

Problem set #3

Brian Ward

Due February 12, 2018

Exercise 1. For each of the following subsets $U \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, determine whether or not U is a subspace:

- (a) $U = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0\}$
- (b) $U = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 4\}$
- (c) $U = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1x_2x_3 = 0\}$
- (d) $U = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 = 5x_3\}$
- (e) $U = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1^3 = x_3^3\}$.

Solution.

- (a) Yes. The zero vector is obviously contained in U , since $0 + 2 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 0 = 0$.
Secondly, U is closed under addition. Given $u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix}, u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} \in U$, then $x_1 + 2y_1 + 3z_1 = 0$ and $x_2 + 2y_2 + 3z_2 = 0$. Clearly, $0 + 0 = 0$, so $(x_1 + x_2) + 2(y_1 + y_2) + 3(z_1 + z_2) = 0$ and $u_1 + u_2 \in U$.
Finally, U is closed under scalar multiplication. Given $u = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in U$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, then $x + 2 \cdot y + 3 \cdot z = 0$. It is clear that $cx + 2 \cdot cy + 3 \cdot cz = c \cdot 0 = 0$ and $cu \in U$.
- (b) No, the set does not contain $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $0 + 2 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 0 \neq 4$
- (c) No, the set is not closed under addition. Consider the vectors $u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in U$ and $u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in U$. Adding $u_1 + u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \notin U$.
- (d) Yes. The zero vector is obviously contained in U , since $0 = 5 \cdot 0$.
Secondly, U is closed under addition. Given $u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix}, u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} \in U$, then $x_1 = 5z_1$ and $x_2 = 5z_2$. Clearly, $(x_1 + x_2) = 5(z_1 + z_2)$, so $u_1 + u_2 \in U$.
Finally, U is closed under scalar multiplication. Given $u = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in U$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, then $x = 5z$. It is clear that $cx = 5cz$ and $cu \in U$.
- (e) No, the set is not closed under addition. Consider $u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \in U$ and $u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \in U$. Adding $u_1 + u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \notin U$ since $8 \neq -1$.

□

Exercise 2. Let P be the \mathbb{R} -vector space of all polynomials with real coefficients. Show that $U = \{f \in P : f'(-1) = 3f(2)\}$ is a subspace of P . Remark: the notation $f'(x)$ means the derivative of $f(x)$, in the usual sense of calculus.

Solution. To prove U is a subspace of P , it suffices to show that U contains the 0 vector of P , and that U is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

First, U clearly contains the 0 polynomial $f(x) = 0$, as $f'(x) = 0$ and $f'(-1) = 3f(2)$ evaluates to $0 = 0$ which is obviously true.

Secondly, U is closed under addition. Given $f_1(x), f_2(x) \in U$ then $f_1'(-1) = 3f_1(2)$ and $f_2'(-1) = 3f_2(2)$. The standard properties of derivatives allow that $\frac{dy}{dx}(f_1(x) + f_2(x)) = f_1'(x) + f_2'(x)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx}(f_1(-1) + f_2(-1)) &= f_1'(-1) + f_2'(-1) \\ &= 3f_1(2) + 3f_2(2) \\ &= 3(f_1(2) + f_2(2))\end{aligned}$$

and therefore $f_1 + f_2 \in U$.

Finally, U is closed under scalar multiplication. Given $f(x) \in U$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f'(-1) = 3f(2)$. By the standard properties of derivatives, $\frac{dy}{dx}cf(x) = c\frac{dy}{dx}f(x)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dx}cf(-1) &= c\frac{dy}{dx}f(-1) = cf'(-1) \\ &= c3f(2)\end{aligned}$$

and hence $cf \in U$ and the proof is complete. □

Exercise 3. Prove that the only subspaces of \mathbb{R}^1 are the zero subspace and all of \mathbb{R}^1 .

Solution. $\{[0] \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ is obviously a subspace of \mathbb{R}^1 , as it contains the zero vector and is clearly closed under addition and scalar multiplication. It is also trivially true that any vector space is a subspace of itself, so \mathbb{R}^1 is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^1 .

The rest of the proof is by contradiction. Begin by assuming that there is some set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ which is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^1 , but is not one of the two mentioned above. This subspace must

- (a) Contain the zero vector
- (b) Be closed under addition
- (c) Be closed under scalar multiplication for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$
- (d) Contain at least one non-zero vector, since by assumption it is not the zero subspace

Consider the implication of (c) on (d). Any non-zero vector in \mathbb{R}^1 is simply a real number. By scaling this vector by all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, you can yield all other vectors in \mathbb{R}^1 . Because U is closed under scalar multiplication, it must by the above logic contain all vectors in \mathbb{R}^1 and therefore is \mathbb{R}^1 . This contradicts our assumption, so no such U can exist and the proof is complete. □

Exercise 4.

- (a) Let $U = \{(x, y, y - x) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$. Find a subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\mathbb{R}^3 = U \oplus W$.
- (b) Let $U = \{(x, x, x, y, y) \in \mathbb{R}^5\}$. Find nonzero subspaces $W_1, W_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ such that $\mathbb{R}^5 = U \oplus W_1 \oplus W_2$.

Solution.

- (a) The solution is $W = \{(0, 0, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$.

To show this, first recognize that $\mathbb{R}^3 = U + W$, as shown by the decomposition

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z + y - x \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -y + x \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z + y - x \end{bmatrix} \in U$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -y + x \end{bmatrix} \in W$.

Because we have *exactly two* subspaces such that $\mathbb{R}^3 = U + W$, to prove that $\mathbb{R}^3 = U \oplus W$ it suffices to show that $U \cap W = \{0\}$.

Begin by supposing that $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in U \cap W$. Since $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in U$, it must be true that $z = y - x$.

Since $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in W$, it must be true that $x = y = 0$. Therefore, $x = y = z = 0$, or, in other words, $U \cap W = \{0\}$. Hence, $\mathbb{R}^3 = U \oplus W$.

- (b) The solution is $W_1 = \{(0, a, b, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^5\}$, $W_2 = \{(0, 0, 0, 0, c) \in \mathbb{R}^5\}$

To show this, recognize that $\mathbb{R}^5 = U + W_1 + W_2$ as shown by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_4 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 - x_1 \\ x_3 - x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_5 - x_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_4 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} \in U$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 - x_1 \\ x_3 - x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in W_1$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_5 - x_4 \end{bmatrix} \in W_2$.

Now, to prove that this sum is distinct, it suffices to show that the only decomposition of the 0 vector by vectors in U, W_1, W_2 is $0 = 0 + 0 + 0$.

Begin assuming a decomposition of the 0 vector in terms of vectors in U, W_1, W_2 exists. Due to the definitions of each subspace, it would necessarily take the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_4 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x'_2 \\ x'_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x''_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_1 \\ x_4 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} \in U$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x'_2 \\ x'_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in W_1$, and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x''_5 \end{bmatrix} \in W_2$. From this, five relationships are

apparent.

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= x_1 + 0 + 0 \\0 &= x_1 + x'_2 + 0 \\0 &= x_1 + x'_3 + 0 \\0 &= x_4 + 0 + 0 \\0 &= x_4 + 0 + x''_5.\end{aligned}$$

Simple arithmetic shows that $x_1 = x_4 = x'_2 = x'_3 = x''_5 = 0$, so the only decomposition of the zero vector by vectors in these subspaces is when those vectors are themselves the zero vector. Because the zero vector can be uniquely decomposed, $\mathbb{R}^5 = U \oplus W_1 \oplus W_2$.

□

Exercise 5. Let V be an F -vector space with subspaces $U_1, U_2 \subset V$.

- (a) If there is a subspace $W \subset V$ such that $V = U_1 \oplus W$ and $V = U_2 \oplus W$, does it follow that $U_1 = U_2$? Prove or provide a counterexample.
- (b) If $U_1 \cup U_2$ is a subspace, does it follow that either $U_1 \subset U_2$ or $U_2 \subset U_1$? Prove or provide a counterexample.

Solution.

- (a) Counterexample: Let $W = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Consider $U_1 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ c \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\}$. It can be shown that $\mathbb{R}^3 = W + U_1$ since \mathbb{R}^3 can be decomposed by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y - z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ z \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y - z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in W$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ z \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in U_1$.

Because we have *exactly two* subspaces such that $\mathbb{R}^3 = U_1 + W$, to prove that $\mathbb{R}^3 = U_1 \oplus W$ it suffices to show that $U_1 \cap W = \{0\}$.

Any vector $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in U_1 \cap W$ would have to be in both U_1 and W , and therefore must satisfy $x = 0, z = 0$, and $y = z$. Hence, $x = y = z = 0$, therefore $U_1 \cap W = \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^3 = U_1 \oplus W$.

Consider $U_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\}$. It can be shown that $\mathbb{R}^3 = W + U_2$ since \mathbb{R}^3 can be decomposed by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in W$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in U_2$.

Because we have *exactly two* subspaces such that $\mathbb{R}^3 = U_2 + W$, to prove that $\mathbb{R}^3 = U_2 \oplus W$ it suffices to show that $U_2 \cap W = \{0\}$.

Any vector $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \in U_2 \cap W$ would have to be in both U_2 and W , and therefore must satisfy $x = 0, y = 0$, and $z = 0$. Hence, $x = y = z = 0$, therefore $U_2 \cap W = \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^3 = U_2 \oplus W$.

Obviously, $U_1 \neq U_2$ even though both can be directly summed with W to yield \mathbb{R}^3 .

- (b) The proof is by contradiction. Assume $U_1 \cup U_2$ is a vector space such that $U_1 \not\subset U_2$ and $U_2 \not\subset U_1$.

Given arbitrary vectors $u_1 \in U_1$ and $u_2 \in U_2$ then it is obvious both $u_1, u_2 \in U_1 \cup U_2$. Since by our assumption this union is a vector space, it must be closed under addition. This means that $u_1 + u_2 \in U_1 \cup U_2$, in other words, $u_1 + u_2$ is in *either* U_1 or U_2 .

However, if, as by our assumption, $U_1 \not\subset U_2$ and $U_2 \not\subset U_1$, then there would necessarily be at least one vector $v_1 \in U_1$ such that $v_1 \notin U_2$ and at least one vector $v_2 \in U_2$ such that $v_2 \notin U_1$. Obviously, $v_1, v_2 \in U_1 \cup U_2$. Now, according to the above, $v_1 + v_2 \in U_1 \cup U_2$, meaning $v_1 + v_2$ must be in either U_1 or in U_2 . But, this vector $v_1 + v_2$ is not in either. If it was in U_1 , then simple vector addition of $-v_1$ would also mean $v_2 \in U_1$, contradicting our assumption. Similar logic would yield the contradiction $v_1 \in U_2$ if the sum was in U_2 . Since this sum is not in either U_1 or U_2 , it cannot be in $U_1 \cup U_2$. This is a contradiction of our assumption.

Therefore $U_1 \cup U_2 \implies$ either $U_1 \subset U_2$ or $U_2 \subset U_1$.

□

Exercise 6. Let P be the space of all polynomials with real coefficients. We say that $f \in P$ is *even* if $f(-x) = f(x)$, and *odd* if $f(-x) = -f(x)$. Show that

$$U_0 = \{f \in V : f \text{ is even}\}, \quad U_1 = \{f \in V : f \text{ is odd}\}$$

are subspaces of P , and that $P = U_0 \oplus U_1$.

Solution. First, to show that U_0 and U_1 are subspaces of P , we must show that they contain the zero vector, are closed under addition, and are closed under scalar multiplication.

Let us begin by checking if both U_0 and U_1 contain 0.

It is clear that U_0 contains the zero polynomial, $f(x) = 0$, as $f(-x) = 0 = f(x)$ is obviously true. Similarly, U_1 contains the zero polynomial, as $f(-x) = 0 = -f(x)$.

Now, confirm that U_0 and U_1 are closed under addition.

Given $f_1, f_2 \in U_0$, then $f_1(-x) = f_1(x)$ and $f_2(-x) = f_2(x)$. Clearly $f_1(-x) + f_2(-x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)$, so $f_1 + f_2 \in U_0$. Similarly, given $f_1, f_2 \in U_1$, then $f_1(-x) = -f_1(x)$ and $f_2(-x) = -f_2(x)$. Adding these polynomials yields $f_1(-x) + f_2(-x) = -f_1(x) + -f_2(x) = -(f_1(x) + f_2(x))$ as expected, so $f_1 + f_2 \in U_1$.

Now, confirm that U_0 and U_1 are closed under scalar multiplication.

Given $f \in U_0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f(-x) = f(x)$. It is clear that $cf(-x) = cf(x)$ and hence $cf \in U_0$. Similarly, $f \in U_1$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f(-x) = -f(x)$. It is clear that $cf(-x) = c \cdot -f(x) = -cf(x)$ and hence $cf \in U_1$.

Therefore, U_0 and U_1 are subspaces of P .

Secondly, to show that $P = U_0 \oplus U_1$, we must demonstrate first that $P = U_0 + U_1$. First, recognize what “even” and “odd” generally mean in terms of polynomials. Even polynomials consist strictly of terms with even powers, as can be clearly seen by the fact that -1 raised to an even power is 1 . Similarly, odd polynomials consist strictly of terms with odd powers, as shown by the fact that -1 raised to any odd power is -1 . Any polynomial $f = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in P$ can be written as either

$$f = (a_n x^n + a_{n-2} x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_0) + (a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + a_{n-3} x^{n-3} + \cdots + a_1 x)$$

where $(a_n x^n + a_{n-2} x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_0) \in U_0$ and $(a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + a_{n-3} x^{n-3} + \cdots + a_1 x) \in U_1$ if n is even or

$$f = (a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + a_{n-3} x^{n-3} + \cdots + a_0) + (a_n x^n + a_{n-2} x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_1 x)$$

where $(a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + a_{n-3} x^{n-3} + \cdots + a_0) \in U_0$ and $(a_n x^n + a_{n-2} x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_1 x) \in U_1$ if n is odd. Therefore, $P = U_0 + U_1$.

Now, because we have *exactly two* subspaces such that $P = U_0 + U_1$, to prove that $P = U_0 \oplus U_1$ it suffices to show that $U_0 \cap U_1 = \{0\}$.

Given any $f \in U_0 \cap U_1$, it must be true that both $f(-x) = f(x)$ and $f(-x) = -f(x)$. Therefore, $f(x) = -f(x)$. This is only possible if $f(x) = 0$. Therefore, $U_0 \cap U_1 = \{0\}$ and $P = U_0 \oplus U_1$. \square