766 0.535	2.239 0.534	0.038 0.600	0.105 -0.838	3.323 1.409	0.000

Table 530: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-4.838	9.473	-0.511	0.616	-24.739	15.063	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.025	0.034	0.733	0.473	-0.047	0.097	0.027

Table 531: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	1.219 0.000	2.629 0.001	$0.464 \\ 0.337$	0.0-0	-4.305 -0.001	$6.742 \\ 0.002$	0.000 0.006

Table 532: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.083	0.332	6.277	0.000	1.386	2.781	0
MaternalInfection	0.042	0.525	0.079	0.938	-1.061	1.144	0

Table 533: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	2.0 0.4	0.293 0.586	$6.823 \\ 0.682$	$0.000 \\ 0.504$	1.384 -0.832		$0.000 \\ 0.024$

Table 534: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.846	0.302	6.104	0.000	1.211	2.482	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.725	0.511	1.419	0.173	-0.349	1.799	0.096

Table 535: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.214	0.301	7.357	0.000	1.579	2.849	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.786	1.166	0.674	0.509	-1.674	3.245	0.022
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.614	0.587	-1.047	0.310	-1.852	0.623	0.054

Table 536: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.327	6.109	0.000	1.309	2.691	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.444	0.476	0.934	0.363	-0.559	1.448	0.039
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-2.000	1.086	-1.842	0.083	-4.291	0.291	0.151

Table 537: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.100	0.333	6.298	0.000	1.397	2.803	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.233	0.484	0.482	0.636	-0.789	1.255	0.011
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-2.100	1.106	-1.899	0.075	-4.433	0.233	0.164

Table 538: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.727	0.321	5.381	0.000	1.053	2.402	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.828	0.479	1.731	0.101	-0.177	1.834	0.136

Table 539: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.143	0.282	7.601	0.000	1.548	2.738	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.257	0.550	0.468	0.646	-0.902	1.417	0.010
FEVER_1yr.NA	-2.143	1.092	-1.963	0.066	-4.446	0.161	0.169

Table 540: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	2.25 -0.45 -0.25	0.336 0.620 0.752	6.694 -0.726 -0.333	0.2.0	1.541 -1.758 -1.836	2.959 0.858 1.336	0.000 0.028 0.006

Table 541: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	2.200 0.022 -2.200	0.336 0.488 1.113	6.554 0.046 -1.976	0.00	1.492 -1.007 -4.549	2.908 1.051 0.149	0.000 0.000 0.177

Table 542: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.571	0.351	4.482	0.000	0.832	2.311	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	1.012	0.441	2.293	0.035	0.081	1.943	0.208
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-1.571	0.992	-1.584	0.132	-3.664	0.521	0.099

Table 543: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.528	3.790	0.001	0.887	3.113	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.267	0.594	0.449	0.659	-0.986	1.520	0.011

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-2.000	1.180	-1.695	0.108	-4.489	0.489	0.151

Table 544: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.286	0.401	5.706	0.000	1.441	3.131	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.119	0.504	-0.236	0.816	-1.183	0.944	0.003
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-2.286	1.133	-2.017	0.060	-4.676	0.105	0.188

Table 545: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.00	0.784	3.827	0.002	1.292	4.708	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	0.00	1.358	0.000	1.000	-2.958	2.958	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.75	0.960	-0.781	0.450	-2.842	1.342	0.041
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.00	1.358	0.000	1.000	-2.958	2.958	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.80	0.928	-0.862	0.405	-2.821	1.221	0.054
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-3.00	1.358	-2.209	0.047	-5.958	-0.042	0.193
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.40	0.928	-1.509	0.157	-3.421	0.621	0.166
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.00	1.358	-0.736	0.476	-3.958	1.958	0.021

Table 546: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.769	0.293	6.038	0.000	1.151	2.387	0.00
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	1.231	0.604	2.037	0.057	-0.044	2.505	0.18
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.564	0.677	0.834	0.416	-0.864	1.992	0.03

Table 547: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.200	0.517	4.256	0.001	1.109	3.291	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.291	0.623	-0.467	0.647	-1.606	1.024	0.016
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.300	0.775	0.387	0.704	-1.336	1.936	0.011

Table 548: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	1.543	1.296	0.236	-1.649	5.649	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-0.667	1.782	-0.374	0.719	-4.880	3.546	0.022
STATE.23	-0.500	1.890	-0.265	0.799	-4.969	3.969	0.009
STATE.24	0.000	1.890	0.000	1.000	-4.469	4.469	0.000
STATE.26	0.500	1.890	0.265	0.799	-3.969	4.969	0.009
STATE.29	1.000	2.182	0.458	0.661	-4.160	6.160	0.018
STATE.35	1.000	2.182	0.458	0.661	-4.160	6.160	0.018
STATE.38	1.000	2.182	0.458	0.661	-4.160	6.160	0.018
STATE.39	0.000	2.182	0.000	1.000	-5.160	5.160	0.000
STATE.40	-0.500	1.890	-0.265	0.799	-4.969	3.969	0.009
STATE.41	0.000	2.182	0.000	1.000	-5.160	5.160	0.000
STATE.73	1.000	2.182	0.458	0.661	-4.160	6.160	0.018
STATE.NA	0.500	1.890	0.265	0.799	-3.969	4.969	0.009

Table 549: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	1.238	0.808	0.456	-2.183	4.183	0.000
TRAIT.22	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.24	0.000	1.517	0.000	1.000	-3.898	3.898	0.000
TRAIT.26	0.000	1.751	0.000	1.000	-4.502	4.502	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.500	1.517	0.330	0.755	-3.398	4.398	0.007
TRAIT.28	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.29	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.30	1.000	1.751	0.571	0.593	-3.502	5.502	0.014
TRAIT.32	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.33	1.500	1.517	0.989	0.368	-2.398	5.398	0.061
TRAIT.36	1.000	1.751	0.571	0.593	-3.502	5.502	0.014
TRAIT.39	-1.000	1.751	-0.571	0.593	-5.502	3.502	0.014
TRAIT.49	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.52	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.NA	1.667	1.430	1.166	0.296	-2.009	5.342	0.107

Table 550: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.333	0.729	1.829	0.095	-0.271	2.938	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	1.267	0.922	1.374	0.197	-0.763	3.296	0.132
NegativeLifeEvents.2	0.167	1.153	0.145	0.888	-2.370	2.703	0.001
Negative Life Events. 26	1.667	1.458	1.143	0.277	-1.542	4.875	0.058
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.167	1.153	0.145	0.888	-2.370	2.703	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.667	1.458	1.143	0.277	-1.542	4.875	0.058
${\bf Negative Life Events.5}$	0.667	1.153	0.578	0.575	-1.870	3.203	0.018
Negative Life Events. 7	0.667	1.458	0.457	0.656	-2.542	3.875	0.009
Negative Life Events. NA	1.000	1.031	0.970	0.353	-1.269	3.269	0.056

Table 551: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	0.786	3.815	0.003	1.248	4.752	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.000	1.362	0.000	1.000	-3.035	3.035	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-2.000	1.362	-1.468	0.173	-5.035	1.035	0.078
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.600	0.930	-0.645	0.534	-2.673	1.473	0.028
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.500	1.112	-1.349	0.207	-3.978	0.978	0.083
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-1.000	1.015	-0.985	0.348	-3.262	1.262	0.052
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.000	1.362	0.000	1.000	-3.035	3.035	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-2.000	1.362	-1.468	0.173	-5.035	1.035	0.078
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-3.000	1.362	-2.203	0.052	-6.035	0.035	0.175
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.667	1.015	-0.657	0.526	-2.929	1.595	0.023

Table 552: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	0.629	4.768	0.001	1.598	4.402	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	-1.000	1.090	-0.918	0.380	-3.428	1.428	0.022
TotalLifeEvents.11	-3.000	1.090	-2.753	0.020	-5.428	-0.572	0.197
TotalLifeEvents.13	-2.000	1.090	-1.835	0.096	-4.428	0.428	0.088
Total Life Events. 15	0.000	1.090	0.000	1.000	-2.428	2.428	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.29	0.000	1.090	0.000	1.000	-2.428	2.428	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.6	-1.500	0.890	-1.686	0.123	-3.483	0.483	0.093
TotalLifeEvents.7	0.000	0.771	0.000	1.000	-1.717	1.717	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.8	-1.750	0.771	-2.271	0.046	-3.467	-0.033	0.226
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-0.667	0.812	-0.821	0.431	-2.476	1.143	0.026

Table 553: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.846	0.302	6.104	0.000	1.211	2.482	0.000
Stranger	0.725	0.511	1.419	0.173	-0.349	1.799	0.096

Table 554: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.438	1.877	-0.766	0.454	-5.381	2.506	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.008	0.005	1.707	0.105	-0.002	0.018	0.133

Table 555: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.800	1.177	1.530	0.144	-0.672	4.273	0
MAGE	-0.002	0.038	-0.043	0.966	-0.081	0.078	0

Table 556: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.754 \\ 0.030$	0.926 0.027	0.814 1.102	00	-1.192 -0.027	2.699 0.087	0.00

Table 557: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.329	1.561	2.132	0.047	0.049	6.609	0.000
MEDUY	-0.098	0.096	-1.020	0.321	-0.301	0.104	0.052

Table 558: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.493	1.275	1.170	0.257	-1.187	4.172	0.000
PEDUY	0.016	0.079	0.205	0.840	-0.151	0.183	0.002

Table 559: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.778	0.320	5.552	0.000	1.102	2.453	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.111	0.506	-0.219	0.829	-1.179	0.957	0.003
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.022	0.536	0.041	0.967	-1.108	1.153	0.000

Table 560: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.714	0.353	4.851	0.000	0.972	2.457	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.055	0.438	0.125	0.902	-0.866	0.976	0.001

Table 561: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.824	0.628	2.907	0.009	0.506	3.143	0.000
SEX	-0.055	0.438	-0.125	0.902	-0.976	0.866	0.001

Table 562: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-6.859	7.552	-0.908	$0.376 \\ 0.269$	-22.725	9.007	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.031	0.027	1.140		-0.026	0.089	0.064

Table 563: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.630	2.070	-0.304	v., v =	-4.979	3.719	0.000
BW	0.001	0.001	1.155		-0.001	0.002	0.066

Table 564: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.833	0.268	6.835	0.000	1.270	2.397	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.208	0.424	-0.491	0.629	-1.099	0.683	0.013

Table 565: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.733	0.241	7.180	0.000	1.226	2.240	0.000
MPSYCH	0.067	0.483	0.138	0.892	-0.948	1.081	0.001

Table 566: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	1.462 0.824	0.233 0.393	6.284 2.096	0.00 0.05	0.973 -0.002		0.000 0.188

Table 567: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.786	0.239	7.482	0.000	1.282	2.289	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.214	0.924	1.314	0.206	-0.736	3.165	0.082
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.386	0.465	-0.829	0.419	-1.367	0.596	0.033

Table 568: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.6	0.291	5.497	0.000	0.986	2.214	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.4	0.423	0.946	0.357	-0.492	1.292	0.046
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.6	0.965	-0.622	0.542	-2.637	1.437	0.020

Table 569: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.289	6.915	0.000	1.390	2.610	0.000
$FORMULA_1yr.1$	-0.444	0.420	-1.058	0.305	-1.331	0.442	0.055
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-1.000	0.959	-1.042	0.312	-3.024	1.024	0.053

Table 570: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.727	0.282	6.127	0.000	1.135	2.320	
FORMULA_6mo	0.051	0.420	0.120	0.906	-0.832	0.933	0.001

Table 571: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.643	0.243	6.770	0.000	1.131	2.155	0.000
FEVER_1yr.1	0.557	0.473	1.178	0.255	-0.441	1.555	0.068
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-0.643	0.940	-0.684	0.503	-2.626	1.340	0.023

Table 572: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.917	0.269	7.120	0.000	1.349	2.485	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.517	0.496	-1.041	0.313	-1.564	0.531	0.057

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.250	0.602	-0.415	0.683	-1.520	1.020	0.009

Table 573: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.281	5.345	0.000	0.908	2.092	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr.1$	0.611	0.408	1.499	0.152	-0.249	1.471	0.108
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-0.500	0.931	-0.537	0.598	-2.464	1.464	0.014

Table 574: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.322	3.992	0.001	0.606	1.965	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.798	0.405	1.968	0.066	-0.057	1.653	0.180
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-0.286	0.911	-0.314	0.758	-2.208	1.636	0.005

Table 575: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.469	4.268	0.001	1.011	2.989	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1	-0.267	0.527	-0.506	0.620	-1.379	0.846	0.015
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-1.000	1.048	-0.954	0.353	-3.211	1.211	0.053

Table 576: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.143	0.340	6.299	0.000	1.425	2.861	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.560	0.428	-1.307	0.209	-1.463	0.344	0.083
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-1.143	0.962	-1.188	0.251	-3.173	0.887	0.068

Table 577: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.00	0.604	4.968	0.000	1.684	4.316	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.00	1.046	-0.956	0.358	-3.279	1.279	0.021
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-1.25	0.740	-1.690	0.117	-2.861	0.361	0.109
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-1.00	1.046	-0.956	0.358	-3.279	1.279	0.021
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-1.40	0.714	-1.960	0.074	-2.957	0.157	0.160
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-3.00	1.046	-2.869	0.014	-5.279	-0.721	0.186

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.20	0.714	-1.680	0.119	-2.757	0.357	0.118
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-2.00	1.046	-1.912	0.080	-4.279	0.279	0.083

Table 578: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMIND_6mo.1	$1.538 \\ 0.962$	$0.242 \\ 0.498$	6.368 1.931	0.000 0.070	1.029 -0.089	2.048 2.012	0.000 0.170
VITAMIND_6mo.NA	0.128	0.558	0.230	0.821	-1.049	1.305	0.002

Table 579: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.423	4.732	0.000	1.108	2.892	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.273	0.510	-0.535	0.600	-1.348	0.803	0.020
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.500	0.634	-0.789	0.441	-1.837	0.837	0.044

Table 580: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs STATE, df=7

			_	_ , , , ,			
	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	1.047	0.956	0.371	-1.475	3.475	0.000
STATE.22	0.667	1.208	0.552	0.598	-2.191	3.524	0.029
STATE.23	1.000	1.282	0.780	0.461	-2.031	4.031	0.046
STATE.24	1.000	1.282	0.780	0.461	-2.031	4.031	0.046
STATE.26	0.500	1.282	0.390	0.708	-2.531	3.531	0.012
STATE.29	2.000	1.480	1.351	0.219	-1.500	5.500	0.098
STATE.35	2.000	1.480	1.351	0.219	-1.500	5.500	0.098
STATE.38	2.000	1.480	1.351	0.219	-1.500	5.500	0.098
STATE.39	0.000	1.480	0.000	1.000	-3.500	3.500	0.000
STATE.40	0.000	1.282	0.000	1.000	-3.031	3.031	0.000
STATE.41	1.000	1.480	0.676	0.521	-2.500	4.500	0.024
STATE.73	0.000	1.480	0.000	1.000	-3.500	3.500	0.000
STATE.NA	0.500	1.282	0.390	0.708	-2.531	3.531	0.012

Table 581: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.796	1.257	0.264	-1.046	3.046	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.000	1.125	0.889	0.415	-1.893	3.893	0.028
TRAIT.24	0.000	0.975	0.000	1.000	-2.505	2.505	0.000
TRAIT.26	1.000	1.125	0.889	0.415	-1.893	3.893	0.028
TRAIT.27	1.000	0.975	1.026	0.352	-1.505	3.505	0.052

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
TRAIT.28	2.000	1.125	1.777	0.136	-0.893	4.893	0.111
TRAIT.29	1.000	1.125	0.889	0.415	-1.893	3.893	0.028
TRAIT.30	0.000	1.125	0.000	1.000	-2.893	2.893	0.000
TRAIT.32	2.000	1.125	1.777	0.136	-0.893	4.893	0.111
TRAIT.33	1.500	0.975	1.539	0.184	-1.005	4.005	0.118
TRAIT.36	0.000	1.125	0.000	1.000	-2.893	2.893	0.000
TRAIT.39	-1.000	1.125	-0.889	0.415	-3.893	1.893	0.028
TRAIT.49	2.000	1.125	1.777	0.136	-0.893	4.893	0.111
TRAIT.52	1.000	1.125	0.889	0.415	-1.893	3.893	0.028
TRAIT.NA	0.333	0.919	0.363	0.732	-2.029	2.696	0.008

Table 582: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.667	0.537	3.101	0.010	0.484	2.850	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.733	0.680	1.079	0.304	-0.763	2.230	0.092
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.167	0.850	-0.196	0.848	-2.037	1.704	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.667	1.075	-0.620	0.548	-3.033	1.699	0.019
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-0.167	0.850	-0.196	0.848	-2.037	1.704	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.333	1.075	1.240	0.241	-1.033	3.699	0.077
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.667	0.850	-0.784	0.449	-2.537	1.204	0.037
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.667	1.075	-0.620	0.548	-3.033	1.699	0.019
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	0.000	0.760	0.000	1.000	-1.673	1.673	0.000

Table 583: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	0.672	3.720	0.004	1.003	3.997	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.500	1.164	0.430	0.677	-2.094	3.094	0.007
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.500	1.164	-1.289	0.227	-4.094	1.094	0.066
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-1.100	0.795	-1.383	0.197	-2.872	0.672	0.139
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.500	0.950	-1.578	0.146	-3.618	0.618	0.124
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.167	0.868	-0.192	0.852	-2.100	1.767	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-0.500	1.164	-0.430	0.677	-3.094	2.094	0.007
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.500	1.164	-0.430	0.677	-3.094	2.094	0.007
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-1.500	1.164	-1.289	0.227	-4.094	1.094	0.066
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.833	0.868	-0.960	0.359	-2.767	1.100	0.054

Table 584: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	0.555	4.502	0.001	1.263	3.737	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-1.500	0.962	-1.560	0.150	-3.643	0.643	0.071
Total Life Events. 11	-1.500	0.962	-1.560	0.150	-3.643	0.643	0.071

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.500	0.962	-1.560	0.150	-3.643	0.643	0.071
Total Life Events. 15	0.500	0.962	0.520	0.614	-1.643	2.643	0.008
TotalLifeEvents.29	-1.500	0.962	-1.560	0.150	-3.643	0.643	0.071
Total Life Events. 6	-0.500	0.785	-0.637	0.539	-2.250	1.250	0.015
Total Life Events. 7	0.000	0.680	0.000	1.000	-1.515	1.515	0.000
Total Life Events. 8	-1.500	0.680	-2.206	0.052	-3.015	0.015	0.241
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-0.833	0.717	-1.162	0.272	-2.431	0.764	0.059

Table 585: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.692	0.258	6.549	0.00	1.149	2.235	0.000
Stranger	0.165	0.437	0.377	0.71	-0.753	1.083	0.007

Table 586: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.799	0.988	-1.821	0.085	-3.875	0.276	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.006	0.002	2.238	0.038	0.000	0.011	0.209

Table 587: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.791	0.643	1.230	0.235	-0.560	2.142	0.00
MAGE	-0.013	0.021	-0.618	0.545	-0.056	0.031	0.02

Table 588: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.370	0.528	0.701	0.492	-0.740	1.480	0
PAGE	0.001	0.015	0.058	0.954	-0.032	0.033	0

Table 589: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.555	0.843	1.845	0.082	-0.216	3.325	0.000
MEDUY	-0.072	0.052	-1.382	0.184	-0.181	0.037	0.091

Table 590: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: sity_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=18

MaskMaxInten-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	1.178 -0.049	$0.680 \\ 0.042$	1.732 -1.160	000	-0.251 -0.138		$0.000 \\ 0.066$

Table 591: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: sity_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=17

MaskMaxInten-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.444	0.172	2.585	0.019	0.082	0.807	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.056	0.272	0.204	0.840	-0.518	0.629	0.002
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.244	0.288	-0.850	0.407	-0.851	0.363	0.042

Table 592: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.286	0.192	1.486	0.155	-0.118	0.690	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.176	0.239	0.737	0.471	-0.325	0.677	0.028

Table 593: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.341	0.346	0.984	0.338	-0.387	1.068	0.000
SEX	0.044	0.242	0.182	0.858	-0.464	0.552	0.002

Table 594: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-2.790	4.251	-0.656	0.520	-11.721	6.140	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.012	0.015	0.751	0.462	-0.021	0.044	0.029

Table 595: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	-0.215 0.000	1.175 0.000	-0.183 0.526	0.00.	-2.685 -0.001	$2.254 \\ 0.001$	0.000

Table 596: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.50	0.144	3.464	0.003	0.197	0.803	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.25	0.228	-1.095	0.288	-0.729	0.229	0.059

Table 597: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	0.467 -0.267	$0.130 \\ 0.259$	3.601 -1.029	$0.002 \\ 0.317$	0.194 -0.811	$0.739 \\ 0.278$	0.000

Table 598: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.385	0.143	2.688	0.015	0.084	0.685	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.044	0.242	0.182	0.858	-0.464	0.552	0.002

Table 599: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.357	0.136	2.622	0.018	0.070	0.644	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.643	0.527	1.219	0.240	-0.470	1.756	0.074
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.043	0.265	0.161	0.874	-0.517	0.603	0.001

Table 600: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.400	0.165	2.426	0.027	0.052	0.748	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.044	0.240	0.186	0.855	-0.461	0.550	0.002
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.400	0.547	-0.731	0.474	-1.554	0.754	0.029

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 601: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.400	0.165	2.426	0.027	0.00=	0.748	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.044	0.240	0.186	0.855	-0.461	0.550	0.002
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-0.400	0.547	-0.731	0.474	-1.554	0.754	0.029

Table 602: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.273	0.149	1.829	0.084	-0.041	0.586	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.283	0.222	1.272	0.220	-0.184	0.750	0.078

Table 603: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.429	0.139	3.073	0.007	0.134	0.723	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.029	0.272	-0.105	0.918	-0.602	0.545	0.001
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-0.429	0.540	-0.793	0.438	-1.568	0.711	0.033

Table 604: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	0.5 -0.1 -0.5	0.143 0.265 0.321	3.485 -0.378 -1.558	0.003 0.710 0.138	0.197 -0.658 -1.177	0.803 0.458 0.177	0.000

Table 605: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	0.400 0.044 -0.400	0.165 0.240 0.547	2.426 0.186 -0.731	0.000	0.052 -0.461 -1.554	0.748 0.550 0.754	0.000 0.002 0.029

 $\begin{tabular}{llll} Table & 606: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.150	0.000	1.000	-0.316	0.316	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.667	0.188	3.539	0.003	0.269	1.064	0.417
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	0.000	0.423	0.000	1.000	-0.893	0.893	0.000

Table 607: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.257	0.974	0.344	-0.292	0.792	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.217	0.289	0.750	0.464	-0.393	0.826	0.034

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-0.250	0.574	-0.435	0.669	-1.461	0.961	0.011

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.429	0.197	2.173	0.044	0.012	0.845	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.012	0.248	-0.048	0.962	-0.536	0.512	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-0.429	0.558	-0.768	0.453	-1.606	0.749	0.033

Table 609: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.50	0.368	1.359	0.199	-0.302	1.302	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.50	0.637	-0.784	0.448	-1.889	0.889	0.036
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.25	0.451	0.555	0.589	-0.732	1.232	0.030
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.50	0.637	0.784	0.448	-0.889	1.889	0.036
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.30	0.435	-0.689	0.504	-1.249	0.649	0.051
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.50	0.637	-0.784	0.448	-1.889	0.889	0.036
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.10	0.435	-0.230	0.822	-1.049	0.849	0.006
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.50	0.637	-0.784	0.448	-1.889	0.889	0.036

Table 610: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.385	0.147	2.625	0.018	0.076	0.694	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.115	0.302	0.382	0.707	-0.522	0.753	0.008
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.051	0.338	-0.152	0.881	-0.765	0.663	0.001

Table 611: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.400	0.235	1.705	0.106	-0.095	0.895	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.055	0.283	0.193	0.849	-0.542	0.651	0.003
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.150	0.352	-0.426	0.675	-0.892	0.592	0.014

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	0.463	2.160	0.068	-0.095	2.095	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-1.0	0.535	-1.871	0.104	-2.264	0.264	0.189
STATE.23	-0.5	0.567	-0.882	0.407	-1.841	0.841	0.033
STATE.24	-0.5	0.567	-0.882	0.407	-1.841	0.841	0.033
STATE.26	-0.5	0.567	-0.882	0.407	-1.841	0.841	0.033
STATE.29	0.0	0.655	0.000	1.000	-1.548	1.548	0.000
STATE.35	0.0	0.655	0.000	1.000	-1.548	1.548	0.000
STATE.38	0.0	0.655	0.000	1.000	-1.548	1.548	0.000
STATE.39	-1.0	0.655	-1.528	0.170	-2.548	0.548	0.071
STATE.40	-1.0	0.567	-1.764	0.121	-2.341	0.341	0.134
STATE.41	0.0	0.655	0.000	1.000	-1.548	1.548	0.000
STATE.73	-1.0	0.655	-1.528	0.170	-2.548	0.548	0.071
STATE.NA	-1.0	0.567	-1.764	0.121	-2.341	0.341	0.134

Table 613: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.0	0.316	0.000	1.000	-0.813	0.813	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.24	0.5	0.387	1.291	0.253	-0.496	1.496	0.051
TRAIT.26	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.0	0.387	0.000	1.000	-0.996	0.996	0.000
TRAIT.28	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.29	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.30	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.32	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.33	1.0	0.387	2.582	0.049	0.004	1.996	0.202
TRAIT.36	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.39	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.49	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.52	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.NA	0.0	0.365	0.000	1.000	-0.939	0.939	0.000

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 614: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=&11 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.303	1.099	0.295	-0.334	1.001	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.267	0.383	0.695	0.501	-0.577	1.111	0.039
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.333	0.479	-0.695	0.501	-1.388	0.722	0.029
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.333	0.606	-0.550	0.593	-1.668	1.001	0.015
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.167	0.479	0.348	0.735	-0.888	1.222	0.007
Negative Life Events. 4	0.667	0.606	1.099	0.295	-0.668	2.001	0.061
${\bf Negative Life Events. 5}$	-0.333	0.479	-0.695	0.501	-1.388	0.722	0.029
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.333	0.606	-0.550	0.593	-1.668	1.001	0.015
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	0.333	0.429	0.777	0.453	-0.610	1.277	0.041

Table 615: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.327	3.062	0.012	0.272	1.728	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.000	0.566	0.000	1.000	-1.260	1.260	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.000	0.566	-1.768	0.108	-2.260	0.260	0.076
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.800	0.386	-2.070	0.065	-1.661	0.061	0.192
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.000	0.462	-2.165	0.056	-2.029	0.029	0.144
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.667	0.422	-1.581	0.145	-1.606	0.273	0.091
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.000	0.566	0.000	1.000	-1.260	1.260	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-1.000	0.566	-1.768	0.108	-2.260	0.260	0.076
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-1.000	0.566	-1.768	0.108	-2.260	0.260	0.076
PositiveLifeEvents.NA	-0.333	0.422	-0.791	0.448	-1.273	0.606	0.023

Table 616: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.329	3.038	0.013	0.267	1.733	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	-1.000	0.570	-1.754	0.110	-2.270	0.270	0.075
Total Life Events. 11	-1.000	0.570	-1.754	0.110	-2.270	0.270	0.075
Total Life Events. 13	-1.000	0.570	-1.754	0.110	-2.270	0.270	0.075
Total Life Events. 15	0.000	0.570	0.000	1.000	-1.270	1.270	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.29	-1.000	0.570	-1.754	0.110	-2.270	0.270	0.075
Total Life Events. 6	-0.500	0.465	-1.074	0.308	-1.537	0.537	0.036
Total Life Events. 7	-0.500	0.403	-1.240	0.243	-1.398	0.398	0.063
TotalLifeEvents.8	-1.000	0.403	-2.481	0.033	-1.898	-0.102	0.253
Total Life Events. NA	-0.333	0.425	-0.784	0.451	-1.280	0.613	0.022

Table 617: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.308	0.138	2.223	0.039	0.017	0.599	0.000
Stranger	0.264	0.234	1.127	0.274	-0.228	0.755	0.063

Table 618: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-2.026	1.614	-1.255	0.225	-5.417	1.365	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.008	0.004	1.885	0.076	-0.001	0.016	0.158

Table 619: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.453	0.967	2.538	0.021	0.422	4.484	0.000
MAGE	-0.047	0.031	-1.527	0.144	-0.113	0.018	0.109

Table 620: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	1.599 -0.018	0.823 0.024	1.944 -0.747	0.000	-0.129 -0.069	$3.327 \\ 0.033$	0.000 0.029

Table 621: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	3.887 -0.180		3.181 -2.383			6.454 -0.021	

Table 622: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.030	1.087	1.867	0.078	-0.254	4.313	0.000
PEDUY	-0.065	0.068	-0.960	0.350	-0.207	0.077	0.046

Table 623: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.889	0.277	3.211	0.005	0.305	1.473	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.278	0.438	0.635	0.534	-0.646	1.201	0.024
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.111	0.463	0.240	0.813	-0.866	1.088	0.003

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 624: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.297	4.328	0.000	0.662	1.910	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.440	0.368	-1.193	0.248	-1.214	0.335	0.07

Table 625: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1	0.548	1.825	0.085	-0.151	2.151	0
SEX	0	0.383	0.000	1.000	-0.804	0.804	0

Table 626: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	5.305 -0.016	6.750 0.024	0.786 -0.638		-8.876 -0.067	19.486 0.036	0.000

Table 627: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	1.723 0.000	$1.865 \\ 0.001$	0.924 -0.390	0.000	-2.195 -0.001	$5.641 \\ 0.001$	0.000

Table 628: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.917	0.234	3.923	0.001	0.426	1.408	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.208	0.369	0.564	0.580	-0.568	0.984	0.016

Table 629: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1	0.211	4.743	0	0.557	1.443	0
MPSYCH	0	0.422	0.000	1	-0.886	0.886	0

Table 630: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.923	0.224	4.114	0.001	0.452	1.394	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.220	0.379	0.580	0.569	-0.577	1.017	0.017

Table 631: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.143	0.214	5.337	0.000	0.691	1.595	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.143	0.829	-0.172	0.865	-1.893	1.607	0.001
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.543	0.417	-1.300	0.211	-1.424	0.338	0.083

Table 632: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.1	0.253	4.344	0.000	0.566	1.634	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.1	0.368	-0.272	0.789	-0.876	0.676	0.004
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-1.1	0.840	-1.310	0.208	-2.872	0.672	0.086

Table 633: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	1 1 (> 0)	2.9 /0	97.5 %	R2
4.344	0.789	-0.876	0.676	0.000
	1.011	-0.272 0.789	-0.272 0.789 -0.876	-0.272 0.789 -0.876 0.676

Table 634: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.818	0.238	3.441	0.003	0.319	1.318	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.404	0.354	1.140	0.269	-0.341	1.149	0.064

Table 635: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.929	0.206	4.501	0.000	0.493	1.364	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.471	0.402	1.172	0.257	-0.377	1.320	0.064
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-0.929	0.799	-1.162	0.261	-2.614	0.757	0.063

Table 636: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1	1.083 -0.083	$0.238 \\ 0.439$	4.546 -0.190	0.000	0.581 -1.010	1.586 0.844	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.417	0.533	-0.782	0.445	-1.541	0.708	0.033

 $\begin{tabular}{llll} Table & 637: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.1	0.253	4.344	0.000	0.566	1.634	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr.1$	-0.1	0.368	-0.272	0.789	-0.876	0.676	0.004
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-1.1	0.840	-1.310	0.208	-2.872	0.672	0.086

Table 638: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.857	0.297	2.882	0.010	0.230	1.485	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.310	0.374	0.827	0.420	-0.480	1.099	0.036
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-0.857	0.841	-1.019	0.322	-2.632	0.917	0.054

Table 639: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.401	2.494	0.023	0.154	1.846	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.067	0.451	0.148	0.884	-0.885	1.019	0.001
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-1.000	0.897	-1.115	0.280	-2.892	0.892	0.072

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.295	4.361	0.000	0.664	1.908	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.369	0.371	-0.995	0.334	-1.152	0.414	0.047
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-1.286	0.834	-1.542	0.142	-3.045	0.474	0.114

Table 641: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs WHSTOTHER, df=12 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.5	0.382	6.547	0.000	1.668	3.332	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.5	0.661	-2.268	0.043	-2.941	-0.059	0.044
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-2.0	0.468	-4.276	0.001	-3.019	-0.981	0.263
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.5	0.661	-0.756	0.464	-1.941	0.941	0.005
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-1.3	0.452	-2.877	0.014	-2.284	-0.316	0.130
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-2.5	0.661	-3.780	0.003	-3.941	-1.059	0.122

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.9	0.452	-4.205	0.001	-2.884	-0.916	0.278
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.5	0.661	-2.268	0.043	-2.941	-0.059	0.044

Table 642: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.846	0.220	3.847	0.001	0.382	1.310	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.654	0.453	1.442	0.167	-0.303	1.611	0.102
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.154	0.508	0.303	0.766	-0.918	1.226	0.005

Table 643: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.200	0.371	3.233	0.005	0.417	1.983	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.291	0.448	-0.650	0.524	-1.235	0.654	0.031
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.200	0.557	-0.359	0.724	-1.375	0.975	0.009

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.976	1.025	0.340	-1.308	3.308	0.000
STATE.22	0.333	1.127	0.296	0.776	-2.331	2.998	0.012
STATE.23	-1.000	1.195	-0.837	0.430	-3.826	1.826	0.079
STATE.24	0.500	1.195	0.418	0.688	-2.326	3.326	0.020
STATE.26	0.500	1.195	0.418	0.688	-2.326	3.326	0.020
STATE.29	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.35	1.000	1.380	0.725	0.492	-2.263	4.263	0.041
STATE.38	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.39	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.40	-0.500	1.195	-0.418	0.688	-3.326	2.326	0.020
STATE.41	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.73	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.NA	-0.500	1.195	-0.418	0.688	-3.326	2.326	0.020

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	1.065	0.939	0.391	-1.737	3.737	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.000	1.506	0.664	0.536	-2.870	4.870	0.035
TRAIT.24	-0.500	1.304	-0.383	0.717	-3.852	2.852	0.016
TRAIT.26	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.500	1.304	0.383	0.717	-2.852	3.852	0.016

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	-1.000	1.506	-0.664	0.536	-4.870	2.870	0.035
TRAIT.29	1.000	1.506	0.664	0.536	-2.870	4.870	0.035
TRAIT.30	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.32	1.000	1.506	0.664	0.536	-2.870	4.870	0.035
TRAIT.33	0.000	1.304	0.000	1.000	-3.352	3.352	0.000
TRAIT.36	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.39	-1.000	1.506	-0.664	0.536	-4.870	2.870	0.035
TRAIT.49	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.52	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.NA	-0.333	1.229	-0.271	0.797	-3.493	2.827	0.010

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 646: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=&11 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	0.416	2.406	0.035	0.085	1.915	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.6	0.526	1.141	0.278	-0.557	1.757	0.088
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.5	0.657	-0.761	0.463	-1.946	0.946	0.029
NegativeLifeEvents.26	0.0	0.831	0.000	1.000	-1.829	1.829	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-1.0	0.657	-1.522	0.156	-2.446	0.446	0.117
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.0	0.831	1.203	0.254	-0.829	2.829	0.062
NegativeLifeEvents.5	0.0	0.657	0.000	1.000	-1.446	1.446	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-1.0	0.831	-1.203	0.254	-2.829	0.829	0.062
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	0.0	0.588	0.000	1.000	-1.294	1.294	0.000

Table 647: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.586	2.560	0.028	0.194	2.806	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.500	1.015	0.493	0.633	-1.761	2.761	0.011
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-0.500	1.015	-0.493	0.633	-2.761	1.761	0.011
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.900	0.693	-1.298	0.223	-2.445	0.645	0.140
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.000	0.829	-1.207	0.255	-2.846	0.846	0.083
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.167	0.756	-0.220	0.830	-1.852	1.519	0.003
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.500	1.015	0.493	0.633	-1.761	2.761	0.011
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.500	1.015	-0.493	0.633	-2.761	1.761	0.011
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-1.500	1.015	-1.478	0.170	-3.761	0.761	0.099
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.500	0.756	-0.661	0.524	-2.185	1.185	0.029

Table 648: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.50	0.447	3.354	0.007	0.504	2.496	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-1.50	0.775	-1.936	0.082	-3.226	0.226	0.111
Total Life Events. 11	-1.50	0.775	-1.936	0.082	-3.226	0.226	0.111

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-0.50	0.775	-0.645	0.533	-2.226	1.226	0.012
TotalLifeEvents.15	0.50	0.775	0.645	0.533	-1.226	2.226	0.012
TotalLifeEvents.29	-0.50	0.775	-0.645	0.533	-2.226	1.226	0.012
Total Life Events. 6	-1.50	0.632	-2.372	0.039	-2.909	-0.091	0.210
Total Life Events. 7	0.25	0.548	0.456	0.658	-0.970	1.470	0.010
Total Life Events. 8	-0.75	0.548	-1.369	0.201	-1.970	0.470	0.093
Total Life Events. NA	-0.50	0.577	-0.866	0.407	-1.786	0.786	0.033

Table 649: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.769	0.207	3.717	0.002	0.334	1.204	0.000
Stranger	0.659	0.350	1.885	0.076	-0.076	1.394	0.158

Table 650: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	18.017	7.454	2.417	0.026	2.357	33.677	0.000
${\bf Age At 1yr Visit}$	-0.030	0.019	-1.601	0.127	-0.070	0.009	0.119

Table 651: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.134	4.577	0.685	0.502	-6.483	12.751	0.000
MAGE	0.099	0.147	0.670	0.512	-0.211	0.408	0.023

Table 652: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.348	3.687	2.535	0.021		17.095	0.00
PAGE	-0.096	0.108	-0.889	0.386	-0.324	0.131	0.04

Table 653: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.950	6.092	-0.156	0.878	-13.749	11.849	0.000
MEDUY	0.442	0.376	1.175	0.255	-0.348	1.233	0.068

Table 654: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.468	5.011	0.892	0.384	-6.060	14.997	0.000
PEDUY	0.106	0.312	0.340	0.738	-0.549	0.761	0.006

Table 655: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.75	1.204	4.777	0.000	3.210	8.290	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.50	1.903	-0.263	0.796	-4.515	3.515	0.004
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	2.20	2.014	1.092	0.290	-2.049	6.449	0.068

Table 656: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.143	1.392	4.413	0.000	3.218	9.068	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.011	1.727	0.006	0.995	-3.617	3.639	0

Table 657: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	7.723 -1.165	2.441 1.705	3.164 -0.683		2.595 -4.746	12.850 2.417	

Table 658: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	43.790	29.483	1.485	0.155	-18.152	105.732	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.136	0.107	-1.277	0.218	-0.361	0.088	0.079

Table 659: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	9.012	8.420 0.002	1.070 -0.341	000	-8.679 -0.006	26.702 0.004	0.000

Table 660: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.062	1.063	5.705	0.000	3.830	8.295	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.219	1.680	0.130	0.898	-3.311	3.749	0.001

Table 661: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	6.1 0.2	0.951 1.901	$6.416 \\ 0.105$	$0.000 \\ 0.917$	4.103 -3.795	8.097 4.195	0.000 0.001

Table 662: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.846	0.983	6.964	0.000	4.781	8.912	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	-1.989	1.662	-1.197	0.247	-5.480	1.502	0.07

Table 663: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.929	0.996	5.950	0.000	3.827	8.031	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-1.429	3.859	-0.370	0.716	-9.570	6.713	0.007
PrePregBMI.Overweight	1.171	1.942	0.603	0.554	-2.926	5.269	0.019

Table 664: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.050	1.104	5.480	0.000	3.721	8.379	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.439	1.604	-0.274	0.788	-3.824	2.946	0.004
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	5.950	3.662	1.625	0.123	-1.776	13.676	0.126

Table 665: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.675	1.105	5.136	0.000	3.344	8.006	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.353	1.605	0.220	0.829	-3.034	3.740	0.002
FORMULA_1yr.NA	6.325	3.665	1.726	0.102	-1.407	14.057	0.140

Table 666: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.795	1.087	6.251	0.000	4.512	9.079	0.00
FORMULA_6mo	-1.434	1.621	-0.885	0.388	-4.839	1.970	0.04

Table 667: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.804	0.935	6.207	0.000	3.831	7.776	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.146	1.823	0.080	0.937	-3.699	3.992	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	6.196	3.621	1.711	0.105	-1.444	13.837	0.136

Table 668: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	5.604 1.646 0.896	1.071 1.976 2.396	5.231 0.833 0.374	0.000 0.416 0.713	3.344 -2.522 -4.159	7.865 5.814 5.950	0.000 0.037 0.007

Table 669: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	6.675 -1.758 5.325	1.067 1.550 3.539	6.256 -1.134 1.505	0	4.424 -5.029 -2.141	8.926 1.512 12.791	0.000

Table 670: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.036	1.141	7.045	0.000	5.629	10.442	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	-3.473	1.435	-2.420	0.027	-6.501	-0.445	0.236
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	3.964	3.226	1.229	0.236	-2.842	10.771	0.061

Table 671: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.625	1.736	3.815	0.001	2.961	10.289	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.992	1.954	-0.507	0.618	-5.115	3.132	0.014

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	5.375	3.883	1.384	0.184	-2.817	13.567	0.105

Table 672: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.571	1.320	4.221	0.001	2.787	8.356	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.429	1.661	0.258	0.799	-3.076	3.933	0.003
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	6.429	3.733	1.722	0.103	-1.448	14.305	0.144

Table 673: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.750	2.485	1.912	0.080	-0.664	10.164	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.500	4.304	-0.349	0.733	-10.877	7.877	0.006
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-1.063	3.043	-0.349	0.733	-7.693	5.568	0.010
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.750	4.304	-0.174	0.865	-10.127	8.627	0.002
WHSTOTHER.5 months	1.700	2.940	0.578	0.574	-4.706	8.106	0.031
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	7.250	4.304	1.685	0.118	-2.127	16.627	0.142
WHSTOTHER.6 months	2.850	2.940	0.969	0.351	-3.556	9.256	0.087
WHSTOTHER.7 months	4.500	4.304	1.046	0.316	-4.877	13.877	0.055

Table 674: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.885	0.960	7.168	0.000	4.858	8.911	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo.1	-3.572	1.980	-1.804	0.089	-7.750	0.605	0.152
VITAMIND_6mo.NA	-0.135	2.218	-0.061	0.952	-4.814	4.545	0.000

Table 675: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.350	1.680	3.780	0.001	2.805	9.895	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.577	2.026	-0.285	0.779	-4.852	3.698	0.006
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.587	2.520	0.233	0.818	-4.730	5.905	0.004

Table 676: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.000	4.732	1.057	0.326	-6.189	16.189	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	3.417	5.464	0.625	0.552	-9.503	16.337	0.056
STATE.23	1.500	5.795	0.259	0.803	-12.204	15.204	0.008
STATE.24	1.625	5.795	0.280	0.787	-12.079	15.329	0.009
STATE.26	0.750	5.795	0.129	0.901	-12.954	14.454	0.002
STATE.29	-4.000	6.692	-0.598	0.569	-19.824	11.824	0.029
STATE.35	-0.500	6.692	-0.075	0.943	-16.324	15.324	0.000
STATE.38	-0.500	6.692	-0.075	0.943	-16.324	15.324	0.000
STATE.39	4.250	6.692	0.635	0.546	-11.574	20.074	0.032
STATE.40	2.625	5.795	0.453	0.664	-11.079	16.329	0.023
STATE.41	-3.500	6.692	-0.523	0.617	-19.324	12.324	0.022
STATE.73	2.500	6.692	0.374	0.720	-13.324	18.324	0.011
STATE.NA	0.750	5.795	0.129	0.901	-12.954	14.454	0.002

Table 677: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.250	3.465	2.381	0.063	-0.658	17.158	0.000
TRAIT.22	-5.250	4.901	-1.071	0.333	-17.848	7.348	0.054
TRAIT.24	0.250	4.244	0.059	0.955	-10.660	11.160	0.000
TRAIT.26	1.000	4.901	0.204	0.846	-11.598	13.598	0.002
TRAIT.27	0.250	4.244	0.059	0.955	-10.660	11.160	0.000
TRAIT.28	-7.250	4.901	-1.479	0.199	-19.848	5.348	0.103
TRAIT.29	-4.250	4.901	-0.867	0.425	-16.848	8.348	0.036
TRAIT.30	1.000	4.901	0.204	0.846	-11.598	13.598	0.002
TRAIT.32	-3.750	4.901	-0.765	0.479	-16.348	8.848	0.028
TRAIT.33	-5.250	4.244	-1.237	0.271	-16.160	5.660	0.103
TRAIT.36	0.250	4.901	0.051	0.961	-12.348	12.848	0.000
TRAIT.39	3.750	4.901	0.765	0.479	-8.848	16.348	0.028
TRAIT.49	-7.250	4.901	-1.479	0.199	-19.848	5.348	0.103
TRAIT.52	-5.000	4.901	-1.020	0.354	-17.598	7.598	0.049
TRAIT.NA	-1.917	4.001	-0.479	0.652	-12.203	8.369	0.019

Table 678: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	8.083	2.304	3.508	0.005	3.012	13.155	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	-3.533	2.915	-1.212	0.251	-9.948	2.881	0.111
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.458	3.643	-0.126	0.902	-8.477	7.560	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.583	4.608	-0.127	0.902	-10.726	9.559	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-1.583	3.643	-0.435	0.672	-9.602	6.435	0.011
NegativeLifeEvents.4	-3.583	4.608	-0.778	0.453	-13.726	6.559	0.029
${\bf Negative Life Events. 5}$	0.792	3.643	0.217	0.832	-7.227	8.810	0.003
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.833	4.608	-0.181	0.860	-10.976	9.309	0.002
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	-4.500	3.259	-1.381	0.195	-11.672	2.672	0.123

Table 679: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	2.529	0.989	0.346	-3.135	8.135	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	2.000	4.380	0.457	0.658	-7.760	11.760	0.007
Positive Life Events. 12	5.750	4.380	1.313	0.219	-4.010	15.510	0.054
PositiveLifeEvents.3	4.200	2.992	1.404	0.191	-2.467	10.867	0.114
PositiveLifeEvents.5	5.125	3.577	1.433	0.182	-2.844	13.094	0.082
PositiveLifeEvents.6	4.667	3.265	1.429	0.183	-2.608	11.941	0.096
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.500	4.380	0.114	0.911	-9.260	10.260	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	6.750	4.380	1.541	0.154	-3.010	16.510	0.075
PositiveLifeEvents.9	9.500	4.380	2.169	0.055	-0.260	19.260	0.148
Positive Life Events. NA	1.083	3.265	0.332	0.747	-6.191	8.358	0.005

Table 680: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	2.021	1.237	0.244	-2.004	7.004	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	4.750	3.501	1.357	0.205	-3.051	12.551	0.041
TotalLifeEvents.11	9.500	3.501	2.714	0.022	1.699	17.301	0.162
TotalLifeEvents.13	5.750	3.501	1.642	0.132	-2.051	13.551	0.059
Total Life Events. 15	2.000	3.501	0.571	0.580	-5.801	9.801	0.007
TotalLifeEvents.29	5.000	3.501	1.428	0.184	-2.801	12.801	0.045
Total Life Events. 6	4.000	2.859	1.399	0.192	-2.369	10.369	0.055
Total Life Events. 7	1.437	2.476	0.581	0.574	-4.078	6.953	0.013
TotalLifeEvents.8	7.250	2.476	2.929	0.015	1.734	12.766	0.319
Total Life Events. NA	1.083	2.609	0.415	0.687	-4.731	6.898	0.006

Table 681: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Stranger	6.635 -1.385	1.003 1.696	6.614 -0.817	$0.000 \\ 0.425$	4.527 -4.947	$8.742 \\ 2.178$	0.000 0.034

Table 682: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.947	2.048	-0.462	0.649	-5.249	3.355	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.007	0.005	1.306	0.208	-0.004	0.018	0.082

Table 683: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.542	1.23	2.066	0.054	-0.043	5.126	0.000
MAGE	-0.027	0.04	-0.685	0.502	-0.110	0.056	0.024

Table 684: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.928 \\ 0.024$	$0.995 \\ 0.029$	$0.932 \\ 0.808$	0.00-	-1.163 -0.038	0.010	0.000 0.033

Table 685: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	3.600 -0.118	1.640 0.101	2.196 -1.161	0.0	0.155 -0.330		0.000 0.066

Table 686: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.240	1.346	1.664	0.113	-0.588	5.068	0.000
PEDUY	-0.033	0.084	-0.397	0.696	-0.209	0.143	0.008

Table 687: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.861	0.326	5.714	0.000	1.174	2.548	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.014	0.515	0.027	0.979	-1.073	1.100	0.000
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.611	0.545	-1.121	0.278	-1.761	0.539	0.072

Table 688: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.714	0.374	4.579	0.000	0.928	2.501	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.003	0.464	-0.006	0.995	-0.978	0.973	0

Table 689: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.412	0.661	2.138	0.047	0.024	2.800	0.000
SEX	0.223	0.461	0.482	0.635	-0.747	1.192	0.012

Table 690: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-7.175	8.011	-0.896	0.382	-24.005	9.655	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.032	0.029	1.110	0.282	-0.029	0.093	0.061

Table 691: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	0.048 0.000	2.237 0.001	0.021 0.748	0.000	-4.652 -0.001		0.000 0.029

Table 692: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.729	0.286	6.049	0.000	1.129	2.330	0
MaternalInfection	-0.042	0.452	-0.092	0.928	-0.991	0.908	0

Table 693: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.767	0.254	6.943	0.000	1.232	2.301	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.217	0.509	-0.426	0.675	-1.286	0.853	0.009

Table 694: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.596	0.271	5.895	0.000	1.027	2.165	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.332	0.458	0.726	0.477	-0.629	1.294	0.027

Table 695: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.75	0.265	6.611	0.000	1.192	2.308	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.75	1.025	0.732	0.474	-1.413	2.913	0.027
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.30	0.516	-0.581	0.569	-1.389	0.789	0.017

Table 696: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	$1.700 \\ 0.217$	$0.291 \\ 0.422$	$5.851 \\ 0.513$	$0.000 \\ 0.614$	1.087 -0.674	2.313 1.107	0.000 0.012
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-1.700	0.964	-1.764	0.096	-3.733	0.333	0.144

Table 697: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	1.775 0.058 -1.775	0.293 0.425 0.971	6.066 0.137 -1.829	0.000 0.892 0.085	1.158 -0.839 -3.823		0.000 0.001 0.155

Table 698: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.289	5.187	0.000	0.00=	2.108	
FORMULA_6mo	0.472	0.431	1.096	0.288	-0.433	1.378	0.059

Table 699: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr.1	1.839 -0.139	$0.247 \\ 0.481$	7.451 -0.289	0.000	1.319 -1.154	$2.360 \\ 0.876$	
FEVER_1yr.NA	-1.839	0.956	-1.924	0.071	-3.856	0.178	0.165

Table 700: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.854	0.289	6.419	0.000	1.245	2.464	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.304	0.533	-0.571	0.575	-1.428	0.820	0.017

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.438	0.646	-0.677	0.507	-1.800	0.925	0.025

Table 701: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.650	0.288	5.733	0.000	1.043	2.257	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1	0.322	0.418	0.771	00-	-0.560	1.204	0.0_,
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-1.650	0.955	-1.729	0.102	-3.664	0.364	0.137

Table 702: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.214	0.300	4.043	0.001	0.581	1.848	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.932	0.378	2.465	0.025	0.134	1.729	0.237
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-1.214	0.850	-1.429	0.171	-3.007	0.578	0.080

Table 703: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.625	0.460	3.530	0.003	0.654	2.596	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1	0.225	0.518	0.434	0.670	-0.868	1.318	0.010
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-1.625	1.029	-1.579	0.133	-3.797	0.547	0.133

Table 704: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.786	0.35	5.103	0.000	1.047	2.524	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.027	0.44	0.061	0.952	-0.902	0.956	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-1.786	0.99	-1.804	0.089	-3.874	0.302	0.157

Table 705: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.125	0.658	3.227	0.007	0.690	3.560	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.125	1.141	-0.110	0.915	-2.610	2.360	0.001
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.375	0.806	0.465	0.650	-1.382	2.132	0.017
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.375	1.141	-0.329	0.748	-2.860	2.110	0.005
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.425	0.779	-0.545	0.595	-2.123	1.273	0.026
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-2.125	1.141	-1.863	0.087	-4.610	0.360	0.166

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.725	0.779	-0.931	0.370	-2.423	0.973	0.076
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.375	1.141	-1.206	0.251	-3.860	1.110	0.070

Table 706: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.538	0.272	5.662	0.000	0.965	2.112	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.649	0.560	1.159	0.263	-0.533	1.831	0.068
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.295	0.628	0.470	0.644	-1.029	1.619	0.011

Table 707: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.650	0.455	3.624	0.002	0.689	2.611	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.100	0.549	0.182	0.858	-1.059	1.259	0.003
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.037	0.683	0.055	0.957	-1.403	1.478	0.000

Table 708: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs STATE, df=7

		C. 1 E		D (1.1)	0 F 04	0= - 04	
	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.250	1.152	1.953	0.092	-0.474	4.974	0.000
STATE.22	-1.167	1.330	-0.877	0.410	-4.312	1.979	0.091
STATE.23	-0.750	1.411	-0.532	0.612	-4.087	2.587	0.027
STATE.24	-0.750	1.411	-0.532	0.612	-4.087	2.587	0.027
STATE.26	-0.250	1.411	-0.177	0.864	-3.587	3.087	0.003
STATE.29	0.750	1.629	0.460	0.659	-3.103	4.603	0.014
STATE.35	0.000	1.629	0.000	1.000	-3.853	3.853	0.000
STATE.38	0.250	1.629	0.153	0.882	-3.603	4.103	0.002
STATE.39	-1.500	1.629	-0.921	0.388	-5.353	2.353	0.056
STATE.40	-1.250	1.411	-0.886	0.405	-4.587	2.087	0.074
STATE.41	0.750	1.629	0.460	0.659	-3.103	4.603	0.014
STATE.73	-1.000	1.629	-0.614	0.559	-4.853	2.853	0.025
STATE.NA	-0.250	1.411	-0.177	0.864	-3.587	3.087	0.003

Table 709: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.250	1.016	1.231	0.273	-1.360	3.860	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.250	1.436	0.870	0.424	-2.442	4.942	0.042
TRAIT.24	-0.125	1.244	-0.101	0.924	-3.322	3.072	0.001
TRAIT.26	0.000	1.436	0.000	1.000	-3.692	3.692	0.000
TRAIT.27	-0.250	1.244	-0.201	0.849	-3.447	2.947	0.003

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	1.750	1.436	1.219	0.277	-1.942	5.442	0.083
TRAIT.29	0.500	1.436	0.348	0.742	-3.192	4.192	0.007
TRAIT.30	-0.500	1.436	-0.348	0.742	-4.192	3.192	0.007
TRAIT.32	1.000	1.436	0.696	0.517	-2.692	4.692	0.027
TRAIT.33	1.500	1.244	1.206	0.282	-1.697	4.697	0.115
TRAIT.36	0.250	1.436	0.174	0.869	-3.442	3.942	0.002
TRAIT.39	-1.250	1.436	-0.870	0.424	-4.942	2.442	0.042
TRAIT.49	1.750	1.436	1.219	0.277	-1.942	5.442	0.083
TRAIT.52	0.750	1.436	0.522	0.624	-2.942	4.442	0.015
TRAIT.NA	0.500	1.173	0.426	0.688	-2.514	3.514	0.018

Table 710: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.250	0.606	2.064	0.063	-0.083	2.583	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.850	0.766	1.109	0.291	-0.836	2.536	0.093
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.250	0.958	-0.261	0.799	-2.358	1.858	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.26	0.000	1.211	0.000	1.000	-2.666	2.666	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.250	0.958	0.261	0.799	-1.858	2.358	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.000	1.211	0.826	0.427	-1.666	3.666	0.033
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.125	0.958	-0.131	0.899	-2.233	1.983	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.7	0.500	1.211	0.413	0.688	-2.166	3.166	0.008
Negative Life Events. NA	1.250	0.857	1.459	0.172	-0.635	3.135	0.137

Table 711: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.375	0.689	3.449	0.006	0.841	3.909	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.125	1.193	-0.105	0.919	-2.782	2.532	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.125	1.193	-0.943	0.368	-3.782	1.532	0.036
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.725	0.815	-0.890	0.394	-2.540	1.090	0.059
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.375	0.974	-1.412	0.188	-3.545	0.795	0.103
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.875	0.889	-0.984	0.348	-2.856	1.106	0.059
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.125	1.193	0.105	0.919	-2.532	2.782	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-1.125	1.193	-0.943	0.368	-3.782	1.532	0.036
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-2.375	1.193	-1.992	0.074	-5.032	0.282	0.162
Positive Life Events. NA	0.125	0.889	0.141	0.891	-1.856	2.106	0.001

Table 712: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.375	0.601	3.953	0.003	1.036	3.714	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-0.625	1.041	-0.601	0.561	-2.944	1.694	0.012
Total Life Events. 11	-2.375	1.041	-2.282	0.046	-4.694	-0.056	0.176

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.125	1.041	-1.081	0.305	-3.444	1.194	0.039
Total Life Events. 15	-0.125	1.041	-0.120	0.907	-2.444	2.194	0.000
Total Life Events. 29	-1.125	1.041	-1.081	0.305	-3.444	1.194	0.039
Total Life Events. 6	-0.875	0.850	-1.030	0.327	-2.768	1.018	0.045
Total Life Events. 7	-0.125	0.736	-0.170	0.868	-1.764	1.514	0.002
Total Life Events. 8	-1.500	0.736	-2.039	0.069	-3.139	0.139	0.236
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	0.125	0.776	0.161	0.875	-1.603	1.853	0.001

Table 713: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.596	0.271	5.895	0.000	1.027	2.165	0.000
Stranger	0.332	0.458	0.726	0.477	-0.629	1.294	0.027

Table 714: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-2.031	1.875	-1.083	0.293	-5.970	1.907	0.000
${\bf Age At 1 yr Visit}$	0.009	0.005	1.798	0.089	-0.001	0.018	0.145

Table 715: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.818	1.178	1.543	0.140	-0.657	4.294	0.00
MAGE	-0.016	0.038	-0.429	0.673	-0.096	0.063	0.01

Table 716: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.363	0.935	0.388	0.703	-1.601	2.326	0.000
PAGE	0.029	0.027	1.051	0.307	-0.029	0.086	0.055

Table 717: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.777	1.578	1.760	0.095	-0.538	6.093	0.000
MEDUY	-0.091	0.097	-0.931	0.364	-0.296	0.114	0.044

Table 718: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	1.926 -0.038	1.277 0.079	1.508 -0.480	00	-0.757 -0.205		$0.000 \\ 0.012$

Table 719: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.556	0.305	5.098	0.000	0.912	2.199	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.181	0.482	-0.374	0.713	-1.198	0.837	0.008
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.722	0.511	-1.414	0.175	-1.800	0.355	0.109

Table 720: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.202	0.354	3.396	0.003	0.458	1.946	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.182	0.439	0.415	0.683	-0.740	1.105	0.009

Table 721: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	1.097 0.166	0.629 0.440	1.743 0.377	0.000	-0.225 -0.758		0.000 0.007

Table 722: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-10.272	7.379	-1.392	0.181	-25.775	5.230	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.042	0.027	1.572	0.133	-0.014	0.098	0.115

Table 723: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.512	2.115	-0.242	0.812	-4.955	3.931	0.000
BW	0.001	0.001	0.871	0.395	-0.001	0.002	0.038

Table 724: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.312	0.272	4.831	0.000	0.742	1.883	0
MaternalInfection	0.021	0.430	0.048	0.962	-0.882	0.923	0

Table 725: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	1.378 -0.228	0.242 0.483	5.704 -0.471	0.000	0.870 -1.243	1.885 0.787	$0.000 \\ 0.012$

Table 726: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	1.173 0.422	0.254 0.430	4.612 0.982	0.000	0.639	1.707 1.325	0.000

Table 727: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.369	0.255	5.367	0.000	0.831	1.907	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.381	0.988	0.386	0.705	-1.704	2.465	0.008
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.269	0.497	-0.541	0.595	-1.318	0.780	0.015

Table 728: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.367	0.288	4.745	0.000	0.759	1.974	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.050	0.418	0.119	0.906	-0.833	0.933	0.001
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-1.367	0.955	-1.431	0.171	-3.382	0.649	0.101

Table 729: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.367	0.288	4.745	0.000	0.759	1.974	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.050	0.418	0.119	0.906	-0.833	0.933	0.001
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-1.367	0.955	-1.431	0.171	-3.382	0.649	0.101

Table 730: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.197	0.280	4.268	0.000	0.608	1.786	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.275	0.418	0.658	0.519	-0.603	1.154	0.022

Table 731: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.411	0.243	5.798	0.000	0.897		0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.077	0.474	-0.163	0.872	-1.078	0.923	0.001
FEVER_1yr.NA	-1.411	0.942	-1.497	0.153	-3.399	0.577	0.107

Table 732: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	1.451 -0.301 -0.368	$0.275 \\ 0.507 \\ 0.615$	5.278 -0.594 -0.599	0.000	0.871 -1.371 -1.665	2.032 0.768 0.929	0.000 0.019 0.019

Table 733: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	1.200 0.402 -1.200	0.280 0.407 0.929	4.283 0.987 -1.291	0.00.	0.609 -0.457 -3.161	1.791 1.261 0.761	0.000 0.047 0.080

Table 734: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.845	0.302	2.803	0.012	0.209	1.481	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	0.863	0.379	2.275	0.036	0.063	1.664	0.219
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-0.845	0.853	-0.991	0.336	-2.645	0.954	0.042

Table 735: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.417	0.455	3.110	0.006	0.456	2.378	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.033	0.513	-0.065	0.949	-1.115	1.048	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-1.417	1.019	-1.391	0.182	-3.566	0.732	0.108

Table 736: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.452	0.344	4.224	0.001	0.727	2.178	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.098	0.433	-0.227	0.823	-1.011	0.815	0.003
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-1.452	0.973	-1.493	0.154	-3.504	0.599	0.113

Table 737: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.708	0.690	2.476	0.029	0.205	3.212	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.208	1.195	-0.174	0.865	-2.812	2.395	0.002
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.229	0.845	0.271	0.791	-1.612	2.070	0.007
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.208	1.195	-0.174	0.865	-2.812	2.395	0.002
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.508	0.816	-0.623	0.545	-2.287	1.270	0.039
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-1.708	1.195	-1.429	0.178	-4.312	0.895	0.113
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.558	0.816	-0.684	0.507	-2.337	1.220	0.047
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.208	1.195	-1.011	0.332	-3.812	1.395	0.056

Table 738: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.135	0.252	4.496	0.000	0.602	1.667	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.782	0.520	1.503	0.151	-0.316	1.880	0.110
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.199	0.583	0.341	0.737	-1.031	1.428	0.006

Table 739: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.383	0.432	3.203	0.005	0.472	2.294	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.042	0.521	-0.081	0.936	-1.141	1.056	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.196	0.648	-0.302	0.766	-1.563	1.171	0.007

Table 740: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	1.124	1.335	0.224	-1.157	4.157	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-0.778	1.297	-0.599	0.568	-3.846	2.290	0.050
STATE.23	0.000	1.376	0.000	1.000	-3.254	3.254	0.000
STATE.24	-0.500	1.376	-0.363	0.727	-3.754	2.754	0.014
STATE.26	0.250	1.376	0.182	0.861	-3.004	3.504	0.004
STATE.29	1.500	1.589	0.944	0.377	-2.258	5.258	0.069
STATE.35	0.250	1.589	0.157	0.879	-3.508	4.008	0.002
STATE.38	0.250	1.589	0.157	0.879	-3.508	4.008	0.002
STATE.39	-1.000	1.589	-0.629	0.549	-4.758	2.758	0.031
STATE.40	-0.750	1.376	-0.545	0.603	-4.004	2.504	0.033
STATE.41	0.500	1.589	0.315	0.762	-3.258	4.258	0.008
STATE.73	-0.750	1.589	-0.472	0.651	-4.508	3.008	0.017
STATE.NA	0.000	1.376	0.000	1.000	-3.254	3.254	0.000

Table 741: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.500	0.780	0.641	0.550	-1.506	2.506	0.000
TRAIT.22	2.000	1.104	1.812	0.130	-0.837	4.837	0.105
TRAIT.24	0.250	0.956	0.262	0.804	-2.207	2.707	0.003
TRAIT.26	0.000	1.104	0.000	1.000	-2.837	2.837	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.333	0.956	0.349	0.741	-2.124	2.790	0.006
TRAIT.28	2.500	1.104	2.265	0.073	-0.337	5.337	0.164
TRAIT.29	1.000	1.104	0.906	0.406	-1.837	3.837	0.026
TRAIT.30	0.000	1.104	0.000	1.000	-2.837	2.837	0.000
TRAIT.32	1.250	1.104	1.133	0.309	-1.587	4.087	0.041
TRAIT.33	1.375	0.956	1.439	0.210	-1.082	3.832	0.094
TRAIT.36	0.500	1.104	0.453	0.670	-2.337	3.337	0.007
TRAIT.39	-0.500	1.104	-0.453	0.670	-3.337	2.337	0.007
TRAIT.49	2.500	1.104	2.265	0.073	-0.337	5.337	0.164
TRAIT.52	1.000	1.104	0.906	0.406	-1.837	3.837	0.026
TRAIT.NA	0.750	0.901	0.832	0.443	-1.566	3.066	0.040

Table 742: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.617	1.622	0.133	-0.357	2.357	0.000
Negative Life Events. 1	0.683	0.780	0.876	0.400	-1.033	2.400	0.067
Negative Life Events. 2	-0.250	0.975	-0.256	0.802	-2.396	1.896	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.250	1.233	-0.203	0.843	-2.964	2.464	0.002
Negative Life Events. 3	0.500	0.975	0.513	0.618	-1.646	2.646	0.017
NegativeLifeEvents.4	0.750	1.233	0.608	0.555	-1.964	3.464	0.020
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.250	0.975	-0.256	0.802	-2.396	1.896	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.7	0.250	1.233	0.203	0.843	-2.464	2.964	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	0.750	0.872	0.860	0.408	-1.169	2.669	0.055

Table 743: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.250	0.642	3.503	0.006	0.819	3.681	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.500	1.112	-0.449	0.663	-2.979	1.979	0.006
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.750	1.112	-1.573	0.147	-4.229	0.729	0.077
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.950	0.760	-1.250	0.240	-2.643	0.743	0.089
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.500	0.908	-1.652	0.130	-3.524	0.524	0.107
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-1.111	0.829	-1.340	0.210	-2.958	0.736	0.083
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.250	1.112	0.225	0.827	-2.229	2.729	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-1.750	1.112	-1.573	0.147	-4.229	0.729	0.077
Positive Life Events. 9	-2.250	1.112	-2.023	0.071	-4.729	0.229	0.127
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.500	0.829	-0.603	0.560	-2.347	1.347	0.017

Table 744: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.250	0.585	3.848	0.003	0.947	3.553	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	-1.000	1.013	-0.987	0.347	-3.257	1.257	0.026
TotalLifeEvents.11	-2.250	1.013	-2.222	0.051	-4.507	0.007	0.134
Total Life Events. 13	-1.750	1.013	-1.728	0.115	-4.007	0.507	0.081
Total Life Events. 15	-0.500	1.013	-0.494	0.632	-2.757	1.757	0.007
TotalLifeEvents.29	-1.500	1.013	-1.481	0.169	-3.757	0.757	0.059
Total Life Events. 6	-0.750	0.827	-0.907	0.386	-2.593	1.093	0.028
Total Life Events. 7	-0.396	0.716	-0.553	0.593	-1.992	1.200	0.014
TotalLifeEvents.8	-1.750	0.716	-2.444	0.035	-3.346	-0.154	0.272
Total Life Events. NA	-0.500	0.755	-0.662	0.523	-2.182	1.182	0.018

Table 745: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.186	0.255	4.642	0.000	0.649	1.723	0.00
Stranger	0.386	0.432	0.893	0.384	-0.522	1.293	0.04

Table 746: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.320	1.720	-0.768	0.453	-4.934	2.294	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.007	0.004	1.553	0.138	-0.002	0.016	0.113

Table 747: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.989	1.062	0.931	0.364	-1.242	3.221	0.000
MAGE	0.011	0.034	0.333	0.743	-0.060	0.083	0.006

Table 748: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.137 \\ 0.036$	0.816 0.024	$0.168 \\ 1.507$	0.000	-1.578 -0.014	1.852 0.086	0.000

Table 749: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.940	1.447	1.341	0.197	-1.099	4.979	0.000
MEDUY	-0.038	0.089	-0.420	0.680	-0.225	0.150	0.009

Table 750: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.250	1.156	1.082	0.294	-1.178	3.678	0
PEDUY	0.006	0.072	0.077	0.940	-0.146	0.157	0

Table 751: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.285	5.257	0.000	0.898	2.102	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.333	0.451	-0.739	0.470	-1.285	0.619	0.032
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.250	0.477	-0.524	0.607	-1.257	0.757	0.016

Table 752: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.214	0.318	3.818	0.001	0.546	1.882	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.190	0.394	0.481	0.637	-0.639	1.018	0.012

Table 753: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	1.519 -0.135	0.567 0.396	2.681 -0.340	0.0-0	0.329	2.710 0.697	

Table 754: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-8.880	0.00.	-1.334	000	-22.866	00.	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.037	0.024	1.535	0.142	-0.014	0.088	0.11

Table 755: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs BW, df=18

12501	mate Std.	Error t v	ralue $\Pr(> $	t) 2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
. .	1.153 0.001	1.851 -0 0.001 1		541 -5.041 93 0.000		0.000

Table 756: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.354	0.244	5.541	0.000	0.841	1.868	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	-0.042	0.386	-0.108	0.915	-0.853	0.770	0.001

Table 757: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.383	0.218	6.358	0.000	0.926	1.840	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.183	0.435	-0.421	0.679	-1.098	0.731	0.009

Table 758: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	$1.135 \\ 0.580$	0.221 0.373	5.145 1.555	0.000	0.671 -0.203	1.598 1.363	0.000 0.113

Table 759: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.321	0.224	5.890	0.000	0.848	1.795	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.929	0.869	1.069	0.300	-0.905	2.762	0.057
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.121	0.437	-0.278	0.785	-1.044	0.801	0.004

Table 760: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.225	0.267	4.589 0.781	0.000	0.662	1.788	0.000 0.032
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1 ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	0.303 -0.475	$0.388 \\ 0.885$	-0.537		-0.515 -2.343		0.032 0.015

Table 761: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.475	0.269	5.482	0.000	0.907	2.043	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	-0.225	0.391	-0.576	0.572	-1.050	0.600	0.017
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-0.725	0.892	-0.812	0.428	-2.608	1.158	0.034

Table 762: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.364	0.255	5.344	0.00	0.828	1.900	
FORMULA_6mo	-0.058	0.380	-0.153	0.88	-0.857	0.741	0.001

Table 763: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.339	0.229	5.844	0.000	0.856	1.823	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.111	0.447	0.248	0.807	-0.832	1.053	0.003
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-0.589	0.888	-0.664	0.516	-2.462	1.283	0.023

Table 764: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.479	0.246	6.024	0.000	0.961	1.997	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.379	0.453	-0.837	0.414	-1.334	0.576	0.037

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.313	0.549	-0.569	0.577	-1.471	0.846	0.017

Table 765: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	1.100 0.567 -0.350	0.255 0.370 0.845	4.319 1.531 -0.414	0	0.563 -0.214 -2.132	1.637 1.347 1.432	00

Table 766: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.893	0.290	3.074	0.007	0.280	1.506	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	0.753	0.365	2.060	0.055	-0.018	1.524	0.195
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-0.143	0.822	-0.174	0.864	-1.876	1.590	0.001

Table 767: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.562	0.426	3.666	0.002	0.663	2.462	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.246	0.480	-0.512	0.615	-1.258	0.766	0.015
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-0.812	0.953	-0.852	0.406	-2.823	1.198	0.043

Table 768: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.536	0.321	4.789	0.000	0.859	2.212	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.265	0.403	-0.656	0.520	-1.116	0.586	0.023
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-0.786	0.907	-0.866	0.398	-2.699	1.128	0.040

Table 769: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.605	3.303	0.006	0.681	3.319	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.750	1.049	-0.715	0.488	-3.035	1.535	0.021
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.312	0.741	-0.421	0.681	-1.928	1.303	0.012
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.750	1.049	-0.715	0.488	-3.035	1.535	0.021
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.850	0.716	-1.187	0.258	-2.411	0.711	0.108
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-2.000	1.049	-1.907	0.081	-4.285	0.285	0.151

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.550	0.716	-0.768	0.457	-2.111	1.011	0.045
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.500	1.049	-1.430	0.178	-3.785	0.785	0.085

Table 770: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.173	0.227	5.164	0.000	0.694	1.652	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.702	0.468	1.499	0.152	-0.286	1.690	0.110
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.160	0.525	0.306	0.764	-0.946	1.267	0.005

Table 771: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.550	0.383	4.042	0.001	0.741	2.359	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.232	0.462	-0.501	0.623	-1.208	0.744	0.018
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.425	0.575	-0.739	0.470	-1.639	0.789	0.039

Table 772: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.917	1.091	0.312	-1.168	3.168	0.000
STATE.22	0.167	1.059	0.157	0.879	-2.337	2.670	0.003
STATE.23	0.625	1.123	0.557	0.595	-2.031	3.281	0.028
STATE.24	0.375	1.123	0.334	0.748	-2.281	3.031	0.010
STATE.26	0.250	1.123	0.223	0.830	-2.406	2.906	0.005
STATE.29	2.000	1.297	1.542	0.167	-1.066	5.066	0.154
STATE.35	1.000	1.297	0.771	0.466	-2.066	4.066	0.038
STATE.38	1.250	1.297	0.964	0.367	-1.816	4.316	0.060
STATE.39	-0.500	1.297	-0.386	0.711	-3.566	2.566	0.010
STATE.40	-0.375	1.123	-0.334	0.748	-3.031	2.281	0.010
STATE.41	0.750	1.297	0.578	0.581	-2.316	3.816	0.022
STATE.73	-0.500	1.297	-0.386	0.711	-3.566	2.566	0.010
STATE.NA	0.250	1.123	0.223	0.830	-2.406	2.906	0.005

Table 773: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.750	0.581	1.291	0.253	-0.743	2.243	0.000
TRAIT.22	0.750	0.822	0.913	0.403	-1.362	2.862	0.023
TRAIT.24	-0.125	0.712	-0.176	0.867	-1.954	1.704	0.001
TRAIT.26	0.750	0.822	0.913	0.403	-1.362	2.862	0.023
TRAIT.27	0.625	0.712	0.878	0.420	-1.204	2.454	0.030

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
TRAIT.28	2.250	0.822	2.739	0.041	0.138	4.362	0.205
TRAIT.29	0.500	0.822	0.609	0.569	-1.612	2.612	0.010
TRAIT.30	-0.250	0.822	-0.304	0.773	-2.362	1.862	0.003
TRAIT.32	1.250	0.822	1.521	0.189	-0.862	3.362	0.063
TRAIT.33	1.250	0.712	1.757	0.139	-0.579	3.079	0.120
TRAIT.36	0.250	0.822	0.304	0.773	-1.862	2.362	0.003
TRAIT.39	-0.750	0.822	-0.913	0.403	-2.862	1.362	0.023
TRAIT.49	2.250	0.822	2.739	0.041	0.138	4.362	0.205
TRAIT.52	0.500	0.822	0.609	0.569	-1.612	2.612	0.010
TRAIT.NA	0.250	0.671	0.373	0.725	-1.474	1.974	0.007

Table 774: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.083	0.527	2.056	0.064	-0.076	2.243	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.767	0.666	1.151	0.274	-0.700	2.233	0.110
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.083	0.833	-0.100	0.922	-1.917	1.750	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.583	1.054	-0.554	0.591	-2.902	1.736	0.016
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.417	0.833	0.500	0.627	-1.417	2.250	0.016
NegativeLifeEvents.4	0.917	1.054	0.870	0.403	-1.402	3.236	0.040
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.333	0.833	-0.400	0.697	-2.167	1.500	0.010
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.083	1.054	-0.079	0.938	-2.402	2.236	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	0.333	0.745	0.447	0.663	-1.306	1.973	0.014

Table 775: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.125	0.681	3.120	0.011	0.607	3.643	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.125	1.180	-0.106	0.918	-2.754	2.504	0.000
${\bf Positive Life Events. 12}$	-1.375	1.180	-1.165	0.271	-4.004	1.254	0.057
${\bf Positive Life Events. 3}$	-0.925	0.806	-1.148	0.278	-2.721	0.871	0.102
${\bf Positive Life Events.5}$	-1.500	0.963	-1.557	0.150	-3.646	0.646	0.129
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.625	0.879	-0.711	0.493	-2.584	1.334	0.032
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-0.625	1.180	-0.530	0.608	-3.254	2.004	0.012
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.625	1.180	-0.530	0.608	-3.254	2.004	0.012
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-1.375	1.180	-1.165	0.271	-4.004	1.254	0.057
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.708	0.879	-0.806	0.439	-2.668	1.251	0.041

Table 776: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.125	0.612	3.475	0.006	0.762	3.488	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-1.125	1.059	-1.062	0.313	-3.485	1.235	0.040
Total Life Events. 11	-1.375	1.059	-1.298	0.223	-3.735	0.985	0.060

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.375	1.059	-1.298	0.223	-3.735	0.985	0.060
TotalLifeEvents.15	-0.125	1.059	-0.118	0.908	-2.485	2.235	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.29	-1.625	1.059	-1.534	0.156	-3.985	0.735	0.084
TotalLifeEvents.6	-0.500	0.865	-0.578	0.576	-2.427	1.427	0.015
TotalLifeEvents.7	-0.375	0.749	-0.501	0.627	-2.044	1.294	0.015
Total Life Events. 8	-1.375	0.749	-1.836	0.096	-3.044	0.294	0.203
Total Life Events. NA	-0.708	0.789	-0.897	0.391	-2.467	1.051	0.043

Table 777: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.288	0.234	5.505	0.000	0.797	1.780	0.000
Stranger	0.140	0.396	0.354	0.727	-0.691	0.971	0.007

Table 778: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.210	0.644	-1.879	0.077	-2.564	0.143	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.004	0.002	2.221	0.039	0.000	0.007	0.206

Table 779: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=18

							R2
Intercept	0.027	0.421	0.065	0.0 =0	-0.857	0.0	0.00
MAGE	0.027 0.006	0.421 0.014	0.065 0.448	0.0 =0		-0.85 <i>t</i> -0.022	0.00, 0.0==

Table 780: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.153 0.011	0.332 0.010	-0.459 1.126	0.00-	0.00-	$0.546 \\ 0.031$	0.000

Table 781: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.431	0.575	0.750	0.463	-0.776	1.639	0.000
MEDUY	-0.014	0.036	-0.384	0.705	-0.088	0.061	0.008

Table 782: $mask_vs_cvrt_neo:$ ageScore StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=18

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.540	0.452	1.194	0.248	-0.41	1.490	0.000
PEDUY	-0.021	0.028	-0.734	0.472	-0.08	0.038	0.028

Table 783: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=17 MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.107	3.109	0.006	0.107	0.560	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.167	0.170	-0.983	0.339	-0.524	0.191	0.049
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.283	0.179	-1.579	0.133	-0.662	0.095	0.126

Table MaskAver-784: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.107	0.123	0.869	0.396	-0.152	0.366	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.162	0.153	1.060	0.303	-0.159	0.483	0.056

Table 785: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=18

MaskAver-

Estimate Std. Error t value 2.5~%97.5~%R2 $\Pr(>|t|)$ Intercept 0.283 0.2251.258 0.225 -0.1900.7560.000SEX-0.0520.157-0.3320.744-0.3820.2780.006

Table 786: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-5.194	2.505	-2.074	0.053	-10.456	0.069	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.020	0.009	2.159	0.045	0.001	0.039	0.197

Table 787: mask vs cvrt neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs BW, df=18

> 97.5 %R20.000

MaskAver-

Table 788: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.096	2.603	0.018	0.048	0.452	0.00
MaternalInfection	-0.094	0.152	-0.617	0.545	-0.413	0.225	0.02

Table 789: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.25	0.085	2.942	0.009	0.071	$0.429 \\ 0.207$	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.15	0.170	-0.883	0.389	-0.507		0.039

Table 790: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.192	0.093	2.070	0.053	-0.003	0.387	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.058	0.157	0.367	0.718	-0.272	0.388	0.007

Table 791: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.179	0.090	1.984	0.064	-0.011	0.368	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.321	0.349	0.922	0.369	-0.414	1.057	0.043
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.071	0.175	0.407	0.689	-0.299	0.442	0.008

Table 792: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.20	0.108	1.856	0.081	-0.027	0.427	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.05	0.157	0.319	0.753	-0.280	0.380	0.005
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.20	0.357	-0.559	0.583	-0.954	0.554	0.017

Table 793: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.20	0.108	1.856	0.081	-0.027	0.427	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.05	0.157	0.319	0.753	-0.280	0.380	0.005
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-0.20	0.357	-0.559	0.583	-0.954	0.554	0.017

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 794: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.205	0.101	2.019	0.059	-0.008	0.417	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.018	0.151	0.117	0.908	-0.300	0.335	0.001

Table 795: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.25	0.091	2.762	0.013	0.059	0.441	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.10	0.176	-0.567	0.578	-0.472	0.272	0.016
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-0.25	0.351	-0.713	0.485	-0.990	0.490	0.026

MaskAver-

Table 796: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.271	0.095	2.838	0.011	0.070	0.472	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.071	0.176	-0.403	0.692	-0.442	0.300	0.008
DAYCARE.NA	-0.271	0.213	-1.269	0.221	-0.721	0.179	0.082

Table 797: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.175	0.107	1.640	0.119	-0.050	0.400	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr.1$	0.103	0.155	0.663	0.516	-0.224	0.430	0.023
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-0.175	0.354	-0.494	0.627	-0.922	0.572	0.013

Table 798: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.110	0.000	1.00	-0.231	0.231	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.354	0.138	2.566	0.02	0.063	0.645	0.273
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	0.000	0.310	0.000	1.00	-0.655	0.655	0.000

Table 799: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.171	1.464	0.161	-0.110	0.610	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.033	0.192	-0.173	0.864	-0.439	0.372	0.002

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-0.250	0.382	-0.655	0.521	-1.056	0.556	0.026

Table 800: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.129	1.939	0.069	-0.022	0.522	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.042	0.162	-0.257	0.800	-0.384	0.301	0.004
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-0.250	0.365	-0.685	0.502	-1.020	0.520	0.026

Table 801: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs WHSTOTHER, df=12 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.265	0.943	0.364	-0.328	0.828	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.250	0.459	-0.544	0.596	-1.251	0.751	0.020
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.125	0.325	0.385	0.707	-0.583	0.833	0.017
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.000	0.459	0.000	1.000	-1.001	1.001	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.150	0.314	-0.478	0.641	-0.834	0.534	0.028
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.250	0.459	-0.544	0.596	-1.251	0.751	0.020
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.050	0.314	0.159	0.876	-0.634	0.734	0.003
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.250	0.459	-0.544	0.596	-1.251	0.751	0.020

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 802: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.192	0.095	2.030	0.058	-0.008	0.392	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.120	0.195	0.616	0.546	-0.292	0.532	0.020
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.026	0.219	-0.117	0.908	-0.487	0.436	0.001

Table 803: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.300	0.152	1.973	0.065	-0.021	0.621	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.095	0.183	-0.521	0.609	-0.482	0.291	0.019
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.175	0.228	-0.767	0.453	-0.656	0.306	0.042

Table 804: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs STATE, df=7 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.306	0.816	0.441	-0.474	0.974	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-0.250	0.354	-0.707	0.502	-1.086	0.586	0.052
STATE.23	0.250	0.375	0.667	0.526	-0.637	1.137	0.037
STATE.24	-0.125	0.375	-0.333	0.749	-1.012	0.762	0.009
STATE.26	0.000	0.375	0.000	1.000	-0.887	0.887	0.000
STATE.29	0.750	0.433	1.732	0.127	-0.274	1.774	0.174
STATE.35	0.250	0.433	0.577	0.582	-0.774	1.274	0.019
STATE.38	0.250	0.433	0.577	0.582	-0.774	1.274	0.019
STATE.39	-0.250	0.433	-0.577	0.582	-1.274	0.774	0.019
STATE.40	-0.250	0.375	-0.667	0.526	-1.137	0.637	0.037
STATE.41	0.000	0.433	0.000	1.000	-1.024	1.024	0.000
STATE.73	-0.250	0.433	-0.577	0.582	-1.274	0.774	0.019
STATE.NA	-0.250	0.375	-0.667	0.526	-1.137	0.637	0.037

 $\begin{array}{lll} Table & 805: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: \\ ageScore_StartleResponse \ vs \ TRAIT, \ df{=}5 \end{array}$

 ${\bf Mask Aver-}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.112	0.000	1.000	-0.287	0.287	0.000
TRAIT.22	0.500	0.158	3.162	0.025	0.094	0.906	0.080
TRAIT.24	0.125	0.137	0.913	0.403	-0.227	0.477	0.010
TRAIT.26	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.000	0.137	0.000	1.000	-0.352	0.352	0.000
TRAIT.28	1.000	0.158	6.325	0.001	0.594	1.406	0.322
TRAIT.29	0.250	0.158	1.581	0.175	-0.156	0.656	0.020
TRAIT.30	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.32	0.500	0.158	3.162	0.025	0.094	0.906	0.080
TRAIT.33	0.375	0.137	2.739	0.041	0.023	0.727	0.086
TRAIT.36	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.39	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.49	1.000	0.158	6.325	0.001	0.594	1.406	0.322
TRAIT.52	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.NA	0.000	0.129	0.000	1.000	-0.332	0.332	0.000

Table 806: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.167	0.207	0.807	0.437	-0.288	0.621	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.183	0.261	0.702	0.498	-0.392	0.758	0.042
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.167	0.327	-0.510	0.620	-0.886	0.552	0.017
Negative Life Events. 26	-0.167	0.413	-0.403	0.694	-1.076	0.743	0.009
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.333	0.327	1.020	0.329	-0.386	1.052	0.066
NegativeLifeEvents.4	0.333	0.413	0.807	0.437	-0.576	1.243	0.035
Negative Life Events. 5	-0.167	0.327	-0.510	0.620	-0.886	0.552	0.017
Negative Life Events. 7	-0.167	0.413	-0.403	0.694	-1.076	0.743	0.009
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	0.000	0.292	0.000	1.000	-0.643	0.643	0.000

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 807: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10 \\ \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.625	0.254	2.461	0.034	0.059	1.191	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.125	0.440	-0.284	0.782	-1.105	0.855	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-0.625	0.440	-1.421	0.186	-1.605	0.355	0.061
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.425	0.300	-1.415	0.188	-1.094	0.244	0.112
Positive Life Events. 5	-0.625	0.359	-1.740	0.112	-1.425	0.175	0.116
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.458	0.328	-1.398	0.192	-1.189	0.272	0.089
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-0.125	0.440	-0.284	0.782	-1.105	0.855	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.625	0.440	-1.421	0.186	-1.605	0.355	0.061
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-0.625	0.440	-1.421	0.186	-1.605	0.355	0.061
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.458	0.328	-1.398	0.192	-1.189	0.272	0.089

Table 808: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.625	0.232	2.698	0.022	0.109	1.141	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	-0.625	0.401	-1.558	0.150	-1.519	0.269	0.064
Total Life Events. 11	-0.625	0.401	-1.558	0.150	-1.519	0.269	0.064
Total Life Events. 13	-0.625	0.401	-1.558	0.150	-1.519	0.269	0.064
Total Life Events. 15	-0.125	0.401	-0.312	0.762	-1.019	0.769	0.003
Total Life Events. 29	-0.625	0.401	-1.558	0.150	-1.519	0.269	0.064
Total Life Events. 6	-0.125	0.328	-0.382	0.711	-0.855	0.605	0.005
Total Life Events. 7	-0.375	0.284	-1.322	0.216	-1.007	0.257	0.078
Total Life Events. 8	-0.625	0.284	-2.203	0.052	-1.257	0.007	0.215
Total Life Events. NA	-0.458	0.299	-1.533	0.156	-1.125	0.208	0.092

Table 809: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.154	0.090	1.704	0.106	-0.036	0.343	0.00
Stranger	0.168	0.153	1.098	0.287	-0.153	0.488	0.06

Table 810: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-1.988	0.872	-2.279	0.035	-3.821	-0.155	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.006	0.002	2.930	0.009	0.002	0.011	0.311

Table 811: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=18 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.096	0.603	1.818	0.086	-0.170	2.362	0.000
MAGE	-0.018	0.019	-0.914	0.373	-0.058	0.023	0.042

Table 812: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.250 \\ 0.009$	0.496 0.015	$0.505 \\ 0.628$	0.0-0	-0.792 -0.021	1.292 0.040	0.00

Table 813: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.827	0.785	2.328	0.032	0.178	3.476	0.000
MEDUY	-0.079	0.048	-1.636	0.119	-0.181	0.023	0.123

Table 814: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=18 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.764	0.667	1.146	0.267	-0.637	2.166	0.000
PEDUY	-0.013	0.042	-0.319	0.753	-0.100	0.074	0.005

Table 815: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.528	0.167	3.156	0.006	0.175	0.881	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.097	0.264	0.368	0.718	-0.461	0.655	0.008
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.011	0.280	-0.040	0.969	-0.602	0.579	0.000

Table 816: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.726	0.178	4.073	0.001	0.352	1.101	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.265	0.221	-1.197	0.247	-0.729	0.200	0.07

Table 817: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	0.296 0.191	0.323 0.225	0.917 0.850	0.0	-0.382 -0.282	$0.973 \\ 0.665$	0.000

MaskAver-

Table 818: $mask_vs_cvrt_neo$: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	-1.843 0.009	4.058 0.015	-0.454 0.591	$0.655 \\ 0.562$	-10.368 -0.022	6.682 0.040	0.000

Table 819: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.39	1.123	0.347	0.733	-1.970	2.750	0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.00	0.000	0.147	0.885	-0.001	0.001	0.001

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table & 820: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=18 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.542	0.141	3.831	0.001	0.245	0.839	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.031	0.224	0.140	0.890	-0.438	0.501	0.001

Table 821: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=18 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.506	0.124	4.062	0.001	0.244	0.767	0.000
MPSYCH	0.194	0.249	0.781	0.445	-0.328	0.717	0.031

Table 822: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.519	0.135	3.840	0.001	0.235	0.803	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	0.100	0.229	0.437	0.667	-0.380	0.580	0.01

Table 823: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.685	0.120	5.681	0.000	0.430	0.939	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.185	0.467	-0.395	0.697	-1.169	0.800	0.007
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.485	0.235	-2.063	0.055	-0.980	0.011	0.184

Table 824: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.633	0.152	4.156	0.001	0.312	0.955	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.106	0.221	-0.477	0.640	-0.573	0.362	0.011
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.633	0.505	-1.253	0.227	-1.700	0.433	0.079

Table 825: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA 1yr.NA	0.658 -0.158 -0.658	0.151 0.220 0.501	4.357 -0.721 -1.314	0.000 0.481 0.206	0.340 -0.622 -1.716	0.977 0.305 0.399	0.000 0.025 0.084

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.462	0.144	3.205	0.005	0.159	0.765	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.205	0.215	0.952	0.354	-0.247	0.656	0.045

Table 827: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FEVER_1yr, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.554	0.129	4.295	0.000	0.282	0.825	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.113	0.251	0.450	0.658	-0.417	0.643	0.010
FEVER_1yr.NA	-0.554	0.499	-1.109	0.283	-1.607	0.500	0.061

Table 828: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.549	0.146	3.770	0.002	0.242	0.856	0.000
DAYCARE.1	0.001	0.268	0.005	0.996	-0.565	0.568	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	0.035	0.325	0.107	0.916	-0.652	0.721	0.001

 $\begin{tabular}{llll} Table & 829: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=&17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.575	0.153	3.749	0.002	0.251	0.899	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1	0.018	0.223	0.079	0.938	-0.453	0.488	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-0.575	0.509	-1.130	0.274	-1.648	0.498	0.066

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.440	0.178	2.473	0.024	0.065	0.816	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.226	0.224	1.009	0.327	-0.247	0.699	0.053
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-0.440	0.504	-0.875	0.394	-1.503	0.622	0.040

 $\begin{tabular}{llll} Table & 831: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.396	0.237	1.670	0.113	-0.104	0.896	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.238	0.267	0.890	0.386	-0.325	0.800	0.046
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-0.396	0.530	-0.747	0.465	-1.514	0.723	0.032

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.655	0.182	3.597	0.002	0.271	1.039	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.113	0.229	-0.494	0.628	-0.596	0.370	0.013
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-0.655	0.515	-1.272	0.221	-1.741	0.432	0.084

Table 833: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs WHSTOTHER, df=12 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.292	0.276	4.680	0.001	0.690	1.893	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.292	0.478	-0.610	0.553	-1.333	0.750	0.006
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-1.042	0.338	-3.081	0.010	-1.778	-0.305	0.252
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.542	0.478	-1.133	0.279	-1.583	0.500	0.020
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.542	0.327	-1.659	0.123	-1.253	0.170	0.080
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-1.292	0.478	-2.702	0.019	-2.333	-0.250	0.115

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.942	0.327	-2.883	0.014	-1.653	-0.230	0.242
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.042	0.478	-2.179	0.050	-2.083	0.000	0.075

Table 834: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.500	0.136	3.676	0.002	0.213	0.787	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.271	0.280	0.966	0.348	-0.321	0.862	0.049
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.000	0.314	0.000	1.000	-0.663	0.663	0.000

Table 835: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.617	0.225	2.745	0.014	0.143	1.091	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.071	0.271	-0.263	0.796	-0.643	0.501	0.005
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.117	0.337	-0.346	0.733	-0.828	0.594	0.009

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.598	0.418	0.688	-1.163	1.663	0.000
STATE.22	0.361	0.690	0.523	0.617	-1.271	1.993	0.033
STATE.23	-0.250	0.732	-0.341	0.743	-1.981	1.481	0.011
STATE.24	0.375	0.732	0.512	0.624	-1.356	2.106	0.025
STATE.26	0.625	0.732	0.854	0.422	-1.106	2.356	0.069
STATE.29	0.750	0.845	0.887	0.404	-1.249	2.749	0.053
STATE.35	1.000	0.845	1.183	0.275	-0.999	2.999	0.093
STATE.38	0.250	0.845	0.296	0.776	-1.749	2.249	0.006
STATE.39	0.000	0.845	0.000	1.000	-1.999	1.999	0.000
STATE.40	0.250	0.732	0.341	0.743	-1.481	1.981	0.011
STATE.41	0.500	0.845	0.591	0.573	-1.499	2.499	0.023
STATE.73	0.250	0.845	0.296	0.776	-1.749	2.249	0.006
STATE.NA	0.125	0.732	0.171	0.869	-1.606	1.856	0.003

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.500	0.499	1.003	0.362	-0.782	1.782	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.000	0.705	1.418	0.215	-0.813	2.813	0.124
TRAIT.24	-0.375	0.611	-0.614	0.566	-1.945	1.195	0.033
TRAIT.26	0.000	0.705	0.000	1.000	-1.813	1.813	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.167	0.611	0.273	0.796	-1.403	1.736	0.007

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	-0.500	0.705	-0.709	0.510	-2.313	1.313	0.031
TRAIT.29	0.250	0.705	0.355	0.737	-1.563	2.063	0.008
TRAIT.30	-0.250	0.705	-0.355	0.737	-2.063	1.563	0.008
TRAIT.32	0.750	0.705	1.064	0.336	-1.063	2.563	0.070
TRAIT.33	0.125	0.611	0.205	0.846	-1.445	1.695	0.004
TRAIT.36	-0.250	0.705	-0.355	0.737	-2.063	1.563	0.008
TRAIT.39	-0.500	0.705	-0.709	0.510	-2.313	1.313	0.031
TRAIT.49	0.500	0.705	0.709	0.510	-1.313	2.313	0.031
TRAIT.52	0.500	0.705	0.709	0.510	-1.313	2.313	0.031
TRAIT.NA	-0.083	0.576	-0.145	0.891	-1.563	1.397	0.002

Table 838: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.667	0.266	2.504	0.029	0.081	1.253	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.150	0.337	0.445	0.665	-0.591	0.891	0.014
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.167	0.421	-0.396	0.700	-1.093	0.760	0.008
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.167	0.532	-0.313	0.760	-1.339	1.005	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-0.667	0.421	-1.584	0.142	-1.593	0.260	0.132
NegativeLifeEvents.4	0.583	0.532	1.096	0.297	-0.589	1.755	0.053
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.417	0.421	-0.990	0.344	-1.343	0.510	0.051
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.667	0.532	-1.252	0.237	-1.839	0.505	0.069
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	-0.083	0.376	-0.221	0.829	-0.912	0.745	0.003

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 839: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.875	0.298	2.933	0.015	0.210	1.540	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.375	0.517	0.726	0.485	-0.776	1.526	0.018
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-0.375	0.517	-0.726	0.485	-1.526	0.776	0.018
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.675	0.353	-1.912	0.085	-1.462	0.112	0.236
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-0.375	0.422	-0.889	0.395	-1.315	0.565	0.035
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.264	0.385	-0.685	0.509	-1.122	0.594	0.025
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.625	0.517	1.209	0.254	-0.526	1.776	0.051
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.375	0.517	-0.726	0.485	-1.526	0.776	0.018
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-0.875	0.517	-1.693	0.121	-2.026	0.276	0.100
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.292	0.385	-0.757	0.466	-1.150	0.567	0.030

 $\begin{tabular}{llll} Table & 840: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10 & \\ \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.875	0.213	4.111	0.002	0.401	1.349	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-0.875	0.369	-2.373	0.039	-1.697	-0.053	0.111
Total Life Events. 11	-0.875	0.369	-2.373	0.039	-1.697	-0.053	0.111

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-0.375	0.369	-1.017	0.333	-1.197	0.447	0.020
Total Life Events. 15	0.375	0.369	1.017	0.333	-0.447	1.197	0.020
Total Life Events. 29	-0.375	0.369	-1.017	0.333	-1.197	0.447	0.020
Total Life Events. 6	-0.875	0.301	-2.907	0.016	-1.546	-0.204	0.210
Total Life Events. 7	0.208	0.261	0.799	0.443	-0.373	0.789	0.021
Total Life Events. 8	-0.625	0.261	-2.397	0.037	-1.206	-0.044	0.191
Total Life Events. NA	-0.292	0.275	-1.061	0.313	-0.904	0.321	0.033

Table 841: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Stranger, df=18

0.208

0.411

 ${\bf Stranger}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.410	0.123	3.329	0.004	0.151	0.669	0.00

1.974

MaskAver-

0.849

0.17

-0.026

0.064

Table 842: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	74.245	30.300	2.450	0.025	10.586	137.904	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	-0.127	0.077	-1.661	0.114	-0.288	0.034	0.127

Table 843: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	11.896	18.696	0.636	0.533	-27.382	51.174	0.000
MAGE	0.402	0.601	0.669	0.512	-0.861	1.665	0.023

Table 844: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	36.334	15.105	2.405	0.027			0.000
PAGE	-0.365	0.443	-0.823	0.421	-1.296	0.566	0.034

Table 845: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-4.273	24.917	-0.171	0.866	-56.621	48.075	0.000
MEDUY	1.774	1.539	1.153	0.264	-1.460	5.008	0.065

Table 846: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	17.611	20.473	0.860		-25.402		
PEDUY	0.416	1.274	0.326	0.748	-2.261	3.093	0.006

Table 847: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	22.556	4.955	4.552	0.000	12.102	33.009	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-1.556	7.834	-0.199	0.845	-18.084	14.973	0.002
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	8.444	8.291	1.019	0.323	-9.048	25.937	0.060

Table 848: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	24.000	5.685	4.221	0.001	12.055	35.945	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.308	7.052	0.044	0.966	-14.508	15.123	0

Table 849: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	30.846	9.960	3.097	0.006	9.922	51.771	0.000
SEX	-4.923	6.956	-0.708	0.488	-19.537	9.691	0.026

Table 850: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	177.192	120.471	1.471	0.159	-75.908	430.291	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.555	0.437	-1.270	0.220	-1.472	0.363	0.078

Table 851: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	37.689	34.354	1.097	0.287	-34.485	109.863	0.000
$_{ m BW}$	-0.004	0.010	-0.395	0.698	-0.025	0.017	0.008

Table 852: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	23.917	4.341	5.509	0.000	14.796	33.037	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.708	6.864	0.103	0.919	-13.713	15.129	0.001

Table 853: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	23.867 1.333	3.881 7.762	$6.150 \\ 0.172$	0.000 0.866	15.713 -14.974	32.02 17.64	0.000

Table 854: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	27.077 -8.220	4.012 6.781	6.750 -1.212		18.649 -22.466		

Table 855: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	23.143	4.064	5.695	0.000	14.568	31.717	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-5.143	15.740	-0.327	0.748	-38.351	28.066	0.006
PrePregBMI.Overweight	5.257	7.922	0.664	0.516	-11.457	21.972	0.023

Table 856: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	23.400	4.520	5.177	0.000	13.864	32.936	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.956	6.567	-0.146	0.886	-14.810	12.899	0.001
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	24.600	14.990	1.641	0.119	-7.026	56.226	0.129

Table 857: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	22.300	4.517	4.937	0.000	12.771	31.829	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	1.367	6.563	0.208	0.000	-12.479 5.005	15.213	0.002 0.139
FORMULA_1yr.NA	25.700	14.980	1.716	0.104	-5.905	57.305	0.

Table 858: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	26.818	4.441	6.038	0.000	17.487	36.149	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-5.818	6.621	-0.879	0.391	-19.728	8.091	0.039

Table 859: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr.1 FEVER 1yr.NA	$22.929 \\ 0.071 \\ 25.071$	3.822 7.451 14.803	5.999 0.010 1.694	0.000 0.992 0.109	14.865 -15.648 -6.161	30.993 15.791 56.303	0.000 0.000 0.133

Table 860: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	22.083 6.117 3.917	4.389 8.093 9.814	5.032 0.756 0.399	0.000 0.460 0.695	12.823 -10.958 -16.789	31.343 23.191 24.623	0.000 0.031 0.009

Table 861: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED 1yr.1	26.300 -7.078	4.365 6.343	6.025 -1.116	$0.000 \\ 0.280$	17.090 -20.460	$35.510 \\ 6.304$	$0.000 \\ 0.057$
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	21.700	14.478	1.499	0.152	-8.847	52.247	0.104

Table 862: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	31.571	4.721	6.687	0.000	21.610	41.533	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	-13.655	5.941	-2.298	0.034	-26.189	-1.121	0.217
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	16.429	13.354	1.230	0.235	-11.746	44.603	0.062

Table 863: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	25.500	7.117	3.583	0.002	10.485	40.515	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-3.233	8.009	-0.404	0.691	-20.132	13.665	0.009

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	22.500	15.913	1.414	0.175	-11.074	56.074	0.110

Table 864: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	21.714	5.392	4.027	0.001	10.338	33.091	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	1.952	6.785	0.288	0.777	-12.363	16.268	0.004
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	26.286	15.252	1.723	0.103	-5.892	58.464	0.145

Table 865: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	17.00	10.194	1.668	0.121	-5.210	39.210	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-4.00	17.656	-0.227	0.825	-42.470	34.470	0.002
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-2.25	12.485	-0.180	0.860	-29.452	24.952	0.003
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-1.00	17.656	-0.057	0.956	-39.470	37.470	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	8.00	12.062	0.663	0.520	-18.280	34.280	0.039
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	31.00	17.656	1.756	0.105	-7.470	69.470	0.148
WHSTOTHER.6 months	13.40	12.062	1.111	0.288	-12.880	39.680	0.109
WHSTOTHER.7 months	20.00	17.656	1.133	0.279	-18.470	58.470	0.061

Table 866: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.231	3.899	6.985	0.00	19.005	35.456	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	-14.981	8.037	-1.864	0.08	-31.938	1.976	0.161
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.231	9.004	-0.026	0.98	-19.227	18.765	0.000

Table 867: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.600	6.858	3.587	0.002	10.130	39.070	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-1.873	8.271	-0.226	0.824	-19.324	15.579	0.004
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	3.150	10.288	0.306	0.763	-18.555	24.855	0.007

Table 868: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	20.000	19.725	1.014	0.344	-26.643	66.643	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	12.333	22.777	0.541	0.605	-41.526	66.192	0.043
STATE.23	6.000	24.159	0.248	0.811	-51.126	63.126	0.007
STATE.24	6.500	24.159	0.269	0.796	-50.626	63.626	0.009
STATE.26	1.000	24.159	0.041	0.968	-56.126	58.126	0.000
STATE.29	-16.000	27.896	-0.574	0.584	-81.964	49.964	0.027
STATE.35	-2.000	27.896	-0.072	0.945	-67.964	63.964	0.000
STATE.38	-2.000	27.896	-0.072	0.945	-67.964	63.964	0.000
STATE.39	17.000	27.896	0.609	0.562	-48.964	82.964	0.031
STATE.40	10.500	24.159	0.435	0.677	-46.626	67.626	0.022
STATE.41	-14.000	27.896	-0.502	0.631	-79.964	51.964	0.021
STATE.73	10.000	27.896	0.358	0.731	-55.964	75.964	0.011
STATE.NA	3.000	24.159	0.124	0.905	-54.126	60.126	0.002

Table 869: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	33.000	14.701	2.245	0.075	-4.791	70.791	0.000
TRAIT.22	-25.000	20.791	-1.202	0.283	-78.445	28.445	0.071
TRAIT.24	1.000	18.006	0.056	0.958	-45.285	47.285	0.000
TRAIT.26	4.000	20.791	0.192	0.855	-49.445	57.445	0.002
TRAIT.27	-1.000	18.006	-0.056	0.958	-47.285	45.285	0.000
TRAIT.28	-29.000	20.791	-1.395	0.222	-82.445	24.445	0.096
TRAIT.29	-17.000	20.791	-0.818	0.451	-70.445	36.445	0.033
TRAIT.30	4.000	20.791	0.192	0.855	-49.445	57.445	0.002
TRAIT.32	-15.000	20.791	-0.721	0.503	-68.445	38.445	0.026
TRAIT.33	-21.000	18.006	-1.166	0.296	-67.285	25.285	0.095
TRAIT.36	1.000	20.791	0.048	0.964	-52.445	54.445	0.000
TRAIT.39	15.000	20.791	0.721	0.503	-38.445	68.445	0.026
TRAIT.49	-29.000	20.791	-1.395	0.222	-82.445	24.445	0.096
TRAIT.52	-20.000	20.791	-0.962	0.380	-73.445	33.445	0.045
TRAIT.NA	-7.667	16.976	-0.452	0.670	-51.304	35.971	0.018

Table 870: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	31.000	9.495	3.265	0.008	10.103	51.897	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	-13.600	12.010	-1.132	0.282	-40.033	12.833	0.102
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.500	15.012	-0.033	0.974	-33.542	32.542	0.000
Negative Life Events. 26	-1.000	18.989	-0.053	0.959	-42.795	40.795	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-5.000	15.012	-0.333	0.745	-38.042	28.042	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.4	-13.000	18.989	-0.685	0.508	-54.795	28.795	0.024
${\bf Negative Life Events. 5}$	4.500	15.012	0.300	0.770	-28.542	37.542	0.005
Negative Life Events. 7	-2.000	18.989	-0.105	0.918	-43.795	39.795	0.001
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	-16.667	13.427	-1.241	0.240	-46.220	12.887	0.104

Table 871: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.000	10.312	0.970	0.355	-12.976	32.976	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	8.000	17.860	0.448	0.664	-31.796	47.796	0.007
Positive Life Events. 12	23.000	17.860	1.288	0.227	-16.796	62.796	0.054
Positive Life Events. 3	16.800	12.201	1.377	0.199	-10.386	43.986	0.114
Positive Life Events. 5	20.500	14.583	1.406	0.190	-11.993	52.993	0.081
PositiveLifeEvents.6	17.333	13.312	1.302	0.222	-12.328	46.995	0.082
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-2.000	17.860	-0.112	0.913	-41.796	37.796	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	27.000	17.860	1.512	0.162	-12.796	66.796	0.074
PositiveLifeEvents.9	38.000	17.860	2.128	0.059	-1.796	77.796	0.147
Positive Life Events. NA	4.333	13.312	0.326	0.752	-25.328	33.995	0.005

Table 872: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.000	8.122	1.231	0.246	-8.097	28.097	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	19.000	14.068	1.351	0.207	-12.346	50.346	0.041
TotalLifeEvents.11	38.000	14.068	2.701	0.022	6.654	69.346	0.163
Total Life Events. 13	23.000	14.068	1.635	0.133	-8.346	54.346	0.060
Total Life Events. 15	8.000	14.068	0.569	0.582	-23.346	39.346	0.007
TotalLifeEvents.29	20.000	14.068	1.422	0.186	-11.346	51.346	0.045
Total Life Events. 6	16.000	11.487	1.393	0.194	-9.594	41.594	0.055
Total Life Events. 7	3.750	9.948	0.377	0.714	-18.415	25.915	0.005
TotalLifeEvents.8	29.000	9.948	2.915	0.015	6.835	51.165	0.320
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	4.333	10.486	0.413	0.688	-19.030	27.697	0.006

Table 873: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	26.231	4.093	6.409	0.000	17.632	34.830	0.000
Stranger	-5.802	6.918	-0.839	0.413	-20.337	8.733	0.036

Table 874: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-4.331	8.307	-0.521	0.608	-21.783	13.121	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.029	0.021	1.365	0.189	-0.015	0.073	0.089

Table 875: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	10.327 -0.110	5.011 0.161	2.061 -0.685			20.854 0.228	

Table 876: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$3.976 \\ 0.089$	4.063 0.119	$0.979 \\ 0.750$		-4.561 -0.161	12.513 0.340	0.000 0.029

Table 877: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	nate Sta. Effe	n t varue	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
I	.519 6.68 .472 0.41	6 2.171 3 -1.142			28.567 0.396	

Table 878: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.026	5.484	1.646	0.117	-2.496	20.548	0.000
PEDUY	-0.131	0.341	-0.384	0.706	-0.848	0.586	0.008

Table 879: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.556	1.335	5.661	0.000	4.740	10.371	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.056	2.110	-0.026	0.979	-4.508	4.397	0.000
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-2.356	2.233	-1.055	0.306	-7.067	2.356	0.064

Table 880: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.000	1.525	4.591	0.000	3.797	10.203	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.077	1.891	-0.041	0.968	-4.050	3.896	0

Table 881: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.659	2.689	2.105	0.050	0.011	11.308	0.000
SEX	0.956	1.878	0.509	0.617	-2.989	4.901	0.013

Table 882: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-29.209	32.630	-0.895	0.383	-97.762	39.344	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.131	0.118	1.109	0.282	-0.117	0.380	0.061

Table 883: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-0.219 0.002	9.096 0.003	-0.024 0.792	0.00-	-19.328 -0.004	18.890 0.008	0.000

Table 884: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.000	1.164	6.012	0.000	4.554	9.446	0
MaternalInfection	-0.125	1.841	-0.068	0.947	-3.993	3.743	0

Table 885: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.2	1.035	6.957	0.000	5.026	9.374	0.000
MPSYCH	-1.0	2.070	-0.483	0.635	-5.349	3.349	0.012

Table 886: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.462	1.102	5.864	0.000	4.147	8.776	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	1.396	1.862	0.749	0.463	-2.517	5.308	0.029

Table 887: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.143	1.078	6.627	0.000	4.869	9.417	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	2.857	4.175	0.684	0.503	-5.951	11.665	0.024
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-1.343	2.101	-0.639	0.531	-5.776	3.090	0.021

Table 888: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	7.000 0.667	1.188 1.726	5.891 0.386	$0.000 \\ 0.704$	4.493 -2.976	9.507 4.309	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-7.000	3.941	-1.776	0.094	-15.314	1.314	0.147

Table 889: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1	$7.200 \\ 0.244$	1.193 1.733	$6.037 \\ 0.141$	$0.000 \\ 0.889$	4.684 -3.412	$9.716 \\ 3.901$	0.000 0.001
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-7.200	3.956	-1.820	0.086	-15.546	1.146	0.154

Table 890: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA 6mo	6.091 1.909	1.178 1.757	5.169 1.087		3.615 -1.781		

Table 891: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.429	1.007	7.376	0.000	5.304	9.554	0.000
FEVER_1yr.1	-0.429	1.963	-0.218	0.830	-4.571	3.714	0.002
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-7.429	3.901	-1.904	0.074	-15.658	0.801	0.162

Table 892: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.500	1.178	6.369	0.000	5.016	9.984	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-1.100	2.171	-0.507	0.619	-5.681	3.481	0.014

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-1.833	2.633	-0.696	0.496	-7.389	3.722	0.026

Table 893: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.7	1.173	5.710	0.000	4.224	9.176	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr.1$	1.3	1.705	0.762	0.456	-2.297	4.897	0.027
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-6.7	3.892	-1.721	0.103	-14.911	1.511	0.136

Table 894: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.000	1.239	4.036	0.001	2.386	7.614	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	3.667	1.559	2.352	0.031	0.377	6.956	0.221
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-5.000	3.504	-1.427	0.172	-12.393	2.393	0.081

Table 895: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.750	1.881	3.589	0.002	2.782	10.718	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.717	2.117	0.339	0.739	-3.749	5.182	0.006
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-6.750	4.205	-1.605	0.127	-15.622	2.122	0.138

Table 896: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.286	1.426	5.108	0.000	4.276	10.295	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.048	1.795	0.027	0.979	-3.739	3.834	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-7.286	4.034	-1.806	0.089	-15.797	1.226	0.157

Table 897: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.0	2.686	3.350	0.006	3.147	14.853	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.0	4.653	-0.215	0.833	-11.138	9.138	0.002
WHSTOTHER.4 months	1.0	3.290	0.304	0.766	-6.169	8.169	0.007
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-2.0	4.653	-0.430	0.675	-12.138	8.138	0.008
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-2.0	3.179	-0.629	0.541	-8.926	4.926	0.033
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-9.0	4.653	-1.934	0.077	-19.138	1.138	0.170

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-3.4	3.179	-1.070	0.306	-10.326	3.526	0.096
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-6.0	4.653	-1.290	0.222	-16.138	4.138	0.076

Table 898: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.231	1.103	5.648	0.000	3.903	8.558	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	2.769	2.274	1.218	0.240	-2.029	7.568	0.075
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	1.103	2.548	0.433	0.671	-4.273	6.478	0.009

Table 899: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.800	1.855	3.666	0.002	2.886	10.714	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.291	2.237	0.130	0.898	-4.429	5.011	0.001
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.050	2.782	-0.018	0.986	-5.920	5.820	0.000

Table 900: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.000	4.798	1.876	0.103	-2.346	20.346	0.000
STATE.22	-4.333	5.541	-0.782	0.103 0.460	-2.340 -17.435	8.768	0.000
STATE.23	-3.000	5.877	-0.510	0.625	-16.896	10.896	0.026
STATE.24	-3.000	5.877	-0.510	0.625	-16.896	10.896	0.026
STATE.26	-0.500	5.877	-0.085	0.935	-14.396	13.396	0.001
STATE.29	3.000	6.786	0.442	0.672	-13.046	19.046	0.014
STATE.35	0.000	6.786	0.000	1.000	-16.046	16.046	0.000
STATE.38	1.000	6.786	0.147	0.887	-15.046	17.046	0.002
STATE.39	-6.000	6.786	-0.884	0.406	-22.046	10.046	0.054
STATE.40	-5.000	5.877	-0.851	0.423	-18.896	8.896	0.071
STATE.41	3.000	6.786	0.442	0.672	-13.046	19.046	0.014
STATE.73	-4.000	6.786	-0.589	0.574	-20.046	12.046	0.024
STATE.NA	-1.000	5.877	-0.170	0.870	-14.896	12.896	0.003

Table 901: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.0	4.266	1.172	0.294	-5.966	15.966	0.000
TRAIT.22	6.0	6.033	0.994	0.366	-9.509	21.509	0.057
TRAIT.24	-0.5	5.225	-0.096	0.927	-13.931	12.931	0.001
TRAIT.26	0.0	6.033	0.000	1.000	-15.509	15.509	0.000
TRAIT.27	-0.5	5.225	-0.096	0.927	-13.931	12.931	0.001

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	7.0	6.033	1.160	0.298	-8.509	22.509	0.077
TRAIT.29	2.0	6.033	0.331	0.754	-13.509	17.509	0.006
TRAIT.30	-2.0	6.033	-0.331	0.754	-17.509	13.509	0.006
TRAIT.32	4.0	6.033	0.663	0.537	-11.509	19.509	0.025
TRAIT.33	6.0	5.225	1.148	0.303	-7.431	19.431	0.107
TRAIT.36	1.0	6.033	0.166	0.875	-14.509	16.509	0.002
TRAIT.39	-5.0	6.033	-0.829	0.445	-20.509	10.509	0.039
TRAIT.49	7.0	6.033	1.160	0.298	-8.509	22.509	0.077
TRAIT.52	3.0	6.033	0.497	0.640	-12.509	18.509	0.014
TRAIT.NA	2.0	4.926	0.406	0.702	-10.663	14.663	0.017

Table 902: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.333	2.489	2.143	0.055	-0.144	10.811	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	3.267	3.148	1.038	0.322	-3.662	10.195	0.085
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-1.333	3.935	-0.339	0.741	-9.994	7.327	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.333	4.977	-0.067	0.948	-11.288	10.621	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.667	3.935	0.169	0.869	-7.994	9.327	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.4	3.667	4.977	0.737	0.477	-7.288	14.621	0.027
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.833	3.935	-0.212	0.836	-9.494	7.827	0.003
Negative Life Events. 7	1.667	4.977	0.335	0.744	-9.288	12.621	0.006
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	4.667	3.519	1.326	0.212	-3.079	12.413	0.118

Table 903: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.500	2.796	3.398	0.007	3.270	15.730	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.500	4.843	-0.103	0.920	-11.291	10.291	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-4.500	4.843	-0.929	0.375	-15.291	6.291	0.036
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-2.900	3.308	-0.877	0.401	-10.272	4.472	0.059
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-5.500	3.954	-1.391	0.194	-14.311	3.311	0.102
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-3.167	3.610	-0.877	0.401	-11.210	4.876	0.048
PositiveLifeEvents.7	1.500	4.843	0.310	0.763	-9.291	12.291	0.004
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-4.500	4.843	-0.929	0.375	-15.291	6.291	0.036
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-9.500	4.843	-1.962	0.078	-20.291	1.291	0.160
Positive Life Events. NA	0.500	3.610	0.139	0.893	-7.543	8.543	0.001

Table 904: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.5	2.414	3.936	0.003	4.122	14.878	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-2.5	4.180	-0.598	0.563	-11.814	6.814	0.012
Total Life Events. 11	-9.5	4.180	-2.273	0.046	-18.814	-0.186	0.175

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-4.5	4.180	-1.076	0.307	-13.814	4.814	0.039
TotalLifeEvents.15	-0.5	4.180	-0.120	0.907	-9.814	8.814	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.29	-4.5	4.180	-1.076	0.307	-13.814	4.814	0.039
TotalLifeEvents.6	-3.5	3.413	-1.025	0.329	-11.105	4.105	0.045
TotalLifeEvents.7	0.0	2.956	0.000	1.000	-6.586	6.586	0.000
Total Life Events. 8	-6.0	2.956	-2.030	0.070	-12.586	0.586	0.235
Total Life Events. NA	0.5	3.116	0.160	0.876	-6.442	7.442	0.001

Table 905: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.462	1.102	5.864	0.000	4.147	8.776	0.000
Stranger	1.396	1.862	0.749	0.463	-2.517	5.308	0.029

Table 906: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-8.702	7.696	-1.131	0.273	-24.870	7.465	0.000
${\bf Age At 1 yr Visit}$	0.036	0.019	1.842	0.082	-0.005	0.077	0.152

Table 907: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.494	4.854	1.544			17.693	
MAGE	-0.068	0.156	-0.438	0.666	-0.396	0.260	0.01

Table 908: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.775	3.870	0.459	0.652	-6.355	9.904	0.000
PAGE	0.109	0.114	0.960	0.350	-0.130	0.348	0.046

Table 909: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	11.246	6.511	1.727	0.101	-2.432	24.925	0.000
MEDUY	-0.364	0.402	-0.906	0.377	-1.209	0.481	0.041

Table 910: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.78	5.264	1.478	0.157	-3.279	18.838	0.000
PEDUY	-0.15	0.328	-0.458	0.652	-0.838	0.538	0.011

Table 911: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.333	1.270	4.988	0.000	3.654	9.012	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.833	2.008	-0.415	0.683	-5.069	3.403	0.010
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-2.733	2.125	-1.286	0.216	-7.216	1.750	0.091

Table 912: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.000	1.461	3.422	0.003	1.930	8.070	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.615	1.813	0.340	0.738	-3.193	4.423	0.006

Table 913: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.451	2.593	1.717	0.103	-0.996	9.898	0.000
SEX	0.703	1.811	0.388	0.702	-3.101	4.508	0.008

Table 914: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-40.837	30.538	-1.337	0.198	-104.994	23.32	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.168	0.111	1.515	0.147	-0.065	0.40	0.108

Table 915: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	-2.339 0.002	8.705 0.003	-0.269 0.893		-20.628 -0.003		

Table 916: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.333	1.119	4.764	0.000	2.982	7.685	0
MaternalInfection	0.167	1.770	0.094	0.926	-3.552	3.885	0

Table 917: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	5.667 -1.067	0.994 1.987	5.703 -0.537	$0.000 \\ 0.598$	3.579 -5.241	7.754 3.108	

Table 918: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.769	1.046	4.560	0.000	2.572	6.967	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	1.802	1.768	1.019	0.322	-1.912	5.517	0.052

Table 919: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.643	1.050	5.374	0.000	3.427	7.858	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.357	4.067	0.334	0.743	-7.223	9.937	0.006
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-1.243	2.047	-0.607	0.552	-5.561	3.076	0.019

Table 920: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.700	1.188	4.796	0.000	3.193	8.207	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.033	1.727	-0.019	0.985	-3.676	3.610	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-5.700	3.942	-1.446	0.166	-14.016	2.616	0.103

Table 921: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.600	1.188	4.714	0.000	3.093	8.107	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.178	1.726	0.103	0.919	-3.464	3.820	0.001
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-5.600	3.940	-1.421	0.173	-13.913	2.713	0.100

Table 922: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs FORMULA 6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.909	1.157	4.244	0.000	2.479	7.339	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	1.091	1.724	0.633	0.535	-2.532	4.714	0.021

Table 923: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.714	1.004	5.690	0.000	3.595	7.833	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.114	1.958	-0.058	0.954	-4.245	4.016	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-5.714	3.890	-1.469	0.160	-13.921	2.492	0.104

Table 924: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	5.917 -1.117 -1.583	1.134 2.091 2.536	5.217 -0.534 -0.624	0.000 0.600 0.541	3.524 -5.529 -6.934	8.309 3.295 3.767	0.000 0.015 0.021

Table 925: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED 1yr.1	$4.900 \\ 1.656$	1.156 1.679	4.239 0.986	$0.001 \\ 0.338$	2.461 -1.888	7.339 5.199	$0.000 \\ 0.047$
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-4.900	3.834	-1.278	0.338		3.188	0.047 0.079

Table 926: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.571	1.266	2.822	0.012	0.901	6.242	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	3.345	1.593	2.100	0.051	-0.015	6.705	0.193
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-3.571	3.580	-0.998	0.332	-11.124	3.981	0.043

Table 927: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.0	1.877	3.196	0.005	2.040	9.960	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.4	2.113	-0.189	0.852	-4.857	4.057	0.002

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-6.0	4.197	-1.429	0.171	-14.856	2.856	0.113

Table 928: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.0	1.417	4.234	0.001	3.010	8.990	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.5	1.783	-0.280	0.783	-4.262	3.262	0.004
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-6.0	4.008	-1.497	0.153	-14.457	2.457	0.113

Table 929: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.50	2.846	2.635	0.022	1.298	13.702	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.50	4.930	-0.304	0.766	-12.242	9.242	0.005
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.25	3.486	0.072	0.944	-7.346	7.846	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-1.50	4.930	-0.304	0.766	-12.242	9.242	0.005
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-2.50	3.368	-0.742	0.472	-9.838	4.838	0.052
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-7.50	4.930	-1.521	0.154	-18.242	3.242	0.119
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-2.90	3.368	-0.861	0.406	-10.238	4.438	0.070
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-5.50	4.930	-1.116	0.286	-16.242	5.242	0.064

Table 930: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.615	1.033	4.469	0.000	2.436	6.794	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	3.385	2.129	1.590	0.130	-1.108	7.877	0.122
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.718	2.385	0.301	0.767	-4.314	5.750	0.004

Table 931: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.800	1.777	3.265	0.005	2.052	9.548	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.345	2.143	-0.161	0.874	-4.866	4.175	0.002
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-1.050	2.665	-0.394	0.698	-6.672	4.572	0.012

Table 932: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.000	4.768	1.258	0.249	-5.276	17.276	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-2.667	5.506	-0.484	0.643	-15.687	10.353	0.034
STATE.23	0.000	5.840	0.000	1.000	-13.810	13.810	0.000
STATE.24	-2.000	5.840	-0.342	0.742	-15.810	11.810	0.013
STATE.26	1.500	5.840	0.257	0.805	-12.310	15.310	0.007
STATE.29	6.000	6.744	0.890	0.403	-9.946	21.946	0.063
STATE.35	1.000	6.744	0.148	0.886	-14.946	16.946	0.002
STATE.38	1.000	6.744	0.148	0.886	-14.946	16.946	0.002
STATE.39	-4.000	6.744	-0.593	0.572	-19.946	11.946	0.028
STATE.40	-3.000	5.840	-0.514	0.623	-16.810	10.810	0.030
STATE.41	2.000	6.744	0.297	0.775	-13.946	17.946	0.007
STATE.73	-3.000	6.744	-0.445	0.670	-18.946	12.946	0.016
STATE.NA	0.000	5.840	0.000	1.000	-13.810	13.810	0.000

Table 933: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.0	3.421	0.585	0.584	-6.793	10.793	0.000
TRAIT.22	9.0	4.837	1.861	0.122	-3.435	21.435	0.121
TRAIT.24	1.0	4.189	0.239	0.821	-9.769	11.769	0.003
TRAIT.26	0.0	4.837	0.000	1.000	-12.435	12.435	0.000
TRAIT.27	2.0	4.189	0.477	0.653	-8.769	12.769	0.011
TRAIT.28	10.0	4.837	2.067	0.094	-2.435	22.435	0.149
TRAIT.29	4.0	4.837	0.827	0.446	-8.435	16.435	0.024
TRAIT.30	0.0	4.837	0.000	1.000	-12.435	12.435	0.000
TRAIT.32	5.0	4.837	1.034	0.349	-7.435	17.435	0.037
TRAIT.33	5.5	4.189	1.313	0.246	-5.269	16.269	0.085
TRAIT.36	2.0	4.837	0.413	0.696	-10.435	14.435	0.006
TRAIT.39	-2.0	4.837	-0.413	0.696	-14.435	10.435	0.006
TRAIT.49	10.0	4.837	2.067	0.094	-2.435	22.435	0.149
TRAIT.52	4.0	4.837	0.827	0.446	-8.435	16.435	0.024
TRAIT.NA	3.0	3.950	0.760	0.482	-7.153	13.153	0.036

Table 934: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.333	2.551	1.699	0.117	-1.280	9.947	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events. 1}$	2.667	3.226	0.827	0.426	-4.434	9.767	0.061
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-1.333	4.033	-0.331	0.747	-10.209	7.543	0.007
Negative Life Events. 26	-1.333	5.101	-0.261	0.799	-12.561	9.894	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.3	1.667	4.033	0.413	0.687	-7.209	10.543	0.011
NegativeLifeEvents.4	2.667	5.101	0.523	0.611	-8.561	13.894	0.015
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-1.333	4.033	-0.331	0.747	-10.209	7.543	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.7	0.667	5.101	0.131	0.898	-10.561	11.894	0.001
Negative Life Events. NA	2.667	3.607	0.739	0.475	-5.272	10.606	0.042

Table 935: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.0	2.634	3.416	0.007	3.130	14.870	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-2.0	4.563	-0.438	0.670	-12.167	8.167	0.006
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-7.0	4.563	-1.534	0.156	-17.167	3.167	0.076
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-3.8	3.117	-1.219	0.251	-10.745	3.145	0.089
Positive Life Events. 5	-6.0	3.726	-1.610	0.138	-14.301	2.301	0.106
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-4.0	3.401	-1.176	0.267	-11.578	3.578	0.067
PositiveLifeEvents.7	2.0	4.563	0.438	0.670	-8.167	12.167	0.006
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-7.0	4.563	-1.534	0.156	-17.167	3.167	0.076
Positive Life Events. 9	-9.0	4.563	-1.972	0.077	-19.167	1.167	0.126
Positive Life Events. NA	-2.0	3.401	-0.588	0.570	-9.578	5.578	0.017

Table 936: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9	2.387	3.770	0.004	3.680	14.320	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	-4	4.135	-0.967	0.356	-13.214	5.214	0.026
Total Life Events. 11	-9	4.135	-2.176	0.055	-18.214	0.214	0.133
Total Life Events. 13	-7	4.135	-1.693	0.121	-16.214	2.214	0.080
Total Life Events. 15	-2	4.135	-0.484	0.639	-11.214	7.214	0.007
TotalLifeEvents.29	-6	4.135	-1.451	0.177	-15.214	3.214	0.059
Total Life Events. 6	-3	3.376	-0.889	0.395	-10.523	4.523	0.028
Total Life Events. 7	-1	2.924	-0.342	0.739	-7.515	5.515	0.006
Total Life Events. 8	-7	2.924	-2.394	0.038	-13.515	-0.485	0.270
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-2	3.082	-0.649	0.531	-8.868	4.868	0.018

Table 937: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.846	1.053	4.603	0.000	2.634	7.058	0.00
Stranger	1.582	1.780	0.889	0.386	-2.157	5.321	0.04

Table 938: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-5.825	6.981	-0.834	0.415	-20.491	8.841	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.029	0.018	1.624	0.122	-0.008	0.066	0.122

Table 939: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.117	4.336	0.950	0.355	-4.992	13.227	0.000
MAGE	0.044	0.139	0.312	0.758	-0.249	0.337	0.005

Table 940: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	0.813 0.139	3.353 0.098	0.243 1.417	0.0==	-6.230 -0.067	7.857 0.346	0.000

Table 941: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	7.877 -0.151	5.903 0.365	1.334 -0.415	000	-4.525 -0.917	20.279 0.615	0.000

Table 942: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.067	4.716	1.074	0.297	-4.841	14.975	0
PEDUY	0.024	0.294	0.082	0.935	-0.592	0.641	0

Table 943: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.111	1.163	5.254	0.000	3.657	8.565	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-1.444	1.839	-0.785	0.443	-5.325	2.436	0.036
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.911	1.946	-0.468	0.646	-5.018	3.196	0.013

Table 944: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.000	1.299	3.848	0.001	2.270	7.730	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.692	1.612	0.430	0.673	-2.694	4.079	0.01

Table 945: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.088	2.314	2.631	0.0-1		10.950	0.000
SEX	-0.473	1.616	-0.292	0.773	-3.868	2.923	0.004

Table 946: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-36.026	27.183	-1.325	0.202	-93.136	21.083	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.150	0.099	1.526	0.144	-0.057	0.357	0.109

Table 947: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-5.022 0.003	7.528 0.002	-0.667 1.398	0.0-0	-20.837 -0.002		0.000 0.093

Table 948: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.500	0.997	5.514	0.000	3.405	7.595	0
MaternalInfection	-0.125	1.577	-0.079	0.938	-3.438	3.188	0

Table 949: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.667	0.886	6.393	0.000	3.804		0.000
MPSYCH	-0.867	1.773	-0.489	0.631	-4.591	2.858	0.012

Table 950: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.615	0.899	5.134	0.000	2.727	6.504	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	2.385	1.519	1.569	0.134	-0.808	5.577	0.115

Table 951: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.429	0.917	5.918	0.000	3.493	7.364	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	3.571	3.552	1.005	0.329	-3.923	11.066	0.051
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.629	1.788	-0.352	0.729	-4.401	3.144	0.006

Table 952: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.100	1.095	4.658	0.000	2.790	7.410	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	1.011	1.591	0.636	0.534	-2.345	4.367	0.021
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-2.100	3.631	-0.578	0.571	-9.761	5.561	0.018

Table 953: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	6.000 -0.889 -3.000	1.098 1.595 3.641	5.465 -0.557 -0.824	0.000 0.585 0.421	3.684 -4.254 -10.682	8.316 2.476 4.682	0.000 0.016 0.035

Table 954: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA 6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.545	1.041	5.325	0.000	3.358	7.733	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-0.212	1.552	-0.137	0.893	-3.474	3.049	0.001

Table 955: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.429	0.934	5.815	0.000	3.459	7.398	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.571	1.820	0.314	0.757	-3.268	4.411	0.005
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-2.429	3.616	-0.672	0.511	-10.057	5.200	0.023

Table 956: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.000	1.005	5.973	0.000	3.881	8.119	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-1.400	1.852	-0.756	0.460	-5.308	2.508	0.030

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-1.333	2.246	-0.594	0.561	-6.073	3.406	0.019

Table 957: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURREPED 11	4.500	1.041	4.325	0.000	2.305	0.000	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	2.278 -1.500	1.512 3.451	1.507 -0.435	000	-0.912 -8.781	00.	0.110 0.009

Table 958: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.714	1.196	3.107	0.006	1.192	6.237	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	2.952	1.504	1.963	0.066	-0.222	6.126	0.180
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-0.714	3.382	-0.211	0.835	-7.849	6.420	0.002

Table 959: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.500	1.733	3.750	0.002	2.843	10.157	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-1.167	1.951	-0.598	0.558	-5.283	2.949	0.021
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-3.500	3.876	-0.903	0.379	-11.678	4.678	0.047

Table 960: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.286	1.306	4.811	0.000	3.529	9.042	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-1.119	1.644	-0.681	0.505	-4.587	2.349	0.025
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-3.286	3.695	-0.889	0.386	-11.082	4.510	0.042

Table 961: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.50	2.457	3.460	0.005	3.147	13.853	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-3.50	4.255	-0.823	0.427	-12.771	5.771	0.026
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-1.75	3.009	-0.582	0.572	-8.306	4.806	0.022
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-3.50	4.255	-0.823	0.427	-12.771	5.771	0.026
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-3.70	2.907	-1.273	0.227	-10.033	2.633	0.114
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-8.50	4.255	-1.998	0.069	-17.771	0.771	0.153

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-2.70	2.907	-0.929	0.371	-9.033	3.633	0.061
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-6.50	4.255	-1.528	0.153	-15.771	2.771	0.089

Table 962: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.769	0.922	5.175	0.000	2.825	6.714	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	2.981	1.900	1.569	0.135	-1.028	6.989	0.119
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.564	2.128	0.265	0.794	-3.927	5.055	0.003

Table 963: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.400	1.560	4.104	0.001	3.110	9.690	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-1.036	1.881	-0.551	0.589	-5.005	2.932	0.021
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-1.900	2.339	-0.812	0.428	-6.836	3.036	0.047

Table 964: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.0	3.854	1.038	0.334	-5.114	13.114	0.000
STATE.22	1.0	4.451	0.225	0.829	-9.524	11.524	0.006
STATE.23	2.5	4.721	0.530	0.613	-8.663	13.663	0.026
STATE.24	1.5	4.721	0.318	0.760	-9.663	12.663	0.010
STATE.26	1.5	4.721	0.318	0.760	-9.663	12.663	0.010
STATE.29	8.0	5.451	1.468	0.186	-4.890	20.890	0.143
STATE.35	4.0	5.451	0.734	0.487	-8.890	16.890	0.036
STATE.38	5.0	5.451	0.917	0.390	-7.890	17.890	0.056
STATE.39	-2.0	5.451	-0.367	0.725	-14.890	10.890	0.009
STATE.40	-1.5	4.721	-0.318	0.760	-12.663	9.663	0.010
STATE.41	3.0	5.451	0.550	0.599	-9.890	15.890	0.020
STATE.73	-2.0	5.451	-0.367	0.725	-14.890	10.890	0.009
STATE.NA	1.0	4.721	0.212	0.838	-10.163	12.163	0.004

Table 965: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.0	2.550	1.177	0.292	-3.554	9.554	0.000
TRAIT.22	4.0	3.606	1.109	0.318	-5.268	13.268	0.037
TRAIT.24	-0.5	3.122	-0.160	0.879	-8.527	7.527	0.001
TRAIT.26	3.0	3.606	0.832	0.443	-6.268	12.268	0.021
TRAIT.27	3.0	3.122	0.961	0.381	-5.027	11.027	0.040

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	9.0	3.606	2.496	0.055	-0.268	18.268	0.189
TRAIT.29	2.0	3.606	0.555	0.603	-7.268	11.268	0.009
TRAIT.30	-1.0	3.606	-0.277	0.793	-10.268	8.268	0.002
TRAIT.32	5.0	3.606	1.387	0.224	-4.268	14.268	0.058
TRAIT.33	5.0	3.122	1.601	0.170	-3.027	13.027	0.111
TRAIT.36	1.0	3.606	0.277	0.793	-8.268	10.268	0.002
TRAIT.39	-3.0	3.606	-0.832	0.443	-12.268	6.268	0.021
TRAIT.49	9.0	3.606	2.496	0.055	-0.268	18.268	0.189
TRAIT.52	2.0	3.606	0.555	0.603	-7.268	11.268	0.009
TRAIT.NA	1.0	2.944	0.340	0.748	-6.568	8.568	0.006

Table 966: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.667	2.150	2.171	0.053	-0.065	9.399	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	2.933	2.719	1.079	0.304	-3.052	8.919	0.099
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.667	3.399	-0.196	0.848	-8.149	6.815	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-2.667	4.300	-0.620	0.548	-12.131	6.797	0.021
NegativeLifeEvents.3	1.333	3.399	0.392	0.702	-6.149	8.815	0.010
NegativeLifeEvents.4	3.333	4.300	0.775	0.455	-6.131	12.797	0.032
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-1.667	3.399	-0.490	0.634	-9.149	5.815	0.015
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.667	4.300	-0.155	0.880	-10.131	8.797	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	1.000	3.040	0.329	0.748	-5.692	7.692	0.008

Table 967: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.500	2.767	3.072	0.012	2.335	14.665	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.500	4.793	-0.104	0.919	-11.179	10.179	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-5.500	4.793	-1.148	0.278	-16.179	5.179	0.057
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-3.700	3.274	-1.130	0.285	-10.995	3.595	0.102
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-6.000	3.913	-1.533	0.156	-14.719	2.719	0.128
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-2.167	3.572	-0.607	0.558	-10.126	5.793	0.024
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-1.500	4.793	-0.313	0.761	-12.179	9.179	0.004
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-2.500	4.793	-0.522	0.613	-13.179	8.179	0.012
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-5.500	4.793	-1.148	0.278	-16.179	5.179	0.057
Positive Life Events. NA	-2.833	3.572	-0.793	0.446	-10.793	5.126	0.041

Table 968: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.500	2.456	3.461	0.006	3.027	13.973	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-4.500	4.254	-1.058	0.315	-13.979	4.979	0.041
Total Life Events. 11	-5.500	4.254	-1.293	0.225	-14.979	3.979	0.061

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-5.500	4.254	-1.293	0.225	-14.979	3.979	0.061
Total Life Events. 15	-0.500	4.254	-0.118	0.909	-9.979	8.979	0.001
Total Life Events. 29	-6.500	4.254	-1.528	0.158	-15.979	2.979	0.085
Total Life Events. 6	-2.000	3.474	-0.576	0.578	-9.740	5.740	0.015
Total Life Events. 7	-1.000	3.008	-0.332	0.746	-7.703	5.703	0.007
Total Life Events. 8	-5.500	3.008	-1.828	0.097	-12.203	1.203	0.204
Total Life Events. NA	-2.833	3.171	-0.894	0.393	-9.899	4.232	0.043

Table 969: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.231	0.954	5.480	0.000	3.226	7.236	0.000
Stranger	0.626	1.613	0.388	0.702	-2.763	4.016	0.008

Table 970: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-5.285	2.675	-1.975	0.064	-10.905	0.336	0.000
${\bf Age At 1 yr Visit}$	0.016	0.007	2.324	0.032	0.002	0.030	0.221

Table 971: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.088	1.764	0.050	0.961	-3.617	3.794	0.000
MAGE	0.027	0.057	0.468	0.646	-0.093	0.146	0.011

Table 972: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.523	1.401	-0.373	0.713	-3.466	2.420	0.000
PAGE	0.043	0.041	1.041	0.312	-0.044	0.129	0.054

Table 973: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.784	2.411	0.74	0.469	-3.282	6.850	0.000
MEDUY	-0.055	0.149	-0.37	0.716	-0.368	0.258	0.007

Table 974: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Score_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=18

MaskSummed-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.193	1.900	1.154	0.264	-1.799	6.185	0.000
PEDUY	-0.082	0.118	-0.690	0.499	-0.330	0.167	0.024

Table 975: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Score_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=17 MaskSummed-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Income.code.LOW	1.444 -0.778	$0.445 \\ 0.704$	3.243 -1.104	$0.005 \\ 0.285$	0.505 -2.264	$2.384 \\ 0.708$	0.000 0.060
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.244	0.745	-1.670	0.113	-2.817	0.328	0.137

Table 976: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.429	0.515	0.832	0.416	-0.653	1.510	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.725	0.639	1.136	0.271	-0.617	2.067	0.064

Table 977: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.989	0.946	1.045	0.310	-0.999	2.977	0.000
SEX	-0.066	0.661	-0.100	0.922	-1.455	1.323	0.001

Table 978: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-23.560	10.283	-2.291	0.034	-45.163	-1.957	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.089	0.037	2.380	0.029	0.010	0.167	0.23

Table 979: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.994	3.020	-1.323	0.203	-10.338	2.350	0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.001	0.001	1.628	0.121	0.000	0.003	0.122

Table 980: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.083	0.401	2.699	0.015	0.240	1.927	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.458	0.635	-0.722	0.479	-1.792	0.875	0.027

Table 981: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	1.067 -0.667	0.356 0.711	3.000 -0.937		0.320 -2.161		0.000 0.044

Table 982: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.846	0.391	2.167	0.044	0.026	1.667	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.154	0.660	0.233	0.818	-1.233	1.541	0.003

Table 983: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.786	0.380	2.068	0.054	-0.016	1.587	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.214	1.472	0.825	0.421	-1.890	4.319	0.035
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.214	0.741	0.289	0.776	-1.348	1.777	0.004

Table 984: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.9	0.453	1.986	0.063	-0.056	1.856	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.1	0.658	0.152	0.881	-1.289	1.489	0.001
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.9	1.503	-0.599	0.557	-4.071	2.271	0.019

Table 985: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.800	0.450	1.776	0.00 =	-0.150	1.750	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	0.311 -0.800	0.654 1.494	0.475 -0.536		-1.070 -3.952	1.692 2.352	0.012 0.015

Table 986: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.818	0.424	1.929	0.070	-0.073	1.709	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.182	0.632	0.287	0.777	-1.147	1.510	0.004

Table 987: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.071	0.379	2.829	0.012	0.272	1.870	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.471	0.738	-0.639	0.532	-2.029	1.086	0.021
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-1.071	1.467	-0.731	0.475	-4.166	2.023	0.027

Table 988: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	1.083 -0.083 -1.083	0.402 0.741 0.898	2.697 -0.113 -1.206	0.015 0.912 0.244	0.236 -1.646 -2.978	1.931 1.479 0.812	0.000 0.001 0.075

Table 989: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED 1yr.NA	0.800 0.311 -0.800	0.450 0.654 1.494	1.776 0.475 -0.536	0.641	-0.150 -1.070 -3.952	1.750 1.692 2.352	0.000 0.012 0.015

Table 990: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.0	0.458	0.000	1.000	-0.967	0.967	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	1.5	0.577	2.601	0.019	0.283	2.717	0.279
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	0.0	1.296	0.000	1.000	-2.735	2.735	0.000

Table 991: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.717	1.395	0.181	-0.512	2.512	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.067	0.807	-0.083	0.935	-1.769	1.635	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-1.000	1.603	-0.624	0.541	-4.381	2.381	0.024

Table 992: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.542	1.846	0.082	-0.143	2.143	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.083	0.682	-0.122	0.904	-1.521	1.355	0.001
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-1.000	1.532	-0.653	0.523	-4.232	2.232	0.024

Table 993: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	1.137	0.880	0.396	-1.476	3.476	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.0	1.969	-0.508	0.621	-5.289	3.289	0.018
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.5	1.392	0.359	0.726	-2.533	3.533	0.015
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.0	1.969	0.000	1.000	-4.289	4.289	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.4	1.345	-0.297	0.771	-3.330	2.530	0.011
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-1.0	1.969	-0.508	0.621	-5.289	3.289	0.018
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.2	1.345	0.149	0.884	-2.730	3.130	0.003
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.0	1.969	-0.508	0.621	-5.289	3.289	0.018

Table 994: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.846	0.399	2.123	0.049	0.005	1.687	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo.1	0.404	0.822	0.491	0.629	-1.330	2.137	0.013
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.179	0.920	-0.195	0.848	-2.122	1.763	0.002

Table 995: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.200	0.639	1.878	0.078	-0.148	2.548	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.291	0.771	-0.377	0.710	-1.917	1.335	0.010
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.700	0.959	-0.730	0.475	-2.722	1.322	0.038

Table 996: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	1.363	0.734	0.487	-2.222	4.222	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-1.0	1.574	-0.635	0.545	-4.721	2.721	0.045
STATE.23	1.0	1.669	0.599	0.568	-2.947	4.947	0.032
STATE.24	-0.5	1.669	-0.300	0.773	-4.447	3.447	0.008
STATE.26	0.5	1.669	0.300	0.773	-3.447	4.447	0.008
STATE.29	3.0	1.927	1.557	0.164	-1.557	7.557	0.151
STATE.35	1.0	1.927	0.519	0.620	-3.557	5.557	0.017
STATE.38	1.0	1.927	0.519	0.620	-3.557	5.557	0.017
STATE.39	-1.0	1.927	-0.519	0.620	-5.557	3.557	0.017
STATE.40	-1.0	1.669	-0.599	0.568	-4.947	2.947	0.032
STATE.41	0.0	1.927	0.000	1.000	-4.557	4.557	0.000
STATE.73	-1.0	1.927	-0.519	0.620	-5.557	3.557	0.017
STATE.NA	-1.0	1.669	-0.599	0.568	-4.947	2.947	0.032

Table 997: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Score_StartleResponse vs TRAIT, df=5

 ${\bf MaskSummed-}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
	Louinace	Did. Liloi	o varae	11(> 0)	2.0 /0	01.0 70	
Intercept	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.22	3.0	0.632	4.743	0.005	1.374	4.626	0.164
TRAIT.24	0.5	0.548	0.913	0.403	-0.908	1.908	0.009
TRAIT.26	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.0	0.548	0.000	1.000	-1.408	1.408	0.000
TRAIT.28	4.0	0.632	6.325	0.001	2.374	5.626	0.292
TRAIT.29	1.0	0.632	1.581	0.175	-0.626	2.626	0.018
TRAIT.30	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.32	2.0	0.632	3.162	0.025	0.374	3.626	0.073
TRAIT.33	1.5	0.548	2.739	0.041	0.092	2.908	0.078
TRAIT.36	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.39	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.49	4.0	0.632	6.325	0.001	2.374	5.626	0.292
TRAIT.52	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.NA	0.0	0.516	0.000	1.000	-1.327	1.327	0.000

Table 998: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.885	1.130	0.283	-0.949	2.949	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.400	1.120	0.357	0.728	-2.065	2.865	0.011
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-1.000	1.400	-0.714	0.490	-4.081	2.081	0.033
Negative Life Events. 26	-1.000	1.771	-0.565	0.584	-4.897	2.897	0.018
NegativeLifeEvents.3	1.000	1.400	0.714	0.490	-2.081	4.081	0.033
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.000	1.771	0.565	0.584	-2.897	4.897	0.018
${\bf Negative Life Events.5}$	-1.000	1.400	-0.714	0.490	-4.081	2.081	0.033
Negative Life Events. 7	-1.000	1.771	-0.565	0.584	-4.897	2.897	0.018
Negative Life Events. NA	-0.333	1.252	-0.266	0.795	-3.089	2.422	0.005

Table 999: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.500	1.016	2.461	0.034	0.237	4.763	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.500	1.759	-0.284	0.782	-4.420	3.420	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-2.500	1.759	-1.421	0.186	-6.420	1.420	0.061
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-1.700	1.202	-1.415	0.188	-4.378	0.978	0.112
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-2.500	1.436	-1.740	0.112	-5.701	0.701	0.116
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-1.833	1.311	-1.398	0.192	-4.755	1.088	0.089
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.500	1.759	0.284	0.782	-3.420	4.420	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-2.500	1.759	-1.421	0.186	-6.420	1.420	0.061
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-2.500	1.759	-1.421	0.186	-6.420	1.420	0.061
PositiveLifeEvents.NA	-1.833	1.311	-1.398	0.192	-4.755	1.088	0.089

Table 1000: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	0.998	2.505	0.031	0.277	4.723	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-2.500	1.728	-1.446	0.179	-6.351	1.351	0.062
Total Life Events. 11	-2.500	1.728	-1.446	0.179	-6.351	1.351	0.062
Total Life Events. 13	-2.500	1.728	-1.446	0.179	-6.351	1.351	0.062
Total Life Events. 15	-0.500	1.728	-0.289	0.778	-4.351	3.351	0.002
Total Life Events. 29	-2.500	1.728	-1.446	0.179	-6.351	1.351	0.062
Total Life Events. 6	-0.500	1.411	-0.354	0.730	-3.644	2.644	0.005
Total Life Events. 7	-1.250	1.222	-1.023	0.331	-3.973	1.473	0.052
Total Life Events. 8	-2.500	1.222	-2.046	0.068	-5.223	0.223	0.209
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-1.833	1.288	-1.423	0.185	-4.704	1.037	0.089

Table 1001: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.615	0.374	1.644	0.118	-0.171	1.402	0.00
Stranger	0.813	0.633	1.285	0.215	-0.516	2.143	0.08

Table 1002: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-8.564	4.105	-2.086	0.051	-17.187	0.06	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.028	0.010	2.673	0.016	0.006	0.05	0.273

Table 1003: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.665	2.767	1.686	0.109	-1.149	10.478	0.000
MAGE	-0.076	0.089	-0.850	0.406	-0.263	0.111	0.037

Table 1004: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	1.384 0.029	2.282 0.067	$0.606 \\ 0.434$	0.00-	-3.411 -0.112	6.179 0.170	0.00

Table 1005: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	7.465 -0.319	3.653	2.044 -1.413	0.000	000	15.139 0.155	0.000

Table 1006: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.145	3.056	1.029	0.0_,	0	9.565	0.000
PEDUY	-0.050	0.190	-0.264	0.795	-0.450	0.349	0.004

Table 1007: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.222	0.768	2.893	0.010	0.602	3.843	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.278	1.214	0.229	0.822	-2.284	2.840	0.003
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.178	1.285	0.138	0.892	-2.534	2.889	0.001

Table 1008: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.143	0.815	3.854	0.001	1.430	4.856	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-1.220	1.011	-1.206	0.243	-3.345	0.905	0.071

Table 1009: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	1.297 0.780	1.482 1.035	$0.875 \\ 0.754$	0.000	-1.817 -1.395		0.000

Table 1010: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-6.361	18.637	-0.341	0.737	-45.516	32.795	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.032	0.068	0.468	0.646	-0.110	0.174	0.011

Table 1011: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	1.384 0.000	5.139 0.002	0.269 0.189	0	-9.413 -0.003	12.181 0.003	$0.000 \\ 0.002$

Table 1012: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.25	0.646	3.481	0.003	0.892	3.608	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.25	1.022	0.245	0.810	-1.897	2.397	0.003

Table 1013: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.2	0.575	3.828	0.001	0.992	3.408	0.000
MPSYCH	0.6	1.150	0.522	0.608	-1.815	3.015	0.014

Table 1014: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.154	0.617	3.490	0.003	0.857	3.450	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.560	1.043	0.537	0.598	-1.631	2.752	0.015

Table 1015: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=17

Score_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=17

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) 2.5 % 97.5 %

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.929	0.557	5.262	0.000	1.754	4.103	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.929	2.156	-0.431	0.672	-5.477	3.619	0.008
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-2.129	1.085	-1.962	0.066	-4.418	0.161	0.170

Table 1016: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1 ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	2.800 -0.689 -2.800	0.697 1.012 2.310	4.020 -0.681 -1.212		1.330 -2.824 -7.674	4.270 1.446 2.074	0.023

Table 1017: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.800	0.697	4.020	0.001	1.330	4.270	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	-0.689	1.012	-0.681	0.505	-2.824	1.446	0.023
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-2.800	2.310	-1.212	0.242	-7.674	2.074	0.073

Table 1018: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA 6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.665	3.008	0.008	0.603	3.397	0.000
FORMULA 6mo	0.778	0.991	0.785	0.443	-1.305	2.860	

Table 1019: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.286	0.590	3.874	0.001	1.041	3.531	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.714	1.150	0.621	0.543	-1.712	3.141	0.019
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-2.286	2.285	-1.000	0.331	-7.107	2.536	0.050

Table 1020: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.333	0.666	3.503	0.003	0.928	3.739	0
DAYCARE.1	0.067	1.228	0.054	0.957	-2.525	2.658	0

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
DAYCARE.NA	0.000	1.490	0.000	1.000	-3.143	3.143	0

Table 1021: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.400	0.706	3.402	0.003	0.911	3.889	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr.1$	0.156	1.025	0.152	0.881	-2.007	2.318	0.001
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-2.400	2.340	-1.026	0.319	-7.337	2.537	0.055

Table 1022: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.00	0.831	2.406	0.028	0.246	3.754	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.75	1.046	0.717	0.483	-1.457	2.957	0.027
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-2.00	2.351	-0.851	0.407	-6.961	2.961	0.039

Table 1023: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.0	1.109	1.804	0.089	-0.339	4.339	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.6	1.248	0.481	0.637	-2.033	3.233	0.014
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-2.0	2.479	-0.807	0.431	-7.231	3.231	0.039

Table 1024: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.857	0.836	3.419	0.003	1.094	4.620	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.607	1.052	-0.577	0.571	-2.826	1.611	0.017
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-2.857	2.364	-1.209	0.243	-7.844	2.130	0.076

Table 1025: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.0	1.258	4.768	0.000	3.258	8.742	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-2.0	2.179	-0.918	0.377	-6.749	2.749	0.012
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-5.0	1.541	-3.244	0.007	-8.358	-1.642	0.251
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-3.0	2.179	-1.376	0.194	-7.749	1.749	0.027
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-2.8	1.489	-1.881	0.085	-6.044	0.444	0.092
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-6.0	2.179	-2.753	0.018	-10.749	-1.251	0.107

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-4.6	1.489	-3.090	0.009	-7.844	-1.356	0.249
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-5.0	2.179	-2.294	0.041	-9.749	-0.251	0.074

Table 1026: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.077	0.616	3.370	0.004	0.777	3.377	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	1.423	1.270	1.120	0.278	-1.257	4.103	0.065
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.077	1.423	-0.054	0.958	-3.079	2.926	0.000

Table 1027: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.800	1.023	2.737	0.014	0.641	4.959	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.527	1.234	-0.427	0.675	-3.131	2.076	0.013
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.800	1.535	-0.521	0.609	-4.038	2.438	0.020

Table 1028: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	2.854	0.350	0.736	-5.748	7.748	0.000
STATE.22	2.0	3.295	0.607	0.563	-5.791	9.791	0.047
STATE.23	-1.0	3.495	-0.286	0.783	-9.264	7.264	0.008
STATE.24	1.5	3.495	0.429	0.681	-6.764	9.764	0.019
STATE.26	3.0	3.495	0.858	0.419	-5.264	11.264	0.074
STATE.29	3.0	4.036	0.743	0.481	-6.543	12.543	0.039
STATE.35	4.0	4.036	0.991	0.355	-5.543	13.543	0.070
STATE.38	1.0	4.036	0.248	0.811	-8.543	10.543	0.004
STATE.39	0.0	4.036	0.000	1.000	-9.543	9.543	0.000
STATE.40	1.0	3.495	0.286	0.783	-7.264	9.264	0.008
STATE.41	2.0	4.036	0.496	0.635	-7.543	11.543	0.017
STATE.73	1.0	4.036	0.248	0.811	-8.543	10.543	0.004
STATE.NA	0.5	3.495	0.143	0.890	-7.764	8.764	0.002

Table 1029: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	2.456	0.814	0.453	-4.314	8.314	0.000
TRAIT.22	5.000	3.474	1.439	0.210	-3.929	13.929	0.137
TRAIT.24	-1.500	3.008	-0.499	0.639	-9.233	6.233	0.023
TRAIT.26	0.000	3.474	0.000	1.000	-8.929	8.929	0.000
TRAIT.27	1.500	3.008	0.499	0.639	-6.233	9.233	0.023

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	-2.000	3.474	-0.576	0.590	-10.929	6.929	0.022
TRAIT.29	1.000	3.474	0.288	0.785	-7.929	9.929	0.005
TRAIT.30	-1.000	3.474	-0.288	0.785	-9.929	7.929	0.005
TRAIT.32	3.000	3.474	0.864	0.427	-5.929	11.929	0.049
TRAIT.33	0.500	3.008	0.166	0.875	-7.233	8.233	0.003
TRAIT.36	-1.000	3.474	-0.288	0.785	-9.929	7.929	0.005
TRAIT.39	-2.000	3.474	-0.576	0.590	-10.929	6.929	0.022
TRAIT.49	2.000	3.474	0.576	0.590	-6.929	10.929	0.022
TRAIT.52	2.000	3.474	0.576	0.590	-6.929	10.929	0.022
TRAIT.NA	-0.333	2.836	-0.118	0.911	-7.624	6.958	0.002

Table 1030: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	1.278	2.348	0.039	0.188	5.812	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.600	1.616	0.371	0.717	-2.957	4.157	0.010
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-1.000	2.020	-0.495	0.630	-5.446	3.446	0.013
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-1.000	2.555	-0.391	0.703	-6.624	4.624	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-3.000	2.020	-1.485	0.166	-7.446	1.446	0.121
NegativeLifeEvents.4	2.000	2.555	0.783	0.450	-3.624	7.624	0.028
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-2.000	2.020	-0.990	0.343	-6.446	2.446	0.054
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-3.000	2.555	-1.174	0.265	-8.624	2.624	0.064
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	-0.667	1.807	-0.369	0.719	-4.643	3.310	0.008

Table 1031: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.500	1.414	2.476	0.033	0.350	6.650	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	1.500	2.448	0.613	0.554	-3.956	6.956	0.015
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.500	2.448	-0.613	0.554	-6.956	3.956	0.015
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-2.700	1.673	-1.614	0.138	-6.427	1.027	0.194
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.500	1.999	-0.750	0.470	-5.954	2.954	0.029
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.500	1.825	-0.274	0.790	-4.566	3.566	0.005
PositiveLifeEvents.7	3.500	2.448	1.429	0.183	-1.956	8.956	0.082
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-1.500	2.448	-0.613	0.554	-6.956	3.956	0.015
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-3.500	2.448	-1.429	0.183	-8.956	1.956	0.082
Positive Life Events. NA	-1.167	1.825	-0.639	0.537	-5.233	2.900	0.025

Table 1032: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.500	1.076	3.252	0.009	1.102	5.898	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-3.500	1.864	-1.878	0.090	-7.654	0.654	0.092
Total Life Events. 11	-3.500	1.864	-1.878	0.090	-7.654	0.654	0.092

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.500	1.864	-0.805	0.440	-5.654	2.654	0.017
Total Life Events. 15	1.500	1.864	0.805	0.440	-2.654	5.654	0.017
TotalLifeEvents.29	-1.500	1.864	-0.805	0.440	-5.654	2.654	0.017
Total Life Events. 6	-3.500	1.522	-2.300	0.044	-6.891	-0.109	0.174
Total Life Events. 7	1.500	1.318	1.138	0.282	-1.437	4.437	0.057
Total Life Events. 8	-2.500	1.318	-1.897	0.087	-5.437	0.437	0.158
Total Life Events. NA	-1.167	1.389	-0.840	0.421	-4.263	1.929	0.027

Table 1033: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.769	0.577	3.064	0.007	0.556	2.982	0.000
Stranger	1.659	0.976	1.700	0.106	-0.391	3.710	0.132

Table 1034: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.965	0.887	1.088	0.290	-0.892	2.822	0.000
${\bf AgeAt1yrVisit}$	-0.003	0.002	-1.093	0.288	-0.007	0.002	0.056

Table 1035: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	0.477 -0.015	0.618 0.019	0.772 -0.779	000	-0.816 -0.056	$1.769 \\ 0.025$	0.000 0.029

Table 1036: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.132	0.363	3.116	0.006	0.372	1.893	0.000
PAGE	-0.033	0.010	-3.175	0.005	-0.055	-0.011	0.335

Table 1037: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.066	0.727	0.091	0.929	-1.456	1.589	0
MEDUY	-0.004	0.044	-0.091	0.928	-0.096	0.088	0

Table 1038: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.177	0.450	-0.393	0.698	-1.118	0.764	0.000
PEDUY	0.011	0.029	0.401	0.693	-0.048	0.071	0.008

Table 1039: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.170	0.123	-1.380	0.184	-0.429	0.089	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.208	0.222	0.937	0.361	-0.259	0.675	0.041
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.343	0.180	1.906	0.073	-0.035	0.720	0.168

Table 1040: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.077	0.138	-0.557	0.584	-0.366	0.212	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.124	0.175	0.708	0.487	-0.243	0.491	0.024

Table 1041: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	0.267 -0.193	$0.252 \\ 0.172$	1.061 -1.125		-0.260 -0.553	0.794 0.166	0.00

Table 1042: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.365	3.054	1.429	0.169	-2.027	10.758	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.016	0.011	-1.430	0.169	-0.039	0.007	0.093

Table 1043: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.098	0.706	1.556	000	-0.379	2.576	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	-1.567	0.134	-0.001	0.000	0.109

Table 1044: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.021	0.114	0.180	0.859	-0.218	0.259	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.048	0.174	-0.275	0.786	-0.412	0.316	0.004

Table 1045: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	-0.010 0.042	0.099 0.202	-0.102 0.208	0.0_0	-0.217 -0.381	00.	0.000 0.002
MPSYCH	0.042	0.202	0.208	0.837	-0.381	0.405	0.002

Table 1046: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.079	0.106	0.751	0.462	-0.142	0.30	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.208	0.171	-1.217	0.239	-0.566	0.15	0.069

Table 1047: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.022	0.107	0.208	0.838	-0.203	0.247	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.393	0.282	-1.393	0.181	-0.989	0.202	0.084
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.043	0.185	-0.233	0.818	-0.433	0.347	0.002
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.580	0.385	1.509	0.150	-0.231	1.392	0.096

Table 1048: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC 1yr	0.085	0.118	0.720 -1.155		-0.163 -0.572	0.332 0.166	

Table 1049: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.048	0.121	-0.399	0.695	-0.303	0.206	0.000
$FORMULA_1yr$	0.093	0.181	0.516	0.612	-0.286	0.473	0.014

Table 1050: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs FORMULA 6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.088	0.116	0.763	0.455	-0.154	0.330	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-0.185	0.167	-1.106	0.283	-0.535	0.165	0.058

Table 1051: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.049	0.107	-0.456	0.654	-0.273	0.176	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.141	0.195	0.722	0.479	-0.268	0.550	0.027

Table 1052: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.027	0.132	0.202	0.843	-0.256	0.309	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.084	0.199	-0.420	0.681	-0.511	0.344	0.012

Table 1053: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.016	0.128	0.123	0.903	-0.253	0.284	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	-0.044	0.181	-0.245	0.810	-0.424	0.336	0.003

Table 1054: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.290	0.164	-1.768		-0.634		
Milks_1yr	0.378	0.189	1.997	0.061	-0.020	0.775	0.173

Table 1055: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.034	0.134	0.255	0.802	-0.248	0.316	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	-0.074	0.181	-0.407	0.688	-0.454	0.307	0.009

Table 1056: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.130	0.177	0.736	0.471	-0.242	0.503	0.00
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	-0.182	0.205	-0.892	0.384	-0.612	0.247	0.04

Table 1057: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PeanutButter_1yr	-0.024 0.027	0.153 0.190	-0.158 0.144	0.0.0	-0.345 -0.371	$0.297 \\ 0.426$	0.000

Table 1058: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.417	0.420	-0.993	0.337	-1.313	0.479	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.511	0.460	1.110	0.285	-0.471	1.492	0.150
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.474	0.460	1.030	0.319	-0.507	1.456	0.130
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.427	0.515	0.829	0.420	-0.670	1.524	0.050
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.338	0.449	0.752	0.464	-0.620	1.296	0.081
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.615	0.594	1.035	0.317	-0.652	1.882	0.055

Table 1059: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMIND_6mo	-0.052 0.073	0.102 0.223	0.010	0.0-1	-0.268 -0.397	$0.164 \\ 0.543$	

Table 1060: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.166	0.174	-0.954	0.353	-0.533	0.201	0.00
$Cereals_6mo$	0.175	0.203	0.866	0.399	-0.252	0.603	0.04

Table 1061: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.112	0.412	-0.273	0.788	-0.985	0.760	0.000
STATE	0.004	0.013	0.288	0.777	-0.024	0.032	0.005

Table 1062: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TRAIT	0.167 -0.005	$0.349 \\ 0.010$	0.479 -0.478	0.000	-0.569 -0.026	0.000	0.000 0.013

Table 1063: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.126	0.119	-1.055	0.306	-0.377	0.125	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.043	0.026	1.616	0.124	-0.013	0.098	0.127

Table 1064: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.090	0.132	0.679	0.506	-0.189	0.368	0.000
${\bf Positive Life Events}$	-0.014	0.016	-0.859	0.402	-0.049	0.021	0.039

Table 1065: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.001	0.182	-0.007	0.995	-0.385	0.382	0
${\it Total Life Events}$	0.001	0.017	0.053	0.958	-0.036	0.038	0

Table 1066: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.179	0.389	-0.461	0.650	-0.993	0.634	0.000
${\bf AgeAt1yrVisit}$	0.000	0.001	0.463	0.648	-0.002	0.003	0.011

Table 1067: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.637 0.020	$0.224 \\ 0.007$	-2.845 2.872	$0.01 \\ 0.01$	-1.106 0.005	-0.168 0.035	$0.000 \\ 0.292$

Table 1068: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PAGE, df=19

]	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.034 0.001	0.192 0.005	-0.177 0.180	0.00-	-0.436 -0.010	$0.368 \\ 0.012$	

Table 1069: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.207	0.307	-0.673	0.509	-0.850	0.436	0.000
MEDUY	0.013	0.019	0.678	0.506	-0.026	0.051	0.022

Table 1070: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.419	0.166	-2.517	0.021	-0.767	-0.071	0.000
PEDUY	0.027	0.011	2.565	0.019	0.005	0.049	0.247

Table 1071: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.056	-0.192	0.850	-0.128	0.107	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.095	0.101	0.942	0.359	-0.117	0.307	0.049
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.019	0.081	-0.238	0.815	-0.190	0.152	0.003

Table 1072: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.089	0.054	-1.647	0.116	-0.201	0.024	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.143	0.068	2.093	0.050	0.000	0.286	0.18

Table 1073: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.113	0.108	1.048	0.308	-0.112	0.338	0.000
SEX	-0.082	0.074	-1.111	0.280	-0.236	0.072	0.058

Table 1074: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.174	1.165	-2.724	0.013	-5.612	-0.735	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.012	0.004	2.725	0.013	0.003	0.020	0.271

Table 1075: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.023	0.321	-0.072	0.943	-0.694	0.648	0
BW	0.000	0.000	0.072	0.943	0.000	0.000	0

Table 1076: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.004	0.049	-0.081	0.936	-0.106	0.098	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.009	0.074	0.124	0.903	-0.147	0.165	0.001

Table 1077: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	-0.006 0.026	0.042 0.086	-0.148 0.303	0.00=	-0.094 -0.155	0.082 0.207	0.000

Table 1078: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.006	0.047	0.135	0.894	-0.092	0.104	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.017	0.076	-0.218	0.829	-0.175	0.142	0.002

Table 1079: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.011	0.043	0.255	0.802	-0.079	0.101	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.274	0.113	-2.420	0.027	-0.512	-0.035	0.230
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.059	0.074	0.794	0.438	-0.097	0.215	0.025
PrePregBMI.Under	-0.034	0.154	-0.219	0.829	-0.359	0.292	0.002

Table 1080: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.036	0.051	0.707	0.489	-0.071	0.143	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.080	0.076	-1.050	0.307	-0.239	0.080	0.055

Table 1081: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr	-0.028 0.063	$0.051 \\ 0.077$	-0.552 0.825	0.000	-0.136 -0.098	$0.080 \\ 0.224$	0.000

Table 1082: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs FORMULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.059	0.047	1.250	0.227	-0.040	0.157	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-0.123	0.068	-1.811	0.086	-0.266	0.019	0.141

Table 1083: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.032	0.044	0.728	0.476	-0.061	0.125	0.000
${\rm FEVER_1yr}$	-0.107	0.081	-1.326	0.202	-0.277	0.063	0.085

Table 1084: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	0.041 -0.108	$0.050 \\ 0.076$	0.811 -1.413	00-	-0.067 -0.271	$0.149 \\ 0.056$	0.000 0.117

Table 1085: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.028	0.054	-0.527	0.605	-0.142	0.085	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.057	0.076	0.748	0.464	-0.103	0.218	0.029

Table 1086: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	0.025 -0.033	$0.077 \\ 0.089$	0.322 -0.370		-0.138 -0.221		0.000

Table 1087: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.004	0.058	-0.067	0.947	-0.125	0.118	0
$FrenchFries_1yr$	0.007	0.078	0.093	0.927	-0.157	0.171	0

Table 1088: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.052	0.076	0.675	0.508	-0.109	0.212	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.069	0.088	-0.778	0.446	-0.254	0.117	0.031

Table 1089: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.065	0.271	0.789	-0.120	0.155	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr$	-0.027	0.081	-0.334	0.742	-0.198	0.143	0.006

Table 1090: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.349	0.154	2.272	0.038	0.022	0.677	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.313	0.168	-1.860	0.083	-0.672	0.046	0.145
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.363	0.168	-2.153	0.048	-0.722	-0.004	0.195
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.515	0.188	-2.733	0.015	-0.916	-0.113	0.186
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.370	0.164	-2.251	0.040	-0.721	-0.020	0.248
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.335	0.218	-1.541	0.144	-0.799	0.128	0.042

Table 1091: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.035	0.042	-0.821	0.423	-0.124	0.055	0.00
VITAMIND_6mo	0.165	0.092	1.785	0.092	-0.030	0.359	0.15

Table 1092: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Cereals 6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.057	0.078	-0.731	0.474	-0.222	0.108	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.078	0.091	0.851	0.407	-0.115	0.270	0.039

Table 1093: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.083	0.173	0.482	0.636	-0.283	0.449	0.00
STATE	-0.002	0.006	-0.417	0.682	-0.014	0.009	0.01

Table 1094: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.109	0.144	-0.752	0.462	-0.413	0.196	0.00
TRAIT	0.004	0.004	0.871	0.396	-0.005	0.013	0.04

Table 1095: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.020	0.054	0.376	0.712	-0.093	0.133	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	-0.003	0.012	-0.212	0.835	-0.028	0.023	0.002

Table 1096: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.081	0.052	1.568	0.135	-0.028	0.190	0.000
Positive Life Events	-0.012	0.006	-1.827	0.085	-0.025	0.002	0.156

Table 1097: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.135	0.068	1.985	0.064	-0.008	0.278	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.014	0.007	-2.095	0.051	-0.028	0.000	0.196

Table 1098: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.395	0.247	-1.599	0.126	-0.912	0.122	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.001	0.001	1.606	0.125	0.000	0.002	0.114

Table 1099: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.005	0.181	-0.028	0.978	-0.383	0.373	0
MAGE	0.000	0.006	0.028	0.978	-0.012	0.012	0

Table 1100: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.169 0.005	0.123 0.004	-1.368 1.394		-	0.089 0.012	

Table 1101: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.005	0.209	0.022	0.983	-0.434	0.443	0
MEDUY	0.000	0.013	-0.022	0.983	-0.027	0.026	0

Table 1102: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.064	0.129	0.498	0.624	-0.206	0.335	0.000
PEDUY	-0.004	0.008	-0.508	0.618	-0.021	0.013	0.013

Table 1103: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.028	0.038	0.749	0.464	-0.051	0.108	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.060	0.068	-0.881	0.390	-0.204	0.083	0.042
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.044	0.055	-0.804	0.432	-0.160	0.072	0.035

Table 1104: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.026	0.039	0.670	0.511	-0.056	0.109	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.043	0.050	-0.852	0.405	-0.148	0.062	0.035

Table 1105: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.069	0.073	0.943	0.357	-0.084	0.221	0.000
SEX	-0.050	0.050	-1.000	0.330	-0.154	0.054	0.048

Table 1106: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.121	0.925	-0.131	0.897	-2.057	1.814	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.000	0.003	0.131	0.897	-0.007	0.007	0.001

Table 1107: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.177	0.212	-0.835	0.414	-0.621	0.267	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	0.841	0.411	0.000	0.000	0.034

Table 1108: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.008	0.033	0.231	0.820	-0.061	0.076	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.018	0.050	-0.352	0.728	-0.122	0.087	0.006

Table 1109: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.001	0.028	-0.030	0.0.0	-0.060	0.059	0
MPSYCH	0.004	0.058	0.062	0.951	-0.118	0.126	0

Table 1110: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.032	0.13	0.898	-0.062	0.070	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.011	0.051	-0.21	0.836	-0.118	0.096	0.002

Table 1111: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.032	0.117	0.909	-0.064	0.071	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.018	0.085	0.215	0.832	-0.161	0.197	0.002
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.045	0.056	-0.807	0.431	-0.162	0.072	0.031
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.154	0.116	1.333	0.200	-0.090	0.398	0.081

Table 1112: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.031	0.033	0.943	0.358	-0.038	0.101	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.058	0.049	-1.167	0.258	-0.162	0.046	0.067

Table 1113: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs FOR-MULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.058	0.029	1.990	0.062	-0.003	0.119	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	-0.117	0.043	-2.695	0.015	-0.208	-0.026	0.277

Table 1114: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs FORMULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.021	0.034	0.630	0.536	-0.049	0.091	0.00
FORMULA_6mo	-0.044	0.049	-0.913	0.373	-0.146	0.057	0.04

Table 1115: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.003	0.030	0.089	0.930	-0.061	0.067	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.009	0.056	0.155	0.878	-0.108	0.125	0.001

Table 1116: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	0.023 -0.054	0.039 0.059	0.589 -0.924	$0.565 \\ 0.371$	0.00	$0.106 \\ 0.072$	0.000 0.054

Table 1117: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.036	0.097	0.924	-0.072	0.079	0
CURBRFEED_1yr	0.004	0.051	0.070	0.945	-0.104	0.111	0

Table 1118: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.049	0.049	-1.009	0.326	-0.152	0.053	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	0.073	0.056	1.291	0.213	-0.046	0.191	0.081

Table 1119: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.038	0.037	1.039	0.313	-0.039	0.115	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	-0.059	0.049	-1.205	0.244	-0.163	0.044	0.071

Table 1120: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.082	0.045	-1.828	0.084	-0.177	0.012	0.00
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	0.117	0.052	2.246	0.038	0.008	0.226	0.21

Table 1121: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.016	0.043	-0.386	0.704	-0.106	0.073	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr$	0.033	0.053	0.633	0.535	-0.078	0.145	0.021

Table 1122: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.056	0.104	-0.534	0.601	-0.277	0.166	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.040	0.114	-0.352	0.730	-0.283	0.203	0.019
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.132	0.114	1.155	0.266	-0.111	0.374	0.202
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.063	0.127	0.495	0.628	-0.208	0.334	0.022
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.081	0.111	0.730	0.477	-0.156	0.318	0.094
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.016	0.147	0.107	0.917	-0.298	0.329	0.001

Table 1123: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMIND 6mo	0.020 -0.096	0.029	0.696 -1.517	0.200	-0.041 -0.230	0.00=	0.000

Table 1124: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.044	0.052	0.843	0.411	-0.066	0.154	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.060	0.061	-0.983	0.339	-0.188	0.069	0.051

Table 1125: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	-0.008 0.000	0.118 0.004	-0.068 0.109	0.01.	-0.258 -0.008	011	0.000 0.001

Table 1126: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.099	0.101	-0.980	0.341	-0.312	0.114	0.000
TRAIT	0.003	0.003	0.988	0.337	-0.003	0.009	0.051

Table 1127: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.008	0.037	0.204	0.841	-0.070	0.086	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.005	0.008	-0.575	0.573	-0.022	0.013	0.018

Table 1128: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.015	0.039	-0.385	0.705	-0.098	0.068	0.000
Positive Life Events	0.001	0.005	0.279	0.783	-0.009	0.012	0.004

Table 1129: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.004	0.053	-0.082	0.936	-0.116	0.108	0
Total Life Events	0.000	0.005	-0.061	0.952	-0.011	0.010	0

Table 1130: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.06	0.224	-0.268	0.792	-0.528	0.408	0.000
${\bf AgeAt1yrVisit}$	0.00	0.001	0.269	0.791	-0.001	0.001	0.004

Table 1131: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.009	0.154	0.056	0.956	-0.313	0.33	0
MAGE	0.000	0.005	-0.056	0.956	-0.010	0.01	0

Table 1132: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.054	0.109	-0.497	0.625	-0.283	0.175	0.000
PAGE	0.002	0.003	0.506	0.619	-0.005	0.008	0.013

Table 1133: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.099	0.177	0.559	0.583	-0.271	0.468	0.000
MEDUY	-0.006	0.011	-0.563	0.580	-0.028	0.016	0.016

Table 1134: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.007	0.111	-0.064	0.949	-0.239	0.224	0
PEDUY	0.000	0.007	0.066	0.948	-0.014	0.015	0

Table 1135: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.017	0.033	-0.514	0.614	-0.085	0.052	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.045	0.059	0.761	0.457	-0.079	0.168	0.032
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.022	0.048	0.455	0.654	-0.078	0.121	0.012

Table 1136: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.031	0.033	0.953	0.353	-0.038	0.100	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.051	0.042	-1.211	0.241	-0.138	0.037	0.068

Table 1137: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	-0.075 0.054	0.061 0.042	-1.226 1.300	000	-0.202 -0.033	$0.053 \\ 0.141$	0.000

Table 1138: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.124	0.786	-0.157	0.877	-1.769	1.522	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.000	0.003	0.157	0.877	-0.006	0.006	0.001

Table 1139: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	$0.398 \\ 0.000$	$0.159 \\ 0.000$	2.503 -2.520	$0.022 \\ 0.021$	$0.065 \\ 0.000$	$0.731 \\ 0.000$	0.000

Table 1140: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.028	-0.384	0.705	-0.069	0.047	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.025	0.042	0.587	0.564	-0.064	0.113	0.017

Table 1141: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	-0.009 0.038	0.024 0.049	-0.378 0.775	0	-0.059 -0.064	0.041 0.140	0.000

Table 1142: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.013	0.026	-0.486	0.633	-0.068	0.042	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	0.034	0.043	0.787	0.441	-0.056	0.123	0.03

Table 1143: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.019	0.027	0.712	0.486	-0.037	0.075	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.108	0.071	-1.520	0.147	-0.257	0.042	0.102
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.043	0.046	-0.927	0.367	-0.141	0.055	0.038
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.073	0.096	0.755	0.461	-0.131	0.276	0.025

Table 1144: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.019	0.029	0.671	0.511	-0.041	0.080	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.033	0.043	-0.777	0.447	-0.124	0.057	0.031

Table 1145: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.012	0.029	0.402		-0.050		0.000
FORMULA_1yr	-0.016	0.044	-0.378	0.710	-0.108	0.075	0.007

Table 1146: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs FORMULA 6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.008	0.029	-0.269	0.791	-0.069	0.053	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.016	0.042	0.389	0.701	-0.072	0.105	0.008

Table 1147: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.001	0.026	0.028	0.978	-0.054	0.055	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.012	0.047	0.253	0.803	-0.087	0.111	0.003

Table 1148: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.034	0.029	-1.166	0.263	-0.097	0.029	0.000
DAYCARE	0.058	0.044	1.300	0.215	-0.038	0.153	0.101

Table 1149: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.031	0.013	0.990	-0.064	0.065	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.008	0.043	0.181	0.858	-0.083	0.099	0.002

Table 1150: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.019	0.043	0.437	0.668	-0.072	0.110	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	-0.019	0.050	-0.389	0.702	-0.124	0.086	0.008

Table 1151: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.037	0.031	1.207	0.243	-0.027	0.101	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	-0.059	0.041	-1.437	0.168	-0.146	0.027	0.098

Table 1152: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.043	0.427	0.674	-0.072	0.109	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.019	0.050	-0.378	0.710	-0.124	0.086	0.007

Table 1153: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.036	0.036	1.009		-0.039	0.111	0.00
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.049	0.044	-1.100	0.286	-0.141	0.044	0.06

Table 1154: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.137	0.092	1.491	0.157	-0.059	0.334	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.126	0.101	-1.253	0.229	-0.342	0.089	0.119
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.122	0.101	-1.209	0.246	-0.337	0.093	0.110
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.113	0.113	-1.001	0.333	-0.354	0.128	0.045
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.160	0.099	-1.627	0.125	-0.370	0.050	0.233
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.295	0.130	-2.265	0.039	-0.573	-0.017	0.162

Table 1155: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.016	0.022	-0.713	0.486	-0.063	0.031	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	0.093	0.049	1.911	0.073	-0.010	0.196	0.169

Table 1156: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.061	0.039	-1.591	0.130	-0.143	0.020	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.088	0.045	1.965	0.066	-0.007	0.183	0.177

Table 1157: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.033	0.096	0.347	00	-0.170	0.237	0.000
STATE	-0.001	0.003	-0.349		-0.008	0.005	0.007

Table 1158: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.036	0.081	-0.442	0.664	-0.208	0.136	0.000
TRAIT	0.001	0.002	0.481	0.637	-0.004	0.006	0.013

Table 1159: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.030	0.136	0.894	-0.060	0.068	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.005	0.007	-0.672	0.511	-0.019	0.010	0.024

Table 1160: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.003	0.032	-0.099	0.922	-0.071	0.065	0.000
${\bf Positive Life Events}$	-0.001	0.004	-0.286	0.779	-0.010	0.007	0.005

Table 1161: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.017	0.043	0.386	0.704	-0.074	0.107	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.003	0.004	-0.716	0.484	-0.012	0.006	0.028

Table 1162: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.491	0.336	-1.460	0.161	-1.194	0.213	0.000
${\bf Age At 1 yr Visit}$	0.001	0.001	1.466	0.159	-0.001	0.003	0.097

Table 1163: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.241 0.008	0.237 0.007	-1.020 1.029	0.0=-	-0.737 -0.008	0.254 0.023	0.00
MAGE	0.008	0.007	1.029	0.510	-0.008	0.023	0.00

Table 1164: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.391	$0.148 \\ 0.004$	-2.641	0.016	-0.702	-0.081	0.000
PAGE	0.011		2.690	0.014	0.003	0.020	0.266

Table 1165: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	-0.206 0.012	$0.278 \\ 0.017$	-0.741 0.746	000	-0.788 -0.023	$0.376 \\ 0.048$	0.000

Table 1166: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.213	0.168 0.011	1.269 -1.293	00	-0.138 -0.036	0.564 0.009	$0.000 \\ 0.077$

Table 1167: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.052	0.355	0.727	-0.091	0.128	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.052	0.094	-0.559	0.583	-0.249	0.145	0.018
Income.code.MID	-0.022	0.076	-0.294	0.772	-0.181	0.137	0.005

Table 1168: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.035	0.053	0.651	0.523	-0.077	0.146	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.056	0.068	-0.827	0.418	-0.197	0.086	0.033

Table 1169: $cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1$: unifrac.PC.1 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.106	0.097	-1.088	0.290	-0.310	0.098	0.000
SEX	0.077	0.067	1.153	0.263	-0.063	0.216	0.062

Table 1170: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.530	1.240	-0.428	0.674	-3.125	2.064	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.002	0.005	0.428	0.673	-0.008	0.011	0.009

Table 1171: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.33	0.281	1.175	000	000	0.917	0.000
$_{ m BW}$	0.00	0.000	-1.183	0.251	0.000	0.000	0.065

Table 1172: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Maternal Infection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.019	0.044	0.440	0.665	-0.072	0.111	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.045	0.067	-0.672	0.510	-0.185	0.095	0.022

Table 1173: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.007	0.038	0.195	0.0 = 1	-0.072	0.087	
MPSYCH	-0.031	0.078	-0.400	0.694	-0.195	0.132	0.008

Table 1174: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.013	0.042	-0.318	0.754	-0.102	0.075	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.035	0.068	0.515	0.612	-0.108	0.178	0.013

Table 1175: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.042	0.429	0.673	-0.071	0.107	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.011	0.112	-0.095	0.925	-0.246	0.225	0.000
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.092	0.073	-1.260	0.225	-0.247	0.062	0.073
PrePregBMI.Under	0.194	0.152	1.271	0.221	-0.128	0.515	0.071

Table 1176: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs ANTIBIOTIC 1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.032	0.045	0.713	0.485	-0.062	0.126	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.051	0.067	-0.760	0.457	-0.191	0.090	0.029

Table 1177: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr	0.065 -0.123	0.041 0.061	1.572 -2.013	0.200	-0.022 -0.252	$0.151 \\ 0.005$	

Table 1178: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.043	0.044	0.991	0.334	-0.048	0.135	0.000
$FORMULA_6mo$	-0.091	0.064	-1.436	0.167	-0.224	0.042	0.093

Table 1179: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.022	0.040	0.556	0.585	-0.062	0.106	0.000
${\rm FEVER_1yr}$	-0.044	0.073	-0.597	0.558	-0.197	0.110	0.018

Table 1180: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.023	0.044	0.514	0.0-0	-0.071	0.117	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.034	0.066	-0.520		-0.177	0.108	0.018

Table 1181: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.078	0.038	-2.077	0.052	-0.158	0.001	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.175	0.053	3.279	0.004	0.063	0.288	0.361

Table 1182: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	0.017 -0.010	0.068 0.078	0.250 -0.132			$0.159 \\ 0.154$	

Table 1183: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.083	0.045	1.856	0.080	-0.011	0.176	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	-0.134	0.060	-2.227	0.039	-0.260	-0.008	0.207

Table 1184: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.053	0.065	-0.814	0.426	-0.191	0.084	0.00
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	0.083	0.075	1.101	0.285	-0.075	0.242	0.06

Table 1185: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.015	0.057	0.262	0.797	-0.105	0.135	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr$	-0.009	0.071	-0.126	0.901	-0.158	0.140	0.001

Table 1186: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs WHSTOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.177	0.157	1.124	0.279	-0.159	0.512	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.246	0.172	-1.429	0.173	-0.614	0.121	0.223
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.191	0.172	-1.106	0.286	-0.558	0.177	0.133
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.085	0.193	-0.443	0.664	-0.496	0.325	0.013
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.174	0.168	-1.036	0.317	-0.533	0.184	0.136
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.139	0.222	-0.623	0.543	-0.613	0.336	0.018

Table 1187: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.039	-0.283	0.781	-0.094	0.071	0.00
VITAMIND_6mo	0.100	0.085	1.167	0.259	-0.080	0.279	0.07

Table 1188: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.074	0.068	1.086	0.293	-0.070	0.217	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.087	0.079	-1.096	0.289	-0.254	0.080	0.063

Table 1189: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept STATE	-0.087 0.003	$0.161 \\ 0.005$	-0.544 0.629	0.00 =	-0.428 -0.008	$0.253 \\ 0.014$	0.000

Table 1190: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.224	0.129	-1.744	0.099	-0.495	0.047	0.000
TRAIT	0.007	0.004	1.839	0.083	-0.001	0.015	0.158

Table 1191: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.059	0.047	1.271	0.221	-0.039	0.158	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.016	0.010	-1.554	0.139	-0.038	0.006	0.118

Table 1192: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.003	0.053	-0.048	0.962	-0.113	0.108	0.000
Positive Life Events	0.002	0.007	0.309	0.761	-0.012	0.016	0.005

Table 1193: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	0.045 -0.004	0.070 0.007	0.633 -0.582	0.000	-0.104 -0.018	$0.193 \\ 0.010$	0.000

Table 1194: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.215	0.308	0.699	0.493	-0.430	0.860	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	-0.001	0.001	-0.702	0.491	-0.002	0.001	0.024

Table 1195: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.047 0.001	$0.214 \\ 0.007$	-0.218 0.220	0.000	-0.494 -0.013	$0.401 \\ 0.016$	0.000

Table 1196: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	0.065	0.153	0.423	0.0	-0.255 -0.011	0.384 0.007	0.000
PAGE	-0.002	0.004	-0.451	0.071	-0.011	0.007	0.009

Table 1197: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.047	0.248	0.190	0.852	-0.472	0.566	0.000
MEDUY	-0.003	0.015	-0.191	0.851	-0.034	0.028	0.002

Table 1198: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.207	0.146	-1.412	0.174	-0.513	0.100	0.000
PEDUY	0.013	0.009	1.439	0.166	-0.006	0.033	0.094

Table 1199: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.007	0.046	-0.155	0.878	-0.104	0.090	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.023	0.083	0.282	0.782	-0.151	0.198	0.005
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.007	0.067	0.106	0.917	-0.134	0.148	0.001

Table 1200: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.020	0.047	0.427	0.675	-0.079	0.119	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.033	0.060	-0.542	0.594	-0.158	0.093	0.014

Table 1201: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.049	0.088	-0.562	0.581	-0.233	0.135	0.000
SEX	0.036	0.060	0.596	0.558	-0.090	0.161	0.017

Table 1202: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.635	1.087	-0.584	0.566	-2.910	1.641	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.002	0.004	0.584	0.566	-0.006	0.011	0.017

Table 1203: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs BW, df=19

 ate Std. Error	t varue	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
 158 0.253 000 0.000		$0.540 \\ 0.537$	-0.372 0.000	0.000	0.000

Table 1204: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Maternal Infection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.024	0.038	-0.642	0.529	-0.104	0.055	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.057	0.058	0.981	0.339	-0.065	0.178	0.046

Table 1205: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	-0.023 0.096	$0.032 \\ 0.065$	-0.716 1.468	0.200	-0.090 -0.041	$0.044 \\ 0.233$	0.000 0.097

Table 1206: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.027	0.036	-0.743	0.467	-0.102	0.049	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.070	0.058	1.204	0.243	-0.052	0.193	0.068

Table 1207: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.013	0.039	0.317	0.755	-0.071	0.096	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.096	0.104	-0.921	0.370	-0.316	0.124	0.042
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.027	0.068	-0.402	0.693	-0.171	0.117	0.008
PrePregBMI.Under	0.094	0.142	0.661	0.518	-0.206	0.394	0.021

Table 1208: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.021	0.041	-0.520	0.610	-0.107	0.065	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.051	0.061	0.831	0.417	-0.077	0.179	0.035

Table 1209: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs FOR-MULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.041	-0.254		-0.098		0.00
FORMULA_1yr	0.027	0.062	0.435	0.669	-0.103	0.157	0.01

Table 1210: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.042	0.038	-1.098	0.286	-0.122	0.038	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.088	0.055	1.592	0.128	-0.028	0.204	0.112

Table 1211: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.031	0.034	-0.900	0.380	-0.103	0.041	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.108	0.063	1.726	0.101	-0.023	0.239	0.136

Table 1212: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	-0.038 0.034	0.047 0.071	-0.804 0.479	0.200	-0.139 -0.118	0.063 0.186	0.000

Table 1213: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.003	0.044	-0.068	0.947	-0.095	0.089	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.009	0.062	0.146	0.886	-0.121	0.139	0.001

Table 1214: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.058	0.060	-0.963	0.0-0	-0.183	0.000	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	0.079	0.069	1.142	0.269	-0.066	0.224	0.064

Table 1215: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.046	0.044	1.039	0.313	-0.047	0.138	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	-0.080	0.059	-1.353	0.193	-0.205	0.044	0.088

Table 1216: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.014	0.062	0.230	0.821	-0.116	0.144	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.017	0.071	-0.237	0.816	-0.167	0.133	0.003

Table 1217: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.071	0.048	1.481	0.156	-0.030	0.172	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr$	-0.107	0.060	-1.797	0.089	-0.233	0.018	0.145

Table 1218: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.085	0.127	0.665	0.516	-0.187	0.356	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.049	0.139	-0.352	0.730	-0.346	0.248	0.017
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.006	0.139	-0.045	0.964	-0.304	0.291	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.211	0.156	-1.354	0.196	-0.544	0.121	0.153
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.124	0.136	-0.914	0.375	-0.415	0.166	0.137
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.208	0.180	-1.153	0.267	-0.592	0.176	0.078

Table 1219: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.041	0.031	-1.322	0.204	-0.107	0.025	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	0.138	0.068	2.033	0.058	-0.005	0.282	0.187

Table 1220: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.113	0.053	-2.127	0.048	-0.225	-0.001	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.137	0.062	2.211	0.041	0.006	0.268	0.214

Table 1221: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	0.065 -0.002	$0.120 \\ 0.004$	0.538 -0.628	0.000	-0.190 -0.011	$0.320 \\ 0.006$	$0.000 \\ 0.023$

Table 1222: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.031	0.110	0.277	0.785	-0.202	0.263	0.000
TRAIT	-0.001	0.003	-0.464	0.649	-0.008	0.005	0.012

Table 1223: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.051	0.040	-1.266	0.223	-0.136	0.034	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.013	0.009	1.463	0.162	-0.006	0.032	0.106

Table 1224: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.029	0.043	0.660	0.518	-0.063	0.120	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.007	0.005	-1.235	0.234	-0.018	0.005	0.078

Table 1225: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	0.010 -0.002	0.061 0.006	0.158 -0.382	0.0.0	-0.118 -0.015	$0.137 \\ 0.010$	0.000

Table 1226: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.09	0.301	-0.299	0.768	-0.720	0.540	0.000
${\bf AgeAt1yrVisit}$	0.00	0.001	0.301	0.767	-0.001	0.002	0.005

Table 1227: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.172	0.203	-0.847	0.408	-0.597	0.253	0.000
MAGE	0.005	0.006	0.855	0.403	-0.008	0.019	0.035

Table 1228: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.225 0.007	0.139 0.004	-1.621 1.652	-	-0.515 -0.002	$0.065 \\ 0.015$	0.00

Table 1229: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	-0.039 0.002	$0.240 \\ 0.014$	-0.164 0.165	0.0	-0.541 -0.028	$0.462 \\ 0.033$	0.000

Table 1230: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.070 -0.005	0.148 0.009	0.475 -0.484	0.0-0	-0.240 -0.024	$0.380 \\ 0.015$	

Table 1231: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.075	0.031	-2.388	0.028	-0.141	-0.009	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.021	0.057	0.364	0.720	-0.098	0.140	0.004
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.187	0.046	4.075	0.001	0.091	0.283	0.491

Table 1232: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.009	0.046	0.198	0.845	-0.087	0.105	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.015	0.058	-0.251	0.804	-0.137	0.108	0.003

Table 1233: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.114	0.081	1.403	0.177	-0.056	0.284	0.0
SEX	-0.082	0.055	-1.487	0.153	-0.198	0.034	0.1

Table 1234: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.419	1.056	-0.397	0.696	-2.629	1.79	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.002	0.004	0.397	0.695	-0.007	0.01	0.008

Table 1235: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.052	0.247	-0.209	0.836	-0.569	0.465	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	0.211	0.835	0.000	0.000	0.002

Table 1236: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Maternal Infection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.010	0.037	0.270	0.790	-0.068	0.089	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.024	0.057	-0.413	0.684	-0.143	0.096	0.008

Table 1237: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.024	0.031	-0.799	00-	-0.088	0.039	0.000
MPSYCH	0.102	0.063	1.637		-0.029	0.233	0.118

Table 1238: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.005	0.036	-0.141	0.889	-0.081	0.070	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.013	0.058	0.229	0.821	-0.109	0.136	0.003

Table 1239: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.014	0.035	0.407	0.689	-0.060	0.089	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.187	0.093	-2.000	0.062	-0.384	0.010	0.173
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.004	0.061	0.059	0.953	-0.125	0.133	0.000
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.050	0.127	0.394	0.698	-0.218	0.319	0.007

Table 1240: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC 1yr	0.005	0.036 0.053	0.145 -0.736	0.886 0.471	-0.07 -0.15	0.000	0.000 0.028

Table 1241: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA 1yr	0.006 -0.041	$0.036 \\ 0.053$	0.168 -0.772		-0.069 -0.152	$0.081 \\ 0.070$	0.00

Table 1242: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs FOR-MULA 6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.004	0.039	-0.100	0.921	-0.086	0.078	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.008	0.057	0.145	0.886	-0.111	0.127	0.001

Table 1243: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.034	0.031	-1.097	0.287	-0.098	0.031	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.071	0.056	1.264	0.222	-0.047	0.188	0.078

Table 1244: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.035	0.034	1.038	0.317	-0.037	0.107	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.135	0.051	-2.665	0.018	-0.244	-0.026	0.321

Table 1245: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.050	0.036	-1.405	0.177	-0.125	0.025	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.076	0.051	1.496	0.152	-0.031	0.182	0.105

Table 1246: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.041	0.053	-0.768	0.452	-0.152	0.071	0.00
$Milks_1yr$	0.038	0.061	0.616	0.545	-0.091	0.166	0.02

Table 1247: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.030	0.040	-0.766	0.454	-0.113	0.053	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	0.032	0.053	0.609	0.550	-0.079	0.144	0.019

Table 1248: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.005	0.054	-0.095	0.925	-0.118	0.107	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.010	0.062	-0.158	0.877	-0.140	0.120	0.001

Table 1249: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PeanutButter_1yr	0.042 -0.084	0.042 0.053	0.995 -1.598		-0.047 -0.194	0.131 0.026	0.000 0.118

Table 1250: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.095	0.118	-0.804	0.434	-0.347	0.157	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.065	0.129	0.500	0.624	-0.211	0.340	0.032
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.174	0.129	1.342	0.200	-0.102	0.449	0.229
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.075	0.145	-0.518	0.612	-0.383	0.233	0.020
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.130	0.126	1.032	0.319	-0.139	0.399	0.158
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.041	0.167	0.246	0.809	-0.315	0.397	0.003

Table 1251: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMIND_6mo	0.019 -0.034	$0.033 \\ 0.072$	0.58 -0.47	0.0.0	-0.051 -0.187		$0.000 \\ 0.012$

Table 1252: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.100	0.048	-2.070	0.054	-0.202	0.002	0.000
Cereals_6mo	0.152	0.056	2.703	0.015	0.033	0.271	0.289

Table 1253: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.238	0.126	1.887	0.077	-0.029	0.506	0.000
STATE	-0.008	0.004	-1.902	0.075	-0.016	0.001	0.176

Table 1254: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TRAIT	0.106 -0.003	0.117 0.003	0.908 -0.927	$0.376 \\ 0.367$	-0.14 -0.01	0.00=	0.000 0.046

Table 1255: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.008	0.044	-0.175	0.864	-0.099	0.084	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.002	0.010	0.250	0.805	-0.018	0.023	0.003

Table 1256: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.021	0.046	0.455	0.655	-0.075	0.117	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.004	0.006	-0.627	0.539	-0.016	0.008	0.021

Table 1257: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.026	0.062	0.424	0.677	-0.104	0.156	0.000
${\it Total Life Events}$	-0.003	0.006	-0.494	0.628	-0.015	0.010	0.013

Table 1258: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.162	0.287	-0.564	0.579	-0.761	0.438	0.000
${\bf AgeAt1yrVisit}$	0.000	0.001	0.567	0.578	-0.001	0.002	0.016

Table 1259: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.215 0.007	0.192 0.006	-1.119 1.129	·-··	-0.616 -0.006	$0.187 \\ 0.019$	0.00

Table 1260: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.182	0.135	1.346	0.194	-0.101	0.466	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
PAGE	-0.005	0.004	-1.372	0.186	-0.013	0.003	0.086

Table 1261: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.078	0.229	-0.341	0.737	-0.558	0.401	0.000
MEDUY	0.005	0.014	0.343	0.735	-0.024	0.034	0.006

Table 1262: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.155	0.138	-1.127	0.274	-0.444	0.133	0.000
PEDUY	0.010	0.009	1.148	0.265	-0.008	0.028	0.062

Table 1263: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.021	0.041	-0.515	0.613	-0.108	0.066	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.080	0.075	1.076	0.296	-0.076	0.237	0.063
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.016	0.060	0.261	0.797	-0.111	0.143	0.004

Table 1264: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.032	0.043	-0.743	0.466	-0.122	0.058	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.052	0.055	0.945	0.357	-0.063	0.167	0.043

Table 1265: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	0.117 -0.085	$0.077 \\ 0.053$	1.518 -1.609	00	-0.044 -0.195	$0.278 \\ 0.025$	0.000

Table 1266: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.945	0.992	-0.953	0.353	-3.021	1.131	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.003	0.004	0.953	0.353	-0.004	0.011	0.043

Table 1267: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.103	0.236	-0.437	$0.667 \\ 0.665$	-0.596	0.39	0.00
BW	0.000	0.000	0.440		0.000	0.00	0.01

Table 1268: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.016	0.036	0.461	0.650	-0.058	0.091	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.038	0.054	-0.705	0.489	-0.152	0.075	0.024

Table 1269: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.008	0.031	0.255	0.802	-0.057	0.073	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.033	0.064	-0.522	0.608	-0.166	0.100	0.013

Table 1270: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.017	0.034	0.486		-0.055	0.088	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	-0.043	0.055	-0.787		-0.159	0.072	0.03

Table 1271: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.015	0.036	-0.434	0.670	-0.090	0.060	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.057	0.094	-0.600	0.556	-0.255	0.142	0.017
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.048	0.062	0.771	0.451	-0.082	0.178	0.028
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.152	0.128	1.183	0.253	-0.119	0.422	0.064

Table 1272: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.030	0.037	0.812	0.427	-0.048	0.108	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.069	0.055	-1.240	0.231	-0.185	0.048	0.075

Table 1273: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA 1yr	0.003	0.039 0.058	0.080 -0.147	0.00.	-0.078 -0.129	0.084 0.113	

Table 1274: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA_6mo	0.029 -0.060	$0.036 \\ 0.053$	0.789 -1.143	00	-0.047 -0.171	0.105 0.050	0.000 0.061

Table 1275: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.010	0.034	0.284	0.780	-0.062	0.081	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	-0.035	0.062	-0.558	0.584	-0.165	0.096	0.016

Table 1276: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.031	0.040	0.764	0.458	-0.056	0.118	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.053	0.061	-0.860	0.404	-0.184	0.079	0.047

Table 1277: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.001	0.041	0.036	0.972	-0.084	0.087	0
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	-0.004	0.057	-0.076	0.940	-0.125	0.116	0

Table 1278: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.033	0.057	-0.577	0.571	-0.152	0.086	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Milks_1yr	0.043	0.065	0.652	0.523	-0.095	0.180	0.022

Table 1279: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.034	0.041	0.825	0.420	-0.053	0.121	
FrenchFries_1yr	-0.063	0.056	-1.136	0.271	-0.180	0.054	0.064

Table 1280: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.033	0.057	-0.579	0.570	-0.152	0.086	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	0.043	0.065	0.653	0.522	-0.095	0.180	0.022

Table 1281: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.016	0.048	-0.33	0.745	-0.117	0.085	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.023	0.060	0.39	0.701	-0.102	0.149	0.008

Table 1282: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.016	0.140	0.117	0.909	-0.282	0.315	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.015	0.153	-0.100	0.922	-0.342	0.312	0.002
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.010	0.153	-0.067	0.947	-0.337	0.317	0.001
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.022	0.171	-0.130	0.899	-0.388	0.343	0.002
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.016	0.150	-0.109	0.915	-0.335	0.303	0.003
WHSTOTHER.7 $months$	-0.057	0.198	-0.286	0.779	-0.479	0.365	0.008

Table 1283: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.002	0.033	0.055	0.00.	-0.069	0.072	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	-0.017	0.073	-0.237	0.815	-0.171	0.136	0.003

Table 1284: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.002	0.058	0.043	0.966	-0.120	0.125	0
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.006	0.067	-0.086	0.932	-0.148	0.136	0

Table 1285: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept STATE	0.025 -0.001	0.119 0.004	0.214 -0.136	0.000	-0.227 -0.009	$0.278 \\ 0.008$	0.000

Table 1286: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.017	0.102	0.168	0.868	-0.197	0.231	0.000
TRAIT	0.000	0.003	-0.095	0.925	-0.007	0.006	0.001

Table 1287: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.017	0.035	0.475	0.641	-0.058	0.091	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.004	0.008	-0.517	0.612	-0.021	0.013	0.015

Table 1288: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.016	0.037	0.430	0.673	-0.063	0.095	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.002	0.005	-0.437	0.668	-0.012	0.008	0.011

Table 1289: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	0.038 -0.004	$0.050 \\ 0.005$	0.757 -0.782	0.200	-0.067 -0.014	0.143 0.006	0.000 0.033

Table 1290: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	398.026	198.504	2.005	0.059	-17.449	813.501	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
AgeAt1yrVisit	-0.351	0.524	-0.668	0.512	-1.448	0.747	0.022

Table 1291: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	259.715	137.741	1.886	0.075	-28.579	548.010	0
MAGE	0.197	4.321	0.046	0.964	-8.847	9.242	0

Table 1292: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	324.711	97.740	3.322	0.004	120.140	529.282	0.000
PAGE	-1.707	2.786	-0.613	0.547	-7.538	4.125	0.018

Table 1293: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	119.108	156.101	0.763	0.455	-207.615	445.831	0.000
MEDUY	8.912	9.408	0.947	0.355	-10.778	28.603	0.043

Table 1294: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	211.988 3.498	98.363 6.258	2.155 0.559	0.0	6.111 -9.601	417.865 16.596	0.000

Table 1295: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	268.962	27.144	9.909	0.000	211.934	325.990	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-67.920	48.935	-1.388	0.182	-170.729	34.889	0.099
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	26.055	39.569	0.658	0.519	-57.077	109.187	0.022

Table 1296: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	272.745	30.614	8.909	0.000	208.668	336.822	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-10.976	38.910	-0.282	0.781	-92.416	70.464	0.004

Table 1297: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	266.811	57.078	4.675	0.000	147.346	386.275	0
SEX	-0.623	38.991	-0.016	0.987	-82.233	80.987	0

Table 1298: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	489.209	703.856	0.695	0.495	-983.980	1962.397	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.813	2.562	-0.317	0.754	-6.176	4.550	0.005

Table 1299: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	56.933	157.430	0.362	0.722	-272.572	386.439	0.000
BW	0.063	0.047	1.337	0.197	-0.036	0.163	0.082

Table 1300: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	278.735	24.645	11.310	0.000	227.153	330.318	0.00
MaternalInfection	-29.831	37.646	-0.792	0.438	-108.625	48.962	0.03

Table 1301: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	261.997 16.605	21.613 44.294	12.122 0.375		216.760 -76.102		

Table 1302: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	$247.653 \\ 48.031$	$23.085 \\ 37.402$	10.728 1.284			$295.971 \\ 126.315$	

Table 1303: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	253.424	24.832	10.206	0.000	201.034	305.814	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	37.682	65.699	0.574	0.574	-100.930	176.294	0.016

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
PrePregBMI.Overweight	44.195	43.010	1.028	0.319	-46.547	134.938	0.051
PrePregBMI.Under	-77.484	89.532	-0.865	0.399	-266.380	111.413	0.035

Table 1304: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	$251.589 \\ 15.844$	24.603 36.676	10.226 0.432		199.90 -61.21	303.279 92.898	0.00 0.01

Table 1305: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	243.645	24.157	10.086			294.396	
FORMULA_1yr	33.497	36.010	0.930	0.365	-42.158	109.153	0.044

Table 1306: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs FORMULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA_6mo	250.680 32.067	25.665 37.193	9.767 0.862		196.962 -45.778		

Table 1307: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER 1yr	250.201 28.392		11.577 0.720		204.795 -54.508		
rEvEn_lyl	20.392	39.439	0.720	0.461	-54.508	111.292	0.027

Table 1308: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	280.568 -42.448	22.699 34.318	12.360 -1.237		231.883 -116.052		

Table 1309: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	284.871	24.429	11.661	0.000	233.548	336.193	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	-52.303	34.547	-1.514	0.147	-124.885	20.278	0.108

Table 1310: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	250.539	36.613	6.843	0.000	173.617	327.461	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	10.906	42.278	0.258	0.799	-77.915	99.728	0.003

Table 1311: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FrenchFries_1yr	208.930 90.526	22.296 30.063	$9.371 \\ 3.011$			$255.771 \\ 153.687$	

Table 1312: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	300.832	34.844	8.634	0.00	227.626	374.037	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-56.150	40.235	-1.396	0.18	-140.680	28.380	0.093

Table 1313: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	282.393	30.219	9.345	0.000	218.906	345.880	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-36.421	37.482	-0.972	0.344	-115.167	42.325	0.047

Table 1314: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs WHSTOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	121.491	84.541	1.437	0.171	-58.703	301.686	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	138.259	92.610	1.493	0.156	-59.134	335.652	0.148
WHSTOTHER.5 months	144.868	92.610	1.564	0.139	-52.525	342.261	0.162
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	93.819	103.541	0.906	0.379	-126.873	314.511	0.032
WHSTOTHER.6 months	176.782	90.378	1.956	0.069	-15.854	369.418	0.296
WHSTOTHER.7 months	192.902	119.559	1.613	0.127	-61.931	447.735	0.072

Table 1315: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	281.083		12.422	0.0	233.342	328.825	0.00
VITAMIND_6mo	-52.707		-1.069	0.3	-156.757	51.343	0.06

Table 1316: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	262.529	40.434	6.493	0.000	177.221	347.836	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	10.122	47.104	0.215	0.832	-89.258	109.503	0.003

Table 1317: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	296.128	92.896	3.188	0.000		493.059	0.000
STATE	-0.885	2.975	-0.297	0.770	-7.192	5.423	0.005

Table 1318: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	368.177	75.660	4.866			527.806	
TRAIT	-3.085	2.219	-1.390	0.182	-7.767	1.597	0.097

Table 1319: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	252.810	28.341	8.920	0.00	193.015	312.605	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	4.867	6.296	0.773	0.45	-8.416	18.151	0.032

Table 1320: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	277.123	30.303	9.145	0.000	213.188	341.058	0.00
PositiveLifeEvents	-1.574	3.777	-0.417	0.682	-9.543	6.394	0.01

Table 1321: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs Total LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	266.617	41.038	6.497	0.000	180.034	353.199	0
Total Life Events	0.146	3.949	0.037	0.971	-8.185	8.478	0

Table 1322: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	234.405	109.841	2.134	0.046	4.506	464.304	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
AgeAt1yrVisit	-0.202	0.290	-0.695	0.496	-0.809	0.406	0.024

Table 1323: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	152.675	76.280	2.002	0.06	-6.981	312.331	0
MAGE	0.183	2.393	0.076	0.94	-4.826	5.192	0

Table 1324: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	174.631	54.534	3.202	0.005	60.490	288.772	0.000
PAGE	-0.470	1.555	-0.302	0.766	-3.724	2.784	0.005

Table 1325: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	99.337 3.588	87.414 5.268				282.297 14.614	

Table 1326: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	143.294	54.810	2.614	0.017	28.575	258.013	0.000
PEDUY	0.982	3.487	0.282	0.781	-6.316	8.281	0.004

Table 1327: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	159.222	15.133	10.521	0.000	127.429	191.016	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-34.947	27.282	-1.281	0.216	-92.264	22.369	0.085
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	15.453	22.060	0.700	0.493	-30.894	61.799	0.026

Table 1328: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	162.700	16.946	9.601	0.000	127.232	198.168	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
OLDERSIBLINGS	-6.862	21.538	-0.319	0.754	-51.941	38.218	0.005

Table 1329: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	149.033 6.821	31.529 21.539	4.727 0.317			215.025 51.902	

Table 1330: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	274.125	389.960	0.703	0.491	-542.070	1090.32	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.421	1.419	-0.297	0.770	-3.392	2.55	0.004

Table 1331: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	23.480	85.707	0.274	0.787	-155.907	202.867	0.000
BW	0.041	0.026	1.585	0.129	-0.013	0.095	0.112

Table 1332: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MaternalInfection	167.867 -21.967		12.457 -1.067	$0.000 \\ 0.299$	139.663 -65.049		0.000

Table 1333: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	157.281		13.105	0.000	132.161		0.000
MPSYCH	4.919	24.597	0.200	0.844	-46.563	56.400	0.002

Table 1334: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	147.369	12.676	11.625	0.000	120.837	173.901	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	29.093	20.538	1.417	0.173	-13.894	72.080	0.091

Table 1335: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	149.775	13.209	11.339	0.000	121.907	177.643	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	33.075	34.947	0.946	0.357	-40.657	106.807	0.040
PrePregBMI.Overweight	28.292	22.878	1.237	0.233	-19.978	76.561	0.069
PrePregBMI.Under	-53.675	47.625	-1.127	0.275	-154.155	46.805	0.055

Table 1336: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	148.100 13.289	13.291 19.814	11.143 0.671		120.176 -28.338	$176.024 \\ 54.916$	

Table 1337: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs FOR-MULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMILIA 1	146.618	-01-00	11.109			174.347	
FORMULA_1yr	16.582	19.675	0.843	0.41	-24.755	57.918	0.0

Table 1338: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA_6mo	147.182 23.668	13.997 20.284	10.515 1.167		117.885 -18.787		

Table 1339: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	149.329		12.707			174.017	
FEVER_1yr	15.838	21.455	0.738	0.47	-29.237	60.913	0.028

Table 1340: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	165.267 -18.252		12.764 -0.932		137.496 -60.238	193.038 23.733	

Table 1341: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	170.59	12.996	13.126	0.000	143.286	197.894	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	-33.02	18.379	-1.797	0.089	-71.633	5.593	0.145

Table 1342: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	156.740	19.946	7.858	0.000	114.835	198.645	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	-3.547	23.032	-0.154	0.879	-51.935	44.841	0.001

Table 1343: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	125.111	11.685	10.707	0.000	100.561	149.661	0.00
$FrenchFries_1yr$	52.671	15.757	3.343	0.004	19.567	85.774	0.37

Table 1344: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	174.980	19.131	9.146	0.000	134.786	215.174	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-27.867	22.091	-1.261	0.223	-74.278	18.545	0.077

Table 1345: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	167.943	16.375	10.256	0.000	133.541	202.344	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-21.327	20.310	-1.050	0.308	-63.997	21.342	0.055

Table 1346: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	79.100	46.764	1.691	0.111	-20.575	178.775	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	74.420	51.227	1.453	0.167	-34.768	183.608	0.142
WHSTOTHER.5 months	76.340	51.227	1.490	0.157	-32.848	185.528	0.149
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	55.500	57.274	0.969	0.348	-66.576	177.576	0.037
WHSTOTHER.6 months	100.057	49.993	2.001	0.064	-6.500	206.614	0.313
WHSTOTHER.7 months	101.200	66.134	1.530	0.147	-39.762	242.162	0.065

Table 1347: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	170.6	11.870	14.372	0.000	145.556	195.644	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	-39.4	25.871	-1.523	0.146	-93.983	15.183	0.114

Table 1348: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Cereals_6mo	158.340 5.381	21.889 25.500	7.234 0.211			204.522 59.182	

Table 1349: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	185.971	50.867	3.656	0.002	78.137	293.806	0.000
STATE	-0.853	1.629	-0.524	0.608	-4.307	2.600	0.016

Table 1350: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	231.517	39.791	5.818	0.000	147.566	315.469	0.000
TRAIT	-2.197	1.167	-1.883	0.077	-4.659	0.265	0.165

Table 1351: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	157.571	16.025	9.833	0.000	123.761	191.381	0
NegativeLifeEvents	0.252	3.560	0.071	0.944	-7.259	7.763	0

Table 1352: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	157.927	16.929	9.329	0.000	122.210	193.644	0
PositiveLifeEvents	0.073	2.110	0.035	0.973	-4.379	4.525	0

Table 1353: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	156.791	22.807	6.875	0.000	108.673	204.910	0
Total Life Events	0.175	2.195	0.080	0.938	-4.456	4.805	0

Table 1354: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept AgeAt1yrVisit	17.846 -0.021	4.404 0.012	4.052 -1.773	$0.001 \\ 0.092$	8.629 -0.045		0.000 0.136

Table 1355: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.567	3.259	3.242	0.004	3.746	17.388	0.000
MAGE	-0.016	0.102	-0.153	0.880	-0.230	0.198	0.001

Table 1356: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	11.281 -0.035	2.320 0.066	4.863	0.000	0	16.135 0.103	

Table 1357: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.997	3.782	2.644	0.016	2.082	17.913	0
MEDUY	0.005	0.228	0.020	0.984	-0.472	0.482	0

Table 1358: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.680	2.346	4.126	0.001	4.770	14.590	0.000
PEDUY	0.025	0.149	0.171	0.866	-0.287	0.338	0.001

Table 1359: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.924	0.665	14.934	0.000	8.528	11.320	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.915	1.198	-0.764	0.455	-3.432	1.602	0.032
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.848	0.969	0.875	0.393	-1.188	2.883	0.041

Table 1360: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs OLDER-SIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	10.388	0.720	14.419	0.000	8.880	11.896	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.510	0.916	-0.557	0.584	-2.426	1.407	0.015

Table 1361: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	10.740 -0.483	_	8.006 -0.528			13.548 1.435	

Table 1362: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	21.927	16.484	1.330	0.199	-12.575	56.429	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.043	0.060	-0.719	0.481	-0.169	0.082	0.025

Table 1363: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.796	3.824	1.777			14.800	
$_{ m BW}$	0.001	0.001	0.863	0.399	-0.001	0.003	0.036

Table 1364: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Maternal-Infection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	10.429	0.580	17.989	0.00	9.215	11.642	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.831	0.886	-0.938	0.36	-2.684	1.023	0.042

Table 1365: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.930	0.509	19.503	0.000	8.864	10.995	0.000
MPSYCH	0.601	1.043	0.576	0.572	-1.583	2.785	0.016

Table 1366: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs VITA-MINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.799	0.561	17.480	0.000	8.626	10.973	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	0.718	0.908	0.791	0.439	-1.183	2.619	0.03

Table 1367: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.721	0.579	16.779	0.000	8.498	10.943	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.222	1.533	0.797	0.436	-2.012	4.456	0.029
PrePregBMI.Overweight	1.139	1.003	1.135	0.272	-0.978	3.256	0.060
PrePregBMI.Under	-1.883	2.089	-0.902	0.380	-6.290	2.524	0.037

Table 1368: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs ANTIBI-OTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.695	0.000	17.067				
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.412	0.847	0.487	0.632	-1.367	2.192	0.012

Table 1369: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs FOR-MULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.434	0.55	17.157	0.000	8.279	10.590	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	0.992	0.82	1.211	0.242	-0.730	2.715	0.072

Table 1370: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.823	0.614	16.004	0.000	8.538	11.107	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.525	0.889	0.590	0.562	-1.337	2.387	0.017

Table 1371: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.850	0.507	19.439	0.000	8.785	10.914	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.104	0.925	0.113	0.912	-1.839	2.048	0.001

Table 1372: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs DAY-CARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	10.047 -0.267	0.611 0.923	16.451 -0.290	0.000	8.737 -2.248	11.357 1.713	0.000

Table 1373: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.537	0.558	18.870	0.000	9.364	11.710	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	-1.312	0.790	-1.662	0.114	-2.972	0.347	0.127

Table 1374: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept Milks 1yr	10.866 -1.313	0.805 0.929	13.502 -1.413		9.175 -3.265	12.556 0.639	

Table 1375: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.914	0.552	16.137	0.00	7.753	10.074	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	1.759	0.745	2.362	0.03	0.194	3.324	0.227

Table 1376: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Sweet-FoodsDrinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	11.010	0.791	13.928	0.000	9.349	12.671	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	-1.506	0.913	-1.650	0.116	-3.423	0.412	0.125

Table 1377: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Peanut-Butter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.417	0.699	14.894	0.000	8.948	11.887	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.825	0.868	-0.951	0.354	-2.648	0.997	0.045

Table 1378: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.643	2.180	3.506	0.003	2.997	12.288	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	2.177	2.388	0.912	0.376	-2.912	7.266	0.094
WHSTOTHER.5 months	2.454	2.388	1.028	0.320	-2.635	7.544	0.119
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	2.072	2.669	0.776	0.450	-3.618	7.761	0.040
WHSTOTHER.6 months	3.015	2.330	1.294	0.215	-1.952	7.981	0.220
WHSTOTHER.7 months	2.630	3.082	0.853	0.407	-3.940	9.200	0.034

Table 1379: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.472	0.524	19.988	0.000	9.367	11.578	0.00
VITAMIND_6mo	-1.426	1.142	-1.249	0.229	-3.835	0.983	0.08

Table 1380: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept Cereals_6mo	$9.574 \\ 0.812$	0.933 1.087	$10.261 \\ 0.747$			11.543 3.105	

Table 1381: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	11.432	2.147	5.325	0.000	6.881	15.984	0.000
STATE	-0.043	0.069	-0.619		-0.188	0.103	0.022

Table 1382: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	12.550	1.745	7.190	0.000	8.867	16.232	0.000
TRAIT	-0.073	0.051	-1.429	0.171	-0.181	0.035	0.102

Table 1383: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.964	0.686	14.519	0.00	8.516	11.412	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.027	0.152	0.179	0.86	-0.294	0.349	0.002

Table 1384: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.518	0.704	13.525	0.000	8.033	11.002	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	0.091	0.088	1.036	0.315	-0.094	0.276	

Table 1385: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

Es	timate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	9.076 0.109	0.938 0.090	9.671 1.203	0.000	7.096 -0.082	11.056 0.299	0.000

Table 1386: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.548	1.781	3.115	0.006	1.820	9.276	0.000
${\bf AgeAt1yrVisit}$	-0.003	0.005	-0.697	0.494	-0.013	0.007	0.024

Table 1387: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.824	1.231	3.917	0.001	2.246	7.401	0.000
MAGE	-0.016	0.039	-0.420	0.679	-0.097	0.065	0.009

Table 1388: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	4.910 -0.017	$0.875 \\ 0.025$	5.609 -0.696		3.078 -0.070	$6.742 \\ 0.035$	0.000

Table 1389: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.640	1.426	2.552	0.019	0.655	6.626	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
MEDUY	0.041	0.086	0.474	0.641	-0.139	0.221	0.011

Table 1390: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	$3.559 \\ 0.049$	$0.873 \\ 0.056$	4.076 0.878	$0.001 \\ 0.391$	1.732 -0.067	$5.387 \\ 0.165$	0.000

Table 1391: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.235	0.260	16.279	0.000	3.688	4.781	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.149	0.469	-0.318	0.754	-1.135	0.836	0.006
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.277	0.379	0.731	0.474	-0.520	1.074	0.030

Table 1392: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept OLDERSIBLINGS	4.347 -0.057	00	15.788 -0.163	0.000	3.771 -0.790	4.923 0.675	0.000

Table 1393: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	4.042 0.195	0.508 0.347	$7.950 \\ 0.562$	0.000 0.581	2.978 -0.532	5.106 0.922	0.000

Table 1394: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.621	6.293	1.211	0.241	-5.55	20.792	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.012	0.023	-0.526	0.605	-0.06	0.036	0.014

Table 1395: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.985	1.447	2.063	0.053	-0.043	6.013	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	0.923	0.368	-0.001	0.001	0.041

Table 1396: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.356	0.224	19.406	0.000	3.886	4.826	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.103	0.343	-0.299	0.768	-0.820	0.615	0.004

Table 1397: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	4.283 0.122	0.194 0.398	$22.035 \\ 0.306$	0.000	3.876 -0.712		0.000 0.005

Table 1398: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	4.166 0.383	0.209 0.339	19.909 1.129	0.000	3.728 -0.327	4.604 1.092	0.00

Table 1399: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.305	0.210	20.514	0.000	3.862	4.748	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.023	0.555	-0.041	0.968	-1.194	1.148	0.000
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.274	0.363	0.753	0.462	-0.493	1.040	0.025
PrePregBMI.Under	-1.452	0.757	-1.919	0.072	-3.048	0.145	0.156

Table 1400: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs ANTIBI-OTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.266	0.226	18.916	0.000	3.792	4.739	0
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.029	0.336	-0.086	0.932	-0.735	0.677	0

Table 1401: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.092	0.218	18.737	0.000	3.634	4.551	0.000
$FORMULA_1yr$	0.356	0.326	1.094	0.289	-0.328	1.040	0.059

Table 1402: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs FORMULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.115	0.226	18.232	0.000	3.643	4.588	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.413	0.327	1.262	0.222	-0.272	1.097	0.074

Table 1403: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.230	0.200	21.182	0.000	3.811	4.650	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.075	0.365	0.206	0.839	-0.691	0.841	0.002

Table 1404: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	4.328 -0.104	0.224 0.339	19.293 -0.306	$0.000 \\ 0.764$	3.847 -0.831	$4.809 \\ 0.624$	0.000 0.006

Table 1405: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.628	0.201	23.037	0.000	4.205	5.050	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	-0.750	0.284	-2.639	0.017	-1.347	-0.153	0.268

Table 1406: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.492		13.687		3.802	5.181	
Milks_1yr	-0.319	0.379	-0.841	0.411	-1.115	0.478	0.036

Table 1407: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.809	0.206	18.518	0.000	3.377	4.241	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	0.806	0.277	2.907	0.009	0.224	1.389	0.308

Table 1408: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.746	0.306	15.491	0.000	4.103	5.390	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	-0.658	0.354	-1.860	0.079	-1.401	0.085	0.154

Table 1409: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.386	0.280	15.662	0.000	3.798	4.974	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.205	0.347	-0.591	0.562	-0.935	0.524	0.018

Table 1410: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs WHSTOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.689	0.810	4.552	0.000	1.962	5.417	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.636	0.888	0.716	0.485	-1.257	2.528	0.077
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.399	0.888	0.449	0.660	-1.493	2.291	0.030
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.313	0.993	0.315	0.757	-1.803	2.429	0.009
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.969	0.866	1.119	0.281	-0.878	2.816	0.218
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.494	1.146	0.431	0.673	-1.949	2.937	0.012

Table 1411: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMIND 6mo	4.485 -0.606	0.200	22.978 -1.426	$0.000 \\ 0.172$			

Table 1412: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.120	0.351	11.726	0.000	3.379	4.861	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.322	0.409	0.786	0.443	-0.542	1.185	0.033

Table 1413: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	4.659 -0.012	$0.802 \\ 0.026$	5.808 -0.450	$0.000 \\ 0.659$	2.959 -0.066	$6.359 \\ 0.043$	0.000 0.012

Table 1414: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.301	0.652	8.126	0.000	3.925	6.677	0.000
TRAIT	-0.029	0.019	-1.530	0.144	-0.070	0.011	0.115

Table 1415: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.3	0.260	16.535	0.000	3.751	4.848	0
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.0	0.058	-0.005	0.996	-0.122	0.122	0

Table 1416: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.371	0.274	15.976	0.000	3.794	4.949	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.012	0.034	-0.363	0.721	-0.084	0.060	0.007

Table 1417: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs Total LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.420	0.369	11.993	0.000	3.642	5.197	0.000
TotalLifeEvents	-0.014	0.035	-0.381	0.708	-0.088	0.061	0.008

Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
----------	------------	---------	--------------	-------	--------	----

yr1 mask task v s diversity

Table 1418: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.718	0.183	20.358	0.000	3.320	4.116	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 1	0.750	0.457	1.640	0.127	-0.246	1.746	0.171

Table 1419: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.835	0.180	21.361	0.000	3.444	4.227	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-2.431	1.111	-2.188	0.049	-4.852	-0.010	0.209

Table 1420: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs wunifrac. PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.704	0.213	17.350	0.000	3.238	4.169	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.377	2.430	0.155	0.879	-4.917	5.670	0.002

Table 1421: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs wunifrac. PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.731	0.199	18.768	0.000	3.298	4.164	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	1.545	2.079	0.743	0.472	-2.985	6.076	0.041

Table 1422: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.701	0.193	19.220	0.000	3.281	4.120	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-1.857	1.650	-1.126	0.282	-5.451	1.738	0.089

Table 1423: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.680	0.192	19.198	0.000	3.263	4.098	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	2.016	1.597	1.262	0.231	-1.465	5.496	0.109

Table 1424: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	3.706 -0.563	0.202 1.470	18.361 -0.383	0.000	3.267 -3.766	4.146 2.641	0.000 0.011

Table 1425: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.679	0.213	17.289	0.000	3.215	4.143	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	0.927	1.907	0.486	0.636	-3.229	5.083	0.018

Table 1426: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: Masks Presented vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.324	0.705	6.135	0.000	2.788	5.859	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.003	-0.900	0.386	-0.008	0.003	0.059

Table 1427: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.045	0.755	5.359	0.000	2.400	5.690	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.002	0.005	-0.454	0.658	-0.012	0.008	0.016

Table 1428: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.806	1.250	3.045	0.010	1.083	6.530	0
PD_whole_tree	-0.009	0.127	-0.075	0.942	-0.286	0.267	0

Table 1429: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept shannon	4.169 -0.107	$1.650 \\ 0.387$	2.527 -0.278			7.764 0.735	

Table 1430: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.241	1.078	3.933	0.002	1.891	6.590	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	5.719	2.700	2.118	0.056	-0.164	11.601	0.257

Table 1431: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.322	1.325	3.263	0.007	1.436	7.209	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-2.165	8.197	-0.264	0.796	-20.025	15.696	0.005

Table 1432: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.209	1.337	3.149	0.008	1.297	7.122	0
wunifrac. PC. 3	0.181	15.214	0.012	0.991	-32.968	33.330	0

Table 1433: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.269	1.264	3.377	0.005	1.515	7.023	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	5.113	13.222	0.387	0.706	-23.696	33.922	0.011

Table 1434: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.179	1.257	3.326	0.006	1.442	6.917	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-4.690	10.766	-0.436	0.671	-28.147	18.768	0.014

Table 1435: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.355	1.243	3.504	0.004	1.647	7.063	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	-8.377	10.357	-0.809	0.434	-30.944	14.189	0.048

Table 1436: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	4.363 10.647	1.198 8.728	3.641 1.220	0.000	1.752 -8.371	0.0	0.000 0.103

Table 1437: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.534	1.214	2.910	0.013	0.888	6.180	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	17.901	10.885	1.645	0.126	-5.815	41.618	0.172

Table 1438: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.418	4.549	0.751	0.467	-6.494	13.33	0.000
chao1	0.003	0.017	0.182	0.858	-0.033	0.04	0.003

Table 1439: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.62	4.739	0.553	0.591	-7.705	12.945	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.01	0.029	0.349	0.733	-0.054	0.074	0.009

Table 1440: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	-0.516 0.486	7.697 0.781	-0.067 0.623		-17.287 -1.215	16.255 2.187	

Table 1441: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-6.267	9.896	-0.633	0.538	-27.829	15.295	0.000
shannon	2.475	2.319	1.067	0.307	-2.578	7.527	0.081

Table 1442: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	2.209 -1.223	0.318 0.797	6.939 -1.534	0.000	1.515 -2.959	$2.902 \\ 0.514$	0.000

Table 1443: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.193	0.365	6.003	0.000	1.397	2.990	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	0.418	2.261	0.185	0.857	-4.509	5.344	0.003

Table 1444: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.215	0.368	6.016	0.000	1.413	3.017	0
wunifrac.PC.3	-0.015	4.190	-0.003	0.997	-9.145	9.116	0

Table 1445: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.204	0.349	6.309	0.000	1.443	2.966	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.913	3.655	-0.250	0.807	-8.877	7.050	0.005

Table 1446: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	$2.218 \\ 0.466$	$0.348 \\ 2.986$	$6.365 \\ 0.156$	0.000 0.879	1.459 -6.040	2.977 6.971	0.000 0.002

Table 1447: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.179	0.344	6.337	0.000	1.43	2.928	0.00
unifrac.PC.2	2.112	2.865	0.737	0.475	-4.13	8.355	0.04

Table 1448: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.165	0.321	6.738	0.000	1.465		0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-3.493	2.341	-1.492	0.161	-8.593		0.146

Table 1449: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.423	0.325	7.450	0.000	1.714	3.132	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-5.494	2.915	-1.884	0.084	-11.845	0.858	0.215

Table 1450: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.618	1.249	2.096	0.058	-0.103	5.339	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.005	-0.336	0.742	-0.012	0.008	0.009

Table 1451: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.927	1.294	2.262	0.043	0.107	5.747	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.005	0.008	-0.572	0.578	-0.022	0.013	0.025

Table 1452: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.376	2.127	1.587	0.139	-1.259	8.010	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.119	0.216	-0.553	0.590	-0.589	0.351	0.023

Table 1453: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.127	2.723	1.883	0.084	-0.806	11.061	0.000
shannon	-0.688	0.638	-1.078	0.302	-2.078	0.703	0.082

Table 1454: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.778 -1.672	$0.269 \\ 0.672$	6.621 -2.487	0.000	1.193 -3.137	2.363 -0.207	

Table 1455: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.749	0.346	5.058	0.000	0.996	2.502	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	0.738	2.140	0.345	0.736	-3.924	5.399	0.009

Table 1456: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.805	0.349	5.171	0.000	1.045	2.566	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-0.691	3.974	-0.174	0.865	-9.350	7.968	0.002

Table 1457: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.784	0.333	5.363	0.000	1.059	2.508	0
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.188	3.479	-0.054	0.958	-7.769	7.393	0

Table 1458: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.797	0.327	5.496	0.000	1.085	2.510	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.563	2.802	0.558	0.587	-4.542	7.668	0.023

Table 1459: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.759	0.329	5.344	0.000	1.042	2.476	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.619	2.742	0.591	0.566	-4.355	7.594	0.026

Table 1460: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.740	0.306	5.684	0.000	1.073	2.407	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-3.262	2.230	-1.463	0.169	-8.120	1.595	0.141

Table 1461: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.022	0.289	6.996	0.000	1.392	2.652	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-6.216	2.591	-2.399	0.034	-11.861	-0.571	0.307

Table 1462: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.846	1.191	1.550	0.147	-0.75	4.442	0
chao1	0.000	0.004	-0.053	0.959	-0.01	0.009	0

Table 1463: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.070	1.243	1.666	0.122	-0.638	4.778	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.002	0.008	-0.237	0.816	-0.019	0.015	0.004

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1464: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=12 \\ \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.818	2.023	1.393	0.189	-1.589	7.226	0.00
PD_whole_tree	-0.106	0.205	-0.517	0.614	-0.553	0.341	0.02

Table 1465: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.907	2.689	1.081	0.00-	-2.951	0.,00	0.000
shannon	-0.265	0.630	-0.420	0.682	-1.637	1.108	0.013

Table 1466: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.565 -1.394	0.205 0.514	7.618 -2.710	0.000	1.117 -2.515	2.013 -0.273	0.000

Table 1467: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.513	0.269	5.631	0.000	0.928	2.099	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	1.166	1.663	0.701	0.496	-2.457	4.789	0.036

Table 1468: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.547	0.275	5.625	0.000	0.948	2.146	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.863	3.130	0.276	0.787	-5.956	7.683	0.006

Table 1469: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.546	0.254	6.087	0.000	0.992	2.099	0.00
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.410	2.656	-0.907	0.382	-8.197	3.377	0.06

Table 1470: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.582	0.257	6.161	0.000	1.023	2.142	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.439	2.200	0.654	0.525	-3.355	6.233	0.032

Table 1471: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.588	0.261	6.075	0.000	1.018	2.157	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.959	2.177	-0.441	0.667	-5.703	3.785	0.015

Table 1472: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.571	0.262	5.993	0	1.000	2.143	0
unifrac.PC.3	-0.001	1.910	-0.001	1	-4.162	4.160	0

Table 1473: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.697	0.256	6.622	0.000	1.139	2.255	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-3.301	2.297	-1.437	0.176	-8.305	1.703	0.137

Table 1474: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.695	0.902	0.770	0.456	-1.271	2.661	0.000
chao1	0.003	0.003	1.011	0.332	-0.004	0.011	0.073

Table 1475: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.731	0.950	0.769	0.457	-1.339	2.801	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.005	0.006	0.918	0.377	-0.007	0.018	0.061

Table 1476: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.077	1.607	0.670	0.515	-2.425	4.579	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.051	0.163	0.312	0.761	-0.304	0.406	0.007

Table 1477: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.656	2.120	0.309	*****	-3.964	5.275	0.000
shannon	0.216	0.497	0.435		-0.866	1.299	0.014

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1478: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.284 -0.416	0.121 0.304	2.340 -1.372	0.00.	0.020 -1.078	$0.548 \\ 0.245$	0.000

Table 1479: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.270	0.136	1.979	0.071	-0.027	0.567	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	0.323	0.843	0.383	0.709	-1.514	2.160	0.011

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1480: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.263	0.136	1.929	0.078	-0.034	0.561	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 3	0.784	1.553	0.505	0.623	-2.600	4.169	0.019

Table 1481: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.277	0.129	2.142	0.053	-0.005	0.558	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.834	1.352	-0.617	0.549	-3.779	2.111	0.028

Table 1482: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.284	0.130	2.177	0.050	0.000	0.568	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-0.241	1.117	-0.216	0.833	-2.676	2.193	0.004

Table 1483: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.301	0.128	2.363	0.036	0.023	0.580	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.941	1.063	-0.885	0.393	-3.258	1.375	0.057

Table 1484: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	0.278 -0.523	0.129 0.943	2.151 -0.555	0.000	-0.004 -2.577	0.000	0.000 0.023

Table 1485: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.271	0.138	1.961	0.074	-0.030	0.572	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	0.392	1.238	0.317	0.757	-2.306	3.090	0.008

Table 1486: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.144	0.452	-0.319	0.755	-1.129	0.841	0.00
chao1	0.002	0.002	0.990	0.342	-0.002	0.005	0.07

Table 1487: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.159	0.473	-0.335	0.743	-1.189	0.872	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.003	0.003	0.974	0.349	-0.004	0.009	0.068

Table 1488: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DD whole tree	0.145	0.806	0.180		-1.611	1.901	
PD_whole_tree	0.014	0.082	0.177	0.805	-0.164	0.193	0.002

Table 1489: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.436	1.048	-0.417	0.684	-2.719	1.846	0.000
shannon	0.171	0.245	0.695	0.501	-0.364	0.705	0.036

Table 1490: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.641	0.126	5.070	0.000	0.365		0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.488	0.316	-1.543	0.149	-1.178		0.155

Table 1491: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.657	0.145	4.541	0.001	0.342	0.973	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-0.292	0.896	-0.326	0.750	-2.244	1.660	0.008

Table 1492: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.57	0.131	4.339	0.001	0.284	0.857	0.00
wunifrac.PC.3	2.53	1.496	1.691	0.117	-0.730	5.791	0.18

Table 1493: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.652	0.137	4.763	0.000	0.354	0.951	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	0.897	1.433	0.626	0.543	-2.225	4.019	0.029

Table 1494: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	0.649 0.819	0.136 1.164	4.778 0.703		0.353 -1.717	0.945 3.354	$0.000 \\ 0.037$

Table 1495: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.647	0.139	4.635	0.001	0.343	0.950	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.221	1.162	-0.190	0.853	-2.753	2.312	0.003

Table 1496: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	0.635 -0.589	0.137 0.998	4.63 -0.59	$0.001 \\ 0.566$	0.336 -2.764	0.000	0.000 0.026

Table 1497: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.704	0.138	5.119	0.000	0.405	1.004	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-1.617	1.233	-1.311	0.214	-4.304	1.070	0.117

Table 1498: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.187	0.471	2.521	0.027	0.161	2.214	0.0
chao1	-0.002	0.002	-1.204	0.252	-0.006	0.002	0.1

Table 1499: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.068	0.505	2.112	0.056	-0.034	2.169	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.003	0.003	-0.872	0.400	-0.010	0.004	0.055

Table 1500: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.034	0.848	1.219	0.246	-0.814	2.882	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.040	0.086	-0.467	0.649	-0.228	0.147	0.017

Table 1501: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.901	1.073	1.773	0.102	-0.435	4.238	0.000
shannon	-0.297	0.251	-1.182	0.260	-0.845	0.250	0.097

Table 1502: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.994	0.781	8.952 3.252	0.000	5.291	8.696	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	6.360	1.956		0.007	2.098	10.622	0.449

Table 1503: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.336	1.071	6.850	0.000	5.003	0.0.0	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-7.467	6.628	-1.127	0.282	-21.908	6.973	0.089

Table 1504: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.977	1.133	6.158	0.000	4.509	9.446	0
wunifrac. $PC.3$	-0.458	12.897	-0.036	0.972	-28.559	27.642	0

Table 1505: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.939	1.076	6.447	0.000	4.594	9.284	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.386	11.258	-0.212	0.836	-26.914	22.142	0.003

Table 1506: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.906	1.041	6.634	0.000	4.638	9.175	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-7.793	8.920	-0.874	0.399	-27.227	11.642	0.055

Table 1507: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.017	1.077	6.518	0.000	4.671	9.362	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	-3.135	8.971	-0.349	0.733	-22.681	16.411	0.009

Table 1508: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	7.045 5.765	1.053 7.666	$6.693 \\ 0.752$	$0.000 \\ 0.467$	4.752 -10.938	0.000	$0.000 \\ 0.042$

Table 1509: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.444	1.051	6.132	0.000	4.154	8.734	0.00
unifrac.PC.4	13.689	9.420	1.453	0.172	-6.835	34.212	0.14

Table 1510: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.778	3.861	1.755	0.105	-1.636	15.191	0
chao1	0.001	0.014	0.050	0.961	-0.030	0.032	0

Table 1511: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.142	4.030	1.524	0.153	-2.639	14.923	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.005	0.025	0.212	0.836	-0.049	0.060	0.003

Table 1512: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	3.601 0.346	$6.557 \\ 0.665$	$0.549 \\ 0.520$		-10.685 -1.103		

Table 1513: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept shannon	3.900 0.724	8.733 2.046	$0.447 \\ 0.354$	0.000	-15.128 -3.735	22.928 5.182	0.00

Table 1514: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.529 -1.516	$0.234 \\ 0.587$	6.524 -2.585	0.000	1.018 -2.795	2.039 -0.238	0.000

Table 1515: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.481	0.303	4.888	0.000	0.821	2.142	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	1.093	1.875	0.583	0.571	-2.992	5.179	0.025

Table 1516: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.501	0.308	4.879	0.000	0.831	2.171	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	1.217	3.502	0.348	0.734	-6.412	8.846	0.009

Table 1517: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.536	0.294	5.221	0.000	0.895	2.177	0
wunifrac.PC.4	0.012	3.077	0.004	0.997	-6.693	6.717	0

Table 1518: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.542	0.291	5.293	0.000	0.907	2.177	0.00
unifrac.PC.1	0.890	2.497	0.357	0.728	-4.550	6.330	0.01

Table 1519: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.515	0.292	5.186	0.000	0.879	2.152	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.216	2.435	0.499	0.627	-4.090	6.521	0.019

Table 1520: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.509	0.284	5.317	$0.000 \\ 0.369$	0.890	2.127	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-1.930	2.067	-0.934		-6.433	2.573	0.063

Table 1521: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.670	0.289	5.776	0.000		2.301	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-3.545	2.592	-1.367	0.197	-9.193	2.104	0.126

Table 1522: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.504	1.054	1.428	0.179	-0.791	3.800	0
chao1	0.000	0.004	0.031	0.976	-0.008	0.009	0

Table 1523: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.683	1.101	1.529	0.152	-0.716	4.081	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.001	0.007	-0.139	0.892	-0.016	0.014	0.001

Table 1524: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.300	1.795	1.281	0.224	-1.611	6.211	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.079	0.182	-0.431	0.674	-0.475	0.318	0.014

Table 1525: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept shannon	2.556 -0.241	2.377 0.557	1.075 -0.432	0.000	-2.623 -1.454	7.734 0.973	$0.000 \\ 0.014$

Table 1526: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.154	0.224	5.143	0.000	0.665	1.643	0.000
wunifrac PC 1	-1.438	0.562	-2 560	0.025	-2 662	-0.214	0.335

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.075	0.282	3.811	0.002	0.460	1.689	0.00
wunifrac.PC.2	1.726	1.745	0.989	0.342	-2.076	5.528	0.07

Table 1528: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.159	0.295	3.927	0.002	0.516	1.802	0
wunifrac.PC.3	0.069	3.358	0.021	0.984	-7.248	7.386	0

Table 1529: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.155	0.280	4.120	0.001	0.544	1.766	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.515	2.933	-0.176	0.863	-6.906	5.875	0.002

Table 1530: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.172	0.275	4.262	0.001	0.573	1.771	0.00
unifrac.PC.1	1.500	2.356	0.637	0.536	-3.633	6.633	0.03

Table 1531: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.16	0.282	4.116	0.001	0.546	1.773	0
unifrac. $PC.2$	0.07	2.348	0.030	0.977	-5.045	5.185	0

Table 1532: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	1.142 -1.339	0.275 2.006	4.147 -0.667	0.00=	0.542 -5.709	1.742 3.032	

Table 1533: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.294	0.274	4.714	0.001	0.696	1.892	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-3.503	2.460	-1.424	0.180	-8.863	1.857	0.135

Table 1534: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.828	1.001	0.827	0.424	-1.352	3.008	0.000
chao1	0.001	0.004	0.346	0.735	-0.007	0.009	0.009

Table 1535: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.089	1.051	1.036	0.321	-1.201	3.379	0
$observed_otus$	0.000	0.007	0.071	0.945	-0.014	0.015	0

Table 1536: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.686	1.720	0.98	0.346	-2.061	5.432	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.054	0.174	-0.31	0.762	-0.434	0.326	0.007

Table 1537: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.315	2.285	0.575	0.576	-3.665	6.294	0
shannon	-0.036	0.536	-0.068	0.947	-1.203	1.130	0

Table 1538: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.262	0.209	6.031	0.00	0.806	1.718	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-1.288	0.524	-2.458	0.03	-2.429	-0.146	0.317

Table 1539: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.196	0.261	4.579	0.001	0.627	1.766	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	1.433	1.617	0.886	0.393	-2.090	4.955	0.057

Table 1540: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.253	0.271	4.622	0.001	0.662	1.843	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.533	3.085	0.173	0.866	-6.187	7.254	0.002

Table 1541: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.244	0.251	4.964	0.000	0.698	1.789	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.262	2.621	-0.863	0.405	-7.971	3.448	0.054

Table 1542: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.275	0.255	4.999	0.00	0.719	1.831	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	0.955	2.185	0.437	0.67	-3.807	5.716	0.014

Table 1543: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.285	0.257	5.009	0.000	0.726	1.844	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-1.041	2.138	-0.487	0.635	-5.699	3.618	0.018

Table 1544: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.265	0.258	4.907	0.000	0.703	1.826	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.218	1.877	-0.116		-4.309	3.872	0.001

Table 1545: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.4	1.379 -2.937	0.256	5.389 -1.280	0.000	0.822 -7.936	1.937 2.062	0.000

Table 1546: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.368	0.884	0.416	0.685	-1.559	2.295	0.000
chao1	0.003	0.003	1.059	0.310	-0.004	0.011	0.079

Table 1547: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.539	0.942	0.572	0.578	-1.513	2.591	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.005	0.006	0.803	0.438	-0.008	0.017	0.047

Table 1548: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.772	1.581	0.488	0.634	-2.672	4.217	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.051	0.160	0.318	0.756	-0.298	0.400	0.008

Table 1549: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.459	2.089	0.22	0.830	-4.092	5.010	0.000
shannon	0.191	0.489	0.39	0.703	-0.876	1.257	0.012

Table 1550: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.194 -0.424	0.090 0.226	2.152 -1.872		-0.002 -0.917	$0.391 \\ 0.069$	0.000 0.212

Table 1551: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.156	0.101	1.543	0.149	-0.064	0.377	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	0.809	0.626	1.293	0.220	-0.555	2.173	0.114

Table 1552: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.191	0.109	1.761	0.104	-0.045	0.428	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.186	1.235	0.151	0.883	-2.505	2.877	0.002

Table 1553: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.186	0.100	1.864	0.087	-0.031	0.403	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.998	1.042	-0.958	0.357	-3.269	1.272	0.066

Table 1554: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.200	0.101	1.976	0.072	-0.021	0.421	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	0.528	0.869	0.608	0.555	-1.364	2.421	0.028

Table 1555: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.213	0.098	2.166	0.051	-0.001	0.427	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.967	0.818	-1.182	0.260	-2.749	0.816	0.097

Table 1556: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	0.195 -0.118	$0.103 \\ 0.751$	1.888 -0.157	0.000	-0.030 -1.755	0	0.000 0.002

Table 1557: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.205	0.109	1.882	0.084	-0.032	0.443	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-0.230	0.977	-0.235	0.818	-2.359	1.899	0.004

Table 1558: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.205	0.350	-0.584	0.570	-0.967	0.558	0.000
chao1	0.002	0.001	1.192	0.256	-0.001	0.004	0.099

Table 1559: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.123	0.375	-0.329	0.748	-0.940	0.694	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.002	0.002	0.884	0.394	-0.003	0.007	0.057

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1560: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=12 \end{tabular} \label{table}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	$0.122 \\ 0.008$	$0.635 \\ 0.064$	0.191 0.119		-1.262 -0.133	$1.506 \\ 0.148$	

Table 1561: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.271	0.830	-0.326	0.750	-2.080	1.538	0.000
shannon	0.110	0.195	0.567	0.581	-0.314	0.534	0.024

Table 1562: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.354 -0.634	0.070 0.175	5.080 -3.631	0.000	0.202 -1.014	0.506 -0.254	0.000

Table 1563: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.335	0.104	3.215	0.007	0.108	0.561	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	0.453	0.644	0.704	0.495	-0.950	1.856	0.037

 $\begin{tabular}{lllll} Table & 1564: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.307	0.097	3.159	0.008	0.095	0.519	0.00
wunifrac.PC.3	1.742	1.107	1.573	0.142	-0.671	4.154	0.16

Table 1565: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.367	0.098	3.730	0.003	0.153	0.581	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	0.923	1.029	0.897	0.387	-1.320	3.166	0.058

Table 1566: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	$0.371 \\ 1.815$	$0.081 \\ 0.691$	$4.594 \\ 2.625$	0.00-	$0.195 \\ 0.309$	$0.546 \\ 3.321$	$0.000 \\ 0.347$

Table 1567: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.361	0.102	3.544	0.00=	0.139	0.582	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.208	0.848	-0.246		-2.056	1.639	0.005

Table 1568: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	$0.358 \\ 0.027$	0.102 0.740	3.521 0.037	0.004 0.971	0.136 -1.584	0.579 1.639	0

Table 1569: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.407	0.099	4.126	0.001	0.192	0.622	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-1.318	0.885	-1.490	0.162	-3.246	0.610	0.146

Table 1570: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.872	0.330	2.644	0.021	0.153	1.590	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.001	-1.624	0.130	-0.005	0.001	0.169

Table 1571: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MageScore_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.808	0.356	2.271	0.042	0.033	1.584	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.003	0.002	-1.315	0.213	-0.008	0.002	0.117

Table 1572: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	1.045 -0.071	$0.592 \\ 0.060$	1.765 -1.177		-0.245 -0.201		0.000 0.096

Table 1573: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.446	0.765	1.892	0.083	-0.219	3.112	0.000
shannon	-0.257	0.179	-1.435	0.177	-0.648	0.133	0.137

Table 1574: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	27.975 25.441	3.125 7.824	8.952 3.252	0.000 0.007		34.783 42.488	

Table 1575: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	29.346	4.284	6.850	0.000		38.680	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-29.869	26.511	-1.127	0.282	-87.631	27.892	0.089

Table 1576: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.910	4.533	6.158	0.000	18.034	37.785	0
wunifrac.PC.3	-1.834	51.589	-0.036	0.972	-114.236	110.568	0

Table 1577: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	27.755	4.305	6.447	0.000	18.375	37.134	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-9.545	45.031	-0.212	0.836	-107.658	88.568	0.003

Table 1578: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.626	4.164	6.634	0.000	18.553	36.698	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-31.170	35.679	-0.874	0.399	-108.907	46.567	0.055

Table 1579: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	28.067	4.306	6.518	0.000	18.685	37.450	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	-12.538	35.884	-0.349	0.733	-90.722	65.646	0.009

Table 1580: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	28.18 23.06	4.210 30.665	6.693 0.752	$0.000 \\ 0.467$	19.007 -43.752	37.353 89.873	0.000

Table 1581: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	25.776	4.203	6.132	0.000	16.618	34.935	0.00
unifrac.PC.4	54.754	37.678	1.453	0.172	-27.340	136.848	0.14

Table 1582: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.110	15.446	1.755	0.105	-6.543	60.764	0
chao1	0.003	0.057	0.050	0.961	-0.121	0.127	0

Table 1583: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	24.567	16.12	1.524	0.153	-10.556	59.690	0.000
$_{ m observed_otus}$	0.021	0.10	0.212	0.836	-0.197	0.239	0.003

Table 1584: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	14.402 1.383	26.226 2.660	$0.549 \\ 0.520$		-42.740 -4.412		

Table 1585: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	15.599	34.932	0.447	0.663	-60.512	91.710	0.00
shannon	2.894	8.186	0.354	0.730	-14.941	20.729	0.01

Table 1586: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	6.115 -6.066	0.937 2.347	6.524 -2.585	$0.000 \\ 0.024$	4.073 -11.178	8.157 -0.953	0.000

Table 1587: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.925	1.212	4.888	0.000	3.284	8.566	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	4.374	7.501	0.583	0.571	-11.969	20.717	0.025

Table 1588: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.004	1.231	4.879	0.000	3.322	8.685	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	4.868	14.006	0.348	0.734	-25.648	35.385	0.009

Table 1589: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.143	1.177	5.221	0.000	3.579	8.707	0
wunifrac.PC.4	0.047	12.309	0.004	0.997	-26.772	26.867	0

Table 1590: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	6.169 3.561	1.166 9.987	$5.293 \\ 0.357$	$0.000 \\ 0.728$	3.630 -18.198	8.709 25.320	0.00

Table 1591: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.061	1.169	5.186	0.000	3.515	8.608	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	4.862	9.740	0.499	0.627	-16.359	26.083	0.019

Table 1592: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	6.035 -7.720	1.135 8.266	5.317 -0.934	$0.000 \\ 0.369$	3.562 -25.731	8.508 10.291	0.000

Table 1593: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.682	1.157	5.776	0.000	4.161	9.202	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	-14.179	10.370	-1.367	0.197	-36.773	8.415	0.126

Table 1594: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.018	4.215	1.428	0.179	-3.165	15.201	0
chao1	0.000	0.016	0.031	0.976	-0.033	0.034	0

Table 1595: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.731	4.403	1.529	0.152	-2.862	16.324	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.004	0.027	-0.139	0.892	-0.063	0.056	0.001

Table 1596: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.200	7.180	1.281	0.224	-6.445	24.844	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.314	0.728	-0.431	0.674	-1.901	1.272	0.014

Table 1597: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score FacialFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.223	9.507	1.075	0.303	-10.490	30.937	0.000
shannon	-0.963	2.228	-0.432	0.673	-5.817	3.891	0.014

Table 1598: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	4.616 -5.752	0.898 2.247	5.143 -2.560	$0.000 \\ 0.025$	2.661 -10.649	6.572 -0.856	0.000

Table 1599: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.299	1.128	3.811	0.002	1.841	6.756	0.00
wunifrac. PC. 2	6.906	6.980	0.989	0.342	-8.302	22.113	0.07

Table 1600: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.635	1.180	3.927	0.002	2.063	7.206	0
wunifrac.PC.3	0.277	13.433	0.021	0.984	-28.992	29.546	0

Table 1601: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.621	1.122	4.120	0.001	2.177	7.064	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.062	11.732	-0.176	0.863	-27.624	23.500	0.002

Table 1602: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.687	1.100	4.262	0.001	2.291	7.084	0.00
unifrac.PC.1	5.999	9.423	0.637	0.536	-14.533	26.530	0.03

Table 1603: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.638	1.127	4.116	0.001	2.183	7.094	0
unifrac.PC.2	0.279	9.391	0.030	0.977	-20.181	20.740	0

Table 1604: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	4.568 -5.354	1.102 8.023	4.147 -0.667	$0.001 \\ 0.517$	2.168 -22.835	0.000	0.000 0.033

Table 1605: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.175	1.098	4.714	0.001	2.783	7.567	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	-14.012	9.840	-1.424	0.180	-35.452	7.429	0.135

Table 1606: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.311	4.002	0.827	0.424	-5.410	12.031	0.000
chao1	0.005	0.015	0.346	0.735	-0.027	0.037	0.009

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1607: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=12 \\ \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.357	4.204	1.036	0.321	-4.804	13.518	0
$observed_otus$	0.002	0.026	0.071	0.945	-0.055	0.059	0

Table 1608: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.744	6.878	0.98	0.346	-8.242	21.730	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.216	0.698	-0.31	0.762	-1.736	1.304	0.007

Table 1609: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score VocalDistress vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.259	9.141	0.575	0.576	-14.658	25.177	0
shannon	-0.145	2.142	-0.068	0.947	-4.813	4.522	0

Table 1610: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	5.048 -5.151	0.837 2.096	6.031 -2.458	0.00	3.224 -9.717	0.0	0.000

Table 1611: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.786	1.045	4.579	0.001	2.509	7.063	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	5.731	6.467	0.886	0.393	-8.359	19.822	0.057

Table 1612: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.010	1.084	4.622	0.001	2.648	7.372	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	2.134	12.338	0.173	0.866	-24.749	29.017	0.002

Table 1613: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.974	1.002	4.964	0.000	2.791	7.158	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-9.046	10.482	-0.863	0.405	-31.886	13.793	0.054

Table 1614: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	5.10 3.82	1.020 8.742	4.999 0.437	$0.00 \\ 0.67$	2.877 -15.227		0.000

Table 1615: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.141	1.026	5.009	0.000	2.905	7.377	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-4.163	8.552	-0.487	0.635	-22.797	14.470	0.018

Table 1616: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.059	1.031	4.907	0.000	2.813	7.306	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.873	7.510	-0.116	0.909	-17.235	15.488	0.001

Table 1617: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.518	1.024	5.389	0.000	3.287	7.749	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-11.748	9.177	-1.280	0.225	-31.744	8.247	0.112

Table 1618: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.471	3.537	0.416	0.685	-6.236	9.178	0.000
chao1	0.014	0.013	1.059	0.310	-0.015	0.042	0.079

Table 1619: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.155	3.767	0.572	0.578	-6.054	10.363	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.019	0.023	0.803	0.438	-0.032	0.070	0.047

Table 1620: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.089	6.324	0.488	0.634	-10.689	16.867	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.204	0.641	0.318	0.756	-1.194	1.601	0.008

Table 1621: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.836	8.355	0.22	0.000	-16.369	20.041	0.000
shannon	0.764	1.958	0.39	0.703	-3.502	5.030	0.012

Table 1622: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.778 -1.694	0.361 0.905	2.152 -1.872	0.00=	-0.010 -3.666		$0.000 \\ 0.212$

Table 1623: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.624	0.405	1.543	0.149	-0.257	1.506	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	3.237	2.504	1.293	0.220	-2.219	8.692	0.114

Table 1624: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.764	0.434	1.761	0.104	-0.181	1.710	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.744	4.941	0.151	0.883	-10.022	11.509	0.002

Table 1625: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.743	0.398	1.864	0.087	-0.125	1.611	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-3.993	4.168	-0.958	0.357	-13.075	5.089	0.066

Table 1626: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	0.801 2.112	$0.405 \\ 3.474$	$1.976 \\ 0.608$	0.0	-0.082 -5.457		0.000 0.028

Table 1627: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.851	0.393	2.166	0.051	-0.005	1.706	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-3.867	3.272	-1.182	0.260	-10.997	3.262	0.097

Table 1628: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.779	0.413	1.888	0.083	-0.12	1.678	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.472	3.005	-0.157	0.878	-7.02	6.075	0.002

Table 1629: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.821	0.436	1.882	0.084	-0.129	1.771	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	-0.920	3.908	-0.235	0.818	-9.435	7.595	0.004

Table 1630: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.818	1.400	-0.584	0.570	-3.869	2.232	0.000
chao1	0.006	0.005	1.192	0.256	-0.005	0.017	0.099

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1631: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=12 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.493	1.500	-0.329	0.748	-3.762	2.775	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.008	0.009	0.884	0.394	-0.012	0.029	0.057

Table 1632: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.486	2.541	0.191	0.851	-5.049	6.022	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.031	0.258	0.119	0.907	-0.531	0.592	0.001

Table 1633: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.084 0.441	3.322 0.778	-0.326 0.567	0	-8.322 -1.255	6.154 2.138	0.000 0.024

Table 1634: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.417 -2.536	0.279 0.698	5.080 -3.631	$0.000 \\ 0.003$	0.809 -4.057		0.000 0.504

Table 1635: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.338	0.416	3.215	0.007	0.431	2.245	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	1.813	2.576	0.704	0.495	-3.799	7.425	0.037

Table 1636: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.229	0.389	3.159	0.008	0.381	2.077	0.00
wunifrac.PC.3	6.967	4.429	1.573	0.142	-2.683	16.617	0.16

Table 1637: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.468	0.394	3.730	0.003	0.610	2.326	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	3.693	4.118	0.897	0.387	-5.279	12.665	0.058

Table 1638: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.482	0.323	4.594	0.001	0.779	2.186	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	7.259	2.765	2.625	0.022	1.235	13.283	0.347

Table 1639: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.443	0.407	3.544	0.004	0.556	2.329	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.834	3.392	-0.246	0.810	-8.224	6.556	0.005

MaskSummed-

Table 1640: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

				97.5 %	
0.406	3.521	0.00=	0.0 -0	2.315	0
		000 0.0	000 0.0	0.100	0.000 0.000

Table 1641: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.629	0.395	4.126	0.001	0.769	2.489	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-5.271	3.539	-1.490	0.162	-12.982	2.439	0.146

Table 1642: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.486	1.319	2.644	0.021	0.613	6.360	0.000
chao1	-0.008	0.005	-1.624	0.130	-0.018	0.003	0.169

Table 1643: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.233	1.424	2.271	0.042	0.131	6.335	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.012	0.009	-1.315	0.213	-0.031	0.008	0.117

Table 1644: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.179	2.367	1.765	0.103	-0.979	9.337	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.283	0.240	-1.177	0.262	-0.806	0.240	0.096

Table 1645: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.786	3.058	1.892	0.083	-0.878	12.450	0.000
shannon	-1.029	0.717	-1.435	0.177	-2.590	0.533	0.137

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
# yr1 mask	task vs cov	ariate					

Table 1646: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.122	1.549	3.952	0.001	2.868	9.376	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	-0.006	0.004	-1.604	0.126	-0.015	0.002	0.119

Table 1647: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	4.542 -0.029	0.939 0.030	4.835 -0.965	$0.000 \\ 0.347$	2.568 -0.093		$0.000 \\ 0.047$

Table 1648: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	4.556 -0.027	$0.752 \\ 0.022$	6.060 -1.235	0.000 0.233	2.977 -0.074	6.136 0.019	

Table 1649: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.309	1.305	3.302	0.004	1.568	7.050	0.000
MEDUY	-0.041	0.081	-0.509	0.617	-0.210	0.128	0.013

Table 1650: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.313	1.042	3.179	0.005	1.124	5.501	0.000
PEDUY	0.021	0.065	0.328	0.746	-0.115	0.158	0.006

Table 1651: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.333	0.240	13.899	0.000	2.827	3.839	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.667	0.379	1.758	0.097	-0.133	1.467	0.149

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Income.code.MID	0.467	0.401	1.163	0.261	-0.380	1.313	0.065

Table 1652: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept OLDERSIBLINGS	3.857 -0.319	0.283 0.351	13.632 -0.908	0.000	3.263 -1.056	$4.452 \\ 0.419$	0.000

Table 1653: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.516	0.513	6.857	0.000	2.439	4.594	0.000
SEX	0.099	0.358	0.276	0.786	-0.654	0.851	0.004

Table 1654: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	17.931	5.443	3.295	0.004	6.497	29.366	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.052	0.020	-2.625	0.017	-0.093	-0.010	0.266

Table 1655: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.376	1.633	3.904	0.001	2.945	9.806	0.000
BW	-0.001	0.000	-1.677	0.111	-0.002	0.000	0.129

Table 1656: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs Maternal Infection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.667	0.221	16.598	0.000	3.203	4.131	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.042	0.349	-0.119	0.906	-0.776	0.692	0.001

Table 1657: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	3.533 0.467	0.19 0.38	18.610 1.229	0.000	3.134	3.932 1.264	0.000 0.074

Table 1658: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.692	0.212	17.444	0.000	3.248	4.137	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.121	0.358	-0.338	0.739	-0.873	0.631	0.006

Table 1659: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.643	0.209	17.415	0.000	3.202	4.084	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.357	0.810	0.441	0.665	-1.352	2.066	0.010
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.043	0.408	-0.105	0.918	-0.903	0.817	0.001

Table 1660: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs ANTIBI-OTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	$3.500 \\ 0.278$	$0.243 \\ 0.353$	$14.391 \\ 0.786$	$0.000 \\ 0.443$	2.987 -0.468	4.013 1.023	$0.000 \\ 0.032$
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	0.500	0.807	0.620	0.544	-1.202	2.202	0.020

Table 1661: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.700	0.246	15.015	0.000	3.180	4.220	0.000
$FORMULA_1yr.1$	-0.144	0.358	-0.403	0.692	-0.900	0.611	0.009
FORMULA_1yr.NA	0.300	0.817	0.367	0.718	-1.424	2.024	0.007

Table 1662: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.545	0.228	15.558	0.000	3.067	4.024	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.232	0.340	0.684	0.503	-0.481	0.946	0.024

Table 1663: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.571	0.207	17.228	0.000	3.134	4.009	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.229	0.404	0.566	0.579	-0.624	1.081	0.017
FEVER_1yr.NA	0.429	0.803	0.534	0.600	-1.265	2.123	0.015

Table 1664: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	3.583	0.223	16.091	0.000	3.113	4.053	0.000
	0.017	0.411	0.041	0.968	-0.850	0.883	0.000
	0.417	0.498	0.837	0.414	-0.634	1.467	0.038

Table 1665: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.800	0.240	15.809	0.000	3.293	4.307	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1	-0.356	0.349	-1.018	0.323	-1.092	0.381	0.054
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	0.200	0.797	0.251	0.805	-1.482	1.882	0.003

Table 1666: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs French-Fries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.857	0.288	13.402	0.000	3.250	4.464	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	-0.357	0.362	-0.986	0.338	-1.121	0.407	0.053
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	0.143	0.814	0.175	0.863	-1.575	1.860	0.002

Table 1667: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.250	0.377	8.612	0.000	2.454	4.046	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.483	0.425	1.138	0.271	-0.413	1.379	0.072
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	0.750	0.844	0.889	0.386	-1.030	2.530	0.044

Table 1668: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Peanut
Butter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.571	0.295	12.092	0.000	2.948	4.195	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.095	0.372	0.256	0.801	-0.689	0.879	0.004
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	0.429	0.835	0.513	0.615	-1.334	2.191	0.015

Table 1669: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs WH-STOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.5	0.642	5.449	0.000	2.101	4.899	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	0.5	1.112	0.449	0.661	-1.924	2.924	0.014
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.0	0.787	0.000	1.000	-1.714	1.714	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.5	1.112	0.449	0.661	-1.924	2.924	0.014
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.1	0.760	0.132	0.897	-1.556	1.756	0.002
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.5	1.112	0.449	0.661	-1.924	2.924	0.014
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.1	0.760	0.132	0.897	-1.556	1.756	0.002
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.5	1.112	0.449	0.661	-1.924	2.924	0.014

Table 1670: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.692	0.208	17.791	0.000	3.254	4.130	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	-0.442	0.428	-1.034	0.316	-1.345	0.460	0.054
VITAMIND_6mo.NA	0.308	0.479	0.642	0.529	-0.704	1.319	0.021

Table 1671: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.400	0.339	10.041	0.000	2.686	4.114	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.236	0.408	0.579	0.570	-0.625	1.098	0.022
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.600	0.508	1.181	0.254	-0.472	1.672	0.094

Table 1672: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	0.816	4.899	0.002	2.069	5.931	0.000
STATE.22	-0.333	0.943	-0.354	0.734	-2.563	1.896	0.014
STATE.23	-1.000	1.000	-1.000	0.351	-3.365	1.365	0.088
STATE.24	0.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	-2.365	2.365	0.000
STATE.26	-1.000	1.000	-1.000	0.351	-3.365	1.365	0.088
STATE.29	-2.000	1.155	-1.732	0.127	-4.730	0.730	0.187
STATE.35	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.38	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.39	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.40	0.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	-2.365	2.365	0.000
STATE.41	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.73	0.000	1.155	0.000	1.000	-2.730	2.730	0.000
STATE.NA	0.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	-2.365	2.365	0.000

Table 1673: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.0	0.316	12.649	0.000	3.187	4.813	0.000
TRAIT.22	-2.0	0.447	-4.472	0.007	-3.150	-0.850	0.276

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
TRAIT.24	0.0	0.387	0.000	1.000	-0.996	0.996	0.000
TRAIT.26	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.27	-0.5	0.387	-1.291	0.253	-1.496	0.496	0.033
TRAIT.28	-2.0	0.447	-4.472	0.007	-3.150	-0.850	0.276
TRAIT.29	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.30	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.32	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.33	0.0	0.387	0.000	1.000	-0.996	0.996	0.000
TRAIT.36	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.39	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.49	-2.0	0.447	-4.472	0.007	-3.150	-0.850	0.276
TRAIT.52	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.NA	0.0	0.365	0.000	1.000	-0.939	0.939	0.000

Table 1674: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Negative LifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.333	0.488	6.827	0.000	2.259	4.408	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.067	0.618	0.108	0.916	-1.293	1.426	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.2	0.667	0.772	0.864	0.406	-1.032	2.366	0.045
NegativeLifeEvents.26	0.667	0.976	0.683	0.509	-1.483	2.816	0.024
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-0.333	0.772	-0.432	0.674	-2.032	1.366	0.011
NegativeLifeEvents.4	0.667	0.976	0.683	0.509	-1.483	2.816	0.024
Negative Life Events. 5	0.667	0.772	0.864	0.406	-1.032	2.366	0.045
NegativeLifeEvents.7	0.667	0.976	0.683	0.509	-1.483	2.816	0.024
Negative Life Events. NA	0.667	0.690	0.966	0.355	-0.853	2.186	0.064

Table 1675: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Positive LifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	0.542	5.539	0.000	1.793	4.207	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	1.000	0.938	1.066	0.311	-1.090	3.090	0.042
PositiveLifeEvents.12	1.000	0.938	1.066	0.311	-1.090	3.090	0.042
PositiveLifeEvents.3	0.600	0.641	0.936	0.371	-0.828	2.028	0.059
Positive Life Events. 5	1.000	0.766	1.306	0.221	-0.707	2.707	0.079
PositiveLifeEvents.6	0.667	0.699	0.953	0.363	-0.891	2.225	0.050
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-1.000	0.938	-1.066	0.311	-3.090	1.090	0.042
PositiveLifeEvents.8	1.000	0.938	1.066	0.311	-1.090	3.090	0.042
PositiveLifeEvents.9	1.000	0.938	1.066	0.311	-1.090	3.090	0.042
Positive Life Events. NA	1.000	0.699	1.430	0.183	-0.558	2.558	0.112

Table 1676: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Total-LifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.00	0.581	5.164	0.000	1.706	4.294	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.10	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
TotalLifeEvents.11	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
TotalLifeEvents.13	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
Total Life Events. 15	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
TotalLifeEvents.29	1.00	1.006	0.994	0.344	-1.242	3.242	0.040
Total Life Events. 6	0.00	0.822	0.000	1.000	-1.831	1.831	0.000
Total Life Events. 7	0.25	0.712	0.351	0.733	-1.335	1.835	0.009
TotalLifeEvents.8	1.00	0.712	1.405	0.190	-0.585	2.585	0.136
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	1.00	0.750	1.333	0.212	-0.671	2.671	0.108

Table 1677: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.769	0.207	18.213	0.000	3.334	4.204	0.000
Stranger	-0.341	0.350	-0.974	0.343	-1.076	0.394	0.048

Table 1678: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	11.347	8.825	1.286	0.215	-7.192	_0.00,	
AgeAt1yrVisit	-0.021	0.022	-0.923	0.368	-0.067	0.026	0.043

Table 1679: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-3.563		-0.714	000	-14.053	0.0_0	0.000
MAGE	0.223	0.161	1.387	0.182	-0.115	0.560	0.092

Table 1680: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	$2.179 \\ 0.032$	$4.263 \\ 0.125$	$0.511 \\ 0.258$			$11.134 \\ 0.295$	

Table 1681: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-9.516	6.492	-1.466	0.160	-23.154	4.122	0.000
MEDUY	0.795	0.401	1.983	0.063	-0.047	1.638	0.172

Table 1682: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.013	5.499	-0.548	0.000	-14.566	8.539	
PEDUY	0.395	0.342	1.155	0.263	-0.324	1.114	0.066

Table 1683: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Income.code.LOW	2.889 -1.722	1.244 1.968	2.322 -0.875	$0.033 \\ 0.394$	0.263 -5.873	5.514 2.429	0.000 0.039
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	3.511	2.082	1.686	0.110	-0.882	7.904	0.143

Table 1684: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.143	1.578	1.992	0.062	-0.172	6.457	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.165	1.957	0.084	0.934	-3.946	4.276	0

Table 1685: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.956	2.770	1.789			10.775	
SEX	-1.264	1.934	-0.653	0.522	-5.328	2.800	0.022

Table 1686: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.267	34.549	0.702	0.491	-48.317	96.850	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.076	0.125	-0.609	0.550	-0.339	0.187	0.019

Table 1687: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.994	9.509	0.841	0.412	-11.985	27.972	0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	-0.001	0.003	-0.501	0.622	-0.007	0.004	0.013

Table 1688: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.25	1.205	2.697	0.015	0.718	5.782	0
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.00	1.906	0.000	1.000	-4.004	4.004	0

Table 1689: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.2	1.078	2.969	0.008	0.936	5.464	0
MPSYCH	0.2	2.155	0.093	0.927	-4.328	4.728	0

Table 1690: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.615	1.149	3.147	0.006	1.202	6.029	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-1.044	1.942	-0.538	0.597	-5.123	3.035	0.015

Table 1691: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.214	1.136	2.829	0.012	0.817	5.611	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-2.214	4.400	-0.503	0.621	-11.497	7.069	0.013
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.586	2.215	0.264	0.795	-4.087	5.258	0.004

Table 1692: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.5	1.144	3.059	0.007	1.086	5.914	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-1.5	1.662	-0.902	0.379	-5.007	2.007	0.034
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	8.5	3.794	2.240	0.039	0.495	16.505	0.209

Table 1693: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.700	1.126	3.285	0.004	1.324	6.076	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	-1.922	1.636	-1.175	0.256	-5.375	1.530	0.056
FORMULA_1yr.NA	8.300	3.736	2.222	0.040	0.419	16.181	0.202

Table 1694: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FORMULA 6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.818	1.132	4.257	0.000	2.44	7.196	0.000
$FORMULA_6mo$	-3.485	1.687	-2.065	0.054	-7.03	0.060	0.183

Table 1695: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FEVER 1yr.1	2.643 0.557	0.987 1.925	2.677 0.289	$0.016 \\ 0.776$	0.560 -3.504	$4.726 \\ 4.618$	0.000
FEVER_1yr.NA	9.357	3.824	2.447	0.026	1.289	17.425	0.242

Table 1696: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	2.417 1.983 2.250	1.198 2.209 2.679	2.017 0.898 0.840	0.060 0.382 0.413	-0.111 -2.677 -3.402	4.944 6.644 7.902	0.000 0.042 0.036

Table 1697: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	2.800 -0.022 9.200	1.171 1.702 3.884	2.391 -0.013 2.369	0.029 0.990 0.030	0.329 -3.612 1.005	5.271 3.568 17.395	0.000

Table 1698: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.143	1.201	4.281	0.001	2.608	7.678	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	-3.726	1.512	-2.465	0.025	-6.916	-0.537	0.220
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	6.857	3.398	2.018	0.060	-0.312	14.027	0.147

Table 1699: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.750	1.773	2.680	0.016	1.010	8.490	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-2.483	1.995	-1.245	0.230	-6.693	1.726	0.074

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	7.250	3.964	1.829	0.085	-1.113	15.613	0.160

Table 1700: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	1.398	2.145	0.047	0.050	5.950	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.333	1.759	-0.189	0.852	-4.045	3.379	0.002
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	9.000	3.955	2.276	0.036	0.656	17.344	0.228

Table 1701: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	2.466	0.405	0.692	-4.374	6.374	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	0.0	4.272	0.000	1.000	-9.308	9.308	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.0	3.021	0.000	1.000	-6.582	6.582	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.0	4.272	0.000	1.000	-9.308	9.308	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	1.6	2.918	0.548	0.594	-4.759	7.959	0.021
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	11.0	4.272	2.575	0.024	1.692	20.308	0.251
WHSTOTHER.6 months	5.2	2.918	1.782	0.100	-1.159	11.559	0.222
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.0	4.272	0.000	1.000	-9.308	9.308	0.000

Table 1702: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.154	1.126	3.688	0.002	1.778	6.530	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo.1	-3.154	2.322	-1.358	0.192	-8.053	1.745	0.090
VITAMIND_6mo.NA	-1.821	2.601	-0.700	0.493	-7.308	3.667	0.024

Table 1703: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.200	1.904	1.681	0.111	-0.817	7.217	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.436	2.296	0.190	0.852	-4.408	5.281	0.003
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.950	2.856	-0.333	0.743	-6.975	5.075	0.008

Table 1704: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	5.486	0.182	0.861	-11.972	13.972	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	4.667	6.335	0.737	0.485	-10.312	19.646	0.074
STATE.23	5.500	6.719	0.819	0.440	-10.388	21.388	0.072
STATE.24	2.500	6.719	0.372	0.721	-13.388	18.388	0.015
STATE.26	1.500	6.719	0.223	0.830	-14.388	17.388	0.005
STATE.29	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.35	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.38	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.39	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.40	5.500	6.719	0.819	0.440	-10.388	21.388	0.072
STATE.41	0.000	7.758	0.000	1.000	-18.345	18.345	0.000
STATE.73	1.000	7.758	0.129	0.901	-17.345	19.345	0.001
STATE.NA	0.000	6.719	0.000	1.000	-15.888	15.888	0.000

Table 1705: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	4.933	0.811	0.454	-8.680	16.680	0.000
TRAIT.22	-4.000	6.976	-0.573	0.591	-21.933	13.933	0.023
TRAIT.24	2.500	6.042	0.414	0.696	-13.030	18.030	0.017
TRAIT.26	2.000	6.976	0.287	0.786	-15.933	19.933	0.006
TRAIT.27	2.500	6.042	0.414	0.696	-13.030	18.030	0.017
TRAIT.28	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.29	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.30	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.32	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.33	-3.000	6.042	-0.497	0.641	-18.530	12.530	0.025
TRAIT.36	1.000	6.976	0.143	0.892	-16.933	18.933	0.001
TRAIT.39	8.000	6.976	1.147	0.303	-9.933	25.933	0.093
TRAIT.49	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.52	-3.000	6.976	-0.430	0.685	-20.933	14.933	0.013
TRAIT.NA	-2.667	5.696	-0.468	0.659	-17.309	11.975	0.028

Table 1706: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.0	2.512	2.389	0.036	0.472	11.528	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	-4.4	3.177	-1.385	0.194	-11.393	2.593	0.126
NegativeLifeEvents.2	0.5	3.971	0.126	0.902	-8.241	9.241	0.001
Negative Life Events. 26	-4.0	5.024	-0.796	0.443	-15.057	7.057	0.026
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.5	3.971	0.126	0.902	-8.241	9.241	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.4	-5.0	5.024	-0.995	0.341	-16.057	6.057	0.041
${\bf Negative Life Events. 5}$	-3.0	3.971	-0.755	0.466	-11.741	5.741	0.028
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-5.0	5.024	-0.995	0.341	-16.057	6.057	0.041
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	-5.0	3.552	-1.408	0.187	-12.818	2.818	0.111

Table 1707: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	2.767	0.361	0.725	-5.166	7.166	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.000	4.793	0.000	1.000	-10.680	10.680	0.000
${\bf Positive Life Events. 12}$	3.000	4.793	0.626	0.545	-7.680	13.680	0.016
${\bf Positive Life Events. 3}$	1.000	3.274	0.305	0.766	-6.296	8.296	0.007
${\bf Positive Life Events.5}$	5.500	3.914	1.405	0.190	-3.220	14.220	0.102
PositiveLifeEvents.6	3.667	3.573	1.026	0.329	-4.294	11.627	0.064
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-1.000	4.793	-0.209	0.839	-11.680	9.680	0.002
${\bf Positive Life Events. 8}$	5.000	4.793	1.043	0.321	-5.680	15.680	0.045
PositiveLifeEvents.9	11.000	4.793	2.295	0.045	0.320	21.680	0.216
Positive Life Events. NA	0.000	3.573	0.000	1.000	-7.960	7.960	0.000

Table 1708: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Total LifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.00	2.482	0.403	0.696	-4.531	6.531	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	0.00	4.300	0.000	1.000	-9.580	9.580	0.000
Total Life Events. 11	11.00	4.300	2.558	0.028	1.420	20.580	0.233
Total Life Events. 13	3.00	4.300	0.698	0.501	-6.580	12.580	0.017
Total Life Events. 15	0.00	4.300	0.000	1.000	-9.580	9.580	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.29	1.00	4.300	0.233	0.821	-8.580	10.580	0.002
Total Life Events. 6	5.50	3.511	1.567	0.148	-2.322	13.322	0.110
Total Life Events. 7	-0.25	3.040	-0.082	0.936	-7.024	6.524	0.000
Total Life Events. 8	5.00	3.040	1.645	0.131	-1.774	11.774	0.162
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	0.00	3.205	0.000	1.000	-7.141	7.141	0.000

Table 1709: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.923	1.126	3.483	0.003	1.556	000	0.000
Stranger	-1.923	1.904	-1.010	0.326	-5.923	2.077	0.051

Table 1710: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.794	2.411	0.329	0.746	-4.271	5.859	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.004	0.006	0.691	0.499	-0.009	0.017	0.024

Table 1711: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.374	1.344	3.255	0.004	1.550	7.197	0.0
MAGE	-0.063	0.043	-1.455	0.163	-0.154	0.028	0.1

Table 1712: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	2.937 -0.015	1.149 0.034	2.556 -0.434	0.020 0.669	0.523 -0.085	5.351 0.056	0.00

Table 1713: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	5.960 -0.219	1.749 0.108	3.407 -2.023		2.284		0.000 0.177

Table 1714: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.897	1.502	2.595	0.018	0.742	7.053	0.000
PEDUY	-0.091	0.093	-0.977	0.342	-0.288	0.105	0.048

Table 1715: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.556	0.337	7.573	0.000	1.844	3.268	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.444	0.534	0.833	0.416	-0.681	1.570	0.035
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.956	0.565	-1.692	0.109	-2.147	0.236	0.144

Table 1716: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.429	0.427	5.691	0.000	1.532	3.325	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.033	0.529	0.062	0.951	-1.079	1.145	0

Table 1717: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.198	0.755	2.910	0.009	0.611	3.785	0.000
SEX	0.187	0.528	0.354	0.727	-0.921	1.295	0.007

Table 1718: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.981	9.433	0.104	0.918	-18.837	20.800	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.005	0.034	0.156	0.878	-0.067	0.077	0.001

Table 1719: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	2.05 0.00	2.588 0.001	0.792 0.155	000	-3.388 -0.001	7.487 0.002	0.000

Table 1720: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.417	0.326	7.419	0.000	1.732	3.101	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.083	0.515	0.162	0.873	-0.999	1.165	0.001

Table 1721: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.467	0.291	8.464	0.00	1.854	3.079	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.067	0.583	-0.114	0.91	-1.291	1.158	0.001

Table 1722: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	$2.385 \\ 0.187$	$0.312 \\ 0.528$	$7.641 \\ 0.354$	0.000	1.729 -0.921	$3.040 \\ 1.295$	0.000

Table 1723: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.5	0.306	8.167	0.000	1.854	3.146	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.5	1.186	0.422	0.678	-2.001	3.001	0.009
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.3	0.597	-0.503	0.622	-1.559	0.959	0.013

Table 1724: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1 0.378 0.	06 7.834 0.000 1.754 3.046 0.000 45 0.849 0.408 -0.561 1.317 0.029 16 -2.362 0.030 -4.544 -0.256 0.228

Table 1725: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	2.300 0.589 -2.300	0.297 0.431 0.985	7.746 1.365 -2.335	0.000 0.190 0.032	1.674 -0.321 -4.378	2.926 1.499 -0.222	0.073

Table 1726: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA 6mo	2.091 0.798	0.316 0.471	6.612 1.693	0.000	1.427 -0.192	,	

Table 1727: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.643	0.263	10.064	0.000	2.089	3.197	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.243	0.512	-0.474	0.641	-1.323	0.837	0.009
${\rm FEVER_1yr.NA}$	-2.643	1.017	-2.598	0.019	-4.789	-0.497	0.263

Table 1728: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.667	0.324	8.221	0.000	1.982	3.351	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.467	0.598	-0.780	0.446	-1.729	0.795	0.031

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.667	0.725	-0.919	0.371	-2.197	0.864	0.044

Table 1729: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	2.600 -0.044 -2.600	0.313 0.454 1.037	8.315 -0.098 -2.507	0.0_0	1.940 -1.003 -4.788	3.260 0.914 -0.412	0.000

Table 1730: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.329	6.071	0.000	1.305	2.695	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	0.917	0.415	2.211	0.041	0.042	1.791	0.181
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-2.000	0.932	-2.146	0.047	-3.966	-0.034	0.171

Table 1731: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.250	0.486	4.626	0.000	1.224	3.276	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1	0.417	0.547	0.761	0.457	-0.738	1.571	0.028
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-2.250	1.087	-2.069	0.054	-4.544	0.044	0.205

Table 1732: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.429	0.371	6.546	0.000	1.646	3.211	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.238	0.467	0.510	0.617	-0.747	1.223	0.011
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-2.429	1.049	-2.314	0.033	-4.643	-0.215	0.232

Table 1733: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.0	0.707	4.243	0.001	1.459	4.541	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	0.0	1.225	0.000	1.000	-2.668	2.668	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.0	0.866	0.000	1.000	-1.887	1.887	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.0	1.225	0.000	1.000	-2.668	2.668	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.4	0.837	-0.478	0.641	-2.223	1.423	0.018
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-3.0	1.225	-2.449	0.031	-5.668	-0.332	0.255

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.2	0.837	-1.434	0.177	-3.023	0.623	0.161
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.0	1.225	0.000	1.000	-2.668	2.668	0.000

Table 1734: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.154	0.299	7.215	0.000	1.524	2.784	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.846	0.615	1.375	0.187	-0.452	2.145	0.088
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.846	0.689	1.227	0.236	-0.608	2.301	0.070

Table 1735: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.400	0.502	4.785	0.000	1.342	3.458	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.127	0.605	-0.210	0.836	-1.403	1.149	0.003
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.600	0.752	0.798	0.436	-0.987	2.187	0.046

Table 1736: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.000	1.423	2.109	0.073	-0.364	6.364	0.000
STATE.22	-1.333	1.643	-0.812	0.444	-5.218	2.551	0.088
STATE.23	-1.500	1.742	-0.861	0.418	-5.620	2.620	0.079
STATE.24	-0.500	1.742	-0.287	0.782	-4.620	3.620	0.009
STATE.26	0.000	1.742	0.000	1.000	-4.120	4.120	0.000
STATE.29	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.35	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.38	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.39	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.40	-1.500	1.742	-0.861	0.418	-5.620	2.620	0.079
STATE.41	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.73	0.000	2.012	0.000	1.000	-4.757	4.757	0.000
STATE.NA	0.000	1.742	0.000	1.000	-4.120	4.120	0.000

Table 1737: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.0	1.342	1.491	0.196	-1.449	5.449	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.24	-0.5	1.643	-0.304	0.773	-4.724	3.724	0.009
TRAIT.26	0.0	1.897	0.000	1.000	-4.877	4.877	0.000
TRAIT.27	-0.5	1.643	-0.304	0.773	-4.724	3.724	0.009

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.29	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.30	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.32	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.33	1.0	1.643	0.609	0.569	-3.224	5.224	0.035
TRAIT.36	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.39	-2.0	1.897	-1.054	0.340	-6.877	2.877	0.075
TRAIT.49	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.52	1.0	1.897	0.527	0.621	-3.877	5.877	0.019
TRAIT.NA	1.0	1.549	0.645	0.547	-2.982	4.982	0.050

Table 1738: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.667	0.662	2.517	0.029	0.209	3.124	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	1.133	0.838	1.353	0.203	-0.710	2.977	0.113
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.167	1.047	-0.159	0.876	-2.471	2.138	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.26	1.333	1.324	1.007	0.336	-1.581	4.248	0.040
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-0.167	1.047	-0.159	0.876	-2.471	2.138	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.333	1.324	1.007	0.336	-1.581	4.248	0.040
NegativeLifeEvents.5	1.333	1.047	1.274	0.229	-0.971	3.638	0.075
NegativeLifeEvents.7	1.333	1.324	1.007	0.336	-1.581	4.248	0.040
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	1.333	0.936	1.424	0.182	-0.728	3.394	0.106

Table 1739: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.0	0.725	4.140	0.002	1.386	4.614	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.0	1.255	-0.797	0.444	-3.796	1.796	0.026
${\bf Positive Life Events. 3}$	0.0	0.857	0.000	1.000	-1.910	1.910	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.5	1.025	-1.464	0.174	-3.783	0.783	0.109
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-1.0	0.935	-1.069	0.310	-3.084	1.084	0.069
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-1.0	1.255	-0.797	0.444	-3.796	1.796	0.026
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-3.0	1.255	-2.390	0.038	-5.796	-0.204	0.231
Positive Life Events. NA	0.0	0.935	0.000	1.000	-2.084	2.084	0.000

Table 1740: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.0	0.725	4.140	0.002	1.386	4.614	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
Total Life Events. 11	-3.0	1.255	-2.390	0.038	-5.796	-0.204	0.233

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.0	1.255	-0.797	0.444	-3.796	1.796	0.026
Total Life Events. 15	0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
Total Life Events. 29	0.0	1.255	0.000	1.000	-2.796	2.796	0.000
Total Life Events. 6	-1.5	1.025	-1.464	0.174	-3.783	0.783	0.110
Total Life Events. 7	0.0	0.887	0.000	1.000	-1.977	1.977	0.000
Total Life Events. 8	-1.0	0.887	-1.127	0.286	-2.977	0.977	0.087
TotalLifeEvents.NA	0.0	0.935	0.000	1.000	-2.084	2.084	0.000

Table 1741: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.231	0.301	7.417	0.000	1.599	2.863	0.000
Stranger	0.626	0.508	1.232	0.234	-0.442	1.694	0.074

Table 1742: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-2.053	2.284	-0.899	0.381	-6.852	2.747	0.00
${\bf Age At 1 yr Visit}$	0.011	0.006	1.828	0.084	-0.002	0.023	0.15

Table 1743: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.314	1.346	3.205	0.000		7.142	0.000
MAGE	-0.072	0.043	-1.672	0.112	-0.163	0.019	0.128

Table 1744: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.325	1.175	1.979	0.063	-0.144	4.793	0.000
PAGE	-0.007	0.034	-0.196	0.847	-0.079	0.066	0.002

Table 1745: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.268	1.707	3.672	0.002	2.682	9.854	0.000
MEDUY	-0.260	0.105	-2.463	0.024	-0.481	-0.038	0.242

Table 1746: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	3.21 -0.07	1.547 0.096	2.075 -0.727	0.000	-0.041 -0.272	$6.460 \\ 0.132$	0.000

Table 1747: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.222	0.340	6.536	0.00	1.505	2.940	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.444	0.538	0.827	0.42	-0.690	1.579	0.034
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.022	0.569	-1.797	0.09	-2.223	0.178	0.160

Table 1748: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.143	0.434	4.933	0.000	1.230	3.056	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.066	0.539	-0.122	0.904	-1.198	1.066	0.001

Table 1749: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	1.714 0.286	0.766 0.535	2.239 0.534	0.038 0.600	0.105 -0.838	3.323 1.409	0.000

Table 1750: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-4.838	9.473	-0.511	0.616	-24.739	15.063	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.025	0.034	0.733	0.473	-0.047	0.097	0.027

Table 1751: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.219	2.629	0.464	0.0-0		6.742	0.000
$_{ m BW}$	0.000	0.001	0.337	0.740	-0.001	0.002	0.006

Table 1752: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.083	0.332	6.277	0.000	1.386	2.781	0
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.042	0.525	0.079	0.938	-1.061	1.144	0

Table 1753: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	2.0 0.4	0.293 0.586	6.823 0.682	$0.000 \\ 0.504$	1.384 -0.832	2.616 1.632	0.000 0.024

Table 1754: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.846	0.302	6.104	0.000	1.211	2.482	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.725	0.511	1.419	0.173	-0.349	1.799	0.096

Table 1755: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.214	0.301	7.357	0.000	1.579	2.849	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.786	1.166	0.674	0.509	-1.674	3.245	0.022
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.614	0.587	-1.047	0.310	-1.852	0.623	0.054

Table 1756: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.327	6.109	0.000	1.309	2.691	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.444	0.476	0.934	0.363	-0.559	1.448	0.039
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-2.000	1.086	-1.842	0.083	-4.291	0.291	0.151

Table 1757: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.100	0.333	6.298	0.000	1.397	2.803	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.233	0.484	0.482	0.636	-0.789	1.255	0.011
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-2.100	1.106	-1.899	0.075	-4.433	0.233	0.164

Table 1758: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FORMULA 6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.727	0.321	5.381	0.000	1.053	2.402	0.000
$FORMULA_6mo$	0.828	0.479	1.731	0.101	-0.177	1.834	0.136

Table 1759: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr.1 FEVER 1yr.NA	2.143 0.257 -2.143	0.282 0.550 1.092	7.601 0.468 -1.963	0.000 0.646 0.066	1.548 -0.902 -4.446	2.738 1.417 0.161	0.000 0.010 0.169

Table 1760: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	2.25 -0.45 -0.25	0.336 0.620 0.752	6.694 -0.726 -0.333	0.1.0	1.541 -1.758 -1.836	2.959 0.858 1.336	0.000 0.028 0.006

Table 1761: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	2.200 0.022 -2.200	0.336 0.488 1.113	6.554 0.046 -1.976	0.00-	1.492 -1.007 -4.549	2.908 1.051 0.149	0.000 0.000 0.177

Table 1762: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.571	0.351	4.482	0.000	0.832	2.311	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	1.012	0.441	2.293	0.035	0.081	1.943	0.208
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-1.571	0.992	-1.584	0.132	-3.664	0.521	0.099

Table 1763: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.528	3.790	0.001	0.887	3.113	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.267	0.594	0.449	0.659	-0.986	1.520	0.011

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-2.000	1.180	-1.695	0.108	-4.489	0.489	0.151

Table 1764: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.286	0.401	5.706	0.000	1.441	3.131	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.119	0.504	-0.236	0.816	-1.183	0.944	0.003
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-2.286	1.133	-2.017	0.060	-4.676	0.105	0.188

Table 1765: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.00	0.784	3.827	0.002	1.292	4.708	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	0.00	1.358	0.000	1.000	-2.958	2.958	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.75	0.960	-0.781	0.450	-2.842	1.342	0.041
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.00	1.358	0.000	1.000	-2.958	2.958	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.80	0.928	-0.862	0.405	-2.821	1.221	0.054
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-3.00	1.358	-2.209	0.047	-5.958	-0.042	0.193
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.40	0.928	-1.509	0.157	-3.421	0.621	0.166
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.00	1.358	-0.736	0.476	-3.958	1.958	0.021

Table 1766: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.769	0.293	6.038	0.000	1.151	2.387	0.00
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	1.231	0.604	2.037	0.057	-0.044	2.505	0.18
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.564	0.677	0.834	0.416	-0.864	1.992	0.03

Table 1767: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.200	0.517	4.256	0.001	1.109	3.291	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.291	0.623	-0.467	0.647	-1.606	1.024	0.016
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.300	0.775	0.387	0.704	-1.336	1.936	0.011

Table 1768: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	1.543	1.296	0.236	-1.649	5.649	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-0.667	1.782	-0.374	0.719	-4.880	3.546	0.022
STATE.23	-0.500	1.890	-0.265	0.799	-4.969	3.969	0.009
STATE.24	0.000	1.890	0.000	1.000	-4.469	4.469	0.000
STATE.26	0.500	1.890	0.265	0.799	-3.969	4.969	0.009
STATE.29	1.000	2.182	0.458	0.661	-4.160	6.160	0.018
STATE.35	1.000	2.182	0.458	0.661	-4.160	6.160	0.018
STATE.38	1.000	2.182	0.458	0.661	-4.160	6.160	0.018
STATE.39	0.000	2.182	0.000	1.000	-5.160	5.160	0.000
STATE.40	-0.500	1.890	-0.265	0.799	-4.969	3.969	0.009
STATE.41	0.000	2.182	0.000	1.000	-5.160	5.160	0.000
STATE.73	1.000	2.182	0.458	0.661	-4.160	6.160	0.018
STATE.NA	0.500	1.890	0.265	0.799	-3.969	4.969	0.009

Table 1769: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	1.238	0.808	0.456	-2.183	4.183	0.000
TRAIT.22	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.24	0.000	1.517	0.000	1.000	-3.898	3.898	0.000
TRAIT.26	0.000	1.751	0.000	1.000	-4.502	4.502	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.500	1.517	0.330	0.755	-3.398	4.398	0.007
TRAIT.28	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.29	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.30	1.000	1.751	0.571	0.593	-3.502	5.502	0.014
TRAIT.32	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.33	1.500	1.517	0.989	0.368	-2.398	5.398	0.061
TRAIT.36	1.000	1.751	0.571	0.593	-3.502	5.502	0.014
TRAIT.39	-1.000	1.751	-0.571	0.593	-5.502	3.502	0.014
TRAIT.49	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.52	2.000	1.751	1.142	0.305	-2.502	6.502	0.057
TRAIT.NA	1.667	1.430	1.166	0.296	-2.009	5.342	0.107

Table 1770: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.333	0.729	1.829	0.095	-0.271	2.938	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	1.267	0.922	1.374	0.197	-0.763	3.296	0.132
NegativeLifeEvents.2	0.167	1.153	0.145	0.888	-2.370	2.703	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.26	1.667	1.458	1.143	0.277	-1.542	4.875	0.058
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.167	1.153	0.145	0.888	-2.370	2.703	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.667	1.458	1.143	0.277	-1.542	4.875	0.058
NegativeLifeEvents.5	0.667	1.153	0.578	0.575	-1.870	3.203	0.018
NegativeLifeEvents.7	0.667	1.458	0.457	0.656	-2.542	3.875	0.009
Negative Life Events. NA	1.000	1.031	0.970	0.353	-1.269	3.269	0.056

Table 1771: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	0.786	3.815	0.003	1.248	4.752	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.000	1.362	0.000	1.000	-3.035	3.035	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-2.000	1.362	-1.468	0.173	-5.035	1.035	0.078
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.600	0.930	-0.645	0.534	-2.673	1.473	0.028
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.500	1.112	-1.349	0.207	-3.978	0.978	0.083
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-1.000	1.015	-0.985	0.348	-3.262	1.262	0.052
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.000	1.362	0.000	1.000	-3.035	3.035	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-2.000	1.362	-1.468	0.173	-5.035	1.035	0.078
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-3.000	1.362	-2.203	0.052	-6.035	0.035	0.175
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.667	1.015	-0.657	0.526	-2.929	1.595	0.023

Table 1772: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	0.629	4.768	0.001	1.598	4.402	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	-1.000	1.090	-0.918	0.380	-3.428	1.428	0.022
TotalLifeEvents.11	-3.000	1.090	-2.753	0.020	-5.428	-0.572	0.197
TotalLifeEvents.13	-2.000	1.090	-1.835	0.096	-4.428	0.428	0.088
Total Life Events. 15	0.000	1.090	0.000	1.000	-2.428	2.428	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.29	0.000	1.090	0.000	1.000	-2.428	2.428	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.6	-1.500	0.890	-1.686	0.123	-3.483	0.483	0.093
TotalLifeEvents.7	0.000	0.771	0.000	1.000	-1.717	1.717	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.8	-1.750	0.771	-2.271	0.046	-3.467	-0.033	0.226
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-0.667	0.812	-0.821	0.431	-2.476	1.143	0.026

Table 1773: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.846	0.302	6.104	0.000	1.211	2.482	0.000
Stranger	0.725	0.511	1.419	0.173	-0.349	1.799	0.096

Table 1774: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.438	1.877	-0.766	0.454	-5.381	2.506	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.008	0.005	1.707	0.105	-0.002	0.018	0.133

Table 1775: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.800	1.177	1.530	0.144	-0.672	4.273	0
MAGE	-0.002	0.038	-0.043	0.966	-0.081	0.078	0

Table 1776: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.754 \\ 0.030$	0.926 0.027	0.814 1.102	00	-1.192 -0.027	2.699 0.087	0.00

Table 1777: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	3.329 -0.098	1.561 0.096	2.132 -1.020	$0.047 \\ 0.321$	0.049	6.609 0.104	

Table 1778: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.493	1.275	1.170	0.257	-1.187	4.172	0.000
PEDUY	0.016	0.079	0.205	0.840	-0.151	0.183	0.002

Table 1779: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.778	0.320	5.552	0.000	1.102	2.453	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.111	0.506	-0.219	0.829	-1.179	0.957	0.003
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.022	0.536	0.041	0.967	-1.108	1.153	0.000

Table 1780: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.714	0.353	4.851	0.000	0.972	2.457	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.055	0.438	0.125	0.902	-0.866	0.976	0.001

Table 1781: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.824	0.628	2.907	0.009	0.506	3.143	0.000
SEX	-0.055	0.438	-0.125	0.902	-0.976	0.866	0.001

Table 1782: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	-6.859 0.031	7.552 0.027	-0.908 1.140	0.376 0.269	-22.725 -0.026	9.007 0.089	0.000

Table 1783: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.630	2.070	-0.304	v., v =	-4.979	3.719	0.000
BW	0.001	0.001	1.155		-0.001	0.002	0.066

Table 1784: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.833	0.268	6.835	0.000	1.270	2.397	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.208	0.424	-0.491	0.629	-1.099	0.683	0.013

Table 1785: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	1.733 0.067	0.241 0.483	7.180 0.138	$0.000 \\ 0.892$	1.226 -0.948	2.240 1.081	0.000 0.001

Table 1786: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.462	0.233	6.284	0.00	0.973	1.95	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.824	0.393	2.096	0.05	-0.002	1.65	0.188

Table 1787: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.786	0.239	7.482	0.000	1.282	2.289	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.214	0.924	1.314	0.206	-0.736	3.165	0.082
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.386	0.465	-0.829	0.419	-1.367	0.596	0.033

Table 1788: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.6	0.291	5.497	0.000	0.986	2.214	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.4	0.423	0.946	0.357	-0.492	1.292	0.046
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.6	0.965	-0.622	0.542	-2.637	1.437	0.020

Table 1789: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	2.000 -0.444 -1.000	0.289 0.420 0.959	6.915 -1.058 -1.042	0.000 0.305 0.312	1.390 -1.331 -3.024	2.610 0.442 1.024	0.000 0.055 0.053

Table 1790: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.727	0.282	6.127	0.000	1.135	2.320	
FORMULA_6mo	0.051	0.420	0.120	0.906	-0.832	0.933	0.001

Table 1791: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FEVER 1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.643	0.243	6.770	0.000	1.131	2.155	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.557	0.473	1.178	0.255	-0.441	1.555	0.068
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-0.643	0.940	-0.684	0.503	-2.626	1.340	0.023

Table 1792: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.917	0.269	7.120	0.000	1.349	2.485	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.517	0.496	-1.041	0.313	-1.564	0.531	0.057

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.250	0.602	-0.415	0.683	-1.520	1.020	0.009

Table 1793: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.281	5.345	0.000	0.908	2.092	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr.1$	0.611	0.408	1.499	0.152	-0.249	1.471	0.108
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-0.500	0.931	-0.537	0.598	-2.464	1.464	0.014

Table 1794: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.322	3.992	0.001	0.606	1.965	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.798	0.405	1.968	0.066	-0.057	1.653	0.180
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-0.286	0.911	-0.314	0.758	-2.208	1.636	0.005

Table 1795: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.469	4.268	0.001	1.011	2.989	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.267	0.527	-0.506	0.620	-1.379	0.846	0.015
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-1.000	1.048	-0.954	0.353	-3.211	1.211	0.053

Table 1796: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.143	0.340	6.299	0.000	1.425	2.861	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.560	0.428	-1.307	0.209	-1.463	0.344	0.083
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-1.143	0.962	-1.188	0.251	-3.173	0.887	0.068

Table 1797: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.00	0.604	4.968	0.000	1.684	4.316	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.00	1.046	-0.956	0.358	-3.279	1.279	0.021
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-1.25	0.740	-1.690	0.117	-2.861	0.361	0.109
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-1.00	1.046	-0.956	0.358	-3.279	1.279	0.021
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-1.40	0.714	-1.960	0.074	-2.957	0.157	0.160
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-3.00	1.046	-2.869	0.014	-5.279	-0.721	0.186

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.20	0.714	-1.680	0.119	-2.757	0.357	0.118
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-2.00	1.046	-1.912	0.080	-4.279	0.279	0.083

Table 1798: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.538	0.242	6.368	0.000	1.029	2.048	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.962	0.498	1.931	0.070	-0.089	2.012	0.170
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.128	0.558	0.230	0.821	-1.049	1.305	0.002

Table 1799: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.423	4.732	0.000	1.108	2.892	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.273	0.510	-0.535	0.600	-1.348	0.803	0.020
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.500	0.634	-0.789	0.441	-1.837	0.837	0.044

Table 1800: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
	Listinate	ota. Error	t value	11(> 0)	2.0 70	31.0 70	102
Intercept	1.000	1.047	0.956	0.371	-1.475	3.475	0.000
STATE.22	0.667	1.208	0.552	0.598	-2.191	3.524	0.029
STATE.23	1.000	1.282	0.780	0.461	-2.031	4.031	0.046
STATE.24	1.000	1.282	0.780	0.461	-2.031	4.031	0.046
STATE.26	0.500	1.282	0.390	0.708	-2.531	3.531	0.012
STATE.29	2.000	1.480	1.351	0.219	-1.500	5.500	0.098
STATE.35	2.000	1.480	1.351	0.219	-1.500	5.500	0.098
STATE.38	2.000	1.480	1.351	0.219	-1.500	5.500	0.098
STATE.39	0.000	1.480	0.000	1.000	-3.500	3.500	0.000
STATE.40	0.000	1.282	0.000	1.000	-3.031	3.031	0.000
STATE.41	1.000	1.480	0.676	0.521	-2.500	4.500	0.024
STATE.73	0.000	1.480	0.000	1.000	-3.500	3.500	0.000
STATE.NA	0.500	1.282	0.390	0.708	-2.531	3.531	0.012

Table 1801: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.796	1.257	0.264	-1.046	3.046	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.000	1.125	0.889	0.415	-1.893	3.893	0.028
TRAIT.24	0.000	0.975	0.000	1.000	-2.505	2.505	0.000
TRAIT.26	1.000	1.125	0.889	0.415	-1.893	3.893	0.028
TRAIT.27	1.000	0.975	1.026	0.352	-1.505	3.505	0.052

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
TRAIT.28	2.000	1.125	1.777	0.136	-0.893	4.893	0.111
TRAIT.29	1.000	1.125	0.889	0.415	-1.893	3.893	0.028
TRAIT.30	0.000	1.125	0.000	1.000	-2.893	2.893	0.000
TRAIT.32	2.000	1.125	1.777	0.136	-0.893	4.893	0.111
TRAIT.33	1.500	0.975	1.539	0.184	-1.005	4.005	0.118
TRAIT.36	0.000	1.125	0.000	1.000	-2.893	2.893	0.000
TRAIT.39	-1.000	1.125	-0.889	0.415	-3.893	1.893	0.028
TRAIT.49	2.000	1.125	1.777	0.136	-0.893	4.893	0.111
TRAIT.52	1.000	1.125	0.889	0.415	-1.893	3.893	0.028
TRAIT.NA	0.333	0.919	0.363	0.732	-2.029	2.696	0.008

Table 1802: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.667	0.537	3.101	0.010	0.484	2.850	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.733	0.680	1.079	0.304	-0.763	2.230	0.092
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.167	0.850	-0.196	0.848	-2.037	1.704	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.667	1.075	-0.620	0.548	-3.033	1.699	0.019
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-0.167	0.850	-0.196	0.848	-2.037	1.704	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.333	1.075	1.240	0.241	-1.033	3.699	0.077
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.667	0.850	-0.784	0.449	-2.537	1.204	0.037
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.667	1.075	-0.620	0.548	-3.033	1.699	0.019
Negative Life Events. NA	0.000	0.760	0.000	1.000	-1.673	1.673	0.000

Table 1803: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	0.672	3.720	0.004	1.003	3.997	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.500	1.164	0.430	0.677	-2.094	3.094	0.007
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.500	1.164	-1.289	0.227	-4.094	1.094	0.066
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-1.100	0.795	-1.383	0.197	-2.872	0.672	0.139
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.500	0.950	-1.578	0.146	-3.618	0.618	0.124
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.167	0.868	-0.192	0.852	-2.100	1.767	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-0.500	1.164	-0.430	0.677	-3.094	2.094	0.007
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.500	1.164	-0.430	0.677	-3.094	2.094	0.007
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-1.500	1.164	-1.289	0.227	-4.094	1.094	0.066
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.833	0.868	-0.960	0.359	-2.767	1.100	0.054

Table 1804: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	0.555	4.502	0.001	1.263	3.737	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-1.500	0.962	-1.560	0.150	-3.643	0.643	0.071
Total Life Events. 11	-1.500	0.962	-1.560	0.150	-3.643	0.643	0.071

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.500	0.962	-1.560	0.150	-3.643	0.643	0.071
Total Life Events. 15	0.500	0.962	0.520	0.614	-1.643	2.643	0.008
Total Life Events. 29	-1.500	0.962	-1.560	0.150	-3.643	0.643	0.071
Total Life Events. 6	-0.500	0.785	-0.637	0.539	-2.250	1.250	0.015
Total Life Events. 7	0.000	0.680	0.000	1.000	-1.515	1.515	0.000
Total Life Events. 8	-1.500	0.680	-2.206	0.052	-3.015	0.015	0.241
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-0.833	0.717	-1.162	0.272	-2.431	0.764	0.059

Table 1805: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.692	0.258	6.549	0.00	1.149	2.235	0.000
Stranger	0.165	0.437	0.377	0.71	-0.753	1.083	0.007

Table 1806: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.799	0.988	-1.821	0.085	-3.875	0.276	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.006	0.002	2.238	0.038	0.000	0.011	0.209

Table 1807: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.791	0.643	1.230	0.235	-0.560	2.142	0.00
MAGE	-0.013	0.021	-0.618	0.545	-0.056	0.031	0.02

Table 1808: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.370	0.528	0.701	0.492	-0.740	1.480	0
PAGE	0.001	0.015	0.058	0.954	-0.032	0.033	0

Table 1809: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.555	0.843	1.845	0.00-	-0.216	0.0_0	0.000
MEDUY	-0.072	0.052	-1.382	0.184	-0.181	0.037	0.091

Table 1810: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	1.178 -0.049	$0.680 \\ 0.042$	1.732 -1.160	000	-0.251 -0.138	$2.606 \\ 0.040$	0.000 0.066

Table 1811: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.444	0.172	2.585	0.019	0.082	0.807	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.056	0.272	0.204	0.840	-0.518	0.629	0.002
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.244	0.288	-0.850	0.407	-0.851	0.363	0.042

Table 1812: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.286	0.192	1.486	0.155	-0.118	0.690	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.176	0.239	0.737	0.471	-0.325	0.677	0.028

Table 1813: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.341 0.044	0.346 0.242	0.984 0.182	0.000	-0.387 -0.464	1.068 0.552	0.000

Table 1814: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-2.790	4.251	-0.656	0.520	-11.721	6.140	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.012	0.015	0.751	0.462	-0.021	0.044	0.029

Table 1815: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	-0.215 0.000	1.175 0.000	-0.183 0.526	0.00.	-2.685 -0.001	$2.254 \\ 0.001$	0.000

Table 1816: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.50	0.144	3.464	0.003	0.197	0.803	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	-0.25	0.228	-1.095	0.288	-0.729	0.229	0.059

Table 1817: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	0.467 -0.267	$0.130 \\ 0.259$	3.601 -1.029	$0.002 \\ 0.317$	0.194 -0.811	$0.739 \\ 0.278$	0.000

Table 1818: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.385	0.143	2.688	0.015	0.084	0.685	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.044	0.242	0.182	0.858	-0.464	0.552	0.002

Table 1819: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.357	0.136	2.622	0.018	0.070	0.644	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.643	0.527	1.219	0.240	-0.470	1.756	0.074
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.043	0.265	0.161	0.874	-0.517	0.603	0.001

Table 1820: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.400	0.165	2.426	0.027	0.052	0.748	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.044	0.240	0.186	0.855	-0.461	0.550	0.002
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.400	0.547	-0.731	0.474	-1.554	0.754	0.029

Table 1821: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.400	0.165	2.426	0.027	0.00=	0.748	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.044	0.240	0.186	0.855	-0.461	0.550	0.002
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-0.400	0.547	-0.731	0.474	-1.554	0.754	0.029

Table 1822: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.273	0.149	1.829	0.084	-0.041	0.586	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.283	0.222	1.272	0.220	-0.184	0.750	0.078

Table 1823: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr.1 FEVER_1yr.NA	0.429 -0.029 -0.429	0.139 0.272 0.540	3.073 -0.105 -0.793	0.007 0.918 0.438	0.134 -0.602 -1.568	0.723 0.545 0.711	

Table 1824: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs DAYCARE, df=17

				97.5 %	R2
0.143	3.485	0.003	0.197	0.803	0.000
0.200	0.0.0	0	0.000	0.100	0.007 0.119
	0.143 0.265 0.321	0.265 - 0.378	0.265 -0.378 0.710	0.265 -0.378 0.710 -0.658	0.265 -0.378 0.710 -0.658 0.458

Table 1825: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.400	0.165	2.426	0.027	0.052	0.748	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1	0.044	0.240	0.186	0.000	-0.461	0.550	0.002
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-0.400	0.547	-0.731	0.474	-1.554	0.754	0.029

Table 1826: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.150	0.000	1.000	-0.316	0.316	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	0.667	0.188	3.539	0.003	0.269	1.064	0.417
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	0.000	0.423	0.000	1.000	-0.893	0.893	0.000

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1827: & mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.257	0.974	0.344	-0.292	0.792	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.217	0.289	0.750	0.464	-0.393	0.826	0.034

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-0.250	0.574	-0.435	0.669	-1.461	0.961	0.011

Table 1828: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.429	0.197	2.173	0.044	0.012	0.845	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.012	0.248	-0.048	0.962	-0.536	0.512	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-0.429	0.558	-0.768	0.453	-1.606	0.749	0.033

Table 1829: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.50	0.368	1.359	0.199	-0.302	1.302	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.50	0.637	-0.784	0.448	-1.889	0.889	0.036
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.25	0.451	0.555	0.589	-0.732	1.232	0.030
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.50	0.637	0.784	0.448	-0.889	1.889	0.036
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.30	0.435	-0.689	0.504	-1.249	0.649	0.051
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.50	0.637	-0.784	0.448	-1.889	0.889	0.036
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.10	0.435	-0.230	0.822	-1.049	0.849	0.006
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.50	0.637	-0.784	0.448	-1.889	0.889	0.036

Table 1830: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.385	0.147	2.625	0.018	0.076	0.694	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.115	0.302	0.382	0.707	-0.522	0.753	0.008
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.051	0.338	-0.152	0.881	-0.765	0.663	0.001

Table 1831: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.400	0.235	1.705	0.106	-0.095	0.895	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.055	0.283	0.193	0.849	-0.542	0.651	0.003
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.150	0.352	-0.426	0.675	-0.892	0.592	0.014

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	0.463	2.160	0.068	-0.095	2.095	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-1.0	0.535	-1.871	0.104	-2.264	0.264	0.189
STATE.23	-0.5	0.567	-0.882	0.407	-1.841	0.841	0.033
STATE.24	-0.5	0.567	-0.882	0.407	-1.841	0.841	0.033
STATE.26	-0.5	0.567	-0.882	0.407	-1.841	0.841	0.033
STATE.29	0.0	0.655	0.000	1.000	-1.548	1.548	0.000
STATE.35	0.0	0.655	0.000	1.000	-1.548	1.548	0.000
STATE.38	0.0	0.655	0.000	1.000	-1.548	1.548	0.000
STATE.39	-1.0	0.655	-1.528	0.170	-2.548	0.548	0.071
STATE.40	-1.0	0.567	-1.764	0.121	-2.341	0.341	0.134
STATE.41	0.0	0.655	0.000	1.000	-1.548	1.548	0.000
STATE.73	-1.0	0.655	-1.528	0.170	-2.548	0.548	0.071
STATE.NA	-1.0	0.567	-1.764	0.121	-2.341	0.341	0.134

Table 1833: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.0	0.316	0.000	1.000	-0.813	0.813	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.24	0.5	0.387	1.291	0.253	-0.496	1.496	0.051
TRAIT.26	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.0	0.387	0.000	1.000	-0.996	0.996	0.000
TRAIT.28	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.29	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.30	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.32	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.33	1.0	0.387	2.582	0.049	0.004	1.996	0.202
TRAIT.36	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.39	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.49	1.0	0.447	2.236	0.076	-0.150	2.150	0.107
TRAIT.52	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.NA	0.0	0.365	0.000	1.000	-0.939	0.939	0.000

Table 1834: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.303	1.099	0.295	-0.334	1.001	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.267	0.383	0.695	0.501	-0.577	1.111	0.039
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.333	0.479	-0.695	0.501	-1.388	0.722	0.029
Negative Life Events. 26	-0.333	0.606	-0.550	0.593	-1.668	1.001	0.015
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.167	0.479	0.348	0.735	-0.888	1.222	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.4	0.667	0.606	1.099	0.295	-0.668	2.001	0.061
Negative Life Events. 5	-0.333	0.479	-0.695	0.501	-1.388	0.722	0.029
Negative Life Events. 7	-0.333	0.606	-0.550	0.593	-1.668	1.001	0.015
Negative Life Events. NA	0.333	0.429	0.777	0.453	-0.610	1.277	0.041

Table 1835: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimata	Std. Error	t value	$\mathbf{D}_{n}(\sim \mathbf{t})$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
	Estimate	Std. Elloi	t varue	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 /0	91.5 /0	
Intercept	1.000	0.327	3.062	0.012	0.272	1.728	0.000
${\bf Positive Life Events. 11}$	0.000	0.566	0.000	1.000	-1.260	1.260	0.000
Positive Life Events. 12	-1.000	0.566	-1.768	0.108	-2.260	0.260	0.076
Positive Life Events. 3	-0.800	0.386	-2.070	0.065	-1.661	0.061	0.192
${\bf Positive Life Events.5}$	-1.000	0.462	-2.165	0.056	-2.029	0.029	0.144
Positive Life Events. 6	-0.667	0.422	-1.581	0.145	-1.606	0.273	0.091
${\bf Positive Life Events.7}$	0.000	0.566	0.000	1.000	-1.260	1.260	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-1.000	0.566	-1.768	0.108	-2.260	0.260	0.076
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-1.000	0.566	-1.768	0.108	-2.260	0.260	0.076
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.333	0.422	-0.791	0.448	-1.273	0.606	0.023

Table 1836: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.329	3.038	0.013	0.267	1.733	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-1.000	0.570	-1.754	0.110	-2.270	0.270	0.075
Total Life Events. 11	-1.000	0.570	-1.754	0.110	-2.270	0.270	0.075
Total Life Events. 13	-1.000	0.570	-1.754	0.110	-2.270	0.270	0.075
Total Life Events. 15	0.000	0.570	0.000	1.000	-1.270	1.270	0.000
Total Life Events. 29	-1.000	0.570	-1.754	0.110	-2.270	0.270	0.075
Total Life Events. 6	-0.500	0.465	-1.074	0.308	-1.537	0.537	0.036
Total Life Events. 7	-0.500	0.403	-1.240	0.243	-1.398	0.398	0.063
Total Life Events. 8	-1.000	0.403	-2.481	0.033	-1.898	-0.102	0.253
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-0.333	0.425	-0.784	0.451	-1.280	0.613	0.022

Table 1837: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.308	0.138	2.223	0.039	0.017	0.599	0.000
Stranger	0.264	0.234	1.127	0.274	-0.228	0.755	0.063

Table 1838: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-2.026	1.614	-1.255	0.225	-5.417	1.365	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.008	0.004	1.885	0.076	-0.001	0.016	0.158

Table 1839: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.453	0.967	2.538	0.021	0.422	4.484	0.000
MAGE	-0.047	0.031	-1.527	0.144	-0.113	0.018	0.109

Table 1840: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	1.599 -0.018	$0.823 \\ 0.024$	1.944 -0.747	0.000	-0.129 -0.069	$3.327 \\ 0.033$	0.000 0.029

Table 1841: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	3.887 -0.180		3.181 -2.383	0.000		6.454 -0.021	0.00

Table 1842: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.030	1.087	1.867	0.078	-0.254	4.313	0.000
PEDUY	-0.065	0.068	-0.960	0.350	-0.207	0.077	0.046

Table 1843: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.889	0.277	3.211	0.005	0.305	1.473	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.278	0.438	0.635	0.534	-0.646	1.201	0.024
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.111	0.463	0.240	0.813	-0.866	1.088	0.003

Table 1844: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.297	4.328	0.000	0.662	1.910	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.440	0.368	-1.193	0.248	-1.214	0.335	0.07

Table 1845: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1	0.548	1.825	0.085	-0.151	2.151	0
SEX	0	0.383	0.000	1.000	-0.804	0.804	0

Table 1846: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.305	6.750	0.786	0.442	-8.876	19.486	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.016	0.024	-0.638	0.532	-0.067	0.036	0.021

Table 1847: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.723	1.865	0.924	0.368	-2.195	5.641	0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.000	0.001	-0.390	0.701	-0.001	0.001	0.008

Table 1848: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.917	0.234	3.923	0.001	0.426	1.408	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.208	0.369	0.564	0.580	-0.568	0.984	0.016

Table 1849: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1	0.211	4.743	0	0.557	1.443	0
MPSYCH	0	0.422	0.000	1	-0.886	0.886	0

Table 1850: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.923	0.224	4.114	0.001	0.452	1.394	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.220	0.379	0.580	0.569	-0.577	1.017	0.017

Table 1851: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.143	0.214	5.337	0.000	0.691	1.595	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.143	0.829	-0.172	0.865	-1.893	1.607	0.001
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.543	0.417	-1.300	0.211	-1.424	0.338	0.083

Table 1852: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.1	0.253	4.344	0.000	0.566	1.634	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.1	0.368	-0.272	0.789	-0.876	0.676	0.004
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-1.1	0.840	-1.310	0.208	-2.872	0.672	0.086

Table 1853: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	1.1 -0.1 -1.1	0.253 0.368 0.840	4.344 -0.272 -1.310	0.000 0.789 0.208	0.000	1.634 0.676 0.672	0.00-

Table 1854: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.818	0.238	3.441	0.000	0.319	1.318	
FORMULA_6mo	0.404	0.354	1.140	0.269	-0.341	1.149	0.064

Table 1855: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.929	0.206	4.501	0.000	0.493	1.364	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.471	0.402	1.172	0.257	-0.377	1.320	0.064
FEVER_1yr.NA	-0.929	0.799	-1.162	0.261	-2.614	0.757	0.063

Table 1856: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.083	0.238	4.546	0.000	0.581	1.586	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.083	0.439	-0.190	0.852	-1.010	0.844	0.002

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.417	0.533	-0.782	0.445	-1.541	0.708	0.033

Table 1857: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.1	0.253	4.344	0.000	0.566	1.634	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1	-0.1	0.368	-0.272	0.789	-0.876	0.676	0.004
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-1.1	0.840	-1.310	0.208	-2.872	0.672	0.086

Table 1858: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.857	0.297	2.882	0.010	0.230	1.485	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.310	0.374	0.827	0.420	-0.480	1.099	0.036
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-0.857	0.841	-1.019	0.322	-2.632	0.917	0.054

Table 1859: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.401	2.494	0.023	0.154	1.846	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.067	0.451	0.148	0.884	-0.885	1.019	0.001
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-1.000	0.897	-1.115	0.280	-2.892	0.892	0.072

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.295	4.361	0.000	0.664	1.908	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.369	0.371	-0.995	0.334	-1.152	0.414	0.047
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-1.286	0.834	-1.542	0.142	-3.045	0.474	0.114

Table 1861: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.5	0.382	6.547	0.000	1.668	3.332	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.5	0.661	-2.268	0.043	-2.941	-0.059	0.044
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-2.0	0.468	-4.276	0.001	-3.019	-0.981	0.263
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.5	0.661	-0.756	0.464	-1.941	0.941	0.005
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-1.3	0.452	-2.877	0.014	-2.284	-0.316	0.130
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-2.5	0.661	-3.780	0.003	-3.941	-1.059	0.122

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.9	0.452	-4.205	0.001	-2.884	-0.916	0.278
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.5	0.661	-2.268	0.043	-2.941	-0.059	0.044

Table 1862: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.846	0.220	3.847	0.001	0.382	1.310	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.654	0.453	1.442	0.167	-0.303	1.611	0.102
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.154	0.508	0.303	0.766	-0.918	1.226	0.005

Table 1863: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.200	0.371	3.233	0.005	0.417	1.983	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.291	0.448	-0.650	0.524	-1.235	0.654	0.031
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.200	0.557	-0.359	0.724	-1.375	0.975	0.009

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.976	1.025	0.340	-1.308	3.308	0.000
STATE.22	0.333	1.127	0.296	0.776	-2.331	2.998	0.012
STATE.23	-1.000	1.195	-0.837	0.430	-3.826	1.826	0.079
STATE.24	0.500	1.195	0.418	0.688	-2.326	3.326	0.020
STATE.26	0.500	1.195	0.418	0.688	-2.326	3.326	0.020
STATE.29	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.35	1.000	1.380	0.725	0.492	-2.263	4.263	0.041
STATE.38	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.39	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.40	-0.500	1.195	-0.418	0.688	-3.326	2.326	0.020
STATE.41	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.73	0.000	1.380	0.000	1.000	-3.263	3.263	0.000
STATE.NA	-0.500	1.195	-0.418	0.688	-3.326	2.326	0.020

Table 1865: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	1.065	0.939	0.391	-1.737	3.737	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.000	1.506	0.664	0.536	-2.870	4.870	0.035
TRAIT.24	-0.500	1.304	-0.383	0.717	-3.852	2.852	0.016
TRAIT.26	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.500	1.304	0.383	0.717	-2.852	3.852	0.016

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	-1.000	1.506	-0.664	0.536	-4.870	2.870	0.035
TRAIT.29	1.000	1.506	0.664	0.536	-2.870	4.870	0.035
TRAIT.30	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.32	1.000	1.506	0.664	0.536	-2.870	4.870	0.035
TRAIT.33	0.000	1.304	0.000	1.000	-3.352	3.352	0.000
TRAIT.36	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.39	-1.000	1.506	-0.664	0.536	-4.870	2.870	0.035
TRAIT.49	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.52	0.000	1.506	0.000	1.000	-3.870	3.870	0.000
TRAIT.NA	-0.333	1.229	-0.271	0.797	-3.493	2.827	0.010

Table 1866: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	0.416	2.406	0.035	0.085	1.915	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.6	0.526	1.141	0.278	-0.557	1.757	0.088
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.5	0.657	-0.761	0.463	-1.946	0.946	0.029
NegativeLifeEvents.26	0.0	0.831	0.000	1.000	-1.829	1.829	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-1.0	0.657	-1.522	0.156	-2.446	0.446	0.117
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.0	0.831	1.203	0.254	-0.829	2.829	0.062
NegativeLifeEvents.5	0.0	0.657	0.000	1.000	-1.446	1.446	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-1.0	0.831	-1.203	0.254	-2.829	0.829	0.062
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	0.0	0.588	0.000	1.000	-1.294	1.294	0.000

Table 1867: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.586	2.560	0.028	0.194	2.806	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.500	1.015	0.493	0.633	-1.761	2.761	0.011
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-0.500	1.015	-0.493	0.633	-2.761	1.761	0.011
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.900	0.693	-1.298	0.223	-2.445	0.645	0.140
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.000	0.829	-1.207	0.255	-2.846	0.846	0.083
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.167	0.756	-0.220	0.830	-1.852	1.519	0.003
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.500	1.015	0.493	0.633	-1.761	2.761	0.011
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.500	1.015	-0.493	0.633	-2.761	1.761	0.011
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-1.500	1.015	-1.478	0.170	-3.761	0.761	0.099
PositiveLifeEvents.NA	-0.500	0.756	-0.661	0.524	-2.185	1.185	0.029

Table 1868: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.50	0.447	3.354	0.007	0.504	2.496	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-1.50	0.775	-1.936	0.082	-3.226	0.226	0.111
Total Life Events. 11	-1.50	0.775	-1.936	0.082	-3.226	0.226	0.111

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-0.50	0.775	-0.645	0.533	-2.226	1.226	0.012
TotalLifeEvents.15	0.50	0.775	0.645	0.533	-1.226	2.226	0.012
TotalLifeEvents.29	-0.50	0.775	-0.645	0.533	-2.226	1.226	0.012
Total Life Events. 6	-1.50	0.632	-2.372	0.039	-2.909	-0.091	0.210
Total Life Events. 7	0.25	0.548	0.456	0.658	-0.970	1.470	0.010
Total Life Events. 8	-0.75	0.548	-1.369	0.201	-1.970	0.470	0.093
Total Life Events. NA	-0.50	0.577	-0.866	0.407	-1.786	0.786	0.033

Table 1869: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.769	0.207	3.717	0.002	0.334	1.204	0.000
Stranger	0.659	0.350	1.885	0.076	-0.076	1.394	0.158

Table 1870: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	18.017	7.454	2.417	0.026	2.357	33.677	0.000
${\bf Age At 1yr Visit}$	-0.030	0.019	-1.601	0.127	-0.070	0.009	0.119

Table 1871: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.134	4.577	0.685	0.502	-6.483	12.751	0.000
MAGE	0.099	0.147	0.670	0.512	-0.211	0.408	0.023

Table 1872: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.348	3.687	2.535	0.021		17.095	0.00
PAGE	-0.096	0.108	-0.889	0.386	-0.324	0.131	0.04

Table 1873: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.950	6.092	-0.156	0.878	-13.749	11.849	0.000
MEDUY	0.442	0.376	1.175	0.255	-0.348	1.233	0.068

Table 1874: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.468	5.011	0.892	0.384	-6.060	14.997	0.000
PEDUY	0.106	0.312	0.340	0.738	-0.549	0.761	0.006

Table 1875: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.75	1.204	4.777	0.000	3.210	8.290	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.50	1.903	-0.263	0.796	-4.515	3.515	0.004
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	2.20	2.014	1.092	0.290	-2.049	6.449	0.068

Table 1876: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.143	1.392	4.413	0.000	3.218	9.068	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.011	1.727	0.006	0.995	-3.617	3.639	0

Table 1877: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	7.723 -1.165	2.441 1.705	3.164 -0.683		2.595 -4.746	12.850 2.417	

Table 1878: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	43.790	29.483	1.485	0.155	-18.152	105.732	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.136	0.107	-1.277	0.218	-0.361	0.088	0.079

Table 1879: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	9.012	8.420 0.002	1.070 -0.341	000	-8.679 -0.006	26.702 0.004	0.000

Table 1880: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.062	1.063	5.705	0.000	3.830	8.295	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.219	1.680	0.130	0.898	-3.311	3.749	0.001

Table 1881: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	6.1 0.2	0.951 1.901	6.416 0.105	$0.000 \\ 0.917$	4.103 -3.795	8.097 4.195	0.000 0.001

Table 1882: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.846	0.983	6.964	0.000	4.781	8.912	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	-1.989	1.662	-1.197	0.247	-5.480	1.502	0.07

Table 1883: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.929	0.996	5.950	0.000	3.827	8.031	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-1.429	3.859	-0.370	0.716	-9.570	6.713	0.007
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	1.171	1.942	0.603	0.554	-2.926	5.269	0.019

Table 1884: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.050	1.104	5.480	0.000	3.721	8.379	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.439	1.604	-0.274	0.788	-3.824	2.946	0.004
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	5.950	3.662	1.625	0.123	-1.776	13.676	0.126

Table 1885: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.675	1.105	5.136	0.000	3.344	8.006	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.353	1.605	0.220	0.829	-3.034	3.740	0.002
FORMULA_1yr.NA	6.325	3.665	1.726	0.102	-1.407	14.057	0.140

Table 1886: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FORMULA 6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.795	1.087	6.251	0.000	4.512	9.079	0.00
FORMULA_6mo	-1.434	1.621	-0.885	0.388	-4.839	1.970	0.04

Table 1887: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr.1 FEVER 1yr.NA	5.804 0.146 6.196	0.935 1.823 3.621	6.207 0.080 1.711	0.000 0.937 0.105	3.831 -3.699 -1.444	7.776 3.992 13.837	0.000

Table 1888: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	5.604 1.646 0.896	1.071 1.976 2.396	5.231 0.833 0.374	0.000 0.416 0.713	3.344 -2.522 -4.159	7.865 5.814 5.950	0.000 0.037 0.007

Table 1889: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	6.675 -1.758 5.325	1.067 1.550 3.539	6.256 -1.134 1.505	0	4.424 -5.029 -2.141	8.926 1.512 12.791	0.000

Table 1890: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.036	1.141	7.045	0.000	5.629	10.442	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	-3.473	1.435	-2.420	0.027	-6.501	-0.445	0.236
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	3.964	3.226	1.229	0.236	-2.842	10.771	0.061

Table 1891: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.625	1.736	3.815	0.001	2.961	10.289	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.992	1.954	-0.507	0.618	-5.115	3.132	0.014

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	5.375	3.883	1.384	0.184	-2.817	13.567	0.105

Table 1892: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.571	1.320	4.221	0.001	2.787	8.356	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.429	1.661	0.258	0.799	-3.076	3.933	0.003
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	6.429	3.733	1.722	0.103	-1.448	14.305	0.144

Table 1893: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.750	2.485	1.912	0.080	-0.664	10.164	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.500	4.304	-0.349	0.733	-10.877	7.877	0.006
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-1.063	3.043	-0.349	0.733	-7.693	5.568	0.010
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.750	4.304	-0.174	0.865	-10.127	8.627	0.002
WHSTOTHER.5 months	1.700	2.940	0.578	0.574	-4.706	8.106	0.031
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	7.250	4.304	1.685	0.118	-2.127	16.627	0.142
WHSTOTHER.6 months	2.850	2.940	0.969	0.351	-3.556	9.256	0.087
WHSTOTHER.7 months	4.500	4.304	1.046	0.316	-4.877	13.877	0.055

Table 1894: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.885	0.960	7.168	0.000	4.858	8.911	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo.1	-3.572	1.980	-1.804	0.089	-7.750	0.605	0.152
VITAMIND_6mo.NA	-0.135	2.218	-0.061	0.952	-4.814	4.545	0.000

Table 1895: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.350	1.680	3.780	0.001	2.805	9.895	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.577	2.026	-0.285	0.779	-4.852	3.698	0.006
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.587	2.520	0.233	0.818	-4.730	5.905	0.004

Table 1896: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.000	4.732	1.057	0.326	-6.189	16.189	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	3.417	5.464	0.625	0.552	-9.503	16.337	0.056
STATE.23	1.500	5.795	0.259	0.803	-12.204	15.204	0.008
STATE.24	1.625	5.795	0.280	0.787	-12.079	15.329	0.009
STATE.26	0.750	5.795	0.129	0.901	-12.954	14.454	0.002
STATE.29	-4.000	6.692	-0.598	0.569	-19.824	11.824	0.029
STATE.35	-0.500	6.692	-0.075	0.943	-16.324	15.324	0.000
STATE.38	-0.500	6.692	-0.075	0.943	-16.324	15.324	0.000
STATE.39	4.250	6.692	0.635	0.546	-11.574	20.074	0.032
STATE.40	2.625	5.795	0.453	0.664	-11.079	16.329	0.023
STATE.41	-3.500	6.692	-0.523	0.617	-19.324	12.324	0.022
STATE.73	2.500	6.692	0.374	0.720	-13.324	18.324	0.011
STATE.NA	0.750	5.795	0.129	0.901	-12.954	14.454	0.002

Table 1897: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.250	3.465	2.381	0.063	-0.658	17.158	0.000
TRAIT.22	-5.250	4.901	-1.071	0.333	-17.848	7.348	0.054
TRAIT.24	0.250	4.244	0.059	0.955	-10.660	11.160	0.000
TRAIT.26	1.000	4.901	0.204	0.846	-11.598	13.598	0.002
TRAIT.27	0.250	4.244	0.059	0.955	-10.660	11.160	0.000
TRAIT.28	-7.250	4.901	-1.479	0.199	-19.848	5.348	0.103
TRAIT.29	-4.250	4.901	-0.867	0.425	-16.848	8.348	0.036
TRAIT.30	1.000	4.901	0.204	0.846	-11.598	13.598	0.002
TRAIT.32	-3.750	4.901	-0.765	0.479	-16.348	8.848	0.028
TRAIT.33	-5.250	4.244	-1.237	0.271	-16.160	5.660	0.103
TRAIT.36	0.250	4.901	0.051	0.961	-12.348	12.848	0.000
TRAIT.39	3.750	4.901	0.765	0.479	-8.848	16.348	0.028
TRAIT.49	-7.250	4.901	-1.479	0.199	-19.848	5.348	0.103
TRAIT.52	-5.000	4.901	-1.020	0.354	-17.598	7.598	0.049
TRAIT.NA	-1.917	4.001	-0.479	0.652	-12.203	8.369	0.019

Table 1898: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	8.083	2.304	3.508	0.005	3.012	13.155	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	-3.533	2.915	-1.212	0.251	-9.948	2.881	0.111
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.458	3.643	-0.126	0.902	-8.477	7.560	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.583	4.608	-0.127	0.902	-10.726	9.559	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-1.583	3.643	-0.435	0.672	-9.602	6.435	0.011
NegativeLifeEvents.4	-3.583	4.608	-0.778	0.453	-13.726	6.559	0.029
${\bf Negative Life Events. 5}$	0.792	3.643	0.217	0.832	-7.227	8.810	0.003
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.833	4.608	-0.181	0.860	-10.976	9.309	0.002
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	-4.500	3.259	-1.381	0.195	-11.672	2.672	0.123

Table 1899: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	2.529	0.989	0.346	-3.135	8.135	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	2.000	4.380	0.457	0.658	-7.760	11.760	0.007
${\bf Positive Life Events. 12}$	5.750	4.380	1.313	0.219	-4.010	15.510	0.054
${\bf Positive Life Events. 3}$	4.200	2.992	1.404	0.191	-2.467	10.867	0.114
${\bf Positive Life Events.5}$	5.125	3.577	1.433	0.182	-2.844	13.094	0.082
PositiveLifeEvents.6	4.667	3.265	1.429	0.183	-2.608	11.941	0.096
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.500	4.380	0.114	0.911	-9.260	10.260	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	6.750	4.380	1.541	0.154	-3.010	16.510	0.075
PositiveLifeEvents.9	9.500	4.380	2.169	0.055	-0.260	19.260	0.148
Positive Life Events. NA	1.083	3.265	0.332	0.747	-6.191	8.358	0.005

Table 1900: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	2.021	1.237	0.244	-2.004	7.004	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	4.750	3.501	1.357	0.205	-3.051	12.551	0.041
TotalLifeEvents.11	9.500	3.501	2.714	0.022	1.699	17.301	0.162
Total Life Events. 13	5.750	3.501	1.642	0.132	-2.051	13.551	0.059
Total Life Events. 15	2.000	3.501	0.571	0.580	-5.801	9.801	0.007
TotalLifeEvents.29	5.000	3.501	1.428	0.184	-2.801	12.801	0.045
TotalLifeEvents.6	4.000	2.859	1.399	0.192	-2.369	10.369	0.055
TotalLifeEvents.7	1.437	2.476	0.581	0.574	-4.078	6.953	0.013
Total Life Events. 8	7.250	2.476	2.929	0.015	1.734	12.766	0.319
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	1.083	2.609	0.415	0.687	-4.731	6.898	0.006

Table 1901: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.635	1.003	6.614	0.000	4.527	8.742	0.000
Stranger	-1.385	1.696	-0.817	0.425	-4.947	2.178	0.034

Table 1902: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.947	2.048	-0.462	0.649	-5.249	3.355	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.007	0.005	1.306	0.208	-0.004	0.018	0.082

Table 1903: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.542	1.23	2.066	0.054	-0.043	5.126	0.000
MAGE	-0.027	0.04	-0.685	0.502	-0.110	0.056	0.024

Table 1904: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.928 \\ 0.024$	$0.995 \\ 0.029$	$0.932 \\ 0.808$	0.00-	-1.163 -0.038	$3.019 \\ 0.085$	0.000 0.033

Table 1905: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	3.600 -0.118	1.640 0.101	2.196 -1.161	0.0	0.155	7.045 0.095	

Table 1906: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.240	1.346	1.664	0.113	-0.588	5.068	0.000
PEDUY	-0.033	0.084	-0.397	0.696	-0.209	0.143	0.008

Table 1907: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.861	0.326	5.714	0.000	1.174	2.548	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.014	0.515	0.027	0.979	-1.073	1.100	0.000
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.611	0.545	-1.121	0.278	-1.761	0.539	0.072

Table 1908: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.714	0.374	4.579	0.000	0.928	2.501	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.003	0.464	-0.006	0.995	-0.978	0.973	0

Table 1909: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	1.412 0.223	$0.661 \\ 0.461$	$2.138 \\ 0.482$	$0.047 \\ 0.635$	0.024 -0.747	2.800 1.192	$0.000 \\ 0.012$

Table 1910: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	-7.175 0.032	8.011 0.029	-0.896 1.110	$0.382 \\ 0.282$	-24.005 -0.029	$9.655 \\ 0.093$	0.000 0.061

Table 1911: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	0.048 0.000	2.237 0.001	0.021 0.748	0.000	-4.652 -0.001		0.000 0.029

Table 1912: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.729	0.286	6.049	0.000	1.129	2.330	0
MaternalInfection	-0.042	0.452	-0.092	0.928	-0.991	0.908	0

Table 1913: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.767	0.254	6.943	0.000	1.232	2.301	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.217	0.509	-0.426	0.675	-1.286	0.853	0.009

Table 1914: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.596	0.271	5.895	0.000	1.027	2.165	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.332	0.458	0.726	0.477	-0.629	1.294	0.027

Table 1915: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.75	0.265	6.611	0.000	1.192	2.308	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.75	1.025	0.732	0.474	-1.413	2.913	0.027
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.30	0.516	-0.581	0.569	-1.389	0.789	0.017

Table 1916: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	$1.700 \\ 0.217$	$0.291 \\ 0.422$	$5.851 \\ 0.513$	$0.000 \\ 0.614$	1.087 -0.674	2.313 1.107	0.000 0.012
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-1.700	0.964	-1.764	0.096	-3.733	0.333	0.144

Table 1917: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	1.775 0.058 -1.775	0.293 0.425 0.971	6.066 0.137 -1.829	0.000 0.892 0.085	1.158 -0.839 -3.823		0.000 0.001 0.155

Table 1918: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA 6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.289	5.187	0.000	0.892	2.108	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.472	0.431	1.096	0.288	-0.433	1.378	0.059

Table 1919: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.839	0.247	7.451	0.000	1.319	2.360	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.139	0.481	-0.289	0.776	-1.154	0.876	0.004
FEVER_1yr.NA	-1.839	0.956	-1.924	0.071	-3.856	0.178	0.165

Table 1920: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.854	0.289	6.419	0.000	1.245	2.464	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.304	0.533	-0.571	0.575	-1.428	0.820	0.017

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.438	0.646	-0.677	0.507	-1.800	0.925	0.025

Table 1921: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.650	0.288	5.733	0.000	1.043	2.257	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr.1$	0.322	0.418	0.771	0.452	-0.560	1.204	0.027
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-1.650	0.955	-1.729	0.102	-3.664	0.364	0.137

Table 1922: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.214	0.300	4.043	0.001	0.581	1.848	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	0.932	0.378	2.465	0.025	0.134	1.729	0.237
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-1.214	0.850	-1.429	0.171	-3.007	0.578	0.080

Table 1923: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.625	0.460	3.530	0.003	0.654	2.596	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.225	0.518	0.434	0.670	-0.868	1.318	0.010
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-1.625	1.029	-1.579	0.133	-3.797	0.547	0.133

Table 1924: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.786	0.35	5.103	0.000	1.047	2.524	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.027	0.44	0.061	0.952	-0.902	0.956	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-1.786	0.99	-1.804	0.089	-3.874	0.302	0.157

Table 1925: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.125	0.658	3.227	0.007	0.690	3.560	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.125	1.141	-0.110	0.915	-2.610	2.360	0.001
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.375	0.806	0.465	0.650	-1.382	2.132	0.017
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.375	1.141	-0.329	0.748	-2.860	2.110	0.005
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.425	0.779	-0.545	0.595	-2.123	1.273	0.026
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-2.125	1.141	-1.863	0.087	-4.610	0.360	0.166

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.725	0.779	-0.931	0.370	-2.423	0.973	0.076
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.375	1.141	-1.206	0.251	-3.860	1.110	0.070

Table 1926: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMIND 6mo.1	1.538 0.649	0.272 0.560	5.662 1.159	0.000 0.263	0.965 -0.533	2.112 1.831	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo.NA	0.049 0.295	0.628	0.470	0.200	-1.029	1.619	0.008

Table 1927: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.650	0.455	3.624	0.002	0.689	2.611	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.100	0.549	0.182	0.858	-1.059	1.259	0.003
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	0.038	0.683	0.055	0.957	-1.403	1.478	0.000

Table 1928: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs STATE, df=7

		C. 1 E		D (1.1)	0 F 04	0= - 04	
	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.250	1.152	1.953	0.092	-0.474	4.974	0.000
STATE.22	-1.167	1.330	-0.877	0.410	-4.312	1.979	0.091
STATE.23	-0.750	1.411	-0.532	0.612	-4.087	2.587	0.027
STATE.24	-0.750	1.411	-0.532	0.612	-4.087	2.587	0.027
STATE.26	-0.250	1.411	-0.177	0.864	-3.587	3.087	0.003
STATE.29	0.750	1.629	0.460	0.659	-3.103	4.603	0.014
STATE.35	0.000	1.629	0.000	1.000	-3.853	3.853	0.000
STATE.38	0.250	1.629	0.153	0.882	-3.603	4.103	0.002
STATE.39	-1.500	1.629	-0.921	0.388	-5.353	2.353	0.056
STATE.40	-1.250	1.411	-0.886	0.405	-4.587	2.087	0.074
STATE.41	0.750	1.629	0.460	0.659	-3.103	4.603	0.014
STATE.73	-1.000	1.629	-0.614	0.559	-4.853	2.853	0.025
STATE.NA	-0.250	1.411	-0.177	0.864	-3.587	3.087	0.003

Table 1929: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.250	1.016	1.231	0.273	-1.360	3.860	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.250	1.436	0.870	0.424	-2.442	4.942	0.042
TRAIT.24	-0.125	1.244	-0.101	0.924	-3.322	3.072	0.001
TRAIT.26	0.000	1.436	0.000	1.000	-3.692	3.692	0.000
TRAIT.27	-0.250	1.244	-0.201	0.849	-3.447	2.947	0.003

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
TRAIT.28	1.750	1.436	1.219	0.277	-1.942	5.442	0.083
TRAIT.29	0.500	1.436	0.348	0.742	-3.192	4.192	0.007
TRAIT.30	-0.500	1.436	-0.348	0.742	-4.192	3.192	0.007
TRAIT.32	1.000	1.436	0.696	0.517	-2.692	4.692	0.027
TRAIT.33	1.500	1.244	1.206	0.282	-1.697	4.697	0.115
TRAIT.36	0.250	1.436	0.174	0.869	-3.442	3.942	0.002
TRAIT.39	-1.250	1.436	-0.870	0.424	-4.942	2.442	0.042
TRAIT.49	1.750	1.436	1.219	0.277	-1.942	5.442	0.083
TRAIT.52	0.750	1.436	0.522	0.624	-2.942	4.442	0.015
TRAIT.NA	0.500	1.173	0.426	0.688	-2.514	3.514	0.018

Table 1930: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.250	0.606	2.064	0.063	-0.083	2.583	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.850	0.766	1.109	0.291	-0.836	2.536	0.093
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.250	0.958	-0.261	0.799	-2.358	1.858	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.26	0.000	1.211	0.000	1.000	-2.666	2.666	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.250	0.958	0.261	0.799	-1.858	2.358	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.000	1.211	0.826	0.427	-1.666	3.666	0.033
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.125	0.958	-0.131	0.899	-2.233	1.983	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.7	0.500	1.211	0.413	0.688	-2.166	3.166	0.008
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	1.250	0.857	1.459	0.172	-0.635	3.135	0.137

Table 1931: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.375	0.689	3.449	0.006	0.841	3.909	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.125	1.193	-0.105	0.919	-2.782	2.532	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.125	1.193	-0.943	0.368	-3.782	1.532	0.036
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.725	0.815	-0.890	0.394	-2.540	1.090	0.059
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.375	0.974	-1.412	0.188	-3.545	0.795	0.103
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.875	0.889	-0.984	0.348	-2.856	1.106	0.059
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.125	1.193	0.105	0.919	-2.532	2.782	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-1.125	1.193	-0.943	0.368	-3.782	1.532	0.036
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-2.375	1.193	-1.992	0.074	-5.032	0.282	0.162
Positive Life Events. NA	0.125	0.889	0.141	0.891	-1.856	2.106	0.001

Table 1932: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.375	0.601	3.953	0.003	1.036	3.714	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-0.625	1.041	-0.601	0.561	-2.944	1.694	0.012
Total Life Events. 11	-2.375	1.041	-2.282	0.046	-4.694	-0.056	0.176

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.125	1.041	-1.081	0.305	-3.444	1.194	0.039
Total Life Events. 15	-0.125	1.041	-0.120	0.907	-2.444	2.194	0.000
Total Life Events. 29	-1.125	1.041	-1.081	0.305	-3.444	1.194	0.039
Total Life Events. 6	-0.875	0.850	-1.030	0.327	-2.768	1.018	0.045
Total Life Events. 7	-0.125	0.736	-0.170	0.868	-1.764	1.514	0.002
Total Life Events. 8	-1.500	0.736	-2.039	0.069	-3.139	0.139	0.236
Total Life Events. NA	0.125	0.776	0.161	0.875	-1.603	1.853	0.001

Table 1933: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.596	0.271	5.895	0.000	1.027	2.165	0.000
Stranger	0.332	0.458	0.726	0.477	-0.629	1.294	0.027

Table 1934: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-2.031	1.875	-1.083	0.293	-5.970	1.907	0.000
${\bf Age At 1 yr Visit}$	0.009	0.005	1.798	0.089	-0.001	0.018	0.145

Table 1935: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.818	1.178	1.543	0.140	-0.657	4.294	0.00
MAGE	-0.016	0.038	-0.429	0.673	-0.096	0.063	0.01

Table 1936: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.363	0.935	0.388	0.703	-1.601	2.326	0.000
PAGE	0.029	0.027	1.051	0.307	-0.029	0.086	0.055

Table 1937: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.777	1.578	1.760	0.095	-0.538	6.093	0.000
MEDUY	-0.091	0.097	-0.931	0.364	-0.296	0.114	0.044

Table 1938: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	1.926 -0.038	1.277 0.079	1.508 -0.480	00	-0.757 -0.205		$0.000 \\ 0.012$

Table 1939: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.556	0.305	5.098	0.000	0.912	2.199	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.181	0.482	-0.374	0.713	-1.198	0.837	0.008
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.722	0.511	-1.414	0.175	-1.800	0.355	0.109

Table 1940: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.202	0.354	3.396	0.003	0.458	1.946	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.182	0.439	0.415	0.683	-0.740	1.105	0.009

Table 1941: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	1.097 0.166	0.629 0.440	1.743 0.377	0.000	-0.225 -0.758		0.000 0.007

Table 1942: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-10.272	7.379	-1.392	0.181	-25.775	5.230	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.042	0.027	1.572	0.133	-0.014	0.098	0.115

Table 1943: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.512	2.115	-0.242	0.812	-4.955	3.931	0.000
BW	0.001	0.001	0.871	0.395	-0.001	0.002	0.038

Table 1944: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.312	0.272	4.831	0.000	0.742	1.883	0
MaternalInfection	0.021	0.430	0.048	0.962	-0.882	0.923	0

Table 1945: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.378	0.242	5.704	$0.000 \\ 0.643$	0.870	1.885	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.228	0.483	-0.471		-1.243	0.787	0.012

Table 1946: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.173	0.254	4.612	0.000	0.639	1.707	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.422	0.430	0.982	0.339	-0.481	1.325	0.048

Table 1947: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.369	0.255	5.367	0.000	0.831	1.907	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.381	0.988	0.386	0.705	-1.704	2.465	0.008
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.269	0.497	-0.541	0.595	-1.318	0.780	0.015

Table 1948: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.367	0.288	4.745	0.000	0.759	1.974	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.050	0.418	0.119	0.906	-0.833	0.933	0.001
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-1.367	0.955	-1.431	0.171	-3.382	0.649	0.101

Table 1949: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.367	0.288	4.745	0.000	0.759	1.974	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.050	0.418	0.119	0.906	-0.833	0.933	0.001
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-1.367	0.955	-1.431	0.171	-3.382	0.649	0.101

Table 1950: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.197	0.280	4.268	0.000	0.608	1.786	0.000
$FORMULA_6mo$	0.275	0.418	0.658	0.519	-0.603	1.154	0.022

Table 1951: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr.1 FEVER 1yr.NA	1.411 -0.077 -1.411	0.243 0.474 0.942	5.798 -0.163 -1.497	0.0.	0.897 -1.078 -3.399	1.924 0.923 0.577	0.001

Table 1952: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	1.451 -0.301 -0.368	0.275 0.507 0.615	5.278 -0.594 -0.599	0.000	0.871 -1.371 -1.665	2.032 0.768 0.929	0.000 0.019 0.019

Table 1953: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1	$1.200 \\ 0.402$	$0.280 \\ 0.407$	4.283 0.987	$0.001 \\ 0.337$	0.609 -0.457	1.791 1.261	$0.000 \\ 0.047$
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-1.200	0.929	-1.291	0.00.	-3.161	0.761	0.041

Table 1954: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.845	0.302	2.803	0.012	0.209	1.481	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	0.863	0.379	2.275	0.036	0.063	1.664	0.219
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-0.845	0.853	-0.991	0.336	-2.645	0.954	0.042

Table 1955: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.417	0.455	3.110	0.006	0.456	2.378	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.033	0.513	-0.065	0.949	-1.115	1.048	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-1.417	1.019	-1.391	0.182	-3.566	0.732	0.108

Table 1956: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.452	0.344	4.224	0.001	0.727	2.178	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.098	0.433	-0.227	0.823	-1.011	0.815	0.003
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-1.452	0.973	-1.493	0.154	-3.504	0.599	0.113

Table 1957: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.708	0.690	2.476	0.029	0.205	3.212	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.208	1.195	-0.174	0.865	-2.812	2.395	0.002
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.229	0.845	0.271	0.791	-1.612	2.070	0.007
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.208	1.195	-0.174	0.865	-2.812	2.395	0.002
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.508	0.816	-0.623	0.545	-2.287	1.270	0.039
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-1.708	1.195	-1.429	0.178	-4.312	0.895	0.113
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.558	0.816	-0.684	0.507	-2.337	1.220	0.047
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.208	1.195	-1.011	0.332	-3.812	1.395	0.056

Table 1958: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.135	0.252	4.496	0.000	0.602	1.667	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo.1	0.782	0.520	1.503	0.151	-0.316	1.880	0.110
VITAMIND_6mo.NA	0.199	0.583	0.341	0.737	-1.031	1.428	0.006

Table 1959: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.383	0.432	3.203	0.005	0.472	2.294	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.042	0.521	-0.081	0.936	-1.141	1.056	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.196	0.648	-0.302	0.766	-1.563	1.171	0.007

Table 1960: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	1.124	1.335	0.224	-1.157	4.157	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-0.778	1.297	-0.599	0.568	-3.846	2.290	0.050
STATE.23	0.000	1.376	0.000	1.000	-3.254	3.254	0.000
STATE.24	-0.500	1.376	-0.363	0.727	-3.754	2.754	0.014
STATE.26	0.250	1.376	0.182	0.861	-3.004	3.504	0.004
STATE.29	1.500	1.589	0.944	0.377	-2.258	5.258	0.069
STATE.35	0.250	1.589	0.157	0.879	-3.508	4.008	0.002
STATE.38	0.250	1.589	0.157	0.879	-3.508	4.008	0.002
STATE.39	-1.000	1.589	-0.629	0.549	-4.758	2.758	0.031
STATE.40	-0.750	1.376	-0.545	0.603	-4.004	2.504	0.033
STATE.41	0.500	1.589	0.315	0.762	-3.258	4.258	0.008
STATE.73	-0.750	1.589	-0.472	0.651	-4.508	3.008	0.017
STATE.NA	0.000	1.376	0.000	1.000	-3.254	3.254	0.000

Table 1961: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.500	0.780	0.641	0.550	-1.506	2.506	0.000
TRAIT.22	2.000	1.104	1.812	0.130	-0.837	4.837	0.105
TRAIT.24	0.250	0.956	0.262	0.804	-2.207	2.707	0.003
TRAIT.26	0.000	1.104	0.000	1.000	-2.837	2.837	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.333	0.956	0.349	0.741	-2.124	2.790	0.006
TRAIT.28	2.500	1.104	2.265	0.073	-0.337	5.337	0.164
TRAIT.29	1.000	1.104	0.906	0.406	-1.837	3.837	0.026
TRAIT.30	0.000	1.104	0.000	1.000	-2.837	2.837	0.000
TRAIT.32	1.250	1.104	1.133	0.309	-1.587	4.087	0.041
TRAIT.33	1.375	0.956	1.439	0.210	-1.082	3.832	0.094
TRAIT.36	0.500	1.104	0.453	0.670	-2.337	3.337	0.007
TRAIT.39	-0.500	1.104	-0.453	0.670	-3.337	2.337	0.007
TRAIT.49	2.500	1.104	2.265	0.073	-0.337	5.337	0.164
TRAIT.52	1.000	1.104	0.906	0.406	-1.837	3.837	0.026
TRAIT.NA	0.750	0.901	0.832	0.443	-1.566	3.066	0.040

Table 1962: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.617	1.622	0.133	-0.357	2.357	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.683	0.780	0.876	0.400	-1.033	2.400	0.067
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.250	0.975	-0.256	0.802	-2.396	1.896	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.250	1.233	-0.203	0.843	-2.964	2.464	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.500	0.975	0.513	0.618	-1.646	2.646	0.017
Negative Life Events. 4	0.750	1.233	0.608	0.555	-1.964	3.464	0.020
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.250	0.975	-0.256	0.802	-2.396	1.896	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.7	0.250	1.233	0.203	0.843	-2.464	2.964	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	0.750	0.872	0.860	0.408	-1.169	2.669	0.055

Table 1963: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.250	0.642	3.503	0.006	0.819	3.681	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.500	1.112	-0.449	0.663	-2.979	1.979	0.006
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.750	1.112	-1.573	0.147	-4.229	0.729	0.077
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.950	0.760	-1.250	0.240	-2.643	0.743	0.089
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.500	0.908	-1.652	0.130	-3.524	0.524	0.107
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-1.111	0.829	-1.340	0.210	-2.958	0.736	0.083
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.250	1.112	0.225	0.827	-2.229	2.729	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-1.750	1.112	-1.573	0.147	-4.229	0.729	0.077
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-2.250	1.112	-2.023	0.071	-4.729	0.229	0.127
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.500	0.829	-0.603	0.560	-2.347	1.347	0.017

Table 1964: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.250	0.585	3.848	0.003	0.947	3.553	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	-1.000	1.013	-0.987	0.347	-3.257	1.257	0.026
TotalLifeEvents.11	-2.250	1.013	-2.222	0.051	-4.507	0.007	0.134
Total Life Events. 13	-1.750	1.013	-1.728	0.115	-4.007	0.507	0.081
Total Life Events. 15	-0.500	1.013	-0.494	0.632	-2.757	1.757	0.007
TotalLifeEvents.29	-1.500	1.013	-1.481	0.169	-3.757	0.757	0.059
TotalLifeEvents.6	-0.750	0.827	-0.907	0.386	-2.593	1.093	0.028
Total Life Events. 7	-0.396	0.716	-0.553	0.593	-1.992	1.200	0.014
TotalLifeEvents.8	-1.750	0.716	-2.444	0.035	-3.346	-0.154	0.272
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-0.500	0.755	-0.662	0.523	-2.182	1.182	0.018

Table 1965: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.186	0.255	4.642	0.000	0.649	1.723	0.00
Stranger	0.386	0.432	0.893	0.384	-0.522	1.293	0.04

Table 1966: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.320	1.720	-0.768	0.453	-4.934	2.294	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.007	0.004	1.553	0.138	-0.002	0.016	0.113

Table 1967: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.989	1.062	0.931	0.364	-1.242	3.221	0.000
MAGE	0.011	0.034	0.333	0.743	-0.060	0.083	0.006

Table 1968: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.137 \\ 0.036$	0.816 0.024	$0.168 \\ 1.507$	0.000	-1.578 -0.014	1.852 0.086	0.000

Table 1969: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.940	1.447	1.341	0.197	-1.099	4.979	0.000
MEDUY	-0.038	0.089	-0.420	0.680	-0.225	0.150	0.009

Table 1970: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.250	1.156	1.082	0.294	-1.178	3.678	0
PEDUY	0.006	0.072	0.077	0.940	-0.146	0.157	0

Table 1971: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.285	5.257	0.000	0.898	2.102	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.333	0.451	-0.739	0.470	-1.285	0.619	0.032
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.250	0.477	-0.524	0.607	-1.257	0.757	0.016

Table 1972: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.214	0.318	3.818	0.001	0.546	1.882	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.190	0.394	0.481	0.637	-0.639	1.018	0.012

Table 1973: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	1.519 -0.135	$0.567 \\ 0.396$	2.681 -0.340	0.0-0	0.329 -0.966	$2.710 \\ 0.697$	0.000 0.006

Table 1974: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-8.880	6.657	-1.334	0.199	-22.866	5.107	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.037	0.024	1.535	0.142	-0.014	0.088	0.11

Table 1975: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.153	1.851	-0.623	0.541	-5.041	2.735	0.000
BW	0.001	0.001	1.352	0.193	0.000	0.002	0.088

Table 1976: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.354	0.244	5.541	0.000	0.841	1.868	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.042	0.386	-0.108	0.915	-0.853	0.770	0.001

Table 1977: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.383	0.218	6.358	0.000	0.926	1.840	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.183	0.435	-0.421	0.679	-1.098	0.731	0.009

Table 1978: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.135	0.221	5.145	0.000	0.671	1.598	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.580	0.373	1.555	0.137	-0.203	1.363	0.113

Table 1979: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.321	0.224	5.890	0.000	0.848	1.795	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.929	0.869	1.069	0.300	-0.905	2.762	0.057
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.121	0.437	-0.278	0.785	-1.044	0.801	0.004

Table 1980: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.225	0.267	4.589 0.781	0.000	0.662	1.788	0.000 0.032
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1 ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	0.303 -0.475	$0.388 \\ 0.885$	-0.537		-0.515 -2.343		0.032 0.015

Table 1981: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	1.475 -0.225 -0.725	$0.269 \\ 0.391 \\ 0.892$	5.482 -0.576 -0.812	0.000 0.572 0.428	0.907 -1.050 -2.608	2.043 0.600 1.158	0.000 0.017 0.034

Table 1982: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA 6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.364	0.255	5.344	0.00	0.828	1.900	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-0.058	0.380	-0.153	0.88	-0.857	0.741	0.001

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.339	0.229	5.844	0.000	0.856	1.823	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.111	0.447	0.248	0.807	-0.832	1.053	0.003
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-0.589	0.888	-0.664	0.516	-2.462	1.283	0.023

Table 1984: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.479	0.246	6.024	0.000	0.961	1.997	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-0.379	0.453	-0.837	0.414	-1.334	0.576	0.037

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-0.312	0.549	-0.569	0.577	-1.471	0.846	0.017

Table 1985: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.100	0.255	4.319	0.000	0.563	1.637	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr.1$	0.567	0.370	1.531	0.144	-0.214	1.347	0.113
$CURBRFEED_1yr.NA$	-0.350	0.845	-0.414	0.684	-2.132	1.432	0.008

Table 1986: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.893	0.290	3.074	0.007	0.280		0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.753	0.365	2.060	0.055	0.0-0		0.195
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-0.143	0.822	-0.174	0.864	-1.876	1.590	0.001

Table 1987: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.562	0.426	3.666	0.002	0.663	2.462	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1	-0.246	0.480	-0.512	0.615	-1.258	0.766	0.015
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-0.812	0.953	-0.852	0.406	-2.823	1.198	0.043

Table 1988: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.536	0.321	4.789	0.000	0.859	2.212	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.265	0.403	-0.656	0.520	-1.116	0.586	0.023
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-0.786	0.907	-0.866	0.398	-2.699	1.128	0.040

Table 1989: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.605	3.303	0.006	0.681	3.319	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.750	1.049	-0.715	0.488	-3.035	1.535	0.021
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.312	0.741	-0.421	0.681	-1.928	1.303	0.012
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.750	1.049	-0.715	0.488	-3.035	1.535	0.021
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.850	0.716	-1.187	0.258	-2.411	0.711	0.108
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-2.000	1.049	-1.907	0.081	-4.285	0.285	0.151

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.550	0.716	-0.768	0.457	-2.111	1.011	0.045
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.500	1.049	-1.430	0.178	-3.785	0.785	0.085

Table 1990: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.173	0.227	5.164	0.000	0.694	1.652	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo.1	0.702	0.468	1.499	0.152	-0.286	1.690	0.110
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.160	0.525	0.306	0.764	-0.946	1.267	0.005

Table 1991: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.550	0.383	4.042	0.001	0.741	2.359	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.232	0.462	-0.501	0.623	-1.208	0.744	0.018
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.425	0.575	-0.739	0.470	-1.639	0.789	0.039

Table 1992: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.917	1.091	0.312	-1.168	3.168	0.000
STATE.22	0.167	1.059	0.157	0.879	-2.337	2.670	0.003
STATE.23	0.625	1.123	0.557	0.595	-2.031	3.281	0.028
STATE.24	0.375	1.123	0.334	0.748	-2.281	3.031	0.010
STATE.26	0.250	1.123	0.223	0.830	-2.406	2.906	0.005
STATE.29	2.000	1.297	1.542	0.167	-1.066	5.066	0.154
STATE.35	1.000	1.297	0.771	0.466	-2.066	4.066	0.038
STATE.38	1.250	1.297	0.964	0.367	-1.816	4.316	0.060
STATE.39	-0.500	1.297	-0.386	0.711	-3.566	2.566	0.010
STATE.40	-0.375	1.123	-0.334	0.748	-3.031	2.281	0.010
STATE.41	0.750	1.297	0.578	0.581	-2.316	3.816	0.022
STATE.73	-0.500	1.297	-0.386	0.711	-3.566	2.566	0.010
STATE.NA	0.250	1.123	0.223	0.830	-2.406	2.906	0.005

Table 1993: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.750	0.581	1.291	0.253	-0.743	2.243	0.000
TRAIT.22	0.750	0.822	0.913	0.403	-1.362	2.862	0.023
TRAIT.24	-0.125	0.712	-0.176	0.867	-1.954	1.704	0.001
TRAIT.26	0.750	0.822	0.913	0.403	-1.362	2.862	0.023
TRAIT.27	0.625	0.712	0.878	0.420	-1.204	2.454	0.030

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	2.250	0.822	2.739	0.041	0.138	4.362	0.205
TRAIT.29	0.500	0.822	0.609	0.569	-1.612	2.612	0.010
TRAIT.30	-0.250	0.822	-0.304	0.773	-2.362	1.862	0.003
TRAIT.32	1.250	0.822	1.521	0.189	-0.862	3.362	0.063
TRAIT.33	1.250	0.712	1.757	0.139	-0.579	3.079	0.120
TRAIT.36	0.250	0.822	0.304	0.773	-1.862	2.362	0.003
TRAIT.39	-0.750	0.822	-0.913	0.403	-2.862	1.362	0.023
TRAIT.49	2.250	0.822	2.739	0.041	0.138	4.362	0.205
TRAIT.52	0.500	0.822	0.609	0.569	-1.612	2.612	0.010
TRAIT.NA	0.250	0.671	0.373	0.725	-1.474	1.974	0.007

Table 1994: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.083	0.527	2.056	0.064	-0.076	2.243	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events. 1}$	0.767	0.666	1.151	0.274	-0.700	2.233	0.110
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.083	0.833	-0.100	0.922	-1.917	1.750	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.583	1.054	-0.554	0.591	-2.902	1.736	0.016
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.417	0.833	0.500	0.627	-1.417	2.250	0.016
NegativeLifeEvents.4	0.917	1.054	0.870	0.403	-1.402	3.236	0.040
${\bf Negative Life Events. 5}$	-0.333	0.833	-0.400	0.697	-2.167	1.500	0.010
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.083	1.054	-0.079	0.938	-2.402	2.236	0.000
Negative Life Events. NA	0.333	0.745	0.447	0.663	-1.306	1.973	0.014

Table 1995: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.125	0.681	3.120	0.011	0.607	3.643	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.125	1.180	-0.106	0.918	-2.754	2.504	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-1.375	1.180	-1.165	0.271	-4.004	1.254	0.057
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.925	0.806	-1.148	0.278	-2.721	0.871	0.102
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-1.500	0.963	-1.557	0.150	-3.646	0.646	0.129
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.625	0.879	-0.711	0.493	-2.584	1.334	0.032
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-0.625	1.180	-0.530	0.608	-3.254	2.004	0.012
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.625	1.180	-0.530	0.608	-3.254	2.004	0.012
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-1.375	1.180	-1.165	0.271	-4.004	1.254	0.057
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.708	0.879	-0.806	0.439	-2.668	1.251	0.041

Table 1996: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.125	0.612	3.475	0.006	0.762	3.488	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-1.125	1.059	-1.062	0.313	-3.485	1.235	0.040
Total Life Events. 11	-1.375	1.059	-1.298	0.223	-3.735	0.985	0.060

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.375	1.059	-1.298	0.223	-3.735	0.985	0.060
Total Life Events. 15	-0.125	1.059	-0.118	0.908	-2.485	2.235	0.000
Total Life Events. 29	-1.625	1.059	-1.534	0.156	-3.985	0.735	0.084
Total Life Events. 6	-0.500	0.865	-0.578	0.576	-2.427	1.427	0.015
Total Life Events. 7	-0.375	0.749	-0.501	0.627	-2.044	1.294	0.015
Total Life Events. 8	-1.375	0.749	-1.836	0.096	-3.044	0.294	0.203
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-0.708	0.789	-0.897	0.391	-2.467	1.051	0.043

Table 1997: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.288	0.234	5.505	0.000	0.797	1.780	0.000
Stranger	0.140	0.396	0.354	0.727	-0.691	0.971	0.007

Table 1998: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.210	0.644	-1.879	0.077	-2.564	0.143	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.004	0.002	2.221	0.039	0.000	0.007	0.206

Table 1999: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=18

							R2
Intercept	0.027	0.421	0.065	0.0 =0	-0.857	0.0	0.00
MAGE	0.027 0.006	0.421 0.014	0.005 0.448	0.0 =0		-0.85 <i>t</i> -0.022	0.00, 0.0==

Table 2000: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.153	0.332	-0.459	0.652	-0.851	0.546	0.000
PAGE	0.011	0.010	1.126	0.275	-0.010	0.031	0.063

Table 2001: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.431	0.575	0.750	0.463	-0.776	1.639	0.000
MEDUY	-0.014	0.036	-0.384	0.705	-0.088	0.061	0.008

Table 2002: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: ageScore_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.540	0.452	1.194	0.248	-0.41	1.490	0.000
PEDUY	-0.021	0.028	-0.734	0.472	-0.08	0.038	0.028

MaskAver-

Table 2003: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.107	3.109	0.006	0.107	0.560	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.167	0.170	-0.983	0.339	-0.524	0.191	0.049
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.283	0.179	-1.579	0.133	-0.662	0.095	0.126

Table 2004: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.107	0.123	0.869	0.396	-0.152	0.366	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.162	0.153	1.060	0.303	-0.159	0.483	0.056

Table 2005: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.283	0.225	1.258	0.225	-0.190	0.756	0.000
SEX	-0.052	0.157	-0.332	0.744	-0.382	0.278	0.006

Table 2006: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-5.194	2.505	-2.074	0.053	-10.456	0.069	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.020	0.009	2.159	0.045	0.001	0.039	0.197

Table 2007: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	-0.808 0.000	0.732 0.000	-1.103 1.400	0.200	-2.346 0.000	0.731 0.001	0.000
DW	0.000	0.000	1.400	0.179	0.000	0.001	0.0

Table 2008: $mask_vs_cvrt_yr1$: MaskAverageScore StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.096	2.603	0.018	0.048	0.452	0.00
MaternalInfection	-0.094	0.152	-0.617	0.545	-0.413	0.225	0.02

Table 2009: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: ageScore_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.25	0.085	2.942	0.009	0.071	0.1=0	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.15	0.170	-0.883	0.389	-0.507		0.039

MaskAver-

Table 2010: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.192	0.093	2.070	0.053	-0.003	0.387	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.058	0.157	0.367	0.718	-0.272	0.388	0.007

Table 2011: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.179	0.090	1.984	0.064	-0.011	0.368	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.321	0.349	0.922	0.369	-0.414	1.057	0.043
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.071	0.175	0.407	0.689	-0.299	0.442	0.008

Table 2012: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.20	0.108	1.856	0.081	-0.027	0.427	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.05	0.157	0.319	0.753	-0.280	0.380	0.005
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.20	0.357	-0.559	0.583	-0.954	0.554	0.017

Table 2013: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.20	0.108	1.856	0.081	-0.027	0.427	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.05	0.157	0.319	0.753	-0.280	0.380	0.005
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-0.20	0.357	-0.559	0.583	-0.954	0.554	0.017

Table 2014: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.205	0.101	2.019	0.059	-0.008	0.417	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.018	0.151	0.117	0.908	-0.300	0.335	0.001

Table 2015: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.25	0.091	2.762	0.013	0.059	0.441	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.10	0.176	-0.567	0.578	-0.472	0.272	0.016
FEVER_1yr.NA	-0.25	0.351	-0.713	0.485	-0.990	0.490	0.026

Table 2016: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	0.271 -0.071 -0.271	0.095 0.176 0.213	2.838 -0.403 -1.269	0.011 0.692 0.221	0.070 -0.442 -0.721	0.472 0.300 0.179	0.000 0.008 0.082

Table 2017: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	0.175 0.103 -0.175	0.107 0.155 0.354	1.640 0.663 -0.494	0.516	-0.050 -0.224 -0.922	$0.400 \\ 0.430 \\ 0.572$	0.000 0.023 0.013

Table 2018: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.110	0.000	1.00	-0.231	0.231	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	0.354	0.138	2.566	0.02	0.063	0.645	0.273
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	0.000	0.310	0.000	1.00	-0.655	0.655	0.000

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 2019: & mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: & MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.171	1.464	0.161	-0.110	0.610	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.033	0.192	-0.173	0.864	-0.439	0.372	0.002

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-0.250	0.382	-0.655	0.521	-1.056	0.556	0.026

Table 2020: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.129	1.939	0.069	-0.022	0.522	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.042	0.162	-0.257	0.800	-0.384	0.301	0.004
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-0.250	0.365	-0.685	0.502	-1.020	0.520	0.026

Table 2021: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.265	0.943	0.364	-0.328	0.828	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.250	0.459	-0.544	0.596	-1.251	0.751	0.020
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.125	0.325	0.385	0.707	-0.583	0.833	0.017
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.000	0.459	0.000	1.000	-1.001	1.001	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.150	0.314	-0.478	0.641	-0.834	0.534	0.028
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.250	0.459	-0.544	0.596	-1.251	0.751	0.020
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.050	0.314	0.159	0.876	-0.634	0.734	0.003
WHSTOTHER.7 $months$	-0.250	0.459	-0.544	0.596	-1.251	0.751	0.020

Table 2022: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.192	0.095	2.030	0.058	-0.008	0.392	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.120	0.195	0.616	0.546	-0.292	0.532	0.020
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.026	0.219	-0.117	0.908	-0.487	0.436	0.001

Table 2023: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.300	0.152	1.973	0.065	-0.021	0.621	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.095	0.183	-0.521	0.609	-0.482	0.291	0.019
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.175	0.228	-0.767	0.453	-0.656	0.306	0.042

Table 2024: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.306	0.816	0.441	-0.474	0.974	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-0.250	0.354	-0.707	0.502	-1.086	0.586	0.052
STATE.23	0.250	0.375	0.667	0.526	-0.637	1.137	0.037
STATE.24	-0.125	0.375	-0.333	0.749	-1.012	0.762	0.009
STATE.26	0.000	0.375	0.000	1.000	-0.887	0.887	0.000
STATE.29	0.750	0.433	1.732	0.127	-0.274	1.774	0.174
STATE.35	0.250	0.433	0.577	0.582	-0.774	1.274	0.019
STATE.38	0.250	0.433	0.577	0.582	-0.774	1.274	0.019
STATE.39	-0.250	0.433	-0.577	0.582	-1.274	0.774	0.019
STATE.40	-0.250	0.375	-0.667	0.526	-1.137	0.637	0.037
STATE.41	0.000	0.433	0.000	1.000	-1.024	1.024	0.000
STATE.73	-0.250	0.433	-0.577	0.582	-1.274	0.774	0.019
STATE.NA	-0.250	0.375	-0.667	0.526	-1.137	0.637	0.037

 $\begin{array}{lll} {\it Table} & 2025: & {\it mask_vs_cvrt_yr1:} \\ {\it ageScore_StartleResponse} \ {\it vs} \ {\it TRAIT}, \ {\it df}{\it =}5 \end{array}$

 ${\bf Mask Aver-}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.112	0.000	1.000	-0.287	0.287	0.000
TRAIT.22	0.500	0.158	3.162	0.025	0.094	0.906	0.080
TRAIT.24	0.125	0.137	0.913	0.403	-0.227	0.477	0.010
TRAIT.26	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.000	0.137	0.000	1.000	-0.352	0.352	0.000
TRAIT.28	1.000	0.158	6.325	0.001	0.594	1.406	0.322
TRAIT.29	0.250	0.158	1.581	0.175	-0.156	0.656	0.020
TRAIT.30	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.32	0.500	0.158	3.162	0.025	0.094	0.906	0.080
TRAIT.33	0.375	0.137	2.739	0.041	0.023	0.727	0.086
TRAIT.36	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.39	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.49	1.000	0.158	6.325	0.001	0.594	1.406	0.322
TRAIT.52	0.000	0.158	0.000	1.000	-0.406	0.406	0.000
TRAIT.NA	0.000	0.129	0.000	1.000	-0.332	0.332	0.000

Table 2026: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.167	0.207	0.807	0.437	-0.288	0.621	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.183	0.261	0.702	0.498	-0.392	0.758	0.042
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.167	0.327	-0.510	0.620	-0.886	0.552	0.017
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.167	0.413	-0.403	0.694	-1.076	0.743	0.009
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.333	0.327	1.020	0.329	-0.386	1.052	0.066
Negative Life Events. 4	0.333	0.413	0.807	0.437	-0.576	1.243	0.035
${\bf Negative Life Events. 5}$	-0.167	0.327	-0.510	0.620	-0.886	0.552	0.017
Negative Life Events. 7	-0.167	0.413	-0.403	0.694	-1.076	0.743	0.009
Negative Life Events. NA	0.000	0.292	0.000	1.000	-0.643	0.643	0.000

Table 2027: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.625	0.254	2.461	0.034	0.059	1.191	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.125	0.440	-0.284	0.782	-1.105	0.855	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-0.625	0.440	-1.421	0.186	-1.605	0.355	0.061
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.425	0.300	-1.415	0.188	-1.094	0.244	0.112
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-0.625	0.359	-1.740	0.112	-1.425	0.175	0.116
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.458	0.328	-1.398	0.192	-1.189	0.272	0.089
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-0.125	0.440	-0.284	0.782	-1.105	0.855	0.002
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.625	0.440	-1.421	0.186	-1.605	0.355	0.061
Positive Life Events. 9	-0.625	0.440	-1.421	0.186	-1.605	0.355	0.061
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.458	0.328	-1.398	0.192	-1.189	0.272	0.089

Table 2028: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.625	0.232	2.698	0.022	0.109	1.141	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	-0.625	0.401	-1.558	0.150	-1.519	0.269	0.064
TotalLifeEvents.11	-0.625	0.401	-1.558	0.150	-1.519	0.269	0.064
Total Life Events. 13	-0.625	0.401	-1.558	0.150	-1.519	0.269	0.064
Total Life Events. 15	-0.125	0.401	-0.312	0.762	-1.019	0.769	0.003
TotalLifeEvents.29	-0.625	0.401	-1.558	0.150	-1.519	0.269	0.064
Total Life Events. 6	-0.125	0.328	-0.382	0.711	-0.855	0.605	0.005
Total Life Events. 7	-0.375	0.284	-1.322	0.216	-1.007	0.257	0.078
TotalLifeEvents.8	-0.625	0.284	-2.203	0.052	-1.257	0.007	0.215
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-0.458	0.299	-1.533	0.156	-1.125	0.208	0.092

Table 2029: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.154	0.090	1.704	0.106	-0.036	0.343	0.00
Stranger	0.168	0.153	1.098	0.287	-0.153	0.488	0.06

Table 2030: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.988	0.872	-2.279	0.035	-3.821	-0.155	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.006	0.002	2.930	0.009	0.002	0.011	0.311

Table 2031: $mask_vs_cvrt_yr1$: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=18

0.019

MAGE

-0.018

Estimate Std. Error t value 2.5~%97.5~% $\Pr(>|t|)$ Intercept 1.0960.6031.818 0.086-0.1702.3620.000

-0.914

0.373

-0.058

MaskAver-

0.023

R2

0.042

Table MaskAver-2032: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.250 \\ 0.009$	0.496 0.015	$0.505 \\ 0.628$	0.0_0	-0.792 -0.021	1.292 0.040	0.00

Table MaskAver-2033: mask vs cvrt yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	1.827 -0.079	0.785	2.328 -1.636	0.00=	0.178 -0.181	$3.476 \\ 0.023$	0.000

Table 2034: MaskAvermask vs cvrt yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=18

-	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.764	0.667	1.146	0.267	-0.637	2.166	0.000
PEDUY	-0.013	0.042	-0.319	0.753	-0.100	0.074	0.005

Table MaskAver-2035: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.528	0.167	3.156	0.006	0.175	0.881	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.097	0.264	0.368	0.718	-0.461	0.655	0.008
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.011	0.280	-0.040	0.969	-0.602	0.579	0.000

Table 2036: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.726	0.178	4.073	0.001	0.352	1.101	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.265	0.221	-1.197	0.247	-0.729	0.200	0.07

Table 2037: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	$0.296 \\ 0.191$	$0.323 \\ 0.225$	$0.917 \\ 0.850$	0.0	-0.382 -0.282	$0.973 \\ 0.665$	0.000

Table 2038: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	-1.843	4.058	-0.454	0.000	-10.368	0.00=	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.009	0.015	0.591	0.562	-0.022	0.040	0.018

Table 2039: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.39	1.123	0.347		-1.970		
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.00	0.000	0.147	0.885	-0.001	0.001	0.001

Table 2040: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.542	0.141	3.831	0.001	0.245	0.839	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.031	0.224	0.140	0.890	-0.438	0.501	0.001

Table 2041: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.506	0.124	4.062	0.001	0.244	0.767	0.000
MPSYCH	0.194	0.249	0.781	0.445	-0.328	0.717	0.031

Table 2042: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.519	0.135	3.840	0.001	0.235	0.803	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	0.100	0.229	0.437	0.667	-0.380	0.580	0.01

Table 2043: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.685	0.120	5.681	0.000	0.430	0.939	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.185	0.467	-0.395	0.697	-1.169	0.800	0.007
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.485	0.235	-2.063	0.055	-0.980	0.011	0.184

Table 2044: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.633	0.152	4.156	0.001	0.312	0.955	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.106	0.221	-0.477	0.640	-0.573	0.362	0.011
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.633	0.505	-1.253	0.227	-1.700	0.433	0.079

Table 2045: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA 1yr.NA	0.658 -0.158 -0.658	0.151 0.220 0.501	4.357 -0.721 -1.314	0.000 0.481 0.206	0.340 -0.622 -1.716	0.977 0.305 0.399	0.000 0.025 0.084

Table 2046: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.462	0.144	3.205	0.005	0.159	0.765	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.205	0.215	0.952	0.354	-0.247	0.656	0.045

Table 2047: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FEVER_1yr, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.554	0.129	4.295	0.000	0.282	0.825	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.113	0.251	0.450	0.658	-0.417	0.643	0.010
FEVER_1yr.NA	-0.554	0.499	-1.109	0.283	-1.607	0.500	0.061

Table 2048: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.549	0.146	3.770	0.002	0.242	0.856	0.000
DAYCARE.1	0.001	0.268	0.005	0.996	-0.565	0.568	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	0.035	0.325	0.107	0.916	-0.652	0.721	0.001

Table 2049: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.575	0.153	3.749	0.002	0.251	0.899	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1	0.018	0.223	0.079	0.938	-0.453	0.488	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-0.575	0.509	-1.130	0.274	-1.648	0.498	0.066

Table 2050: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.440	0.178	2.473	0.024	0.065	0.816	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	0.226	0.224	1.009	0.327	-0.247	0.699	0.053
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-0.440	0.504	-0.875	0.394	-1.503	0.622	0.040

Table 2051: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.396	0.237	1.670	0.113	-0.104	0.896	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.238	0.267	0.890	0.386	-0.325	0.800	0.046
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-0.396	0.530	-0.747	0.465	-1.514	0.723	0.032

Table 2052: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.655	0.182	3.597	0.002	0.271	1.039	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.113	0.229	-0.494	0.628	-0.596	0.370	0.013
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-0.655	0.515	-1.272	0.221	-1.741	0.432	0.084

Table 2053: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.292	0.276	4.680	0.001	0.690	1.893	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-0.292	0.478	-0.610	0.553	-1.333	0.750	0.006
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-1.042	0.338	-3.081	0.010	-1.778	-0.305	0.252
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-0.542	0.478	-1.133	0.279	-1.583	0.500	0.020
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.542	0.327	-1.659	0.123	-1.253	0.170	0.080
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-1.292	0.478	-2.702	0.019	-2.333	-0.250	0.115

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.942	0.327	-2.883	0.014	-1.653	-0.230	0.242
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.042	0.478	-2.179	0.050	-2.083	0.000	0.075

Table 2054: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.500	0.136	3.676	0.002	0.213	0.787	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.271	0.280	0.966	0.348	-0.321	0.862	0.049
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.000	0.314	0.000	1.000	-0.663	0.663	0.000

Table 2055: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.617	0.225	2.745	0.014	0.143	1.091	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.071	0.271	-0.263	0.796	-0.643	0.501	0.005
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.117	0.337	-0.346	0.733	-0.828	0.594	0.009

	D-4:4-	C+1 E	£ 1	D(> 4)	0.5.07	07 5 07	
	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.598	0.418	0.688	-1.163	1.663	0.000
STATE.22	0.361	0.690	0.523	0.617	-1.271	1.993	0.033
STATE.23	-0.250	0.732	-0.341	0.743	-1.981	1.481	0.011
STATE.24	0.375	0.732	0.512	0.624	-1.356	2.106	0.025
STATE.26	0.625	0.732	0.854	0.422	-1.106	2.356	0.069
STATE.29	0.750	0.845	0.887	0.404	-1.249	2.749	0.053
STATE.35	1.000	0.845	1.183	0.275	-0.999	2.999	0.093
STATE.38	0.250	0.845	0.296	0.776	-1.749	2.249	0.006
STATE.39	0.000	0.845	0.000	1.000	-1.999	1.999	0.000
STATE.40	0.250	0.732	0.341	0.743	-1.481	1.981	0.011
STATE.41	0.500	0.845	0.591	0.573	-1.499	2.499	0.023
STATE.73	0.250	0.845	0.296	0.776	-1.749	2.249	0.006
STATE.NA	0.125	0.732	0.171	0.869	-1.606	1.856	0.003

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.500	0.499	1.003	0.362	-0.782	1.782	0.000
TRAIT.22	1.000	0.705	1.418	0.215	-0.813	2.813	0.124
TRAIT.24	-0.375	0.611	-0.614	0.566	-1.945	1.195	0.033
TRAIT.26	0.000	0.705	0.000	1.000	-1.813	1.813	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.167	0.611	0.273	0.796	-1.403	1.736	0.007

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	-0.500	0.705	-0.709	0.510	-2.313	1.313	0.031
TRAIT.29	0.250	0.705	0.355	0.737	-1.563	2.063	0.008
TRAIT.30	-0.250	0.705	-0.355	0.737	-2.063	1.563	0.008
TRAIT.32	0.750	0.705	1.064	0.336	-1.063	2.563	0.070
TRAIT.33	0.125	0.611	0.205	0.846	-1.445	1.695	0.004
TRAIT.36	-0.250	0.705	-0.355	0.737	-2.063	1.563	0.008
TRAIT.39	-0.500	0.705	-0.709	0.510	-2.313	1.313	0.031
TRAIT.49	0.500	0.705	0.709	0.510	-1.313	2.313	0.031
TRAIT.52	0.500	0.705	0.709	0.510	-1.313	2.313	0.031
TRAIT.NA	-0.083	0.576	-0.145	0.891	-1.563	1.397	0.002

Table 2058: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.667	0.266	2.504	0.029	0.081	1.253	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.150	0.337	0.445	0.665	-0.591	0.891	0.014
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.167	0.421	-0.396	0.700	-1.093	0.760	0.008
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.167	0.532	-0.313	0.760	-1.339	1.005	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-0.667	0.421	-1.584	0.142	-1.593	0.260	0.132
NegativeLifeEvents.4	0.583	0.532	1.096	0.297	-0.589	1.755	0.053
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-0.417	0.421	-0.990	0.344	-1.343	0.510	0.051
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.667	0.532	-1.252	0.237	-1.839	0.505	0.069
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	-0.083	0.376	-0.221	0.829	-0.912	0.745	0.003

Table 2059: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.875	0.298	2.933	0.015	0.210	1.540	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	0.375	0.517	0.726	0.485	-0.776	1.526	0.018
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-0.375	0.517	-0.726	0.485	-1.526	0.776	0.018
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-0.675	0.353	-1.912	0.085	-1.462	0.112	0.236
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-0.375	0.422	-0.889	0.395	-1.315	0.565	0.035
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.264	0.385	-0.685	0.509	-1.122	0.594	0.025
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.625	0.517	1.209	0.254	-0.526	1.776	0.051
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-0.375	0.517	-0.726	0.485	-1.526	0.776	0.018
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-0.875	0.517	-1.693	0.121	-2.026	0.276	0.100
Positive Life Events. NA	-0.292	0.385	-0.757	0.466	-1.150	0.567	0.030

Table 2060: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.875	0.213	4.111	0.002	0.401	1.349	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-0.875	0.369	-2.373	0.039	-1.697	-0.053	0.111
Total Life Events. 11	-0.875	0.369	-2.373	0.039	-1.697	-0.053	0.111

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-0.375	0.369	-1.017	0.333	-1.197	0.447	0.020
Total Life Events. 15	0.375	0.369	1.017	0.333	-0.447	1.197	0.020
Total Life Events. 29	-0.375	0.369	-1.017	0.333	-1.197	0.447	0.020
Total Life Events. 6	-0.875	0.301	-2.907	0.016	-1.546	-0.204	0.210
Total Life Events. 7	0.208	0.261	0.799	0.443	-0.373	0.789	0.021
Total Life Events. 8	-0.625	0.261	-2.397	0.037	-1.206	-0.044	0.191
Total Life Events. NA	-0.292	0.275	-1.061	0.313	-0.904	0.321	0.033

Table 2061: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.410	0.123	3.329	0.004	0.151	0.669	0.00
Stranger	0.411	0.208	1.974	0.064	-0.026	0.849	0.17

Table 2062: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	74.245	30.300	2.450	0.025	10.586	137.904	0.000
${\bf Age At 1 yr Visit}$	-0.127	0.077	-1.661	0.114	-0.288	0.034	0.127

Table 2063: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	11.896	18.696	0.636	0.533	-27.382	51.174	0.000
MAGE	0.402	0.601	0.669	0.512	-0.861	1.665	0.023

Table 2064: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	36.334	15.105	2.405	0.027	4.600	68.069	0.000
PAGE	-0.365	0.443	-0.823	0.421	-1.296	0.566	0.034

Table 2065: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-4.273	24.917	-0.171	0.866	-56.621	48.075	0.000
MEDUY	1.774	1.539	1.153	0.264	-1.460	5.008	0.065

Table 2066: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	17.611	20.473	0.860	0.401	-25.402	60.623	0.000
PEDUY	0.416	1.274	0.326	0.748	-2.261	3.093	0.006

Table 2067: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Income.code.LOW	22.556 -1.556	4.955 7.834	4.552 -0.199	$0.000 \\ 0.845$	12.102 -18.084	33.009 14.973	0.000 0.002
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	8.444	8.291	1.019	0.323	-9.048	25.937	0.060

Table 2068: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.000	5.685	4.221	0.001	12.055	35.945	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.308	7.052	0.044	0.966	-14.508	15.123	0

Table 2069: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	30.846	9.960	3.097	0.006	9.922	51.771	0.000
SEX	-4.923	6.956	-0.708	0.488	-19.537	9.691	0.026

Table 2070: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	177.192	120.471	1.471	0.159	-75.908	430.291	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.555	0.437	-1.270	0.220	-1.472	0.363	0.078

Table 2071: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	37.689	34.354	1.097	0.287	-34.485	109.863	0.000
$_{ m BW}$	-0.004	0.010	-0.395	0.698	-0.025	0.017	0.008

Table 2072: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	23.917	4.341	5.509	0.000	14.796	33.037	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.708	6.864	0.103	0.919	-13.713	15.129	0.001

Table 2073: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	23.867 1.333	3.881 7.762	$6.150 \\ 0.172$	0.000 0.866	15.713 -14.974	32.02 17.64	0.000

Table 2074: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.077	4.012	6.750	0.000	18.649	35.505	$0.000 \\ 0.072$
VITAMINDNEO	-8.220	6.781	-1.212	0.241	-22.466	6.026	

Table 2075: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	23.143	4.064	5.695	0.000	14.568	31.717	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-5.143	15.740	-0.327	0.748	-38.351	28.066	0.006
PrePregBMI.Overweight	5.257	7.922	0.664	0.516	-11.457	21.972	0.023

Table 2076: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	23.400	4.520	5.177	0.000	13.864	32.936	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.956	6.567	-0.146	0.886	-14.810	12.899	0.001
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	24.600	14.990	1.641	0.119	-7.026	56.226	0.129

Table 2077: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	22.300	4.517	4.937	0.000		31.829	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	1.367	6.563	0.208	0.838	-12.479	15.213	0.002
FORMULA_1yr.NA	25.700	14.980	1.716	0.104	-5.905	57.305	0.139

Table 2078: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FORMULA 6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	26.818	4.441	6.038	0.000	17.487	36.149	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-5.818	6.621	-0.879	0.391	-19.728	8.091	0.039

Table 2079: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr.1 FEVER 1yr.NA	$ 22.929 \\ 0.071 \\ 25.071 $	3.822 7.451 14.803	5.999 0.010 1.694	0.000 0.992 0.109	14.865 -15.648 -6.161	30.993 15.791 56.303	0.000 0.000 0.133

Table 2080: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	22.083 6.117 3.917	4.389 8.093 9.814	5.032 0.756 0.399	0.200	12.823 -10.958 -16.789	31.343 23.191 24.623	0.000 0.031 0.009

Table 2081: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1	26.300 -7.078	$4.365 \\ 6.343$	6.025 -1.116	$0.000 \\ 0.280$	17.090 -20.460	6.304	$0.000 \\ 0.057$
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	21.700	14.478	1.499	0.152	-8.847	52.247	0.104

Table 2082: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	31.571	4.721	6.687	0.000	21.610	41.533	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	-13.655	5.941	-2.298	0.034	-26.189	-1.121	0.217
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	16.429	13.354	1.230	0.235	-11.746	44.603	0.062

Table 2083: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	25.500	7.117	3.583	0.002	10.485	40.515	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks 1vr.1	-3.233	8.009	-0.404	0.691	-20.132	13.665	0.009

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	22.500	15.913	1.414	0.175	-11.074	56.074	0.110

Table 2084: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	21.714	5.392	4.027	0.001	10.338	33.091	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	1.952	6.785	0.288	0.777	-12.363	16.268	0.004
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	26.286	15.252	1.723	0.103	-5.892	58.464	0.145

Table 2085: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	17.00	10.194	1.668	0.121	-5.210	39.210	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-4.00	17.656	-0.227	0.825	-42.470	34.470	0.002
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-2.25	12.485	-0.180	0.860	-29.452	24.952	0.003
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-1.00	17.656	-0.057	0.956	-39.470	37.470	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	8.00	12.062	0.663	0.520	-18.280	34.280	0.039
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	31.00	17.656	1.756	0.105	-7.470	69.470	0.148
WHSTOTHER.6 months	13.40	12.062	1.111	0.288	-12.880	39.680	0.109
WHSTOTHER.7 months	20.00	17.656	1.133	0.279	-18.470	58.470	0.061

Table 2086: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.231	3.899	6.985	0.00	19.005	35.456	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	-14.981	8.037	-1.864	0.08	-31.938	1.976	0.161
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.231	9.004	-0.026	0.98	-19.227	18.765	0.000

Table 2087: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.600	6.858	3.587	0.002	10.130	39.070	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-1.873	8.271	-0.226	0.824	-19.324	15.579	0.004
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	3.150	10.288	0.306	0.763	-18.555	24.855	0.007

Table 2088: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	20.000	19.725	1.014	0.344	-26.643	66.643	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	12.333	22.777	0.541	0.605	-41.526	66.192	0.043
STATE.23	6.000	24.159	0.248	0.811	-51.126	63.126	0.007
STATE.24	6.500	24.159	0.269	0.796	-50.626	63.626	0.009
STATE.26	1.000	24.159	0.041	0.968	-56.126	58.126	0.000
STATE.29	-16.000	27.896	-0.574	0.584	-81.964	49.964	0.027
STATE.35	-2.000	27.896	-0.072	0.945	-67.964	63.964	0.000
STATE.38	-2.000	27.896	-0.072	0.945	-67.964	63.964	0.000
STATE.39	17.000	27.896	0.609	0.562	-48.964	82.964	0.031
STATE.40	10.500	24.159	0.435	0.677	-46.626	67.626	0.022
STATE.41	-14.000	27.896	-0.502	0.631	-79.964	51.964	0.021
STATE.73	10.000	27.896	0.358	0.731	-55.964	75.964	0.011
STATE.NA	3.000	24.159	0.124	0.905	-54.126	60.126	0.002

Table 2089: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	33.000	14.701	2.245	0.075	-4.791	70.791	0.000
TRAIT.22	-25.000	20.791	-1.202	0.283	-78.445	28.445	0.071
TRAIT.24	1.000	18.006	0.056	0.958	-45.285	47.285	0.000
TRAIT.26	4.000	20.791	0.192	0.855	-49.445	57.445	0.002
TRAIT.27	-1.000	18.006	-0.056	0.958	-47.285	45.285	0.000
TRAIT.28	-29.000	20.791	-1.395	0.222	-82.445	24.445	0.096
TRAIT.29	-17.000	20.791	-0.818	0.451	-70.445	36.445	0.033
TRAIT.30	4.000	20.791	0.192	0.855	-49.445	57.445	0.002
TRAIT.32	-15.000	20.791	-0.721	0.503	-68.445	38.445	0.026
TRAIT.33	-21.000	18.006	-1.166	0.296	-67.285	25.285	0.095
TRAIT.36	1.000	20.791	0.048	0.964	-52.445	54.445	0.000
TRAIT.39	15.000	20.791	0.721	0.503	-38.445	68.445	0.026
TRAIT.49	-29.000	20.791	-1.395	0.222	-82.445	24.445	0.096
TRAIT.52	-20.000	20.791	-0.962	0.380	-73.445	33.445	0.045
TRAIT.NA	-7.667	16.976	-0.452	0.670	-51.304	35.971	0.018

Table 2090: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	31.000	9.495	3.265	0.008	10.103	51.897	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events. 1}$	-13.600	12.010	-1.132	0.282	-40.033	12.833	0.102
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.500	15.012	-0.033	0.974	-33.542	32.542	0.000
Negative Life Events. 26	-1.000	18.989	-0.053	0.959	-42.795	40.795	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-5.000	15.012	-0.333	0.745	-38.042	28.042	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.4	-13.000	18.989	-0.685	0.508	-54.795	28.795	0.024
NegativeLifeEvents.5	4.500	15.012	0.300	0.770	-28.542	37.542	0.005
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-2.000	18.989	-0.105	0.918	-43.795	39.795	0.001
Negative Life Events. NA	-16.667	13.427	-1.241	0.240	-46.220	12.887	0.104

Table 2091: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.000	10.312	0.970	0.355	-12.976	32.976	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	8.000	17.860	0.448	0.664	-31.796	47.796	0.007
PositiveLifeEvents.12	23.000	17.860	1.288	0.227	-16.796	62.796	0.054
PositiveLifeEvents.3	16.800	12.201	1.377	0.199	-10.386	43.986	0.114
PositiveLifeEvents.5	20.500	14.583	1.406	0.190	-11.993	52.993	0.081
PositiveLifeEvents.6	17.333	13.312	1.302	0.222	-12.328	46.995	0.082
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-2.000	17.860	-0.112	0.913	-41.796	37.796	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.8	27.000	17.860	1.512	0.162	-12.796	66.796	0.074
Positive Life Events. 9	38.000	17.860	2.128	0.059	-1.796	77.796	0.147
Positive Life Events. NA	4.333	13.312	0.326	0.752	-25.328	33.995	0.005

Table 2092: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.000	8.122	1.231	0.246	-8.097	28.097	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.10	19.000	14.068	1.351	0.207	-12.346	50.346	0.041
TotalLifeEvents.11	38.000	14.068	2.701	0.022	6.654	69.346	0.163
Total Life Events. 13	23.000	14.068	1.635	0.133	-8.346	54.346	0.060
Total Life Events. 15	8.000	14.068	0.569	0.582	-23.346	39.346	0.007
TotalLifeEvents.29	20.000	14.068	1.422	0.186	-11.346	51.346	0.045
Total Life Events. 6	16.000	11.487	1.393	0.194	-9.594	41.594	0.055
Total Life Events. 7	3.750	9.948	0.377	0.714	-18.415	25.915	0.005
TotalLifeEvents.8	29.000	9.948	2.915	0.015	6.835	51.165	0.320
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	4.333	10.486	0.413	0.688	-19.030	27.697	0.006

Table 2093: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	26.231	4.093	6.409	0.000	17.632	34.830	0.000
Stranger	-5.802	6.918	-0.839	0.413	-20.337	8.733	0.036

Table 2094: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-4.331	8.307	-0.521	0.608	-21.783	13.121	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.029	0.021	1.365	0.189	-0.015	0.073	0.089

Table 2095: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.327	5.011	2.061	0.054	-0.201	20.854	0.000
MAGE	-0.110	0.161	-0.685	0.502	-0.449	0.228	0.024

Table 2096: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$3.976 \\ 0.089$	4.063 0.119	$0.979 \\ 0.750$		-4.561 -0.161	12.513 0.340	0.000 0.029

Table 2097: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	14.519	6.686	2.171			28.567	
MEDUY	-0.472	0.413	-1.142	0.269	-1.339	0.396	0.064

Table 2098: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.026	5.484	1.646	0.117	-2.496	20.548	0.000
PEDUY	-0.131	0.341	-0.384	0.706	-0.848	0.586	0.008

Table 2099: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.556	1.335	5.661	0.000	4.740	10.371	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.056	2.110	-0.026	0.979	-4.508	4.397	0.000
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-2.356	2.233	-1.055	0.306	-7.067	2.356	0.064

Table 2100: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.000	1.525	4.591	0.000	3.797	10.203	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.077	1.891	-0.041	0.968	-4.050	3.896	0

Table 2101: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.659	2.689	2.105	0.050	0.011	11.308	0.000
SEX	0.956	1.878	0.509	0.617	-2.989	4.901	0.013

Table 2102: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	204. 2110.	i varue	$\Pr(> t)$	2.9 /0	97.5 %	R2
Intercept -29.2 GESTAGEBIRTH 0.1			0.000	-97.762 -0.117	00.0	0.000

Table 2103: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-0.219 0.002	9.096 0.003	-0.024 0.792	0.00-	-19.328 -0.004	18.890 0.008	0.000

Table 2104: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.000	1.164	6.012	0.000	4.554	9.446	0
MaternalInfection	-0.125	1.841	-0.068	0.947	-3.993	3.743	0

Table 2105: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.2	1.035	6.957	0.000	5.026	9.374	0.000
MPSYCH	-1.0	2.070	-0.483	0.635	-5.349	3.349	0.012

Table 2106: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.462	1.102	5.864	0.000	4.147	8.776	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	1.396	1.862	0.749	0.463	-2.517	5.308	0.029

Table 2107: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.143	1.078	6.627	0.000	4.869	9.417	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	2.857	4.175	0.684	0.503	-5.951	11.665	0.024
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-1.343	2.101	-0.639	0.531	-5.776	3.090	0.021

Table 2108: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	7.000 0.667	1.188 1.726	5.891 0.386	$0.000 \\ 0.704$	4.493 -2.976	9.507 4.309	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-7.000	3.941	-1.776	0.094	-15.314	1.314	0.147

Table 2109: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1	7.200 0.244	1.193 1.733	6.037 0.141	0.000 0.889	4.684 -3.412	9.716 3.901	0.001
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-7.200	3.956	-1.820	0.086	-15.546	1.146	0.154

Table 2110: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA 6mo	6.091 1.909	1.178 1.757	5.169 1.087		3.615 -1.781		

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.429	1.007	7.376	0.000	5.304	9.554	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.429	1.963	-0.218	0.830	-4.571	3.714	0.002
${\rm FEVER_1yr.NA}$	-7.429	3.901	-1.904	0.074	-15.658	0.801	0.162

Table 2112: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.500	1.178	6.369	0.000	5.016	9.984	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-1.100	2.171	-0.507	0.619	-5.681	3.481	0.014

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-1.833	2.633	-0.696	0.496	-7.389	3.722	0.026

Table 2113: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.7	1.173	5.710	0.000	4.224	9.176	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr.1$	1.3	1.705	0.762	0.456	-2.297	4.897	0.027
$CURBRFEED_1yr.NA$	-6.7	3.892	-1.721	0.103	-14.911	1.511	0.136

Table 2114: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.000	1.239	4.036	0.001	2.386	7.614	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	3.667	1.559	2.352	0.031	0.377	6.956	0.221
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-5.000	3.504	-1.427	0.172	-12.393	2.393	0.081

Table 2115: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.750	1.881	3.589	0.002	2.782	10.718	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1	0.717	2.117	0.339	0.739	-3.749	5.182	0.006
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-6.750	4.205	-1.605	0.127	-15.622	2.122	0.138

Table 2116: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.286	1.426	5.108	0.000	4.276	10.295	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	0.048	1.795	0.027	0.979	-3.739	3.834	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-7.286	4.034	-1.806	0.089	-15.797	1.226	0.157

Table 2117: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.0	2.686	3.350	0.006	3.147	14.853	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.0	4.653	-0.215	0.833	-11.138	9.138	0.002
WHSTOTHER.4 months	1.0	3.290	0.304	0.766	-6.169	8.169	0.007
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-2.0	4.653	-0.430	0.675	-12.138	8.138	0.008
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-2.0	3.179	-0.629	0.541	-8.926	4.926	0.033
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-9.0	4.653	-1.934	0.077	-19.138	1.138	0.170

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-3.4	3.179	-1.070	0.306	-10.326	3.526	0.096
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-6.0	4.653	-1.290	0.222	-16.138	4.138	0.076

Table 2118: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.231	1.103	5.648	0.000	3.903	8.558	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	2.769	2.274	1.218	0.240	-2.029	7.568	0.075
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	1.103	2.548	0.433	0.671	-4.273	6.478	0.009

Table 2119: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.800	1.855	3.666	0.002	2.886	10.714	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	0.291	2.237	0.130	0.898	-4.429	5.011	0.001
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.050	2.782	-0.018	0.986	-5.920	5.820	0.000

Table 2120: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.000	4.798	1.876	0.103	-2.346	20.346	0.000
STATE.22	-4.333	5.541	-0.782	0.103 0.460	-2.340 -17.435	8.768	0.000
STATE.23	-3.000	5.877	-0.510	0.625	-16.896	10.896	0.026
STATE.24	-3.000	5.877	-0.510	0.625	-16.896	10.896	0.026
STATE.26	-0.500	5.877	-0.085	0.935	-14.396	13.396	0.001
STATE.29	3.000	6.786	0.442	0.672	-13.046	19.046	0.014
STATE.35	0.000	6.786	0.000	1.000	-16.046	16.046	0.000
STATE.38	1.000	6.786	0.147	0.887	-15.046	17.046	0.002
STATE.39	-6.000	6.786	-0.884	0.406	-22.046	10.046	0.054
STATE.40	-5.000	5.877	-0.851	0.423	-18.896	8.896	0.071
STATE.41	3.000	6.786	0.442	0.672	-13.046	19.046	0.014
STATE.73	-4.000	6.786	-0.589	0.574	-20.046	12.046	0.024
STATE.NA	-1.000	5.877	-0.170	0.870	-14.896	12.896	0.003

Table 2121: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.0	4.266	1.172	0.294	-5.966	15.966	0.000
TRAIT.22	6.0	6.033	0.994	0.366	-9.509	21.509	0.057
TRAIT.24	-0.5	5.225	-0.096	0.927	-13.931	12.931	0.001
TRAIT.26	0.0	6.033	0.000	1.000	-15.509	15.509	0.000
TRAIT.27	-0.5	5.225	-0.096	0.927	-13.931	12.931	0.001

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
TRAIT.28	7.0	6.033	1.160	0.298	-8.509	22.509	0.077
TRAIT.29	2.0	6.033	0.331	0.754	-13.509	17.509	0.006
TRAIT.30	-2.0	6.033	-0.331	0.754	-17.509	13.509	0.006
TRAIT.32	4.0	6.033	0.663	0.537	-11.509	19.509	0.025
TRAIT.33	6.0	5.225	1.148	0.303	-7.431	19.431	0.107
TRAIT.36	1.0	6.033	0.166	0.875	-14.509	16.509	0.002
TRAIT.39	-5.0	6.033	-0.829	0.445	-20.509	10.509	0.039
TRAIT.49	7.0	6.033	1.160	0.298	-8.509	22.509	0.077
TRAIT.52	3.0	6.033	0.497	0.640	-12.509	18.509	0.014
TRAIT.NA	2.0	4.926	0.406	0.702	-10.663	14.663	0.017

Table 2122: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.333	2.489	2.143	0.055	-0.144	10.811	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	3.267	3.148	1.038	0.322	-3.662	10.195	0.085
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-1.333	3.935	-0.339	0.741	-9.994	7.327	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-0.333	4.977	-0.067	0.948	-11.288	10.621	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.3	0.667	3.935	0.169	0.869	-7.994	9.327	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.4	3.667	4.977	0.737	0.477	-7.288	14.621	0.027
Negative Life Events. 5	-0.833	3.935	-0.212	0.836	-9.494	7.827	0.003
Negative Life Events.7	1.667	4.977	0.335	0.744	-9.288	12.621	0.006
Negative Life Events. NA	4.667	3.519	1.326	0.212	-3.079	12.413	0.118

Table 2123: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.500	2.796	3.398	0.007	3.270	15.730	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.500	4.843	-0.103	0.920	-11.291	10.291	0.000
${\bf Positive Life Events. 12}$	-4.500	4.843	-0.929	0.375	-15.291	6.291	0.036
${\bf Positive Life Events. 3}$	-2.900	3.308	-0.877	0.401	-10.272	4.472	0.059
${\bf Positive Life Events.5}$	-5.500	3.954	-1.391	0.194	-14.311	3.311	0.102
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-3.167	3.610	-0.877	0.401	-11.210	4.876	0.048
PositiveLifeEvents.7	1.500	4.843	0.310	0.763	-9.291	12.291	0.004
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-4.500	4.843	-0.929	0.375	-15.291	6.291	0.036
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-9.500	4.843	-1.962	0.078	-20.291	1.291	0.160
PositiveLifeEvents.NA	0.500	3.610	0.139	0.893	-7.543	8.543	0.001

Table 2124: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.5	2.414	3.936	0.003	4.122	14.878	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-2.5	4.180	-0.598	0.563	-11.814	6.814	0.012
Total Life Events. 11	-9.5	4.180	-2.273	0.046	-18.814	-0.186	0.175

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-4.5	4.180	-1.076	0.307	-13.814	4.814	0.039
TotalLifeEvents.15	-0.5	4.180	-0.120	0.907	-9.814	8.814	0.000
TotalLifeEvents.29	-4.5	4.180	-1.076	0.307	-13.814	4.814	0.039
TotalLifeEvents.6	-3.5	3.413	-1.025	0.329	-11.105	4.105	0.045
TotalLifeEvents.7	0.0	2.956	0.000	1.000	-6.586	6.586	0.000
Total Life Events. 8	-6.0	2.956	-2.030	0.070	-12.586	0.586	0.235
Total Life Events. NA	0.5	3.116	0.160	0.876	-6.442	7.442	0.001

Table 2125: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.462	1.102	5.864	0.000	4.147	8.776	0.000
Stranger	1.396	1.862	0.749	0.463	-2.517	5.308	0.029

Table 2126: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-8.702	7.696	-1.131	0.273	-24.870	7.465	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.036	0.019	1.842	0.082	-0.005	0.077	0.152

Table 2127: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.494	4.854		00		17.693	0.00
MAGE	-0.068	0.156	-0.438	0.666	-0.396	0.260	0.0

Table 2128: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.775	3.870	0.459	0.652	-6.355	9.904	0.000
PAGE	0.109	0.114	0.960	0.350	-0.130	0.348	0.046

Table 2129: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	11.246	6.511	1.727	0.101	-2.432	24.925	0.000
MEDUY	-0.364	0.402	-0.906	0.377	-1.209	0.481	0.041

Table 2130: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.78	5.264	1.478	0.157	-3.279	18.838	0.000
PEDUY	-0.15	0.328	-0.458	0.652	-0.838	0.538	0.011

Table 2131: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.333	1.270	4.988	0.000	3.654	9.012	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.833	2.008	-0.415	0.683	-5.069	3.403	0.010
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-2.733	2.125	-1.286	0.216	-7.216	1.750	0.091

Table 2132: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.000	1.461	3.422	0.003	1.930	8.070	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.615	1.813	0.340	0.738	-3.193	4.423	0.006

Table 2133: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.451	2.593	1.717	0.103	-0.996	9.898	0.000
SEX	0.703	1.811	0.388	0.702	-3.101	4.508	0.008

Table 2134: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-40.837	30.538	-1.337	0.198	-104.994	23.32	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.168	0.111	1.515	0.147	-0.065	0.40	0.108

Table 2135: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	-2.339 0.002	8.705 0.003	-0.269 0.893		-20.628 -0.003	$15.950 \\ 0.008$	

Table 2136: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.333	1.119	4.764	0.000	2.982	7.685	0
MaternalInfection	0.167	1.770	0.094	0.926	-3.552	3.885	0

Table 2137: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	5.667 -1.067	0.994 1.987	5.703 -0.537	$0.000 \\ 0.598$	3.579 -5.241	7.754 3.108	0.000 0.015

Table 2138: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.769	1.046	4.560	0.000	2.572	6.967	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	1.802	1.768	1.019	0.322	-1.912	5.517	0.052

Table 2139: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.643	1.050	5.374	0.000	3.427	7.858	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.357	4.067	0.334	0.743	-7.223	9.937	0.006
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-1.243	2.047	-0.607	0.552	-5.561	3.076	0.019

Table 2140: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.700	1.188	4.796	0.000	3.193	8.207	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	-0.033	1.727	-0.019	0.985	-3.676	3.610	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-5.700	3.942	-1.446	0.166	-14.016	2.616	0.103

Table 2141: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.600	1.188	4.714	0.000	3.093	8.107	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.178	1.726	0.103	0.919	-3.464	3.820	0.001
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-5.600	3.940	-1.421	0.173	-13.913	2.713	0.100

Table 2142: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.909	1.157	4.244	0.000	2.479	7.339	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	1.091	1.724	0.633	0.535	-2.532	4.714	0.021

Table 2143: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.714	1.004	5.690	0.000	3.595	7.833	0.000
FEVER_1yr.1	-0.114	1.958	-0.058	0.954	-4.245	4.016	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-5.714	3.890	-1.469	0.160	-13.921	2.492	0.104

Table 2144: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	5.917 -1.117 -1.583	1.134 2.091 2.536	5.217 -0.534 -0.624	0.000 0.600 0.541	0.0_0	8.309 3.295 3.767	0.000 0.015 0.021

Table 2145: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED 1yr.1	$4.900 \\ 1.656$	1.156 1.679	4.239 0.986	$0.001 \\ 0.338$	2.461 -1.888	7.339 5.199	$0.000 \\ 0.047$
CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	-4.900	3.834	-1.278	0.338		3.188	0.047 0.079

Table 2146: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.571	1.266	2.822	0.012	0.901	6.242	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	3.345	1.593	2.100	0.051	-0.015	6.705	0.193
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	-3.571	3.580	-0.998	0.332	-11.124	3.981	0.043

Table 2147: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.0	1.877	3.196	0.005	2.040	9.960	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.4	2.113	-0.189	0.852	-4.857	4.057	0.002

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-6.0	4.197	-1.429	0.171	-14.856	2.856	0.113

Table 2148: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.0	1.417	4.234	0.001	3.010	8.990	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.5	1.783	-0.280	0.783	-4.262	3.262	0.004
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-6.0	4.008	-1.497	0.153	-14.457	2.457	0.113

Table 2149: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.50	2.846	2.635	0.022	1.298	13.702	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.50	4.930	-0.304	0.766	-12.242	9.242	0.005
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.25	3.486	0.072	0.944	-7.346	7.846	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-1.50	4.930	-0.304	0.766	-12.242	9.242	0.005
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-2.50	3.368	-0.742	0.472	-9.838	4.838	0.052
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-7.50	4.930	-1.521	0.154	-18.242	3.242	0.119
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-2.90	3.368	-0.861	0.406	-10.238	4.438	0.070
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-5.50	4.930	-1.116	0.286	-16.242	5.242	0.064

Table 2150: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.615	1.033	4.469	0.000	2.436	6.794	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	3.385	2.129	1.590	0.130	-1.108	7.877	0.122
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.718	2.385	0.301	0.767	-4.314	5.750	0.004

Table 2151: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.800	1.777	3.265	0.005	2.052	9.548	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.345	2.143	-0.161	0.874	-4.866	4.175	0.002
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-1.050	2.665	-0.394	0.698	-6.672	4.572	0.012

Table 2152: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.000	4.768	1.258	0.249	-5.276	17.276	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-2.667	5.506	-0.484	0.643	-15.687	10.353	0.034
STATE.23	0.000	5.840	0.000	1.000	-13.810	13.810	0.000
STATE.24	-2.000	5.840	-0.342	0.742	-15.810	11.810	0.013
STATE.26	1.500	5.840	0.257	0.805	-12.310	15.310	0.007
STATE.29	6.000	6.744	0.890	0.403	-9.946	21.946	0.063
STATE.35	1.000	6.744	0.148	0.886	-14.946	16.946	0.002
STATE.38	1.000	6.744	0.148	0.886	-14.946	16.946	0.002
STATE.39	-4.000	6.744	-0.593	0.572	-19.946	11.946	0.028
STATE.40	-3.000	5.840	-0.514	0.623	-16.810	10.810	0.030
STATE.41	2.000	6.744	0.297	0.775	-13.946	17.946	0.007
STATE.73	-3.000	6.744	-0.445	0.670	-18.946	12.946	0.016
STATE.NA	0.000	5.840	0.000	1.000	-13.810	13.810	0.000

Table 2153: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
	Estillate	Std. Ellor	t varue	11(/[6])	2.9 /0	91.0 70	11,2
Intercept	2.0	3.421	0.585	0.584	-6.793	10.793	0.000
TRAIT.22	9.0	4.837	1.861	0.122	-3.435	21.435	0.121
TRAIT.24	1.0	4.189	0.239	0.821	-9.769	11.769	0.003
TRAIT.26	0.0	4.837	0.000	1.000	-12.435	12.435	0.000
TRAIT.27	2.0	4.189	0.477	0.653	-8.769	12.769	0.011
TRAIT.28	10.0	4.837	2.067	0.094	-2.435	22.435	0.149
TRAIT.29	4.0	4.837	0.827	0.446	-8.435	16.435	0.024
TRAIT.30	0.0	4.837	0.000	1.000	-12.435	12.435	0.000
TRAIT.32	5.0	4.837	1.034	0.349	-7.435	17.435	0.037
TRAIT.33	5.5	4.189	1.313	0.246	-5.269	16.269	0.085
TRAIT.36	2.0	4.837	0.413	0.696	-10.435	14.435	0.006
TRAIT.39	-2.0	4.837	-0.413	0.696	-14.435	10.435	0.006
TRAIT.49	10.0	4.837	2.067	0.094	-2.435	22.435	0.149
TRAIT.52	4.0	4.837	0.827	0.446	-8.435	16.435	0.024
TRAIT.NA	3.0	3.950	0.760	0.482	-7.153	13.153	0.036

Table 2154: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.333	2.551	1.699	0.117	-1.280	9.947	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	2.667	3.226	0.827	0.426	-4.434	9.767	0.061
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-1.333	4.033	-0.331	0.747	-10.209	7.543	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-1.333	5.101	-0.261	0.799	-12.561	9.894	0.004
NegativeLifeEvents.3	1.667	4.033	0.413	0.687	-7.209	10.543	0.011
NegativeLifeEvents.4	2.667	5.101	0.523	0.611	-8.561	13.894	0.015
${\bf Negative Life Events. 5}$	-1.333	4.033	-0.331	0.747	-10.209	7.543	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.7	0.667	5.101	0.131	0.898	-10.561	11.894	0.001
${\bf Negative Life Events. NA}$	2.667	3.607	0.739	0.475	-5.272	10.606	0.042

Table 2155: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.0	2.634	3.416	0.007	3.130	14.870	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-2.0	4.563	-0.438	0.670	-12.167	8.167	0.006
Positive Life Events. 12	-7.0	4.563	-1.534	0.156	-17.167	3.167	0.076
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-3.8	3.117	-1.219	0.251	-10.745	3.145	0.089
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-6.0	3.726	-1.610	0.138	-14.301	2.301	0.106
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-4.0	3.401	-1.176	0.267	-11.578	3.578	0.067
PositiveLifeEvents.7	2.0	4.563	0.438	0.670	-8.167	12.167	0.006
${\bf Positive Life Events. 8}$	-7.0	4.563	-1.534	0.156	-17.167	3.167	0.076
${\bf Positive Life Events. 9}$	-9.0	4.563	-1.972	0.077	-19.167	1.167	0.126
Positive Life Events. NA	-2.0	3.401	-0.588	0.570	-9.578	5.578	0.017

Table 2156: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9	2.387	3.770	0.004	3.680	14.320	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-4	4.135	-0.967	0.356	-13.214	5.214	0.026
Total Life Events. 11	-9	4.135	-2.176	0.055	-18.214	0.214	0.133
Total Life Events. 13	-7	4.135	-1.693	0.121	-16.214	2.214	0.080
Total Life Events. 15	-2	4.135	-0.484	0.639	-11.214	7.214	0.007
Total Life Events. 29	-6	4.135	-1.451	0.177	-15.214	3.214	0.059
Total Life Events. 6	-3	3.376	-0.889	0.395	-10.523	4.523	0.028
Total Life Events. 7	-1	2.924	-0.342	0.739	-7.515	5.515	0.006
Total Life Events. 8	-7	2.924	-2.394	0.038	-13.515	-0.485	0.270
Total Life Events. NA	-2	3.082	-0.649	0.531	-8.868	4.868	0.018

Table 2157: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.846	1.053	4.603	0.000	2.634	7.058	0.00
Stranger	1.582	1.780	0.889	0.386	-2.157	5.321	0.04

Table 2158: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-5.825	6.981	-0.834	0.415	-20.491	8.841	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.029	0.018	1.624	0.122	-0.008	0.066	0.122

Table 2159: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.117	4.336	0.950	0.355	-4.992	13.227	0.000
MAGE	0.044	0.139	0.312	0.758	-0.249	0.337	0.005

Table 2160: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	0.813 0.139	3.353 0.098	0.243 1.417	0.0	-6.230 -0.067	7.857 0.346	0.000

Table 2161: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	7.877 -0.151	5.903 0.365	1.334 -0.415	000	-4.525 -0.917	20.279 0.615	0.000

Table 2162: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.067	4.716	1.074	0.297	-4.841	14.975	0
PEDUY	0.024	0.294	0.082	0.935	-0.592	0.641	0

Table 2163: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.111	1.163	5.254	0.000	3.657	8.565	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-1.444	1.839	-0.785	0.443	-5.325	2.436	0.036
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.911	1.946	-0.468	0.646	-5.018	3.196	0.013

Table 2164: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.000	1.299	3.848	0.001	2.270	7.730	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.692	1.612	0.430	0.673	-2.694	4.079	0.01

Table 2165: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	6.088 -0.473	2.314 1.616	2.631 -0.292		1.226 -3.868	10.950 2.923	

Table 2166: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-36.026	27.183	-1.325	0.202	-93.136	21.083	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.150	0.099	1.526	0.144	-0.057	0.357	0.109

Table 2167: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	-5.022 0.003	$7.528 \\ 0.002$	-0.667 1.398	0.0-0	-20.837 -0.002	10.794 0.008	0.000

Table 2168: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.500	0.997	5.514	0.000	3.405	7.595	0
MaternalInfection	-0.125	1.577	-0.079	0.938	-3.438	3.188	0

Table 2169: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.667	0.886	6.393	0.000	3.804	7.529	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.867	1.773	-0.489	0.631	-4.591	2.858	0.012

Table 2170: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.615	0.899	5.134	0.000	2.727	6.504	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	2.385	1.519	1.569	0.134	-0.808	5.577	0.115

Table 2171: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.429	0.917	5.918	0.000	3.493	7.364	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	3.571	3.552	1.005	0.329	-3.923	11.066	0.051
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.629	1.788	-0.352	0.729	-4.401	3.144	0.006

Table 2172: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.100	1.095	4.658	0.000	2.790	7.410	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	1.011	1.591	0.636	0.534	-2.345	4.367	0.021
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-2.100	3.631	-0.578	0.571	-9.761	5.561	0.018

Table 2173: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	6.000 -0.889 -3.000	1.098 1.595 3.641	5.465 -0.557 -0.824	0.000 0.585 0.421	3.684 -4.254 -10.682	8.316 2.476 4.682	0.000 0.016 0.035

Table 2174: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.545	1.041	5.325	0.000	3.358	7.733	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-0.212	1.552	-0.137	0.893	-3.474	3.049	0.001

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.429	0.934	5.815	0.000	3.459	7.398	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.571	1.820	0.314	0.757	-3.268	4.411	0.005
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-2.429	3.616	-0.672	0.511	-10.057	5.200	0.023

Table 2176: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.000	1.005	5.973	0.000	3.881	8.119	0.000
DAYCARE.1	-1.400	1.852	-0.756	0.460	-5.308	2.508	0.030

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	-1.333	2.246	-0.594	0.561	-6.073	3.406	0.019

Table 2177: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURREPED 11	4.500	1.041	4.325	0.000	2.305	0.000	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	2.278 -1.500	1.512 3.451	1.507 -0.435	000	-0.912 -8.781	00.	0.110 0.009

Table 2178: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.714	1.196	3.107	0.006	1.192	6.237	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	2.952	1.504	1.963	0.066	-0.222	6.126	0.180
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-0.714	3.382	-0.211	0.835	-7.849	6.420	0.002

Table 2179: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.500	1.733	3.750	0.002	2.843	10.157	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1	-1.167	1.951	-0.598	0.558	-5.283	2.949	0.021
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-3.500	3.876	-0.903	0.379	-11.678	4.678	0.047

Table 2180: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.286	1.306	4.811	0.000	3.529	9.042	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-1.119	1.644	-0.681	0.505	-4.587	2.349	0.025
$PeanutButter_1yr.NA$	-3.286	3.695	-0.889	0.386	-11.082	4.510	0.042

Table 2181: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.50	2.457	3.460	0.005	3.147	13.853	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-3.50	4.255	-0.823	0.427	-12.771	5.771	0.026
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-1.75	3.009	-0.582	0.572	-8.306	4.806	0.022
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-3.50	4.255	-0.823	0.427	-12.771	5.771	0.026
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-3.70	2.907	-1.273	0.227	-10.033	2.633	0.114
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-8.50	4.255	-1.998	0.069	-17.771	0.771	0.153

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-2.70	2.907	-0.929	0.371	-9.033	3.633	0.061
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-6.50	4.255	-1.528	0.153	-15.771	2.771	0.089

Table 2182: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.769	0.922	5.175	0.000	2.825	6.714	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	2.981	1.900	1.569	0.135	-1.028	6.989	0.119
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	0.564	2.128	0.265	0.794	-3.927	5.055	0.003

Table 2183: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.400	1.560	4.104	0.001	3.110	9.690	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-1.036	1.881	-0.551	0.589	-5.005	2.932	0.021
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-1.900	2.339	-0.812	0.428	-6.836	3.036	0.047

Table 2184: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs STATE, df=7

-	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.0	3.854	1.038	0.334	-5.114	13.114	0.000
STATE.22	1.0	4.451	0.225	0.829	-9.524	11.524	0.006
STATE.23	2.5	4.721	0.530	0.613	-8.663	13.663	0.026
STATE.24	1.5	4.721	0.318	0.760	-9.663	12.663	0.010
STATE.26	1.5	4.721	0.318	0.760	-9.663	12.663	0.010
STATE.29	8.0	5.451	1.468	0.186	-4.890	20.890	0.143
STATE.35	4.0	5.451	0.734	0.487	-8.890	16.890	0.036
STATE.38	5.0	5.451	0.917	0.390	-7.890	17.890	0.056
STATE.39	-2.0	5.451	-0.367	0.725	-14.890	10.890	0.009
STATE.40	-1.5	4.721	-0.318	0.760	-12.663	9.663	0.010
STATE.41	3.0	5.451	0.550	0.599	-9.890	15.890	0.020
STATE.73	-2.0	5.451	-0.367	0.725	-14.890	10.890	0.009
STATE.NA	1.0	4.721	0.212	0.838	-10.163	12.163	0.004

Table 2185: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.0	2.550	1.177	0.292	-3.554	9.554	0.000
TRAIT.22	4.0	3.606	1.109	0.318	-5.268	13.268	0.037
TRAIT.24	-0.5	3.122	-0.160	0.879	-8.527	7.527	0.001
TRAIT.26	3.0	3.606	0.832	0.443	-6.268	12.268	0.021
TRAIT.27	3.0	3.122	0.961	0.381	-5.027	11.027	0.040

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	9.0	3.606	2.496	0.055	-0.268	18.268	0.189
TRAIT.29	2.0	3.606	0.555	0.603	-7.268	11.268	0.009
TRAIT.30	-1.0	3.606	-0.277	0.793	-10.268	8.268	0.002
TRAIT.32	5.0	3.606	1.387	0.224	-4.268	14.268	0.058
TRAIT.33	5.0	3.122	1.601	0.170	-3.027	13.027	0.111
TRAIT.36	1.0	3.606	0.277	0.793	-8.268	10.268	0.002
TRAIT.39	-3.0	3.606	-0.832	0.443	-12.268	6.268	0.021
TRAIT.49	9.0	3.606	2.496	0.055	-0.268	18.268	0.189
TRAIT.52	2.0	3.606	0.555	0.603	-7.268	11.268	0.009
TRAIT.NA	1.0	2.944	0.340	0.748	-6.568	8.568	0.006

Table 2186: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.667	2.150	2.171	0.053	-0.065	9.399	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	2.933	2.719	1.079	0.304	-3.052	8.919	0.099
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-0.667	3.399	-0.196	0.848	-8.149	6.815	0.002
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-2.667	4.300	-0.620	0.548	-12.131	6.797	0.021
NegativeLifeEvents.3	1.333	3.399	0.392	0.702	-6.149	8.815	0.010
NegativeLifeEvents.4	3.333	4.300	0.775	0.455	-6.131	12.797	0.032
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-1.667	3.399	-0.490	0.634	-9.149	5.815	0.015
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-0.667	4.300	-0.155	0.880	-10.131	8.797	0.001
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	1.000	3.040	0.329	0.748	-5.692	7.692	0.008

Table 2187: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.500	2.767	3.072	0.012	2.335	14.665	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.500	4.793	-0.104	0.919	-11.179	10.179	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.12	-5.500	4.793	-1.148	0.278	-16.179	5.179	0.057
PositiveLifeEvents.3	-3.700	3.274	-1.130	0.285	-10.995	3.595	0.102
PositiveLifeEvents.5	-6.000	3.913	-1.533	0.156	-14.719	2.719	0.128
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-2.167	3.572	-0.607	0.558	-10.126	5.793	0.024
PositiveLifeEvents.7	-1.500	4.793	-0.313	0.761	-12.179	9.179	0.004
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-2.500	4.793	-0.522	0.613	-13.179	8.179	0.012
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-5.500	4.793	-1.148	0.278	-16.179	5.179	0.057
Positive Life Events. NA	-2.833	3.572	-0.793	0.446	-10.793	5.126	0.041

Table 2188: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.500	2.456	3.461	0.006	3.027	13.973	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-4.500	4.254	-1.058	0.315	-13.979	4.979	0.041
Total Life Events. 11	-5.500	4.254	-1.293	0.225	-14.979	3.979	0.061

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-5.500	4.254	-1.293	0.225	-14.979	3.979	0.061
Total Life Events. 15	-0.500	4.254	-0.118	0.909	-9.979	8.979	0.001
TotalLifeEvents.29	-6.500	4.254	-1.528	0.158	-15.979	2.979	0.085
Total Life Events. 6	-2.000	3.474	-0.576	0.578	-9.740	5.740	0.015
Total Life Events. 7	-1.000	3.008	-0.332	0.746	-7.703	5.703	0.007
Total Life Events. 8	-5.500	3.008	-1.828	0.097	-12.203	1.203	0.204
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-2.833	3.171	-0.894	0.393	-9.899	4.232	0.043

Table 2189: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.231	0.954	5.480	0.000	3.226	7.236	0.000
Stranger	0.626	1.613	0.388	0.702	-2.763	4.016	0.008

Table 2190: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-5.285	2.675	-1.975	0.064	-10.905	0.336	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.016	0.007	2.324	0.032	0.002	0.030	0.221

Table 2191: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	0.088 0.027	1.764 0.057	$0.050 \\ 0.468$	0.00-	0.0	3.794 0.146	0.000

Table 2192: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.523	1.401	-0.373	0.713	-3.466	2.420	0.000
PAGE	0.043	0.041	1.041	0.312	-0.044	0.129	0.054

Table 2193: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.784	2.411	0.74	0.469	-3.282	6.850	0.000
MEDUY	-0.055	0.149	-0.37	0.716	-0.368	0.258	0.007

Table 2194: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.193	1.900	1.154	00-	-1.799	0.100	0.000
PEDUY	-0.082	0.118	-0.690	0.499	-0.330	0.167	0.02

Table 2195: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.444	0.445	3.243	0.005	0.505	2.384	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.778	0.704	-1.104	0.285	-2.264	0.708	0.060
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.244	0.745	-1.670	0.113	-2.817	0.328	0.137

Table 2196: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.429	0.515	0.832	0.416	-0.653	1.510	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.725	0.639	1.136	0.271	-0.617	2.067	0.064

Table 2197: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.989	0.946	1.045	0.310	-0.999	2.977	0.000
SEX	-0.066	0.661	-0.100	0.922	-1.455	1.323	0.001

Table 2198: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-23.560	10.283	-2.291	0.034	-45.163	-1.957	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.089	0.037	2.380	0.029	0.010	0.167	0.23

Table 2199: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.994		-1.323	000	-10.338		0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.001	0.001	1.628	0.121	0.000	0.003	0.122

Table 2200: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=18

-	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.083	0.401	2.699	0.015	0.240	1.927	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.458	0.635	-0.722	0.479	-1.792	0.875	0.027

Table 2201: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	1.067 -0.667	0.356 0.711	3.000 -0.937	$0.008 \\ 0.361$	0.320 -2.161		0.000 0.044

Table 2202: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.846	0.391	2.167	0.044	0.026	1.667	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.154	0.660	0.233	0.818	-1.233	1.541	0.003

Table 2203: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.786	0.380	2.068	0.054	-0.016	1.587	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.214	1.472	0.825	0.421	-1.890	4.319	0.035
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.214	0.741	0.289	0.776	-1.348	1.777	0.004

Table 2204: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.9	0.453	1.986	0.063	-0.056	1.856	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1	0.1	0.658	0.152	0.881	-1.289	1.489	0.001
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	-0.9	1.503	-0.599	0.557	-4.071	2.271	0.019

Table 2205: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.800	0.450	1.776	0.00 =	-0.150	1.750	0.000
FORMULA_1yr.1	0.311	0.654	0.475	0.641	-1.070	1.692	0.012
FORMULA_1yr.NA	-0.800	1.494	-0.536	0.599	-3.952	2.352	0.015

Table 2206: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.818	0.424	1.929	0.070	-0.073	1.709	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.182	0.632	0.287	0.777	-1.147	1.510	0.004

Table 2207: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.071	0.379	2.829	0.012	0.272	1.870	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	-0.471	0.738	-0.639	0.532	-2.029	1.086	0.021
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-1.071	1.467	-0.731	0.475	-4.166	2.023	0.027

Table 2208: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE.1 DAYCARE.NA	1.083 -0.083 -1.083	0.402 0.741 0.898	2.697 -0.113 -1.206	0.012	0.236 -1.646 -2.978	1.931 1.479 0.812	0.000 0.001 0.075

Table 2209: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr.1 CURBRFEED_1yr.NA	0.800 0.311 -0.800	0.450 0.654 1.494	1.776 0.475 -0.536	0.641	-0.150 -1.070 -3.952	±.00=	0.000 0.012 0.015

Table 2210: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.0	0.458	0.000	1.000	-0.967	0.967	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr.1$	1.5	0.577	2.601	0.019	0.283	2.717	0.279
$FrenchFries_1yr.NA$	0.0	1.296	0.000	1.000	-2.735	2.735	0.000

Table 2211: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.717	1.395	0.181	-0.512	2.512	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	-0.067	0.807	-0.083	0.935	-1.769	1.635	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA	-1.000	1.603	-0.624	0.541	-4.381	2.381	0.024

Table 2212: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.542	1.846	0.082	-0.143	2.143	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.083	0.682	-0.122	0.904	-1.521	1.355	0.001
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-1.000	1.532	-0.653	0.523	-4.232	2.232	0.024

Table 2213: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	1.137	0.880	0.396	-1.476	3.476	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-1.0	1.969	-0.508	0.621	-5.289	3.289	0.018
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.5	1.392	0.359	0.726	-2.533	3.533	0.015
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	0.0	1.969	0.000	1.000	-4.289	4.289	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.4	1.345	-0.297	0.771	-3.330	2.530	0.011
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-1.0	1.969	-0.508	0.621	-5.289	3.289	0.018
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.2	1.345	0.149	0.884	-2.730	3.130	0.003
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.0	1.969	-0.508	0.621	-5.289	3.289	0.018

Table 2214: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.846	0.399	2.123	0.049	0.005	1.687	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	0.404	0.822	0.491	0.629	-1.330	2.137	0.013
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.179	0.920	-0.195	0.848	-2.122	1.763	0.002

Table 2215: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.200	0.639	1.878	0.078	-0.148	2.548	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.291	0.771	-0.377	0.710	-1.917	1.335	0.010
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.700	0.959	-0.730	0.475	-2.722	1.322	0.038

Table 2216: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	1.363	0.734	0.487	-2.222	4.222	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
STATE.22	-1.0	1.574	-0.635	0.545	-4.721	2.721	0.045
STATE.23	1.0	1.669	0.599	0.568	-2.947	4.947	0.032
STATE.24	-0.5	1.669	-0.300	0.773	-4.447	3.447	0.008
STATE.26	0.5	1.669	0.300	0.773	-3.447	4.447	0.008
STATE.29	3.0	1.927	1.557	0.164	-1.557	7.557	0.151
STATE.35	1.0	1.927	0.519	0.620	-3.557	5.557	0.017
STATE.38	1.0	1.927	0.519	0.620	-3.557	5.557	0.017
STATE.39	-1.0	1.927	-0.519	0.620	-5.557	3.557	0.017
STATE.40	-1.0	1.669	-0.599	0.568	-4.947	2.947	0.032
STATE.41	0.0	1.927	0.000	1.000	-4.557	4.557	0.000
STATE.73	-1.0	1.927	-0.519	0.620	-5.557	3.557	0.017
STATE.NA	-1.0	1.669	-0.599	0.568	-4.947	2.947	0.032

Table 2217: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
	Louinace	Did. Liloi	o varae	11(> 0)	2.0 /0	01.0 70	
Intercept	0.0	0.447	0.000	1.000	-1.150	1.150	0.000
TRAIT.22	3.0	0.632	4.743	0.005	1.374	4.626	0.164
TRAIT.24	0.5	0.548	0.913	0.403	-0.908	1.908	0.009
TRAIT.26	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.27	0.0	0.548	0.000	1.000	-1.408	1.408	0.000
TRAIT.28	4.0	0.632	6.325	0.001	2.374	5.626	0.292
TRAIT.29	1.0	0.632	1.581	0.175	-0.626	2.626	0.018
TRAIT.30	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.32	2.0	0.632	3.162	0.025	0.374	3.626	0.073
TRAIT.33	1.5	0.548	2.739	0.041	0.092	2.908	0.078
TRAIT.36	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.39	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.49	4.0	0.632	6.325	0.001	2.374	5.626	0.292
TRAIT.52	0.0	0.632	0.000	1.000	-1.626	1.626	0.000
TRAIT.NA	0.0	0.516	0.000	1.000	-1.327	1.327	0.000

Table 2218: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.885	1.130	0.283	-0.949	2.949	0.000
Negative Life Events. 1	0.400	1.120	0.357	0.728	-2.065	2.865	0.011
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-1.000	1.400	-0.714	0.490	-4.081	2.081	0.033
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-1.000	1.771	-0.565	0.584	-4.897	2.897	0.018
NegativeLifeEvents.3	1.000	1.400	0.714	0.490	-2.081	4.081	0.033
NegativeLifeEvents.4	1.000	1.771	0.565	0.584	-2.897	4.897	0.018
Negative Life Events. 5	-1.000	1.400	-0.714	0.490	-4.081	2.081	0.033
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-1.000	1.771	-0.565	0.584	-4.897	2.897	0.018
Negative Life Events. NA	-0.333	1.252	-0.266	0.795	-3.089	2.422	0.005

Table 2219: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.500	1.016	2.461	0.034	0.237	4.763	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	-0.500	1.759	-0.284	0.782	-4.420	3.420	0.002
${\bf Positive Life Events. 12}$	-2.500	1.759	-1.421	0.186	-6.420	1.420	0.061
${\bf Positive Life Events. 3}$	-1.700	1.202	-1.415	0.188	-4.378	0.978	0.112
${\bf Positive Life Events.5}$	-2.500	1.436	-1.740	0.112	-5.701	0.701	0.116
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-1.833	1.311	-1.398	0.192	-4.755	1.088	0.089
PositiveLifeEvents.7	0.500	1.759	0.284	0.782	-3.420	4.420	0.002
${\bf Positive Life Events. 8}$	-2.500	1.759	-1.421	0.186	-6.420	1.420	0.061
${\bf Positive Life Events. 9}$	-2.500	1.759	-1.421	0.186	-6.420	1.420	0.061
Positive Life Events. NA	-1.833	1.311	-1.398	0.192	-4.755	1.088	0.089

Table 2220: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	0.998	2.505	0.031	0.277	4.723	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-2.500	1.728	-1.446	0.179	-6.351	1.351	0.062
Total Life Events. 11	-2.500	1.728	-1.446	0.179	-6.351	1.351	0.062
Total Life Events. 13	-2.500	1.728	-1.446	0.179	-6.351	1.351	0.062
Total Life Events. 15	-0.500	1.728	-0.289	0.778	-4.351	3.351	0.002
Total Life Events. 29	-2.500	1.728	-1.446	0.179	-6.351	1.351	0.062
Total Life Events. 6	-0.500	1.411	-0.354	0.730	-3.644	2.644	0.005
Total Life Events. 7	-1.250	1.222	-1.023	0.331	-3.973	1.473	0.052
TotalLifeEvents.8	-2.500	1.222	-2.046	0.068	-5.223	0.223	0.209
${\bf Total Life Events. NA}$	-1.833	1.288	-1.423	0.185	-4.704	1.037	0.089

Table 2221: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.615	0.374	1.644	0.118	-0.171	1.402	0.00
Stranger	0.813	0.633	1.285	0.215	-0.516	2.143	0.08

Table 2222: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs AgeAt1yrVisit, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-8.564	4.105	-2.086	0.051	-17.187	0.06	0.000
AgeAt1yrVisit	0.028	0.010	2.673	0.016	0.006	0.05	0.273

Table 2223: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	4.665 -0.076	2.767 0.089	1.686 -0.850	000	-1.149 -0.263	$10.478 \\ 0.111$	0.000

Table 2224: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	1.384 0.029	$2.282 \\ 0.067$	$0.606 \\ 0.434$	0.00=	-3.411 -0.112	6.179 0.170	0.00

Table 2225: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	7.465 -0.319	3.653	2.044 -1.413	0.000	000	15.139 0.155	0.000

Table 2226: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.145	3.056	1.029	0.317	-3.275	9.565	0.000
PEDUY	-0.050	0.190	-0.264	0.795	-0.450	0.349	0.004

Table 2227: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.222	0.768	2.893	0.010	0.602	3.843	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.278	1.214	0.229	0.822	-2.284	2.840	0.003
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.178	1.285	0.138	0.892	-2.534	2.889	0.001

Table 2228: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.143	0.815	3.854	0.001	1.430	4.856	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-1.220	1.011	-1.206	0.243	-3.345	0.905	0.071

Table 2229: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	1.297 0.780	1.482 1.035	0.875 0.754	0.000	-1.817 -1.395		0.000

Table 2230: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-6.361	18.637	-0.341	0.737	-45.516	32.795	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.032	0.068	0.468	0.646	-0.110	0.174	0.011

Table 2231: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	1.384 0.000	5.139 0.002	0.269 0.189	0	-9.413 -0.003	12.181 0.003	0.000

Table 2232: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.25	0.646	3.481	0.003	0.892	3.608	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.25	1.022	0.245	0.810	-1.897	2.397	0.003

Table 2233: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.2	0.575	3.828	0.001	0.992	3.408	0.000
MPSYCH	0.6	1.150	0.522	0.608	-1.815	3.015	0.014

Table 2234: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.154	0.617	3.490	0.003	0.857	3.450	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.560	1.043	0.537	0.598	-1.631	2.752	0.015

Table 2235: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.929	0.557	5.262	0.000	1.754	4.103	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.929	2.156	-0.431	0.672	-5.477	3.619	0.008
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-2.129	1.085	-1.962	0.066	-4.418	0.161	0.170

Table 2236: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.1 ANTIBIOTIC_1yr.NA	2.800 -0.689 -2.800	0.697 1.012 2.310	4.020 -0.681 -1.212		1.330 -2.824 -7.674	4.270 1.446 2.074	0.023

Table 2237: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr.1 FORMULA_1yr.NA	2.800 -0.689 -2.800	0.697 1.012 2.310	4.020 -0.681 -1.212	0.001 0.505 0.242	1.330 -2.824 -7.674		0.000 0.023 0.073

Table 2238: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_6mo, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.665	3.008	0.008	0.603	3.397	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.778	0.991	0.785	0.443	-1.305	2.860	0.031

Table 2239: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FEVER_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.286	0.590	3.874	0.001	1.041	3.531	0.000
$FEVER_1yr.1$	0.714	1.150	0.621	0.543	-1.712	3.141	0.019
$FEVER_1yr.NA$	-2.286	2.285	-1.000	0.331	-7.107	2.536	0.050

Table 2240: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs DAYCARE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.333	0.666	3.503	0.003	0.928	3.739	0
DAYCARE.1	0.067	1.228	0.054	0.957	-2.525	2.658	0

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
DAYCARE.NA	0.000	1.490	0.000	1.000	-3.143	3.143	0

Table 2241: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=17

Est	imate Std.	Error t val	ue $\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
CURBRFEED_1yr.1	0.156	0.706 3.4 1.025 0.1 2.340 -1.0	52 0.881	0.911 -2.007 -7.337	3.889 2.318 2.537	0.000 0.001 0.055

Table 2242: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.00	0.831	2.406	0.028	0.246	3.754	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr.1	0.75	1.046	0.717	0.483	-1.457	2.957	0.027
FrenchFries_1yr.NA	-2.00	2.351	-0.851	0.407	-6.961	2.961	0.039

Table 2243: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.0	1.109	1.804	0.089	-0.339	4.339	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.1$	0.6	1.248	0.481	0.637	-2.033	3.233	0.014
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr.NA$	-2.0	2.479	-0.807	0.431	-7.231	3.231	0.039

Table 2244: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.857	0.836	3.419	0.003	1.094	4.620	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr.1	-0.607	1.052	-0.577	0.571	-2.826	1.611	0.017
PeanutButter_1yr.NA	-2.857	2.364	-1.209	0.243	-7.844	2.130	0.076

Table 2245: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs WHSTOTHER, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.0	1.258	4.768	0.000	3.258	8.742	0.000
WHSTOTHER.3.5 months	-2.0	2.179	-0.918	0.377	-6.749	2.749	0.012
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-5.0	1.541	-3.244	0.007	-8.358	-1.642	0.251
WHSTOTHER.4.5 months	-3.0	2.179	-1.376	0.194	-7.749	1.749	0.027
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-2.8	1.489	-1.881	0.085	-6.044	0.444	0.092
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-6.0	2.179	-2.753	0.018	-10.749	-1.251	0.107

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-4.6	1.489	-3.090	0.009	-7.844	-1.356	0.249
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-5.0	2.179	-2.294	0.041	-9.749	-0.251	0.074

Table 2246: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.077	0.616	3.370	0.004	0.777	3.377	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo.1$	1.423	1.270	1.120	0.278	-1.257	4.103	0.065
$VITAMIND_6mo.NA$	-0.077	1.423	-0.054	0.958	-3.079	2.926	0.000

Table 2247: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.800	1.023	2.737	0.014	0.641	4.959	0.000
$Cereals_6mo.1$	-0.527	1.234	-0.427	0.675	-3.131	2.076	0.013
$Cereals_6mo.NA$	-0.800	1.535	-0.521	0.609	-4.038	2.438	0.020

Table 2248: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs STATE, df=7

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.0	2.854	0.350	0.736	-5.748	7.748	0.000
STATE.22	2.0	3.295	0.607	0.563	-5.791	9.791	0.047
STATE.23	-1.0	3.495	-0.286	0.783	-9.264	7.264	0.008
STATE.24	1.5	3.495	0.429	0.681	-6.764	9.764	0.019
STATE.26	3.0	3.495	0.858	0.419	-5.264	11.264	0.074
STATE.29	3.0	4.036	0.743	0.481	-6.543	12.543	0.039
STATE.35	4.0	4.036	0.991	0.355	-5.543	13.543	0.070
STATE.38	1.0	4.036	0.248	0.811	-8.543	10.543	0.004
STATE.39	0.0	4.036	0.000	1.000	-9.543	9.543	0.000
STATE.40	1.0	3.495	0.286	0.783	-7.264	9.264	0.008
STATE.41	2.0	4.036	0.496	0.635	-7.543	11.543	0.017
STATE.73	1.0	4.036	0.248	0.811	-8.543	10.543	0.004
STATE.NA	0.5	3.495	0.143	0.890	-7.764	8.764	0.002

Table 2249: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs TRAIT, df=5

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	2.456	0.814	0.453	-4.314	8.314	0.000
TRAIT.22	5.000	3.474	1.439	0.210	-3.929	13.929	0.137
TRAIT.24	-1.500	3.008	-0.499	0.639	-9.233	6.233	0.023
TRAIT.26	0.000	3.474	0.000	1.000	-8.929	8.929	0.000
TRAIT.27	1.500	3.008	0.499	0.639	-6.233	9.233	0.023

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TRAIT.28	-2.000	3.474	-0.576	0.590	-10.929	6.929	0.022
TRAIT.29	1.000	3.474	0.288	0.785	-7.929	9.929	0.005
TRAIT.30	-1.000	3.474	-0.288	0.785	-9.929	7.929	0.005
TRAIT.32	3.000	3.474	0.864	0.427	-5.929	11.929	0.049
TRAIT.33	0.500	3.008	0.166	0.875	-7.233	8.233	0.003
TRAIT.36	-1.000	3.474	-0.288	0.785	-9.929	7.929	0.005
TRAIT.39	-2.000	3.474	-0.576	0.590	-10.929	6.929	0.022
TRAIT.49	2.000	3.474	0.576	0.590	-6.929	10.929	0.022
TRAIT.52	2.000	3.474	0.576	0.590	-6.929	10.929	0.022
TRAIT.NA	-0.333	2.836	-0.118	0.911	-7.624	6.958	0.002

Table 2250: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	1.278	2.348	0.039	0.188	5.812	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents.1	0.600	1.616	0.371	0.717	-2.957	4.157	0.010
NegativeLifeEvents.2	-1.000	2.020	-0.495	0.630	-5.446	3.446	0.013
NegativeLifeEvents.26	-1.000	2.555	-0.391	0.703	-6.624	4.624	0.007
NegativeLifeEvents.3	-3.000	2.020	-1.485	0.166	-7.446	1.446	0.121
NegativeLifeEvents.4	2.000	2.555	0.783	0.450	-3.624	7.624	0.028
NegativeLifeEvents.5	-2.000	2.020	-0.990	0.343	-6.446	2.446	0.054
NegativeLifeEvents.7	-3.000	2.555	-1.174	0.265	-8.624	2.624	0.064
NegativeLifeEvents.NA	-0.667	1.807	-0.369	0.719	-4.643	3.310	0.008

Table 2251: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.500	1.414	2.476	0.033	0.350	6.650	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents.11	1.500	2.448	0.613	0.554	-3.956	6.956	0.015
${\bf Positive Life Events. 12}$	-1.500	2.448	-0.613	0.554	-6.956	3.956	0.015
${\bf Positive Life Events. 3}$	-2.700	1.673	-1.614	0.138	-6.427	1.027	0.194
${\bf Positive Life Events.5}$	-1.500	1.999	-0.750	0.470	-5.954	2.954	0.029
PositiveLifeEvents.6	-0.500	1.825	-0.274	0.790	-4.566	3.566	0.005
PositiveLifeEvents.7	3.500	2.448	1.429	0.183	-1.956	8.956	0.082
PositiveLifeEvents.8	-1.500	2.448	-0.613	0.554	-6.956	3.956	0.015
PositiveLifeEvents.9	-3.500	2.448	-1.429	0.183	-8.956	1.956	0.082
Positive Life Events. NA	-1.167	1.825	-0.639	0.537	-5.233	2.900	0.025

Table 2252: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.500	1.076	3.252	0.009	1.102	5.898	0.000
Total Life Events. 10	-3.500	1.864	-1.878	0.090	-7.654	0.654	0.092
Total Life Events. 11	-3.500	1.864	-1.878	0.090	-7.654	0.654	0.092

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents.13	-1.500	1.864	-0.805	0.440	-5.654	2.654	0.017
Total Life Events. 15	1.500	1.864	0.805	0.440	-2.654	5.654	0.017
Total Life Events. 29	-1.500	1.864	-0.805	0.440	-5.654	2.654	0.017
Total Life Events. 6	-3.500	1.522	-2.300	0.044	-6.891	-0.109	0.174
Total Life Events. 7	1.500	1.318	1.138	0.282	-1.437	4.437	0.057
Total Life Events. 8	-2.500	1.318	-1.897	0.087	-5.437	0.437	0.158
Total Life Events. NA	-1.167	1.389	-0.840	0.421	-4.263	1.929	0.027

Table 2253: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Stranger, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.769	0.577	3.064	0.007	0.556	2.982	0.000
Stranger	1.659	0.976	1.700	0.106	-0.391	3.710	0.132

Association analysis between mask task and diversity using (linear mixed effect model for repeated measures)

Table 2254: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1 episode	10.5457130 -0.4046024 -1.8180175	$\begin{array}{c} 1.287800 \\ 3.060575 \\ 0.369224 \end{array}$	0.200000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0000000 \\ 0.8948275 \\ 0.0000008 \end{array}$	8.022 -6.403 -2.542	13.070 5.594 -1.094	0.000 0.001 0.273

Table 2255: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.2	10.556442 4.814836	1.2631997 6.0397736	8.3569067 0.7971882		8.081 -7.023	13.032 16.653	0.000
episode	-1.811101	0.3691015	-4.9067826			-1.088	0.262

Table 2256: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.190160	1.2070575	8.442150	0.0000000	7.824	12.556	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	14.016225	6.4856721	2.161106	0.0306872	1.305	26.728	0.183
episode	-1.810542	0.3689199	-4.907683	0.0000009	-2.534	-1.087	0.222

Table 2257: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.970719	1.2280951	0.000==0	0.0000000	8.564	13.378	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4 episode	17.509805 -1.816444	8.7560700 0.3682959		0.0455291 0.0000008	0.348 -2.538	34.671 -1.095	0.168 0.228

Table 2258: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.295996	1.2529983	8.217087	0.0000000	7.840	12.752	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-7.642296	5.6698719	-1.347878	0.1776976	-18.755	3.470	0.086
episode	-1.799131	0.3697218	-4.866175	0.0000011	-2.524	-1.074	0.245

Table 2259: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.618800	1.2660858	8.3871092	0.0000000	8.137	13.100	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-5.651455	7.2439543	-0.7801617	0.4352957	-19.849	8.546	0.036
episode	-1.815164	0.3689929	-4.9192400	0.0000009	-2.538	-1.092	0.263

Table 2260: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.5633098	1.3022369	8.1116654	0.0000000	8.011	13.116	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.1713492	7.4623610	0.0229618	0.9816808	-14.455	14.797	0.000
episode	-1.8196950	0.3693151	-4.9272152	0.0000008	-2.544	-1.096	0.274

Table 2261: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.4		$\begin{array}{c} 1.3237854 \\ 14.7405098 \end{array}$			8.511 -47.394	$13.701 \\ 10.387$	0.000
episode	-1.837076	0.3684773	-4.985588	0.0000006	-2.559	-1.115	0.260

Table 2262: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) chao1 episode	9.3775824 0.0129363 -1.8256764	3.6765206 0.0374426 0.3690262	2.5506677 0.3454975 -4.9472817	0.7297204		16.583 0.086 -1.102	0.000 0.007 0.274

Table 2263: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	8.5531704	4.2206383	2.0265111	0.0427124	0.281	16.825	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0367867	0.0734627	0.5007535	0.6165446	-0.107	0.181	0.015
episode	-1.8293023	0.3690167	-4.9572338	0.0000007	-2.553	-1.106	0.272

Table 2264: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	5.583832	5.7429260	0.9722975	0.3309026	-5.672	16.840	0.00

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
PD_whole_tree	1.066819	1.1997713	0.8891854	0.3739034	-1.285	3.418	0.04
episode	-1.847344	0.3694357	-5.0004481	0.0000006	-2.571	-1.123	0.27

Table 2265: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	2.578544	4.3564763	0.5918874	0.5539260	-5.96	11.117	0.000
$\operatorname{shannon}$	2.940846	1.5462645	1.9019036	0.0571838	-0.09	5.971	0.147
episode	-1.837556	0.3686557	-4.9844787	0.0000006	-2.56	-1.115	0.237

Table 2266: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3059492	0.3457175	0.8849686	0.3761736	-0.372	0.984	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 1	0.1026621	0.8343528	0.1230440	0.9020722	-1.533	1.738	0.001
episode	0.5815468	0.0971786	5.9843066	0.0000000	0.391	0.772	0.357

Table 2267: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3049927	0.3364630	0.9064672			0.964	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2			-1.0356875	0.0000=00	-4.871	1.503	0.058
episode	0.5785141	0.0971794	5.9530515	0.0000000	0.388	0.769	0.334

Table 2268: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.4193028	0.3164140	1.325171	0.1851144	-0.201	1.039	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-4.3316827	1.6916972	-2.560554	0.0104505	-7.647	-1.016	0.215
episode	0.5774527	0.0971427	5.944375	0.0000000	0.387	0.768	0.277

Table 2269: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2011897	0.3335199	0.6032314	0.5463548	-0.452	0.855	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-4.2600000	2.4514697	-1.7377331	0.0822579	-9.065	0.545	0.132
episode	0.5820616	0.0969586	6.0031956	0.0000000	0.392	0.772	0.311

Table 2270: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3679455	0.3377233	1.089488	0.2759387	-0.294	1.030	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.9261659	1.5608533	1.234047	0.2171855	-1.133	4.985	0.071
episode	0.5775102	0.0972714	5.937101	0.0000000	0.387	0.768	0.328

Table 2271: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.2 episode		0.3359878 1.9361563 0.0971594	1.1307566	0.2581576		0.940 5.984 0.770	0.000 0.067 0.332

Table 2272: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)		0.3497053				0.00=	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.1026352	2.0360857	0.0504081	0.9597972	-3.888	4.093	0.000
episode	0.5822879	0.0971894	5.9912686	0.0000000	0.392	0.773	0.358

Table 2273: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1655468	0.3568749	0.4638792	0.6427343	-0.534	0.865	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	4.6383896	4.0370886	1.1489442	0.2505790	-3.274	12.551	0.056
episode	0.5861978	0.0970157	6.0422975	0.0000000	0.396	0.776	0.342

Table 2274: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.3643649	1.0034926	0.3630968	0.7165326	-1.602	2.331	0.000
chao1	-0.0006988	0.0102364	-0.0682661	0.9455738	-0.021	0.019	0.000
episode	0.5823789	0.0971732	5.9932040	0.0000000	0.392	0.773	0.358

Table 2275: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.7007647	1.1531778	0.6076814	0.5433988	-1.559	2.961	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
observed_otus	-0.0073111	0.0200944	-0.3638390	0.7159782	-0.047	0.032	0.008
episode	0.5838391	0.0971530	6.0094788	0.0000000	0.393	0.774	0.357

Table 2276: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.3308553	1.5762958	0.8442928	0.3985058	-1.759	4.420	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.2205286	0.3293919	-0.6695022	0.5031752	-0.866	0.425	0.022
episode	0.5875015	0.0972916	6.0385627	0.0000000	0.397	0.778	0.355

Table 2277: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	2.5842457	1.1739994	2.201233	0.0277196	0.283	4.885	0.000
shannon	-0.8403423	0.4169440	-2.015480	0.0438544	-1.658	-0.023	0.152
episode	0.5864097	0.0970337	6.043359	0.0000000	0.396	0.777	0.307

Table 2278: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1		0.3131588 0.7943430	0.5210629 -0.2542163			0.777	0.000
episode	0.4771338	01.0 = 0 = 0 0	5.8470365		0.317		0.346

Table 2279: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.2	0.1770810 -1.2622916		0.5778382 -0.8005130			0.778	0.000 0.047
episode	0.4745794		5.8130060		0.315	0.635	0.0

Table 2280: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2654245	0.294516	0.9012227	0.3674699	-0.312	0.843	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-3.3467152	1.727532	-1.9372807	0.0527110	-6.733	0.039	0.191
episode	0.4739025	0.081680	5.8019388	0.0000000	0.314	0.634	0.278

Table 2281: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0835324	0.3014803	0.2770742	0.7817231	-0.507	0.674	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-3.9669193	2.3480606	-1.6894450	0.0911342	-8.569	0.635	0.161
episode	0.4755718	0.0815443	5.8320684	0.0000000	0.316	0.635	0.291

Table 2282: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.2148443	0.3106077	0.6916902	0.4891319	-0.394	0.824	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.1873006	1.5312428	0.7753837	0.4381130	-1.814	4.188	0.040
episode	0.4747723	0.0816650	5.8136552	0.0000000	0.315	0.635	0.331

Table 2283: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.2	1.6285672	0.3064516 1.8819400	0.8653662	0.3868378	-0.441 -2.060	0.761 5.317	0.000 0.054
episode	0.4750824	0.0816313	5.8198564	0.0000000	0.315	0.635	0.3

Table 2284: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.3 episode			0.3727185		-3.076	4.521	0.000 0.010 0.345

Table 2285: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0161702	0.3190864	0.0506764	0.9595833	-0.609	0.642	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	5.5476811	3.7428426	1.4822106	0.1382843	-1.788	12.884	0.109
episode	0.4793029	0.0814716	5.8830647	0.0000000	0.320	0.639	0.313

Table 2286: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.6590185	0.9477203	0.6953723	0.4868220	-1.198	2.517	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
chao1	-0.0052513	0.0097112	-0.5407433	0.5886845	-0.024	0.014	0.022
episode	0.4780523	0.0815679	5.8607920	0.0000000	0.318	0.638	0.342

Table 2287: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.0030337	1.0834517	0.9257761	0.3545623	-1.120	3.127	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0150866	0.0189343	-0.7967901	0.4255730	-0.052	0.022	0.045
episode	0.4788755	0.0815508	5.8721158	0.0000000	0.319	0.639	0.334

Table 2288: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	2.0464542	1.4509246	1.410448	0.1584073	-0.797	4.890	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.3995723	0.3033394	-1.317245	0.1877565	-0.994	0.195	0.097
episode	0.4831065	0.0815595	5.923365	0.0000000	0.323	0.643	0.321

Table 2289: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	2.3098732	1.1179241	2.066216	0.038808	0.119	4.501	0.000
shannon	-0.7844507	0.3981006	-1.970484	0.048783	-1.565	-0.004	0.185
episode	0.4783106	0.0816081	5.861064	0.000000	0.318	0.638	0.284

Table 2290: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1 episode				0.7880894	-1.094	1.581 1.441 0.228	0.000

Table 2291: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.0857433	0.2454264	4.4239055	0.0000097	0.605	1.567	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.4199405	1.3128168	-0.3198775	0.7490612	-2.993	2.153	0.014
episode	0.1080529	0.0612991	1.7627152	0.0779485	-0.012	0.228	0.046

Table 2292: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.1620678	0.2310514	5.029478	0.0000005	0.709	1.615	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.8569266	1.3959781	-2.046541	0.0407032	-5.593	-0.121	0.308
episode	0.1069762	0.0613880	1.742625	0.0813991	-0.013	0.227	0.031

Table 2293: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.0447841	0.2478633	4.2151630	0.0000250	0.559	1.531	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.7077676	2.0386011	-0.8377154	0.4021906	-5.703	2.288	0.084
episode	0.1090459	0.0612634	1.7799532	0.0750836	-0.011	0.229	0.043

Table 2294: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1 episode	1.1476163 1.7990727 0.1050446	1.1922586	1.508962	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0000015 \\ 0.1313085 \\ 0.0869034 \end{array}$	0.680 -0.538 -0.015	4.136	0.000 0.199 0.035

Table 2295: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.2 episode		0.2416675 1.5291226 0.0613181		0.3233208		1.546 4.507 0.227	0.000 0.113 0.040

Table 2296: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.0665637	0.2509069	4.2508342	0.0000213	0.575	1.558	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.5702378	1.5804309	0.3608116	0.7182403	-2.527	3.668	0.016
episode	0.1090889	0.0613207	1.7789893	0.0752415	-0.011	0.229	0.046

Table 2297: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.9405178	0.2497276	3.766175	0.0001658	0.451	1.430	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
unifrac.PC.4 episode		2.9882112 0.0612206				10.951 0.231	-

Table 2298: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.4408831	0.7719987	1.8664319	0.0619810	-0.072	2.954	0.000
chao1	-0.0038491	0.0079302	-0.4853781	0.6274082	-0.019	0.012	0.030
episode	0.1092445	0.0613121	1.7817755	0.0747859	-0.011	0.229	0.046

Table 2299: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.9338847	0.8724071	2.216723	0.0266420	0.224	3.644	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0154331	0.0152683	-1.010800	0.3121123	-0.045	0.014	0.113
episode	0.1101486	0.0612873	1.797249	0.0722961	-0.010	0.230	0.043

Table 2300: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	2.6902270	1.1711360	2.297109	0.0216126	0.395	4.986	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.3422944	0.2449173	-1.397592	0.1622357	-0.822	0.138	0.170
episode	0.1131702	0.0613246	1.845430	0.0649750	-0.007	0.233	0.042

Table 2301: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
$\overline{\text{(Intercept)}}$		0.8220838				5.025	0.000
shannon	-0.8549025	0.2926277	-2.921468	0.0034839	-1.428	-0.281	0.411
episode	0.1091387	0.0613233	1.779726	0.0751208	-0.011	0.229	0.028

Table 2302: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1052144	0.1057145	0.9952696	0.3196052	-0.102	0.312	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	0.1302672	0.2432790	0.5354645	0.5923287	-0.347	0.607	0.018
episode	0.0409398	0.0314555	1.3015143	0.1930825	-0.021	0.103	0.025

Table 2303: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0980469	0.1047960	0.9355977	0.3494804	-0.107	0.303	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.2708467	0.4882768	-0.5546991	0.5791005	-1.228	0.686	0.022
episode	0.0413473	0.0314267	1.3156762	0.1882827	-0.020	0.103	0.026

Table 2304: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1107179	0.1049235	1.0552246	0.2913226	-0.095	0.316	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-0.5234248	0.5758170	-0.9090124	0.3633436	-1.652	0.605	0.054
episode	0.0420770	0.0314287	1.3388080	0.1806332	-0.020	0.104	0.026

Table 2305: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0865415	0.1067893	0.8103948	0.4177133	-0.123	0.296	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.4360026	0.7771563	-0.5610231	0.5747818	-1.959	1.087	0.023
episode	0.0423758	0.0313761	1.3505748	0.1768317	-0.019	0.104	0.027

Table 2306: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)		0.1065690				0.306	0.000
unifrac.PC.1 episode	0.0097494 0.0418986	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4828265 \\ 0.0314028 \end{array}$				0.000	$0.000 \\ 0.027$

Table 2307: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0961108	0.1054977	0.9110231	0.3622832	-0.111	0.303	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	0.1187146	0.5914930	0.2007032	0.8409306	-1.041	1.278	0.003
episode	0.0418938	0.0313961	1.3343639	0.1820846	-0.020	0.103	0.027

Table 2308: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0975750	0.1074069	0.9084609	0.3636347	-0.113	0.308	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
unifrac.PC.3 episode	0.0000 =	0.000000=	-0.0149412 1.3325057	0.0000.0=		1.165 0.104	

Table 2309: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0209286	0.1022180	0.2047451	0.8377713	-0.179	0.221	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	2.7292216	1.0498887	2.5995341	0.0093350	0.671	4.787	0.229
episode	0.0421804	0.0313213	1.3466986	0.1780773	-0.019	0.104	0.021

Table 2310: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.2959167	0.2922442	1.0125665	0.3112673	-0.277	0.869	0.000
chao1	-0.0021542	0.0029659	-0.7262996	0.4676551	-0.008	0.004	0.036
episode	0.0426482	0.0314117	1.3577161	0.1745538	-0.019	0.104	0.027

Table 2311: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)				0.1197796		1.142	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0074314	0.0056347	-1.318863	0.1872149	-0.018	0.004	0.102
episode	0.0430043	0.0314178	1.368788	0.1710655	-0.019	0.105	0.025

Table 2312: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.6687787	0.4517148	1.480533	0.1387310	-0.217	1.554	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.1223044	0.0943158	-1.296754	0.1947159	-0.307	0.063	0.092
episode	0.0449932	0.0314306	1.431511	0.1522839	-0.017	0.107	0.028

Table 2313: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.7086143	0.3490969	2.029850	0.0423718	0.024	1.393	0.000
shannon	-0.2247941	0.1236808	-1.817534	0.0691353	-0.467	0.018	0.156
episode	0.0426799	0.0315097	1.354503	0.1755760	-0.019	0.104	0.023

Table 2314: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1		0.1837454 0.3753705				0.480	0.000
episode	0.1110130 0.1875426	0.0.00.00	3.1017207	00.	0.025	0.306	0.000

Table 2315: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1143643	0.1820169	0.6283170	0.5297963	-0.242	0.471	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.3841728	0.7405262	-0.5187835	0.6039117	-1.836	1.067	0.011
episode	0.1877745	0.0603639	3.1107090	0.0018664	0.069	0.306	0.128

Table 2316: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1639153	0.1729806	0.9475936	0.3433364	-0.175	0.503	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-1.8921126	0.7543042	-2.5084210	0.0121272	-3.371	-0.414	0.152
episode	0.1878448	0.0603471	3.1127404	0.0018536	0.070	0.306	0.109

Table 2317: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0805830		0.4417025			0.438	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4 episode	-1.3227087 0.1901058		-1.1562207 3.1539958	0.= 0000	-3.565 0.072	$0.919 \\ 0.308$	$0.048 \\ 0.126$

Table 2318: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1549956	0.1785959	0.8678565	0.3854729	-0.195	0.505	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.0948449	0.6732581	1.6261891	0.1039094	-0.225	2.414	0.078
episode	0.1836606	0.0606524	3.0280873	0.0024611	0.065	0.303	0.113

Table 2319: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1138912	0.1828795	0.6227665	0.5334380	-0.245	0.472	0.00

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
unifrac.PC.2 episode			-0.0830053 3.1265223			1.684 0.307	0.00

Table 2320: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1097669	0.1856485	0.5912619	0.5543449	-0.254	0.474	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.0930075	0.9130508	0.1018645	0.9188642	-1.697	1.883	0.000
episode	0.1889683	0.0604397	3.1265614	0.0017686	0.071	0.307	0.131

Table 2321: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)		0.1909730				000	0.000
unifrac.PC.4 episode	0.1908120		0.5955282 3.1572197	0.00==000			0.012 0.131

Table 2322: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) chao1 episode	0.3403352 -0.0024770 0.1903831	0.0045566	0.7469215 -0.5436170 3.1552727	0.5867050		1.200	0.000 0.012 0.131

Table 2323: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3037912	0.5235522	0.5802499	0.5617461	-0.722	1.330	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0034971	0.0090015	-0.3885037	0.6976433	-0.021	0.014	0.006
episode	0.1902114	0.0604024	3.1490677	0.0016379	0.072	0.309	0.132

Table 2324: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.9731123	0.6993193	1.391514	0.1640698	-0.398	2.344	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.1849735	0.1456649	-1.269857	0.2041355	-0.470	0.101	0.052
episode	0.1965666	0.0605145	3.248259	0.0011611	0.078	0.315	0.133

Table 2325: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.0336554	0.5412494	1.909758	0.0561644	-0.027	2.094	0.000
shannon	-0.3401159	0.1901406	-1.788760	0.0736534	-0.713	0.033	0.092
episode	0.1932651	0.0605233	3.193233	0.0014069	0.075	0.312	0.123

Table 2326: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.834792	1.2961117	8.359459	0.0000000	8.294	13.375	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	6.648968	2.0893050	3.182382	0.0014607	2.554	10.744	0.326
episode	-1.563812	0.4197325	-3.725735	0.0001947	-2.386	-0.741	0.148

Table 2327: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	11.262562	1.5207905	7.405729	0.0000000	8.282	14.243	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-8.397001	7.1129070	-1.180530	0.2377894	-22.338	5.544	0.101
episode	-1.595379	0.4198689	-3.799708	0.0001449	-2.418	-0.772	0.206

Table 2328: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.3		13.9038082		0.9784873		26.876	0.000
episode	-1.5726140	0.4209267	-3.7360758	0.0001869	-2.398	-0.748	0.222

Table 2329: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.792298	1.5151190	7.1230697	0.0000000	7.823	13.762	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.962713	11.8264798	-0.1659592	0.8681890	-25.142	21.217	0.003
episode	-1.571096	0.4208785	-3.7328973	0.0001893	-2.396	-0.746	0.222

Table 2330: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.760325	1.4840980	7.2504142	0.00000000	7.852	13.669	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
unifrac.PC.1	-8.697687	9.5669427	-0.9091397	0.3632764	-27.449	10.053	0.062
episode	-1.580198	0.4205388	-3.7575560	0.0001716	-2.404	-0.756	0.211

Table 2331: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.852409	1.5107335	7.1835365	0.0000000	7.891	13.813	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-2.698408	9.5763845	-0.2817773	0.7781143	-21.468	16.071	0.007
episode	-1.566220	0.4216037	-3.7149096	0.0002033	-2.393	-0.740	0.219

Table 2332: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.882703	1.493850	7.2850026	0.0000000	7.955	13.811	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	5.680478	8.047930	0.7058309	0.4802933	-10.093	21.454	0.043
episode	-1.563046	0.421218	-3.7107757	0.0002066	-2.389	-0.737	0.210

Table 2333: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.4 episode		1.4919331 9.8706852 0.4206718	1.409900	0.0000000 0.1585692 0.0002022	0.200	13.199 33.263 -0.739	0.000 0.141 0.189

Table 2334: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) chao1	10.8018264 0.0000538	4.2354778 0.0151149	2.5503206 0.0035613	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0107624 \\ 0.9971585 \end{array}$	2.500 -0.030	19.103 0.030	0.000
episode	-1.5730753	0.4209225	-3.7372089	0.0001861	-2.398	-0.748	0.223

Table 2335: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.0720800	4.3779409	2.3006432	0.0214118	1.491	18.653	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0047801	0.0264301	0.1808597	0.8564777	-0.047	0.057	0.003
episode	-1.5715465	0.4208197	-3.7344891	0.0001881	-2.396	-0.747	0.222

Table 2336: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	7.4175723	6.9881044	1.061457	0.2884823	-6.279	21.114	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.3488081	0.7012557	0.497405	0.6189035	-1.026	1.723	0.022
episode	-1.5698331	0.4208260	-3.730361	0.0001912	-2.395	-0.745	0.217

Table 2337: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	7.7998046	9.3064095	0.838111	0.4019683	-10.440	26.040	0.00
shannon	0.7112817	2.1671018	0.328218	0.7427469	-3.536	4.959	0.01
episode	-1.5703985	0.4208859	-3.731174	0.0001906	-2.395	-0.745	0.22

Table 2338: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1 episode	-1.6512911	0.6403371	0.6897979 -2.5787841 4.7987822	0.0099149	-0.463 -2.906 0.312	0.965 -0.396 0.744	0.276

Table 2339: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.2	1.4924224	0.4212075 2.0435647	0.7303035	0.4652047	-2.513	5.498	0.0 -0
episode	0.5307237	0.1103394	4.8099195	0.0000015	0.314	0.747	0.309

Table 2340: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2264911	0.4235292	0.5347709	0.5928083	-0.604	1.057	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	1.2300042	3.8553765	0.3190361	0.7496992	-6.326	8.786	0.008
episode	0.5259990	0.1104704	4.7614467	0.0000019	0.309	0.743	0.315

Table 2341: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2583281	0.4125595	0.6261595	0.5312103	-0.550	1.067	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.2153735	3.3130003	-0.0650086	0.9481672	-6.709	6.278	0.000
episode	0.5268202	0.1103882	4.7724336	0.0000018	0.310	0.743	0.318

Table 2342: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.2681843	0.4091715	0.6554325	0.5121893	-0.534	1.070	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.2103758	2.7327056	0.4429221	0.6578221	-4.146	6.566	0.016
episode	0.5279559	0.1103997	4.7822212	0.0000017	0.312	0.744	0.314

Table 2343: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.2475377	0.4102889	0.6033253	0.5462923	-0.557	1.052	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	0.9650987	2.6645357	0.3622015	0.7172015	-4.257	6.187	0.011
episode	0.5243920	0.1106262	4.7402162	0.0000021	0.308	0.741	0.312

Table 2344: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)			0.5908881			1.001	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-1.8706630	2.2296287	-0.8390020	0.4014682	-6.241	2.499	0.059
episode	0.5234467	0.1105302	4.7357790	0.0000022	0.307	0.740	0.296

Table 2345: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.4027099	0.4080601	0.9868886	0.3236973	-0.397	1.202	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-3.6741290	2.7905385	-1.3166380	0.1879600	-9.143	1.795	0.128
episode	0.5249018	0.1103461	4.7568684	0.0000020	0.309	0.741	0.276

Table 2346: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1369797	1.1780624	0.1162754	0.9074343	-2.172	2.446	0.000
chao1	0.0004736	0.0042194	0.1122475	0.9106272	-0.008	0.009	0.001
episode	0.5269427	0.1104202	4.7721574	0.0000018	0.311	0.743	0.318

Table 2347: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3614447	1.2205894	0.2961231	0.7671361	-2.031	2.754	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0006464	0.0073938	-0.0874228	0.9303354	-0.015	0.014	0.001
episode	0.5264326	0.1104042	4.7682310	0.0000019	0.310	0.743	0.318

Table 2348: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.0235617	1.9580430	0.5227473	0.6011501	-2.814	4.861	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.0783062	0.1967505	-0.3979973	0.6906322	-0.464	0.307	0.014
episode	0.5260774	0.1104036	4.7650366	0.0000019	0.310	0.742	0.313

Table 2349: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.2382296	2.5923469	0.4776481	0.6329007	-3.843	6.319	0.000
shannon	-0.2304924	0.6040894	-0.3815534	0.7027927	-1.414	0.954	0.013
episode	0.5257709	0.1104380	4.7607792	0.0000019	0.309	0.742	0.313

Table 2350: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.200822	0.3155437	0.6364318	0.5244950	-0.418	0.819	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-1.525087	0.6072903	-2.5112974	0.0120288	-2.715	-0.335	0.355
episode	0.392394	0.0859173	4.5671109	0.0000049	0.224	0.561	0.193

Table 2351: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1019601	0.3659984	0.2785807	0.7805666	-0.615	0.819	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	2.0128561	1.8839523	1.0684220	0.2853302	-1.680	5.705	0.122
episode	0.3968088	0.0858461	4.6223284	0.0000038	0.229	0.565	0.269

Table 2352: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.2053312	0.3763552	0.5455782	0.5853559	-0.532	0.943	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
wunifrac.PC.3	0.0312222	3.6474397	0.0085600	0.9931702	-7.118	7.180	0.000
episode	0.3936821	0.0859753	4.5790132	0.0000047	0.225	0.562	0.302

Table 2353: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1984059	0.3634986	0.5458232	0.5851875	-0.514	0.911	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.6793603	3.1365187	-0.2165969	0.8285225	-6.827	5.468	0.007
episode	0.3941003	0.0859284	4.5863809	0.0000045	0.226	0.563	0.300

Table 2354: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.2178453	0.3578957	0.6086838	0.5427341	-0.484	0.919	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.7401682	2.5483437	0.6828624	0.4946938	-3.254	6.735	0.054
episode	0.3947056	0.0859326	4.5931992	0.0000044	0.226	0.563	0.287

Table 2355: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)			0.5724761			0.0	0.000
unifrac.PC.2 episode	-0.1441713 0.3938925		-0.0568121 4.5803881	0.00 -00 -0	00	$4.830 \\ 0.562$	$0.000 \\ 0.302$

Table 2356: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1898344	0.3589128	0.5289151	0.5968644	-0.514	0.893	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-1.2904159	2.1470064	-0.6010303	0.5478198	-5.498	2.918	0.048
episode	0.3923553	0.0860160	4.5614227	0.0000051	0.224	0.561	0.286

Table 2357: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.3452892	0.3580076	0.9644747	0.3348080	-0.356	1.047	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-3.5918668	2.6295779	-1.3659481	0.1719553	-8.746	1.562	0.192
episode	0.3916690	0.0859896	4.5548422	0.0000052	0.223	0.560	0.242

Table 2358: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	-0.1981929	1.0982546	-0.1804617	0.8567901	-2.351	1.954	0.000
chao1	0.0015477	0.0039681	0.3900379	0.6965085	-0.006	0.009	0.020
episode	0.3943331	0.0859343	4.5887762	0.0000045	0.226	0.563	0.297

Table 2359: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0988734	1.1468280	0.0862147	0.9312957	-2.149	2.347	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0006903	0.0070034	0.0985638	0.9214846	-0.013	0.014	0.001
episode	0.3938588	0.0859412	4.5828880	0.0000046	0.225	0.562	0.302

Table 2360: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.7273987	1.8550257	0.3921232	0.6949672	-2.908	4.363	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.0535388	0.1869661	-0.2863556	0.7746058	-0.420	0.313	0.011
episode	0.3935250	0.0859524	4.5784089	0.0000047	0.225	0.562	0.298

Table 2361: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3144632	2.4653810	0.1275516	0.8985039	-4.518	5.147	0.000
shannon	-0.0255631	0.5755694	-0.0444136	0.9645747	-1.154	1.103	0.000
episode	0.3937096	0.0859514	4.5806057	0.0000046	0.225	0.562	0.302

Table 2362: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.223243	0.2518260	4.8574907	0.0000012	0.730	1.717	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-1.256295	0.5140449	-2.4439399	0.0145278	-2.264	-0.249	0.506
episode	0.009491	0.0621780	0.1526427	0.8786800	-0.112	0.131	0.000

Table 2363: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.1602694	0.2974612	3.9005735	0.0000960	0.577	1.743	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
wunifrac.PC.2	1.3267567	1.6001953	0.8291217	0.4070355	-1.810	4.463	0.143
episode	0.0115865	0.0621835	0.1863270	0.8521883	-0.110	0.133	0.001

Table 2364: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.2124041	0.3029093	4.0025314	0.0000627	0.619	1.806	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.5691822	3.0413469	0.1871481	0.8515445	-5.392	6.530	0.008
episode	0.0097675	0.0622354	0.1569446	0.8752885	-0.112	0.132	0.001

Table 2365: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.2049261	0.2860820	4.2118201	0.0000253	0.644	1.766	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.1846391	2.5570268	-0.8543669	0.3929017	-7.196	2.827	0.163
episode	0.0100234	0.0621934	0.1611649	0.8719635	-0.112	0.132	0.000

Table 2366: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1 episode	1.2344291 0.8786708 0.0103839	0.2897505 2.1546541 0.0622173	0.4078013	0.0000204 0.6834195 0.8674504	0.667 -3.344 -0.112	1.802 5.102 0.132	0.000 0.038 0.001

Table 2367: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.2437784	0.2910267	4.2737598	0.0000192	0.673	1.814	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.9559697	2.1071684	-0.4536751	0.6500627	-5.086	3.174	0.048
episode	0.0105056	0.0622132	0.1688643	0.8659034	-0.111	0.132	0.001

Table 2368: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.2251776	0.2916485	4.2008709	0.0000266	0.654	1.797	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.2483689	1.8306455	-0.1356728	0.8920799	-3.836	3.340	0.005
episode	0.0097924	0.0622173	0.1573903	0.8749372	-0.112	0.132	0.001

Table 2369: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.3395248	0.2891412	4.6327708	0.0000036	0.773	1.906	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-2.8859921	2.2247436	-1.2972246	0.1945539	-7.246	1.474	0.295
episode	0.0088587	0.0622249	0.1423662	0.8867908	-0.113	0.131	0.000

Table 2370: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3493500	0.8808707	0.3965963	0.6916652	-1.377	2.076	0.000
chao1	0.0033708	0.0031944	1.0552255	0.2913222	-0.003	0.010	0.209
episode	0.0100344	0.0622060	0.1613089	0.8718502	-0.112	0.132	0.000

Table 2371: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)		0.9318775				2.338	0.000
observed_otus	0.0046214	0.0057113	0.8091730	0.4184157	-0.007	0.016	0.144
episode	0.0096722	0.0622150	0.1554635	0.8764559	-0.112	0.132	0.000

Table 2372: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) PD_whole_tree episode	0.7340299 0.0508298 0.0098013	0000-0-	000=	0.7454163	-0.256	3.774 0.358 0.132	0.000 0.028 0.000

Table 2373: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
$\overline{\text{(Intercept)}}$	0.4339575	2.0497949	0.2117078	0.8323350	-3.584	4.451	0.000
$\operatorname{shannon}$	0.1876021	0.4789803	0.3916698	0.6953022	-0.751	1.126	0.039
episode	0.0098724	0.0622151	0.1586826	0.8739190	-0.112	0.132	0.000

Table 2374: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1083252	0.1097357	0.9871461	0.3235710	-0.107	0.323	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.4150305	0.2238300	-1.8542222	0.0637074	-0.854	0.024	0.363
episode	0.0327686	0.0271349	1.2076154	0.2271952	-0.020	0.086	0.019

Table 2375: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0701510	0.1196761	0.586174	0.5577586	-0.164	0.305	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	0.7822824	0.6252939	1.251063	0.2109113	-0.443	2.008	0.228
episode	0.0336424	0.0271063	1.241127	0.2145588	-0.019	0.087	0.024

Table 2376: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1045923	0.1245754	0.8395897	0.4011385	-0.140	0.349	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 3	0.1981926	1.2279524	0.1614009	0.8717777	-2.209	2.605	0.005
episode	0.0327509	0.0271523	1.2061932	0.2277430	-0.020	0.086	0.029

Table 2377: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.4	0.0998245 -0.9755642		0.8512561 -0.9547405		-0.130 -2.978	0.330	0.000 0.165
episode	0.0327286		1.2060462	0.000.000		0.086	0.103 0.024

Table 2378: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1 episode		$\begin{array}{c} 0.1187362 \\ 0.8632706 \\ 0.0271377 \end{array}$	0.5940247	0.5524956	-1.179	0.346 2.205 0.086	0.000 0.065 0.028

Table 2379: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1259992	0.1159200	1.086949	0.2770592	-0.101	0.353	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.9546482	0.8113340	-1.176640	0.2393391	-2.545	0.636	0.203
episode	0.0329619	0.0271396	1.214530	0.2245456	-0.020	0.086	0.024

Table 2380: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1084923	0.1200408	0.9037949	0.3661041	-0.127	0.344	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.1271952	0.7370285	-0.1725784	0.8629828	-1.572	1.317	0.007
episode	0.0327419	0.0271443	1.2062153	0.2277345	-0.020	0.086	0.029

Table 2381: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1183382	0.1249331	0.9472120	0.3435307	-0.127	0.363	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-0.2165834	0.9580558	-0.2260656	0.8211504	-2.094	1.661	0.012
episode	0.0328736	0.0271347	1.2114951	0.2257057	-0.020	0.086	0.029

Table 2382: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	-0.2863479	0.3513705	-0.8149458	0.4151033	-0.975	0.402	0.000
chao1	0.0015215	0.0012702	1.1979028	0.2309548	-0.001	0.004	0.216
episode	0.0326283	0.0271503	1.2017643	0.2294549	-0.021	0.086	0.023

Table 2383: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	-0.2067129	0.3738283	-0.5529622	0.5802893	-0.939	0.526	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0020441	0.0022853	0.8944439	0.3710844	-0.002	0.007	0.144
episode	0.0325525	0.0271514	1.1989266	0.2305565	-0.021	0.086	0.025

Table 2384: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0360745	0.6279552	0.0574475	0.9541887	-1.195	1.267	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.0076161	0.0633425	0.1202360	0.9042962	-0.117	0.132	0.003
episode	0.0328121	0.0271397	1.2090073	0.2266601	-0.020	0.086	0.029

Table 2385: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	-0.3564152	0.8200587	-0.4346216	0.6638372	-1.964	1.251	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
shannon episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1101986 \\ 0.0326928 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1915220 \\ 0.0271472 \end{array}$	$0.5753836 \\ 1.2042785$	0.00000=0	000	0.486 0.086	

Table 2386: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0437533	0.1288291	0.3396228	0.7341406	-0.209	0.296	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.6572580	0.1689778	-3.8896110	0.0001004	-0.988	-0.326	0.299
episode	0.1321129	0.0460690	2.8677161	0.0041345	0.042	0.222	0.099

Table 2387: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0233504	0.1515682	0.1540588	0.8775634	-0.274	0.320	0.000
wunifrac. $PC.2$	0.5911316	0.6389275	0.9251937	0.3548652	-0.661	1.843	0.048
episode	0.1273640	0.0466555	2.7298785	0.0063358	0.036	0.219	0.126

Table 2388: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.3 episode		0.1491992 1.1796708 0.0463194		0.1887647	-0.762	3.862	0.000 0.084 0.115

Table 2389: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
wunifrac.PC.4	0.9165615		0.9160388	0.3596465	-1.045	2.878	0.000
episode	0.1226870	0.0466590	2.6294358	0.0085527	0.031	0.214	0.117

Table 2390: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0657394	0.1374637	0.4782312	0.6324857	-0.204	0.335	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.8147872	0.7293165	2.4883397	0.0128341	0.385	3.244	0.209
episode	0.1277894	0.0460487	2.7750927	0.0055186	0.038	0.218	0.107

Table 2391: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0584774	0.1498448	0.3902533	0.6963492	-0.235	0.352	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.1485083	0.8587893	-0.1729275	0.8627084	-1.832	1.535	0.002
episode	0.1248547	0.0465821	2.6803171	0.0073552	0.034	0.216	0.127

Table 2392: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0562119	0.1497154	0.3754584	0.7073196	-0.237	0.350	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.0214596	0.7283518	-0.0294632	0.9764952	-1.449	1.406	0.000
episode	0.1244409	0.0465530	2.6730991	0.0075154	0.033	0.216	0.127

Table 2393: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1083405	0.1463034	0.7405192	0.4589850	-0.178	0.395	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-1.3719793	0.8449975	-1.6236489	0.1044508	-3.028	0.284	0.126
episode	0.1246896	0.0465778	2.6770202	0.0074280	0.033	0.216	0.111

Table 2394: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)		0.3565658				1.218	0.000
chao1 episode	-0.0017582 0.1211349	0.00====	-1.415892 2.604916	00000.0	-0.004 0.030	$0.001 \\ 0.212$	$0.100 \\ 0.109$

Table 2395: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.4600961	0.3757763	1.224388	0.2208057	-0.276	1.197	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0025878	0.0022240	-1.163600	0.2445861	-0.007	0.002	0.075
episode	0.1228884	0.0464634	2.644846	0.0081728	0.032	0.214	0.115

Table 2396: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.6732890	0.6048995	1.113059	0.2656830	-0.512	1.859	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
PD_whole_tree	-0.0633124	0.0602887	-1.050153	0.2936478	-0.181	0.055	0.064
episode	0.1238913	0.0464299	2.668353	0.0076224	0.033	0.215	0.118

Table 2397: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.0306464	0.7871469	1.309344	0.1904177	-0.512	2.573	0.000
shannon	-0.2296083	0.1824770	-1.258286	0.2082882	-0.587	0.128	0.085
episode	0.1231647	0.0464043	2.654167	0.0079505	0.032	0.214	0.114

Microbiome alpha diversity difference (yr1 vs neo) vs Mask

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.104	0.247	-0.420	0.682	-0.647	0.440	0.000
chao1	0.002	0.001	1.532	0.154	-0.001	0.005	0.164

Table 2399: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.093	0.248	-0.375	0.715	-0.639	0.453	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.003	0.002	1.477	0.168	-0.002	0.008	0.154

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 2400: & div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=11 \\ \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.020	0.368	-0.055	0.957	-0.830	0.790	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.051	0.070	0.724	0.484	-0.103	0.204	0.042

Table 2401: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.116	0.217	-0.533	0.604	-0.593	0.361	0.000
shannon	0.244	0.131	1.859	0.090	-0.045	0.534	0.224

Table 2402: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.984	0.288	3.421	0.006	0.351	1.618	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.002	-1.450	0.175	-0.005	0.001	0.149

Table 2403: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept observed otus	0.901 -0.003	0.298 0.003	3.023	0.0	0.245	1.557 0.003	0.000

Table 2404: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.963	0.421	2.290	0.043	0.037	1.889	0.00
PD_whole_tree	-0.070	0.080	-0.878	0.399	-0.246	0.106	0.06

Table 2405: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.754	0.283	2.664	0.022	0.131	1.376	0.000
shannon	-0.098	0.172	-0.568	0.581	-0.475	0.280	0.026

Table 2406: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs chao1, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.323	2.458	2.979	0.013	1.913	12.734	0.000
chao1	-0.001	0.013	-0.096	0.925	-0.030	0.027	0.001

Table 2407: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.909	2.455	2.814	0.017	1.506	12.313	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.002	0.022	0.095	0.926	-0.046	0.050	0.001

Table 2408: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PD whole tree, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.519	3.402	1.916	0.082	-0.968	14.007	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.120	0.645	0.186	0.856	-1.300	1.541	0.003

Table 2409: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs shannon, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept shannon	8.059 -0.666	2.223 1.348	3.626 -0.494	0.00-	3.167 -3.634	12.952 2.301	0.00

Table 2410: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs chao1, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.416	0.665	2.13	0.057	-0.047	2.879	0.000
chao1	0.000	0.003	0.11	0.914	-0.007	0.008	0.001

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.524	0.664	2.294	0.042	0.062	2.986	0
$observed_otus$	0.000	0.006	-0.074	0.943	-0.013	0.013	0

Table 2412: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=11 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.695	0.919	1.844	0.092	-0.328	3.718	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.043	0.174	-0.247	0.809	-0.427	0.341	0.005

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.248	0.602	2.072	0.063	-0.078	2.574	0.000
shannon	0.164	0.365	0.449	0.662	-0.640	0.968	0.017

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.908	0.640	1.419	000	-0.500	2.316	0.000
chao1	0.001	0.003	0.401		-0.006	0.009	0.013

Table 2415: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.039	0.643	1.617	0.134	-0.375	2.454	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.001	0.006	0.168	0.870	-0.012	0.014	0.002

Table 2416: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.100	0.893	1.233	0.243	-0.864	3.065	0
PD_whole_tree	0.007	0.169	0.041	0.968	-0.366	0.380	0

Table 2417: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=11 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.795	0.577	1.378	0.196	-0.474	2.064	0.000
shannon	0.240	0.350	0.686	0.507	-0.530	1.010	0.038

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.788	0.568	1.387	0.193	-0.463	2.039	0.000
chao1	0.003	0.003	0.996	0.341	-0.004	0.010	0.076

Table 2419: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs_observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.862	0.574	1.500	0.162	-0.402	2.126	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.004	0.005	0.841	0.418	-0.007	0.016	0.056

Table 2420: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.673	0.798	0.844	0.417	-1.083	2.430	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.124	0.151	0.819	0.430	-0.209	0.457	0.053

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept shannon	0.718 0.403	0.504 0.306	1.424 1.318	0.182 0.214	-0.391 -0.270	1.826 1.075	0.000 0.127
pdf	0.403	0.300	1.310	0.214	-0.270	1.075	0.127
2							

Microbiome alpha diversity difference (yr1 vs neo) vs Mask with linear mixed model

Table 2422: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.793761	2.8002047	3.8546330	0.0001159	5.305	16.282	0.000
chao1	-0.001706	0.0135520	-0.1258821	0.8998253	-0.028	0.025	0.002
episode	-1.383202	0.4382171	-3.1564311	0.0015971	-2.242	-0.524	0.182

Table 2423: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.3453292	2.7809431	3.7200794	0.0001992	4.895	15.796	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0015803	0.0228160	0.0692637	0.9447797	-0.043	0.046	0.001
episode	-1.3808422	0.4382105	-3.1510931	0.0016266	-2.240	-0.522	0.181

Table 2424: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	9.993468	3.7370003	2.6741951	0.0074909	2.669	17.318	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.102103	0.6784904	0.1504856	0.8803815	-1.228	1.432	0.002
episode	-1.378927	0.4384794	-3.1447942	0.0016620	-2.238	-0.520	0.180

Table 2425: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	11.566144	2.582569	4.4785424	0.0000075	6.504	16.628	0.000
shannon	-0.744690	1.427869	-0.5215395	0.6019910	-3.543	2.054	0.027
episode	-1.387403	0.438022	-3.1674274	0.0015379	-2.246	-0.529	0.178

Table 2426: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1693405	0.7720487	0.2193392	0.8263858	-1.344	1.683	0.000
chao1	0.0006774	0.0037778	0.1793178	0.8576882	-0.007	0.008	0.004
episode	0.4961231	0.1126873	4.4026524	0.0000107	0.275	0.717	0.302

Table 2427: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2948816	0.7679740	0.3839734	0.7009982	-1.210	1.800	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0001046	0.0063728	-0.0164166	0.9869020	-0.013	0.012	0.000
episode	0.4955695	0.1126849	4.3978320	0.0000109	0.275	0.716	0.302

Table 2428: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.4358245	1.0358886	0.4207253	0.6739557	-1.594	2.466	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.0303386	0.1892793	-0.1602848	0.8726567	-0.401	0.341	0.003
episode	0.4949447	0.1127538	4.3896066	0.0000114	0.274	0.716	0.300

Table 2429: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	-0.0077475	0.7106251	-0.0109024	0.9913013	-1.401	1.385	0.000
shannon	0.2049641	0.3979550	0.5150434	0.6065227	-0.575	0.985	0.027
episode	0.4969776	0.1126563	4.4114499	0.0000103	0.276	0.718	0.295

Table 2430: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	-0.0426969	0.7212952	-0.0591948	0.9527970	-1.456	1.371	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
chao1	0.0015699	0.0035996	0.4361225	0.6627479	-0.005	0.009	0.030
episode	0.3777482	0.0895445	4.2185515	0.0000246	0.202	0.553	0.277

Table 2431: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1022416	0.7219978	0.1416093	0.8873887	-1.313	1.517	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0012125	0.0061150	0.1982786	0.8428271	-0.011	0.013	0.007
episode	0.3774040	0.0895488	4.2145050	0.0000250	0.202	0.553	0.283

Table 2432: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1378910	0.9845558	0.1400541	0.8886173	-1.792	2.068	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.0171477	0.1820045	0.0942158	0.9249377	-0.340	0.374	0.001
episode	0.3773410	0.0895730	4.2126627	0.0000252	0.202	0.553	0.285

Table 2433: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
shannon	-0.1616541 0.2708698	0.3769027	0.7186729	0.4723425	-0.468	1.010	0.000 0.073 0.265
1 /		0.3769027		0.4723425		-	1.010

Table 2434: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.7573556	0.5813114	1.3028398	0.1926295	-0.382	1.897	0.000
chao1	0.0028901	0.0029186	0.9902489	0.3220525	-0.003	0.009	0.204
episode	0.0110970	0.0676788	0.1639663	0.8697576	-0.122	0.144	0.000

Table 2435: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.8289981	0.5848851	1.4173693	0.1563750	-0.317	1.975	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0041885	0.0049857	0.8401089	0.4008473	-0.006	0.014	0.165
episode	0.0108442	0.0676810	0.1602246	0.8727042	-0.122	0.143	0.000

Table 2436: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.6482776	0.8008149	0.8095224	0.4182147	-0.921	2.218	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.1203163	0.1485775	0.8097878	0.4180621	-0.171	0.412	0.151
episode	0.0112205	0.0676778	0.1657933	0.8683196	-0.121	0.144	0.001

Table 2437: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.6849550	0.5214617	1.3135289	0.1890048	-0.337	1.707	0.000
shannon	0.3957178	0.3002805	1.3178270	0.1875616	-0.193	0.984	0.292
episode	0.0109804	0.0676874	0.1622222	0.8711309	-0.122	0.144	0.000

Table 2438: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
	-0.1380230					0.311	0.000
chao1	0.0014981	0.0011653	1.285650	0.1985654	-0.001	0.004	0.383
episode	0.0305810	0.0221400	1.381254	0.1672009	-0.013	0.074	0.025

Table 2439: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus episode	-0.1063801 0.0022251 0.0305498	0.2319293 0.0020039 0.0221392	-0.4586749 1.1103924 1.3798943	0.2668300	-0.561 -0.002 -0.013	0.348 0.006 0.074	0.000 0.334 0.027

Table 2440: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	-0.1322279	0.3262954	-0.4052400	0.6853011	-0.772	0.507	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.0497642	0.0610272	0.8154429	0.4148188	-0.070	0.169	0.214
episode	0.0308126	0.0221322	1.3922086	0.1638592	-0.013	0.074	0.033

Table 2441: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	-0.1492771	0.2047621	-0.7290268	0.4659853	-0.551	0.252	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
shannon	0.1866132	0.1198752	1.5567288	0.1195349	-0.048	0.422	0.451
episode	0.0304880	0.0221464	1.3766571	0.1686183	-0.013	0.074	0.022

Table 2442: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3696114	0.2447688	1.510043	0.1310324	-0.110	0.849	0.000
chao1	-0.0015658	0.0011236	-1.393542	0.1634561	-0.004	0.001	0.117
episode	0.1065620	0.0481982	2.210915	0.0270417	0.012	0.201	0.086

Table 2443: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3274708	0.2469933	1.325829	0.1848965	-0.157	0.812	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0022510	0.0019301	-1.166262	0.2435083	-0.006	0.002	0.091
episode	0.1078928	0.0481973	2.238566	0.0251842	0.013	0.202	0.091

Table 2444: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)			1.0770109			1.015	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.0517659	0.0592409	-0.8738201	0.3822162	-0.168	0.064	0.054
episode	0.1081528	0.0482181	2.2429919	0.0248973	0.014	0.203	0.095

Table 2445: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pval	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.2223068	0.2409992	0.9224383	0.3563000	-0.250	0.695	0.000
shannon	-0.0843407	0.1277250	-0.6603299	0.5090422	-0.335	0.166	0.032
episode	0.1084016	0.0482497	2.2466786	0.0246606	0.014	0.203	0.098