

# Multivariate Quadratic Cryptography

Ward Beullens

July 26, 2022

## Exercise session 1

**Exercise 1.** Are multivariate quadratic maps collision resistant? I.e., given a random quadratic map  $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , is it hard to find  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}'$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x}')$ ?

Hint: Suppose there is a collision  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}'$  and you can easily find  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' = \nabla$ .

**Definition 1** (Macaulay matrix). Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a sequence of multivariate quadratic polynomials. We say the Macaulay matrix of  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  at degree  $D$  is the matrix whose  $\binom{n+D}{D}$  columns correspond to monomials of degree at most  $D$  in the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and whose  $m \binom{n+D-2}{D-2}$  rows correspond to the polynomials of the form  $Mp_i$ , where  $M$  is a monomial of degree at most  $D-2$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

**Exercise 2.** Suppose  $p_1(x) = \dots = p_m(x) = 0$  is a system of quadratic polynomials with a solution  $x' \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ . Prove that the Macaulay matrix of  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  is never full rank, by writing down a vector in the kernel.

**Exercise 3** (Rank of Macaulay matrices of random quadratic polynomials). Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a sequence of non-zero multivariate quadratic polynomials. Let  $[p_1, \dots, p_k]_{\leq d}$  be the vectorspace spanned by all the polynomials of the form  $x^\alpha p_i$ , where  $x^\alpha$  is a monomial of degree at most  $d-2$ , and where  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . That is,  $[p_1, \dots, p_k]_{\leq d}$  corresponds to the span of the rows of the Macaulay matrix of  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  at degree  $D$ .

Clearly, we have  $[p_1, \dots, p_k]_{\leq d-2} \cdot p_{k+1} \subset [p_1, \dots, p_k]_{\leq d} \cap [p_{k+1}]_{\leq d}$ . Suppose that this is an equality for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$  and all  $d$ , such that  $[p_1, \dots, p_m]_{\leq d} \neq \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\leq d}$ . (Random systems satisfy this property with high probability.)

- Prove that  $\dim(\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\leq d})$  is equal to the coefficient of  $t^d$  in the power series expansion of

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+1}}.$$

- Prove that  $\dim([p_1, \dots, p_m]_{\leq d})$  is equal to the coefficient of  $t^d$  in the power series expansion of

$$\frac{1 - (1-t)^m}{(1-t)^{n+1}},$$

for all  $d$  such that  $[p_1, \dots, p_m]_{\leq d} \neq \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\leq d}$

- Conclude that the Macaulay matrix of  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  at degree  $D$  has full rank if there exists  $d \leq D$  such that the coefficient of  $t^d$  in the power series expansion of

$$\frac{(1-t^2)^m}{(1-t)^{n+1}}$$

has a non-positive coefficient.

**XL algorithm.** If  $p_1(x) = \dots = p_m(x) = 0$  is a random system with a solution, then heuristically, the ranks of Macaulay matrices of this system are the same as those in Exercise 3, except that when the Macaulay matrix from Exercise 3 has full rank, the Macaulay matrix of a system with a solution has corank 1 instead. The XL algorithm works by constructing the Macaulay matrix at a degree  $D$  that is high enough such that the Macaulay matrix has a kernel of rank 1. Then the algorithm does linear algebra to find the vector from Exercise 2, from which the solution  $x$  can be recovered easily.

A naive implementation of Gaussian Elimination would require  $O(\binom{n+D}{D}^3)$  multiplications. But the Macaulay matrix is very sparse (each row has at most  $\binom{n+2}{2}$  non-zero entries), so with sparse linear algebra methods the kernel vector can be found with roughly

$$3 \binom{n+2}{2} \binom{n+D}{D}^2 \tag{1}$$

multiplications instead.

It is often beneficial to guess the values of a few variables before applying the XL algorithm. This reduces the number of variables, which often allows the algorithm to run at a lower degree  $D$ , which makes it much more efficient. The drawback is that if you make  $k$  guesses, the algorithm needs to be repeated roughly  $q^k$  times, so guessing  $k$  variables is beneficial if the cost of the XL algorithm is reduced by more than a factor  $q^k$ . This variant of the XL algorithm is often called HybridXL, because it is a hybrid between XL ( $k = 0$ ) and exhaustive search ( $k = n$ ).

**Exercise 4** (Estimate the cost of solving the MQ problem). We estimate the cost of solving some multivariate quadratic systems, to illustrate the fact that finding a solution becomes much easier if more equations are given. Use Exercise 3 to find  $D$ , and use formula (1) for the cost of the linear algebra.

- Let  $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$ , be a random quadratic map with  $n = 40$  and  $m = 80$ , and  $q = 256$ . Give an estimate of the cost (number of field multiplications) of the XL algorithm to find  $\mathbf{x}$ , given  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$ , be a random quadratic map with  $n = 40$  and  $m = 40$ , and  $q = 256$ . Find the optimal number of guesses for the HybridXL algorithm, and estimate the cost of running the algorithm.

You might want to use a computer algebra system for your calculations.

Answer: Solving the first system takes  $2^{69}$  multiplications, the operating degree is  $D = 8$ . Solving the second algorithm takes  $2^{129}$  multiplications. Answer:  $D = 18$  and 3 guesses for  $k = 3$  guesses and 18.

## Excercise session 2: Breaking a simplified version of the Matsumoto-Imai scheme.

Let  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of order  $q$ , and let  $L$  be a field extension of degree  $n$ . Let  $\theta$  be an integer such that  $\gcd(1 + q^\theta, q^n - 1) = 1$ .

**Exercise 5.** Consider the exponentiation map  $E_\theta : L \rightarrow L : x \mapsto x^{q^\theta + 1}$ . Prove that  $E_\theta$  is a bijection. Give a polynomial-time algorithm that given  $\theta$  and  $y \in L$ , outputs  $E_\theta^{-1}(y) \in L$ .

**Exercise 6.** Let  $T : L \rightarrow K^n$  and  $S : K^n \rightarrow L$  be invertible  $K$ -linear maps ( $L$  is a  $K$ -vector space of dimension  $n$ ). Prove that  $F = T \circ E_\phi \circ S$  is a multivariate quadratic map.

In 1988, Matsumoto and Imai [1] proposed a variant of the following public-key cryptosystem: Fix public parameters  $q, n, \theta$ . The private key consists of two randomly chosen invertible linear maps  $T : L \rightarrow K^n$  and  $S : K^n \rightarrow L$ , the public key is the multivariate map  $P : K^n \rightarrow K^n = T \circ E_\theta \circ S$ . To encrypt a message  $m \in K^n$ , a user just evaluates  $P(m)$ , which he can send over the wire. Given,  $T$  and  $S$ , one can efficiently decrypt the ciphertext  $P(m) = T \circ E_\theta \circ S(m)$  by first undoing  $T$ , then undoing  $E_\theta$ , and finally undoing  $S$ .

**Exercise 7.** Show that the Matsumoto-Imai scheme is not secure with the parameters  $q = 256, n = 41, \theta = 1$ . That is, give an efficient algorithm that given a public key  $P : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ , and a ciphertext  $c = P(m) \in K^n$  outputs the message  $m \in K^n$ .

Hint 1: We saw that the relation  $y = x^{q^\theta+1}$  (over  $L$ ) becomes quadratic when viewed over  $K$ , wouldn't it be nice if this implied some other equation that becomes bi-linear in the coefficients of  $x$  and  $y$  instead?

Hint 2: Raise both sides of the equation to the power  $q^\theta$  and multiply both sides by  $yx$ .

Hint 3: If you know that input-output pairs of the cryptosystem satisfy some polynomial equations with (not too many) unknown coefficients, you can just evaluate  $P$  on a lot of inputs, and solve for the coefficients.

**Exercise 8.** Implement your attack in SAGE. Download a [public key and ciphertext](#) and a [SAGE file](#) to get you started, and recover the message.

## References

- [1] Tsutomu Matsumoto and Hideki Imai. Public quadratic polynomial-tuples for efficient signature-verification and message-encryption. In C. G. Günther, editor, *EUROCRYPT'88*, volume 330 of *LNCS*, pages 419–453, Davos, Switzerland, May 25–27, 1988. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany. [\(document\)](#)