Association of microbiome vs brain in GIMA dataset

Kai Xia

18 December 2018

Corerlation of mask task and the estimated number of testing

Table 1: neo: Correlation matrix of mask task using average

	FacialFear	VocalDistress	BodilyFear	StartleResponse	EscapeBehavior
FacialFear	1.00	0.98	0.87	0.80	0.52
VocalDistress	0.98	1.00	0.88	0.80	0.59
BodilyFear	0.87	0.88	1.00	0.71	0.56
StartleResponse	0.80	0.80	0.71	1.00	0.32
EscapeBehavior	0.52	0.59	0.56	0.32	1.00

Table 2: neo: The estimated number of testing

	Neff	Meff1	Meff2
Estimated Number of Testing	3.6	2.9	2.2

Table 3: yr1: Correlation matrix of mask task using average

		77 1704	D 141 D	G1.5	
	FacialFear	VocalDistress	BodilyFear	StartleResponse	EscapeBehavior
FacialFear	1.00	0.98	0.87	0.80	0.52
VocalDistress	0.98	1.00	0.88	0.80	0.59
BodilyFear	0.87	0.88	1.00	0.71	0.56
StartleResponse	0.80	0.80	0.71	1.00	0.32
EscapeBehavior	0.52	0.59	0.56	0.32	1.00

Table 4: yr1: The estimated number of testing

	Neff	Meff1	Meff2
Estimated Number of Testing	3.6	2.9	2.2

Microbiome beta diversity (PC1 and PC2) correlation (yr1 vs neo)

Table 5: Correlation matrix of beta diversity between neo and yr1

	wunifrac.PC.1.neo	wunifrac.PC.2.neo	wunifrac.PC.1.yr1	wunifrac.PC.2.yr1
wunifrac.PC.1.neo	1.00	0.43	-0.35	-0.04
wunifrac.PC.2.neo	0.43	1.00	-0.36	0.18
wunifrac.PC.1.yr1	-0.35	-0.36	1.00	-0.08
wunifrac. PC. 2. yr1	-0.04	0.18	-0.08	1.00

Association analysis between diversity and covariates using linear model for max, sum and average

Table 6: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	0.198 -0.007	0.329 0.011	0.600 -0.608	0.000	-0.475 -0.028	0.0.0	0.000 0.012

Table 7: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	-0.015 0.020	$0.105 \\ 0.122$	-0.140 0.162	0.000	-0.230 -0.229	$0.200 \\ 0.268$	0.000 0.001

Table 8: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.195 0.006	0.251 0.008	-0.777 0.794	00	-0.708 -0.009	0.318 0.021	0.00

Table 9: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.070	0.112	0.625		-0.158	0.298	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.089	0.126	-0.707	0.485	-0.347	0.169	0.016

Table 10: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.131	0.412	0.318	0.752	-0.71	0.973	0.000
MEDUY	-0.008	0.026	-0.321	0.750	-0.06	0.044	0.003

Table 11: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.317	0.315	1.006	0.322	-0.326	0.959	0.000
PEDUY	-0.020	0.019	-1.020	0.316	-0.059	0.020	0.032

Table 12: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.041	0.083	-0.492	0.626	-0.211	0.129	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.118	0.140	0.838	0.409	-0.169	0.405	0.026
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.040	0.120	0.337	0.739	-0.205	0.285	0.004

Table 13: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.097	0.079	1.228	0.229	-0.065	0.259	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.164	0.103	-1.593	0.122	-0.374	0.046	0.076

Table 14: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.054	0.158	0.341	0.735	-0.268	0.376	0.000
SEX	-0.041	0.113	-0.362	0.720	-0.273	0.190	0.004

Table 15: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.217	1.979	0.615	0.543	-2.825	5.259	
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.004	0.007	-0.615	0.543	-0.019	0.010	0.012

Table 16: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	-0.188 0.000	0.442 0.000	-0.426 0.429	0.673 0.671		0.714	0.000

Table 17: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac. PC.1 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.026	0.064	0.405	0.688	-0.106	0.158	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	-0.076	0.110	-0.691	0.495	-0.301	0.149	0.015

Table 18: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.051	0.060	-0.853	00-	-0.173	0.0	
MPSYCH	0.181	0.112	1.608	0.118	-0.049	0.410	0.077

Table 19: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.065	0.064	1.027	0.313	-0.065	0.195	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.174	0.104	-1.677	0.104	-0.387	0.038	0.083

Table 20: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.017	0.062	-0.278	0.783	-0.144	0.110	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.068	0.224	0.302	0.765	-0.391	0.526	0.003
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.107	0.150	0.715	0.480	-0.199	0.413	0.016
PrePregBMI.Under	-0.117	0.310	-0.378	0.708	-0.753	0.519	0.005

Table 21: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.538 0.018	0.120 0.004	-4.470 4.528	0	-0.784 0.010	-0.292 0.026	0.000

Table 22: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.050	0.049	-1.023	0.314	-0.149	0.049	0.000
METHNIC	0.066	0.056	1.182	0.247	-0.048	0.181	0.043

Table 23: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.197	0.114	-1.730	0.094	-0.430	0.036	0.000
PAGE	0.006	0.003	1.769	0.087	-0.001	0.013	0.092

Table 24: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.040	0.00=	-0.756	0.200	-0.147	0.00.	
PETHNIC	0.051	0.059	0.855	0.399	-0.070	0.172	0.023

Table 25: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.340	0.184	-1.847	0.075	-0.716	0.036	0.000
MEDUY	0.021	0.011	1.862	0.072	-0.002	0.045	0.101

Table 26: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	-0.273 0.017	0.142 0.009	-1.920 1.947	0.00=	-0.563 -0.001	$0.017 \\ 0.035$	0.000

Table 27: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.006	0.039	0.148	0.883	-0.073	0.085	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.061	0.065	-0.935	0.358	-0.194	0.072	0.033
Income.code.MID	0.020	0.056	0.365	0.718	-0.094	0.134	0.005

Table 28: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.052	0.037	-1.422	0.165	-0.128	0.023	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.088	0.048	1.846	0.075	-0.009	0.186	0.099

Table 29: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs SEX, df=30 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.074	-0.148	0.883	-0.163	0.141	0.000
SEX	0.008	0.054	0.157	0.876	-0.101	0.118	0.001

Table 30: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.308	0.908	-1.441	0.16	-3.163	0.546	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.005	0.003	1.442	0.16	-0.002	0.011	0.063

Table 31: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.13	0.208	-0.625	0.537	-0.554	0.294	0.000
BW	0.00	0.000	0.629	0.534	0.000	0.000	0.013

Table 32: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac. PC.2 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.003	0.031	0.086	0.932	-0.060	0.065	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.008	0.052	-0.147	0.884	-0.114	0.099	0.001

Table 33: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	0.010 -0.035	$0.029 \\ 0.055$	0.343 -0.647	0	-0.049 -0.147	0.000	0.000 0.013

Table 34: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.028	0.030	-0.921	0.364	-0.090	0.034	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.074	0.049	1.504	0.143	-0.027	0.175	0.068

Table 35: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.020	0.026	-0.769	0.448	-0.072	0.033	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.035	0.093	0.379	0.708	-0.155	0.225	0.004
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.153	0.062	2.470	0.020	0.026	0.280	0.156
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-0.202	0.128	-1.571	0.127	-0.465	0.061	0.063

Table 36: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.056	0.140	0.400	0.00-	-0.230	0.342	0.000
MAGE	-0.002	0.005	-0.405	0.688	-0.011	0.007	0.005

Table 37: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept METHNIC	-0.019 0.025	$0.044 \\ 0.051$	-0.430 0.496	0.0	-0.110 -0.079	$0.072 \\ 0.130$	0.000

Table 38: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.002	0.108	0.014	0.989	-0.218	0.221	0
PAGE	0.000	0.003	-0.015	0.988	-0.007	0.007	0

Table 39: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.032	0.047	-0.675	0.505	-0.128	0.065	0.000
PETHNIC	0.041	0.053	0.763	0.451	-0.068	0.150	0.018

Table 40: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	0.065 -0.004	0.175	0.374 -0.377			$0.422 \\ 0.018$	

Table 41: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.198 -0.012	0.131 0.008	1.516 -1.537	00	-0.069 -0.029	$0.465 \\ 0.004$	0.000

Table 42: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.013	0.035	0.363	0.719	-0.059	0.085	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Income.code.LOW	-0.001	0.060	-0.013	0.990	-0.123	0.121	0.000
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.034	0.051	-0.663	0.513	-0.138	0.070	0.017

Table 43: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.001	0.035	0.018	0.986	-0.071	0.072	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.001	0.045	-0.023	0.982	-0.094	0.092	0

Table 44: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	0.078 -0.059	0.065 0.047	1.187 -1.259	v. <u> </u>	-0.056 -0.155	0.211 0.037	0.000

Table 45: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.512	0.839	0.610	0.546	-1.202	2.226	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.002	0.003	-0.611	0.546	-0.008	0.004	0.012

Table 46: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.101	0.187	-0.540	0.593	-0.483	0.281	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	0.543	0.591	0.000	0.000	0.009

Table 47: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.027	-0.410	0.685	-0.067	0.045	0.000
${\it Maternal Infection}$	0.033	0.047	0.699	0.490	-0.063	0.128	0.016

Table 48: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.029	0.024	-1.206	0.237	-0.079	0.020	0.000
MPSYCH	0.104	0.046	2.275	0.030	0.011	0.198	0.143

Table 49: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.006	0.028	-0.204	0.839	-0.063	0.052	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.015	0.046	0.334	0.741	-0.079	0.109	0.004

Table 50: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.027	0.024	-1.123	0.271	-0.075	0.022	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.034	0.086	0.391	0.699	-0.142	0.209	0.004
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.109	0.057	1.904	0.067	-0.008	0.226	0.095
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.243	0.119	2.041	0.051	-0.001	0.487	0.108

Table 51: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.103 0.003	0	-0.902 0.914	0.0	0.00.	$0.131 \\ 0.011$	0.000

Table 52: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	0.022 -0.029	$0.037 \\ 0.042$	0.597 -0.690	0.000	-0.053 -0.115	$0.097 \\ 0.057$	0.000 0.015

Table 53: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.091	0.087	1.043	0.305	-0.087	0.269	0.000
PAGE	-0.003	0.003	-1.067	0.295	-0.008	0.003	0.035

Table 54: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.058	0.038	1.543		-0.019	0.135	0.00
PETHNIC	-0.074	0.043	-1.746	0.091	-0.161	0.013	0.09

Table 55: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.082	0.144	-0.571	0.572	-0.376	0.212	0.000
MEDUY	0.005	0.009	0.575	0.569	-0.013	0.023	0.011

Table 56: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	-0.218 0.014	0.105 0.006	-2.086 2.115	$0.046 \\ 0.043$	-0.432 0.000	-0.005 0.027	0.000

Table 57: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.007	0.029	0.232	0.818	-0.053	0.067	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.030	0.049	-0.606	0.549	-0.131	0.071	0.014
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.001	0.042	-0.015	0.988	-0.087	0.086	0.000

Table 58: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.001	0.029	-0.037	0.971	-0.060	0.058	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.002	0.038	0.048	0.962	-0.075	0.079	0

Table 59: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	0.022 -0.017	$0.055 \\ 0.040$	0.404 -0.428	0.000	-0.091 -0.098	$0.135 \\ 0.064$	0.000

Table 60: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=30

Es	stimate Std.	Error t v	alue Pr(>	t) 2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	0.781 -0.003			262 -0.614 262 -0.008		

Table 61: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.146	0.153	0.954	0.347	-0.166	0.459	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	-0.961	0.344	0.000	0.000	0.029

Table 62: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.002	0.023	-0.07	0.944	-0.048	0.045	0
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.005	0.039	0.12	0.905	-0.075	0.084	0

Table 63: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.003	0.022	0.145	0.000	-0.041	0.048	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.011	0.041	-0.273		-0.095	0.073	0.002

Table 64: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.002	0.023	0.092	0.927	-0.045	0.050	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.006	0.038	-0.150	0.882	-0.084	0.072	0.001

Table 65: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.001	0.022	-0.058	0.955	-0.046	0.043	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.014	0.079	0.182	0.857	-0.147	0.176	0.001
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.012	0.053	-0.233	0.817	-0.120	0.095	0.002
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.073	0.109	0.666	0.511	-0.151	0.296	0.014

Table 66: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.142 0.005	$0.176 \\ 0.006$	-0.81 0.82	v v	-0.501 -0.007	$0.217 \\ 0.016$	0.000

Table 67: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.042	0.056	-0.756	0.455	-0.156	0.072	0.000
METHNIC	0.056	0.064	0.873	0.389	-0.075	0.188	0.024

Table 68: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.186 0.006	0.132 0.004	-1.416 1.447	00.	-0.455 -0.002	0.00=	0.000

Table 69: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.001	0.060	-0.023	0.981	-0.125	0.122	0
PETHNIC	0.002	0.068	0.027	0.979	-0.138	0.141	0

Table 70: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.034	0.221	0.156	0.877	-0.417	0.486	0.000
MEDUY	-0.002	0.014	-0.157	0.876	-0.030	0.026	0.001

Table 71: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.072	0.171	-0.419	0.678	-0.421	0.278	0.000
PEDUY	0.004	0.011	0.425	0.674	-0.017	0.026	0.006

Table 72: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.023	0.045	-0.521	0.606	-0.115	0.068	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.025	0.075	0.327	0.746	-0.130	0.179	0.004
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.048	0.064	0.739	0.466	-0.084	0.179	0.021

Table 73: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs OLDERSIB-LINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.006	0.044	0.141	0.889	-0.084	0.097	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.011	0.057	-0.183	0.856	-0.128	0.107	0.001

Table 74: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.007	0.085	-0.088	0.930	-0.181	0.166	0
SEX	0.006	0.061	0.094	0.926	-0.119	0.130	0

Table 75: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.645	1.061	0.608	0.548	-1.522	2.813	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.002	0.004	-0.608	0.547	-0.010	0.006	0.012

Table 76: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.56	0.214	2.615	0.014	0.123	0.998	0.000
BW	0.00	0.000	-2.633	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.183

Table 77: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.019	0.034	-0.556	0.582	-0.089	0.051	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.056	0.059	0.949	0.350	-0.064	0.175	0.028

Table 78: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.012	0.033	-0.378	0.708	-0.080	0.055	0.000
MPSYCH	0.044	0.062	0.714	0.481	-0.083	0.172	0.016

Table 79: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.009	0.036	-0.246	0.807	-0.082	0.064	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.023	0.058	0.402	0.690	-0.095	0.142	0.005

Table 80: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.1 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.031	0.142	0.888	-0.060	0.069	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.114	0.113	1.011	0.321	-0.117	0.345	0.030
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.106	0.075	-1.409	0.170	-0.260	0.048	0.058
PrePregBMI.Under	0.160	0.156	1.026	0.314	-0.160	0.481	0.030

Table 81: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	0.30 -0.01	$0.147 \\ 0.005$	2.043 -2.069	$0.050 \\ 0.047$	0.00 -0.02	0.000	0.000 0.121

Table 82: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	-0.060 0.079	$0.048 \\ 0.056$	-1.236 1.428	0	-0.158 -0.034	$0.039 \\ 0.193$	0.000

Table 83: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.076	0.119	0.641	0.526	-0.167	0.320	0.000
PAGE	-0.002	0.004	-0.655	0.517	-0.010	0.005	0.014

Table 84: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.047	0.052	-0.905	0.373	-0.154	0.060	0.000
PETHNIC	0.061	0.059	1.024	0.314	-0.060	0.182	0.033

Table 85: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.108	0.194	0.556	0.582	-0.289	0.505	0.00
MEDUY	-0.007	0.012	-0.561	0.579	-0.031	0.018	0.01

Table 86: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.255	0.144	1.773	0.086	-0.039	0.549	0.000
PEDUY	-0.016	0.009	-1.798	0.082	-0.034	0.002	0.094

Table 87: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.006	0.039	0.157	0.876	-0.074	0.086	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.051	0.066	-0.773	0.446	-0.186	0.084	0.023
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.013	0.056	0.239	0.813	-0.102	0.129	0.002

Table 88: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.041	0.038	1.097	0.282	-0.036	0.119	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.070	0.049	-1.423	0.165	-0.170	0.030	0.061

Table 89: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	0.057 -0.043	$0.074 \\ 0.053$	0.764 -0.810	00-	-0.095 -0.152	000	0.000 0.021

Table 90: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	0.708 -0.003	0.933 0.003	0.759 -0.759	00-	-1.198 -0.009		0.000

Table 91: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.273	0.204	-1.342	0.190	-0.689	0.143	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
BW	0.000	0.000	1.351	0.187	0.000	0.000	0.056

Table 92: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.040	0.028	-1.412	0.168	-0.097	0.018	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.116	0.048	2.408	0.022	0.018	0.214	0.158

Table 93: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.023	0.028	-0.829	0.414	-0.081	0.034	0.000
MPSYCH	0.083	0.053	1.562	0.129	-0.026	0.192	0.073

Table 94: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.021	0.031	-0.696	0.492	-0.084	0.042	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	0.057	0.050	1.137	0.265	-0.046	0.160	0.04

Table 95: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.2 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.021	0.028	-0.774	0.445	-0.078	0.035	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.141	0.100	1.419	0.167	-0.063	0.345	0.057
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.034	0.066	0.516	0.610	-0.102	0.170	0.008
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.230	0.138	1.665	0.107	-0.053	0.512	0.077

Table 96: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.148	0.144	-1.022	0.315	-0.442	0.147	0.000
MAGE	0.005	0.005	1.036	0.309	-0.005	0.014	0.033

Table 97: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.032	0.046	0.690	0.496	-0.063	0.126	0.00

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
METHNIC	-0.042	0.053	-0.796	0.432	-0.151	0.067	0.02

Table 98: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	0.051 -0.002	0.112 0.003	0.45 -0.46	0.000	-0.179 -0.008	$0.280 \\ 0.005$	0.000 0.007

Table 99: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.039	0.049	0.788	0.437	-0.062	0.139	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.050	0.056	-0.892	0.380	-0.164	0.064	0.025

Table 100: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.169	0.180	-0.935	0.357	-0.537	0.200	0.000
MEDUY	0.011	0.011	0.943	0.353	-0.012	0.033	0.028

Table 101: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.221	0.136	-1.626	0.114	-0.498	0.057	0.000
PEDUY	0.014	0.008	1.648	0.110	-0.003	0.031	0.081

Table 102: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.036	0.508	0.615	-0.055	0.092	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.079	0.061	-1.293	0.206	-0.204	0.046	0.061
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.003	0.052	-0.056	0.956	-0.109	0.104	0.000

Table 103: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.043	0.035	-1.233	0.227	-0.115	0.028	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.073	0.046	1.601	0.120	-0.020	0.166	0.076

Table 104: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	0.076 -0.058	0.069 0.049	1.114 -1.181	v. <u>-</u> , -	-0.064 -0.159	0.216 0.042	0.000

Table 105: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.353	0.881	-0.401	0.691	-2.152	1.446	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.001	0.003	0.401	0.691	-0.005	0.008	0.005

Table 106: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs BW, df=30

•	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.061	0.196	0.312	0.757	-0.34	0.462	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	-0.314	0.756	0.00	0.000	0.003

Table 107: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.014	0.029	-0.488	0.629	-0.072	0.044	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.040	0.049	0.833	0.412	-0.059	0.140	0.022

Table 108: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	0.028 -0.100	0.026 0.049	1.087 -2.049	0.200	-0.025 -0.199	0.00-	0.000 0.119

Table 109: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	-0.018 0.047	$0.029 \\ 0.047$	-0.608 0.992	0.0 =0	-0.077 -0.050	0.042 0.144	0.000 0.031

Table 110: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.3 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.012	0.028	0.420	0.678	-0.045	0.068	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.042	0.099	-0.425	0.674	-0.246	0.161	0.006
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.044	0.066	-0.660	0.515	-0.180	0.092	0.014
PrePregBMI.Under	-0.067	0.138	-0.484	0.632	-0.349	0.215	0.007

Table 111: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.164	0.132	-1.243	0.223	-0.433	0.105	0.000
MAGE	0.005	0.004	1.259	0.218	-0.003	0.014	0.049

Table 112: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.009	0.043	-0.206	0.838	-0.097	0.079	0.000
METHNIC	0.012	0.050	0.238	0.814	-0.090	0.113	0.002

Table 113: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.165	0.099	-1.671	000	-0.368	0.037	0.000
PAGE	0.005	0.003	1.709		-0.001	0.011	0.086

Table 114: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.018	0.046	-0.398	0.000	-0.112	0.0.0	0.000
PETHNIC	0.023	0.052	0.450	0.656	-0.083	0.129	0.006

Table 115: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.059	0.168	-0.353	0.726	-0.403	0.284	0.000
MEDUY	0.004	0.010	0.356	0.724	-0.018	0.025	0.004

Table 116: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.238	0.123	1.939	0.062	-0.013	0.490	0.000
PEDUY	-0.015	0.008	-1.966	0.059	-0.030	0.001	0.111

Table 117: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Income.code.LOW	0.034	0.033 0.056	1.041 -1.413	$0.306 \\ 0.168$	-0.033 -0.194	$0.102 \\ 0.035$	0.000
Income.code.MID	-0.046	0.048	-0.958	0.346	-0.143	0.052	0.032

Table 118: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs OLDERSIB-LINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.029	0.033	-0.871	0.391	-0.096	0.039	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.048	0.043	1.131	0.267	-0.039	0.136	0.04

Table 119: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.056		-0.887			0.074	
SEX	0.043	0.046	0.940	0.355	-0.050	0.136	0.028

Table 120: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.1	0.813	0.123	0.903	-1.561	1.761	0
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.0	0.003	-0.123	0.903	-0.006	0.006	0

Table 121: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	0.141 0.000	0.179 0.000	0.789 -0.794		-0.225 0.000	$0.507 \\ 0.000$	0.00 0.02

Table 122: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.008	0.026	0.320	0.752	-0.046	0.062	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.025	0.045	-0.545	0.590	-0.117	0.067	0.009

Table 123: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	0.012	0.025 0.047	0.466 -0.879		-0.039 -0.138	0.063 0.055	0.000

Table 124: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.002	0.027	-0.086	0.932	-0.058	0.053	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.006	0.044	0.140	0.890	-0.084	0.097	0.001

Table 125: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: unifrac.PC.4 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.015	0.025	-0.623	0.538	-0.066	0.035	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.094	0.089	1.060	0.298	-0.088	0.276	0.034
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.033	0.059	0.553	0.585	-0.089	0.155	0.009
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.139	0.123	1.127	0.269	-0.114	0.392	0.038

Table 126: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	117.15	34.685	3.378	0.002	46.315	187.985	0.000
MAGE	-0.78	1.131	-0.690	0.496	-3.089	1.530	0.015

Table 127: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept METHNIC	89.336 5.599	$11.077 \\ 12.791$	$8.065 \\ 0.438$	$0.000 \\ 0.665$		$111.959 \\ 31.722$	

Table 128: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	81.782 0.362	$26.703 \\ 0.805$	$3.063 \\ 0.450$	0.000	27.246 -1.281	$136.317 \\ 2.005$	0.000

Table 129: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	103.251	11.709	8.818	0.000	79.338	127.165	0.000
PETHNIC	-12.436	13.247	-0.939	0.355	-39.491	14.619	0.028

Table 130: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	124.810	43.177	2.891	0.007	36.631	212.989	0.000
MEDUY	-1.958	2.682	-0.730	0.471	-7.435	3.518	0.017

Table 131: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	143.277 -3.103	32.480 1.998	4.411 -1.553			209.611 0.979	

Table 132: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	105.800	8.300	12.748	0.000	88.826	122.774	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-14.830	14.029	-1.057	0.299	-43.522	13.862	0.036
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-24.053	11.979	-2.008	0.054	-48.554	0.447	0.130

Table 133: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	97.199	8.674	11.206	0.000	79.484	114.914	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	-6.169	11.257	-0.548	0.588	-29.159	16.821	0.01

Table 134: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	93.161	16.686	5.583	0.000	59.084	127.238	0
SEX	0.286	11.987	0.024	0.981	-24.196	24.767	0

Table 135: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	384.131	203.362	1.889	0.069	-31.189	799.451	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-1.051	0.736	-1.429	0.163	-2.554	0.451	0.062

Table 136: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	129.875 -0.011	46.304 0.014	2.805 -0.790		35.309 -0.039	224.440 0.017	

Table 137: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MaternalInfection	89.140 12.788	6.721 11.463	13.263 1.116		75.414 -10.623	102.866 36.199	0.000

Table 138: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	93.912	6.553	14.332	0.000	80.53	107.294	0
MPSYCH	-1.336	12.356	-0.108	0.915	-26.57	23.898	0

Table 139: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	104.219	6.265	16.635	0.000	91.424	117.014	0.0
VITAMINDNEO	-28.488	10.231	-2.785	0.009	-49.382	-7.594	0.2

Table 140: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: chao1 vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	92.184	6.581	14.007	0.000	78.703	105.665	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	13.756	23.729	0.580	0.567	-34.850	62.362	0.011
PrePregBMI.Overweight	5.013	15.849	0.316	0.754	-27.453	37.479	0.003
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-9.319	32.906	-0.283	0.779	-76.723	58.086	0.003

Table 141: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	75.649	20.194	3.746	0.001	34.408	116.890	0.000
MAGE	-0.632	0.658	-0.960	0.344	-1.977	0.712	0.029

Table 142: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	54.200	6.499	8.340	0.000	40.927	67.473	0.000
METHNIC	3.067	7.504	0.409	0.686	-12.259	18.393	0.005

Table 143: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	55.337	15.712	3.522	0.001	23.249	87.425	0
PAGE	0.036	0.473	0.076	0.940	-0.931	1.003	0

Table 144: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	62.771	6.846	9.170	0.000	48.791	76.752	0.000
PETHNIC	-8.027	7.745	-1.036	0.308	-23.845	7.790	0.033

Table 145: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	72.946 -1.030	25.366 1.575	2.876 -0.654			124.749 2.188	

Table 146: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	82.287 -1.609	19.215 1.182	4.282 -1.361		43.044 -4.023	121.529 0.806	$0.000 \\ 0.056$

Table 147: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	63.246	4.883	12.951	0.000	53.258	73.234	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-7.046	8.254	-0.854	0.400	-23.928	9.836	0.024
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-13.879	7.049	-1.969	0.059	-28.295	0.536	0.128

Table 148: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs OLDERSIB-LINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	60.200	5.037	11.952	0.000	49.914	70.486	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-6.232	6.537	-0.953	0.348	-19.581	7.118	0.028

Table 149: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	56.29	9.785	5.752	0.000	36.305	76.275	0
SEX	0.16	7.030	0.023	0.982	-14.197	14.517	0

Table 150: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	153.211	121.986	1.256	0.219	-95.918	402.340	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.350	0.441	-0.793	0.434	-1.251	0.551	0.02

Table 151: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	69.958	27.325	2.560	0.016	14.153	125.763	0.000
$_{ m BW}$	-0.004	0.008	-0.496	0.623	-0.021	0.013	0.008

Table 152: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	54.443	3.971	13.710	0.000	46.333	62.553	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	5.984	6.773	0.884	0.384	-7.848	19.817	0.025

Table 153: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	55.252 4.437	3.819 7.202	$14.466 \\ 0.616$	0.000	47.452 -10.272	00.000	0.000

Table 154: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	61.700	3.819	16.156	0.000	53.900	69.50	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-13.867	6.237	-2.223	0.034	-26.603	-1.13	0.138

Table 155: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: observed_otus vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	56.479	3.866	14.608	0.000	48.559	64.399	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	7.021	13.940	0.504	0.618	-21.534	35.576	0.008
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-1.419	9.311	-0.152	0.880	-20.493	17.654	0.001
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-6.279	19.332	-0.325	0.748	-45.878	33.320	0.003

Table 156: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.778	1.089	6.223	0.000	4.553	9.002	0.000
MAGE	-0.068	0.036	-1.919	0.064	-0.141	0.004	0.106

Table 157: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.748	0.367	12.946	0.000	3.999	5.497	0
METHNIC	-0.046	0.424	-0.109	0.914	-0.911	0.819	0

Table 158: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	5.126 -0.013	$0.881 \\ 0.027$	5.817 -0.478	0.000	3.326 -0.067	$6.926 \\ 0.042$	0.000

Table 159: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	4.965 -0.322	0.000	12.774 -0.733	0.000	4.172 -1.220	0.,00	

Table 160: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.647	1.393	4.771	0.000	3.801	9.492	0.000
MEDUY	-0.121	0.087	-1.399	0.172	-0.298	0.056	0.059

Table 161: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.479	1.066	6.08	0.000	4.303	8.655	0.000
PEDUY	-0.110	0.066	-1.68	0.103	-0.244	0.024	0.083

Table 162: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.872	0.276	17.623	0.000	4.306	5.437	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.248	0.467	0.532	0.599	-0.707	1.204	0.010
Income.code.MID	-0.567	0.399	-1.420	0.166	-1.383	0.249	0.072

Table 163: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs OLDER-SIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.968	0.281	17.654	0.00	4.393	5.543	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.428	0.365	-1.173	0.25	-1.174	0.318	0.042

Table 164: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.563	0.550	8.296	0.000	3.440	5.687	0.000
SEX	0.114	0.395	0.290	0.774	-0.693	0.922	0.003

Table 165: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	12.949	6.773	1.912	0.065	-0.884	26.782	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.030	0.024	-1.216	0.233	-0.080	0.020	0.046

Table 166: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.77	1.535	2.457	0.02	0.636	6.904	0.000
BW	0.00	0.000	0.619	0.54	-0.001	0.001	0.012

Table 167: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs Maternal-Infection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.686	0.226	20.71	0.000	4.224	5.148	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.081	0.386	0.21	0.835	-0.707	0.869	0.001

Table 168: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	4.592 0.432	0.212 0.400	21.633 1.079	0.000	4.159 -0.385	5.026 1.250	

Table 169: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs VITA-MINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.936	0.222	22.202	0.000	4.482	5.390	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.593	0.363	-1.634	0.113	-1.335	0.148	0.079

Table 170: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: PD_whole_tree vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.653	0.217	21.444	0.000	4.209	5.098	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.343	0.782	0.439	0.664	-1.259	1.946	0.006
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.304	0.523	0.582	0.565	-0.766	1.375	0.011
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-0.274	1.085	-0.252	0.803	-2.496	1.949	0.002

Table 171: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs MAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	3.482 -0.026	$0.693 \\ 0.023$	5.026 -1.164	$0.000 \\ 0.254$	2.067 -0.072	1.00.	0.000 0.042

Table 172: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs METHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.627	0.225	11.685	0.000	2.168	3.086	0.000
METHNIC	0.078	0.260	0.302	0.765	-0.452	0.609	0.003

Table 173: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs PAGE, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	2.857 -0.005	$0.542 \\ 0.016$	5.271 -0.321		1.750 -0.039	$3.963 \\ 0.028$	0.000

Table 174: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs PETHNIC, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	$2.628 \\ 0.075$	0.240 0.272	$10.929 \\ 0.275$	0.000	2.137 -0.481	00	0.000 0.002

Table 175: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs MEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.054	0.880	3.471	0.00=	1.257	4.852	0.000
MEDUY	-0.023	0.055	-0.422	0.676	-0.135	0.089	0.006

Table 176: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs PEDUY, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.758	0.684	4.033	0.000	1.362	4.155	0
PEDUY	-0.005	0.042	-0.107	0.915	-0.090	0.081	0

Table 177: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs Income.code, df=29

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.815	0.164	17.206	0.000	2.48	3.150	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.176	0.277	0.636	0.530	-0.39	0.741	0.014
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.447	0.236	-1.891	0.069	-0.93	0.036	0.120

Table 178: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.616	0.176	14.874	0.000	2.256	2.975	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.119	0.228	0.520	0.607	-0.347	0.585	0.009

Table 179: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs SEX, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	2.87 -0.14	$0.336 \\ 0.242$	8.535 -0.579	$0.000 \\ 0.567$	2.183 -0.633	3.556 0.353	0.000 0.011

Table 180: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	6.554 -0.014	4.200 0.015	1.561 -0.921		-2.023 -0.045	15.131 0.017	

Table 181: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs BW, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.873	0.947	3.032	0.005	0.938	4.807	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	-0.198	0.844	-0.001	0.001	0.001

Table 182: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs MaternalInfection, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.621	0.137	19.062	0.000	2.340	2.901	0.000
${\bf Maternal In fection}$	0.190	0.234	0.811	0.424	-0.289	0.669	0.021

Table 183: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs MPSYCH, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.576	0.127	20.240	0.000	2.316	2.836	0.000
MPSYCH	0.392	0.240	1.632	0.113	-0.099	0.882	0.079

Table 184: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs VITAMINDNEO, df=30

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.933	0.122	24.049	0.000	2.684	3.182	0.00

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
VITAMINDNEO	-0.658	0.199	-3.303	0.002	-1.065	-0.251	0.26

Table 185: cvrt_vs_diversity_neo: shannon vs PrePregBMI, df=28

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.760	0.131	21.029	0.000	2.491	3.029	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.438	0.473	-0.925	0.363	-1.407	0.531	0.027
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.273	0.316	-0.863	0.396	-0.920	0.375	0.023
PrePregBMI.Under	-0.117	0.656	-0.178	0.860	-1.461	1.227	0.001

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
# neo mask task vs dive	rsity						

Table 186: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MasksPresented vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.661	0.177	20.723	0.000	3.288	4.033	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 1	0.573	0.561	1.022	0.321	-0.610	1.755	0.055

Table 187: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.632	0.179	20.297	0.00	3.254	4.009	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.432	1.186	-0.364	0.72	-2.933	2.070	0.007

Table 188: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.598	0.179	20.111	0.000	3.220	3.975	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	1.292	1.379	0.937	0.362	-1.618	4.202	0.046

Table 189: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.674	0.179	20.484	0.000	3.296	4.053	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	1.848	1.815	1.018	0.323	-1.982	5.678	0.054

Table 190: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.642	0.183	19.907	0.000	3.256	4.028	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	0.318	1.137	0.279	0.783	-2.081	2.716	0.004

Table 191: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MasksPresented vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.631	0.180	20.157	0.00	3.251	4.012	0
unifrac. $PC.2$	0.017	1.423	0.012	0.99	-2.986	3.020	0

Table 192: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	3.695 -2.036	00	21.306 -1.528	0.000	3.329 -4.847		0.000 0.115

Table 193: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs unifrac. PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.768	0.2.0	21.150	0.000	3.392	4.144	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-4.894	2.569	-1.905	0.074	-10.315	0.527	0.168

Table 194: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MasksPresented vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.705	0.648	4.175	0.001	1.338	4.072	0.000
chao1	0.010	0.007	1.482	0.157	-0.004	0.024	0.109

Table 195: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.346	0.731	3.212	0.005	0.805	3.888	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.023	0.013	1.806	0.089	-0.004	0.051	0.153

Table 196: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.640	0.803	0.796	0.437	-1.055	2.334	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.635	0.168	3.776	0.002	0.280	0.990	0.442

Table 197: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masks Presented vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.130 0.551	0.816 0.293	2.609 1.878	0.018 0.078	0.407 -0.068	3.852 1.170	

Table 198: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.345	0.989	3.38	0.004	1.257	5.432	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 1	-0.470	3.140	-0.15	0.883	-7.094	6.154	0.001

Table 199: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.367	0.941	3.576	0.002	1.381	5.353	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	7.151	6.239	1.146	0.268	-6.012	20.313	0.068

Table 200: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.985	0.887	3.366	0.004	1.114	4.856	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	14.556	6.836	2.129	0.048	0.132	28.979	0.201

Table 201: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.865	0.864	4.474	0.000	2.042	5.688	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	21.446	8.743	2.453	0.025	3.000	39.892	0.251

Table 202: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.099	0.943	3.286	0.004	1.109	5.089	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-8.325	5.860	-1.421	0.174	-20.690	4.039	0.101

Table 203: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.469	0.928	3.738	0.002	1.511	5.426	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	-10.277	7.331	-1.402	0.179	-25.745	5.191	0.098

Table 204: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	3.213 5.020	0.994 7.633	3.234 0.658	$0.005 \\ 0.520$	1.117 -11.085	0.000	0.000 0.023

Table 205: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.447	1.067	3.231	0.005	1.196	5.697	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-2.803	15.383	-0.182	0.858	-35.258	29.653	0.002

Table 206: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.747	3.742	0.734	0.473	-5.147	10.641	0.000
chao1	0.007	0.039	0.172	0.865	-0.075	0.089	0.002

Table 207: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.970	4.337	0.685	0.503	-6.182	12.121	0
$observed_otus$	0.007	0.077	0.094	0.926	-0.154	0.169	0

Table 208: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	3.995 -0.133	5.924 1.241	0.0		-8.503 -2.751	16.492 2.485	

Table 209: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.911	4.535	-0.862	0.40	-13.480	5.657	0.00
shannon	2.670	1.630	1.638	0.12	-0.768	6.108	0.13

Table 210: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	$2.428 \\ 0.145$	$0.268 \\ 0.850$	9.062 0.171	0.000 0.866	1.863 -1.649		0.000 0.002

Table 211: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.421	0.252	9.611	0.000	1.89	2.953	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-2.218	1.669	-1.329	0.202	-5.74	1.30^{4}	4

Table 212: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.526	0.240	10.533	0.000	2.020	3.032	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-3.969	1.849	-2.147	0.047	-7.869	-0.068	0.204

Table 213: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.309	0.246	9.378	0.000	1.789	2.828	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-4.855	2.492	-1.948	0.068	-10.111	0.402	0.174

Table 214: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	2.511 2.779	0.247 1.538	10.146 1.807	0.000 0.088	1.989 -0.465	0.000	$0.000 \\ 0.154$

Table 215: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.395	0.253	9.475	0.000	1.862	2.929	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	2.643	1.997	1.323	0.203	-1.571	6.857	0.089

Table 216: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	2.439 -0.585	0.272 2.089	8.97 -0.28	0.000 0.783	1.865 -4.992	0.010	0.000

Table 217: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.394	0.289	8.291	0.00	1.785	3.003	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	0.964	4.165	0.231	0.82	-7.823	9.750	0.003

Table 218: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.892	1.006	1.881	0.077	-0.230	4.014	0.000
chao1	0.006	0.010	0.545	0.593	-0.016	0.028	0.016

Table 219: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.802	1.165	1.546	0.140	-0.656	4.260	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.011	0.021	0.546	0.592	-0.032	0.055	0.016

Table 220: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.655	1.594	1.038	0.314	-1.709	5.018	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.163	0.334	0.488	0.632	-0.542	0.867	0.013

Table 221: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.789	1.278	2.965	0.009	1.093	6.485	0.000
shannon	-0.502	0.459	-1.092	0.290	-1.470	0.467	0.062

Table 222: mask_vs_diversity_neo: Masty_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

MaskMaxInten-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	2.094 -0.217	0.274 0.871	7.630 -0.249	0.000	1.515 -2.055	2.673 1.620	0.000

Table 223: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.106	0.257	8.196	0.000	1.564	2.648	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-2.389	1.702	-1.403	0.179	-5.981	1.203	0.099

Table 224: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.183	0.261	8.359	0.000	1.632	2.734	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.951	2.013	-1.466	0.161	-7.199	1.297	0.107

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.996	0.255	7.823	0.000	1.457	2.534	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-4.730	2.582	-1.832	0.085	-10.178	0.718	0.157

Table 226: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.188	0.259	8.459	0.000	1.642	2.733	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	2.545	1.607	1.584	0.132	-0.845	5.936	0.122

Table 227: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.08	0.261	7.980	0.000	1.530	2.630	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	2.55	2.060	1.238	0.233	-1.796	6.895	0.078

Table 228: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.111	0.279	7.556	0.000	1.522	2.700	0
unifrac.PC.3	-0.183	2.146	-0.085	0.933	-4.711	4.345	0

Table 229: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.075	0.296	7.011	0.000	1.451	2.700	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	1.075	4.269	0.252	0.804	-7.931	10.081	0.004

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.456	1.036	2.37	0.03	0.269	4.642	0.000
chao1	-0.004	0.011	-0.35	0.73	-0.027	0.019	0.007

Table 231: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.298	1.204	1.909	0.073	-0.242	4.838	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.003	0.021	-0.164	0.871	-0.048	0.041	0.002

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.596	1.641	1.582	0.132	-0.866	6.059	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.104	0.344	-0.303	0.765	-0.830	0.621	0.005

Table 233: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept shannon	3.618 -0.555	1.303 0.468	2.778 -1.185	0.0-0	0.870 -1.542	0.000	$0.000 \\ 0.072$

Table 234: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.794 0.083	0.219 0.696	8.176 0.120	0.000 0.906	1.331 -1.385	2.257 1.552	0.000

Table 235: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.790	0.214	8.345	0.000	1.337	2.242	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-0.839	1.421	-0.590	0.563	-3.837	2.159	0.019

Table 236: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.879	0.193	9.714	0.000	1.471	2.288	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-3.412	1.492	-2.287	0.035	-6.559	-0.265	0.225

Table 237: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.75	0.219	7.989	0.000	1.288	2.212	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.71	2.217	-0.771	0.451	-6.387	2.967	0.032

Table 238: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	1.858 2.128	$0.205 \\ 1.274$	$9.067 \\ 1.671$	0.000 0.113	1.426 -0.559		0.000 0.134

Table 239: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.775	0.213	8.345	0.000	1.327	2.224	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.437	1.681	0.855	0.405	-2.110	4.983	0.039

Table 240: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.777	0.223	7.980	0.000	1.307		0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.400	1.711	0.234	0.818	-3.210		0.003

Table 241: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.679	0.227	7.391	0.000	1.200	2.158	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	3.951	3.276	1.206	0.244	-2.962	10.863	0.075

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.510	0.810	3.098	0.007	0.801	4.22	0.000
chao1	-0.008	0.008	-0.921	0.370	-0.026	0.01	0.045

Table 243: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.938	0.918	3.199	0.005	1.000	4.875	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.021	0.016	-1.283	0.217	-0.055	0.013	0.084

Table 244: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.586	1.237	2.898	0.010	0.975	6.196	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.381	0.259	-1.472	0.159	-0.928	0.165	0.107

Table 245: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept shannon	4.510 -0.998	0.847 0.304	5.327 -3.280	0.000	2.724 -1.640	6.297 -0.356	

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 246: & mask_vs_diversity_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	$0.383 \\ 0.280$	0.117 0.370	$3.281 \\ 0.756$	0.00=	0.137 -0.501	0.0_0	$0.000 \\ 0.031$

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 247: & mask_vs_diversity_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.369	0.114	3.243	0.005	0.129	0.608	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-0.759	0.753	-1.007	0.328	-2.348	0.830	0.053

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 248: & mask_vs_diversity_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.397	0.115	3.458	0.003	0.155	0.638	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-1.066	0.884	-1.206	0.244	-2.932	0.799	0.075

Table 249: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.352	0.119	2.956	0.009	0.101	0.604	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.693	1.206	-0.574	0.573	-3.238	1.852	0.018

Table 250: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.363	0.119	3.045	0.007	0.112	0.615	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-0.162	0.741	-0.219	0.829	-1.726	1.401	0.003

Table 251: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.2	$0.364 \\ 0.470$	0.116 0.920	$3.124 \\ 0.511$	0.000	0.118 -1.471	0.0-0	0.000 0.014

Table 252: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	0.377 -0.269	0.120 0.923	3.135 -0.291	0.000	0.123 -2.216	0.000	0.000 0.005

Table 253: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.265	0.112	2.373	0.030	0.029	0.500	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	3.711	1.609	2.307	0.034	0.317	7.106	0.228

Table 254: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.560	0.446	1.255	0.226	-0.381	1.500	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.005	-0.444	0.662	-0.012	0.008	0.011

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.873	0.504	1.733	0.101	-0.190	1.937	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.009	0.009	-1.028	0.318	-0.028	0.010	0.055

Table 256: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.635	0.706	0.899	0.381	-0.855	2.126	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.057	0.148	-0.383	0.707	-0.369	0.256	0.008

Table 257: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.278	0.539	2.371	0.030	0.141	2.416	0.000
shannon	-0.334	0.194	-1.723	0.103	-0.742	0.075	0.142

Table 258: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.991 -0.170	0.195 0.618	5.088 -0.276	0.000	0.580 -1.475	1.402 1.134	0.000

Table 259: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.192	5.222	0.000	0.596	1.404	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.597	1.269	-0.470	0.644	-3.274	2.081	0.012

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 260: & mask_vs_diversity_neo: & MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.072	0.177	6.062	0.00	0.699	1.446	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.746	1.364	-2.013	0.06	-5.623	0.131	0.184

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.975	0.197	4.960	0.000	0.560	1.39	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.078	1.990	-0.542	0.595	-5.275	3.12	0.016

Table 262: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.049	0.188	5.590	0.000	0.653		0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.515	1.166	1.299	0.211	-0.945		0.086

Table 263: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.991	0.191	5.183	0.000	0.588	1.394	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	0.928	1.511	0.614	0.547	-2.259	4.116	0.021

Table 264: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	0.962 1.219	0.195 1.496	4.942 0.815	$0.000 \\ 0.426$	0.551 -1.937		0.000 0.036

Table 265: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.996	0.211	4.730	0.000	0.552	1.440	0
unifrac.PC.4	0.137	3.037	0.045	0.964	-6.271	6.545	0

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.206	0.737	1.637	0.120	-0.349	2.761	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.008	-0.290	0.775	-0.018	0.014	0.005

Table 267: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.225	0.854	1.435	0.17	-0.577	3.027	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.004	0.015	-0.270	0.79	-0.036	0.028	0.004

Table 268: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.084	1.138	1.831	0.085	-0.317	4.485	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.230	0.238	-0.966	0.348	-0.733	0.273	0.049

Table 269: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.730	0.862	3.167	0.006	0.911	4.549	0.000
shannon	-0.635	0.310	-2.048	0.056	-1.288	0.019	0.189

Table 270: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.170	0.876	7.041	0.000	4.321	8.019	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.796	2.781	-0.286	0.778	-6.662	5.071	0.005

Table 271: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.210	0.847	7.329	0.000	4.422	7.997	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	5.026	5.614	0.895	0.383	-6.819	16.871	0.043

Table 272: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.866	0.784	7.480	0.000	4.212	7.521	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	13.066	6.046	2.161	0.045	0.310	25.823	0.206

Table 273: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.593	0.799	8.249	0.000	4.907	8.279	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	16.514	8.089	2.042	0.057	-0.551	33.580	0.188

Table 274: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.968	0.835	7.145	0.000	4.206	7.730	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-7.499	5.190	-1.445	0.167	-18.449	3.451	0.104

Table 275: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.264	0.853	7.345	0.000	4.465	8.064	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-5.517	6.739	-0.819	0.424	-19.734	8.701	0.036

Table 276: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	6.167 1.405	0.892 6.850	6.917 0.205	0.00 0.84	4.286 -13.046	0.0-0	$0.000 \\ 0.002$

Table 277: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.4	6.637 -15.280	0.912 13.149	7.280 -1.162	0.000 0.261	4.714 -43.023	0.001	0.00

Table 278: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.593	3.319	1.685	0.110	-1.410	12.595	0.000
chao1	0.007	0.035	0.193	0.849	-0.066	0.080	0.002

Table 279: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.966	3.837	1.294	0.213	-3.129	13.061	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.023	0.068	0.333	0.743	-0.120	0.166	0.006

Table 280: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.137	5.203	0.603	0.555	-7.840	14.114	0.00
PD_whole_tree	0.653	1.090	0.599	0.557	-1.647	2.952	0.02

Table 281: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.682	3.98	-0.171	0.000	-9.078	7.715	0.000
shannon	2.528	1.43	1.768	0.095	-0.489	5.544	0.148

Table 282: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.696 0.236	0.234 0.743	7.243 0.317	$0.000 \\ 0.755$	1.202 -1.332		0.000 0.006

Table 283: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.685	0.223	7.566	0.000	1.215	2.154	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-1.762	1.475	-1.194	0.249	-4.875	1.351	0.073

Table 284: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.790	0.200	8.937	0.000	1.367	2.213	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-4.012	1.544	-2.598	0.019	-7.270	-0.754	0.273

Table 285: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.594	0.219	7.264	0.000	1.131	2.057	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-3.892	2.221	-1.752	0.098	-8.578	0.794	0.146

Table 286: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	1.746 1.914	$0.225 \\ 1.395$	7.777 1.372	0.000	1.272 -1.029		$0.000 \\ 0.095$

Table 287: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.663	0.223	7.462	0.000	1.193	2.134	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	2.152	1.761	1.222	0.238	-1.563	5.868	0.077

Table 288: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	1.695 -0.336	0.238 1.832	7.108 -0.183	$0.000 \\ 0.857$	1.192 -4.201		0.000 0.002

Table 289: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.585	0.246	6.440	0.000	1.066	2.105	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	3.543	3.550	0.998	0.332	-3.947	11.033	0.052

Table 290: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.551	0.888	1.747	0.099	-0.322	3.424	0.000
chao1	0.001	0.009	0.156	0.878	-0.018	0.021	0.001

Table 291: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.813	1.029	1.763	0.096	-0.357	3.984	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.002	0.018	-0.129	0.899	-0.041	0.036	0.001

Table 292: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	2.062 -0.080	1.403 0.294	1.470 -0.273		-0.897 -0.700	$5.021 \\ 0.540$	0.000 0.004

Table 293: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.596	1.057	3.404	0.003	1.367	5.825	0.000
shannon	-0.701	0.380	-1.847	0.082	-1.502	0.100	0.159

Table 294: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.307 -0.086	0.225 0.713	5.814 -0.121	0.000	0.833 -1.591		0.000

Table 295: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.312	0.217	6.055	0.00	0.855	1.769	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-1.323	1.435	-0.922	0.37	-4.352	1.705	0.045

Table 296: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.393	0.205	6.810	0.000	0.962	1.825	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-3.105	1.577	-1.969	0.066	-6.433	0.223	0.177

Table 297: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.226	0.21	5.824	0.000	0.782	1.670	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-3.693	2.13	-1.733	0.101	-8.187	0.802	0.143

Table 298: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.350	0.222	6.089	0.000	0.882	1.818	0.00
unifrac.PC.1	1.197	1.378	0.869	0.397	-1.710	4.104	0.04

Table 299: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.296	0.217	5.967	0.000	0.838	1.754	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.595	1.716	0.930	0.366	-2.025	5.215	0.046

Table 300: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.300	0.228	5.696	0.000	0.818	1.782	$0.000 \\ 0.002$
unifrac.PC.3	0.368	1.754	0.210	0.836	-3.331	4.068	

Table 301: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.180	0.229	5.147	0.000	0.696	1.664	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	4.694	3.307	1.419	0.174	-2.284	11.672	0.101

Table 302: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.639	0.847	1.935	0.070	-0.148	3.425	0.000
chao1	-0.004	0.009	-0.400	0.694	-0.022	0.015	0.009

Table 303: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.926	0.974	1.978	0.064	-0.128	3.980	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.011	0.017	-0.648	0.526	-0.047	0.025	0.023

Table 304: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.657	1.305	2.036	0.058	-0.096	5.410	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.286	0.273	-1.046	0.310	-0.862	0.291	0.057

Table 305: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.160	1.010	3.130	0.006	1.030	5.290	0.000
shannon	-0.678	0.363	-1.869	0.079	-1.443	0.087	0.162

Table 306: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.365 0.201	0.201 0.638	$6.792 \\ 0.314$	0.000 0.757	0.941 -1.145		$0.000 \\ 0.005$

Table 307: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.355	0.198	6.831	0.000	0.937	1.774	0.000
wunifrac. $PC.2$	-0.419	1.315	-0.319	0.754	-3.193	2.355	0.006

Table 308: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.429	0.183	7.798	0.000	1.042	1.815	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.794	1.413	-1.978	0.064	-5.775	0.186	0.179

Table 309: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.316	0.201	6.561	0.000	0.892	1.739	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.716	2.029	-0.846	0.409	-5.998	2.566	0.038

Table 310: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.414	0.191	7.418	0.000	1.012	1.816	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.803	1.184	1.522	0.146	-0.696	4.301	0.114

Table 311: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.341	0.194	6.904	0.000	0.931	1.750	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.503	1.534	0.979	0.341	-1.734	4.739	0.051

Table 312: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.339	0.204	6.558	0.000	0.908	1.770	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.514	1.569	0.327	0.747	-2.797	3.824	0.006

Table 313: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs_unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.216	0.201	6.062	0.000	0.793	1.639	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	4.995	2.892	1.727	0.102	-1.106	11.097	0.142

Table 314: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.685	0.758	2.223	0.040	0.086	3.285	0.000
chao1	-0.004	0.008	-0.451	0.658	-0.020	0.013	0.011

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.183	0.859	2.541	0.021	0.370	3.996	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.015	0.015	-0.989	0.337	-0.047	0.017	0.052

Table 316: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.891	1.146	2.523	0.022	0.473	5.309	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.326	0.240	-1.359	0.192	-0.833	0.180	0.093

Table 317: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.646	0.816	4.467	0.000	1.924	0.000	0.000
shannon	-0.840	0.293	-2.864	0.011	-1.459	-0.221	0.313

Table 318: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	$0.217 \\ 0.136$	$0.080 \\ 0.253$	$2.728 \\ 0.537$	$0.014 \\ 0.598$	0.049 -0.398	0.000	0.000 0.016

Table 319: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.211	0.079	2.674	0.016	0.044	0.377	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-0.260	0.522	-0.497	0.625	-1.361	0.842	0.014

Table 320: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.224	0.079	2.821	0.012	0.056	0.392	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-0.512	0.612	-0.837	0.414	-1.804	0.779	0.037

Table 321: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.199	0.081	2.467	0.025	0.029	0.370	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.486	0.817	-0.595	0.560	-2.211	1.238	0.019

Table 322: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.211	0.081	2.606	0.018	0.040	0.382	0
unifrac.PC.1	0.016	0.503	0.033	0.974	-1.045	1.078	0

Table 323: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

Estimate Std. Error 2.5~%97.5~%R2t value $\Pr(>|t|)$ Intercept 0.210 0.080 2.636 0.017 0.0420.3770.000 unifrac.PC.2 0.0980.6280.1550.878-1.2281.423 0.001

Table 324: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

Std. Error t value

Estimate

Pr(>|t|) 2.5 % 97.5 % R2

Intercept	0.211	0.082	2.578	0.020	0.038	0.383	0
unifrac.PC.3	-0.001	0.628	-0.002	0.998	-1.325	1.323	0

Table 325: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.132	0.073	1.817	0.087	-0.021	0.286	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	2.804	1.049	2.673	0.016	0.591	5.017	0.284

Table 326: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.410	0.300	1.368	0.189	-0.222	1.043	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.003	-0.690	0.499	-0.009	0.004	0.026

Table 327: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.629	0.337	1.868	0.079	-0.081	1.339	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.008	0.006	-1.275	0.219	-0.020	0.005	0.083

Table 328: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	0.799 -0.125	$0.459 \\ 0.096$	1.741 -1.300	000	-0.169 -0.328	$1.767 \\ 0.078$	

Table 329: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.819	0.366	2.236	0.039	0.046	1.592	0.000
shannon	-0.223	0.132	-1.696	0.108	-0.501	0.055	0.138

MaskAver-

Table 330: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	$0.577 \\ 0.128$	0.115 0.366	4.994 0.350	0.000 0.731	0.333 -0.645	0.820 0.901	0.000

Table 331: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.570	0.113	5.026	0.000	0.331	0.81	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-0.396	0.752	-0.527	0.605	-1.982	1.19	0.015

Table 332: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.618	0.102	6.081	0.000	0.404	0.833	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-1.828	0.784	-2.331	0.032	-3.482	-0.174	0.232

Table 333: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.541	0.114	4.757	0.000	0.301	0.781	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.263	1.151	-1.097	0.288	-3.691	1.165	0.063

Table 334: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.603	0.110	5.490	0.000	0.371	0.835	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.015	0.683	1.487	0.155	-0.425	2.455	0.109

Table 335: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.571	0.115	4.978	0.000	0.329	0.813	0
unifrac.PC.2	-0.078	0.906	-0.086	0.933	-1.990	1.834	0

Table 336: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MasageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.57	0.118	4.842	0	0.322	0.819	0
unifrac.PC.3	0.00	0.905	0.000	1	-1.909	1.909	0

Table 337: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.545	0.124	4.395	0.000	0.284	0.807	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	0.889	1.789	0.497	0.626	-2.886	4.664	0.014

Table 338: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.779	0.435	1.789	0.091	-0.140	1.697	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.005	-0.496	0.626	-0.012	0.007	0.013

Table 339: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.724	0.506	1.429	0.171	-0.345	1.792	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.003	0.009	-0.311	0.760	-0.022	0.016	0.005

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.248	0.673	1.854	0.081	-0.172	2.669	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.144	0.141	-1.021	0.321	-0.442	0.154	0.055

Table 341: mask_vs_diversity_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.340	0.538	2.489	0.023	0.204	2.477	0.000
shannon	-0.282	0.193	-1.460	0.163	-0.691	0.126	0.106

MaskAver-

Table 342: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	24.36 -1.20	3.589 11.387	6.788 -0.105		16.789 -25.225		

Table 343: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.2	24.418 19.632	3.469 22.990	7.038 0.854	0.000	17.098 -28.872		0.000

Table 344: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	22.958	3.169	7.244	0.000	16.272	29.645	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	55.509	24.434	2.272	0.036	3.958	107.060	0.223

Table 345: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	25.984	3.266	7.956	0.000	19.094	32.875	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	67.499	33.054	2.042	0.057	-2.239	137.237	0.188

Table 346: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	23.485	3.436	6.835	0.000	16.235	30.734	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-28.929	21.351	-1.355	0.193	-73.977	16.118	0.093

Table 347: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.646	3.482	7.078	0.000	17.30	31.993	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-23.117	27.511	-0.840	0.412	-81.16	34.925	0.038

Table 348: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.382	3.648	6.684	0.000	16.686	32.078	0
unifrac.PC.3	1.250	28.025	0.045	0.965	-57.877	60.377	0

Table 349: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.4	26.065 -58.824	3.743	6.964	0.000	18.168 -172.705	33.961	

Table 350: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	19.987	13.533	1.477	0.158	-8.565	48.539	0.000
chao1	0.048	0.141	0.339	0.738	-0.249	0.345	0.006

Table 351: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	17.178	15.627	1.099	0.287	-15.792	50.149	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.131	0.276	0.476	0.640	-0.451	0.714	0.012

Table 352: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	7.396 3.616	21.073 4.414	$0.351 \\ 0.819$		-37.065 -5.698		

Table 353: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-5.981	16.015	-0.373	0.713	-39.768	27.807	0.000
shannon	11.149	5.754	1.938	0.069	-0.991	23.289	0.173

t value

7.173

0.147

0.885

Table 354: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

0.957

3.037

Std. Error

Estimate

6.865

0.447

Intercept

wunifrac.PC.1

Pr(> t)	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(0.000	4.846	8.884	0.000

6.854

0.001

-5.960

Table 355: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.843	0.910	7.516	0.000	4.922	8.764	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-6.930	6.033	-1.149	0.267	-19.659	5.798	0.068

Table 356: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.286	0.807	9.034	0.000	5.585	0.000	0.00
wunifrac.PC.3	-16.858	6.218	-2.711	0.015	-29.977	-3.739	0.29

Table 357: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.473	0.894	7.24	0.000	4.587	8.360	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-15.929	9.050	-1.76	0.096	-35.022	3.164	0.147

Table 358: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	7.081 7.390	$0.921 \\ 5.721$	7.691 1.292	0.000	5.139 -4.680	9.024 19.461	

Table 359: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.756	0.908	7.440	0.000	4.840	8.671	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	8.872	7.174	1.237	0.233	-6.263	24.007	0.078

Table 360: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs_unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.850	0.973	7.039	0.000	4.797	8.903	0
unifrac.PC.3	-0.251	7.476	-0.034	0.974	-16.024	15.522	0

Table 361: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.462	1.007	6.417	0.000	4.337	8.587	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	13.599	14.523	0.936	0.362	-17.042	44.241	0.046

Table 362: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.798	3.622	1.877	0.078	-0.844	14.44	0
chao1	0.000	0.038	0.013	0.990	-0.079	0.08	0

Table 363: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.925	4.188	1.892	0.076	-0.910	16.760	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.020	0.074	-0.265	0.794	-0.176	0.136	0.004

Table 364: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.536	5.693	1.675	0.112	-2.475	21.547	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.572	1.193	-0.480	0.638	-3.088	1.944	0.013

Table 365: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score FacialFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	15.198	4.243	3.582	0.002	6.246	24.150	0.000
shannon	-3.064	1.524	-2.010	0.061	-6.281	0.152	0.183

Table 366: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	5.321 -0.928	0.924 2.933	5.757 -0.316		3.371 -7.116		0.000 0.006

Table 367: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.369	0.895	5.997	0.000	3.480	7.258	0.00
wunifrac. PC. 2	-5.150	5.933	-0.868	0.397	-17.668	7.367	0.04

Table 368: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.721	0.834	6.857	0.000	3.961	7.481	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-13.381	6.432	-2.080	0.053	-26.952	0.190	0.194

Table 369: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.016	0.868	5.781	0.000	3.185	6.847	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-15.218	8.782	-1.733	0.101	-33.746	3.310	0.143

Table 370: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.517	0.917	6.019	0.00	3.583	7.451	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	4.603	5.696	0.808	0.43	-7.414	16.620	0.035

Table 371: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.302	0.894	5.932	0.00	3.417	7.188	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	6.780	7.062	0.960	0.35	-8.119	21.679	0.049

Table 372: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.282	0.938	5.632	0.000	3.303	7.261	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	2.777	7.206	0.385	0.705	-12.428	17.981	

Table 373: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.868	0.953	5.106	0.00	2.856	6.879	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	17.924	13.747	1.304	0.21	-11.081	46.928	0.086

Table 374: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.255	3.475	2.088	0.052	-0.076	14.585	0.000
chao1	-0.020	0.036	-0.562	0.581	-0.097	0.056	0.017

Table 375: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.518	3.986	2.137	0.047	0.108	16.928	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.057	0.070	-0.811	0.429	-0.206	0.091	0.035

Table 376: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	12.181	5.290	2.303	0.034	1.021	23.342	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-1.447	1.108	-1.306	0.209	-3.785	0.891	0.087

Table 377: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score VocalDistress vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	13.684	4.080	3.354		5.077	22.292	0.000
shannon	-3.050	1.466	-2.080		-6.142	0.043	0.194

Table 378: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.542	0.822	$6.742 \\ 0.117$	0.000	3.808	7.276	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	0.306	2.608		0.908	-5.196	5.809	0.001

Table 379: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.527	0.810	6.827	0.000	3.819	7.235	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-1.558	5.364	-0.290	0.775	-12.876	9.760	0.005

Table 380: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.842	0.738	7.916	0.00	4.285	7.399	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-11.989	5.690	-2.107	0.05	-23.994	0.017	0.198

Table 381: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.359	0.817	6.562	0.000	3.636	7.082	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-7.225	8.265	-0.874	0.394	-24.663	10.213	0.041

Table 382: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.751	0.783	7.346	0.000	4.099	7.403	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	6.944	4.865	1.427	0.172	-3.320	17.207	0.102

Table 383: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.465	0.791	6.910	0.000	3.797	7.134	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	6.273	6.249	1.004	0.329	-6.910	19.457	0.053

Table 384: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	5.429 3.147	0.830 6.374	$6.543 \\ 0.494$	$0.000 \\ 0.628$	3.678 -10.301		0.000 0.013

Table 385: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.984	0.824	6.045	0.000	3.245	6.724	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	19.407	11.891	1.632	0.121	-5.680	44.494	0.129

Table 386: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.337	3.077	2.385	0.029	0.846	13.829	0.00
chao1	-0.020	0.032	-0.610	0.550	-0.087	0.048	0.02

Table 387: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.404	3.472	2.709	0.015	2.079	16.728	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.070	0.061	-1.146	0.268	-0.200	0.059	0.068

Table 388: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD whole tree	12.853 -1.556	4.580	2.806 -1.622		3.191 -3.580	22.515 0.468	
I D_whole_tree	-1.550	0.909	-1.022	0.123	-3.360	0.408	0.120

Table 389: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	15.396	3.234	4.761	0.000	8.573	22.219	0.00
shannon	-3.619	1.162	-3.115	0.006	-6.071	-1.168	0.35

Table 390: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.911 0.313	0.336 1.068	2.707 0.293	0.0-0	0.201 -1.939	$1.620 \\ 2.565$	$0.000 \\ 0.005$

Table 391: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.895	0.331	2.705	0.015	0.197	1.593	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.994	2.193	-0.453	0.656	-5.620	3.632	0.011

Table 392: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.958	0.331	2.891	0.010	0.259	1.657	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.409	2.555	-0.943	0.359	-7.800	2.982	0.047

Table 393: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.847	0.339	2.500	0.023	0.132	1.562	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.047	3.430	-0.597	0.559	-9.283	5.189	0.019

Table 394: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	0.880 -0.447	$0.339 \\ 2.109$	2.594 -0.212		0.164 -4.896		0.000 0.002

Table 395: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.892	0.334	2.674	0.016	0.188	1.597	0
unifrac.PC.2	0.241	2.637	0.091	0.928	-5.323	5.805	0

Table 396: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	0.881 0.453	$0.342 \\ 2.631$	2.572 0.172	0.020 0.865	0.158 -5.098		0.000 0.002

Table 397: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.574	0.308	1.862	0.080	-0.076	1.225	0.00
unifrac.PC.4	11.474	4.446	2.581	0.019	2.093	20.855	0.27

Table 398: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.925	1.249	1.541	0.142	-0.711	4.560	0.000
chao1	-0.011	0.013	-0.854	0.405	-0.039	0.016	0.039

Table 399: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.757	1.403	1.965	0.066	-0.204	5.718	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.034	0.025	-1.362	0.191	-0.086	0.019	0.093

Table 400: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.686	1.898	1.942	0.069	-0.319	7.691	0.00
PD_whole_tree	-0.593	0.398	-1.491	0.154	-1.432	0.246	0.11

Table 401: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.400	1.542	2.204		0.145		0.000
shannon	-0.919	0.554	-1.657	0.116	-2.088	0.251	0.132

Table 402: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.413	0.531	4.548	0.000	1.293	3.532	0
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.160	1.684	-0.095	0.926	-3.712	3.393	0

Table 403: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.421	0.521	4.645	0.000	1.322	3.521	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-1.418	3.454	-0.411	0.686	-8.706	5.869	0.009

Table 404: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.643	0.465	5.687	0.000	1.662	3.624	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-8.422	3.583	-2.350	0.031	-15.982	-0.862	0.235

Table 405: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.292	0.522	4.391	0.000	1.19	3.393	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-5.584	5.283	-1.057	0.305	-16.73	5.562	0.058

Table 406: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.549	0.512	4.979	0.00	1.469	3.629	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	3.957	3.181	1.244	0.23	-2.755	10.669	0.079

Table 407: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.419	0.525	4.604	0.000	1.310	3.527	0
unifrac.PC.2	0.227	4.151	0.055	0.957	-8.531	8.984	0

Table 408: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.374	0.537	4.419	0.000	1.241	3.508	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	1.514	4.127	0.367	0.718	-7.194	10.222	0.007

Table 409: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.353	0.571	4.122	0.001	1.149	3.558	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	2.427	8.233	0.295	0.772	-14.944	19.798	0.005

Table 410: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.919	1.972	1.987	0.063	-0.242	8.080	0.000
chao1	-0.016	0.021	-0.787	0.442	-0.059	0.027	0.033

Table 411: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.852	2.298	1.676	0.112	-0.997	8.701	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.026	0.041	-0.639	0.531	-0.112	0.060	0.022

Table 412: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.812	2.987	2.28	0.036	0.509	13.115	0.00
PD_whole_tree	-0.933	0.626	-1.49	0.154	-2.253	0.388	0.11

Table 413: mask_vs_diversity_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.636	2.399	2.766	0.013	1.574	11.698	0.000
shannon	-1.546	0.862	-1.793	0.091	-3.364	0.273	0.152

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
# neo mask	task vs cov	ariate					

Table 414: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	4.514 -0.029	$0.963 \\ 0.031$	4.688 -0.932	$0.000 \\ 0.365$	2.482 -0.094	$6.545 \\ 0.036$	0.000 0.046

Table 415: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	4.000 -0.467	$0.378 \\ 0.426$	10.573 -1.096	0.000	3.202 -1.365	$4.798 \\ 0.432$	0.000

Table 416: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	4.520 -0.027	0.775 0.023	5.829 -1.176	0.000	2.884 -0.074		0.000 0.071

Table 417: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.000	0.441	9.062	0.000	3.069	4.931	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.437	0.481	-0.910	0.376	-1.452	0.577	0.044

Table 418: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	4.185 -0.034	1.381 0.085	3.030 -0.404	$0.008 \\ 0.691$	1.271 -0.213	7.099 0.145	0.000

Table 419: $mask_vs_cvrt_neo$: MasksPresented vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.061	1.139	2.688	0.016	0.658	5.464	0.000
PEDUY	0.036	0.070	0.507	0.619	-0.112	0.183	0.014

Table 420: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.333	0.247	13.484	0.000	2.809	3.857	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.667	0.414	1.612	0.127	-0.210	1.544	0.133
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.467	0.414	1.128	0.276	-0.410	1.344	0.065

Table 421: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept OLDERSIBLINGS	3.857	0.200	13.402 -0.986	0.000	3.250 -1.121	4.464	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.357	0.362	-0.980	0.558	-1.121	0.407	0.031

Table 422: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.452	0.538	6.413	0.000	2.317	4.588	0.000
SEX	0.131	0.371	0.353	0.728	-0.652	0.914	0.007

Table 423: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	17.766	5.635	3.153	0.006	5.877	29.654	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.051	0.020	-2.509	0.023	-0.094	-0.008	0.259

Table 424: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.303	1.698	3.712	0.00-	2.721	0.000	
$_{ m BW}$	-0.001	0.000	-1.581	0.132	-0.002	0.000	0.122

Table 425: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Masks Presented vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.636	0.236	15.404	0.000	3.138	4.134	0
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	-0.011	0.364	-0.031	0.975	-0.779	0.756	0

Table 426: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	3.5 0.5	0.200 0.389	17.518 1.284	0.000	3.078 -0.322	3.922 1.322	

Table 427: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.667	0.226	16.254	0.000	3.191	4.143	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.095	0.372	-0.256	0.801	-0.879	0.689	0.004

Table 428: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MasksPresented vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.643	0.214	17.059	0.000	3.190	4.096	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.357	0.827	0.432	0.672	-1.396	2.110	0.010
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.143	0.453	-0.315	0.757	-1.103	0.817	0.006

Table 429: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-3.385 0.220	5.106 0.164	-0.663 1.345	0.0-0	-14.157 -0.125	7.387 0.566	0.000

Table 430: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.250	2.108	1.068	0.00=	-2.197	6.697	0.000
METHNIC	1.417	2.372	0.597		-3.588	6.421	0.019

Table 431: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	$2.449 \\ 0.028$	$4.381 \\ 0.128$	$0.559 \\ 0.215$		-6.794 -0.242	11.692 0.298	

Table 432: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	2.333 1.229	2.444 2.663	$0.955 \\ 0.462$	0.000	-2.823 -4.390		$0.000 \\ 0.012$

Table 433: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-9.228	6.892	-1.339	000	-23.769	5.313	0.000
MEDUY	0.780	0.423	1.843		-0.113	1.672	0.159

Table 434: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	-2.589 0.371	6.070 0.373	• • • • •		-15.395 -0.417		

Table 435: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.889	1.283	2.252	0.039	0.170	5.608	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-1.689	2.146	-0.787	0.443	-6.239	2.861	0.033
Income.code.MID	3.511	2.146	1.636	0.121	-1.039	8.061	0.141

Table 436: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.143	1.608	1.954	0.067	-0.251	6.536	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.357	2.024	0.176	0.862	-3.913	4.627	0.002

Table 437: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.405	2.892	1.869	0.079	-0.697	11.507	0.00
SEX	-1.488	1.993	-0.747	0.466	-5.694	2.717	0.03

Table 438: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.011	35.424	0.763	0.456	-47.727	101.749	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.086	0.128	-0.668	0.513	-0.356	0.185	0.024

Table 439: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	8.955 -0.002	9.799 0.003	0.914 -0.573	0.0.	-11.720 -0.008	$29.630 \\ 0.004$	0.000 0.018

Table 440: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.455	1.284	2.691	0.015	0.746	6.163	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	-0.205	1.979	-0.103	0.919	-4.379	3.970	0.001

Table 441: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.357	1.138	2.949	0.009	0.955	5.759	0
MPSYCH	0.043	2.219	0.019	0.985	-4.639	4.725	0

Table 442: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.833	1.215	3.154	0.006	1.269	6.398	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-1.262	2.002	-0.630	0.537	-5.487	2.963	0.022

Table 443: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.214	1.152	2.790	0.013	0.772	5.657	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-2.214	4.462	-0.496	0.626	-11.674	7.245	0.013
PrePregBMI.Overweight	1.286	2.444	0.526	0.606	-3.896	6.467	0.015

Table 444: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=17

						R2
Intercept 4.331 MAGE -0.062	1.376 0.044	3.146 -1.411	0.000	1.427 -0.156	7.235 0.031	0.0

Table 445: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	3.000 -0.733	$0.555 \\ 0.624$	5.407 -1.174	$0.000 \\ 0.256$	1.829 -2.051	4.171 0.584	0.000

Table 446: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	2.873 -0.014	1.183 0.035	2.428 -0.392	0.0	0.377 -0.086	5.369 0.059	

Table 447: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.000	0.648	4.627	0.000	1.632	4.368	0.00
PETHNIC	-0.688	0.707	-0.973	0.344	-2.178	0.803	

Table 448: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.912	1.858	3.182	0.005	1.992	9.833	0.000
MEDUY	-0.216	0.114	-1.895	0.075	-0.457	0.025	0.166

Table 449: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	3.782 -0.085	$1.658 \\ 0.102$	2.281 -0.831	$0.036 \\ 0.418$	0.284		0.000 0.037

Table 450: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.556	0.348	7.347	0.000	1.818	3.293	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.444	0.582	0.764	0.456	-0.789	1.678	0.031
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.956	0.582	-1.642	0.120	-2.189	0.278	0.142

Table 451: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.429	0.436	5.569	0.000	1.508	3.349	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.012	0.549	-0.022	0.983	-1.170	1.146	0

Table 452: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.095	0.792	2.646	0.017	0.425	3.766	
SEX	0.238	0.546	0.436	0.668	-0.913	1.389	

Table 453: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.365	9.708	0.038	0.970	-20.117	20.847	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.007	0.035	0.212	0.835	-0.067	0.082	0.002

Table 454: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	1.832 0.000	$2.676 \\ 0.001$	0.684 0.221	0.000	-3.815 -0.001	7.478 0.002	

Table 455: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.364	0.347	6.807	0.000	1.631	3.096	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.136	0.535	0.255	0.802	-0.993	1.265	0.004

Table 456: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.429	0.308	7.876	0.000	1.778	3.079	0
MPSYCH	-0.029	0.601	-0.048	0.963	-1.297	1.240	0

Table 457: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	2.333 0.238	0.331 0.546	$7.045 \\ 0.436$	0.000	1.635 -0.913	3.032 1.389	0.00

Table 458: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.5	0.310	8.069	0.000	1.843	3.157	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.5	1.200	0.417	0.682	-2.044	3.044	0.009
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.5	0.657	-0.761	0.458	-1.893	0.893	0.031

Table 459: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	4.326 -0.073	1.387 0.045	3.119 -1.628	$0.006 \\ 0.122$	1.400 -0.166		0.000 0.128

Table 460: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.750	0.565	4.870	0.000	1.559	3.941	0.000
METHNIC	-0.817	0.636	-1.285	0.216	-2.158	0.524	0.084

Table 461: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	2.339 -0.007	1.217 0.036	1.922 -0.197	****	-0.229 -0.082	1.00.	0.000 0.002

Table 462: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.667	0.667	4.000	0.001	1.200	4.073	
PETHNIC	-0.667	0.726	-0.918	0.372	-2.199	0.866	0.045

Table 463: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	6.562 -0.276	1.791 0.110	3.664 -2.510			10.011	0.000 0.259

Table 464: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	3.425 -0.082	1.703 0.105	2.010 -0.784	0.00-	-0.169 -0.303	7.019 0.139	0.000

Table 465: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.222	0.345	6.438	0.000	1.491	2.954	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.578	0.578	1.000	0.332	-0.647	1.802	0.050
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.022	0.578	-1.770	0.096	-2.247	0.202	0.158

Table 466: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.143	0.447	4.794	0.000	1.200	3.086	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.060	0.562	-0.106	0.917	-1.246	1.127	0.001

Table 467: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.714	0.810	2.116	0.049	0.005	3.423	0.000
SEX	0.286	0.558	0.512	0.615	-0.892	1.464	0.014

Table 468: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-4.833	9.823	-0.492	0.629	-25.558	15.892	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.025	0.036	0.707	0.489	-0.050	0.100	0.027

Table 469: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	1.237 0.000	2.740 0.001	$0.452 \\ 0.318$	0.00.	-4.543 -0.001	7.017 0.002	0.000 0.006

Table 470: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.091	0.357	5.863	0.000	1.338	2.843	0
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.034	0.550	0.062	0.951	-1.125	1.194	0

Table 471: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.0	0.312	6.406	0.00	1.341	2.659	0.000
MPSYCH	0.4	0.609	0.657	0.52	-0.884	1.684	0.023

Table 472: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.833	0.324	5.663	0.000	1.150	2.516	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.738	0.533	1.384	0.184	-0.387	1.863	0.096

Table 473: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.214	0.309	7.171	0.000	1.560	2.869	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.786	1.196	0.657	0.521	-1.749	3.321	0.022
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.714	0.655	-1.090	0.292	-2.103	0.674	0.061

Table 474: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.863	1.190	1.565	0.136	-0.648	4.375	0
MAGE	-0.002	0.038	-0.063	0.950	-0.083	0.078	0

Table 475: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.75	0.472	3.708	0.002	0.754	2.746	0
METHNIC	0.05	0.531	0.094	0.926	-1.071	1.171	0

Table 476: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.839	0.943	0.889	0.000	-1.152	2.829	0.000
PAGE	0.028	0.028	1.034		-0.030	0.087	0.056

Table 477: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.00	0.542	3.688	0.002	0.856	3.144	0.00
PETHNIC	-0.25	0.591	-0.423	0.678	-1.497	0.997	0.01

Table 478: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.771	1.602	2.354	0.031	0.392	7.151	0.00
MEDUY	-0.123	0.098	-1.248	0.229	-0.330	0.085	0.08

Table 479: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.893	1.384	1.368	0.189	-1.027	4.812	0
PEDUY	-0.006	0.085	-0.075	0.941	-0.186	0.173	0

Table 480: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.778	0.324	5.480	0.000	1.090	2.466	0
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.022	0.543	0.041	0.968	-1.129	1.173	0
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.022	0.543	0.041	0.968	-1.129	1.173	0

Table 481: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.714	0.356	4.813	0.000	0.963	2.466	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.119	0.448	0.266	0.794	-0.826	1.065	0.004

Table 482: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.952	0.650	3.002	0.008	0.580	3.324	
SEX	-0.119	0.448	-0.266	0.794	-1.065	0.826	

Table 483: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-6.177	7.717	-0.800	0.435	-22.459	10.105	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.029	0.028	1.033	0.316	-0.030	0.088	0.056

Table 484: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-0.398 0.001	2.128 0.001	-0.187 1.033	0.00-	-4.887 -0.001		$0.000 \\ 0.056$

Table 485: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.909	0.281	6.790	0.000	1.316	2.502	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.284	0.433	-0.656	0.521	-1.198	0.630	0.023

Table 486: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.786	0.252	7.076	0.000	1.253	2.318	0
MPSYCH	0.014	0.492	0.029	0.977	-1.024	1.052	0

Table 487: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	1.500 0.786	$0.247 \\ 0.407$	6.077 1.932	0.00 0.07	0.979 -0.072	_	$0.000 \\ 0.172$

Table 488: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.786	0.244	7.313	0.000	1.268	2.303	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.214	0.946	1.284	0.217	-0.791	3.219	0.084
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.286	0.518	-0.552	0.589	-1.384	0.812	0.015

Table 489: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.741	0.636	1.164	0.26	-0.602	2.083	0.000
MAGE	-0.012	0.020	-0.595	0.56	-0.055	0.031	0.019

Table 490: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.500	0.252	1.981	0.00-	-0.033	1.033	0.000
METHNIC	-0.167	0.284	-0.587		-0.766	0.433	0.019

Table 491: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.295 \\ 0.002$	$0.525 \\ 0.015$	$0.563 \\ 0.143$	0.00-	-0.812 -0.030	$1.403 \\ 0.035$	0.000

Table 492: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.667	0.284	2.350	0.031	0.068	1.265	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.354	0.309	-1.146	0.268	-1.006	0.298	0.068

Table 493: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	1.344 -0.060	$0.872 \\ 0.053$	1.542 -1.129	0	-0.495 -0.173	$3.184 \\ 0.052$	0.000

Table 494: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=17

Es	stimate S	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.939 -0.036	0.734 0.045	1.279 -0.786	00	-0.610 -0.131	2.488 0.060	0.000

Table 495: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.444	0.171	2.596	0.020	0.081	0.807	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.044	0.287	-0.155	0.879	-0.652	0.563	0.001
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.244	0.287	-0.853	0.406	-0.852	0.363	0.044

Table 496: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.286	0.191	1.495	0.153	-0.117	0.689	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.131	0.240	0.545	0.593	-0.376	0.638	0.016

Table 497: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.238	0.350	0.680	0.506	-0.501	0.977	0.000
SEX	0.095	0.241	0.394	0.698	-0.414	0.605	0.009

Table 498: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.486	4.193	-0.831	0.417	-12.334	5.361	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.014	0.015	0.920	0.371	-0.018	0.046	0.045

Table 499: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-0.457 0.000	1.167 0.000	-0.392 0.711	$0.700 \\ 0.487$	-2.92 0.00		$0.000 \\ 0.027$

Table 500: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.455	0.150	3.023	0.008	0.137	0.772	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.205	0.232	-0.883	0.390	-0.693	0.284	0.041

Table 501: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.429	0.133	3.215	0.005	0.147	0.71	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.229	0.260	-0.880	0.391	-0.777	0.32	0.041

Table 502: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.147	2.275	0.036	0.024	0.643	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.095	0.241	0.394	0.698	-0.414	0.605	0.009

Table 503: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.357	0.133	2.685	0.016	0.075	0.639	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.643	0.515	1.248	0.230	-0.449	1.735	0.080
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.107	0.282	-0.380	0.709	-0.705	0.491	0.007

Table 504: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.455	0.997	2.463	0.025	0.352	4.558	0.000
MAGE	-0.048	0.032	-1.485	0.156	-0.115	0.020	0.109

Table 505: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	1.500 -0.633	$0.397 \\ 0.447$	3.776 -1.416	$0.002 \\ 0.175$	0.662 -1.577	2.338 0.310	

Table 506: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	1.604 -0.018	$0.852 \\ 0.025$	1.883 -0.727	0.0	00-	$3.402 \\ 0.034$	0.000

Table 507: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.667	0.452	3.688	0.002	0.713	2.620	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.792	0.492	-1.607	0.126	-1.831	0.247	0.126

Table 508: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.059	1.288	3.152	0.006	1.342	6.775	0.000
MEDUY	-0.189	0.079	-2.396	0.028	-0.356	-0.023	0.242

Table 509: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	2.175 -0.073	$1.197 \\ 0.074$	1.817 -0.994		-0.351 -0.229	4.701 0.082	$0.000 \\ 0.052$

Table 510: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.889	0.285	3.120	0.007	0.285	1.493	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.311	0.477	0.653	0.523	-0.700	1.322	0.026
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.111	0.477	0.233	0.819	-0.900	1.122	0.003

Table 511: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.305	4.211	0.001	0.641	1.930	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.452	0.384	-1.177	0.255	-1.263	0.358	0.072

Table 512: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1	0.58	1.725	0.103	-0.223	2.223	0
SEX	0	0.40	0.000	1.000	-0.843	0.843	0

Table 513: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.369	6.999	0.767	0.454	-9.397	20.134	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.016	0.025	-0.624	0.541	-0.069	0.038	0.021

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	1.739 0.000	1.943 0.001	0.895 -0.382	0.000	-2.361 -0.001	$5.839 \\ 0.001$	0.000

Table 515: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.909	0.251	3.621	0.002	0.379	1.439	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.216	0.387	0.558	0.584	-0.600	1.032	0.017

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1	0.225	4.453	0	0.526	1.474	0
MPSYCH	0	0.438	0.000	1	-0.924	0.924	0

Table 517: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	$0.917 \\ 0.226$	0.240 0.396	$3.816 \\ 0.571$	0.001	0.410 -0.609	1.424 1.061	0.000

Table 518: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.143	0.219	5.226	0.000	0.679	1.606	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.143	0.847	-0.169	0.868	-1.938	1.653	0.001
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.643	0.464	-1.386	0.185	-1.626	0.341	0.098

Table 519: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	3.226 0.097	4.708 0.151	0.685 0.645	0.00=	-6.706 -0.221	13.158 0.416	0.000

Table 520: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.125	1.889	3.242	0.005	2.139	10.111	0
METHNIC	0.108	2.126	0.051	0.960	-4.378	4.595	0

Table 521: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.518	3.804	2.502	0.023	1.492	17.544	0.000
PAGE	-0.099	0.111	-0.892	0.385	-0.334	0.135	0.042

Table 522: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	$5.667 \\ 0.646$	2.177 2.372	$2.603 \\ 0.272$	0.0=0	1.073 -4.360	$10.260 \\ 5.651$	0.000 0.004

Table 523: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.837	6.472	-0.129	0.899		12.818	0.000
MEDUY	0.436	0.397	1.098	0.287		1.274	0.063

Table 524: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	4.900 0.082	5.53 0.34	0.886 0.240	0.000	-6.767 -0.636	16.567 0.800	0.000

Table 525: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.75	1.241	4.635	0.000	3.12	8.38	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.45	2.076	-0.217	0.831	-4.85	3.95	0.003
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	2.20	2.076	1.060	0.305	-2.20	6.60	0.067

Table 526: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.143	1.428	4.301	0.000	3.130	9.156	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.107	1.797	0.060	0.953	-3.684	3.899	0

Table 527: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.982	2.568	3.109	0.006	2.565	13.400	0.000
SEX	-1.295	1.770	-0.732	0.474	-5.028	2.439	0.029

Table 528: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	45.593	30.371	1.501	0.152	-18.484	109.670	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.143	0.110	-1.297	0.212	-0.375	0.089	0.085

Table 529: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	9.513 -0.001	8.741 0.003	1.088 -0.380	00-	-8.929 -0.006	$27.955 \\ 0.004$	0.000 0.008

Table 530: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.159	1.139	5.406	0.000	3.755	8.563	0
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.122	1.756	0.070	0.945	-3.582	3.826	0

Table 531: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.179	1.010	6.118	0.000	4.048	8.309	0
MPSYCH	0.121	1.969	0.062	0.952	-4.032	4.275	0

Table 532: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.000	1.044	6.703	0.00	4.797	9.203	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-2.143	1.721	-1.245	0.23	-5.773	1.487	0.079

Table 533: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.929	1.015	5.841	0.000	3.777	8.080	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-1.429	3.931	-0.363	0.721	-9.762	6.904	0.007
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	1.696	2.153	0.788	0.442	-2.868	6.261	0.034

Table 534: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	2.498 -0.027	1.258 0.040	1.985 -0.658	0.000	-0.157 -0.112	5.152 0.059	0.000

Table 535: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	1.687 -0.004	$0.505 \\ 0.569$	3.340 -0.007	0.004 0.994	0.622 -1.204	2.753 1.195	0

Table 536: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.855 \\ 0.025$	1.02 0.03	0.838 0.834	v	-1.297 -0.038	3.007 0.088	0.000

Table 537: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.833	0.582	3.150	0.006	0.605	3.061	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.177	0.634	-0.279	0.783	-1.515	1.161	0.004

Table 538: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.462	1.737	1.993	0.063	-0.203	7.128	0.000
MEDUY	-0.110	0.107	-1.032	0.316	-0.335	0.115	0.056

Table 539: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	2.002 -0.020	1.479 0.091	1.354 -0.218	00-	-1.118 -0.212	•	0.000

Table 540: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.861	0.334	5.572	0.000	1.153	2.569	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.061	0.559	-0.109	0.914	-1.246	1.124	0.001
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.611	0.559	-1.093	0.290	-1.796	0.574	0.071

Table 541: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.714	0.382	4.490	0.000	0.909	2.520	
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.048	0.480	-0.099	0.922	-1.061	0.966	0.001

Table 542: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.310	0.691	1.896	0.075	-0.147	2.766	0.000
SEX	0.274	0.476	0.575	0.573	-0.730	1.278	0.018

Table 543: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-7.888	8.19	-0.963	0.349	-25.167	9.390	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.035	0.03	1.169	0.258	-0.028	0.097	0.071

Table 544: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-0.194 0.001	2.302 0.001	-0.084 0.820	0.00-	-5.050 -0.001		0.000

Table 545: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.682	0.305	5.521	0.00	1.039	2.325	0
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.006	0.469	0.012	0.99	-0.985	0.996	0

Table 546: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.732	0.269	6.437	0.000	1.164	2.300	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.182	0.525	-0.347	0.733	-1.289	0.925	0.007

Table 547: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.542	0.286	5.389	$0.000 \\ 0.423$	0.938	2.145	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.387	0.471	0.821		-0.607	1.381	0.036

Table 548: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.75	0.266	6.574	0.000	1.186	2.314	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.75	1.031	0.727	0.477	-1.436	2.936	0.028
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.50	0.565	-0.885	0.389	-1.697	0.697	0.041

Table 549: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.804	1.214	1.486	0.100	-0.757	4.365	0.000
MAGE	-0.016	0.039	-0.413	0.685	-0.098	0.066	0.009

Table 550: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.250	0.483	2.585	0.019	0.23	2.270	0.000
METHNIC	0.078	0.544	0.143	0.888	-1.07	1.226	0.001

Table 551: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.332	0.966	0.344	0.735	-1.706	2.371	0.000
PAGE	0.029	0.028	1.039	0.313	-0.030	0.089	0.057

Table 552: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.417	0.558	2.539	0.021	0.240	2.594	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.125	0.608	-0.206	0.840	-1.408	1.158	0.002

Table 553: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	2.780 -0.091	1.677 0.103	1.658 -0.883	00	-0.757 -0.308	6.318 0.126	0.000

Table 554: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	1.907 -0.037	1.411 0.087	1.352 -0.427		-1.069 -0.220	$4.883 \\ 0.146$	0.00

Table 555: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.556	0.314	4.949	0.000	0.889	2.222	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.206	0.526	-0.391	0.701	-1.321	0.909	0.009
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.722	0.526	-1.373	0.189	-1.837	0.393	0.106

Table 556: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.202	0.364	3.302	0.004	0.434	1.971	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.173	0.458	0.377	0.711	-0.794	1.139	0.008

Table 557: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.058	0.664	1.592	0.13	-0.344	2.459	0.000
SEX	0.186	0.458	0.405	0.69	-0.781	1.152	0.009

Table 558: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-10.638	7.619	-1.396	0.181	-26.712	5.435	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.043	0.028	1.569	0.135	-0.015	0.102	0.12

Table 559: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-0.620 0.001	2.198 0.001	-0.282 0.883	0	-5.257 -0.001		$0.000 \\ 0.042$

Table 560: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.295	0.292	4.442	0.000	0.68	1.911	0
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.038	0.449	0.084	0.934	-0.91	0.986	0

Table 561: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.369	0.257	5.324	0.000	0.827	1.912	0.00
MPSYCH	-0.219	0.501	-0.437	0.668	-1.277	0.839	0.01

Table 562: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.146	0.271	4.223	0.001	0.573	1.718	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.449	0.447	1.005	0.329	-0.494	1.393	0.053

Table 563: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.369	0.261	5.240	0.000	0.815	1.923	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.381	1.012	0.377	0.711	-1.764	2.526	0.008
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.369	0.554	-0.666	0.515	-1.544	0.806	0.024

Table 564: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	1.017 0.011	1.090 0.035	$0.933 \\ 0.315$	0.00-	-1.283 -0.063	$3.318 \\ 0.085$	0.000

Table 565: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	1.188 0.212	0.431 0.485	$2.754 \\ 0.438$	$0.014 \\ 0.667$	0.278 -0.812		0.000 0.011

Table 566: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	0.169 0.036	0.843 0.025	0.200 1.443	0.0	-1.610 -0.016	1.948 0.088	0.000

Table 567: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.417	0.501	2.830	0.012	0.361	2.473	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.073	0.545	-0.134	0.895	-1.224	1.078	0.001

Table 568: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.132	1.526	1.398	0.180	-1.086	5.351	0.000
MEDUY	-0.048	0.094	-0.514	0.614	-0.246	0.149	0.014

Table 569: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.434	1.271	1.128	0.275	-1.248	4.116	0
PEDUY	-0.005	0.078	-0.063	0.951	-0.170	0.160	0

Table 570: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.50	0.294	5.107	0.000	0.877	2.123	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.30	0.492	-0.610	0.550	-1.342	0.742	0.023
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.25	0.492	-0.509	0.618	-1.292	0.792	0.016

Table 571: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.214	0.325	3.736	0.002	0.529	1.900	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.223	0.409	0.546	0.592	-0.640	1.086	0.016

Table 572: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.583	0.596	2.658	0.0	0.327	2.840	
SEX	-0.167	0.411	-0.406	0.690	-1.033	0.699	0.009

Table 573: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-8.667	6.891	-1.258	0.225	-23.206	5.872	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.036	0.025	1.455	0.164	-0.016	0.089	0.105

Table 574: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	-1.080 0.001	1.925 0.001	-0.561 1.271	0.582 0.221	-5.142 0.000	2.982 0.002	

Table 575: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.386	0.261	5.306	0.000	0.835	1.938	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.074	0.403	-0.183	0.857	-0.923	0.776	0.002

Table 576: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.411	0.230	6.125	0.000	0.925	1.897	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.211	0.449	-0.469	0.645	-1.158	0.737	0.012

Table 577: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	1.146 0.568	0.236 0.389	4.855 1.462	$0.000 \\ 0.162$	0.648 -0.252	1.644 1.389	0.000 0.106

Table 578: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.321	0.231	5.726	0.000	0.832	1.811	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.929	0.894	1.039	0.314	-0.966	2.823	0.057
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.071	0.490	-0.146	0.886	-1.109	0.966	0.001

Table 579: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.024	0.434	0.055	0.957	-0.891	0.938	0.000
MAGE	0.006	0.014	0.438	0.667	-0.023	0.035	0.011

Table 580: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.188	0.173	1.085	0.293	-0.177	0.552	0.000
METHNIC	0.029	0.194	0.150	0.883	-0.381	0.439	0.001

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.160	0.344	-0.466	0.647	-0.886	0.565	0.000
PAGE	0.011	0.010	1.106	0.284	-0.010	0.032	0.064

Table 582: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs PETHNIC, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.199	1.254	··	-0.171	0.0	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.047	0.217	-0.216	0.832	-0.505	0.412	0.003

Table 583: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	0.427 -0.013	0.611 0.037	0.699 -0.357	00-	-0.861 -0.092	1.715 0.066	

Table 584: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=17

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.564	0.499 0.031	1.130 -0.718	v. _ , _	-0.489 -0.087	1.618 0.043	0.000

Table 585: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=16

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.110	3.024	0.008	0.100	0.567	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.183	0.184	-0.994	0.335	-0.574	0.208	0.052
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.283	0.184	-1.536	0.144	-0.674	0.108	0.124

Table 586: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.107	0.127	0.845	0.410	-0.160	0.375	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.164	0.160	1.026	0.319	-0.173	0.500	0.055

Table 587: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=17

 ${\bf MaskAver}\text{-}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.280	0.238	1.176	000	v	0.782	0.000
SEX	-0.051	0.164	-0.308	0.761	-0.397	0.295	0.005

Table 588: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-5.315	2.587	-2.055	0.056	-10.772	0.143	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.020	0.009	2.137	0.047	0.000	0.040	0.202

Table 589: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_StartleResponse vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.845	0.761	-1.110	0.283	-2.45	0.761	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	1 393	0.181	0.00	0.001	0.097

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.103	2.422	0.027	0.032	0.468	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.094	0.159	-0.589	0.563	-0.429	0.242	0.019

Table 591: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.25	0.091	2.762	0.013	0.059	0.441	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.15	0.176	-0.850	0.407	-0.522	0.222	0.039

Table 592: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.187	0.099	1.886	0.076	-0.022	0.397	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.062	0.164	0.382	0.707	-0.283	0.408	0.008

Table 593: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.179	0.093	1.925	0.072	-0.018	0.375	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.321	0.359	0.894	0.384	-0.440	1.083	0.043
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	0.071	0.197	0.363	0.721	-0.346	0.489	0.007

Table 594: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.123	0.614	1.829	0.085	-0.172	2.417	0.000
MAGE	-0.018	0.020	-0.915	0.373	-0.060	0.024	0.044

Table 595: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs METHNIC, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	0.688 -0.149	0.247 0.278	2.781 -0.534	0.0-0	0.166 -0.736	$1.209 \\ 0.438$	0.000 0.016

Table 596: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.285 \\ 0.009$	$0.509 \\ 0.015$	$0.561 \\ 0.574$	0.00-	-0.788 -0.023	$1.358 \\ 0.040$	0.000

Table 597: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	0.750 -0.214	0.284 0.309	2.642 -0.690	0.017 0.499	0.151 -0.866	1.349 0.439	0.000 0.026

Table 598: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.044	0.807	2.534	0.021	0.342	3.746	0.000
MEDUY	-0.091	0.050	-1.842	0.083	-0.196	0.013	0.159

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.971 -0.025	$0.724 \\ 0.045$	1.341 -0.560	0.200	-0.557 -0.119		$0.000 \\ 0.017$

Table 600: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=16

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.528	0.169	3.124	0.007	0.170	0.886	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.172	0.283	0.609	0.551	-0.427	0.772	0.023
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.011	0.283	-0.039	0.969	-0.610	0.588	0.000

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 601: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: & MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.726	0.182	3.983	0.00-	0.342	1.111	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.247	0.229	-1.077	0.297	-0.731	0.237	0.061

Table 602: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	$0.335 \\ 0.172$	0.339 0.233	0.990 0.735	0.000	-0.379 -0.321	1.050 0.664	0.000 0.029

Table 603: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.550	4.169	-0.372	0.715	-10.346	7.246	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.008	0.015	0.509	0.617	-0.024	0.040	0.014

Table 604: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.502	1.158	0.433	0.670	-1.941	2.945	0
BW	0.000	0.000	0.059	0.953	-0.001	0.001	0

Table 605: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.568	0.150	3.78	0.001	0.251	0.885	0
MaternalInfection	0.005	0.232	0.02	0.984	-0.484	0.494	0

 $\begin{array}{lll} Table & 606: & mask_vs_cvrt_neo: \\ ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs & MPSYCH, df{=}17 \end{array}$

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	$0.524 \\ 0.176$	$0.131 \\ 0.256$	$3.985 \\ 0.688$	$0.001 \\ 0.501$	0.247 -0.364	$0.801 \\ 0.717$	0.000

Table 607: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	$0.542 \\ 0.077$	0.143 0.236	$3.775 \\ 0.327$	0.00=	0.239 -0.421	$0.844 \\ 0.576$	0.000

Table 608: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=16

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.685	0.124	5.514	0.000	0.421	0.948	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.185	0.481	-0.384	0.706	-1.204	0.835	0.007
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.497	0.263	-1.887	0.077	-1.055	0.061	0.166

Table 609: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	12.230	19.239	0.636	0.533	-28.360	52.820	0.000
MAGE	0.398	0.618	0.644	0.528	-0.905	1.701	0.023

Table 610: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.5	7.722	3.173	0.006	8.208	40.792	0
METHNIC	-0.1	8.691	-0.012	0.991	-18.436	18.236	0

Table 611: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	36.958 -0.376	15.598 0.456	2.369 -0.824	0.000	4.050 -1.337	69.866 0.586	0.000

Table 612: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	22.667	8.904	2.546	0.021	3.880	41.453	0.000
PETHNIC	2.083	9.703	0.215	0.833	-18.389	22.556	0.003

Table 613: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	-4.011 1.760	26.474 1.625	-0.152 1.083		-59.866 -1.668	51.844 5.187	

Table 614: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	19.123 0.330	22.600 1.391	0.846 0.237		-28.559 -2.604		

Table 615: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	22.556	5.107	4.417	0.000	11.73	33.381	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-1.356	8.545	-0.159	0.876	-19.47	16.759	0.002
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	8.444	8.545	0.988	0.338	-9.67	26.559	0.058

Table 616: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.000	5.836	4.113	0.001	11.688	36.312	0
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.667	7.343	0.091	0.929	-14.826	16.159	0

Table 617: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	31.833	10.485	3.036	0.00.	9.711	00.000	0.00
SEX	-5.417	7.226	-0.750	0.464	-20.663	9.830	0.03

Table 618: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	184.008	124.218	1.481	0.157	-78.069	446.084	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.578	0.450	-1.285	0.216	-1.527	0.371	0.084

Table 619: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	39.587 -0.004	35.681 0.010	1.109 -0.427		-35.694 -0.027	114.868 0.018	

Table 620: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.273	4.656	5.213	0.000	14.449	34.096	0
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.352	7.176	0.049	0.961	-14.787	15.491	0

Table 621: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.143	4.125	5.852	0.000	15.439	32.847	0.000
MPSYCH	1.057	8.042	0.131	0.897	-15.910	18.024	0.001

Table 622: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.667	4.265	6.486	0.000	18.667	36.666	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	-8.810	7.027	-1.254	0.227	-23.636	6.017	0.08

Table 623: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	23.143	4.142	5.588	0.000	14.363	31.923	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-5.143	16.041	-0.321	0.753	-39.149	28.863	0.006
PrePregBMI.Overweight	7.357	8.786	0.837	0.415	-11.269	25.983	0.038

Table 624: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.159	5.130	1.981	0.064	-0.663	20.982	0.000
MAGE	-0.108	0.165	-0.658	0.520	-0.456	0.239	0.023

Table 625: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.750	2.060	3.277	0.004	2.404	11.096	0
METHNIC	0.117	2.318	0.050	0.960	-4.774	5.007	0

Table 626: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	3.697 0.094	4.170 0.122	0.886 0.774			12.495 0.351	

Table 627: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.333	2.375	3.088	0.007	2.323	12.344	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.583	2.588	-0.225	0.824	-6.044	4.877	0.003

Table 628: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	14.016	7.088	1.977	0.064	-0.938	28.969	0.000
MEDUY	-0.444	0.435	-1.021	0.322	-1.362	0.474	0.055

Table 629: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	8.127 -0.080	6.030 0.371	1.348 -0.216	0.200	-4.596 -0.863	$20.850 \\ 0.703$	0.000

Table 630: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.556	1.369	5.520	0.000	4.654	10.457	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.356	2.291	-0.155	0.879	-5.211	4.500	0.001
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-2.356	2.291	-1.028	0.319	-7.211	2.500	0.063

Table 631: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.00	1.556	4.498	0.0	3.716	10.284	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.25	1.958	-0.128	0.9	-4.382	3.882	0.001

Table 632: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.262	2.814	1.870	0.079	-0.674	11.198	0.000
SEX	1.155	1.939	0.595	0.559	-2.937	5.246	0.019

Table 633: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-31.962	33.407	-0.957	0.352	-102.445	38.521	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.141	0.121	1.162	0.261	-0.115	0.396	0.07

Table 634: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.158	9.368	-0.124	0.903	-20.924	18.607	0.000
BW	0.002	0.003	0.858	0.403	-0.003	0.008	0.039

Table 635: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.818	1.242	5.489	0.000	4.198	9.439	0
MaternalInfection	0.057	1.914	0.030	0.977	-3.982	4.095	0

Table 636: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.071	1.096	6.454	0.000	4.760	9.383	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.871	2.136	-0.408	0.688	-5.378	3.635	0.009

Table 637: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	6.250 1.607	1.165 1.920	5.363 0.837	0.000	3.791 -2.444	8.709 5.658	0.000

Table 638: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.143	1.085	6.583	0.000	4.843	9.443	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	2.857	4.202	0.680	0.506	-6.051	11.766	0.024
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-2.143	2.302	-0.931	0.366	-7.022	2.737	0.045

Table 639: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.447	5.003	1.489	0.155	-3.108	18.001	0.00
MAGE	-0.068	0.161	-0.423	0.678	-0.407	0.271	0.01

Table 640: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.000	1.992	2.510	0.022	0.798	9.202	0.000
METHNIC	0.467	2.242	0.208	0.838	-4.263	5.196	0.002

Table 641: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.670	4.003	0.417	0.682	-6.777	10.116	0.000
PAGE	0.111	0.117	0.948	0.357	-0.136	0.358	0.048

Table 642: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PETHNIC	5.667 -0.354	2.301 2.508	2.462 -0.141	0.0_0	0.811 -5.645		0.000 0.001

Table 643: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	11.314 -0.368	6.918 0.425	1.636 -0.867	00	-3.281 -1.264	$25.910 \\ 0.528$	0.00

Table 644: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	7.767 -0.149	5.816 0.358	1.335 -0.417	0.200	-4.505 -0.904	20.038 0.606	0.00

Table 645: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.333	1.308	4.842	0.000	3.560	9.106	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.933	2.189	-0.426	0.675	-5.574	3.707	0.010
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-2.733	2.189	-1.249	0.230	-7.374	1.907	0.089

Table 646: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.000	1.503	3.326	0.004	1.828	8.172	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.583	1.892	0.308	0.762	-3.408	4.574	0.005

Table 647: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.310	2.739	1.574	0.134	-1.469	10.088	0.000
SEX	0.774	1.888	0.410	0.687	-3.209	4.756	0.009

Table 648: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-42.173	31.560	-1.336	0.199	-108.759	24.412	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.172	0.114	1.507	0.150	-0.069	0.413	0.112

Table 649: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-2.740	9.051	-0.303	0.766	-21.835	16.356	0.000
BW	0.002	0.003	0.900	0.381	-0.003	0.008	

Table 650: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.273	1.202	4.387	0.000	2.737	7.809	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	0.227	1.852	0.123	0.904	-3.681	4.136	0.001

Table 651: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.643	1.058	5.333	0.00	3.411	7.875	0.000
MPSYCH	-1.043	2.062	-0.506	0.62	-5.394	3.309	0.014

Table 652: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.667	1.117	4.179	0.001	2.311	7.023	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	1.905	1.840	1.035	0.315	-1.977	5.786	0.056

Table 653: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.643	1.076	5.246	0.000	3.362	7.923	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.357	4.166	0.326	0.749	-7.475	10.189	0.006
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-1.643	2.282	-0.720	0.482	-6.480	3.195	0.028

Table 654: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.237	4.449	0.952	0.354	-5.149	13.624	0.000
MAGE	0.042	0.143	0.295	0.772	-0.259	0.343	0.005

Table 655: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept METHNIC	4.750 0.983	1.756 1.976	$2.705 \\ 0.498$	$0.015 \\ 0.625$	1.045 -3.186	0.200	0.000 0.014

Table 656: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	$0.955 \\ 0.137$	3.461 0.101	0.276 1.355	0.,00	-6.348 -0.076	00.	0.000 0.093

Table 657: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.667	2.042	2.775	0.013	1.358	9.975	0
PETHNIC	-0.167	2.225	-0.075	0.941	-4.862	4.528	0

Table 658: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	8.696	6.222	1.398	0.180	-4.431	21.822	0.000
MEDUY	-0.196	0.382	-0.514	0.614	-1.002	0.609	0.014

Table 659: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.856	5.185	1.130	0.274	-5.082	16.795	0
PEDUY	-0.021	0.319	-0.064	0.949	-0.694	0.652	0

Table 660: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.111	1.197	5.103	0.000	3.573	8.650	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-1.311	2.004	-0.654	0.522	-5.559	2.937	0.026
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.911	2.004	-0.455	0.655	-5.159	3.337	0.013

Table 661: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.000	1.327	3.767	0.00=	2.199		0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.833	1.670	0.499	0.624	-2.691	4.357	0.014

Table 662: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	6.357 -0.607	2.432 1.676	2.614 -0.362		1.227 -4.143	11.488 2.929	

Table 663: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-35.077	28.128	-1.247	0.229	-94.422	24.268	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.147	0.102	1.444	0.167	-0.068	0.362	0.104

Table 664: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-4.703	7.829	-0.601	0.556	-21.222	11.816	0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.003	0.002	1.313	0.207	-0.002	0.008	0.087

Table 665: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.636	1.066	5.288	0.000	3.388	7.885	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.261	1.643	-0.159	0.875	-3.727	3.204	0.001

Table 666: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.786	0.937	6.172	0.000	3.808	7.764	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.986	1.827	-0.539	0.597	-4.841	2.870	0.016

Table 667: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	4.667 2.333	0.962 1.584	4.853 1.473	$0.000 \\ 0.159$	2.638 -1.009	0.000	0.000 0.108

Table 668: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.429	0.944	5.753	0.000	3.428	7.429	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	3.571	3.654	0.977	0.343	-4.176	11.318	0.051
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.429	2.002	-0.214	0.833	-4.672	3.815	0.002

Table 669: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.079	1.818	0.043	0.966	-3.758	3.915	0.000
MAGE	0.027	0.058	0.456	0.654	-0.097	0.150	0.011

Table 670: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs METHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.750	0.724	1.035	0.315	-0.778	2.278	0.000
METHNIC	0.183	0.815	0.225	0.825	-1.537	1.903	0.003

Table 671: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.548	1.451	-0.377	0.711	-3.608	2.513	0.000
PAGE	0.043	0.042	1.020	0.322	-0.046	0.133	0.055

Table 672: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.837	1.194	0.249	-0.766	2.766	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.125	0.912	-0.137	0.893	-2.050	1.800	0.001

Table 673: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	1.790 -0.055	$2.562 \\ 0.157$	0.699 -0.352	00-	-3.616 -0.387	7.196 0.276	0.000

Table 674: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	2.317 -0.089	2.098 0.129	1.105 -0.687	000	-2.109 -0.361	0., -0	0.000

Table 675: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.444	0.458	3.153	0.006	0.473	2.416	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.844	0.767	-1.102	0.287	-2.470	0.781	0.062
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.244	0.767	-1.623	0.124	-2.870	0.381	0.135

Table 676: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.429	0.530	0.809	0.430	-0.689	1.546	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.738	0.666	1.108	0.283	-0.668	2.144	0.064

Table 677: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.976	1.001	0.975	0.343	-1.136	3.088	0
SEX	-0.060	0.690	-0.086	0.932	-1.515	1.396	0

Table 678: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-24.033	10.623	-2.262	0.037	-46.446	-1.620	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.090	0.038	2.347	0.031	0.009	0.171	0.234

Table 679: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs BW, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-4.141	3.139	-1.319	$0.205 \\ 0.125$	-10.763	2.481	0.000
BW	0.001	0.001	1.612		0.000	0.003	0.126

Table 680: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.091	0.431	2.529	0.022	0.181	2.001	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.466	0.665	-0.701	0.493	-1.868	0.936	0.027

Table 681: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.071	0.379	2.829	0.012	0.272	1.870	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.671	0.738	-0.910	0.376	-2.229	0.886	0.044

Table 682: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.833	0.418	1.993	0.063	-0.049	1.716	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.167	0.689	0.242	0.812	-1.287	1.620	0.003

Table 683: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.786	0.392	2.006	0.062	-0.045	1.616	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.214	1.517	0.801	0.435	-2.001	4.430	0.035
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	0.214	0.831	0.258	0.800	-1.547	1.975	0.004

Table	684:	$mask_vs_cvrt_neo:$	MaskSummed-
Score	EscapeBeh	avior vs MAGE, df=17	

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.782	2.819	1.696	0.108	-1.166	10.731	0.000
MAGE	-0.077	0.091	-0.852	0.406	-0.268	0.114	0.039

Table 685: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs METHNIC, df=17 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.750	1.138	2.416	0.027	0.349	5.151	0.000
METHNIC	-0.417	1.281	-0.325	0.749	-3.119	2.286	0.006

Table 686: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.543	2.342	0.659	0.519	-3.397	6.484	0.000
PAGE	0.026	0.068	0.385	0.705	-0.118	0.171	0.008

Table 687: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PETHNIC, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	1.309	2.291	0.035	0.237	5.763	0.000
PETHNIC	-0.688	1.427	-0.482	0.636	-3.698	2.323	0.013

Table 688: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.397	3.774	2.225	0.040	0.435	16.359	0.000
MEDUY	-0.370	0.232	-1.597	0.129	-0.858	0.119	0.124

Table 689: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	4.043	3.323 0.204	1.217 -0.494			11.054 0.330	
	-0.101	0.204	-0.494	0.028	-0.552	0.550	0.013

Table 690: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.222	0.780	2.850	0.012	0.569	3.875	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.578	1.305	0.443	0.664	-2.188	3.344	0.012
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.178	1.305	0.136	0.893	-2.588	2.944	0.001

Table 691: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.143	0.834	3.766	0.002	1.382	4.903	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-1.143	1.050	-1.088	0.292	-3.358	1.072	0.062

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	1.476 0.690	1.557 1.073	0.0 -0	0.000		4.761 2.954	0.000

Table 693: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-5.031	19.152	-0.263	0.796	-45.438	35.375	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.027	0.069	0.389	0.702	-0.119	0.173	0.008

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	1.876 0.000	5.302 0.002	$0.354 \\ 0.103$	0.,_0	-9.311 -0.003	$13.062 \\ 0.003$	0.000

Table 695: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.364	0.688	3.435	0.003	0.912	3.815	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.136	1.060	0.129	0.899	-2.101	2.374	0.001

Table 696: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: Score_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=17

MaskSummed-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.286	0.607	3.766	0.002	1.005	3.566	0.00
MPSYCH	0.514	1.183	0.435	0.669	-1.982	3.010	0.01

Table 697: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.250	0.656	3.432	0.003	0.867	3.633	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	0.464	1.080	0.430	0.673	-1.815	2.743	

Table 698: mask_vs_cvrt_neo: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.929	0.574	5.106	0.000	1.713	4.144	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.929	2.221	-0.418	0.681	-5.637	3.780	0.008
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-2.179	1.217	-1.791	0.092	-4.758	0.401	0.152

Table 699: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.477	0.618	0.772	0.450	-0.816	1.769	0.000
MAGE	-0.015	0.019	-0.779	0.445	-0.056	0.025	0.029

Table 700: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.132	0.363	3.116	0.006	0.372	1.893	0.000
PAGE	-0.033	0.010	-3.175	0.005	-0.055	-0.011	0.335

Table 701: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.066	0.727	0.091	0.929	-1.456	1.589	0
MEDUY	-0.004	0.044	-0.091	0.928	-0.096	0.088	0

Table 702: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.177	0.450	-0.393		_	0.764	
PEDUY	0.011	0.029	0.401	0.693	-0.048	0.071	0.008

Table 703: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.170	0.123	-1.380	0.184	-0.429	0.089	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.208	0.222	0.937	0.361	-0.259	0.675	0.041
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.343	0.180	1.906	0.073	-0.035	0.720	0.168

Table 704: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.077	0.138	-0.557	0.584	-0.366	0.212	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.124	0.175	0.708	0.487	-0.243	0.491	0.024

Table 705: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	0.267 -0.193	$0.252 \\ 0.172$	1.061 -1.125	0.00=	-0.260 -0.553	00 =	0.00

Table 706: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.365	3.054	1.429	0.169	-2.027	10.758	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.016	0.011	-1.430	0.169	-0.039	0.007	0.093

Table 707: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.098	0.706	1.556	0.136	-0.379	2.576	0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.000	0.000	-1.567	0.134	-0.001	0.000	0.109

Table 708: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Maternal Infection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.021	0.114	0.180	0.859	-0.218	0.259	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.048	0.174	-0.275	0.786	-0.412	0.316	0.004

Table 709: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	-0.010 0.042	0.099 0.202	-0.102 0.208	0.0_0	-0.217 -0.381	00.	0.000 0.002
MPSYCH	0.042	0.202	0.208	0.837	-0.381	0.405	0.002

Table 710: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.079	0.106	0.751	00-	-0.142		0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.208	0.171	-1.217	0.239	-0.566	0.15	0.0

Table 711: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.022	0.107	0.208	0.838	-0.203	0.247	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.393	0.282	-1.393	0.181	-0.989	0.202	0.084
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.043	0.185	-0.233	0.818	-0.433	0.347	0.002
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.580	0.385	1.509	0.150	-0.231	1.392	0.096

Table 712: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC 1yr	0.085	0.118	0.720 -1.155		-0.163 -0.572	0.332 0.166	

Table 713: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.048	0.121	-0.399	0.695	-0.303	0.206	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	0.093	0.181	0.516	0.612	-0.286	0.473	0.014

Table 714: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs FOR-MULA 6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.088	0.116	0.763	0.455	-0.154	0.330	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-0.185	0.167	-1.106	0.283	-0.535	0.165	0.058

Table 715: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.049	0.107	-0.456	0.654	-0.273	0.176	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.141	0.195	0.722	0.479	-0.268	0.550	0.027

Table 716: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.027	0.132	0.202	0.843	-0.256	0.309	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.084	0.199	-0.420	0.681	-0.511	0.344	0.012

Table 717: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.016	0.128	0.123	0.903	-0.253	0.284	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	-0.044	0.181	-0.245	0.810	-0.424	0.336	0.003

Table 718: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.290	0.164	-1.768		-0.634		
Milks_1yr	0.378	0.189	1.997	0.061	-0.020	0.775	0.173

Table 719: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.034	0.134	0.255	0.802	-0.248	0.316	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	-0.074	0.181	-0.407	0.688	-0.454	0.307	0.009

Table 720: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.130	0.177	0.736	0.471	-0.242	0.503	0.00
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	-0.182	0.205	-0.892	0.384	-0.612	0.247	0.04

Table 721: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.024	0.153	-0.158	0.876	-0.345	0.297	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.027	0.190	0.144	0.887	-0.371	0.426	0.001

Table 722: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.417	0.420	-0.993	0.337	-1.313	0.479	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.511	0.460	1.110	0.285	-0.471	1.492	0.150
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.474	0.460	1.030	0.319	-0.507	1.456	0.130
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.427	0.515	0.829	0.420	-0.670	1.524	0.050
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.338	0.449	0.752	0.464	-0.620	1.296	0.081
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.615	0.594	1.035	0.317	-0.652	1.882	0.055

Table 723: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMIND_6mo	-0.052 0.073	0.102 0.223	0.010	0.0-1	-0.268 -0.397	$0.164 \\ 0.543$	

Table 724: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.166	0.174	-0.954 0.866	0.000	-0.533	0.201	0.00
Cereals_6mo	0.175	0.203	0.800	0.599	-0.252	0.603	0.04

Table 725: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.112	0.412	-0.273	0.788	-0.985	0.760	0.000
STATE	0.004	0.013	0.288	0.777	-0.024	0.032	0.005

Table 726: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TRAIT	0.167 -0.005	0.349 0.010	0.479 -0.478	0.000	-0.569 -0.026	0.903 0.017	

Table 727: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.126	0.119	-1.055	0.306	-0.377	0.125	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.043	0.026	1.616	0.124	-0.013	0.098	0.127

Table 728: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.090	0.132	0.679	0.506	-0.189	0.368	0.000
${\bf Positive Life Events}$	-0.014	0.016	-0.859	0.402	-0.049	0.021	0.039

Table 729: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.1 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.001	0.182	-0.007	0.995	-0.385	0.382	0
${\it Total Life Events}$	0.001	0.017	0.053	0.958	-0.036	0.038	0

Table 730: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.637	0.224	-2.845	0.01	-1.106	-0.168	0.000
MAGE	0.020	0.007	2.872	0.01	0.005	0.035	0.292

Table 731: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.034 0.001	$0.192 \\ 0.005$	-0.177 0.180	0.00-	-0.436 -0.010	$0.368 \\ 0.012$	0.000

Table 732: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	-0.207 0.013	0.307 0.019	-0.673 0.678	0.000	-0.850 -0.026	$0.436 \\ 0.051$	

Table 733: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.419	0.166	-2.517	0.021	-0.767	-0.071	0.000
PEDUY	0.027	0.011	2.565	0.019	0.005	0.049	0.247

Table 734: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.056	-0.192	0.850	-0.128	0.107	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.095	0.101	0.942	0.359	-0.117	0.307	0.049
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.019	0.081	-0.238	0.815	-0.190	0.152	0.003

Table 735: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.089	0.054	-1.647	0.116	-0.201	0.024	0.00
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.143	0.068	2.093	0.050	0.000	0.286	0.18

Table 736: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.113	0.108	1.048	0.308	-0.112	0.338	0.000
SEX	-0.082	0.074	-1.111	0.280	-0.236	0.072	0.058

Table 737: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.174	1.165	-2.724	0.013	-5.612	-0.735	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.012	0.004	2.725	0.013	0.003	0.020	0.271

Table 738: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.023	0.321	-0.072	0.943	-0.694	0.648	0
BW	0.000	0.000	0.072	0.943	0.000	0.000	0

Table 739: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Maternal Infection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.004	0.049	-0.081	0.936	-0.106	0.098	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.009	0.074	0.124	0.903	-0.147	0.165	0.001

Table 740: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.006	0.042	-0.148	0.884	-0.094	0.082	0.000
MPSYCH	0.026	0.086	0.303	0.765	-0.155	0.207	0.005

Table 741: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.006	0.047	0.135	0.894	-0.092	0.104	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.017	0.076	-0.218	0.829	-0.175	0.142	0.002

Table 742: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.011	0.043	0.255	0.802	-0.079	0.101	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.274	0.113	-2.420	0.027	-0.512	-0.035	0.230
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.059	0.074	0.794	0.438	-0.097	0.215	0.025
PrePregBMI.Under	-0.034	0.154	-0.219	0.829	-0.359	0.292	0.002

Table 743: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC 1yr	0.036 -0.080	0.051 0.076	0.707 -1.050	0.200	-0.071 -0.239	0.143 0.080	$0.000 \\ 0.055$

Table 744: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs FOR-MULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.028	0.051	-0.552	0.588	-0.136	0.080	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	0.063	0.077	0.825	0.420	-0.098	0.224	0.035

Table 745: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs FORMULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_6mo	0.059 -0.123	0.047 0.068	1.250 -1.811	• •	-0.040 -0.266	$0.157 \\ 0.019$	0.000

Table 746: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.032	0.044	0.728	00	-0.061	0.125	
$FEVER_1yr$	-0.107	0.081	-1.326	0.202	-0.277	0.063	0.085

Table 747: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	0.041 -0.108	0.050 0.076	0.811 -1.413	00-	-0.067 -0.271	00	0.000 0.117

Table 748: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.028	0.054	-0.527	0.605	-0.142	0.085	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.057	0.076	0.748	0.464	-0.103	0.218	0.029

Table 749: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.025	0.077	0.322	0.751	-0.138	0.187	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	-0.033	0.089	-0.370	0.716	-0.221	0.155	0.007

Table 750: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.004	0.058	-0.067	0.947	-0.125	0.118	0
FrenchFries_1yr	0.007	0.078	0.093	0.927	-0.157	0.171	0

Table 751: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.052	0.076	0.675	0.508	-0.109	0.212	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	-0.069	0.088	-0.778	0.446	-0.254	0.117	0.031

Table 752: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.065	0.271	0.789	-0.120	0.155	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr$	-0.027	0.081	-0.334	0.742	-0.198	0.143	0.006

Table 753: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.349	0.154	2.272	0.038	0.022	0.677	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.313	0.168	-1.860	0.083	-0.672	0.046	0.145
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.363	0.168	-2.153	0.048	-0.722	-0.004	0.195
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.515	0.188	-2.733	0.015	-0.916	-0.113	0.186
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.370	0.164	-2.251	0.040	-0.721	-0.020	0.248
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.335	0.218	-1.541	0.144	-0.799	0.128	0.042

Table 754: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.035	0.042	-0.821	0.423	-0.124	0.055	0.00
VITAMIND_6mo	0.165	0.092	1.785	0.092	-0.030	0.359	0.15

Table 755: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.057	0.078	-0.731	0.474	-0.222	0.108	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.078	0.091	0.851	0.407	-0.115	0.270	0.039

Table 756: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.083	0.173	0.482	0.636	-0.283	0.449	0.00
STATE	-0.002	0.006	-0.417	0.682	-0.014	0.009	0.01

Table 757: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.109	0.144	-0.752	0.462	-0.413	0.196	0.00
TRAIT	0.004	0.004	0.871	0.396	-0.005	0.013	0.04

Table 758: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.020	0.054	0.376	0.712	-0.093	0.133	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	-0.003	0.012	-0.212	0.835	-0.028	0.023	0.002

Table 759: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.081	0.052	1.568	0.135	-0.028	0.190	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.012	0.006	-1.827	0.085	-0.025	0.002	0.156

Table 760: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.2 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.135	0.068	1.985	0.064	-0.008	0.278	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.014	0.007	-2.095	0.051	-0.028	0.000	0.196

Table 761: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.005 0.000	0.181 0.006	-0.028 0.028	0.0.0	-0.383 -0.012	$0.373 \\ 0.012$	0

Table 762: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.169	0.123	-1.368	0.187	-0.427	0.089	0.000
PAGE	0.005	0.004	1.394	0.179	-0.002	0.012	0.089

Table 763: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	0.005 0.000	$0.209 \\ 0.013$	0.022 -0.022	0.000	-0.434 -0.027	0.443 0.026	0

Table 764: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.064 -0.004	0.129 0.008	0.498 -0.508	0.0=-	-0.206 -0.021	$0.335 \\ 0.013$	0.000

Table 765: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.028	0.038	0.749	0.464	-0.051	0.108	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.060	0.068	-0.881	0.390	-0.204	0.083	0.042
Income.code.MID	-0.044	0.055	-0.804	0.432	-0.160	0.072	0.035

Table 766: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.026	0.039	0.670	0.511	-0.056	0.109	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.043	0.050	-0.852	0.405	-0.148	0.062	0.035

Table 767: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.069	0.073	0.943	0.357	-0.084	0.221	0.000
SEX	-0.050	0.050	-1.000	0.330	-0.154	0.054	0.048

Table 768: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.121	0.925	-0.131	0.897	-2.057	1.814	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.000	0.003	0.131	0.897	-0.007	0.007	0.001

Table 769: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.177 0.000	0.212 0.000	-0.835 0.841	v	-0.621	0.267 0.000	0.000
ВW	0.000	0.000	0.841	0.411	0.000	0.000	0.034

Table 770: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Maternal Infection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.008	0.033	0.231	0.820	-0.061	0.076	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.018	0.050	-0.352	0.728	-0.122	0.087	0.006

Table 771: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.001	0.028	-0.030	0.976	-0.060	0.059	0
MPSYCH	0.004	0.058	0.062	0.951	-0.118	0.126	0

Table 772: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.032	0.13	0.898	-0.062	0.070	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-0.011	0.051	-0.21	0.836	-0.118	0.096	0.002

Table 773: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.032	0.117	0.909	-0.064	0.071	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.018	0.085	0.215	0.832	-0.161	0.197	0.002
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.045	0.056	-0.807	0.431	-0.162	0.072	0.031
PrePregBMI.Under	0.154	0.116	1.333	0.200	-0.090	0.398	0.081

Table 774: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.031	0.033	0.943	0.358	-0.038	0.101	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.058	0.049	-1.167	0.258	-0.162	0.046	0.067

Table 775: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr	0.058 -0.117	0.029 0.043	1.990 -2.695	0.00=	0.000	0.119 -0.026	0.000

Table 776: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs FORMULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA 6mo	0.021 -0.044	0.034 0.049	0.630 -0.913		-0.049 -0.146	0.091 0.057	

Table 777: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.003	0.030	0.089	0.930	-0.061	0.067	0.000
${\rm FEVER_1yr}$	0.009	0.056	0.155	0.878	-0.108	0.125	0.001

Table 778: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	0.023 -0.054	0.039 0.059	0.589 -0.924	$0.565 \\ 0.371$	0.00	000	0.000 0.054

Table 779: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.036	0.097	0.924	-0.072	0.079	0
CURBRFEED_1yr	0.004	0.051	0.070	0.945	-0.104	0.111	0

Table 780: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	-0.049 0.073	0.049 0.056	-1.009 1.291		-0.152 -0.046	0.053 0.191	

Table 781: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.038	0.037	1.039	0.313	-0.039	0.115	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	-0.059	0.049	-1.205	0.244	-0.163	0.044	0.071

Table 782: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.082	0.045	-1.828	0.084	-0.177	0.012	0.00
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	0.117	0.052	2.246	0.038	0.008	0.226	0.21

Table 783: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.016	0.043	-0.386	0.704	-0.106	0.073	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.033	0.053	0.633	0.535	-0.078	0.145	0.021

Table 784: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.056	0.104	-0.534	0.601	-0.277	0.166	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.040	0.114	-0.352	0.730	-0.283	0.203	0.019
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.132	0.114	1.155	0.266	-0.111	0.374	0.202
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.063	0.127	0.495	0.628	-0.208	0.334	0.022
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.081	0.111	0.730	0.477	-0.156	0.318	0.094
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.016	0.147	0.107	0.917	-0.298	0.329	0.001

Table 785: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.020	0.029	0.696	0.496	-0.041	0.082	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	-0.096	0.063	-1.517	0.148	-0.230	0.038	0.113

Table 786: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.044	0.052	0.843	0.411	-0.066	0.154	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.060	0.061	-0.983	0.339	-0.188	0.069	0.051

Table 787: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	-0.008 0.000	$0.118 \\ 0.004$	-0.068 0.109	0.0 - 1	-0.258 -0.008	$0.241 \\ 0.008$	0.000 0.001

Table 788: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.099	0.101	-0.980	0.0 ==	-0.312	0	0.000
TRAIT	0.003	0.003	0.988	0.337	-0.003	0.009	0.051

Table 789: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.008	0.037	0.204	0.841	-0.070	0.086	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.005	0.008	-0.575	0.573	-0.022	0.013	0.018

Table 790: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.015	0.039	-0.385	0.705	-0.098	0.068	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	0.001	0.005	0.279	0.783	-0.009	0.012	0.004

Table 791: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.3 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.004	0.053	-0.082	0.936	-0.116	0.108	0
Total Life Events	0.000	0.005	-0.061	0.952	-0.011	0.010	0

Table 792: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.009	0.154	0.056	0.956	-0.313	0.33	0
MAGE	0.000	0.005	-0.056	0.956	-0.010	0.01	0

Table 793: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.054	0.109	-0.497	0.625	-0.283	0.175	0.000
PAGE	0.002	0.003	0.506	0.619	-0.005	0.008	0.013

Table 794: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.099	0.177	0.559	0.583	-0.271	0.468	0.000
MEDUY	-0.006	0.011	-0.563	0.580	-0.028	0.016	0.016

Table 795: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.007	0.111	-0.064	0.949	-0.239	0.224	0
PEDUY	0.000	0.007	0.066	0.948	-0.014	0.015	0

Table 796: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.017	0.033	-0.514	0.614	-0.085	0.052	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.045	0.059	0.761	0.457	-0.079	0.168	0.032
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.022	0.048	0.455	0.654	-0.078	0.121	0.012

Table 797: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.031	0.033	0.953	0.353	-0.038	0.100	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.051	0.042	-1.211	0.241	-0.138	0.037	0.068

Table 798: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	-0.075 0.054	$0.061 \\ 0.042$	-1.226 1.300	000	-0.202 -0.033	$0.053 \\ 0.141$	0.000

Table 799: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.124	0.786	-0.157	0.877	-1.769	1.522	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.000	0.003	0.157	0.877	-0.006	0.006	0.001

Table 800: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	0.398 0.000	0.159 0.000	2.503 -2.520	0.0	0.065 0.000	0.731 0.000	0.000

Table 801: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Maternal Infection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.028	-0.384	0.705	-0.069	0.047	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.025	0.042	0.587	0.564	-0.064	0.113	0.017

Table 802: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	-0.009 0.038	$0.024 \\ 0.049$	-0.378 0.775	0	-0.059 -0.064	$0.041 \\ 0.140$	

Table 803: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	-0.013 0.034	0.026 0.043	-0.486 0.787	0.000	-0.068 -0.056	$0.042 \\ 0.123$	0.00

Table 804: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.019	0.027	0.712	0.486	-0.037	0.075	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.108	0.071	-1.520	0.147	-0.257	0.042	0.102
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.043	0.046	-0.927	0.367	-0.141	0.055	0.038
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.073	0.096	0.755	0.461	-0.131	0.276	0.025

Table 805: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.019 -0.033	0.029 0.043	0.671 -0.777		-0.041 -0.124	$0.080 \\ 0.057$	0.000

Table 806: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs FOR-MULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.012	0.029	0.402	0.693	-0.050	0.073	0.000
$FORMULA_1yr$	-0.016	0.044	-0.378	0.710	-0.108	0.075	0.007

Table 807: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.008	0.029	-0.269	0.791	-0.069	0.053	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.016	0.042	0.389	0.701	-0.072	0.105	0.008

Table 808: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.001	0.026	0.028	0.0.0	-0.054	0.055	
$FEVER_1yr$	0.012	0.047	0.253	0.803	-0.087	0.111	0.003

Table 809: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.034	0.029	-1.166	0.263	-0.097	0.029	0.000
DAYCARE	0.058	0.044	1.300	0.215	-0.038	0.153	0.101

Table 810: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.031	0.013	0.990	-0.064	0.065	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.008	0.043	0.181	0.858	-0.083	0.099	0.002

Table 811: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.019	0.043	0.437	0.668	-0.072	0.110	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	-0.019	0.050	-0.389	0.702	-0.124	0.086	0.008

Table 812: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.037	0.031	1.207	0.243	-0.027	0.101	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	-0.059	0.041	-1.437	0.168	-0.146	0.027	0.098

Table 813: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.043	0.427	0.674	-0.072	0.109	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.019	0.050	-0.378	0.710	-0.124	0.086	0.007

Table 814: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.036	0.036	1.009	0.327	-0.039	0.111	0.00
$PeanutButter_1yr$	-0.049	0.044	-1.100	0.286	-0.141	0.044	0.06

Table 815: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.137	0.092	1.491	0.157	-0.059	0.334	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.126	0.101	-1.253	0.229	-0.342	0.089	0.119
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.122	0.101	-1.209	0.246	-0.337	0.093	0.110
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.113	0.113	-1.001	0.333	-0.354	0.128	0.045
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.160	0.099	-1.627	0.125	-0.370	0.050	0.233
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.295	0.130	-2.265	0.039	-0.573	-0.017	0.162

Table 816: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.016	0.022	-0.713	0.486	-0.063	0.031	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	0.093	0.049	1.911	0.073	-0.010	0.196	0.169

Table 817: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.061	0.039	-1.591	0.130	-0.143	0.020	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.088	0.045	1.965	0.066	-0.007	0.183	0.177

Table 818: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept STATE	0.033 -0.001	0.096 0.003	0.347 -0.349	0.,00	-0.170 -0.008	$0.237 \\ 0.005$	0.000 0.007

Table 819: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TRAIT	-0.036 0.001	0.081 0.002	-0.442 0.481	0.00=	-0.208 -0.004	$0.136 \\ 0.006$	

Table 820: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.004	0.030	0.136	0.894	-0.060	0.068	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	-0.005	0.007	-0.672	0.511	-0.019	0.010	0.024

Table 821: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.003	0.032	-0.099	0.922	-0.071	0.065	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.001	0.004	-0.286	0.779	-0.010	0.007	0.005

Table 822: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: wunifrac.PC.4 vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.017	0.043	0.386	0.704	-0.074	0.107	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.003	0.004	-0.716	0.484	-0.012	0.006	0.028

Table 823: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.241	0.237	-1.020	0.321	-0.737	0.254	0.00
MAGE	0.008	0.007	1.029	0.316	-0.008	0.023	0.05

Table 824: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.391	0.148	-2.641	0.016	-0.702	-0.081	0.000
PAGE	0.011	0.004	2.690	0.014	0.003	0.020	0.266

Table 825: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.206	0.278	-0.741	0.468	-0.788	0.376	0.000
MEDUY	0.012	0.017	0.746	0.465	-0.023	0.048	0.027

Table 826: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.213 -0.014	0.168 0.011	1.269 -1.293	v.==v	-0.138 -0.036	$0.564 \\ 0.009$	0.000 0.077

Table 827: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.052	0.355	0.727	-0.091	0.128	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.052	0.094	-0.559	0.583	-0.249	0.145	0.018
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.022	0.076	-0.294	0.772	-0.181	0.137	0.005

Table 828: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.035	0.053	0.651	0.523	-0.077	0.146	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.056	0.068	-0.827	0.418	-0.197	0.086	0.033

Table 829: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	-0.106 0.077	$0.097 \\ 0.067$	-1.088 1.153	0.=00	-0.310 -0.063	0.098 0.216	0.000

Table 830: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.530	1.240	-0.428	0.674	-3.125	2.064	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.002	0.005	0.428	0.673	-0.008	0.011	0.009

Table 831: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.33	0.281	1.175	0.255	-0.258	0.917	0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.00	0.000	-1.183	0.251	0.000	0.000	0.065

Table 832: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.019	0.044	0.440	0.665	-0.072	0.111	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.045	0.067	-0.672	0.510	-0.185	0.095	0.022

Table 833: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	0.007 -0.031	$0.038 \\ 0.078$	0.195 -0.400	0.0	-0.072 -0.195	$0.087 \\ 0.132$	0.000 0.008

Table 834: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.013	0.042	-0.318	0.754	-0.102	0.075	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.035	0.068	0.515	0.612	-0.108	0.178	0.013

Table 835: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.018	0.042	0.429	0.673	-0.071	0.107	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.011	0.112	-0.095	0.925	-0.246	0.225	0.000
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.092	0.073	-1.260	0.225	-0.247	0.062	0.073
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.194	0.152	1.271	0.221	-0.128	0.515	0.071

Table 836: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.032	0.045	0.713		-0.062		0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.051	0.067	-0.760	0.457	-0.191	0.090	0.029

Table 837: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs FOR-MULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.065	0.041	1.572			0.151	
FORMULA_1yr	-0.123	0.061	-2.013	0.059	-0.252	0.005	0.176

Table 838: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.043	0.044	0.991	0.334	-0.048	0.135	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-0.091	0.064	-1.436	0.167	-0.224	0.042	0.093

Table 839: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER 1vr	0.022	0.040	0.556 -0.597		-0.062 -0.197		

Table 840: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.023	0.044	0.514	0.615	-0.071	0.117	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.034	0.066	-0.520	0.611	-0.177	0.108	0.018

Table 841: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.078	0.038	-2.077	0.052	-0.158	0.001	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	0.175	0.053	3.279	0.004	0.063	0.288	0.361

Table 842: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.017	0.068	0.250	0.806	-0.125	0.159	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	-0.010	0.078	-0.132	0.897	-0.174	0.154	0.001

Table 843: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.083	0.045	1.856	0.080	-0.011	0.176	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	-0.134	0.060	-2.227	0.039	-0.260	-0.008	0.207

Table 844: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.053	0.065	-0.814	0.426	-0.191	0.084	0.00
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	0.083	0.075	1.101	0.285	-0.075	0.242	0.06

Table 845: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.015	0.057	0.262	0.797	-0.105	0.135	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.009	0.071	-0.126	0.901	-0.158	0.140	0.001

Table 846: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs WHSTOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.177	0.157	1.124	0.279	-0.159	0.512	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.246	0.172	-1.429	0.173	-0.614	0.121	0.223
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.191	0.172	-1.106	0.286	-0.558	0.177	0.133
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.085	0.193	-0.443	0.664	-0.496	0.325	0.013
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.174	0.168	-1.036	0.317	-0.533	0.184	0.136
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.139	0.222	-0.623	0.543	-0.613	0.336	0.018

Table 847: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.039	-0.283	0.781	-0.094	0.071	0.00
VITAMIND_6mo	0.100	0.085	1.167	0.259	-0.080	0.279	0.07

Table 848: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.074	0.068	1.086	0.293	-0.070	0.217	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.087	0.079	-1.096	0.289	-0.254	0.080	0.063

Table 849: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	-0.087 0.003	$0.161 \\ 0.005$	-0.544 0.629	0.00 -	-0.428 -0.008	$0.253 \\ 0.014$	0.000

Table 850: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TRAIT	-0.224 0.007	$0.129 \\ 0.004$	-1.744 1.839	0.000	-0.495 -0.001	$0.047 \\ 0.015$	0.000

Table 851: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.059	0.047	1.271	0.221	-0.039	0.158	0.000
Negative Life Events	-0.016	0.010	-1.554	0.139	-0.038	0.006	0.118

Table 852: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.003	0.053	-0.048	0.00=	-0.113	000	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	0.002	0.007	0.309	0.761	-0.012	0.016	0.005

Table 853: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.1 vs TotalLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.045	0.070	0.633	0.535	-0.104	0.193	0.000
TotalLifeEvents	-0.004	0.007	-0.582	0.568	-0.018	0.010	0.018

Table 854: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.047 0.001	$0.214 \\ 0.007$	-0.218 0.220	0.000	-0.494 -0.013	$0.401 \\ 0.016$	0.000

Table 855: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	0.065	0.153	0.423	0.0	-0.255 -0.011	0.384 0.007	0.000
PAGE	-0.002	0.004	-0.451	0.071	-0.011	0.007	0.009

Table 856: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.047	0.248	0.190	0.852	-0.472	0.566	0.000
MEDUY	-0.003	0.015	-0.191	0.851	-0.034	0.028	0.002

Table 857: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs PEDUY, df=19

		(> -)	2.0 70	97.5 %	R2
00		0	0.0_0	000	0.000
	0.146 0.009	00	vv v,-	0.2.0 2.2.2 0.2.2	0.2.0

Table 858: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.007	0.046	-0.155	0.878	-0.104	0.090	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.023	0.083	0.282	0.782	-0.151	0.198	0.005
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.007	0.067	0.106	0.917	-0.134	0.148	0.001

Table 859: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.020	0.047	0.427	0.675	-0.079	0.119	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.033	0.060	-0.542	0.594	-0.158	0.093	0.014

Table 860: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.049 0.036	0.088 0.060	-0.562 0.596	0.00-	-0.233 -0.090	0.200	0.000

Table 861: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.635	1.087	-0.584	0.566	-2.910	1.641	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.002	0.004	0.584	0.566	-0.006	0.011	0.017

Table 862: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.158	0.253	0.624	0.540	-0.372	0.688	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	-0.629	0.537	0.000	0.000	0.019

Table 863: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.024	0.038	-0.642	0.529	-0.104	0.055	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.057	0.058	0.981	0.339	-0.065	0.178	0.046

Table 864: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	-0.023 0.096	$0.032 \\ 0.065$	-0.716 1.468	000	-0.090 -0.041	0.0	0.000 0.097

Table 865: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.027	0.036	-0.743	0.467	-0.102	0.049	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.070	0.058	1.204	0.243	-0.052	0.193	0.068

Table 866: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.013	0.039	0.317	0.755	-0.071	0.096	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.096	0.104	-0.921	0.370	-0.316	0.124	0.042
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.027	0.068	-0.402	0.693	-0.171	0.117	0.008
PrePregBMI.Under	0.094	0.142	0.661	0.518	-0.206	0.394	0.021

Table 867: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.021	0.041	-0.520	0.610	-0.107	0.065	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.051	0.061	0.831	0.417	-0.077	0.179	0.035

Table 868: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.011	0.041	-0.254	0.802	-0.098	0.077	0.00
$FORMULA_1yr$	0.027	0.062	0.435	0.669	-0.103	0.157	0.01

Table 869: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.042	0.038	-1.098	0.286	-0.122	0.038	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.088	0.055	1.592	0.128	-0.028	0.204	0.112

Table 870: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.031	0.034	-0.900		-0.103		0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.108	0.063	1.726	0.101	-0.023	0.239	0.136

Table 871: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.038	0.047	-0.804	0.435	-0.139	0.063	0.000
DAYCARE	0.034	0.071	0.479	0.639	-0.118	0.186	0.015

Table 872: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.003	0.044	-0.068	0.947	-0.095	0.089	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	0.009	0.062	0.146	0.886	-0.121	0.139	0.001

Table 873: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.058	0.060	-0.963	0.348	-0.183	0.068	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	0.079	0.069	1.142	0.269	-0.066	0.224	0.064

Table 874: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.046	0.044	1.039	0.313	-0.047	0.138	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	-0.080	0.059	-1.353	0.193	-0.205	0.044	0.088

Table 875: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.014	0.062	0.230	0.821	-0.116	0.144	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.017	0.071	-0.237	0.816	-0.167	0.133	0.003

Table 876: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.071	0.048	1.481	0.156	-0.030	0.172	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.107	0.060	-1.797	0.089	-0.233	0.018	0.145

Table 877: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs WHSTOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.085	0.127	0.665	0.516	-0.187	0.356	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.049	0.139	-0.352	0.730	-0.346	0.248	0.017
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.006	0.139	-0.045	0.964	-0.304	0.291	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.211	0.156	-1.354	0.196	-0.544	0.121	0.153
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.124	0.136	-0.914	0.375	-0.415	0.166	0.137
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.208	0.180	-1.153	0.267	-0.592	0.176	0.078

Table 878: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.041	0.031	-1.322	0.204	-0.107	0.025	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	0.138	0.068	2.033	0.058	-0.005	0.282	0.187

Table 879: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.113	0.053	-2.127	0.048	-0.225	-0.001	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.137	0.062	2.211	0.041	0.006	0.268	0.214

Table 880: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	0.065 -0.002	$0.120 \\ 0.004$	0.538 -0.628	0.000	-0.190 -0.011	0.0=0	0.000 0.023

Table 881: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TRAIT	0.031 -0.001	0.110 0.003	0.277 -0.464	00	-0.202 -0.008	$0.263 \\ 0.005$	$0.000 \\ 0.012$

Table 882: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.051	0.040	-1.266	0.223	-0.136	0.034	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.013	0.009	1.463	0.162	-0.006	0.032	0.106

Table 883: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PositiveLifeEvents	0.029 -0.007	$0.043 \\ 0.005$	0.660 -1.235	0.0-0	-0.063 -0.018	0	0.000 0.078

Table 884: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.2 vs Total LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.010	0.061	0.158	0.876	-0.118	0.137	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.002	0.006	-0.382	0.707	-0.015	0.010	0.008

Table 885: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.172 0.005	0.203 0.006	-0.847 0.855	0.200	-0.597 -0.008	$0.253 \\ 0.019$	0.000 0.035

Table 886: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.225 0.007	$0.139 \\ 0.004$	-1.621 1.652	-	-0.515 -0.002	$0.065 \\ 0.015$	0.00

Table 887: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.039	0.240	-0.164	0.0	-0.541		0.000
MEDUY	0.002	0.014	0.165	0.870	-0.028	0.033	0.001

Table 888: $cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1$: unifrac.PC.3 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.070 -0.005	$0.148 \\ 0.009$	0.475 -0.484	0.010	-0.240 -0.024	$0.380 \\ 0.015$	$0.000 \\ 0.012$

Table 889: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.075	0.031	-2.388	0.028	-0.141	-0.009	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.021	0.057	0.364	0.720	-0.098	0.140	0.004
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.187	0.046	4.075	0.001	0.091	0.283	0.491

Table 890: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.009	0.046	0.198	0.845	-0.087	0.105	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.015	0.058	-0.251	0.804	-0.137	0.108	0.003

Table 891: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	0.114 -0.082	$0.081 \\ 0.055$	1.403 -1.487	0	-0.056 -0.198	$0.284 \\ 0.034$	0.0

Table 892: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.419	1.056	-0.397	0.696	-2.629	1.79	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.002	0.004	0.397	0.695	-0.007	0.01	0.008

Table 893: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.052	0.247	-0.209	0.836	-0.569	0.465	0.000
BW	0.000	0.000	0.211	0.835	0.000	0.000	0.002

Table 894: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.010	0.037	0.270	0	-0.068	0.000	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.024	0.057	-0.413	0.684	-0.143	0.096	0.008

Table 895: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	-0.024 0.102	0.031 0.063	-0.799 1.637	00-	-0.088 -0.029	0.000	0.000 0.118

Table 896: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.005	0.036	-0.141	0.889	-0.081	0.070	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.013	0.058	0.229	0.821	-0.109	0.136	0.003

Table 897: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.014	0.035	0.407	0.689	-0.060	0.089	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.187	0.093	-2.000	0.062	-0.384	0.010	0.173
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.004	0.061	0.059	0.953	-0.125	0.133	0.000
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.050	0.127	0.394	0.698	-0.218	0.319	0.007

Table 898: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC 1yr	0.005 -0.039	$0.036 \\ 0.053$	0.145 -0.736	0.886	-0.07 -0.15	0.000	0.000

Table 899: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr	0.006 -0.041	$0.036 \\ 0.053$	0.168 -0.772		-0.069 -0.152	$0.081 \\ 0.070$	0.00

Table 900: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.004	0.039	-0.100	0.921	-0.086	0.078	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.008	0.057	0.145	0.886	-0.111	0.127	0.001

Table 901: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.034	0.031	-1.097	0.287	-0.098	0.031	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.071	0.056	1.264	0.222	-0.047	0.188	0.078

Table 902: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.035	0.034	1.038	0.317	-0.037	0.107	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.135	0.051	-2.665	0.018	-0.244	-0.026	0.321

Table 903: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.050	0.036	-1.405	0.177	-0.125	0.025	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	0.076	0.051	1.496	0.152	-0.031	0.182	0.105

Table 904: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.041	0.053	-0.768	0.452	-0.152	0.071	0.00
$Milks_1yr$	0.038	0.061	0.616	0.545	-0.091	0.166	0.02

Table 905: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.030	0.040	-0.766	0.454	-0.113	0.053	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	0.032	0.053	0.609	0.550	-0.079	0.144	0.019

Table 906: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.005	0.054	-0.095	0.925	-0.118	0.107	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.010	0.062	-0.158	0.877	-0.140	0.120	0.001

Table 907: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.042	0.042	0.995	0.333	-0.047	0.131	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.084	0.053	-1.598	0.128	-0.194	0.026	0.118

Table 908: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs WHSTOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.095	0.118	-0.804	0.434	-0.347	0.157	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.065	0.129	0.500	0.624	-0.211	0.340	0.032
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.174	0.129	1.342	0.200	-0.102	0.449	0.229
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.075	0.145	-0.518	0.612	-0.383	0.233	0.020
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.130	0.126	1.032	0.319	-0.139	0.399	0.158
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.041	0.167	0.246	0.809	-0.315	0.397	0.003

Table 909: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.019	0.033	0.58	0.570	-0.051	0.089	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	-0.034	0.072	-0.47	0.645	-0.187	0.119	0.012

Table 910: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.100	0.048	-2.070	0.054	-0.202	0.002	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.152	0.056	2.703	0.015	0.033	0.271	0.289

Table 911: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.238	0.126	1.887		-0.029	0.506	0.000
STATE	-0.008	0.004	-1.902	0.075	-0.016	0.001	0.17

Table 912: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TRAIT	0.106 -0.003	$0.117 \\ 0.003$	0.908 -0.927		-0.14 -0.01		0.000 0.046

Table 913: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.008	0.044	-0.175	0.864	-0.099	0.084	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.002	0.010	0.250	0.805	-0.018	0.023	0.003

Table 914: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PositiveLifeEvents	0.021 -0.004	0.046 0.006	0.455 -0.627	0.000	-0.075 -0.016	0.117 0.008	

Table 915: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.3 vs Total LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.026	0.062	0.424	0.677	-0.104	0.156	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.003	0.006	-0.494	0.628	-0.015	0.010	0.013

Table 916: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.215 0.007	0.192 0.006	-1.119 1.129	·-·	-0.616 -0.006	$0.187 \\ 0.019$	0.00

Table 917: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.182	0.135	1.346	0.194	-0.101	0.466	0.000
PAGE	-0.005	0.004	-1.372	0.186	-0.013	0.003	0.086

Table 918: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.078	0.229	-0.341	0.737	-0.558	0.401	0.000
MEDUY	0.005	0.014	0.343	0.735	-0.024	0.034	0.006

Table 919: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	-0.155 0.010	$0.138 \\ 0.009$	-1.127 1.148	v.=	-0.444 -0.008	$0.133 \\ 0.028$	0.000

Table 920: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.021	0.041	-0.515	0.613	-0.108	0.066	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.080	0.075	1.076	0.296	-0.076	0.237	0.063
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.016	0.060	0.261	0.797	-0.111	0.143	0.004

Table 921: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.032	0.043	-0.743	0.466	-0.122	0.058	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.052	0.055	0.945	0.357	-0.063	0.167	0.043

Table 922: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	0.117 -0.085	$0.077 \\ 0.053$	1.518 -1.609	00	-0.044 -0.195	$0.278 \\ 0.025$	0.000

Table 923: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.945	0.992	-0.953	0.353	-3.021	1.131	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.003	0.004	0.953	0.353	-0.004	0.011	0.043

Table 924: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.103	0.236	-0.437	0.00.	-0.596	0.00	0.00
$_{ m BW}$	0.000	0.000	0.440	0.665	0.000	0.00	0.01

Table 925: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.016	0.036	0.461	0.650	-0.058	0.091	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.038	0.054	-0.705	0.489	-0.152	0.075	0.024

Table 926: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	0.008 -0.033	$0.031 \\ 0.064$	0.255 -0.522	0.00=	-0.057 -0.166	0.0.0	0.000 0.013

Table 927: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	0.017 -0.043	$0.034 \\ 0.055$	0.486 -0.787	0.000	-0.055 -0.159	0.088 0.072	0.00

Table 928: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.015	0.036	-0.434	0.670	-0.090	0.060	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.057	0.094	-0.600	0.556	-0.255	0.142	0.017
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.048	0.062	0.771	0.451	-0.082	0.178	0.028
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	0.152	0.128	1.183	0.253	-0.119	0.422	0.064

Table 929: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.030 -0.069	$0.037 \\ 0.055$	0.812 -1.240	· · · ·	-0.048 -0.185	000	0.000 0.075

Table 930: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA 1yr	0.003 -0.008	$0.039 \\ 0.058$	0.080 -0.147	0.00.	-0.078 -0.129	0.084 0.113	0.000

Table 931: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.029	0.036	0.789	0.440	-0.047	0.105	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-0.060	0.053	-1.143	0.267	-0.171	0.050	0.061

Table 932: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.010	0.034	0.284	0.780	-0.062	0.081	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	-0.035	0.062	-0.558	0.584	-0.165	0.096	0.016

Table 933: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.031	0.040	0.764	0.458	-0.056	0.118	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.053	0.061	-0.860	0.404	-0.184	0.079	0.047

Table 934: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.001	0.041	0.036	0.972	-0.084	0.087	0
CURBRFEED_1yr	-0.004	0.057	-0.076	0.940	-0.125	0.116	0

Table 935: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.033	0.057	-0.577	0.571	-0.152	0.086	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	0.043	0.065	0.652	0.523	-0.095	0.180	0.022

Table 936: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.034	0.041	0.825	0.420	-0.053	0.121	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	-0.063	0.056	-1.136	0.271	-0.180	0.054	0.064

Table 937: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.033	0.057	-0.579	0.570	-0.152	0.086	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	0.043	0.065	0.653	0.522	-0.095	0.180	0.022

Table 938: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.016	0.048	-0.33	0.745	-0.117	0.085	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.023	0.060	0.39	0.701	-0.102	0.149	0.008

Table 939: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs WHSTOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.016	0.140	0.117	0.909	-0.282	0.315	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.015	0.153	-0.100	0.922	-0.342	0.312	0.002
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.010	0.153	-0.067	0.947	-0.337	0.317	0.001
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-0.022	0.171	-0.130	0.899	-0.388	0.343	0.002
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.016	0.150	-0.109	0.915	-0.335	0.303	0.003
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.057	0.198	-0.286	0.779	-0.479	0.365	0.008

Table 940: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.002	0.033	0.055	0.957	-0.069	0.072	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	-0.017	0.073	-0.237	0.815	-0.171	0.136	0.003

Table 941: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.002	0.058	0.043	0.966	-0.120	0.125	0
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.006	0.067	-0.086	0.932	-0.148	0.136	0

Table 942: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.025	0.119	0.214	0.000	-0.227	0.278	0.000
STATE	-0.001	0.004	-0.136	0.893	-0.009	0.008	0.001

Table 943: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TRAIT	$0.017 \\ 0.000$	$0.102 \\ 0.003$	0.168 -0.095	0.000	-0.197 -0.007	$0.231 \\ 0.006$	0.000 0.001

Table 944: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.017	0.035	0.475	0.641	-0.058	0.091	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.004	0.008	-0.517	0.612	-0.021	0.013	0.015

Table 945: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs Positive LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PositiveLifeEvents	0.016 -0.002	$0.037 \\ 0.005$	0.430 -0.437	0.0.0	-0.063 -0.012	0.000	0.000 0.011

Table 946: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: unifrac.PC.4 vs TotalLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.038	0.050	0.757	0.459	-0.067	0.143	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.004	0.005	-0.782	0.445	-0.014	0.006	0.033

Table 947: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	259.715	137.741	1.886	0.075	-28.579	548.010	0
MAGE	0.197	4.321	0.046	0.964	-8.847	9.242	0

Table 948: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(>\! t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	324.711	97.740	3.322	0.004	120.140	529.282	0.000
PAGE	-1.707	2.786	-0.613	0.547	-7.538	4.125	0.018

Table 949: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	119.108	156.101	0.763	0.455	-207.615	445.831	0.000
MEDUY	8.912	9.408	0.947	0.355	-10.778	28.603	0.043

Table 950: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	211.988 3.498	98.363 6.258	2.155 0.559	0.0 = =	6.111 -9.601	417.865 16.596	0.000

Table 951: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	268.962	27.144	9.909	0.000	211.934	325.990	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-67.920	48.935	-1.388	0.182	-170.729	34.889	0.099
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	26.055	39.569	0.658	0.519	-57.077	109.187	0.022

Table 952: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	272.745	30.614	8.909	0.000	208.668	336.822	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
OLDERSIBLINGS	-10.976	38.910	-0.282	0.781	-92.416	70.464	0.004

Table 953: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	266.811	57.078	4.675	0.000	147.346	386.275	0
SEX	-0.623	38.991	-0.016	0.987	-82.233	80.987	0

Table 954: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	489.209	703.856	0.695	0.495	-983.980	1962.397	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.813	2.562	-0.317	0.754	-6.176	4.550	0.005

Table 955: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	56.933 0.063	$157.430 \\ 0.047$		$0.722 \\ 0.197$	-272.572 -0.036	386.439 0.163	0.000

Table 956: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	278.735	24.645	11.310	0.000	227.153	330.318	0.00
MaternalInfection	-29.831	37.646	-0.792	0.438	-108.625	48.962	0.03

Table 957: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	261.997 16.605	21.613 44.294	12.122 0.375		216.760 -76.102		

Table 958: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	247.653 48.031	23.085 37.402	10.728 1.284		199.335 -30.253		

Table 959: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	253.424	24.832	10.206	0.000	201.034	305.814	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	37.682	65.699	0.574	0.574	-100.930	176.294	0.016
PrePregBMI.Overweight	44.195	43.010	1.028	0.319	-46.547	134.938	0.051
PrePregBMI.Under	-77.484	89.532	-0.865	0.399	-266.380	111.413	0.035

Table 960: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	251.589	24.603	10.226	0.000	199.90	303.279	0.00
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	15.844	36.676	0.432	0.671	-61.21	92.898	0.01

Table 961: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	243.645	24.157	10.086	0.000	192.894	294.396	0.000
$FORMULA_1yr$	33.497	36.010	0.930	0.365	-42.158	109.153	0.044

Table 962: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs FORMULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	250.680	25.665	9.767	0.000	196.962	304.399	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	32.067	37.193	0.862	0.399	-45.778	109.913	0.036

Table 963: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

E	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER 1yr	250.201 28.392		11.577 0.720		204.795 -54.508		

Table 964: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	280.568 -42.448		12.360 -1.237	0.000	231.883 -116.052	0-0:-00	0.000

Table 965: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	284.871	24.429	11.661	0.000	233.548	336.193	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	-52.303	34.547	-1.514	0.147	-124.885	20.278	0.108

Table 966: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	250.539 10.906	36.613 42.278	$6.843 \\ 0.258$		173.617 -77.915		

Table 967: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FrenchFries_1yr	208.930 90.526	22.296 30.063	9.371 3.011		162.088 27.365		

Table 968: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	300.832	34.844	8.634	0.00	227.626	374.037	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-56.150	40.235	-1.396	0.18	-140.680	28.380	0.093

Table 969: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	282.393	30.219	9.345	0.000	218.906	345.880	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-36.421	37.482	-0.972	0.344	-115.167	42.325	0.047

Table 970: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs WHSTOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	121.491	84.541	1.437	0.171	-58.703	301.686	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	138.259	92.610	1.493	0.156	-59.134	335.652	0.148
WHSTOTHER.5 months	144.868	92.610	1.564	0.139	-52.525	342.261	0.162
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	93.819	103.541	0.906	0.379	-126.873	314.511	0.032
WHSTOTHER.6 months	176.782	90.378	1.956	0.069	-15.854	369.418	0.296
WHSTOTHER.7 months	192.902	119.559	1.613	0.127	-61.931	447.735	0.072

Table 971: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	281.083	22.628	12.422	0.0	233.342	328.825	0.00
VITAMIND_6mo	-52.707	49.317	-1.069	0.3	-156.757	51.343	0.06

Table 972: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Cereals_6mo	$262.529 \\ 10.122$	40.434 47.104	6.493 0.215	0.000	177.221 -89.258	0 = 1	0.000

Table 973: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	296.128	92.896	3.188	0.006	99.198	493.059	0.000
STATE	-0.885	2.975	-0.297	0.770	-7.192	5.423	0.005

Table 974: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	368.177	75.660	4.866	0.000	208.549	527.806	0.000
TRAIT	-3.085	2.219	-1.390	0.182	-7.767	1.597	0.097

Table 975: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	252.810	28.341	8.920	0.00	193.015	312.605	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	4.867	6.296	0.773	0.45	-8.416	18.151	0.032

Table 976: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	277.123	30.303	9.145	0.000	213.188	341.058	0.00
${\bf Positive Life Events}$	-1.574	3.777	-0.417	0.682	-9.543	6.394	0.01

Table 977: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: chao1 vs TotalLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	266.617	41.038	6.497	0.000	180.034	353.199	0

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
TotalLifeEvents	0.146	3.949	0.037	0.971	-8.185	8.478	0

Table 978: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	152.675	76.280	2.002	0.06	-6.981	312.331	0
MAGE	0.183	2.393	0.076	0.94	-4.826	5.192	0

Table 979: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	174.631	54.534	3.202	0.005	60.490	288.772	0.000
PAGE	-0.470	1.555	-0.302	0.766	-3.724	2.784	0.005

Table 980: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	99.337	87.414	1.136	0.270	-83.623	282.297	0.000
MEDUY	3.588	5.268	0.681	0.504	-7.438	14.614	0.023

Table 981: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	143.294	54.810	2.614	0.017	28.575	258.013	0.000
PEDUY	0.982	3.487	0.282	0.781	-6.316	8.281	0.004

Table 982: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	159.222	15.133	10.521	0.000	127.429	191.016	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-34.947	27.282	-1.281	0.216	-92.264	22.369	0.085
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	15.453	22.060	0.700	0.493	-30.894	61.799	0.026

Table 983: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	162.700	16.946	9.601	0.000	127.232	198.168	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
OLDERSIBLINGS	-6.862	21.538	-0.319	0.754	-51.941	38.218	0.005

Table 984: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	$149.033 \\ 6.821$	31.529 21.539				215.025 51.902	

Table 985: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	274.125	389.960	0.703	0.491	-542.070	1090.32	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.421	1.419	-0.297	0.770	-3.392	2.55	0.004

Table 986: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	23.480	85.707	0.274	0.787	-155.907	202.867	0.000
BW	0.041	0.026	1.585	0.129	-0.013	0.095	0.112

Table 987: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MaternalInfection	167.867 -21.967		12.457 -1.067	0.000	139.663 -65.049	196.071 21.116	0.000

Table 988: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	157.281		13.105	0.000	132.161		0.000
MPSYCH	4.919	24.597	0.200	0.844	-46.563	56.400	0.002

Table 989: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	147.369	12.676	11.625	0.000	120.837	173.901	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	29.093	20.538	1.417	0.173	-13.894	72.080	0.091

Table 990: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	149.775	13.209	11.339	0.000	121.907	177.643	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	33.075	34.947	0.946	0.357	-40.657	106.807	0.040
PrePregBMI.Overweight	28.292	22.878	1.237	0.233	-19.978	76.561	0.069
PrePregBMI.Under	-53.675	47.625	-1.127	0.275	-154.155	46.805	0.055

Table 991: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs ANTIBI-OTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	148.100 13.289	13.291 19.814	11.143 0.671		120.176 -28.338	$176.024 \\ 54.916$	

Table 992: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs FOR-MULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	146.618	13.199	11.109	0.00	118.889	174.347	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	16.582	19.675	0.843	0.41	-24.755	57.918	0.036

Table 993: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	147.182	13.997	10.515	0.000	117.885	176.479	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	23.668	20.284	1.167	0.258	-18.787	66.123	0.064

Table 994: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	149.329	11.751	12.707	0.00	124.640	174.017	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	15.838	21.455	0.738	0.47	-29.237	60.913	0.028

Table 995: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	165.267			0.000	137.496		0.000
DAYCARE	-18.252	19.576	-0.932	0.367	-60.238	23.733	0.055

Table 996: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	170.59	12.996	13.126	0.000	143.286	197.894	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	-33.02	18.379	-1.797	0.089	-71.633	5.593	0.145

Table 997: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	156.740	19.946	7.858	0.000	114.835	198.645	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	-3.547	23.032	-0.154	0.879	-51.935	44.841	0.001

Table 998: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	125.111	11.685	10.707	0.000	100.561	149.661	0.00
$FrenchFries_1yr$	52.671	15.757	3.343	0.004	19.567	85.774	0.37

Table 999: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	174.980	19.131	9.146	0.000	134.786	215.174	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-27.867	22.091	-1.261	0.223	-74.278	18.545	0.077

Table 1000: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	167.943	16.375	10.256	0.000	133.541	202.344	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-21.327	20.310	-1.050	0.308	-63.997	21.342	0.055

Table 1001: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	79.100	46.764	1.691	0.111	-20.575	178.775	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	74.420	51.227	1.453	0.167	-34.768	183.608	0.142
WHSTOTHER.5 months	76.340	51.227	1.490	0.157	-32.848	185.528	0.149
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	55.500	57.274	0.969	0.348	-66.576	177.576	0.037
WHSTOTHER.6 months	100.057	49.993	2.001	0.064	-6.500	206.614	0.313
WHSTOTHER.7 months	101.200	66.134	1.530	0.147	-39.762	242.162	0.065

Table 1002: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	170.6	11.870	14.372	0.000	145.556	195.644	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	-39.4	25.871	-1.523	0.146	-93.983	15.183	0.114

Table 1003: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Cereals_6mo	158.340 5.381	21.889 25.500	7.234 0.211		112.158 -48.419	204.522 59.182	

Table 1004: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept STATE	185.971 -0.853	50.867 1.629	3.656 -0.524		78.137 -4.307	293.806 2.600	

Table 1005: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	231.517	39.791	5.818	0.000	147.566	315.469	0.000
TRAIT	-2.197	1.167	-1.883	0.077	-4.659	0.265	0.165

Table 1006: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	157.571	16.025	9.833	0.000	123.761	191.381	0
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.252	3.560	0.071	0.944	-7.259	7.763	0

Table 1007: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	157.927	16.929	9.329	0.000	122.210	193.644	0
Positive Life Events	0.073	2.110	0.035	0.973	-4.379	4.525	0

Table 1008: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: observed_otus vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	156.791	22.807	6.875	0.000	108.673	204.910	0
Total Life Events	0.175	2.195	0.080	0.938	-4.456	4.805	0

Table 1009: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.567	3.259	3.242	0.00-	0	17.388	0.000
MAGE	-0.016	0.102	-0.153	0.880	-0.230	0.198	0.001

Table 1010: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	11.281	2.320	4.863	0.000	6.426	16.135	0.000
PAGE	-0.035	0.066	-0.531	0.602	-0.173	0.103	0.014

Table 1011: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.997	3.782	2.644	0.016	2.082	17.913	0
MEDUY	0.005	0.228	0.020	0.984	-0.472	0.482	0

Table 1012: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.680	2.346	4.126	0.001	4.770	14.590	0.000
PEDUY	0.025	0.149	0.171	0.866	-0.287	0.338	0.001

Table 1013: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.924	0.665	14.934	0.000	8.528	11.320	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.915	1.198	-0.764	0.455	-3.432	1.602	0.032
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.848	0.969	0.875	0.393	-1.188	2.883	0.041

Table 1014: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs OLDER-SIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.388	0.720	14.419	0.000	8.880	11.896	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.510	0.916	-0.557	0.584	-2.426	1.407	0.015

Table 1015: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	10.740 -0.483	1.341 0.916	8.006 -0.528		7.933 -2.402	13.548 1.435	

Table 1016: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	21.927	16.484	1.330	0.199	-12.575	56.429	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.043	0.060	-0.719	0.481	-0.169	0.082	0.025

Table 1017: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.796	3.824	1.777	0.092	-1.208	14.800	0.000
$_{ m BW}$	0.001	0.001	0.863	0.399	-0.001	0.003	0.036

Table 1018: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Maternal-Infection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	10.429	0.580	17.989	0.00	9.215	11.642	0.000
${\it Maternal Infection}$	-0.831	0.886	-0.938	0.36	-2.684	1.023	0.042

Table 1019: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs MPSYCH, df=19

ES	timate Sto	1. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	9.930 0.601	0.509 1.043	19.503 0.576	$0.000 \\ 0.572$	8.864 -1.583	10.995 2.785	0.000

Table 1020: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs VITA-MINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.799	0.561	17.480	0.000	8.626	10.973	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	0.718	0.908	0.791	0.439	-1.183	2.619	0.03

Table 1021: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.721	0.579	16.779	0.000	8.498	10.943	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.222	1.533	0.797	0.436	-2.012	4.456	0.029
PrePregBMI.Overweight	1.139	1.003	1.135	0.272	-0.978	3.256	0.060
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-1.883	2.089	-0.902	0.380	-6.290	2.524	0.037

Table 1022: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs ANTIBI-OTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.695	0.568	17.067	0.000	8.502	10.889	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.412	0.847	0.487	0.632	-1.367	2.192	0.012

Table 1023: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr	9.434 0.992	$0.55 \\ 0.82$	17.157 1.211		8.279 -0.730	10.590 2.715	

Table 1024: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs FORMULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.823	0.614	16.004	0.000	8.538	11.107	0.000
$FORMULA_6mo$	0.525	0.889	0.590	0.562	-1.337	2.387	0.017

Table 1025: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.850	0.507	19.439			10.914	
$FEVER_1yr$	0.104	0.925	0.113	0.912	-1.839	2.048	0.001

Table 1026: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs DAY-CARE, df=14

 _	0	,	0.000

Table 1027: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr	10.537 -1.312	0.000	18.870 -1.662			11.710 0.347	

Table 1028: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	10.866 -1.313	0.000	13.502 -1.413		9.175 -3.265	12.556 0.639	0.000 0.095

Table 1029: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs French-Fries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	8.914	0.552	16.137	0.00	7.753	10.074	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	1.759	0.745	2.362	0.03	0.194	3.324	0.227

Table 1030: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Sweet-FoodsDrinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	11.010	0.791	13.928	0.000	9.349	12.671	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-1.506	0.913	-1.650	0.116	-3.423	0.412	0.125

Table 1031: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Peanut-Butter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	10.417	0.699	14.894	0.000	8.948	11.887	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.825	0.868	-0.951	0.354	-2.648	0.997	0.045

Table 1032: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs WH-STOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
	Loumave	oud. Ellor	o varae	11(> 0)	2.0 70	01.0 70	102
Intercept	7.643	2.180	3.506	0.003	2.997	12.288	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	2.177	2.388	0.912	0.376	-2.912	7.266	0.094
WHSTOTHER.5 months	2.454	2.388	1.028	0.320	-2.635	7.544	0.119
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	2.072	2.669	0.776	0.450	-3.618	7.761	0.040
WHSTOTHER.6 months	3.015	2.330	1.294	0.215	-1.952	7.981	0.220
WHSTOTHER.7 months	2.630	3.082	0.853	0.407	-3.940	9.200	0.034

Table 1033: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMIND_6mo	10.472 -1.426	0.021	19.988 -1.249	0.000	9.367 -3.835	11.578 0.983	0.00

Table 1034: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.574	0.933	10.261	0.000	7.606	11.543	0.00
$Cereals_6mo$	0.812	1.087	0.747	0.466	-1.482	3.105	0.03

Table 1035: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	11.432 -0.043	2.147 0.069	5.325 -0.619	$0.000 \\ 0.544$	6.881 -0.188	15.984 0.103	0.000

Table 1036: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	12.550	1.745	7.190	0.000	8.867	16.232	0.000
TRAIT	-0.073	0.051	-1.429	0.171	-0.181	0.035	0.102

Table 1037: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	9.964	0.686	14.519	0.00	8.516	11.412	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.027	0.152	0.179	0.86	-0.294	0.349	0.002

Table 1038: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.518	0.704	13.525	0.000	8.033	11.002	0.000
Positive Life Events	0.091	0.088	1.036	0.315	-0.094	0.276	0.056

Table 1039: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: PD_whole_tree vs Total-LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	$9.076 \\ 0.109$	0.938 0.090	9.671 1.203	$0.000 \\ 0.245$	7.096 -0.082	$11.056 \\ 0.299$	0.000 0.074

Table 1040: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs MAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.824	1.231	3.917	0.001	2.246	7.401	0.000
MAGE	-0.016	0.039	-0.420	0.679	-0.097	0.065	0.009

Table 1041: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PAGE, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.910	0.875	5.609	0.000	3.078	6.742	0.000
PAGE	-0.017	0.025	-0.696	0.495	-0.070	0.035	0.024

Table 1042: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs MEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.640	1.426	2.552	0.019	0.655	6.626	0.000
MEDUY	0.041	0.086	0.474	0.641	-0.139	0.221	0.011

Table 1043: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PEDUY, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.559	0.873	4.076	0.001	1.732	5.387	0.000
PEDUY	0.049	0.056	0.878	0.391	-0.067	0.165	

Table 1044: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs Income.code, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.235	0.260	16.279	0.000	3.688	4.781	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Income.code.LOW	-0.149	0.469	-0.318	0.754	-1.135	0.836	0.006
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.277	0.379	0.731	0.474	-0.520	1.074	0.030

Table 1045: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.347	0.275	15.788	0.000	3.771	4.923	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.057	0.350	-0.163	0.872	-0.790	0.675	0.001

Table 1046: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs SEX, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	$4.042 \\ 0.195$	$0.508 \\ 0.347$	$7.950 \\ 0.562$	$0.000 \\ 0.581$	2.978 -0.532	$5.106 \\ 0.922$	0.000

Table 1047: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	7.621 -0.012	6.293 0.023	1.211 -0.526	$0.241 \\ 0.605$	-5.55 -0.06	$20.792 \\ 0.036$	

Table 1048: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs BW, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.985	1.447	2.063	0.053	-0.043	6.013	0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.000	0.000	0.923	0.368	-0.001	0.001	0.041

Table 1049: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs MaternalInfection, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.356	0.224	19.406	0.000	3.886	4.826	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.103	0.343	-0.299	0.768	-0.820	0.615	0.004

Table 1050: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs MPSYCH, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.283	0.194	22.035	0.000	3.876	4.689	0.000
MPSYCH	0.122	0.398	0.306	0.763	-0.712	0.956	0.005

Table 1051: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs VITAMINDNEO, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.166	0.209	19.909	0.000	3.728	4.604	0.00
VITAMINDNEO	0.383	0.339	1.129	0.273	-0.327	1.092	0.06

Table 1052: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PrePregBMI, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.305	0.210	20.514	0.000	3.862	4.748	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	-0.023	0.555	-0.041	0.968	-1.194	1.148	0.000
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.274	0.363	0.753	0.462	-0.493	1.040	0.025
${\bf PrePregBMI. Under}$	-1.452	0.757	-1.919	0.072	-3.048	0.145	0.156

Table 1053: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs ANTIBI-OTIC_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.266	0.226	18.916	0.000	3.792	4.739	0
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.029	0.336	-0.086	0.932	-0.735	0.677	0

Table 1054: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs FORMULA_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.092	0.218	18.737	0.000	3.634	4.551	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	0.356	0.326	1.094	0.289	-0.328	1.040	0.059

Table 1055: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs FORMULA_6mo, df=19

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.115	0.226	18.232	0.000	3.643	4.588	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.413	0.327	1.262	0.222	-0.272	1.097	0.074

Table 1056: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs FEVER_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER 1vr	4.230 0.075	$0.200 \\ 0.365$	21.182 0.206	0.000	3.811 -0.691	4.650 0.841	0.000

Table 1057: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs DAYCARE, df=14

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.328	0.224	19.293	0.000	3.847	1.000	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.104	0.339	-0.306	0.764	-0.831	0.624	0.006

Table 1058: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.628	0.201	23.037	0.000	4.205	5.050	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	-0.750	0.284	-2.639	0.017	-1.347	-0.153	0.268

Table 1059: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs Milks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.492	0.328	13.687	0.000	3.802	5.181	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	-0.319	0.379	-0.841	0.411	-1.115	0.478	0.036

Table 1060: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.809	0.206	18.518	0.000	3.377	4.241	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	0.806	0.277	2.907	0.009	0.224	1.389	0.308

Table 1061: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.746	0.306	15.491	0.000	4.103	5.390	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.658	0.354	-1.860	0.079	-1.401	0.085	0.154

Table 1062: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=18

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.386	0.280	15.662	0.000	3.798	4.974	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.205	0.347	-0.591	0.562	-0.935	0.524	0.018

Table 1063: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs WHSTOTHER, df=15

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.689	0.810	4.552	0.000	1.962	5.417	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.636	0.888	0.716	0.485	-1.257	2.528	0.077
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.399	0.888	0.449	0.660	-1.493	2.291	0.030
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.313	0.993	0.315	0.757	-1.803	2.429	0.009
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.969	0.866	1.119	0.281	-0.878	2.816	0.218
WHSTOTHER.7 $months$	0.494	1.146	0.431	0.673	-1.949	2.937	0.012

Table 1064: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.485	0.195	22.978	0.000	4.073	4.896	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	-0.606	0.425	-1.426	0.172	-1.504	0.291	0.101

Table 1065: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs Cereals_6mo, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.120	0.351	11.726	0.000	3.379	4.861	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.322	0.409	0.786	0.443	-0.542	1.185	0.033

Table 1066: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs STATE, df=16

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.659	0.802	5.808	0.000	2.959	6.359	0.000
STATE	-0.012	0.026	-0.450	0.659	-0.066	0.043	0.012

Table 1067: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs TRAIT, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TRAIT	5.301	0.652 0.019	8.126 -1.530	0.000	3.925 -0.070	6.677 0.011	

Table 1068: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs Negative LifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.3	0.260	16.535	0.000	3.751	4.848	0
NegativeLifeEvents	0.0	0.058	-0.005	0.996	-0.122	0.122	0

Table 1069: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.371	0.274	15.976	0.000	3.794	4.949	0.000
${\bf Positive Life Events}$	-0.012	0.034	-0.363	0.721	-0.084	0.060	0.007

Table 1070: cvrt_vs_diversity_yr1: shannon vs TotalLifeEvents, df=17

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.420	0.369	11.993	0.000	3.642	5.197	0.000
TotalLifeEvents	-0.014	0.035	-0.381	0.708	-0.088	0.061	0.008

Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
----------	------------	---------	-------------------------	-------	--------	----

#yr
1 mask task v $\,$ s diversity

Table 1071: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.718	0.183	20.358	0.000	3.320	4.116	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	0.750	0.457	1.640	0.127	-0.246	1.746	0.171

Table 1072: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.835	0.180	21.361	0.000	3.444	4.227	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-2.431	1.111	-2.188	0.049	-4.852	-0.010	0.269

Table 1073: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs wunifrac. PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.704	0.213	17.350	0.000	3.238	4.169	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.377	2.430	0.155	0.879	-4.917	5.670	0.002

Table 1074: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs wunifrac. PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.731	0.199	18.768	0.000	3.298	4.164	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	1.545	2.079	0.743	0.472	-2.985	6.076	0.041

Table 1075: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.701	0.193	19.220	0.000	3.281	4.120	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-1.857	1.650	-1.126	0.282	-5.451	1.738	0.089

Table 1076: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.680	0.192	19.198	0.000	3.263	4.098	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	2.016	1.597	1.262	0.231	-1.465	5.496	0.109

Table 1077: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: Masks Presented vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	3.706 -0.563	0.202 1.470	18.361 -0.383	0.000	3.267 -3.766	4.146 2.641	0.000 0.011

Table 1078: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.679	0.213	17.289	0.000	3.215	4.143	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	0.927	1.907	0.486	0.636	-3.229	5.083	0.018

Table 1079: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: Masks Presented vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.324	0.705	6.135	0.000	2.788	5.859	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.003	-0.900	0.386	-0.008	0.003	0.059

Table 1080: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.045	0.755	5.359	0.000	2.400	5.690	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.002	0.005	-0.454	0.658	-0.012	0.008	0.016

Table 1081: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.806	1.250	3.045	0.010	1.083	6.530	0
PD_whole_tree	-0.009	0.127	-0.075	0.942	-0.286	0.267	0

Table 1082: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MasksPresented vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept shannon	4.169 -0.107	1.650 0.387	2.527 -0.278	0.0	0.0	7.764 0.735	0.000

Table 1083: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.241	1.078	3.933	0.002	1.891	6.590	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 1	5.719	2.700	2.118	0.056	-0.164	11.601	0.257

Table 1084: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.322	1.325	3.263	0.007	1.436	7.209	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-2.165	8.197	-0.264	0.796	-20.025	15.696	0.005

Table 1085: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.209	1.337	3.149	0.008	1.297	7.122	0
wunifrac. PC. 3	0.181	15.214	0.012	0.991	-32.968	33.330	0

Table 1086: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.269	1.264	3.377	0.005			
wunifrac.PC.4	5.113	13.222	0.387	0.706	-23.696	33.922	0.011

Table 1087: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.179	1.257	3.326	0.006	1.442	6.917	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-4.690	10.766	-0.436	0.671	-28.147	18.768	0.014

Table 1088: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.355	1.243	3.504	0.004	1.647	7.063	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	-8.377	10.357	-0.809	0.434	-30.944	14.189	0.048

Table 1089: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	4.363 10.647	1.198 8.728	3.641 1.220	0.000	1.752 -8.371	0.0	0.000 0.103

Table 1090: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.534	1.214	2.910	0.013	0.888	6.180	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	17.901	10.885	1.645	0.126	-5.815	41.618	0.172

Table 1091: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.418	4.549	0.751	0.467	-6.494	13.33	0.000
chao1	0.003	0.017	0.182	0.858	-0.033	0.04	0.003

Table 1092: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.62	4.739	0.553	0.591	-7.705	12.945	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.01	0.029	0.349	0.733	-0.054	0.074	0.009

Table 1093: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.516	7.697	-0.067	0.948	-17.287	16.255	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.486	0.781	0.623	0.545	-1.215	2.187	0.029

Table 1094: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-6.267	9.896	-0.633	0.538	-27.829	15.295	0.000
shannon	2.475	2.319	1.067	0.307	-2.578	7.527	0.081

Table 1095: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.209	0.318	6.939	0.000	1.515	2.902	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-1.223	0.797	-1.534	0.151	-2.959	0.514	0.153

Table 1096: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.193	0.365	6.003	0.000	1.397	2.990	0.000
wunifrac. $PC.2$	0.418	2.261	0.185	0.857	-4.509	5.344	0.003

Table 1097: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.215	0.368	6.016	0.000	1.413	3.017	0
wunifrac. PC. 3	-0.015	4.190	-0.003	0.997	-9.145	9.116	0

Table 1098: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.204	0.349	6.309	0.000	1.443	2.966	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.913	3.655	-0.250	0.807	-8.877	7.050	0.005

Table 1099: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.218	0.348	6.365	0.000	1.459	2.977	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	0.466	2.986	0.156	0.879	-6.040	6.971	0.002

Table 1100: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.2	2.179 2.112	0.344 2.865	6.337 0.737	$0.000 \\ 0.475$	1.43 -4.13	2.928 8.355	0.00

Table 1101: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.165	0.321	6.738	0.000	1.465		0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-3.493	2.341	-1.492	0.161	-8.593		0.146

Table 1102: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.423	0.325	7.450	0.000	1.714	3.132	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-5.494	2.915	-1.884	0.084	-11.845	0.858	0.215

Table 1103: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.618	1.249	2.096	0.058	-0.103	5.339	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.005	-0.336	0.742	-0.012	0.008	0.009

Table 1104: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.927	1.294	2.262	0.043	0.107	5.747	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.005	0.008	-0.572	0.578	-0.022	0.013	0.025

Table 1105: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.376	2.127	1.587	0.139	-1.259	8.010	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.119	0.216	-0.553	0.590	-0.589	0.351	0.023

Table 1106: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.127	2.723	1.883	0.084	-0.806	11.061	0.000
shannon	-0.688	0.638	-1.078	0.302	-2.078	0.703	0.082

Table 1107: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.778 -1.672	$0.269 \\ 0.672$	6.621 -2.487	0.000	1.100	2.363 -0.207	

Table 1108: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.749	0.346	5.058	0.000	0.996	2.502	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	0.738	2.140	0.345	0.736	-3.924	5.399	0.009

Table 1109: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.805	0.349	5.171	0.000	1.045	2.566	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-0.691	3.974	-0.174	0.865	-9.350	7.968	0.002

Table 1110: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.784	0.333	5.363	0.000	1.059	2.508	0
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.188	3.479	-0.054	0.958	-7.769	7.393	0

Table 1111: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.797	0.327	5.496	0.000	1.085	2.510	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.563	2.802	0.558	0.587	-4.542	7.668	0.023

Table 1112: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.759	0.329	5.344	0.000	1.042	2.476	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.619	2.742	0.591	0.566	-4.355	7.594	0.026

Table 1113: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.740	0.306	5.684	$0.000 \\ 0.169$	1.073	2.407	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-3.262	2.230	-1.463		-8.120	1.595	0.141

Table 1114: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.022	0.289	6.996	0.000	1.392	2.652	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-6.216	2.591	-2.399	0.034	-11.861	-0.571	0.307

Table 1115: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.846	1.191	1.550	0.147	-0.75	4.442	0
chao1	0.000	0.004	-0.053	0.959	-0.01	0.009	0

Table 1116: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.070	1.243	1.666	0.122	-0.638	4.778	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.002	0.008	-0.237	0.816	-0.019	0.015	0.004

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1117: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=12 \\ \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.818	2.023	1.393	0.189	-1.589	7.226	0.00
PD_whole_tree	-0.106	0.205	-0.517	0.614	-0.553	0.341	0.02

Table 1118: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.907	2.689	1.081	0.00-	-2.951	0.,00	0.000
shannon	-0.265	0.630	-0.420	0.682	-1.637	1.108	0.013

Table 1119: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.565 -1.394	$0.205 \\ 0.514$	7.618 -2.710	0.000	1.117 -2.515	2.013 -0.273	0.000

Table 1120: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.513	0.269	5.631	0.000	0.928	2.099	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	1.166	1.663	0.701	0.496	-2.457	4.789	0.036

Table 1121: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.547	0.275	5.625	0.000	0.948	2.146	0.000
wunifrac. $PC.3$	0.863	3.130	0.276	0.787	-5.956	7.683	0.006

Table 1122: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.546	0.254	6.087	0.000	0.992	2.099	0.00
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.410	2.656	-0.907	0.382	-8.197	3.377	0.06

Table 1123: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.582	0.257	6.161	0.000	1.023	2.142	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.439	2.200	0.654	0.525	-3.355	6.233	0.032

Table 1124: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.588	0.261	6.075	0.000	1.018	2.157	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.959	2.177	-0.441	0.667	-5.703	3.785	0.015

Table 1125: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.571	0.262	5.993	0	1.000	2.143	0
unifrac.PC.3	-0.001	1.910	-0.001	1	-4.162	4.160	0

Table 1126: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.697	0.256	6.622	0.000	1.139	2.255	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-3.301	2.297	-1.437	0.176	-8.305	1.703	0.137

Table 1127: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.695	0.902	0.770	0.456	-1.271	2.661	0.000
chao1	0.003	0.003	1.011	0.332	-0.004	0.011	0.073

Table 1128: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.731	0.950	0.769	0.457	-1.339	2.801	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.005	0.006	0.918	0.377	-0.007	0.018	0.061

Table 1129: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.077	1.607	0.670	0.515	-2.425	4.579	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.051	0.163	0.312	0.761	-0.304	0.406	0.007

Table 1130: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.656	2.120	0.309	*****	-3.964	5.275	0.000
shannon	0.216	0.497	0.435		-0.866	1.299	0.014

Table 1131: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.284 -0.416	0.121 0.304	2.340 -1.372	$0.037 \\ 0.195$	0.020 -1.078	0.0 -0	0.000 0.126

Table 1132: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.270	0.136	1.979	0.071	-0.027	0.567	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	0.323	0.843	0.383	0.709	-1.514	2.160	0.011

Table 1133: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.263	0.136	1.929	0.078	-0.034	0.561	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.784	1.553	0.505	0.623	-2.600	4.169	0.019

Table 1134: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.277	0.129	2.142	0.053	-0.005	0.558	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.834	1.352	-0.617	0.549	-3.779	2.111	0.028

Table 1135: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.284	0.130	2.177	0.050	0.000	0.568	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-0.241	1.117	-0.216	0.833	-2.676	2.193	0.004

Table 1136: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.301	0.128	2.363	0.036	0.023	0.580	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.941	1.063	-0.885	0.393	-3.258	1.375	0.057

Table 1137: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	0.278 -0.523	0.129 0.943	2.151 -0.555	0.000	-0.004 -2.577	0.000	0.000 0.023

Table 1138: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.271	0.138	1.961	0.074	-0.030	0.572	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	0.392	1.238	0.317	0.757	-2.306	3.090	0.008

Table 1139: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.144	0.452	-0.319	0.755	-1.129	0.841	0.00
chao1	0.002	0.002	0.990	0.342	-0.002	0.005	0.07

Table 1140: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.159	0.473	-0.335	0.743	-1.189	0.872	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.003	0.003	0.974	0.349	-0.004	0.009	0.068

Table 1141: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.145	0.806	0.180	0.860	-1.611	1.901	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.014	0.082	0.177	0.863	-0.164	0.193	0.002

Table 1142: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.436	1.048	-0.417	0.684	-2.719	1.846	0.000
shannon	0.171	0.245	0.695	0.501	-0.364	0.705	0.036

Table 1143: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.641	0.126	5.070	0.000	0.365		0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.488	0.316	-1.543	0.149	-1.178		0.155

Table 1144: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.657	0.145	4.541	0.001	0.342	0.973	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	-0.292	0.896	-0.326	0.750	-2.244	1.660	0.008

Table 1145: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.57	0.131	4.339	0.001	0.284	0.857	0.00
wunifrac.PC.3	2.53	1.496	1.691	0.117	-0.730	5.791	0.18

Table 1146: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.652	0.137	4.763	0.000	0.354	0.951	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	0.897	1.433	0.626	0.543	-2.225	4.019	0.029

Table 1147: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	$0.649 \\ 0.819$	0.136 1.164	$4.778 \\ 0.703$	0.000	0.353 -1.717	$0.945 \\ 3.354$	

Table 1148: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.647	0.139	4.635	0.001	0.343	0.950	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.221	1.162	-0.190	0.853	-2.753	2.312	0.003

Table 1149: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.635	0.137	4.63	0.001	0.336	0.933	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.589	0.998	-0.59	0.566	-2.764	1.587	0.026

Table 1150: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.704	0.138	5.119	0.000	0.405	1.004	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-1.617	1.233	-1.311	0.214	-4.304	1.070	0.117

Table 1151: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.187	0.471	2.521	0.027	0.161	2.214	0.0
chao1	-0.002	0.002	-1.204	0.252	-0.006	0.002	0.1

Table 1152: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.068	0.505	2.112	0.056	-0.034	2.169	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.003	0.003	-0.872	0.400	-0.010	0.004	0.055

Table 1153: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.034	0.848	1.219	0.246	-0.814	2.882	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.040	0.086	-0.467	0.649	-0.228	0.147	0.017

Table 1154: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.901	1.073	1.773	0.102	-0.435	4.238	0.000
shannon	-0.297	0.251	-1.182	0.260	-0.845	0.250	0.097

Table 1155: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.994	0.781	8.952 3.252	0.000	5.291	8.696	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	6.360	1.956		0.007	2.098	10.622	0.449

Table 1156: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.336	1.071	6.850	0.000	5.003	9.670	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-7.467	6.628	-1.127	0.282	-21.908	6.973	0.089

Table 1157: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.977	1.133	6.158	0.000	4.509	9.446	0
wunifrac. $PC.3$	-0.458	12.897	-0.036	0.972	-28.559	27.642	0

Table 1158: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.939	1.076	6.447	0.000	4.594	0.20-	
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.386	11.258	-0.212	0.836	-26.914	22.142	0.003

Table 1159: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.906	1.041	6.634	0.000	4.638	9.175	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-7.793	8.920	-0.874	0.399	-27.227	11.642	0.055

Table 1160: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.017	1.077	6.518	0.000	4.671	9.362	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-3.135	8.971	-0.349	0.733	-22.681	16.411	0.009

Table 1161: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	7.045 5.765	1.053 7.666	$6.693 \\ 0.752$	$0.000 \\ 0.467$	4.752 -10.938	0.000	$0.000 \\ 0.042$

Table 1162: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.444	1.051	6.132	0.000	4.154	8.734	0.00
unifrac.PC.4	13.689	9.420	1.453	0.172	-6.835	34.212	0.14

Table 1163: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.778	3.861	1.755	0.105	-1.636	15.191	0
chao1	0.001	0.014	0.050	0.961	-0.030	0.032	0

Table 1164: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.142	4.030	1.524	0.153	-2.639	14.923	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.005	0.025	0.212	0.836	-0.049	0.060	0.003

Table 1165: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	3.601 0.346	$6.557 \\ 0.665$	$0.549 \\ 0.520$		-10.685 -1.103		

Table 1166: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.900	8.733	0.447	0.663	-15.128	22.928	0.00
shannon	0.724	2.046	0.354	0.730	-3.735	5.182	0.01

Table 1167: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.529 -1.516	0.234 0.587	6.524 -2.585	0.000		2.039 -0.238	0.000

Table 1168: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.481	0.303	4.888	0.000	0.821	2.142	0.000
wunifrac. $PC.2$	1.093	1.875	0.583	0.571	-2.992	5.179	0.025

Table 1169: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.501	0.308	4.879	0.000	0.831	2.171	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	1.217	3.502	0.348	0.734	-6.412	8.846	0.009

Table 1170: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.536	0.294	5.221	0.000	0.895	2.177	0
wunifrac.PC.4	0.012	3.077	0.004	0.997	-6.693	6.717	0

Table 1171: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	1.542 0.890	$0.291 \\ 2.497$	$5.293 \\ 0.357$	$0.000 \\ 0.728$	0.907 -4.550	$2.177 \\ 6.330$	0.00

Table 1172: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs_unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.515	0.292	5.186	0.000	0.879	2.152	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	1.216	2.435	0.499	0.627	-4.090	6.521	0.019

Table 1173: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.509	0.284	5.317	0.000	0.890	2.127	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-1.930	2.067	-0.934	0.369	-6.433	2.573	0.063

Table 1174: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.670	0.289	5.776	0.000	1.040	2.301	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	-3.545	2.592	-1.367	0.197	-9.193	2.104	0.126

Table 1175: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.504	1.054	1.428	0.179	-0.791	3.800	0
chao1	0.000	0.004	0.031	0.976	-0.008	0.009	0

Table 1176: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.683	1.101	1.529	0.152	-0.716	4.081	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.001	0.007	-0.139	0.892	-0.016	0.014	0.001

Table 1177: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.300	1.795	1.281	0.224	-1.611	6.211	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.079	0.182	-0.431	0.674	-0.475	0.318	0.014

Table 1178: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.556	2.377	1.075	0.000	-2.623	7.734	0.000
shannon	-0.241	0.557	-0.432	0.673	-1.454	0.973	0.0

Table 1179: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.154	0.224	5.143	0.000	0.665	1.643	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-1.438	0.562	-2.560	0.025	-2.662	-0.214	0.335

Table 1180: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.075	0.282	3.811	0.002	0.460	1.689	0.00
wunifrac. PC. 2	1.726	1.745	0.989	0.342	-2.076	5.528	0.07

Table 1181: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.159	0.295	3.927	0.002	0.516	1.802	0
wunifrac.PC.3	0.069	3.358	0.021	0.984	-7.248	7.386	0

Table 1182: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.155	0.280	4.120	0.001	0.544	1.766	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.515	2.933	-0.176	0.863	-6.906	5.875	0.002

Table 1183: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.172	0.275	4.262	0.001	0.573	1.771	0.00
unifrac.PC.1	1.500	2.356	0.637	0.536	-3.633	6.633	0.03

Table 1184: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.16	0.282	4.116	0.001	0.546	1.773	0
unifrac.PC.2	0.07	2.348	0.030	0.977	-5.045	5.185	

Table 1185: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.142	0.275	4.147	0.001	0.542	1.742	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-1.339	2.006	-0.667	0.517	-5.709	3.032	0.033

Table 1186: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.294	0.274	4.714	0.001	0.696	1.892	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-3.503	2.460	-1.424	0.180	-8.863	1.857	0.135

Table 1187: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.828	1.001	0.827	0.424	-1.352	3.008	0.000
chao1	0.001	0.004	0.346	0.735	-0.007	0.009	0.009

Table 1188: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.089	1.051	1.036	0.321	-1.201	3.379	0
$observed_otus$	0.000	0.007	0.071	0.945	-0.014	0.015	0

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1189: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: \\ ageScore_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=12 \end{tabular}$

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.686	1.720	0.98	0.346	-2.061	5.432	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.054	0.174	-0.31	0.762	-0.434	0.326	0.007

Table 1190: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.315	2.285	0.575	0.576	-3.665	6.294	0
shannon	-0.036	0.536	-0.068	0.947	-1.203	1.130	0

Table 1191: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	1.262 -1.288	$0.209 \\ 0.524$	6.031 -2.458	0.00 0.03	0.806 -2.429	1.718 -0.146	$0.000 \\ 0.317$

Table 1192: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.196	0.261	4.579	0.001	0.627	1.766	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	1.433	1.617	0.886	0.393	-2.090	4.955	0.057

Table 1193: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.253	0.271	4.622	0.001	0.662	1.843	0.000
wunifrac. $PC.3$	0.533	3.085	0.173	0.866	-6.187	7.254	0.002

Table 1194: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.244	0.251	4.964	0.000	0.698	1.789	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.262	2.621	-0.863	0.405	-7.971	3.448	0.054

Table 1195: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	$1.275 \\ 0.955$	$0.255 \\ 2.185$	$4.999 \\ 0.437$	0.00	0.719 -3.807		0.000 0.014

Table 1196: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.285	0.257	5.009	0.000	0.726	1.844	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-1.041	2.138	-0.487	0.635	-5.699	3.618	0.018

Table 1197: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.265	0.258	4.907	0.000	0.703	1.826	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.218	1.877	-0.116		-4.309	3.872	0.001

Table 1198: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.379	0.256	5.389	0.000	0.822	1.937	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-2.937	2.294	-1.280	0.225	-7.936	2.062	0.112

Table 1199: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.368	0.884	0.416	0.685	-1.559	2.295	0.000
chao1	0.003	0.003	1.059	0.310	-0.004	0.011	0.079

Table 1200: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.539	0.942	0.572	0.578	-1.513	2.591	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.005	0.006	0.803	0.438	-0.008	0.017	0.047

Table 1201: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD whole tree	0.772 0.051	1.581 0.160	0.488 0.318		-2.672 -0.298		0.000
I D_whole_tree	0.001	0.100	0.516	0.750	-0.236	0.400	0.000

Table 1202: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.459 0.191	2.089 0.489	0.22	0.000	-4.092 -0.876	5.010 1.257	0.000

Table 1203: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.194 -0.424	0.090 0.226	2.152 -1.872	0.00=	-0.002 -0.917	0.00-	$0.000 \\ 0.212$

Table 1204: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.156	0.101	1.543	0.149	-0.064	0.377	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	0.809	0.626	1.293	0.220	-0.555	2.173	0.114

Table 1205: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.191	0.109	1.761	0.104	-0.045	0.428	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.186	1.235	0.151	0.883	-2.505	2.877	0.002

Table 1206: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.186	0.100	1.864	0.087	-0.031	0.403	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.998	1.042	-0.958	0.357	-3.269	1.272	0.066

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1207: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.200	0.101	1.976	0.072	-0.021	0.421	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	0.528	0.869	0.608	0.555	-1.364	2.421	0.028

Table 1208: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.213	0.098	2.166	0.051	-0.001	0.427	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.967	0.818	-1.182	0.260	-2.749	0.816	0.097

Table 1209: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.195	0.103	1.888	0.083	-0.030	0.420	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.118	0.751	-0.157	0.878	-1.755	1.519	0.002

Table 1210: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.205	0.109	1.882	0.084	-0.032	0.443	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-0.230	0.977	-0.235	0.818	-2.359	1.899	0.004

Table 1211: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.205	0.350	-0.584	0.570	-0.967	0.558	0.000
chao1	0.002	0.001	1.192	0.256	-0.001	0.004	0.099

Table 1212: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.123	0.375	-0.329	0.748	-0.940	0.694	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.002	0.002	0.884	0.394	-0.003	0.007	0.057

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1213: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=12 \\ \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	$0.122 \\ 0.008$	$0.635 \\ 0.064$	$0.191 \\ 0.119$		-1.262 -0.133	$1.506 \\ 0.148$	

Table 1214: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=12

_	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1215: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.354	0.070	5.080	0.000	0.202	0.506	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-0.634	0.175	-3.631		-1.014	-0.254	0.504

Table 1216: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.335	0.104	3.215	0.007	0.108	0.561	0.000
wunifrac. PC.2	0.453	0.644	0.704	0.495	-0.950	1.856	0.037

Table 1217: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.307	0.097	3.159	0.008	0.095	0.519	0.00
wunifrac.PC.3	1.742	1.107	1.573	0.142	-0.671	4.154	0.16

Table 1218: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.367	0.098	3.730	0.003	0.153	0.581	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	0.923	1.029	0.897	0.387	-1.320	3.166	0.058

Table 1219: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.371	0.081	4.594	0.001	0.195	0.546	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.815	0.691	2.625	0.022	0.309	3.321	0.347

Table 1220: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.361	0.102	3.544	0.004	0.139	0.582	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.208	0.848	-0.246	0.810	-2.056	1.639	0.005

Table 1221: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.358	0.102	3.521	0.004	0.136	0.579	0
unifrac.PC.3	0.027	0.740	0.037	0.971	-1.584	1.639	0

Table 1222: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.407	0.099	4.126	0.001	0.192	0.622	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-1.318	0.885	-1.490	0.162	-3.246	0.610	0.146

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.872	0.330	2.644	0.021	0.153	1.590	0.000
chao1	-0.002	0.001	-1.624	0.130	-0.005	0.001	0.169

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1224: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=12 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.808	0.356	2.271	0.042	0.033	1.584	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.003	0.002	-1.315	0.213	-0.008	0.002	0.117

Table 1225: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.045	0.592	1.765	0.103	-0.245	2.334	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.071	0.060	-1.177	0.262	-0.201	0.060	0.096

Table 1226: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.446	0.765	1.892	0.083	-0.219	3.112	0.000
shannon	-0.257	0.179	-1.435	0.177	-0.648	0.133	0.137

Table 1227: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	27.975 25.441	3.125 7.824	8.952 3.252	0.000 0.007		34.783 42.488	

Table 1228: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	29.346	4.284	6.850	0.000	20.011	38.680	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-29.869	26.511	-1.127	0.282	-87.631	27.892	0.089

Table 1229: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	27.910	4.533	6.158	0.000	18.034	37.785	0
wunifrac.PC.3	-1.834	51.589	-0.036	0.972	-114.236	110.568	0

Table 1230: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	27.755	4.305	6.447	0.000	18.375	37.134	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-9.545	45.031	-0.212	0.836	-107.658	88.568	0.003

Table 1231: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.626	4.164	6.634	0.000	18.553	36.698	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-31.170	35.679	-0.874	0.399	-108.907	46.567	0.055

Table 1232: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	28.067	4.306	6.518	0.000	18.685	37.450	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-12.538	35.884	-0.349	0.733	-90.722	65.646	0.009

Table 1233: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	28.18 23.06	4.210 30.665	$6.693 \\ 0.752$	$0.000 \\ 0.467$	19.007 -43.752	37.353 89.873	0.000

Table 1234: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	25.776	4.203	6.132	0.000	16.618	34.935	0.00
unifrac.PC.4	54.754	37.678	1.453	0.172	-27.340	136.848	0.14

Table 1235: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	27.110	15.446	1.755	0.105	-6.543	60.764	0
chao1	0.003	0.057	0.050	0.961	-0.121	0.127	0

Table 1236: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	24.567	16.12	1.524	0.153	-10.556	59.690	0.000
$_{ m observed_otus}$	0.021	0.10	0.212	0.836	-0.197	0.239	0.003

Table 1237: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

25011110	ic Sta. Elloi	t varue	$\Gamma I(> U)$	2.5 70	97.5 %	R2
Intercept 14.4 PD whole tree 1.3	-	0.0 -0		-42.740 -4.412		

Table 1238: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	15.599	34.932	0.447	0.663	-60.512	91.710	0.00
shannon	2.894	8.186	0.354	0.730	-14.941	20.729	0.01

Table 1239: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	6.115 -6.066	$0.937 \\ 2.347$	6.524 -2.585	$0.000 \\ 0.024$	4.073 -11.178		0.000

Table 1240: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.925	1.212	4.888	0.000	3.284	8.566	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	4.374	7.501	0.583	0.571	-11.969	20.717	0.025

Table 1241: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.004	1.231	4.879	0.000	3.322	8.685	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	4.868	14.006	0.348	0.734	-25.648	35.385	0.009

Table 1242: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.143	1.177	5.221	0.000	3.579	8.707	0
wunifrac.PC.4	0.047	12.309	0.004	0.997	-26.772	26.867	0

Table 1243: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.1	6.169 3.561	1.166 9.987	$5.293 \\ 0.357$	$0.000 \\ 0.728$	3.630 -18.198	8.709 25.320	0.00

Table 1244: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.061	1.169	5.186	0.000	3.515	8.608	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	4.862	9.740	0.499	0.627	-16.359	26.083	0.019

Table 1245: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	6.035 -7.720	1.135 8.266	5.317 -0.934	$0.000 \\ 0.369$	3.562 -25.731	0.000	0.000 0.063

Table 1246: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.682	1.157	5.776	0.000	4.161	9.202	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	-14.179	10.370	-1.367	0.197	-36.773	8.415	0.126

Table 1247: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.018	4.215	1.428	0.179	-3.165	15.201	0
chao1	0.000	0.016	0.031	0.976	-0.033	0.034	0

Table 1248: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs_observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.731	4.403	1.529	0.152	-2.862	16.324	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.004	0.027	-0.139	0.892	-0.063	0.056	0.001

Table 1249: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	9.200	7.180	1.281	0.224	-6.445	24.844	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.314	0.728	-0.431	0.674	-1.901	1.272	0.014

Table 1250: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score FacialFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept shannon	10.223 -0.963	9.507 2.228	1.075 -0.432	0.000	-10.490 -5.817	30.937 3.891	$0.000 \\ 0.014$

Table 1251: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	4.616 -5.752	0.898 2.247	5.143 -2.560	$0.000 \\ 0.025$	2.661 -10.649	0.0	0.000 0.335

Table 1252: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.299	1.128	3.811	0.002	1.841	6.756	0.00
wunifrac.PC.2	6.906	6.980	0.989	0.342	-8.302	22.113	0.07

Table 1253: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.635	1.180	3.927	0.002	2.063	7.206	0
wunifrac.PC.3	0.277	13.433	0.021	0.984	-28.992	29.546	0

Table 1254: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.621	1.122	4.120	0.001	2.177	7.064	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.062	11.732	-0.176	0.863	-27.624	23.500	0.002

Table 1255: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.687	1.100	4.262	0.001	2.291	7.084	0.00
unifrac.PC.1	5.999	9.423	0.637	0.536	-14.533	26.530	0.03

Table 1256: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.638	1.127	4.116	0.001	2.183	7.094	0
unifrac.PC.2	0.279	9.391	0.030	0.977	-20.181	20.740	0

Table 1257: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept unifrac.PC.3	4.568 -5.354	1.102 8.023	4.147 -0.667	$0.001 \\ 0.517$	2.168 -22.835	0.000	0.000 0.033

Table 1258: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.175	1.098	4.714	0.001	2.783	7.567	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	-14.012	9.840	-1.424	0.180	-35.452	7.429	0.135

Table 1259: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.311	4.002	0.827	0.424	-5.410	12.031	0.000
chao1	0.005	0.015	0.346	0.735	-0.027	0.037	0.009

Table 1260: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.357	4.204	1.036	0.321	-4.804	13.518	0
$observed_otus$	0.002	0.026	0.071	0.945	-0.055	0.059	0

Table 1261: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.744	6.878	0.98	0.346	-8.242	21.730	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.216	0.698	-0.31	0.762	-1.736	1.304	0.007

Table 1262: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score VocalDistress vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.259	9.141	0.575	0.576	-14.658	25.177	0
shannon	-0.145	2.142	-0.068	0.947	-4.813	4.522	0

Table 1263: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	5.048 -5.151	0.837 2.096	6.031 -2.458		3.224 -9.717		0.000

Table 1264: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.786	1.045	4.579	0.001	2.509	7.063	0.000
wunifrac. PC.2	5.731	6.467	0.886	0.393	-8.359	19.822	0.057

Table 1265: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.010	1.084	4.622	0.001	2.648	7.372	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	2.134	12.338	0.173	0.866	-24.749	29.017	0.002

Table 1266: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.974	1.002	4.964	0.000	2.791	7.158	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-9.046	10.482	-0.863	0.405	-31.886	13.793	0.054

Table 1267: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.10	1.020	4.999	0.00	2.877	7.323	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	3.82	8.742	0.437	0.67	-15.227	22.866	0.014

Table 1268: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.141	1.026	5.009	0.000	2.905	7.377	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-4.163	8.552	-0.487	0.635	-22.797	14.470	0.018

Table 1269: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.059	1.031	4.907	0.000	2.813		0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.873	7.510	-0.116	0.909	-17.235	15.488	0.001

Table 1270: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.518	1.024	5.389	0.000	3.287	7.749	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-11.748	9.177	-1.280	0.225	-31.744	8.247	0.112

Table 1271: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.471	3.537	0.416	0.685	-6.236	9.178	0.000
chao1	0.014	0.013	1.059	0.310	-0.015	0.042	0.079

Table 1272: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.155	3.767	0.572	0.578	-6.054	10.363	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.019	0.023	0.803	0.438	-0.032	0.070	0.047

Table 1273: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=12 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.089	6.324	0.488	0.634	-10.689	16.867	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.204	0.641	0.318	0.756	-1.194	1.601	0.008

Table 1274: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.836	8.355	0.22	0.000	-16.369	20.041	0.000
shannon	0.764	1.958	0.39	0.703	-3.502	5.030	0.012

Table 1275: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept wunifrac.PC.1	0.778 -1.694	0.361 0.905	2.152 -1.872	0.00=	-0.010 -3.666		$0.000 \\ 0.212$

Table 1276: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.624	0.405	1.543	0.149	-0.257	1.506	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 2	3.237	2.504	1.293	0.220	-2.219	8.692	0.114

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1277: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.764	0.434	1.761	0.104	-0.181	1.710	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	0.744	4.941	0.151	0.883	-10.022	11.509	0.002

Table 1278: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.743	0.398	1.864	0.087	-0.125	1.611	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-3.993	4.168	-0.958	0.357	-13.075	5.089	0.066

Table 1279: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.801	0.405	1.976	0.072	-0.082	1.685	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	2.112	3.474	0.608	0.555	-5.457	9.682	0.028

Table 1280: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.851	0.393	2.166	0.051	-0.005	1.706	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-3.867	3.272	-1.182	0.260	-10.997	3.262	0.097

Table 1281: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.779	0.413	1.888	0.083	-0.12		0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.472	3.005	-0.157	0.878	-7.02		0.002

Table 1282: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.821	0.436	1.882	0.084	-0.129	1.771	0.000
unifrac. $PC.4$	-0.920	3.908	-0.235	0.818	-9.435	7.595	0.004

Table 1283: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.818	1.400	-0.584	0.570	-3.869	2.232	0.000
chao1	0.006	0.005	1.192	0.256	-0.005	0.017	0.099

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1284: & mask_vs_diversity_yr1: & MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=12 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.493	1.500	-0.329	0.748	-3.762	2.775	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.008	0.009	0.884	0.394	-0.012	0.029	0.057

Table 1285: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.486	2.541	0.191	0.851	-5.049	6.022	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.031	0.258	0.119	0.907	-0.531	0.592	0.001

Table 1286: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.084	9.9	-0.326	0	-8.322	6.154	0.000
shannon	0.441	0.778	0.567	0.581	-1.255	2.138	0.024

Table 1287: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.417	0.279	5.080	0.000	0.809	2.025	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-2.536	0.698	-3.631	0.003	-4.057	-1.014	0.504

Table 1288: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.338	0.416	3.215	0.007	0.431	2.245	0.000
wunifrac. PC.2	1.813	2.576	0.704	0.495	-3.799	7.425	0.037

Table 1289: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.3, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.229	0.389	3.159	0.008	0.381	2.077	0.00
wunifrac.PC.3	6.967	4.429	1.573	0.142	-2.683	16.617	0.16

Table 1290: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs wunifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.468	0.394	3.730	0.003	0.610	2.326	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	3.693	4.118	0.897	0.387	-5.279	12.665	0.058

Table 1291: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.482	0.323	4.594	0.001	0.779	2.186	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	7.259	2.765	2.625	0.022	1.235	13.283	0.347

Table 1292: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.2, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.443	0.407	3.544	0.004	0.556	2.329	0.000
unifrac. $PC.2$	-0.834	3.392	-0.246	0.810	-8.224	6.556	0.005

Table 1293: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.3, df=12

				97.5 %	
0.406	3.521	0.00=	0.0 -0	2.315	0
		000 0.0	000 0.0	0.100	0.000 0.000

Table 1294: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs unifrac.PC.4, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.629	0.395	4.126	0.001	0.769	2.489	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-5.271	3.539	-1.490	0.162	-12.982	2.439	0.146

Table 1295: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs chao1, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.486	1.319	2.644	0.021	0.613	6.360	0.000
chao1	-0.008	0.005	-1.624	0.130	-0.018	0.003	0.169

Table 1296: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.233	1.424	2.271	0.042	0.131	6.335	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.012	0.009	-1.315	0.213	-0.031	0.008	0.117

Table 1297: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PD_whole_tree	4.179 -0.283	2.367 0.240	1.765 -1.177	0.200	-0.979 -0.806	$9.337 \\ 0.240$	0.000

Table 1298: mask_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.786	3.058	1.892	0.083	-0.878	12.450	0.000
shannon	-1.029	0.717	-1.435	0.177	-2.590	0.533	0.137

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
# yr1 mask	task vs cov	ariate					

Table 1299: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.743	1.485	3.194	0.008	1.508	7.978	0.000
MAGE	-0.031	0.045	-0.699	0.498	-0.129	0.066	0.036

Table 1300: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.059	0.920	5.500	0.000	3.055	7.063	0.000
PAGE	-0.038	0.025	-1.492	0.161	-0.093	0.017	0.146

Table 1301: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	4.233 -0.031	$2.086 \\ 0.124$	2.029 -0.250	0.000	-0.311 -0.302	8.777 0.240	0.000

Table 1302: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.021	1.120	2.699	0.019	0.582	5.461	0.00
PEDUY	0.043	0.068	0.629	0.541	-0.105	0.190	0.03

Table 1303: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.5	0.261	13.404	0.000	2.925	4.075	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.5	0.500	1.000	0.339	-0.600	1.600	0.071
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	0.5	0.500	1.000	0.339	-0.600	1.600	0.071

Table 1304: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	0.426	9.381	0.000	3.071	4.929	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.364	0.481	-0.756	0.464	-1.412	0.684	0.042

Table 1305: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	3.111 0.444	$0.578 \\ 0.402$	5.382 1.107	0.00	1.852 -0.431		0.000

Table 1306: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs GESTAGE-BIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	18.654	6.325	2.949	0.012	4.872	32.435	0.0
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.054	0.023	-2.363	0.036	-0.104	-0.004	0.3

Table 1307: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	5.469 -0.001	2.002 0.001	2.732 -0.881	0.0-0	1.107 -0.002	9.831 0.001	

Table 1308: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Maternal
Infection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.750	·	14.056	0.000	3.169	4.331	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.083	0.408	-0.204	0.841	-0.971	0.805	0.003

Table 1309: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	3.636 0.364	0.223 0.481	16.330 0.756	0.000	3.151 -0.684	4.122 1.412	0.000

Table 1310: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	3.778 -0.178	0.250 0.419	15.105 -0.425		3.233 -1.090	4.323 0.734	

Table 1311: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.75	0.276	13.590	0.000	3.143	4.357	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.25	0.828	0.302	0.768	-1.572	2.072	0.007
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.15	0.445	-0.337	0.742	-1.129	0.829	0.009

Table 1312: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.714	0.296	12.534	0.000	3.062	4.367	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.048	0.436	-0.109	0.915	-1.008	0.912	0.001

Table 1313: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr	3.714 -0.048	0.200	12.534 -0.109		3.062 -1.008	$4.367 \\ 0.912$	0.000

Table 1314: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs FOR-MULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.556	0.240	14.813	0.00	3.033	4.079	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.444	0.402	1.107	0.29	-0.431	1.320	0.086

Table 1315: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.6	0.241	14.925	0.000	3.069	4.131	0.00
$FEVER_1yr$	0.4	0.502	0.797	0.443	-0.705	1.505	0.05

Table 1316: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.429	0.301		0.000	2.747		0.000
DAYCARE	0.571	0.499	1.144	0.282	-0.558	1.701	0.116

Table 1317: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs CURBR-FEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	0.263	15.199	0.000	3.421	4.579	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	-0.667	0.387	-1.721	0.113	-1.519	0.186	0.198

Table 1318: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	4.000 -0.364	$0.545 \\ 0.593$	7.333 -0.613	0.000	2.799 -1.669	5.201 0.941	

Table 1319: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs French-Fries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	0.294	13.594	0.000	3.352	4.648	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	-0.571	0.401	-1.425	0.182	-1.454	0.311	0.145

Table 1320: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs SweetFoods-Drinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.333	0.436	7.649	0.000	2.374	4.292	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	0.467	0.497	0.939	0.368	-0.627	1.560	0.068

Table 1321: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Peanut
Butter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.500	0.386	9.068	0.000	2.650	4.350	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.278	0.464	0.599	0.561	-0.743	1.299	0.029

Table 1322: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs WH-STOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	0.856	4.671	0.002	2.025	5.975	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.667	0.989	-0.674	0.519	-2.947	1.614	0.094
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.000	0.989	0.000	1.000	-2.280	2.280	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.000	1.211	0.000	1.000	-2.793	2.793	0.000
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.400	0.938	-0.426	0.681	-2.563	1.763	0.046
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.000	1.211	0.000	1.000	-2.793	2.793	0.000

Table 1323: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs VITA-MIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.8	0.237	16.058	0.000	3.273	4.327	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	-0.8	0.580	-1.380	0.198	-2.092	0.492	0.148

Table 1324: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.50	0.403	8.682	0.000	2.602	4.398	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	0.25	0.494	0.506	0.624	-0.850	1.350	0.023

Table 1325: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	$3.178 \\ 0.017$	$0.915 \\ 0.031$	$3.474 \\ 0.552$	0.000	1.140 -0.051	5.217 0.085	0.000

Table 1326: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MasksPresented vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TRAIT	4.387 -0.022	$0.793 \\ 0.024$	5.533 -0.948	0.000	2.620 -0.075	$6.154 \\ 0.030$	0.000

Table 1327: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Negative LifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.572	0.364	9.808	0.000	2.761	4.384	0.00
NegativeLifeEvents	0.038	0.112	0.338	0.742	-0.211	0.286	0.01

Table 1328: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Positive LifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PositiveLifeEvents	2.989 0.129	$0.389 \\ 0.064$	7.677 2.023	0.000	2.122 -0.013	3.857 0.271	0.000

Table 1329: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Masks Presented vs Total-LifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.298	0.509	4.514	0.001	1.163	3.432	0.000
Total Life Events	0.177	0.062	2.863	0.017	0.039	0.314	0.427

Table 1330: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-1.359 0.170	9.336 0.282	-0.146 0.602		-21.701 -0.444	_0.00	0.000

Table 1331: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	6.699 -0.070	6.222 0.172	1.077 -0.408	0.000	-6.858 -0.445	20.257 0.305	0.000

Table 1332: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-15.674	11.740	-1.335	0.207	-41.254	9.905	0.000
MEDUY	1.190	0.699	1.702	0.114	-0.333	2.713	0.182

Table 1333: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.926	6.957	-0.133	0.896	-16.084	14.233	0.000
PEDUY	0.316	0.420	0.751	0.467	-0.600	1.232	0.042

Table 1334: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.25	1.213	2.679	0.021	0.580	5.920	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-2.25	2.323	-0.969	0.354	-7.363	2.863	0.044
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	6.75	2.323	2.906	0.014	1.637	11.863	0.394

Table 1335: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.667	2.689	2.107	0.057	-0.192	11.525	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-1.848	3.034	-0.609	0.554	-8.458	4.761	0.028

Table 1336: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.356	3.739	1.700	00	-1.792	14.503	0.000
SEX	-1.578	2.598	-0.607		-7.238	4.083	0.028

Table 1337: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	42.516	46.601	0.912	0.380	-59.019	144.051	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.139	0.169	-0.822	0.427	-0.506	0.229	0.049

Table 1338: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	12.469	12.699	0.982	0.346	-15.199	40.138	0.000
BW	-0.002	0.004	-0.653	0.526	-0.011	0.006	0.032

Table 1339: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.375	1.670	2.619	0.022	0.735	8.015	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.375	2.552	-0.147	0.886	-5.935	5.185	0.002

Table 1340: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	4.091 0.576	1.424 3.076	$2.873 \\ 0.187$	$0.014 \\ 0.855$	0.989 -6.126	7.193 7.277	0.000 0.003

Table 1341: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.778	1.553	3.077	0.010	1.395	8.161	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-1.578	2.598	-0.607	0.555	-7.238	4.083	0.028

Table 1342: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PrePregBMI.Obese PrePregBMI.Overweight	4.875 -3.875 -1.075	1.698 5.094 2.738	2.871 -0.761 -0.393	0.015 0.463 0.702	1.138 -15.086 -7.101	8.612 7.336 4.951	0.000 0.044 0.012

Table 1343: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.714	1.549	3.043	0.011	1.305	8.124	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-2.381	2.280	-1.044	0.319	-7.399	2.638	0.083

Table 1344: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.857	1.528	3.180		1.495		0.000
FORMULA_1yr	-2.690	2.249	-1.197	0.257	-7.640	2.259	0.107

Table 1345: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.667	1.412	4.014	0.002	2.591	8.742	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-4.067	2.362	-1.722	0.111	-9.213	1.080	0.186

Table 1346: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.300	1.344	2.455	0.032	0.341	6.259	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	1.367	2.798	0.488	0.635	-4.792	7.526	0.019

Table 1347: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	$3.286 \\ 2.214$	1.664 2.759	$1.975 \\ 0.802$	$0.080 \\ 0.443$	-0.478 -4.028	$7.050 \\ 8.456$	0.00 0.06

Table 1348: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.571	1.624	2.199	0.050	-0.003	7.146	0
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.095	2.390	0.040	0.969	-5.166	5.356	0

Table 1349: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Milks_1yr, df=11

·	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks 1yr	$2.500 \\ 1.318$	3.016 3.279	0.829 0.402		-4.138 -5.899	$9.138 \\ 8.535$	0.000

Table 1350: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.833	1.499	3.892	0.003	2.535	9.132	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	-4.119	2.043	-2.017	0.069	-8.615	0.376	0.253

Table 1351: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.0	2.341	2.563	0.026	0.847	11.153	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	-3.1	2.670	-1.161	0.270	-8.976	2.776	0.101

Table 1352: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.500	2.124	2.118	0.058	-0.176	9.176	0.00
PeanutButter_1yr	-1.278	2.553	-0.500	0.627	-6.897	4.342	0.02

Table 1353: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	4.115	0.243	0.814	-8.489	10.489	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.000	4.752	0.000	1.000	-10.957	10.957	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	2.667	4.752	0.561	0.590	-8.291	13.624	0.038
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	11.000	5.820	1.890	0.095	-2.420	24.420	0.258
WHSTOTHER.6 months	5.200	4.508	1.154	0.282	-5.195	15.595	0.199
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.000	5.820	0.000	1.000	-13.420	13.420	0.000

Table 1354: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.1	1.513	3.371	0.007	1.729	8.471	0.0
VITAMIND_6mo	-4.1	3.706	-1.106	0.294	-12.357	4.157	0.1

Table 1355: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.75	2.521	1.487	0.168	-1.867	9.367	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	1.00	3.088	0.324	0.753	-5.880	7.880	0.009

Table 1356: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	7.941 -0.110	5.523 0.185	1.438 -0.597		-4.365 -0.522	20.248 0.301	

Table 1357: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	8.577	4.849	1.769	vv.		19.382	0.000
TRAIT	-0.118	0.144	-0.823	0.430	-0.439	0.202	0.058

Table 1358: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.377	2.113	3.018	0.013	1.670	11.085	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.651	0.647	-1.006	0.338	-2.092	0.790	0.084

Table 1359: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.510	2.531	0.596	0.564	-4.130	7.150	0.000
Positive Life Events	0.617	0.415	1.488	0.168	-0.307	1.541	0.168

Table 1360: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_Latency vs Total LifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	1.751 0.387	4.032 0.489	$0.434 \\ 0.792$	0.0.0	-7.233 -0.702	10.735 1.476	0.000

Table 1361: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	3.843 -0.050	2.567 0.077	1.498 -0.640	000	-1.749 -0.218	9.435 0.119	0.000

Table 1362: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.848	1.722	1.073	0.304	-1.905	5.600	0.000
PAGE	0.010	0.048	0.217	0.832	-0.093	0.114	0.004

Table 1363: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.721	3.229	2.391	0.034	0.685	14.757	0.000
MEDUY	-0.329	0.192	-1.713	0.112	-0.748	0.090	0.184

Table 1364: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	3.273 -0.065	1.936 0.117	1.691 -0.556	0	-0.945 -0.320	7.491 0.190	0.000

Table 1365: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	0.348	7.181	0.000	1.734	3.266	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.500	0.667	0.750	0.469	-0.967	1.967	0.028
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.833	0.667	-2.750	0.019	-3.301	-0.366	0.375

Table 1366: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept OLDERSIBLINGS	1.667 0.697	$0.731 \\ 0.824$	2.281 0.846	$0.042 \\ 0.414$	0.075 -1.099	3.258 2.493	0.000

Table 1367: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.822	1.039	1.754		-0.441	4.085	
SEX	0.289	0.722	0.400	0.696	-1.284	1.861	0.012

Table 1368: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-2.501	13.121	-0.191	0.852	-31.089	26.088	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.017	0.047	0.359	0.725	-0.086	0.120	0.01

Table 1369: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.046	3.543	0.295	0.773	-6.673	8.766	0.000
BW	0.000	0.001	0.331	0.746	-0.002	0.003	0.008

Table 1370: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.125	0.459	4.632	0.001	1.125	3.125	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.208	0.701	0.297	0.771	-1.319	1.735	0.007

Table 1371: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.273	0.391	5.812	0.000	1.421	3.125	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.273	0.845	-0.323	0.752	-2.113	1.568	0.008

Table 1372: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	2.111 0.289	$0.431 \\ 0.722$	4.895 0.400	0.000	1.171 -1.284	3.051 1.861	0.000 0.012

Table 1373: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.125	0.473	4.494	0.001	1.084	3.166	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.875	1.419	0.617	0.550	-2.247	3.997	0.030
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.075	0.762	0.098	0.923	-1.603	1.753	0.001

Table 1374: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.143	0.429	4.992	0.000	1.198	3.088	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.524	0.632	0.829	0.425	-0.867	1.915	0.054

Table 1375: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.408	4.899	0.000	1.101	2.899	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	0.833	0.601	1.387	0.193	-0.489	2.156	0.138

Table 1376: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.889	0.405	4.667	0.001	1.007	2.771	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.911	0.677	1.345	0.203	-0.564	2.387	0.122

Table 1377: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.5	0.363	6.886	0.000	1.701	3.299	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	-0.5	0.756	-0.662	0.522	-2.163	1.163	0.035

Table 1378: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	2.429 -0.429	$0.467 \\ 0.774$	5.205 -0.554	$0.001 \\ 0.593$	1.373 -2.179	3.484 1.322	0.00

Table 1379: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.429	0.442	5.494	0.000	1.456	3.402	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	-0.095	0.651	-0.146	0.886	-1.527	1.337	0.002

Table 1380: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.500	0.827	3.023	0.012	0.680	4.320	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	-0.136	0.899	-0.152	0.882	-2.115	1.842	0.002

Table 1381: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.833	0.421	4.356	0.001	0.907	2.760	0.00
FrenchFries_1yr	1.024	0.574	1.785	0.102	-0.239	2.286	0.21

Table 1382: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.0	0.663	3.017	0.012	0.541	3.459	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	0.5	0.756	0.662	0.522	-1.163	2.163	0.035

Table 1383: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.569	3.518	0.005	0.749	3.251	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.556	0.683	0.813	0.433	-0.948	2.059	0.052

Table 1384: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	1.197	2.506	0.037	0.239	5.761	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.000	1.382	0.000	1.000	-3.188	3.188	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.667	1.382	-0.482	0.643	-3.855	2.521	0.032
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-3.000	1.693	-1.772	0.114	-6.904	0.904	0.256
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.200	1.311	-0.915	0.387	-4.224	1.824	0.142
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.000	1.693	0.000	1.000	-3.904	3.904	0.000

Table 1385: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.9	0.411	4.622	0.001	0.984	2.816	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	1.1	1.007	1.092	0.300	-1.144	3.344	0.098

Table 1386: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.25	0.685	3.286	0.008	0.724	3.776	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.25	0.839	-0.298	0.772	-2.118	1.618	0.008

Table 1387: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	1.368 0.025	1.547 0.052	0.885 0.478	0.00.	-2.078 -0.091	4.815 0.140	0.00

Table 1388: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.924	1.341	0.689	0.506	-2.064	3.913	0.000
TRAIT	0.036	0.040	0.901	0.389	-0.053	0.124	0.069

Table 1389: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.541	0.575	2.680	0.023	0.260	2.822	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	0.217	0.176	1.233	0.246	-0.175	0.609	0.121

Table 1390: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.088	0.685	4.506	0.001		4.615	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.191	0.112	-1.704	0.119	-0.442	0.059	0.209

Table 1391: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_FacialFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.949	1.119	2.634	0.025	0.455	5.443	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.112	0.136	-0.823	0.430	-0.414	0.191	0.058

Table 1392: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.918	2.456	1.188	0.258	-2.435	8.270	0.000
MAGE	-0.034	0.074	-0.465	0.650	-0.196	0.127	0.016

Table 1393: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.251	1.575	0.160	0.876	-3.180	3.683	0.000
PAGE	0.043	0.044	0.995	0.340	-0.052	0.138	0.071

Table 1394: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	6.256 -0.267	3.166 0.189	1.976 -1.419	0.0	-0.642 -0.678	13.154 0.143	0.000

Table 1395: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	2.074 -0.018	1.860 0.112	1.115 -0.158	00.	-1.978 -0.263	6.127 0.227	0.000

Table 1396: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.125	0.341	6.239	0.000	1.375	2.875	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.208	0.652	0.319	0.755	-1.227	1.644	0.005
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.792	0.652	-2.747	0.019	-3.227	-0.356	0.383

Table 1397: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.333	0.699	1.908	0.081	-0.189	2.856	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.576	0.788	0.730	0.479	-1.142	2.293	0.039

Table 1398: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	$0.911 \\ 0.644$	$0.956 \\ 0.664$	$0.953 \\ 0.970$	0.000	-1.172 -0.803	2.994 2.092	0.000 0.068

Table 1399: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-8.333	12.181	-0.684	0.507	-34.874	18.208	0.00
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.037	0.044	0.831	0.422	-0.059	0.133	0.05

Table 1400: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.545 0.000	3.361 0.001	0.162 0.371		-6.777 -0.002	7.867 0.003	0.00

Table 1401: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.750	0.437	4.005	0.002	0.798	2.702	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.083	0.668	0.125	0.903	-1.371	1.538	0.001

Table 1402: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	1.727 0.273	0.371 0.802	$4.654 \\ 0.340$	0.001 0.740	0.919 -1.474	2.536 2.020	0.000 0.009

Table 1403: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.444	0.378	3.822	0.002	0.621	2.268	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.956	0.632	1.511	0.157	-0.422	2.333	0.149

Table 1404: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.75	0.436	4.017	0.002	0.791	2.709	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.25	1.307	0.956	0.359	-1.626	4.126	0.068
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.15	0.702	-0.214	0.835	-1.696	1.396	0.003

Table 1405: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.714	0.430	3.983	0.002	0.767	2.662	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.452	0.633	0.714	0.490	-0.942	1.847	0.041

Table 1406: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.857	0.439	4.228	0.001	0.89	2.824	0.000
$FORMULA_1yr$	0.143	0.647	0.221	0.829	-1.28	1.566	0.004

Table 1407: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FORMULA 6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.556	0.397	3.918	0.002	0.691	2.421	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.644	0.664	0.970	0.351	-0.803	2.092	0.068

Table 1408: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.9	$0.368 \\ 0.766$	5.162	0.000	1.090	2.710	0.000
FEVER_1yr	0.1		0.131	0.899	-1.586	1.786	0.001

Table 1409: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.857	0.434	4.281	0.00=	0.876	2.839	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.357	0.719	-0.496		-1.985	1.270	0.024

Table 1410: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.857	0.439	4.228	0.001	0.89	2.824	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	0.143	0.647	0.221	0.829	-1.28	1.566	0.004

Table 1411: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.823	2.429	0.033	0.188	3.812	0.000
Milks_1yr	-0.091	0.895	-0.102	0.921	-2.061	1.879	0.001

Table 1412: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.333	0.409	3.259	0.008	0.433	2.234	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	1.095	0.558	1.964	0.075	-0.132	2.322	0.243

Table 1413: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.667	0.667	2.500	0.030	0.199	3.134	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	0.333	0.760	0.439	0.669	-1.340	2.006	0.016

Table 1414: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.75	0.579	3.023	0.012	0.476	3.024	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.25	0.696	0.359	0.726	-1.282	1.782	0.011

Table 1415: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	1.252	2.397	0.043	0.114	5.886	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.667	1.445	-0.461	0.657	-4.000	2.666	0.025
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-1.333	1.445	-0.923	0.383	-4.666	2.000	0.102
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-3.000	1.770	-1.695	0.129	-7.082	1.082	0.203
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.400	1.371	-1.021	0.337	-4.562	1.762	0.153
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.000	1.770	-0.565	0.588	-5.082	3.082	0.023

Table 1416: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.5	0.381	3.939	0.003	0.652	2.348	0.00
VITAMIND_6mo	1.5	0.933	1.608	0.139	-0.578	3.578	0.19

Table 1417: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.000	0.668	2.992	0.014	0.511	3.489	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.375	0.819	-0.458	0.657	-2.199	1.449	0.019

Table 1418: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.425	1.411	0.301		-2.719	3.569	0.00
STATE	0.043	0.047	0.910	0.384	-0.062	0.148	0.07

Table 1419: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.242	1.148	-0.211	0.837	-2.799	2.315	0.000
TRAIT	0.059	0.034	1.735	0.113	-0.017	0.135	0.215

Table 1420: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.384	0.567	2.439	0.035	0	2.648	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	0.113	0.174	0.652	0.529	-0.274	0.500	0.037

Table 1421: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.796	0.601	4.648	0.001	1.456	4.136	0.000
Positive Life Events	-0.215	0.099	-2.182	0.054	-0.435	0.005	0.302

Table 1422: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_VocalDistress vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.197	0.956	3.344	0.007	1.067	5.326	0.000
TotalLifeEvents	-0.197	0.116	-1.704	0.119	-0.456	0.061	0.209

Table 1423: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.114	1.909	0.06	0.953	-4.046	4.273	0.000
MAGE	0.044	0.058	0.77	0.456	-0.081	0.170	0.044

Table 1424: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.594 0.061	$1.124 \\ 0.031$	-0.529 1.967	0.00.	-3.044 -0.007	$1.855 \\ 0.129$	0.000 0.229

Table 1425: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.256	2.655	1.226	0.244	-2.529	9.040	0.00
MEDUY	-0.101	0.158	-0.637	0.536	-0.445	0.244	0.03

Table 1426: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	1.071 0.031	1.462 0.088	0.733 0.348	00	-2.114 -0.162	4.256 0.223	

Table 1427: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.750	0.351	4.988	0.000	0.978	2.522	0.00
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.417	0.672	-0.620	0.548	-1.895	1.062	0.03
Income.code.MID	-0.417	0.672	-0.620	0.548	-1.895	1.062	0.03

Table 1428: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.532	1.880	0.085	-0.159	2.159	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.727	0.600	1.212	0.249	-0.580	2.034	0.102

Table 1429: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.511	0.783	1.929	0.078	-0.195	3.218	0.000
SEX	0.044	0.544	0.082	0.936	-1.141	1.230	0.001

Table 1430: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-17.182	8.268	-2.078	0.060	-35.197	0.833	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.068	0.030	2.269	0.043	0.003	0.133	0.284

Table 1431: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-2.911	2.328	-1.250	0.235	-7.984	2.162	0.000
BW	0.001	0.001	1.934	0.077	0.000	0.003	0.223

Table 1432: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Maternal Infaction	1.750	0.336	5.209	0.000	1.018	2.482	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.417	0.513	-0.812	0.433	-1.535	0.701	0.048

Table 1433: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	1.545 0.121	0.294 0.635	5.260 0.191	$0.000 \\ 0.852$	0.905 -1.262		0.000 0.003

Table 1434: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.222	0.278	4.394	0.001	0.616	1.828	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.978	0.465	2.101	0.057	-0.036	1.992	0.253

Table 1435: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.5	0.323	4.639	0.001	0.788	2.212	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.5	0.970	1.546	0.150	-0.635	3.635	0.161
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.1	0.521	-0.192	0.851	-1.248	1.048	0.002

Table 1436: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.350	3.674	0.004	0.516	2.056	0.000
$ANTIBIOTIC_1yr$	0.714	0.515	1.387	0.193	-0.419	1.848	0.138

Table 1437: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.857	0.364	5.105	0.000	1.056	2.658	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	-0.524	0.535	-0.978	0.349	-1.702	0.655	0.074

Table 1438: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_6mo	1.556 0.044	$0.325 \\ 0.544$	4.783 0.082	0.000 0.936	0.847 -1.141	2.264 1.230	

Table 1439: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.5	0.309	4.855	0.001	0.820	2.180	
FEVER 1vr	0.5	0.643	0.777	0.453	-0.916	1.916	

Table 1440: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.714	0.402	4.265	0.002	0.805	2.624	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.464	0.667	-0.697	0.504	-1.972	1.044	0.046

Table 1441: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.350	3.674	0.004	0.516	2.056	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.714	0.515	1.387	0.193	-0.419	1.848	0.138

Table 1442: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.709	2.117	0.000	-0.060	3.060	0.000
Milks_1yr	0.136	0.770	0.177		-1.559	1.832	0.003

Table 1443: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.322	3.102	0.010	0.291	1.709	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	1.143	0.439	2.602	0.025	0.176	2.110	0.361

Table 1444: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

List.	imate Std. Erro	or t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SweetFoodsDrinks 1yr -	1.667 0.57 0.067 0.66			0.392 -1.520	_	$0.000 \\ 0.001$

Table 1445: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.750	0.499	3.504	0.005	0.651	2.849	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr$	-0.194	0.600	-0.324	0.752	-1.515	1.127	0.009

Table 1446: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.000	1.008	1.984	0.083	-0.325	4.325	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.333	1.164	-0.286	0.782	-3.018	2.352	0.014
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.333	1.164	-0.286	0.782	-3.018	2.352	0.014
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-2.000	1.426	-1.403	0.198	-5.288	1.288	0.201
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.200	1.105	-0.181	0.861	-2.747	2.347	0.007
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.000	1.426	-0.701	0.503	-4.288	2.288	0.050

Table 1447: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.5	0.300	5.000	0.001	0.832	2.168	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	1.0	0.735	1.361	0.203	-0.637	2.637	0.144

Table 1448: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.750	0.515	3.395	0.007	0.602	2.898	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.125	0.631	-0.198	0.847	-1.531	1.281	0.004

Table 1449: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.517	1.188	1.277	0.231	-1.130	4.164	0
STATE	0.002	0.040	0.058	0.955	-0.086	0.091	0

Table 1450: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TRAIT	$0.629 \\ 0.030$	1.011 0.030	$0.622 \\ 0.984$	0.0 -0	-1.625 -0.037	2.883 0.096	0.000

Table 1451: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.984	0.438	4.525	0.001	1.007	2.961	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.160	0.134	-1.195	0.260	-0.460	0.139	0.115

Table 1452: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.069	0.564	3.669	0.004	0.812	3.325	0.000
Positive Life Events	-0.092	0.092	-1.001	0.340	-0.298	0.113	0.083

Table 1453: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_BodilyFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.133	0.707	4.432	0.001	1.558	4.709	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.200	0.086	-2.334	0.042	-0.391	-0.009	0.331

Table 1454: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.097	0.976	0.099	0.922	-2.030	2.224	0.000
MAGE	0.006	0.029	0.195	0.849	-0.058	0.070	0.003

Table 1455: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.061	0.638	-0.095	0.926	-1.451	1.330	0.000
PAGE	0.010	0.018	0.554	0.590	-0.029	0.048	0.023

Table 1456: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	1.581 -0.078	1.296 0.077	1.220 -1.004	0.=-0	-1.243 -0.246	4.406 0.091	0.000

Table 1457: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PEDUY	0.979 -0.043	0.706 0.043	1.387 -0.998	00-	-0.559 -0.135	2.517 0.050	

Table 1458: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.375	0.170	2.207	0.050	0.001	0.749	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.042	0.325	-0.128	0.900	-0.758	0.675	0.001
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.375	0.325	-1.152	0.274	-1.091	0.341	0.099

Table 1459: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.266	0.000	1.000	-0.579	0.579	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.364	0.300	1.212	0.249	-0.290	1.017	0.102

Table 1460: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.467	0.388	1.203	0.252	-0.378	1.312	0.000
SEX	-0.133	0.269	-0.495	0.630	-0.720	0.454	0.018

Table 1461: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-4.641	4.733	-0.981	0.346	-14.953	5.671	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.018	0.017	1.041	0.318	-0.019	0.055	0.077

Table 1462: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-0.951 0.000	1.284 0.000	-0.741 0.968	$0.473 \\ 0.352$	-3.749 0.000		$0.000 \\ 0.067$

Table 1463: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.375	0.168	2.233	0.045	0.009	0.741	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.208	0.257	-0.812	0.433	-0.767	0.351	0.048

Table 1464: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.364	0.139	2.619	0.022	0.061	0.666	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.364	0.300	-1.212	0.249	-1.017	0.290	0.102

Table 1465: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.222	0.160	1.391	0.189	-0.126	0.57	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.178	0.267	0.665	0.519	-0.405	0.76	0.033

Table 1466: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.125	0.154	0.814	0.433	-0.213	0.463	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.875	0.461	1.899	0.084	-0.139	1.889	0.209
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	0.275	0.248	1.111	0.290	-0.270	0.820	0.071

Table 1467: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.286	0.189	1.509	0.160	-0.131	0.703	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.048	0.279	0.171	0.867	-0.566	0.661	0.002

Table 1468: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_1yr	$0.286 \\ 0.048$	0.189 0.279	1.509 0.171	000	-0.131 -0.566	$0.703 \\ 0.661$	0.000

Table 1469: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.222	0.160	1.391	0.189	-0.126	0.57	0.000
$FORMULA_6mo$	0.178	0.267	0.665	0.519	-0.405	0.76	0.033

Table 1470: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.300	0.159	1.892	0.085	-0.049	0.649	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.033	0.330	0.101	0.921	-0.693	0.760	0.001

Table 1471: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.429	0.198	2.167	0.058	-0.019	0.876	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.179	0.328	-0.544	0.599	-0.921	0.563	0.029

Table 1472: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr	0.286 0.048	$0.189 \\ 0.279$	$1.509 \\ 0.171$		-0.131 -0.566		0.000 0.002

Table 1473: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.34	0.000	1.000	-0.749	0.749	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	0.364	0.37	0.983	0.347	-0.450	1.178	0.075

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1474: & mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=&11 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FrenchFries_1yr	0.000 0.571	0.161 0.220	$0.000 \\ 2.602$		-0.355 0.088	$0.355 \\ 1.055$	0.000

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1475: & mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.29	1.151	0.274	-0.304	0.971	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.033	0.33	-0.101	0.921	-0.760	0.693	0.001

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1476: & mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11 \end{tabular}$

-	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.25	1.000	0.339	-0.300	0.800	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.083	0.30	0.277	0.787	-0.578	0.745	0.006

Table 1477: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.483	0.000	1.000	-1.114	1.114	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.667	0.558	1.195	0.266	-0.620	1.953	0.228
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.000	0.558	0.000	1.000	-1.286	1.286	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.000	0.683	0.000	1.000	-1.575	1.575	0.000
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.400	0.529	0.756	0.471	-0.820	1.620	0.112
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.000	0.683	0.000	1.000	-1.575	1.575	0.000

Table 1478: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.3	0.161	1.861	0.092	-0.059	0.659	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo$	0.2	0.395	0.506	0.624	-0.680	1.080	0.023

Table 1479: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.50	0.250	2.000	0.073	-0.057	1.057	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.25	0.306	-0.816	0.433	-0.932	0.432	0.057

Table 1480: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept STATE	0.529 -0.007	0.584 0.020	0.905 -0.346	0.00.	-0.772 -0.050	$1.830 \\ 0.037$	0.000 0.011

Table 1481: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.197	0.522	0.378	*	-0.965	1.359	0.000
TRAIT	0.004	0.015	0.273	0.791	-0.030	0.039	0.007

Table 1482: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.368	0.207	1.776	0.106	-0.094	0.829	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	-0.047	0.063	-0.744	0.474	-0.188	0.094	0.048

Table 1483: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.555	0.244	2.274	0.046	0.011	1.099	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.058	0.040	-1.452	0.177	-0.147	0.031	0.161

Table 1484: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.995	0.310	3.206	0.009	0.303	1.686	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.096	0.038	-2.556	0.029	-0.180	-0.012	0.373

Table 1485: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.368	1.034	0.356	****	-1.884		0.000
MAGE	0.008	0.031	0.268	0.793	-0.060	0.076	0.00

Table 1486: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.172 0.023	0.642 0.018	-0.268 1.295	00 -	-1.571 -0.016	1.227 0.062	

Table 1487: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	2.651 -0.120	1.308 0.078	2.027 -1.543	0.000	-0.198 -0.290	0.00	$0.000 \\ 0.155$

Table 1488: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.124	0.766	1.467	0.168	-0.545	2.793	0.00
PEDUY	-0.030	0.046	-0.638	0.535	-0.130	0.071	0.03

Table 1489: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.750	0.179	4.180	0.002	0.355	1.145	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.083	0.344	-0.243	0.813	-0.840	0.673	0.004
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.417	0.344	-1.213	0.251	-1.173	0.340	0.108

Table 1490: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.667	0.299	2.232	0.045	0.016	1.317	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.030	0.337	-0.090	0.930	-0.765	0.704	0.001

Table 1491: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	0.311 0.244	0.403 0.280	0.772 0.873	000	-0.567 -0.365	1.100	$0.000 \\ 0.055$

Table 1492: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	2.073 -0.005	5.226 0.019	0.397 -0.274	0.000	-9.312 -0.046	13.459 0.036	0.000 0.006

Table 1493: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.878	1.413	0.621	0.546	-2.200	3.955	0.000
$_{\mathrm{BW}}$	0.000	0.000	-0.167	0.870	-0.001	0.001	0.002

Table 1494: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.625	0.183	3.419	0.005	0.227	1.023	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.042	0.279	0.149	0.884	-0.567	0.650	0.002

Table 1495: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.636	0.156	4.079	0.002	0.296		0.000
MPSYCH	0.030	0.337	0.090	0.930	-0.704	0.765	0.001

Table 1496: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	0.667 -0.067	0.172 0.288	3.873 -0.231	$0.002 \\ 0.821$	0.292 -0.694	$1.042 \\ 0.561$	

Table 1497: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.625	0.187	3.343	0.007	0.214	1.036	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.375	0.561	0.669	0.517	-0.859	1.609	0.035
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.025	0.301	-0.083	0.935	-0.688	0.638	0.001

Table 1498: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.714	0.189	3.771	0.003	0.297	1.131	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.048	0.279	-0.171	0.867	-0.661	0.566	0.002

Table 1499: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.714	0.189	3.771	0.003	0.297	1.131	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	-0.048	0.279	-0.171	0.867	-0.661	0.566	0.002

Table 1500: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.556	0.167	3.321	0.006	0.191	0.920	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.244	0.280	0.873	0.400	-0.365	0.854	0.055

Table 1501: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.700	0.159	4.414	0.001	0.351	1.049	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	-0.033	0.330	-0.101	0.921	-0.760	0.693	0.001

Table 1502: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	$0.571 \\ 0.179$	$0.198 \\ 0.328$	$2.889 \\ 0.544$	$0.018 \\ 0.599$	0.124 -0.563	1.010	0.000 0.029

Table 1503: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.857	0.175	4.899	0.000	0.472	1.242	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	-0.357	0.258	-1.387	0.193	-0.924	0.210	0.138

Table 1504: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: sity_EscapeBehavior vs Milks_1yr, df=11

MaskMaxInten-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.34	2.940	0.013	0.251	$1.749 \\ 0.450$	0.000
Milks_1yr	-0.364	0.37	-0.983	0.347	-1.178		0.075

Table 1505: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.500	0.189	2.646	0.023	0.084	0.916	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	0.357	0.258	1.387	0.193	-0.210	0.924	0.138

Table 1506: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.262	1.272	0.230	-0.244	0.910	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	0.467	0.299	1.562	0.147	-0.191	1.124	0.169

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1507: & mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: & MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.500	0.241	2.075	0.062	-0.03	1.030	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.278	0.290	0.959	0.358	-0.36	0.915	0.071

Table 1508: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
1.000	0.483	2.070	0.072	-0.114	2.114	0.000
-0.667	0.558	-1.195	0.266	-1.953	0.620	0.190
0.000	0.558	0.000	1.000	-1.286	1.286	0.000
-1.000	0.683	-1.464	0.181	-2.575	0.575	0.168
-0.400	0.529	-0.756	0.471	-1.620	0.820	0.093
0.000	0.683	0.000	1.000	-1.575	1.575	0.000
	1.000 -0.667 0.000 -1.000 -0.400	1.000 0.483 -0.667 0.558 0.000 0.558 -1.000 0.683 -0.400 0.529	1.000 0.483 2.070 -0.667 0.558 -1.195 0.000 0.558 0.000 -1.000 0.683 -1.464 -0.400 0.529 -0.756	1.000 0.483 2.070 0.072 -0.667 0.558 -1.195 0.266 0.000 0.558 0.000 1.000 -1.000 0.683 -1.464 0.181 -0.400 0.529 -0.756 0.471	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1509: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.7	0.161	4.341	0.001	0.341	1.059	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo$	-0.2	0.395	-0.506	0.624	-1.080	0.680	0.023

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Cereals_6mo	0.750 -0.125	0.256 0.314	2.928 -0.398	0.0-0	0.179 -0.824	$1.321 \\ 0.574$	0.000

Table 1511: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept STATE	0.346 0.011	0.578 0.019	$0.598 \\ 0.574$	0.000	-0.942 -0.032		0.000 0.029

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.336	0.512	0.655	0.527	-0.805	1.476	0.00
TRAIT	0.010	0.015	0.675	0.515	-0.024	0.044	0.04

Table 1513: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.701	0.237	2.955	0.014	0.172	1.230	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	-0.047	0.073	-0.649	0.531	-0.209	0.115	0.037

Table 1514: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.572	0.306	1.871	0.091	-0.109	1.253	0
PositiveLifeEvents	0.002	0.050	0.043	0.967	-0.109	0.114	0

Table 1515: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.765	0.450	1.699	0.120	-0.238	1.767	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.023	0.055	-0.429	0.677	-0.145	0.098	0.016

Table 1516: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.673	8.033	0.831	0.422	-10.830	24.175	0
MAGE	0.009	0.242	0.037	0.971	-0.519	0.537	0

Table 1517: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	13.846	4.909	2.821	0.015	3.15	24.541	0.000
PAGE	-0.194	0.136	-1.431	0.178	-0.49	0.101	0.136

Table 1518: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	-6.349 0.797	$10.395 \\ 0.619$	-0.611 1.287		-28.998 -0.552	$16.300 \\ 2.145$	

Table 1519: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.901	6.027	0.979	0.347	-7.230	19.032	0.000
PEDUY	0.065	0.364	0.179	0.861	-0.728	0.859	0.002

Table 1520: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.094	1.198	5.089	0.000	3.458	8.730	0.00
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.927	2.293	-0.404	0.694	-5.974	4.120	0.01
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	4.990	2.293	2.176	0.052	-0.058	10.037	0.28

Table 1521: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.167	2.281	3.580	0.004	3.196	13.137	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-1.530	2.574	-0.595	0.563	-7.138	4.077	0.026

Table 1522: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	7.628 -0.489	3.212 2.232	2.375 -0.219	$0.035 \\ 0.830$	0.630 -5.351	14.626 4.373	0.000

Table 1523: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	78.363	34.979	2.240	0.045	2.151	154.574	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-0.258	0.126	-2.042	0.064	-0.534	0.017	0.243

Table 1524: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	14.841	10.714	1.385	0.191	-8.503	38.185	0.00
BW	-0.002	0.003	-0.739	0.474	-0.009	0.005	0.04

Table 1525: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.031	1.417	4.962	0.000	3.944	10.119	0
MaternalInfection	-0.156	2.165	-0.072	0.944	-4.872	4.560	0

Table 1526: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.091	1.206	5.879	0.000	4.463	0.7-0	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.591	2.606	-0.227	0.824	-6.268		0.004

Table 1527: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.056	1.228	6.560	0.000	5.380	10.731	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-3.056	2.055	-1.487	0.163	-7.532	1.421	0.145

Table 1528: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PrePregBMI.Obese PrePregBMI.Overweight	7.188	1.455	4.940	0.000	3.985	10.390	0.00
	-2.688	4.365	-0.616	0.551	-12.295	6.920	0.03
	-0.088	2.346	-0.037	0.971	-5.251	5.076	0.00

Table 1529: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.286	1.432	5.087	0.000	4.134	10.438	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-1.536	2.108	-0.728	0.482	-6.176	3.104	0.042

Table 1530: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.607	1.466	4.506	0.001	3.380	9.834	0
$FORMULA_1yr$	-0.065	2.158	-0.030	0.976	-4.816	4.685	0

Table 1531: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.583	1.302	5.822	0.000	4.746	10.421	0.000
$FORMULA_6mo$	-1.733	2.179	-0.795	0.442	-6.482	3.015	0.046

Table 1532: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.475	1.225	5.285	0.000	3.779	9.171	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	0.442	2.550	0.173	0.866	-5.171	6.055	0.002

Table 1533: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.393	1.473	4.339	0.002	3.060	9.726	0.000
DAYCARE	1.920	2.443	0.786	0.452	-3.608	7.447	0.058

Table 1534: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr	7.393 -1.768	1.421 2.091	5.203 -0.845	$0.000 \\ 0.416$	4.266 -6.371	-0.0-0	0.000 0.056

Table 1535: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	5.750 0.977	2.730 2.968	2.106 0.329		-0.258 -5.554	11.758 7.509	

Table 1536: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.542	1.363	6.269	0.000	5.543	11.541	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	-3.649	1.857	-1.965	0.075	-7.736	0.438	0.243

Table 1537: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.167	2.231	3.213	0.008	2.257	12.076	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.767	2.543	-0.301	0.769	-6.364	4.831	0.008

Table 1538: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.375	1.938	3.289	0.007	2.109	10.641	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.292	2.330	0.125	0.903	-4.836	5.419	0.001

Table 1539: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.250	3.980	0.817	0.438	-5.929	12.429	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	1.167	4.596	0.254	0.806	-9.432	11.765	0.008
WHSTOTHER.5 months	4.000	4.596	0.870	0.409	-6.598	14.598	0.091
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	8.750	5.629	1.554	0.159	-4.230	21.730	0.172
WHSTOTHER.6 months	4.350	4.360	0.998	0.348	-5.705	14.405	0.148
WHSTOTHER.7 months	6.000	5.629	1.066	0.318	-6.980	18.980	0.081

Table 1540: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMIND_6mo	7.750 -5.625	1.172 2.871	6.611 -1.959	0.000 0.079	5.138 -12.023	10.362 0.773	

Table 1541: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.688	2.18	3.068	0.012	1.831	11.544	0
$Cereals_6mo$	0.187	2.67	0.070	0.945	-5.761	6.136	0

Table 1542: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	8.154 -0.034	4.880 0.163	1.671 -0.209	00	-2.720 -0.398		0.000 0.004

Table 1543: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	12.927 -0.178	3.924 0.116	3.294 -1.531		4.184	21.671 0.081	

Table 1544: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.254	1.902	3.814	0.003	3.016	11.492	0
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.040	0.582	0.069	0.946	-1.257	1.338	0

Table 1545: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.151	2.092	1.984	0.075	-0.510	8.811	0.000
Positive Life Events	0.610	0.343	1.781	0.105	-0.153	1.374	0.224

Table 1546: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	1.471 0.759	$2.966 \\ 0.359$	$0.496 \\ 2.112$	0.00-	-5.137 -0.042	8.079 1.560	0.000 0.288

Table 1547: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MAGE	2.397	2.177 0.066	1.101 -0.399	00-	-2.347 -0.169	7.142 0.117	0.000

Table 1548: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.168	1.392	0.120	0.906	-2.865	3.200	0.000
PAGE	0.039	0.038	1.004	0.335	-0.045	0.122	0.072

Table 1549: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.233	2.702	2.307	0.040	0.346	12.119	0.00
MEDUY	-0.281	0.161	-1.747	0.106	-0.632	0.070	0.19

Table 1550: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	2.094 -0.034	1.638 0.099	1.278 -0.346	00	-1.476 -0.250	0.00-	0.000 0.009

Table 1551: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.781	0.328	5.430	0.000	1.059	2.503	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.219	0.628	0.348	0.734	-1.164	1.601	0.007
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.365	0.628	-2.172	0.053	-2.747	0.018	0.280

Table 1552: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.167	0.620	1.882	0.084	-0.184	2.517	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.470	0.699	0.672	0.515	-1.054	1.994	0.034

Table 1553: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.611	0.878	1.835	0.091	-0.301	3.524	0.000
SEX	-0.056	0.610	-0.091	0.929	-1.384	1.273	0.001

Table 1554: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-15.628	9.908	-1.577	0.141	-37.215	5.958	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.062	0.036	1.733	0.109	-0.016	0.140	0.188

Table 1555: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.575	2.850	-0.553	0.591	-7.783	4.634	0.000
BW	0.001	0.001	1.097	0.294	-0.001	0.003	0.085

Table 1556: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.469	0.386	3.809	0.002	0.629	2.309	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.156	0.589	0.265	0.795	-1.127	1.440	0.005

Table 1557: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.568	0.329	4.764	0.000	0.851	2.285	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.152	0.711	-0.213	0.835	-1.701	1.398	0.003

Table 1558: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	$1.306 \\ 0.644$	$0.347 \\ 0.581$	3.760 1.109	0.003 0.289	0.549 -0.622	2.062 1.910	0.000 0.086

Table 1559: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.469	0.390	3.770	0.003	0.611	2.326	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.031	1.169	0.882	0.396	-1.541	3.604	0.059
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.019	0.628	-0.030	0.977	-1.401	1.364	0.000

Table 1560: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.357	0.369	3.678	0.004	0.545	2.169	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.643	0.543	1.184	0.261	-0.552	1.838	0.105

Table 1561: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.571	0.390	4.029	0.002	0.713	2.430	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	0.179	0.574	0.311	0.762	-1.085	1.442	0.008

Table 1562: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.278	0.343	3.729	0.003	0.531	2.024	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.722	0.573	1.260	0.232	-0.527	1.971	0.109

Table 1563: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.675	0.327	5.115	0.000	0.954	2.396	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	-0.092	0.682	-0.134	0.895	-1.592	1.409	0.002

Table 1564: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	1.714 -0.402	0.415 0.688	4.131 -0.584		0.775 -1.959	2.653 1.155	0.000 0.033

Table 1565: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.386	3.888	0.003	0.651	2.349	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.333	0.568	0.587	0.569	-0.916	1.583	0.028

Table 1566: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.625	0.733	2.217	0.049	0.012	3.238	0
$Milks_1yr$	0.034	0.797	0.043	0.967	-1.719	1.788	0

Table 1567: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.083	0.352	3.075	0.011	0.308	1.859	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	1.060	0.480	2.207	0.049	0.003	2.116	0.289

Table 1568: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.5	0.596	2.516	0.029	0.188	2.812	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	0.2	0.680	0.294	0.774	-1.296	1.696	0.007

Table 1569: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.625	0.518	3.136	0.009	0.485	2.765	0
PeanutButter_1yr	0.042	0.623	0.067	0.948	-1.329	1.412	0

Table 1570: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.000	1.070	1.869	0.099	-0.467	4.467	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.333	1.235	0.270	0.794	-2.516	3.182	0.012
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.417	1.235	-0.337	0.745	-3.266	2.432	0.019
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-2.000	1.513	-1.322	0.223	-5.489	1.489	0.170
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.600	1.172	-0.512	0.623	-3.303	2.103	0.053
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.250	1.513	-0.826	0.433	-4.739	2.239	0.066

Table 1571: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.325	0.347	3.824	0.003	0.553	2.097	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	1.175	0.849	1.384	0.196	-0.716	3.066	0.148

Table 1572: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept Cereals_6mo	1.562 -0.062	$0.598 \\ 0.732$	2.614 -0.085	$0.026 \\ 0.934$	0.230 -1.694	2.895 1.569	0.000 0.001

Table 1573: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.544	1.332	1.159	0.274	-1.425	4.512	0
STATE	-0.003	0.045	-0.066	0.948	-0.102	0.096	0

Table 1574: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.299	1.125	0.266	0.796	-2.207	2.806	0.000
TRAIT	0.036	0.033	1.075	0.308	-0.038	0.110	0.095

Table 1575: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.381	0.515	2.684	0.023	0.235	2.528	0.000
NegativeLifeEvents	0.014	0.158	0.090	0.930	-0.337	0.365	0.001

Table 1576: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.241	0.575	3.900	0.003	0.00-	3.521	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.157	0.094	-1.668	0.126	-0.367	0.053	0.202

Table 1577: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

ES	stimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	2.826	0.840 0.102	3.362 -1.785	0.007	0.953	4.698 0.045	0.000

Table 1578: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.692	2.086	0.811	0.433	-2.853	6.237	0.000
MAGE	-0.016	0.063	-0.257	0.801	-0.153	0.121	0.005

Table 1579: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.552	1.288	-0.429	0.676	-3.357	2.253	0.000
PAGE	0.048	0.036	1.358	0.199	-0.029	0.126	0.124

Table 1580: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	4.384 -0.193	$2.732 \\ 0.163$	1.605 -1.185		-1.569 -0.547	$10.336 \\ 0.162$	

Table 1581: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.854	1.558	1.190	0.257	-1.541	5.249	0.000
PEDUY	-0.043	0.094	-0.452	0.659	-0.248	0.163	0.015

Table 1582: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.437	0.325	4.421	0.001	0.722	2.153	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.021	0.623	-0.033	0.974	-1.391	1.349	0.000
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.271	0.623	-2.041	0.066	-2.641	0.099	0.257

Table 1583: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.750	0.588	1.276	0.226	-0.530	2.030	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.523	0.663	0.788	0.446	-0.922	1.967	0.046

Table 1584: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept SEX	1.289 -0.094	0.837 0.582	1.540 -0.162	000	-0.535 -1.362	3.113 1.173	0.000

Table 1585: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-16.282	9.296	-1.752	0.105	-36.535	3.972	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.063	0.034	1.877	0.085	-0.010	0.136	0.213

Table 1586: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept BW	-1.290 0.001	2.762 0.001	-0.467 0.892	0.0-0	-7.309 -0.001	4.729	0.000

Table 1587: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.094	0.368	2.973	0.012	0.292	1.895	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.156	0.562	0.278	0.786	-1.068	1.381	0.006

Table 1588: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.182	0.314	3.758	0.003	0.497	1.867	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.098	0.679	-0.145	0.887	-1.579	1.382	0.002

Table 1589: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.917	0.327	2.800	0.016	0.203	1.630	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.683	0.548	1.247	0.236	-0.510	1.877	0.107

Table 1590: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.125	0.380	2.961	0.013	0.289	1.961	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.625	1.140	0.548	0.594	-1.883	3.133	0.024
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.025	0.613	-0.041	0.968	-1.373	1.323	0.000

Table 1591: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.071	0.38	2.817	0.017	0.234	1.909	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.387	0.56	0.691	0.504	-0.845	1.619	0.038

Table 1592: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.214	0.388	3.128	0.010	0.36	2.069	0.000
$FORMULA_1yr$	0.077	0.571	0.135	0.895	-1.18	1.335	0.002

Table 1593: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FORMULA 6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.056	0.344	3.066	0.010	0.306	1.806	0.00
FORMULA_6mo	0.294	0.576	0.511	0.618	-0.961	1.549	0.02

Table 1594: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr	1.275 -0.108	0.325 0.676	3.927 -0.160	0.00=	0.560 -1.596		0.000 0.002

Table 1595: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.393	0.406	3.427	0.000	0.474	2.312	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.580	0.674	-0.861		-2.105	0.944	0.069

Table 1596: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.372	2.685	0.021	0.180	1.820	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	0.542	0.548	0.988	0.344	-0.665	1.748	0.075

Table 1597: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.722	1.384	0.194	-0.590	2.590	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	0.295	0.785	0.376	0.714	-1.433	2.024	0.012

Table 1598: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.708	0.356	1.991	0.072	-0.075	1.491	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	1.006	0.485	2.075	0.062	-0.061	2.073	0.264

Table 1599: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.333	0.593	2.249	0.046	0.029	2.638	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.108	0.676	-0.160	0.876	-1.596	1.379	0.002

Table 1600: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PeanutButter_1yr	1.313 -0.090	0.514 0.617	2.556 -0.146	0.0-1	0.182 -1.449	2.443 1.268	

Table 1601: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	1.077	1.392	0.201	-0.984	3.984	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.417	1.244	0.335	0.746	-2.452	3.285	0.021
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.583	1.244	-0.469	0.652	-3.452	2.285	0.041
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-1.500	1.523	-0.985	0.354	-5.013	2.013	0.106
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.350	1.180	-0.297	0.774	-3.071	2.371	0.020
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-1.000	1.523	-0.656	0.530	-4.513	2.513	0.047

Table 1602: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.95	0.320	2.971	0.014	000	1.662	
VITAMIND_6mo	1.30	0.783	1.660	0.128	-0.445	3.045	0.2

Table 1603: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.313	0.568	2.310	0.044	0.046	2.579	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.219	0.696	-0.314	0.760	-1.769	1.332	0.009

Table 1604: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.131	1.281	0.883	0.398	-1.723	3.985	0
STATE	-0.001	0.043	-0.022	0.983	-0.096	0.095	0

Table 1605: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.308	1.012				2.015	
TRAIT	0.044	0.031	1.413	0.188	-0.025	0.113	0.154

Table 1606: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept NegativeLifeEvents	1.036 0.019	$0.502 \\ 0.154$	2.064 0.123	0.000	-0.082 -0.324	2.155 0.361	

Table 1607: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.122	0.506	4.194	0.002	0.995	3.250	0.000
Positive Life Events	-0.198	0.083	-2.386	0.038	-0.383	-0.013	0.341

Table 1608: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.855	0.729	3.916	0.003	1.230	4.479	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.229	0.088	-2.587	0.027	-0.425	-0.032	0.378

Table 1609: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.363	1.906	0.191	0.00-	-3.789	4.515	0.000
MAGE	0.028	0.057	0.479	0.641	-0.098	0.153	0.017

Table 1610: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.533 0.051	$1.156 \\ 0.032$	-0.461 1.591	0.000	-3.051 -0.019	1.985 0.120	0.000

Table 1611: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.936	2.611	1.125	0.283	-2.752	8.625	0.000
MEDUY	-0.100	0.155	-0.642	0.533	-0.439	0.239	0.031

Table 1612: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.311	1.445	0.907	0.382	-1.837	4.459	0
PEDUY	-0.003	0.087	-0.030	0.976	-0.193	0.188	0

Table 1613: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.336	4.459	0.001	0.760	2.240	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.417	0.644	-0.647	0.531	-1.834	1.001	0.031
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.667	0.644	-1.035	0.323	-2.084	0.751	0.079

Table 1614: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.750	0.528	1.421	0.181	-0.400	1.900	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.659	0.596	1.107	0.290	-0.639	1.957	0.086

Table 1615: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	1.622 -0.261	$0.763 \\ 0.530$	2.126 -0.493	0.000	-0.040 -1.416	$3.285 \\ 0.894$	0.000 0.018

Table 1616: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-18.161	7.940	-2.287	0.041	-35.462	-0.861	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.070	0.029	2.448	0.031	0.008	0.133	0.315

Table 1617: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.007	2.312	-1.301	0.218	-8.043	2.030	0.00
BW	0.001	0.001	1.858	0.088	0.000	0.003	0.21

Table 1618: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MaternalInfection	1.312 -0.104	0.339 0.518	3.874 -0.201	0.00=	0.574 -1.232		0.000 0.003

Table 1619: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.295	0.289	4.484	0.001	0.666		0.000
MPSYCH	-0.129	0.624	-0.206	0.840	-1.489		0.003

Table 1620: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.00	0.293	3.417	0.005	0.362	1.638	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.75	0.490	1.532	0.152	-0.317	1.817	0.153

Table 1621: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.188	0.337	3.519	0.005	0.445	1.93	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.062	1.012	1.050	0.316	-1.165	3.29	0.081
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	0.012	0.544	0.023	0.982	-1.185	1.21	0.000

Table 1622: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.348	2.872	0.015	0.234	1.766	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.667	0.512	1.301	0.220	-0.461	1.795	0.124

Table 1623: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.393	0.372	3.744	0.003	0.574	2.212	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	-0.185	0.548	-0.337	0.743	-1.390	1.021	0.009

Table 1624: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.25	0.320	3.908		0.553	1.947	
FORMULA_6mo	0.05	0.535	0.093	0.927	-1.116	1.216	0.001

Table 1625: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.300	0.313	4.155	0.002	0.611	1.989	0
$FEVER_1yr$	0.033	0.651	0.051	0.960	-1.400	1.467	0

Table 1626: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.464	0.402	3.643	0.005	0.555	2.374	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.464	0.667	-0.697	0.504	-1.972	1.044	0.046

Table 1627: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr	1.000 0.667	0.348 0.512	2.872 1.301		0.234 -0.461		0.000 0.124

Table 1628: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.692	1.444	0.177	-0.524	2.524	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	0.364	0.753	0.483	0.638	-1.293	2.020	0.019

Table 1629: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.708	0.320	2.212	0.049	0.004	1.413	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	1.113	0.436	2.551	0.027	0.153	2.073	0.352

Table 1630: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.417	0.57	2.485	0.030	0.162	2.671	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.142	0.65	-0.218	0.831	-1.572	1.289	0.004

Table 1631: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.375	0.494	2.783	0.018	0.287	2.463	0.000
$PeanutButter_1yr$	-0.097	0.594	-0.164	0.873	-1.404	1.210	0.002

Table 1632: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.250	1.013	1.233	0.252	-1.087	3.587	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.417	1.170	0.356	0.731	-2.282	3.115	0.026
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.000	1.170	0.000	1.000	-2.699	2.699	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-1.250	1.433	-0.872	0.409	-4.555	2.055	0.091
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.200	1.110	0.180	0.862	-2.360	2.760	0.008
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.750	1.433	-0.523	0.615	-4.055	2.555	0.033

Table 1633: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.150	0.305	3.770	0.004	0.47	1.83	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	0.975	0.747	1.305	0.221	-0.69	2.64	0.134

Table 1634: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.438	0.520	2.767	0.020	0.280	2.595	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.187	0.636	-0.295	0.774	-1.605	1.230	0.008

Table 1635: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept STATE	1.615 -0.012	1.184 0.040	1.364 -0.301	v.=v=	-1.023 -0.100	$4.253 \\ 0.076$	0.000 0.008

Table 1636: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TRAIT	$0.324 \\ 0.029$	1.013 0.030	$0.320 \\ 0.975$	0	-1.933 -0.038	$2.581 \\ 0.096$	0.00

Table 1637: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.489	0.460	3.235	0.009	0.463	2.515	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.087	0.141	-0.619	0.550	-0.401	0.227	0.034

Table 1638: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.928	0.540	3.570	0.005	0.725	3.132	0.000
Positive Life Events	-0.125	0.089	-1.415	0.187	-0.322	0.072	0.154

Table 1639: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.816	0.709	3.971	0.003	1.236	4.396	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.199	0.086	-2.320	0.043	-0.391	-0.008	0.329

Table 1640: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.241	0.760	-0.317	0.757	-1.896	1.414	0.000
MAGE	0.013	0.023	0.581	0.572	-0.037	0.063	0.025

Table 1641: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.365	0.481	-0.759	0.463	-1.414	0.684	0.000
PAGE	0.016	0.013	1.191	0.257	-0.013	0.045	0.098

Table 1642: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	0.407 -0.013	1.061 0.063	0.383 -0.199	0	-1.905 -0.150	2.719 0.125	0.000

Table 1643: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.572	0.568	1.007	0.334	-0.666	1.809	0.000
PEDUY	-0.023	0.034	-0.672	0.515	-0.098	0.052	0.034

Table 1644: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.312	0.131	2.385	0.036	0.024	0.601	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.229	0.251	-0.914	0.381	-0.781	0.323	0.058
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.313	0.251	-1.246	0.239	-0.865	0.240	0.108

Table 1645: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.00	0.212	0.000	1.000	-0.463	0.463	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	0.25	0.240	1.043	0.317	-0.272	0.772	0.077

Table 1646: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.40	0.302	1.324	0.210	-0.258	1.058	0.000
SEX	-0.15	0.210	-0.714	0.489	-0.607	0.307	0.038

Table 1647: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-6.412	3.392	-1.890	0.083	-13.804	0.979	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.024	0.012	1.949	0.075	-0.003	0.051	0.226

Table 1648: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-0.982 0.000	0.993 0.000	-0.989 1.192	$0.342 \\ 0.256$	-3.145 0.000		0.000 0.099

Table 1649: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.219	0.136	1.614	0.132	-0.077	0.514	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	-0.052	0.207	-0.252	0.806	-0.503	0.399	0.005

Table 1650: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.25	0.111	2.253	0.044	0.008	0.492	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.25	0.240	-1.043	0.317	-0.772	0.272	0.077

Table 1651: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.139	0.125	1.111	0.288	-0.134	0.411	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	0.161	0.209	0.770	0.456	-0.295	0.617	0.044

Table 1652: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.125	0.136	0.92	0.377	-0.174	0.424	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.375	0.408	0.92	0.377	-0.522	1.272	0.062
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.125	0.219	0.57	0.580	-0.357	0.607	0.024

Table 1653: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept ANTIBIOTIC 1yr	$0.179 \\ 0.071$	$0.149 \\ 0.220$	1.197 0.325	vv.	-0.150 -0.412	0.507 0.555	0.000

Table 1654: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.214	0.150	1.429	0.181	-0.116	0.544	0
$FORMULA_1yr$	-0.006	0.221	-0.027	0.979	-0.492	0.480	0

Table 1655: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.222	0.127	1.743	0.107	-0.056	0.500	0.000
$FORMULA_6mo$	-0.072	0.213	-0.339	0.741	-0.537	0.393	0.009

Table 1656: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.225	0.125	1.798	0.100	-0.050	0.500	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	-0.058	0.261	-0.224	0.827	-0.632	0.515	0.004

Table 1657: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.321	0.156	2.065	0.069	-0.031	0.674	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.196	0.258	-0.761	0.466	-0.780	0.388	0.055

Table 1658: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr	$0.107 \\ 0.226$	$0.143 \\ 0.210$	$0.751 \\ 1.078$		-0.207 -0.236	-	0.000 0.088

Table 1659: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: ageScore_StartleResponse vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.00	0.272	0.000		-0.598	0.000	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	0.25	0.295	0.846	0.415	-0.400	0.900	0.056

MaskAver-

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1660: & mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: & MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11 & MaskAverageStartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11 & MaskAvera$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FrenchFries_1yr	0.000 0.393	0.137 0.186	0.00 2.11		-0.301 -0.017	$0.301 \\ 0.803$	0.000

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Table & 1661: & mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: & MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11 \end{tabular}$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.225	1.480	0.167	-0.162	0.829	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.158	0.257	-0.617	0.550	-0.723	0.407	0.031

Table 1662: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.250	0.198	1.264	0.232	-0.185	0.685	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.056	0.238	-0.234	0.820	-0.579	0.468	0.005

Table 1663: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs WHSTOTHER, df=8 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.000	0.410	0.000	1.000	-0.944	0.944	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	0.417	0.473	0.881	0.404	-0.674	1.507	0.142
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.000	0.473	0.000	1.000	-1.090	1.090	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.000	0.579	0.000	1.000	-1.336	1.336	0.000
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.300	0.449	0.669	0.522	-0.734	1.334	0.100
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.000	0.579	0.000	1.000	-1.336	1.336	0.000

Table 1664: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.175	0.123	1.426	0.184	-0.098	0.448	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	0.325	0.301	1.081	0.305	-0.345	0.995	0.096

Table 1665: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: ageScore_StartleResponse vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.375	0.197	1.902	0.086	-0.064	0.814	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.219	0.241	-0.906	0.386	-0.757	0.319	0.069

Table 1666: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: Ma ageScore_StartleResponse vs STATE, df=10

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept STATE	0.366 -0.005	0.464 0.016	0.788 -0.305	00	-0.669 -0.039	1.401 0.030	0.000

Table 1667: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TRAIT	-0.08 0.01	$0.403 \\ 0.012$	-0.199 0.800	0.0	-0.978 -0.017	$0.818 \\ 0.036$	0.000

Table 1668: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.291	0.183	1.586	0.144	-0.118	0.700	0.00
NegativeLifeEvents	-0.033	0.056	-0.588	0.570	-0.158	0.092	0.03

Table 1669: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.530	0.204	2.605	0.026	0.077	0.984	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.061	0.033	-1.838	0.096	-0.136	0.013	0.235

Table 1670: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_StartleResponse vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	0.923 -0.092	$0.254 \\ 0.031$	3.636 -2.997	$0.005 \\ 0.013$	0.357 -0.161	1.489 -0.024	0.00

Table 1671: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.029 0.012	$0.749 \\ 0.023$	-0.038 0.520	0.0.0	-1.661 -0.037	1.603 0.061	0.00

Table 1672: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	-0.872 0.035	0.346 0.010	-2.521 3.629		-1.625 0.014	-0.118 0.056	

Table 1673: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.297	1.009	1.284	0.223	-0.903	3.496	0.000
MEDUY	-0.056	0.060	-0.935	0.368	-0.187	0.075	0.063

Table 1674: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	$0.280 \\ 0.005$	$0.569 \\ 0.034$	$0.493 \\ 0.138$	0.00-	-0.959 -0.070		0.000 0.001

Table 1675: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.406	0.134	3.036	0.011	0.112	0.701	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.010	0.256	0.041	0.968	-0.554	0.574	0.000
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.240	0.256	-0.935	0.370	-0.804	0.324	0.068

Table 1676: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.417	0.217	1.917	0.079	-0.057	0.890	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.076	0.245	-0.309	0.763	-0.610	0.459	0.007

Table 1677: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	$0.056 \\ 0.222$	$0.289 \\ 0.201$	$0.192 \\ 1.107$	0.00-	-0.574 -0.215	$0.685 \\ 0.660$	0.000 0.086

Table 1678: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-3.669	3.647	-1.006	0.334	-11.616		0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.015	0.013	1.104	0.291	-0.014		0.086

Table 1679: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.31	1.033	0.300	0.769	-1.940	2.560	0
BW	0.00	0.000	0.046	0.964	-0.001	0.001	0

Table 1680: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.312	0.132	2.364	0.036	0.025	0.600	0.00
MaternalInfection	0.104	0.202	0.516	0.615	-0.336	0.544	0.02

Table 1681: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.295	0.107	2.754	0.017	0.062	0.529	0.000
MPSYCH	0.288	0.232	1.242	0.238	-0.217	0.793	0.106

Table 1682: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	$0.306 \\ 0.144$	0.123 0.207	$2.474 \\ 0.699$	$0.029 \\ 0.498$	0.036 -0.306	$0.575 \\ 0.595$	

Table 1683: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=11

BMI, df=11

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.437	0.131	3.330	0.007	0.148	0.727	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.063	0.394	0.159	0.877	-0.805	0.930	0.002
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.237	0.212	-1.121	0.286	-0.704	0.229	0.092

Table 1684: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.393	0.143	2.746	0.019	0.078	0.708	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.018	0.211	-0.085	0.934	-0.481	0.446	0.001

Table 1685: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.536	0.126	4.238	0.001	0.257	0.814	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	-0.327	0.186	-1.759	0.106	-0.737	0.082	0.205

Table 1686: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA 6mo	0.333 0.067	0.125 0.210	2.657 0.318	0.021 0.756	0.060 -0.391	0.607 0.524	0.000

Table 1687: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr	$0.375 \\ 0.042$	0.120 0.249	3.136 0.167		0.112 -0.506		0.000 0.002

Table 1688: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	$0.286 \\ 0.027$	0.124 0.206	2.296 0.130	$0.047 \\ 0.900$	0.004 -0.440	$0.567 \\ 0.494$	0.000 0.002

Table 1689: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.357	0.143	2.505	0.029	0.0 -0	0.671	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	0.060	0.210	0.284	0.782	-0.402	0.521	0.007

Table 1690: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: ageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Milks_1yr, df=11

MaskAver-

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	0.750 -0.432	0.239 0.260	3.132 -1.659	$0.010 \\ 0.125$	0.223 -1.005	1.277 0.141	0.000

Table 1691: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

					97.5 %	
Intercept 0.292 FrenchFries_1yr 0.173	$0.150 \\ 0.204$	1.947 0.846	0.0	-0.038 -0.277	0.0	

Table 1692: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.083	0.193	0.433	0.673	-0.340	0.507	0.00
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	0.392	0.219	1.784	0.102	-0.091	0.875	0.21

Table 1693: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.313	0.188	1.667	0.124	-0.100	0.725	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.104	0.225	0.462	0.653	-0.392	0.600	0.017

Table 1694: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.313	3.196	0.013	0.278	1.722	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	-0.917	0.361	-2.537	0.035	-1.750	-0.083	0.306
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-0.417	0.361	-1.153	0.282	-1.250	0.417	0.063
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-1.000	0.443	-2.260	0.054	-2.020	0.020	0.143
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-0.650	0.343	-1.896	0.095	-1.440	0.140	0.210
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-0.750	0.443	-1.695	0.129	-1.770	0.270	0.081

Table 1695: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore EscapeBehavior vs VITAMIND 6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept VITAMIND 6mo	0.375 0.125	0.124 0.303	3.030 0.412	0.013 0.689	0.099 -0.550	0.00-	0.000

Table 1696: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Cereals_6mo	0.438 -0.063	0.197 0.241	2.225 -0.259	0.000	-0.001 -0.599	$0.876 \\ 0.474$	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	-0.048 0.014	$0.410 \\ 0.014$	-0.117 1.014	0.000	-0.961 -0.017	$0.865 \\ 0.044$	0.000 0.085

Table 1698: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.393	0.294	-1.338	0.211	-1.048	0.262	0.00
TRAIT	0.023	0.009	2.652	0.024	0.004	0.043	0.39

Table 1699: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.498	0.162	3.069	0.012	0.137	0.860	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.066	0.050	-1.328	0.214	-0.177	0.045	0.138

Table 1700: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.401	0.221	1.816	0.099	-0.091	0.893	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.013	0.036	-0.357	0.729	-0.094	0.068	0.011

Table 1701: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskAverageScore_EscapeBehavior vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.736	0.301	2.444	0.035	0.065	1.407	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.052	0.036	-1.423	0.185	-0.133	0.029	0.156

Table 1702: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	26.691	32.132	0.831	0.422	-43.319	96.701	0
MAGE	0.035	0.969	0.037	0.971	-2.076	2.147	0

Table 1703: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	55.383 -0.777	19.635 0.543	2.821 -1.431			98.164 0.406	

Table 1704: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	-25.395 3.186	41.580 2.476	-0.611 1.287		-115.990 -2.209		

Table 1705: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	23.603	24.106	0.979	0.0-,	-28.920		0.000
PEDUY	0.261	1.457	0.179	0.801	-2.913	3.435	0.002

Table 1706: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	24.375	4.790	5.089	0.000	13.832	34.918	0.00
Income.code.LOW	-3.708	9.172	-0.404	0.694	-23.897	16.480	0.01
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	19.958	9.172	2.176	0.052	-0.230	40.147	0.28

Table 1707: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	32.667	9.125	3.580	0.004	12.786	52.548	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-6.121	10.294	-0.595	0.563	-28.550	16.308	0.026

Table 1708: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	30.511 -1.956	12.847 8.926	2.375 -0.219	$0.035 \\ 0.830$	2.519 -21.405	58.503 17.493	0.000

Table 1709: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	313.451	139.914	2.240	0.045	8.604	618.297	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	-1.033	0.506	-2.042	0.064	-2.136	0.069	0.243

Table 1710: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	59.363	42.856	1.385	0.191	-34.012	152.739	0.00
BW	-0.009	0.013	-0.739	0.474	-0.037	0.018	0.04

Table 1711: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	28.125	5.668	4.962	0.000	15.775	40.475	0
MaternalInfection	-0.625	8.658	-0.072	0.944	-19.490	18.240	0

Table 1712: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	28.364 -2.364	$4.825 \\ 10.422$	5.879 -0.227	$0.000 \\ 0.824$	17.852 -25.072	38.876 20.345	0.000

Table 1713: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	32.222	4.912	6.560	0.000	21.52	42.924	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	-12.222	8.219	-1.487	0.163	-30.13	5.685	0.145

Table 1714: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	28.75	5.820	4.940	0.000	15.940	41.560	0.00
PrePregBMI.Obese	-10.75	17.460	-0.616	0.551	-49.179	27.679	0.03
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.35	9.384	-0.037	0.971	-21.005	20.305	0.00

Table 1715: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	29.143	5.729	5.087	0.000	16.534	41.751	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-6.143	8.432	-0.728	0.482	-24.702	12.416	0.042

Table 1716: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	26.429	5.865	4.506	0.001	13.520	39.337	0
$FORMULA_1yr$	-0.262	8.633	-0.030	0.976	-19.263	18.739	0

Table 1717: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FORMULA_6mo	30.333 -6.933	5.210 8.717	5.822 -0.795	0.000 0.442	18.982 -25.927		

Table 1718: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	25.900	4.900	5.285	0.000	15.114	36.686	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	1.767	10.201	0.173	0.866	-20.686	24.219	0.002

Table 1719: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	25.571	5.894	4.339	0.002	12.239	38.904	0.000
DAYCARE	7.679	9.774	0.786	0.452	-14.431	29.789	0.058

Table 1720: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept CURBRFEED_1yr	29.571 -7.071	5.683 8.366	5.203 -0.845	0.000	17.062 -25.484		0.000

Table 1721: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	23.000 3.909	10.919 11.870	2.106 0.329	0.000	-1.032 -22.217		0.000

Table 1722: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	34.167	5.450	6.269	0.000	22.171	46.163	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	-14.595	7.427	-1.965	0.075	-30.943	1.752	0.243

Table 1723: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	28.667	8.922	3.213	0.008	9.029	48.305	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	-3.067	10.173	-0.301	0.769	-25.457	19.324	0.008

Table 1724: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PeanutButter 1yr	25.500 1.167	7.753 9.318	$3.289 \\ 0.125$	0.007	8.435 -19.343	42.565	

Table 1725: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	13.000	15.921	0.817	0.438	-23.714	49.714	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	4.667	18.384	0.254	0.806	-37.727	47.061	0.008
WHSTOTHER.5 months	16.000	18.384	0.870	0.409	-26.394	58.394	0.091
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	35.000	22.516	1.554	0.159	-16.922	86.922	0.172
WHSTOTHER.6 months	17.400	17.441	0.998	0.348	-22.818	57.618	0.148
WHSTOTHER.7 months	24.000	22.516	1.066	0.318	-27.922	75.922	0.081

Table 1726: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	31.0	4.689	6.611	0.000		41.447	
VITAMIND_6mo	-22.5	11.485	-1.959	0.079	-48.091	3.091	0.259

Table 1727: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	26.75	8.719	3.068	0.012	7.323	46.177	0
$Cereals_6mo$	0.75	10.678	0.070	0.945	-23.043	24.543	0

Table 1728: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept STATE	32.615 -0.137	19.521 0.653	1.671 -0.209	00	-10.880 -1.591	76.110 1.318	0.000

Table 1729: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TRAIT	51.709 -0.713	15.696 0.465	3.294 -1.531			86.683 0.324	

Table 1730: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	29.016	7.607	3.814	0.003	12.065	45.966	0
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.160	2.329	0.069	0.946	-5.030	5.350	0

Table 1731: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	16.602	8.366	1.984	0.075	-2.039	35.243	0.000
${\bf Positive Life Events}$	2.441	1.371	1.781	0.105	-0.613	5.495	0.224

Table 1732: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_Latency vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	5.885 3.036	11.863 1.438	$0.496 \\ 2.112$	0.631 0.061	-20.548 -0.167	32.317 6.240	0.000

Table 1733: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	9.590 -0.105	8.710 0.263	1.101 -0.399		-9.388 -0.677	28.567 0.468	

Table 1734: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.670	5.568	0.120	0.906	-11.461	12.801	0.000
PAGE	0.154	0.154	1.004	0.335	-0.181	0.490	0.072

Table 1735: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	24.930	10.806	2.307			48.475	
MEDUY	-1.124	0.644	-1.747	0.106	-2.526	0.278	0.19

Table 1736: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept PEDUY	8.376 -0.137	$6.553 \\ 0.396$	1.278 -0.346	00	-5.903 -1.000	$22.655 \\ 0.726$	0.000 0.009

Table 1737: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.125	1.312	5.430	0.000	4.237	10.013	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	0.875	2.513	0.348	0.734	-4.656	6.406	0.007
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-5.458	2.513	-2.172	0.053	-10.989	0.072	0.280

Table 1738: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.667	2.480	1.882	0.084	-0.737	10.070	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	1.879	2.798	0.672	0.515	-4.217	7.974	0.034

Table 1739: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.444	3.511	1.835	0.00-	-1.206	14.095	0.000
SEX	-0.222	2.440	-0.091		-5.538	5.093	0.001

Table 1740: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-62.514	39.631	-1.577	0.141	-148.862	23.834	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.248	0.143	1.733	0.109	-0.064	0.561	0.188

Table 1741: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-6.299	11.398	-0.553	0.591	-31.134	18.536	0.000
$_{ m BW}$	0.004	0.003	1.097	0.294	-0.004	0.011	0.085

Table 1742: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.875	1.542	3.809	0.002	2.514	9.236	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.625	2.356	0.265	0.795	-4.508	5.758	0.005

Table 1743: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.273	1.317	4.764	0.000	3.404	9.142	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.606	2.844	-0.213	0.835	-6.803	5.591	0.003

Table 1744: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.222	1.389	3.760	0.003	2.196	8.249	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	2.578	2.324	1.109	0.289	-2.486	7.642	

Table 1745: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.875	1.558	3.770	0.003	2.445	9.305	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	4.125	4.675	0.882	0.396	-6.164	14.414	0.059
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	-0.075	2.513	-0.030	0.977	-5.605	5.455	0.000

Table 1746: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.429	1.476	3.678	0.004	2.18	8.677	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	2.571	2.172	1.184	0.261	-2.21	7.353	0.105

Table 1747: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.286	1.560	4.029	0.002	2.852	9.720	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	0.714	2.297	0.311	0.762	-4.340	5.769	0.008

Table 1748: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.111	1.371	3.729	0.003	2.125	8.097	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	2.889	2.293	1.260	0.232	-2.108	7.886	0.109

Table 1749: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.700	1.310	5.115	0.000	3.817	9.583	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	-0.367	2.727	-0.134	0.895	-6.369	5.635	0.002

Table 1750: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept DAYCARE	6.857 -1.607	1.660 2.753	4.131 -0.584	0.000	3.102 -7.834	10.612 4.620	0.000

Table 1751: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.000	1.543	3.888	0.003	2.604	9.396	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	1.333	2.271	0.587	0.569	-3.666	6.332	0.028

Table 1752: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.500	2.931	2.217	0.049	0.048	12.952	0
$Milks_1yr$	0.136	3.187	0.043	0.967	-6.878	7.150	0

Table 1753: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.333	1.409	3.075	0.011	1.232	7.435	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	4.238	1.920	2.207	0.049	0.012	8.465	0.289

Table 1754: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.0	2.384	2.516	0.029	0.752	11.248	0.000
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	0.8	2.719	0.294	0.774	-5.183	6.783	0.007

Table 1755: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.500	2.073	3.136	0.009	1.938	11.062	0
$PeanutButter_1yr$	0.167	2.491	0.067	0.948	-5.316	5.649	0

Table 1756: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	8.000	4.280	1.869	0.099	-1.869	17.869	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	1.333	4.942	0.270	0.794	-10.063	12.729	0.012
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-1.667	4.942	-0.337	0.745	-13.063	9.729	0.019
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-8.000	6.053	-1.322	0.223	-21.957	5.957	0.170
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-2.400	4.688	-0.512	0.623	-13.211	8.411	0.053
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-5.000	6.053	-0.826	0.433	-18.957	8.957	0.066

Table 1757: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.3	1.386	3.824	0.003	2.212	8.388	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	4.7	3.395	1.384	0.196	-2.865	12.265	0.148

Table 1758: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.25	2.391	2.614			11.578	
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.25	2.929	-0.085	0.934	-6.776	6.276	0.001

Table 1759: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.175	5.329	1.159	0.274	-5.700	18.050	0
STATE	-0.012	0.178	-0.066	0.948	-0.409	0.385	0

Table 1760: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.198	4.499	0.266	0.796	-8.827	11.223	0.000
TRAIT	0.143	0.133	1.075	0.308	-0.154	0.441	0.095

Table 1761: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.525	2.058	2.684	0.023	0.939	10.111	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	0.057	0.630	0.090	0.930	-1.348	1.461	0.001

Table 1762: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PositiveLifeEvents	8.963 -0.628	2.298 0.377	3.900 -1.668	0.000	3.843 -1.467	14.084 0.211	0.000

Table 1763: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_FacialFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	11.303 -0.727	3.362 0.407	3.362 -1.785		0.0-0	18.793 0.180	0.000

Table 1764: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.769	8.344	0.811	0.433	-11.411	24.950	0.000
MAGE	-0.065	0.252	-0.257	0.801	-0.613	0.484	0.005

Table 1765: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-2.208	5.150	-0.429	0.676	-13.429	9.014	0.000
PAGE	0.193	0.142	1.358	0.199	-0.117	0.504	0.124

Table 1766: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	17.535 -0.771	$10.928 \\ 0.651$	1.605 -1.185	0.200	-6.274 -2.189	$41.344 \\ 0.647$	0.000

Table 1767: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.415	6.233	1.190	0.257	-6.165	20.994	0.000
PEDUY	-0.170	0.377	-0.452	0.659	-0.991	0.650	0.015

Table 1768: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.750	1.30	4.421	0.001	2.888	8.612	0.000
Income.code.LOW	-0.083	2.49	-0.033	0.974	-5.564	5.398	0.000
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-5.083	2.49	-2.041	0.066	-10.564	0.398	0.257

Table 1769: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.000	2.351	1.276	0.226	-2.121	8.121	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	2.091	2.652	0.788	0.446	-3.687	7.869	0.046

Table 1770: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.156	3.348	1.540	0.150	-2.140	12.451	0.000
SEX	-0.378	2.326	-0.162	0.874	-5.447	4.691	0.002

Table 1771: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-65.126	37.182	-1.752	0.105	-146.139	15.886	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.252	0.134	1.877	0.085	-0.041	0.545	0.213

Table 1772: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept BW	-5.162 0.003	11.050 0.003	-0.467 0.892	0.0-0	-29.237 -0.004		0.000

Table 1773: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score VocalDistress vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.375	1.472	2.973	0.012	1.169	7.581	0.000
MaternalInfection	0.625	2.248	0.278	0.786	-4.272	5.522	0.006

Table 1774: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MPSYCH	4.727 -0.394	1.258 2.717	3.758 -0.145	0.003 0.887	1.987 -6.314	7.468 5.526	0.000

Table 1775: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	3.667	1.310	2.800	0.0-0	0.813	6.520	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	2.733	2.191	1.247		-2.041	7.508	0.107

Table 1776: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.5	1.520	2.961	0.013	1.155	7.845	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	2.5	4.559	0.548	0.594	-7.534	12.534	0.024
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.1	2.450	-0.041	0.968	-5.493	5.293	0.000

Table 1777: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.286	1.522	2.817	0.017	0.937	7.635	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	1.548	2.240	0.691	0.504	-3.382	6.477	0.038

Table 1778: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.857	1.553	3.128	0.010	1.439	8.275	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	0.310	2.286	0.135	0.895	-4.722	5.341	0.002

Table 1779: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score VocalDistress vs FORMULA 6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.222	1.377	3.066	0.010	1.222	7.222	0.00
FORMULA_6mo	1.178	2.304	0.511	0.618	-3.842	6.198	0.02

Table 1780: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr	5.100 -0.433	1.299 2.704	3.927 -0.160		2.241 -6.384	7.959 5.518	0.000

Table 1781: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.571	1.626	3.427	0.008	1.894	9.249	0.000
DAYCARE	-2.321	2.696	-0.861	0.411	-8.419	3.776	0.069

Table 1782: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.000	1.490	2.685	0.021	0.722	7.278	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	2.167	2.192	0.988	0.344	-2.659	6.992	0.075

Table 1783: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	2.889	1.384	0.194	-2.359	10.359	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	1.182	3.141	0.376	0.714	-5.731	8.095	0.012

Table 1784: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.833	1.423	1.991	0.072	-0.299	5.966	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	4.024	1.940	2.075	0.062	-0.245	8.293	0.264

Table 1785: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score VocalDistress vs SweetFoodsDrinks 1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.333	2.371	2.249	0.046	0.114	10.553	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.433	2.704	-0.160	0.876	-6.384	5.518	0.002

Table 1786: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PeanutButter_1yr	5.250 -0.361	2.054 2.469	2.556 -0.146	0.0	0.729 -5.795	9.771 5.072	0.000

Table 1787: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	6.000	4.309	1.392	0.201	-3.936	15.936	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	1.667	4.975	0.335	0.746	-9.807	13.140	0.021
WHSTOTHER.5 months	-2.333	4.975	-0.469	0.652	-13.807	9.140	0.041
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-6.000	6.094	-0.985	0.354	-20.052	8.052	0.106
WHSTOTHER.6 months	-1.400	4.720	-0.297	0.774	-12.285	9.485	0.020
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-4.000	6.094	-0.656	0.530	-18.052	10.052	0.047

Table 1788: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.8	1.279	2.971	0.014	0.950	6.650	0.0
VITAMIND_6mo	5.2	3.133	1.660	0.128	-1.781	12.181	0.2

Table 1789: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.250	2.273	2.310	0.044	0.186	10.314	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.875	2.784	-0.314	0.760	-7.077	5.327	0.009

Table 1790: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.525	5.123	0.883	0.398	-6.890	15.940	0
STATE	-0.004	0.171	-0.022	0.983	-0.386	0.378	0

Table 1791: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.232	4.170	-0.295	0.774	-10.523	8.059	0.000
TRAIT	0.175	0.124	1.413	0.188	-0.101	0.450	0.154

Table 1792: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept NegativeLifeEvents	4.145 0.075	2.008 0.615	2.064 0.123	0.000	-0.330 -1.295	0.0-0	0.000

Table 1793: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	8.488	2.024	4.194	0.002	3.978	12.998	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.791	0.332	-2.386	0.038	-1.530	-0.052	0.341

Table 1794: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_VocalDistress vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	11.419	2.916	3.916	0.003	4.922	17.916	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.914	0.353	-2.587	0.027	-1.702	-0.127	0.378

Table 1795: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.453	7.622	0.191	0.00_	-15.154	-0.000	0.000
MAGE	0.110	0.230	0.479	0.641	-0.391	0.611	0.017

Table 1796: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-2.132	4.623	-0.461	0.653	-12.205	7.940	0.000
PAGE	0.203	0.128	1.591	0.138	-0.075	0.482	0.163

Table 1797: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	11.744	10.443	1.125	0.283	-11.010	34.498	0.000
MEDUY	-0.399	0.622	-0.642	0.533	-1.754	0.956	0.031

Table 1798: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.245	5.780	0.907	0.382	-7.349	17.838	0
PEDUY	-0.011	0.349	-0.030	0.976	-0.772	0.750	0

Table 1799: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.000	1.346	4.459	0.001	3.038	8.962	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-1.667	2.577	-0.647	0.531	-7.338	4.004	0.031
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-2.667	2.577	-1.035	0.323	-8.338	3.004	0.079

Table 1800: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	3.000	2.112	1.421	0.181	-1.601	7.601	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	2.636	2.382	1.107	0.290	-2.554	7.827	0.086

Table 1801: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	6.489 -1.044	$3.052 \\ 2.120$	2.126 -0.493	0.000	000	13.138 3.576	0.000

Table 1802: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-72.644	31.761	-2.287	0.041	-141.846	-3.442	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.281	0.115	2.448	0.031	0.031	0.531	0.315

Table 1803: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-12.027	9.247	-1.301	0.218	-32.173	8.120	0.00
BW	0.005	0.003	1.858	0.088	-0.001	0.011	0.21

Table 1804: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.250	1.355	3.874	0.00=	2.297	8.203	0.000
MaternalInfection	-0.417	2.070	-0.201	0.844	-4.927	4.094	0.003

Table 1805: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.182	1.156	4.484	0.001	2.664	7.700	0.000
MPSYCH	-0.515	2.497	-0.206	0.840	-5.955	4.924	

Table 1806: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4	1.171	3.417	0.005	1.449	6.551	0.000
VITAMINDNEO	3	1.959	1.532	0.152	-1.268	7.268	0.153

Table 1807: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.75	1.350	3.519	0.005	1.779	7.721	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	4.25	4.049	1.050	0.316	-4.662	13.162	0.081
${\bf PrePregBMI. Overweight}$	0.05	2.176	0.023	0.982	-4.740	4.840	0.000

Table 1808: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	1.393	2.872	0.015	0.935	7.065	0.000
$ANTIBIOTIC_1yr$	2.667	2.050	1.301	0.220	-1.845	7.178	0.124

Table 1809: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.571	1.488	3.744	0.003	2.296	8.847	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	-0.738	2.191	-0.337	0.743	-5.560	4.083	0.009

Table 1810: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept FORMULA_6mo	5.0 0.2	1.279 2.141	3.908 0.093		2.212 -4.465	7.788 4.865	0.000 0.001

Table 1811: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.200	1.251	4.155	0.002	2.446	7.954	0
$FEVER_1yr$	0.133	2.605	0.051	0.960	-5.600	5.867	0

Table 1812: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.857	1.608	3.643	0.005	2.220	9.494	0.000
DAYCARE	-1.857	2.666	-0.697	0.504	-7.889	4.174	0.046

Table 1813: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	4.000	1.393	2.872	0.015	0.935	7.065	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	2.667	2.050	1.301	0.220	-1.845	7.178	0.124

Table 1814: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	$4.000 \\ 1.455$	2.769 3.011	$1.444 \\ 0.483$	0	-2.095 -5.172	10.095 8.081	0.000 0.019

Table 1815: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.833	1.281	2.212	0.049	0.014	5.652	0.000
FrenchFries_1yr	4.452	1.745	2.551	0.027	0.611	8.294	0.352

Table 1816: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.667	2.28	2.485	0.030	0.648	10.685	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.567	2.60	-0.218	0.831	-6.289	5.155	0.004

Table 1817: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.500	1.977	,		1.150	9.850	
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.389	2.375	-0.164	0.873	-5.617	4.839	0.002

Table 1818: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.000	4.054	1.233	0.252	-4.348	14.348	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	1.667	4.681	0.356	0.731	-9.128	12.461	0.026
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.000	4.681	0.000	1.000	-10.794	10.794	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	-5.000	5.733	-0.872	0.409	-18.220	8.220	0.091
WHSTOTHER.6 months	0.800	4.441	0.180	0.862	-9.440	11.040	0.008
WHSTOTHER.7 months	-3.000	5.733	-0.523	0.615	-16.220	10.220	0.033

Table 1819: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	4.6	1.220	3.770	0.004	1.881	7.319	0.000
VITAMIND_6mo	3.9	2.989	1.305	0.221	-2.760	10.560	0.134

Table 1820: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	5.75	2.078	2.767	0.020	1.120	10.380	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.75	2.545	-0.295	0.774	-6.421	4.921	0.008

Table 1821: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.461	4.735	1.364	0.202	-4.090	17.012	0.000
STATE	-0.048	0.158	-0.301	0.770	-0.401	0.305	0.008

Table 1822: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept TRAIT	$1.295 \\ 0.117$	4.052 0.120	$0.320 \\ 0.975$		-7.734 -0.151	10.324 0.385	

Table 1823: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	5.956	1.841	3.235	0.009	1.853	10.059	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.349	0.564	-0.619	0.550	-1.605	0.907	0.034

Table 1824: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	7.714	2.161	3.570	0.005	2.899	12.529	0.000
Positive Life Events	-0.501	0.354	-1.415	0.187	-1.290	0.288	0.154

Table 1825: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_BodilyFear vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	11.263	2.836	3.971	0.003	4.944	17.583	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.797	0.344	-2.320	0.043	-1.563	-0.032	0.329

Table 1826: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MAGE	-0.963 0.053	$3.038 \\ 0.092$	-0.317 0.581	0	-7.583 -0.146	$5.656 \\ 0.253$	0.000

Table 1827: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-1.462	1.926	-0.759	0.463	-5.658	2.735	0.000
PAGE	0.063	0.053	1.191	0.257	-0.053	0.179	0.098

Table 1828: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept MEDUY	1.628 -0.050	$4.245 \\ 0.253$	0.383 -0.199	0	-7.621 -0.601	$10.877 \\ 0.500$	0.000

Table 1829: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.287	2.272	1.007	0.334	-2.662	7.237	0.000
PEDUY	-0.092	0.137	-0.672	0.515	-0.391	0.207	0.034

Table 1830: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.250	0.524	2.385	0.036	0.097	2.403	0.000
${\bf Income.code.LOW}$	-0.917	1.003	-0.914	0.381	-3.125	1.292	0.058
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-1.250	1.003	-1.246	0.239	-3.459	0.959	0.108

Table 1831: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0	0.850	0.000	1.000	-1.852	1.852	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	1	0.959	1.043	0.317	-1.089	3.089	0.077

Table 1832: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.6	1.209	1.324	0.210	-1.033	4.233	0.000
SEX	-0.6	0.840	-0.714	0.489	-2.430	1.230	0.038

Table 1833: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-25.649	13.570	-1.890	0.083	-55.215	3.916	0.000
GESTAGEBIRTH	0.096	0.049	1.949	0.075	-0.011	0.203	0.226

Table 1834: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-3.927	3.972	-0.989	0.0	-12.581	4.728	0.000
BW	0.001	0.001	1.192		-0.001	0.004	0.099

Table 1835: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.875	0.542	1.614	0.132	-0.306	2.056	0.000
${\bf Maternal Infection}$	-0.208	0.828	-0.252	0.806	-2.012	1.596	0.005

Table 1836: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1	0.444	2.253	0.044	0.033	1.967	0.000
MPSYCH	-1	0.959	-1.043	0.317	-3.089	1.089	0.077

Table 1837: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummedScore_StartleResponse vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	0.556 0.644	0.500 0.837	1.111 0.770		-0.534 -1.179	1.645 2.468	
VITAMINDNEO	0.044	0.007	0.770	0.450	-1.179	2.408	0.044

Table 1838: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.5	0.544	0.92	0.377	-0.696	1.696	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	1.5	1.631	0.92	0.377	-2.089	5.089	0.062
PrePregBMI.Overweight	0.5	0.876	0.57	0.580	-1.429	2.429	0.024

Table 1839: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.714	0.597	1.197	0.257	-0.599	2.028	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	0.286	0.879	0.325	0.751	-1.648	2.219	0.009

Table 1840: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.857	0.600	1.429	0.181	-0.463	2.177	0
FORMULA_1yr	-0.024	0.883	-0.027	0.979	-1.967	1.919	0

Table 1841: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.889	0.510	1.743	0.107	-0.222	2.00	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	-0.289	0.853	-0.339	0.741	-2.148	1.57	0.009

Table 1842: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.900	0.501	1.798	0.100	-0.202	2.002	0.000
$FEVER_1yr$	-0.233	1.042	-0.224	0.827	-2.527	2.060	0.004

Table 1843: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.286	0.623	2.065	0.069	-0.123	2.694	0.000
DAYCARE	-0.786	1.033	-0.761	0.466	-3.122	1.550	0.055

Table 1844: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs CURBRFEED_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.429	0.57	0.751	0.468	-0.827	1.684	0.000
CURBRFEED_1yr	0.905	0.84	1.078	0.304	-0.943	2.753	0.088

Table 1845: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0	1.087	0.000	1.000	-2.393	2.393	0.000
$Milks_1yr$	1	1.182	0.846	0.415	-1.601	3.601	0.056

Table 1846: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FrenchFries_1yr	0.000 1.571	$0.547 \\ 0.745$	0.00 2.11		-1.203 -0.068	1.203 3.211	0.000

Table 1847: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.333	0.901	1.480	0.167	-0.649	3.316	0.000
$SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr$	-0.633	1.027	-0.617	0.550	-2.893	1.627	0.031

Table 1848: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.000	0.791	1.264	0.232	-0.742	2.742	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	-0.222	0.951	-0.234	0.820	-2.316	1.871	0.005

Table 1849: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs WHSTOTHER, df=8

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.000	1.638	0.000	1.000	-3.777	3.777	0.000
WHSTOTHER.4 months	1.667	1.892	0.881	0.404	-2.695	6.028	0.142
WHSTOTHER.5 months	0.000	1.892	0.000	1.000	-4.362	4.362	0.000
WHSTOTHER.5.5 months	0.000	2.317	0.000	1.000	-5.342	5.342	0.000
WHSTOTHER.6 months	1.200	1.794	0.669	0.522	-2.938	5.338	0.100
WHSTOTHER.7 months	0.000	2.317	0.000	1.000	-5.342	5.342	0.000

Table 1850: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs VITAMIND_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.7	0.491	1.426	0.184	-0.394	1.794	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo$	1.3	1.202	1.081	0.305	-1.379	3.979	0.096

Table 1851: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.500	0.789	1.902	0.086	-0.257	3.257	0.000
$Cereals_6mo$	-0.875	0.966	-0.906	0.386	-3.027	1.277	0.069

Table 1852: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept STATE	1.464 -0.019	1.857 0.062	0.788 -0.305	00	-2.674 -0.157	5.603 0.119	0.000 0.008

 000	0.0 - 1	0.0_0	
1.613 0.048	1.613 -0.199 0.048 0.800		

Table 1854: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.164	0.734	1.586	0.144	-0.471	2.798	0.00
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.132	0.225	-0.588	0.570	-0.633	0.368	0.03

Table 1855: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.120	0.814	2.605	0.026	0.307	3.934	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.245	0.133	-1.838	0.096	-0.542	0.052	0.235

Table 1856: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_StartleResponse vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept TotalLifeEvents	3.692 -0.369	1.015 0.123	3.636 -2.997	$0.005 \\ 0.013$	1.430 -0.643	5.954 -0.095	0.00

Table 1857: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.115	2.996	-0.038	0.970	-6.643	6.413	0.00
MAGE	0.047	0.090	0.520	0.613	-0.150	0.244	0.02

Table 1858: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PAGE, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept PAGE	-3.487 0.139	1.383 0.038	-2.521 3.629	0.0	-6.501 0.055	-0.474 0.222	0.000

Table 1859: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept MEDUY	5.186 -0.225	4.037 0.240	1.284 -0.935	v	-3.611 -0.749	13.983 0.299	0.000

Table 1860: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PEDUY, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.121	2.274	0.493		-3.834		0.000
PEDUY	0.019	0.137	0.138	0.893	-0.280	0.318	0.001

Table 1861: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Income.code, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.625	0.535	3.036	0.011	0.447	2.803	0.000
Income.code.LOW	0.042	1.025	0.041	0.968	-2.214	2.297	0.000
${\bf Income.code.MID}$	-0.958	1.025	-0.935	0.370	-3.214	1.297	0.068

Table 1862: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs OLDERSIBLINGS, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.667	0.869	1.917	0.079	-0.228	3.561	0.000
OLDERSIBLINGS	-0.303	0.981	-0.309	0.763	-2.440	1.834	0.007

Table 1863: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs SEX, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept SEX	0.222 0.889	1.156 0.803	0.192 1.107	0.00-	-2.297 -0.861	2.741 2.639	0.000

Table 1864: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs GESTAGEBIRTH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept GESTAGEBIRTH	-14.676 0.058	$14.589 \\ 0.053$	-1.006 1.104	0.334 0.291	-46.463 -0.057	17.111 0.173	0.000

Table 1865: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs BW, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.24	4.131	0.300	0.769	-7.760	10.241	0
BW	0.00	0.001	0.046	0.964	-0.003	0.003	0

Table 1866: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MaternalInfection, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.250	0.529	2.364	0.036	0.098	2.402	0.00
MaternalInfection	0.417	0.808	0.516	0.615	-1.343	2.176	0.02

Table 1867: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs MPSYCH, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.182	0.429	2.754	0.017	0.247	2.117	0.000
MPSYCH	1.152	0.927	1.242	0.238	-0.868	3.171	0.106

Table 1868: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs VITAMINDNEO, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept VITAMINDNEO	1.222 0.578	0.494 0.827	2.474 0.699	$0.029 \\ 0.498$	0.146 -1.223	2.299 2.379	0.000

Table 1869: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PrePregBMI, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.75	0.525	3.330	0.007	0.593	2.907	0.000
PrePregBMI.Obese	0.25	1.576	0.159	0.877	-3.220	3.720	0.002
PrePregBMI.Overweight	-0.95	0.847	-1.121	0.286	-2.815	0.915	0.092

Table 1870: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs ANTIBIOTIC_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.571	0.572	2.746	0.019	0.312	2.831	0.000
ANTIBIOTIC_1yr	-0.071	0.842	-0.085	0.934	-1.925	1.782	0.001

Table 1871: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	2.143	0.506	4.238	0.001	1.030	3.256	0.000
FORMULA_1yr	-1.310	0.744	-1.759	0.106	-2.948	0.329	0.205

Table 1872: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FORMULA_6mo, df=12

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.333	0.502	2.657	0.021	0.240	2.427	0.000
FORMULA_6mo	0.267	0.840	0.318	0.756	-1.563	2.096	0.008

Table 1873: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FEVER_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept FEVER_1yr	1.500 0.167	0.478 0.996	3.136 0.167	0.000	0.447 -2.025	2.553 2.358	

Table 1874: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs DAYCARE, df=9

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.143	0.498	2.296	0.047		00	0.000
DAYCARE	0.107	0.825	0.130	0.900	-1.760	1.974	0.002

Table 1875: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score EscapeBehavior vs CURBRFEED 1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.429	0.57	2.505	0.029	0.173	2.684	0.000
$CURBRFEED_1yr$	0.238	0.84	0.284	0.782	-1.610	2.086	0.007

Table 1876: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Milks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Milks_1yr	3.000 -1.727	0.958 1.041	3.132 -1.659	$0.010 \\ 0.125$	0.892 -4.019		0.000 0.187

Table 1877: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs FrenchFries_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.167	0.599	1.947	0.077	-0.152	2.485	0.000
$FrenchFries_1yr$	0.690	0.816	0.846	0.416	-1.107	2.488	0.056

Table 1878: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.333	0.770	0.433	0.673	-1.361	2.028	0.00
SweetFoodsDrinks_1yr	1.567	0.878	1.784	0.102	-0.366	3.499	0.21

Table 1879: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PeanutButter_1yr, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.250	0.750	1.667	0.124	-0.401	2.901	0.000
PeanutButter_1yr	0.417	0.901	0.462	0.653	-1.567	2.401	0.017

Table 1880: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs WHSTOTHER, df=8 $\,$

Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
4.000	1.252	3.196	0.013	1.114	6.886	0.000
-3.667	1.445	-2.537	0.035	-7.000	-0.334	0.306
-1.667	1.445	-1.153	0.282	-5.000	1.666	0.063
-4.000	1.770	-2.260	0.054	-8.082	0.082	0.143
-2.600	1.371	-1.896	0.095	-5.762	0.562	0.210
-3.000	1.770	-1.695	0.129	-7.082	1.082	0.081
	4.000 -3.667 -1.667 -4.000 -2.600	4.000 1.252 -3.667 1.445 -1.667 1.445 -4.000 1.770 -2.600 1.371	4.000 1.252 3.196 -3.667 1.445 -2.537 -1.667 1.445 -1.153 -4.000 1.770 -2.260 -2.600 1.371 -1.896	4.000 1.252 3.196 0.013 -3.667 1.445 -2.537 0.035 -1.667 1.445 -1.153 0.282 -4.000 1.770 -2.260 0.054 -2.600 1.371 -1.896 0.095	4.000 1.252 3.196 0.013 1.114 -3.667 1.445 -2.537 0.035 -7.000 -1.667 1.445 -1.153 0.282 -5.000 -4.000 1.770 -2.260 0.054 -8.082 -2.600 1.371 -1.896 0.095 -5.762	4.000 1.252 3.196 0.013 1.114 6.886 -3.667 1.445 -2.537 0.035 -7.000 -0.334 -1.667 1.445 -1.153 0.282 -5.000 1.666 -4.000 1.770 -2.260 0.054 -8.082 0.082 -2.600 1.371 -1.896 0.095 -5.762 0.562

Table 1881: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score EscapeBehavior vs VITAMIND 6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.5	0.495	3.030	0.013	0.397	2.603	0.000
$VITAMIND_6mo$	0.5	1.212	0.412	0.689	-2.201	3.201	0.015

Table 1882: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs Cereals_6mo, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept Cereals_6mo	1.75 -0.25	$0.787 \\ 0.963$	2.225 -0.259	0.000	-0.003 -2.397	3.503 1.897	0.000 0.006

Table 1883: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs STATE, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.191	1.640	-0.117	0.909	-3.844	3.462	0.000
STATE	0.056	0.055	1.014	0.335	-0.067	0.178	0.085

Table 1884: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs TRAIT, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> \mid \! t \mid)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-1.573		-1.338	-	-4.194		0.00
TRAIT	0.092	0.035	2.652	0.024	0.015	0.170	0.39

Table 1885: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs NegativeLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	1.994	0.650	3.069	0.012	0.546	3.441	0.000
${\bf Negative Life Events}$	-0.264	0.199	-1.328	0.214	-0.707	0.179	0.138

Table 1886: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs PositiveLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.604	0.883	1.816	0.099	-0.364	3.573	0.000
PositiveLifeEvents	-0.052	0.145	-0.357	0.729	-0.374	0.271	0.011

Table 1887: mask_vs_cvrt_yr1: MaskSummed-Score_EscapeBehavior vs TotalLifeEvents, df=10

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	2.944	1.205	2.444	0.035	0.260	5.628	0.000
Total Life Events	-0.208	0.146	-1.423	0.185	-0.533	0.117	0.156

Association analysis between mask task and diversity using (linear mixed effect model for repeated measures)

Table 1888: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1 episode	10.5457130 -0.4046024 -1.8180175	$\begin{array}{c} 1.287800 \\ 3.060575 \\ 0.369224 \end{array}$	8.1889359 -0.1321982 -4.9238876	8.022 -6.403 -2.542	13.070 5.594 -1.094	0.000 0.001 0.273

Table 1889: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.2 episode	10.556442 4.814836 -1.811101	1.2631997 6.0397736 0.3691015	8.3569067 0.7971882 -4.9067826		13.032 16.653 -1.088	0.000 0.038 0.262

Table 1890: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.190160	1.2070575	8.442150	7.824	12.556	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	14.016225	6.4856721	2.161106	1.305	26.728	0.183
episode	-1.810542	0.3689199	-4.907683	-2.534	-1.087	0.222

Table 1891: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.970719	1.2280951	8.933118	8.564	13.378	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	17.509805	8.7560700	1.999733	0.348	34.671	0.168
episode	-1.816444	0.3682959	-4.932023	-2.538	-1.095	0.228

Table 1892: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.295996	1.2529983	8.217087	7.840	12.752	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	-7.642296	5.6698719	-1.347878	-18.755	3.470	0.086
episode	-1.799131	0.3697218	-4.866175	-2.524	-1.074	0.245

Table 1893: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.618800	1.2660858	8.3871092	8.137	13.100	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-5.651455	7.2439543	-0.7801617	-19.849	8.546	0.036
episode	-1.815164	0.3689929	-4.9192400	-2.538	-1.092	0.263

Table 1894: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.5633098	1.3022369	8.1116654	8.011	10.110	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.1713492	7.4623610	0.0229618	-14.455	14.797	0.000
episode	-1.8196950	0.3693151	-4.9272152	-2.544	-1.096	0.274

Table 1895: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	11.106059	1.3237854	8.389622	8.511	13.701	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-18.503545	14.7405098	-1.255285	-47.394	10.387	0.069
episode	-1.837076	0.3684773	-4.985588	-2.559	-1.115	0.260

Table 1896: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) chao1 episode	9.3775824 0.0129363 -1.8256764	3.6765206 0.0374426 0.3690262	2.5506677 0.3454975 -4.9472817	-0.060	16.583 0.086 -1.102	0.000 0.007 0.274

Table 1897: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus	8.5531704 0.0367867		2.0265111 0.5007535	-0.107	0.101	0.015
episode	-1.8293023	0.3690167	-4.9572338	-2.553	-1.106	0.27

Table 1898: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	5.583832	5.7429260	0.9722975	-5.672	16.840	0.00

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
PD_whole_tree	1.066819	1.1997713	0.8891854	-1.285	3.418	0.04
episode	-1.847344	0.3694357	-5.0004481	-2.571	-1.123	0.27

Table 1899: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	2.578544 2.940846	4.3564763 1.5462645	0.5918874 1.9019036	-5.96 -0.09	11.117 5.971	0.000
episode	-1.837556	0.3686557	-4.9844787	-2.56	-1.115	0.237

Table 1900: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3059492	0.3457175	0.8849686	-0.372	0.984	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	0.1026621	0.8343528	0.1230440	-1.533	1.738	0.001
episode	0.5815468	0.0971786	5.9843066	0.391	0.772	0.357

Table 1901: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.2 episode	0.3049927 -1.6840505 0.5785141	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3364630 \\ 1.6260219 \\ 0.0971794 \end{array}$	0.9064672 -1.0356875 5.9530515		0.964 1.503 0.769	0.000 0.058 0.334

Table 1902: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.3 episode	0.4193028 -4.3316827 0.5774527	1.6916972	1.325171 -2.560554 5.944375	-7.647	2.000	0.==0

Table 1903: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.4	0.2011897 -4.2600000		0.6032314 -1.7377331		0.000	0.000 0.132
episode	0.5820616	0.0969586	6.0031956	0.392	0.772	0.311

Table 1904: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.3679455	0.3377233	1.089488	-0.294	1.030	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.9261659	1.5608533			4.985	0.071
episode	0.5775102	0.0972714	5.937101	0.387	0.768	0.328

Table 1905: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2818421	0.3359878	0.8388464	-0.377	0.940	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	2.1893215	1.9361563	1.1307566	-1.605	5.984	0.067
episode	0.5793561	0.0971594	5.9629461	0.389	0.770	0.332

Table 1906: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.3 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2965191 \\ 0.1026352 \\ 0.5822879 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3497053 \\ 2.0360857 \\ 0.0971894 \end{array}$		-3.888	4.093	0.000 0.000 0.358

Table 1907: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.4 episode		$\begin{array}{c} 0.3568749 \\ 4.0370886 \\ 0.0970157 \end{array}$	1.1489442	-3.274	12.551	0.056

Table 1908: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.3643649	1.0034926	0.3630968	-1.602	2.331	0.000
chao1	-0.0006988	0.0102364	-0.0682661	-0.021	0.019	0.000
episode	0.5823789	0.0971732	5.9932040	0.392	0.773	0.358

Table 1909: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.7007647	1.1531778	0.6076814	-1.559	2.961	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
observed_otus episode	-0.0073111 0.5838391		-0.3638390 6.0094788			0.000

Table 1910: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.3308553	1.5762958	0.8442928	-1.759	4.420	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.2205286	0.3293919	-0.6695022	-0.866	0.425	0.022
episode	0.5875015	0.0972916	6.0385627	0.397	0.778	0.355

Table 1911: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) shannon episode	2.5842457 -0.8403423 0.5864097	1.1739994 0.4169440 0.0970337	2.201233 -2.015480 6.043359		4.885 -0.023 0.777	0.000 0.152 0.307

Table 1912: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1 episode	0.1631754 -0.2019350 0.4771338	0.3131588 0.7943430 0.0816027	0.5210629 -0.2542163 5.8470365	-1.759	0.777 1.355 0.637	0.000 0.004 0.346

Table 1913: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.2 episode	0.1770810 -1.2622916 0.4745794	1.5768534	0.5778382 -0.8005130 5.8130060	-	0.778 1.828 0.635	0.047

Table 1914: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2654245	0.294516	0.9012227	-0.312	0.843	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-3.3467152	1.727532	-1.9372807	-6.733	0.039	0.191
episode	0.4739025	0.081680	5.8019388	0.314	0.634	0.278

Table 1915: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0835324	0.3014803	0.2770742	-0.507	0.674	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-3.9669193	2.3480606	-1.6894450	-8.569	0.635	0.161
episode	0.4755718	0.0815443	5.8320684	0.316	0.635	0.291

Table 1916: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1		0.3106077 1.5312428			0.0-1	0.000
episode	0.4747723	0.0816650			0.635	0.010

Table 1917: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.2 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1600963 \\ 1.6285672 \\ 0.4750824 \end{array}$			-2.060	5.317	0.000 0.054 0.327

Table 1918: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.3 episode	0.1501673 0.7224134 0.4777739		0.4743104 0.3727185 5.8556929	-3.076	0.771 4.521 0.638	0.000 0.010 0.345

Table 1919: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0161702	0.3190864	0.0506764	-0.609	0.642	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	5.5476811	3.7428426	1.4822106	-1.788	12.884	0.109
episode	0.4793029	0.0814716	5.8830647	0.320	0.639	0.313

Table 1920: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.6590185	0.9477203	0.6953723	-1.198	2.517	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
chao1	-0.0052513	0.000	-0.5407433	0.0	0.011	0.022
episode	0.4780523	0.0815679	5.8607920	0.318	0.638	0.342

Table 1921: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.0030337	1.0834517	0.9257761	-1.120	3.127	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0150866	0.0189343	-0.7967901	-0.052	0.022	0.045
episode	0.4788755	0.0815508	5.8721158	0.319	0.639	0.334

Table 1922: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) PD_whole_tree episode	2.0464542 -0.3995723 0.4831065	0.3033394			0.195	0.00.

Table 1923: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) shannon	2.3098732 -0.7844507	1.1179241 0.3981006	1.0.0101	-1.565	0.00-	$0.000 \\ 0.185$
$_{ m episode}$	0.4783106	0.0816081	5.861064	0.318	0.638	0.284

Table 1924: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0948670 \\ 0.1738322 \\ 0.1078013 \end{array}$	0.0-000	4.4149697 0.2687924 1.7576902	-1.094	1.441	0.000 0.009 0.046

Table 1925: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.0857433	0.2454264	4.4239055	0.605	1.567	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.4199405	1.3128168	-0.3198775	-2.993	2.153	0.014
episode	0.1080529	0.0612991	1.7627152	-0.012	0.228	0.046

Table 1926: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.1620678	0.2310514	5.029478	0.709	1.615	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	-2.8569266	1.3959781	-2.046541	-5.593	-0.121	0.308
episode	0.1069762	0.0613880	1.742625	-0.013	0.227	0.031

Table 1927: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.0447841	0.2478633	4.2151630	0.559	1.531	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.7077676	2.0386011	-0.8377154	-5.703	2.288	0.084
episode	0.1090459	0.0612634	1.7799532	-0.011	0.229	0.043

Table 1928: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1 episode	1.1476163 1.7990727 0.1050446	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2387038 \\ 1.1922586 \\ 0.0613591 \end{array}$	1.508962	0.680 -0.538 -0.015	1.615 4.136 0.225	0.000 0.199 0.035

Table 1929: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.2 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0718526 \\ 1.5102482 \\ 0.1072408 \end{array}$		4.4352358 0.9876567 1.7489256	-1.487		0.000 0.113 0.040

Table 1930: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.0665637	0.2509069	4.2508342	0.575	1.558	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.5702378	1.5804309	0.3608116	-2.527	3.668	0.016
episode	0.1090889	0.0613207	1.7789893	-0.011	0.229	0.046

Table 1931: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.9405178	0.2497276	3.766175	0.451	1.430	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
unifrac.PC.4 episode		2.9882112 0.0612206			10.951 0.231	-

Table 1932: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.4408831	0.7719987	1.8664319	-0.072	2.954	0.000
chao1	-0.0038491	0.0079302	-0.4853781	-0.019	0.012	0.030
episode	0.1092445	0.0613121	1.7817755	-0.011	0.229	0.046

Table 1933: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.9338847	0.8724071	2.216723	0.224	3.644	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0154331	0.0152683	-1.010800	-0.045	0.014	0.113
episode	0.1101486	0.0612873	1.797249	-0.010	0.230	0.043

Table 1934: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) PD_whole_tree episode		1.1711360 0.2449173 0.0613246	2.297109 -1.397592 1.845430		0.138	0.000 0.170 0.042

Table 1935: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	3.4142385	0.8220838		1.803	5.025	0.000
shannon	-0.8549025	0.2926277		-1.428	-0.281	0.411
episode	0.1091387	0.0613233		-0.011	0.229	0.028

Table 1936: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1 episode	0.1052144 0.1302672 0.0409398	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1057145 \\ 0.2432790 \\ 0.0314555 \end{array}$		-0.347	0.312 0.607 0.103	0.000 0.018 0.025

Table 1937: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0980469	0.1047960	0.9355977	-0.107	0.303	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.2708467	0.4882768	-0.5546991	-1.228	0.686	0.022
episode	0.0413473	0.0314267	1.3156762	-0.020	0.103	0.026

Table 1938: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.3 episode	0.1107179 -0.5234248 0.0420770	0.1049235 0.5758170 0.0314287	1.0552246 -0.9090124 1.3388080		0.316 0.605 0.104	0.000 0.054 0.026

Table 1939: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

timate Std. Err	or t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
360026 0.77715	63 -0.5610231	-1.959	1.087	0.000
	865415 0.10678 860026 0.77715	865415 0.1067893 0.8103948 360026 0.7771563 -0.5610231	865415 0.1067893 0.8103948 -0.123 860026 0.7771563 -0.5610231 -1.959	865415 0.1067893 0.8103948 -0.123 0.296 860026 0.7771563 -0.5610231 -1.959 1.087

Table 1940: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0975979 \\ 0.0097494 \\ 0.0418986 \end{array}$	00-0-0	0.0201922	-0.111 -0.937 -0.020	0.306 0.956 0.103	0.000 0.000 0.027

Table 1941: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)		0.1054977 0.5914930			0.303 1.278	0.000
episode	0.0418938		1.3343639		0.103	0.003 0.027

Table 1942: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0975750	0.1074069	0.9084609	-0.113	0.308	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
unifrac.PC.3 episode	0.0000	0.000000=	-0.0149412 1.3325057		1.165 0.104	$0.000 \\ 0.027$

Table 1943: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0209286	0.1022180	0.2047451	-0.179	0.221	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	2.7292216	1.0498887	2.5995341	0.671	4.787	0.229
episode	0.0421804	0.0313213	1.3466986	-0.019	0.104	0.021

Table 1944: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.2959167	0.2922442	1.0125665	-0.277	0.869	0.000
chao1	-0.0021542	0.0029659	-0.7262996	-0.008	0.004	0.036
episode	0.0426482	0.0314117	1.3577161	-0.019	0.104	0.027

Table 1945: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus episode	0.5054312 -0.0074314 0.0430043		1.555700 -1.318863 1.368788	-0.018	0.00-	0.000 0.102 0.025

Table 1946: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) PD_whole_tree	0.6687787 -0.1223044		1.480533 -1.296754		$1.554 \\ 0.063$	$0.000 \\ 0.092$
episode	0.0449932	0.0314306	1.431511	-0.017	0.107	0.028

Table 1947: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.7086143	0.3490969	2.029850	0.024	1.393	0.000
shannon	-0.2247941	0.1236808	-1.817534	-0.467	0.018	0.156
episode	0.0426799	0.0315097	1.354503	-0.019	0.104	0.023

Table 1948: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1203174	0.1837454	0.6548046	-0.240	0.480	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	0.1110156	0.3753795	0.2957424	-0.625	0.847	0.003
episode	0.1875426	0.0604641	3.1017207	0.069	0.306	0.128

Table 1949: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1143643	0.1820169	0.6283170	-	0.471	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-0.3841728	0.7405262	-0.5187835	-1.836	1.067	0.011
episode	0.1877745	0.0603639	3.1107090	0.069	0.306	0.128

Table 1950: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1639153	0.1729806	0.0 = . 0 0 0 0		0.503	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3 episode	-1.8921126 0.1878448	0.7543042 0.0603471	-2.5084210 3.1127404	-3.371 0.070	-0.414 0.306	00-
ервече	0.1010110	0.0000111	0.112,101	0.010	0.000	0.100

Table 1951: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.4 episode	0.0805830 -1.3227087 0.1901058	1.1439932	0.4417025 -1.1562207 3.1539958	-0.277 -3.565 0.072	0.919	0.000 0.048 0.126

Table 1952: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1 episode	0.1549956 1.0948449 0.1836606	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1785959 \\ 0.6732581 \\ 0.0606524 \end{array}$		-0.225	2.414	0.000 0.078 0.113

Table 1953: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1138912	0.1828795	0.6227665	-0.245	0.472	0.00

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
unifrac.PC.2 episode			-0.0830053 3.1265223		1.684 0.307	0.00

Table 1954: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1097669	0.1856485	0.5912619	-0.254	0.474	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	0.0930075	0.9130508	0.1018645	-1.697	1.883	0.000
episode	0.1889683	0.0604397	3.1265614	0.071	0.307	0.131

Table 1955: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)		0.1909730			0.453	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	1.1275742	1.8934020	0.5955282	-2.583	4.839	0.012
episode	0.1908120	0.0604367	3.1572197	0.072	0.309	0.131

Table 1956: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS chao1, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
$\overline{\text{(Intercept)}}$	0.3403352	0.4556506		0.000	1.233	0.000
chao1	-0.0024770	0.0045566	-0.5436170	-0.011	0.006	0.012
episode	0.1903831	0.0603381	3.1552727	0.072	0.309	0.131

Table 1957: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus episode	0.3037912 -0.0034971 0.1902114	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5235522 \\ 0.0090015 \\ 0.0604024 \end{array}$	0.5802499 -0.3885037 3.1490677	-0.021	0.0	0.000 0.006 0.132

Table 1958: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.9731123	0.6993193	1.391514	-0.398	2.344	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.1849735	0.1456649	-1.269857	-0.470	0.101	0.052
episode	0.1965666	0.0605145	3.248259	0.078	0.315	0.133

Table 1959: mask_ind_vs_diversity_neo: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS shannon, df=47

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.0336554	0.5412494	1.909758	-0.027	2.094	0.000
shannon	-0.3401159	0.1901406	-1.788760	-0.713	0.033	0.092
episode	0.1932651	0.0605233	3.193233	0.075	0.312	0.123

Table 1960: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1	$10.834792 \\ 6.648968$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2961117 \\ 2.0893050 \end{array}$	8.359459 3.182382	8.294 2.554	1011	$0.000 \\ 0.326$
episode	-1.563812	0.4197325	-3.725735	-2.386	-0.741	0.148

Table 1961: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	11.262562		7.405729	8.282	14.243	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	-8.397001		-1.180530	-22.338	5.544	0.101
episode	-1.595379		-3.799708	-2.418	-0.772	0.206

Table 1962: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.3 episode	10.8260045 -0.3749221 -1.5726140	1.5596281 13.9038082 0.4209267		-27.626	13.883 26.876 -0.748	0.000 0.000 0.222

Table 1963: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.792298	1.5151190	7.1230697	7.823	13.762	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-1.962713	11.8264798	-0.1659592	-25.142	21.217	0.003
episode	-1.571096	0.4208785	-3.7328973	-2.396	-0.746	0.222

Table 1964: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.760325	1.4840980	7.2504142	7.852	13.669	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
unifrac.PC.1	-8.697687	9.5669427	-0.9091397	-27.449	10.053	0.062
episode	-1.580198	0.4205388	-3.7575560	-2.404	-0.756	0.211

Table 1965: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.852409	1.5107335	7.1835365	7.891	13.813	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-2.698408	9.5763845	-0.2817773	-21.468	16.071	0.007
episode	-1.566220	0.4216037	-3.7149096	-2.393	-0.740	0.219

Table 1966: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	10.882703	1.493850	7.2850026	7.955	13.811	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	5.680478	8.047930	0.7058309	-10.093	21.454	0.043
episode	-1.563046	0.421218	-3.7107757	-2.389	-0.737	0.210

Table 1967: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.4 episode	10.274555 13.916678 -1.563302	0.0.0000=	6.886740 1.409900 -3.716204	-5.430	33.263	0.000 0.141 0.189

Table 1968: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.8018264	4.2354778	2.5503206	2.500	19.103	0.000
chao1	0.0000538	0.0151149	0.0035613	-0.030	0.030	0.000
episode	-1.5730753	0.4209225	-3.7372089	-2.398	-0.748	0.223

Table 1969: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus	10.0720800 0.0047801	4.3779409 0.0264301	0.20000.	-0.047	0.057	0.000 0.003
episode	-1.5715465	0.4208197	-3.7344891	-2.396	-0.747	0.222

Table 1970: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) PD_whole_tree episode	7.4175723 0.3488081 -1.5698331	0.7012557	1.061457 0.497405 -3.730361	-1.026	21.114 1.723 -0.745	0.022

Table 1971: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	7.7998046	9.3064095	0.838111	-10.440	26.040	0.00
shannon	0.7112817	2.1671018	0.328218	-3.536	4.959	0.01
episode	-1.5703985	0.4208859	-3.731174	-2.395	-0.745	0.22

Table 1972: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2512338 \\ -1.6512911 \\ 0.5282297 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3642136 \\ 0.6403371 \\ 0.1100758 \end{array}$	-2.5787841	-0.463 -2.906 0.312	0.965 -0.396 0.744	0.000 0.276 0.232

Table 1973: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.2 episode	0.1813224 1.4924224 0.5307237	2.0435647	0.4304824 0.7303035 4.8099195		1.007 5.498 0.747	0.000 0.043 0.309

Table 1974: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2264911	3.8553765	0.5347709	-0.604	1.057	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3	1.2300042		0.3190361	-6.326	8.786	0.008
episode	0.5259990		4.7614467	0.309	0.743	0.315

Table 1975: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2583281	0.4125595	0.6261595	-0.550	1.067	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
wunifrac.PC.4 episode	0.==00.00	0.0_0000	-0.0650086 4.7724336	0.,00	6.278 0.743	0.000

Table 1976: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2681843 \\ 1.2103758 \\ 0.5279559 \end{array}$		0.4429221		1.070 6.566 0.744	0.000 0.016 0.314

Table 1977: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.2		$0.4102889 \\ 2.6645357$			1.052 6.187	0.000 0.011
episode	0.5243920	0.1106262	4.7402162	0.308	0.741	0.312

Table 1978: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.3 episode	0.2387171 -1.8706630 0.5234467	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4039971 \\ 2.2296287 \\ 0.1105302 \end{array}$	0.5908881 -0.8390020 4.7357790	-0.553 -6.241 0.307	1.031 2.499 0.740	0.000 0.059 0.296

Table 1979: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.4 episode	0.4027099 -3.6741290 0.5249018	0.4080601 2.7905385 0.1103461	0.9868886 -1.3166380 4.7568684	-9.143	1.795	0.000 0.128 0.276

Table 1980: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1369797	1.1780624	0.1162754	-2.172	2.446	0.000
chao1	0.0004736	0.0042194	0.1122475	-0.008	0.009	0.001
episode	0.5269427	0.1104202	4.7721574	0.311	0.743	0.318

Table 1981: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus	0.3614447 -0.0006464	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2205894 \\ 0.0073938 \end{array}$	-0.0874228	-0.015	2.754 0.014	0.001
egisode	0.5264326	0.1104042	4.7682310	0.310	0.743	0.318

Table 1982: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.0235617		0.5227473	_	4.861	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.0783062	0.1967505	-0.3979973	-0.464	0.307	0.014
episode	0.5260774	0.1104036	4.7650366	0.310	0.742	0.313

Table 1983: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) shannon episode	1.2382296 -0.2304924 0.5257709	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5923469 \\ 0.6040894 \\ 0.1104380 \end{array}$	0.4776481 -0.3815534 4.7607792	0.0 -0	$6.319 \\ 0.954 \\ 0.742$	0.000 0.013 0.313

Table 1984: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1 episode	0.200822 -1.525087 0.392394	0.00,=000	0.6364318 -2.5112974 4.5671109		0.819 -0.335 0.561	0.000 0.355 0.193

Table 1985: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1019601 2.0128561		0.2785807		0.819	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2 episode	0.3968088	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8839523 \\ 0.0858461 \end{array}$	$1.0684220 \\ 4.6223284$	0.229	$5.705 \\ 0.565$	0.122 0.269

Table 1986: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2053312	0.3763552	0.5455782	-0.532	0.943	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
wunifrac.PC.3	0.0312222	3.6474397	0.0085600	-7.118	7.180	0.000
episode	0.3936821	0.0859753	4.5790132	0.225	0.562	0.302

Table 1987: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1984059	0.3634986	0.5458232	-0.514	0.911	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-0.6793603	3.1365187	-0.2165969	-6.827	5.468	0.007
episode	0.3941003	0.0859284	4.5863809	0.226	0.563	0.300

Table 1988: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.2178453	0.3578957	0.6086838	-0.484	0.919	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.7401682	2.5483437	0.6828624	-3.254	6.735	0.054
episode	0.3947056	0.0859326	4.5931992	0.226	0.563	0.287

Table 1989: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.2 episode	0.2083746 -0.1441713 0.3938925		0.5724761 -0.0568121 4.5803881		0.922 4.830 0.562	0.000 0.000 0.302

Table 1990: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.3 episode	0.1898344 -1.2904159 0.3923553		0.5289151 -0.6010303 4.5614227		0.893 2.918 0.561	0.000 0.048 0.286

Table 1991: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.3452892	0.3580076	0.9644747	-0.356	1.047	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-3.5918668	2.6295779	-1.3659481	-8.746	1.562	0.192
episode	0.3916690	0.0859896	4.5548422	0.223	0.560	0.242

Table 1992: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	-0.1981929	1.0982546	-0.1804617	-2.351	1.954	0.000
chao1	0.0015477	0.0039681	0.3900379	-0.006	0.009	0.020
episode	0.3943331	0.0859343	4.5887762	0.226	0.563	0.297

Table 1993: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus episode	0.0988734 0.0006903 0.3938588	0.0070034	0.0862147 0.0985638 4.5828880	-0.013	2.347 0.014 0.562	0.000 0.001 0.302

Table 1994: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) PD whole tree	0.7273987 -0.0535388		0.3921232 -0.2863556		4.363 0.313	0.000
episode	0.3935250		4.5784089	0.225	0.562	0.298

Table 1995: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) shannon episode	0.3144632 -0.0255631 0.3937096	$\begin{array}{c} 2.4653810 \\ 0.5755694 \\ 0.0859514 \end{array}$	0.1275516 -0.0444136 4.5806057		5.147 1.103 0.562	0.000 0.000 0.302

Table 1996: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.223243	0.2518260	4.8574907	0.730	1.717	0.000
wunifrac.PC.1	-1.256295	0.5140449	-2.4439399	-2.264	-0.249	0.506
episode	0.009491	0.0621780	0.1526427	-0.112	0.131	0.000

Table 1997: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.1602694	0.2974612	3.9005735	0.577	1.743	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
wunifrac.PC.2 episode	1.3267567 0.0115865		0.8291217 0.1863270		4.463 0.133	

Table 1998: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.2124041	0.3029093	4.0025314	0.619	1.806	0.000
wunifrac. PC. 3	0.5691822	3.0413469	0.1871481	-5.392	6.530	0.008
episode	0.0097675	0.0622354	0.1569446	-0.112	0.132	0.001

Table 1999: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.2049261	0.2860820	4.2118201	0.644	1.766	0.000
wunifrac.PC.4	-2.1846391	2.5570268	-0.8543669	-7.196	2.827	0.163
episode	0.0100234	0.0621934	0.1611649	-0.112	0.132	0.000

Table 2000: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1 episode	1.2344291 0.8786708 0.0103839	2.1546541	4.2603166 0.4078013 0.1668979	-3.344	5.102	0.000 0.038 0.001

Table 2001: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.2	1.2437784 -0.9559697		4.2737598 -0.4536751	0.0.0	1.011	0.000
episode	0.0105056		0.1688643	0.000	0.132	0.010

Table 2002: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	1.2251776	0.2916485	4.2008709	0.654	1.797	0.000
unifrac.PC.3	-0.2483689	1.8306455	-0.1356728	-3.836	3.340	0.005
episode	0.0097924	0.0622173	0.1573903	-0.112	0.132	0.001

Table 2003: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.4	1.3395248 -2.8859921	0.2891412 2.2247436	4.6327708 -1.2972246		1.906 1.474	0.000
episode	0.0088587	0.0622249	0.1423662	-0.113	0.131	0.00

Table 2004: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) chao1 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3493500 \\ 0.0033708 \\ 0.0100344 \end{array}$	0.000=0==	0.3965963 1.0552255 0.1613089	-0.003	2.076 0.010 0.132	0.000 0.209 0.000

Table 2005: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5115961 \\ 0.0046214 \\ 0.0096722 \end{array}$	0.0057113	0.5489951 0.8091730 0.1554635	-0.007	2.338 0.016 0.132	0.144

Table 2006: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) PD_whole_tree episode	0.0508298	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5508055 \\ 0.1565492 \\ 0.0622149 \end{array}$	0.3246892	-0.256	3.774 0.358 0.132	0.028

Table 2007: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.4339575	2.0497949	0.2117078	-3.584	4.451	0.000
shannon	0.1876021	0.4789803	0.3916698	-0.751	1.126	0.039
episode	0.0098724	0.0622151	0.1586826	-0.112	0.132	0.000

Table 2008: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1083252	0.1097357	0.9871461	-0.107	0.323	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
wunifrac.PC.1 episode	-0.4150305 0.0327686		-1.8542222 1.2076154		0.024 0.086	

Table 2009: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0701510	0.1196761	0.586174	-0.164	0.305	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	0.7822824	0.6252939	1.251063	-0.443	2.008	0.228
episode	0.0336424	0.0271063	1.241127	-0.019	0.087	0.024

Table 2010: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1045923		0.8395897		0.010	0.000
wunifrac.PC.3 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1981926 \\ 0.0327509 \end{array}$		$0.1614009 \\ 1.2061932$		$\frac{2.605}{0.086}$	0.000

Table 2011: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.4 episode	0.0998245 -0.9755642 0.0327286	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1172673 \\ 1.0218108 \\ 0.0271371 \end{array}$	0.8512561 -0.9547405 1.2060462	0.200	0.330 1.027 0.086	0.000 0.165 0.024

Table 2012: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.1 episode	0.1136726 0.5128041 0.0330636	0.000=.00	0.9573545 0.5940247 1.2183639	-1.179	0.346 2.205 0.086	0.000 0.065 0.028

Table 2013: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.1259992	0.1159200	1.086949	-0.101	0.353	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.9546482	0.8113340	-1.176640	-2.545	0.636	0.203
episode	0.0329619	0.0271396	1.214530	-0.020	0.086	0.024

Table 2014: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)		0.1200408	0.9037949		0.344	0.000
unifrac.PC.3 episode	$-0.1271952 \\ 0.0327419$	$0.7370285 \\ 0.0271443$	$-0.1725784 \\ 1.2062153$		1.317 0.086	0.007 0.029

Table 2015: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.4	-0.2165834	0.000000	0.9472120 -0.2260656	-2.094	0.363 1.661	$0.000 \\ 0.012$
episode	0.0328736	0.0271347	1.2114951	-0.020	0.086	0.029

Table 2016: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) chao1	-0.2863479 0.0015215	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3513705 \\ 0.0012702 \end{array}$	-0.8149458 1.1979028	-0.001	$0.402 \\ 0.004$	$0.000 \\ 0.216$
$_{ m episode}$	0.0326283	0.0271503	1.2017643	-0.021	0.086	0.023

Table 2017: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus episode	$\begin{array}{c} -0.2067129 \\ 0.0020441 \\ 0.0325525 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3738283 \\ 0.0022853 \\ 0.0271514 \end{array}$	0.8944439	-0.939 -0.002 -0.021	0.526 0.007 0.086	0.000 0.144 0.025

Table 2018: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0360745	0.6279552	0.0574475	-1.195	1.267	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.0076161	0.0633425	0.1202360	-0.117	0.132	0.003
episode	0.0328121	0.0271397	1.2090073	-0.020	0.086	0.029

Table 2019: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	-0.3564152	0.8200587	-0.4346216	-1.964	1.251	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
shannon episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1101986 \\ 0.0326928 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1915220 \\ 0.0271472 \end{array}$	$0.5753836 \\ 1.2042785$	000	0.486 0.086	0.000

Table 2020: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.1	0.0437533 -0.6572580		0.3396228 -3.8896110	-0.209 -0.988	0.296 -0.326	0.000 0.299
episode	0.1321129	0.0460690	2.8677161	0.042	0.222	0.099

Table 2021: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0233504	0.1515682	0.1540588	-0.274	0.320	0.000
wunifrac.PC.2	0.5911316	0.6389275	0.9251937	-0.661	1.843	0.048
episode	0.1273640	0.0466555	2.7298785	0.036	0.219	0.126

Table 2022: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.3 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0134140 \\ 1.5503732 \\ 0.1234505 \end{array}$	1.1796708	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0899066 \\ 1.3142422 \\ 2.6652013 \end{array}$		0.306 3.862 0.214	0.000 0.084 0.115

Table 2023: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS wunifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) wunifrac.PC.4 episode	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0685692 \\ 0.9165615 \\ 0.1226870 \end{array}$	1.0005706	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4650701 \\ 0.9160388 \\ 2.6294358 \end{array}$		0.358 2.878 0.214	0.000 0.050 0.117

Table 2024: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.0657394	0.1374637	0.4782312	-0.204	0.335	0.000
unifrac.PC.1	1.8147872	0.7293165	2.4883397	0.385	3.244	0.209
episode	0.1277894	0.0460487	2.7750927	0.038	0.218	0.107

Table 2025: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.2, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.0584774	0.1498448	0.3902533	-0.235	0.352	0.000
unifrac.PC.2	-0.1485083	0.8587893	-0.1729275	-1.832	1.535	0.002
episode	0.1248547	0.0465821	2.6803171	0.034	0.216	0.127

Table 2026: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.3, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) unifrac.PC.3 episode	0.0562119 -0.0214596 0.1244409	0.1497154 0.7283518 0.0465530	0.3754584 -0.0294632 2.6730991		0.350 1.406 0.216	0.000 0.000 0.127

Table 2027: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS unifrac.PC.4, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)			0.7405192		0.000	0.000
unifrac.PC.4	-1.3719793	0.8449975	-1.6236489	-3.028	0.284	0.126
episode	0.1246896	0.0465778	2.6770202	0.033	0.216	0.111

Table 2028: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS chao1, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.5191845	0.3565658	1.456069	-0.180	1.218	0.000
chao1	-0.0017582	0.0012418	-1.415892	-0.004	0.001	0.100
episode	0.1211349	0.0465024	2.604916	0.030	0.212	0.109

Table 2029: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.4600961	0.3757763			1.197	0.000
$observed_otus$	-0.0025878	0.0022240	-1.163600	-0.007	0.002	0.075
episode	0.1228884	0.0464634	2.644846	0.032	0.214	0.115

Table 2030: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.6732890	0.6048995	1.113059	-0.512	1.859	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
PD_whole_tree	-0.0633124	0.0602887	-1.050153	-0.181	0.055	0.064
episode	0.1238913	0.0464299	2.668353	0.033	0.215	0.118

Table 2031: mask_ind_vs_diversity_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS shannon, df=35

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	1.0306464	0.7871469	1.309344	-0.512	2.573	0.000
shannon	-0.2296083	0.1824770	-1.258286	-0.587	0.128	0.085
episode	0.1231647	0.0464043	2.654167	0.032	0.214	0.114

Microbiome alpha diversity difference (yr1 vs neo) vs Mask

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	-0.104	0.247	-0.420	0.682	-0.647	0.440	0.000
chao1	0.002	0.001	1.532	0.154	-0.001	0.005	0.164

Table 2033: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.093	0.248	-0.375	0.715	-0.639	0.453	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.003	0.002	1.477	0.168	-0.002	0.008	0.154

Table 2034: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs PD_whole_tree, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.020	0.368	-0.055	0.957	-0.830	0.790	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.051	0.070	0.724	0.484	-0.103	0.204	0.042

Table 2035: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_StartleResponse vs shannon, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	-0.116	0.217	-0.533	0.604	-0.593	0.361	0.000
shannon	0.244	0.131	1.859	0.090	-0.045	0.534	0.224

<u>_</u>	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept chao1	0.984	0.288	3.421 -1.450		0.351	1.618 0.001	0.000

Table 2037: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept observed_otus	0.901 -0.003	0.298 0.003	3.023 -1.084	0.0==	0.245		0.000 0.089

Table 2038: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs PD_whole_tree, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.963	0.421	2.290	0.043	0.037	1.889	0.00
PD_whole_tree	-0.070	0.080	-0.878	0.399	-0.246	0.106	0.06

Table 2039: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskMaxIntensity_EscapeBehavior vs shannon, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.754	0.283	2.664	0.022	0.131	1.376	0.000
shannon	-0.098	0.172	-0.568	0.581	-0.475	0.280	0.026

Table 2040: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs chao1, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	7.323	2.458	2.979	0.013	1.913	12.734	0.000
chao1	-0.001	0.013	-0.096	0.925	-0.030	0.027	0.001

Table 2041: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.909	2.455	2.814	0.017	1.506	12.313	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.002	0.022	0.095	0.926	-0.046	0.050	0.001

Table 2042: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs PD whole tree, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	6.519	3.402	1.916	0.082	-0.968	14.007	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.120	0.645	0.186	0.856	-1.300	1.541	0.003

Table 2043: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_Latency vs shannon, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept shannon	8.059 -0.666	2.223 1.348	3.626 -0.494	0.00-	3.167 -3.634	12.952 2.301	0.00

Table 2044: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs chao1, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.416	0.665	2.13	0.057	-0.047	2.879	0.000
chao1	0.000	0.003	0.11	0.914	-0.007	0.008	0.001

Table 2045: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.524	0.664	2.294	0.042	0.062	2.986	0
$observed_otus$	0.000	0.006	-0.074	0.943	-0.013	0.013	0

Table 2046: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_FacialFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=11 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.695	0.919	1.844	0.092	-0.328	3.718	0.000
PD_whole_tree	-0.043	0.174	-0.247	0.809	-0.427	0.341	0.005

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept shannon	1.248 0.164	$0.602 \\ 0.365$	2.072 0.449	0.000	-0.078 -0.640	2.574 0.968	$0.000 \\ 0.017$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept chao1	0.908 0.001	0.640 0.003	1.419 0.401	000	-0.500 -0.006	2.316 0.009	0.000

Table 2049: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.039	0.643	1.617	0.134	-0.375	2.454	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.001	0.006	0.168	0.870	-0.012	0.014	0.002

Table 2050: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs PD_whole_tree, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	1.100	0.893	1.233	0.243	-0.864	3.065	0
PD_whole_tree	0.007	0.169	0.041	0.968	-0.366	0.380	0

Table 2051: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_VocalDistress vs shannon, df=11 $\,$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.795	0.577	1.378	0.196	-0.474	2.064	0.000
shannon	0.240	0.350	0.686	0.507	-0.530	1.010	0.038

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept	0.788	0.568	1.387	0.193	-0.463	2.039	0.000
chao1	0.003	0.003	0.996	0.341	-0.004	0.010	0.076

Table 2053: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs observed_otus, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.862	0.574	1.500	0.162	-0.402	2.126	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.004	0.005	0.841	0.418	-0.007	0.016	0.056

Table 2054: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: ageScore_BodilyFear vs PD_whole_tree, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
Intercept	0.673	0.798	0.844	0.417	-1.083	2.430	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.124	0.151	0.819	0.430	-0.209	0.457	0.053

MaskAver-

Table 2055: div_diff_vs_mask_yr1: MaskAverageScore_BodilyFear vs shannon, df=11

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
Intercept shannon pdf 2	0.718 0.403	0.504 0.306	1.424 1.318	0.182 0.214	-0.391 -0.270	1.826 1.075	0.000 0.127

Microbiome alpha diversity difference (yr1 vs neo) vs Mask with linear mixed model

Table 2056: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.793761	2.8002047	3.8546330	5.305	16.282	0.000
chao1	-0.001706	0.0135520	-0.1258821	-0.028	0.025	0.002
episode	-1.383202	0.4382171	-3.1564311	-2.242	-0.524	0.182

Table 2057: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	10.3453292	2.7809431	3.7200794	4.895	15.796	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0015803	0.0228160	0.0692637	-0.043	0.046	0.001
episode	-1.3808422	0.4382105	-3.1510931	-2.240	-0.522	0.181

Table 2058: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	9.993468	3.7370003	2.6741951	2.669	17.318	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.102103	0.6784904	0.1504856	-1.228	1.432	0.002
episode	-1.378927	0.4384794	-3.1447942	-2.238	-0.520	0.180

Table 2059: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskLatencyFear-Response VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	11.566144	2.582569	4.4785424	6.504	16.628	0.000
shannon	-0.744690	1.427869	-0.5215395	-3.543	2.054	0.027
episode	-1.387403	0.438022	-3.1674274	-2.246	-0.529	0.178

Table 2060: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) chao1 episode		$\begin{array}{c} 0.7720487 \\ 0.0037778 \\ 0.1126873 \end{array}$		-0.007		0.000 0.004 0.302

Table 2061: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus	0.2948816 -0.0001046	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7679740 \\ 0.0063728 \end{array}$	0.3839734 -0.0164166	-1.210 -0.013	1.800 0.012	0.000
episode	0.4955695	0.1126849	4.3978320	0.275	0.716	0.302

Table 2062: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) PD_whole_tree episode	-0.0303386	0.1892793	0.4207253 -0.1602848 4.3896066	-0.401	0.341	0.003

Table 2063: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityFacialFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) shannon episode	-0.0077475 0.2049641 0.4969776	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7106251 \\ 0.3979550 \\ 0.1126563 \end{array}$	-0.0109024 0.5150434 4.4114499		1.385 0.985 0.718	0.027

Table 2064: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	-0.0426969	0.7212952	-0.0591948	-1.456	1.371	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
chao1	0.0015699	0.0035996	0.4361225	-0.005	0.009	0.030
episode	0.3777482	0.0895445	4.2185515	0.202	0.553	0.277

Table 2065: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1022416	0.7219978	0.1416093	-1.313	1.517	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0012125	0.0061150	0.1982786	-0.011	0.013	0.007
episode	0.3774040	0.0895488	4.2145050	0.202	0.553	0.283

Table 2066: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.1378910				2.068	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.0171477	0.1820045	0.0942158	-0.340	0.374	0.001
episode	0.3773410	0.0895730	4.2126627	0.202	0.553	0.285

Table 2067: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityVocalDistress..0.3. VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) shannon episode	-0.1616541 0.2708698 0.3779931	0.3769027	0.7186729	-0.468	1.128 1.010 0.553	0.000

Table 2068: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.7573556 0.0028901	0.5813114 0.0029186		-0.382 -0.003	1.897 0.009	0.000
episode	0.0110970		0.1639663	0.000	0.000	0.000

Table 2069: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.8289981	0.5848851	1.4173693	-0.317	1.975	0.000
$observed_otus$	0.0041885	0.0049857	0.8401089	-0.006	0.014	0.165
episode	0.0108442	0.0676810	0.1602246	-0.122	0.143	0.000

Table 2070: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.6482776	0.8008149	0.8095224	-0.921	2.218	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.1203163	0.1485775	0.8097878	-0.171	0.412	0.151
episode	0.0112205	0.0676778	0.1657933	-0.121	0.144	0.001

Table 2071: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityBodilyFear..0.3. VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) shannon episode	0.6849550 0.3957178 0.0109804	0.000=000	1.3135289 1.3178270 0.1622222	-0.193		0.202

Table 2072: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) chao1 episode	-0.1380230 0.0014981 0.0305810	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2290127 \\ 0.0011653 \\ 0.0221400 \end{array}$	-0.602687 1.285650 1.381254	-0.001	0.311 0.004 0.074	0.000 0.383 0.025

Table 2073: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus episode	$\begin{array}{c} -0.1063801 \\ 0.0022251 \\ 0.0305498 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2319293 \\ 0.0020039 \\ 0.0221392 \end{array}$		-0.561 -0.002 -0.013	0.348 0.006 0.074	0.000 0.334 0.027

Table 2074: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	-0.1322279	0.3262954	-0.4052400	-0.772	0.507	0.000
PD_whole_tree	0.0497642	0.0610272	0.8154429	-0.070	0.169	0.214
episode	0.0308126	0.0221322	1.3922086	-0.013	0.074	0.033

Table 2075: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskPresenceStartleResponse.0.no.1.yes VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	-0.1492771	0.2047621	-0.7290268	-0.551	0.252	0.000

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
shannon episode	0.1866132 0.0304880	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1198752 \\ 0.0221464 \end{array}$	1.5567288 1.3766571	0.0 -0	0.1	$0.451 \\ 0.022$

Table 2076: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS chao1, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept)	0.3696114	0.2447688	1.510043	-0.110	0.849	0.000
chao1	-0.0015658	0.0011236	-1.393542	-0.004	0.001	0.117
episode	0.1065620	0.0481982	2.210915	0.012	0.201	0.086

Table 2077: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS observed_otus, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept) observed_otus episode		0.2469933 0.0019301 0.0481973	-1.166262		0.00=	0.000 0.091 0.091

Table 2078: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS PD_whole_tree, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5~%	97.5~%	R2
(Intercept) PD_whole_tree episode	0.3600136 -0.0517659 0.1081528		1.0770109 -0.8738201 2.2429919			0.000 0.054 0.095

Table 2079: div_diff_vs_mask_ind_yr1: MaskIntensityEscapeBehavior..0.3. VS shannon, df=32

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	2.5 %	97.5 %	R2
(Intercept)	0.2223068	0.2409992	0.9224383	-0.250	0.695	0.000
shannon	-0.0843407	0.1277250	-0.6603299	-0.335	0.166	0.032
episode	0.1084016	0.0482497	2.2466786	0.014	0.203	0.098