



## 265 Note: A Generalization of the Logistic Law of Growth

Malcolm E. Turner, Jr.; Brent A. Blumenstein; Jeanne L. Sebaugh

*Biometrics*, Vol. 25, No. 3 (Sep., 1969), 577-580.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0006-341X%28196909%2925%3A3%3C577%3A2NAGOT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-V>

*Biometrics* is currently published by International Biometric Society.

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ibs.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

---

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## QUERIES AND NOTES

P. SPRENT, EDITOR

265 NOTE:

### A Generalization of the Logistic Law of Growth

MALCOLM E. TURNER, JR.

*Department of Biometry, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, U. S. A.  
and University of Kansas Medical Center*

BRENT A. BLUMENSTEIN

*Emory University*

AND

JEANNE L. SEBAUGH

*University of Kansas Medical Center*

#### SUMMARY

A generalization of the logistic function in which the maximum population size is allowed to vary is described.

Ever since Verhulst [1838, 1845, 1847] introduced the logistic law of growth, much work has been done on application, criticism, and generalization. For examples, see Pearl and Reed [1920], Robertson [1923], Lotka ([1924] 1st Edn.; [1956] 2nd Edn.), Yule [1925], Hotelling [1927], Pearl [1930], Will [1930], Wilson and Puffer [1933], Kostitzin ([1939], pp. 93–101), Nair [1954], Nelder [1961a, b], and Lefkovitch [1966].

A new generalization has been found especially useful by the authors, and is reported here.

Suppose  $x$  is the size of an appropriate population at time  $t$ . We postulate, as many have done, that the rate of growth  $\dot{x}$  of the population is given by

$$\dot{x} = \beta x \left( \frac{\phi(k) - \phi(x)}{\phi(k)} \right). \quad (1)$$

That is, the rate of population growth is assumed to be directly proportional ( $\beta$  = proportionality constant, or 'intrinsic growth coefficient') to the population  $x$  and also jointly proportional to a factor which represents the proportion of 'available spaces'. The number of available spaces is determined by a monotonically increasing function  $\phi(\cdot)$  of the population size. Here  $\phi(\cdot)$  denotes the process of operating on *any* argument ( $\cdot$ ) with the operator  $\phi$ . If we take  $\phi(\cdot)$  to be the identity function (i.e., the function which maps any variable  $x$  into itself), so that  $\phi(x) = x$ , then (1) leads to the ordinary logistic law of growth.

This assumption is tantamount to assuming that the number of empty spaces is precisely proportional to the maximum population size less the number of individuals in the population. This is patently unrealistic. A simple but appealing choice for  $\phi(\cdot)$  is the power function  $(\cdot)^m$ , where  $m > 0$ . Thus (1) becomes

$$\dot{x} = \beta k^{-m} x (k^m - x^m). \quad (2)$$

Integration using the Bernoulli equation gives the solution

$$x = \frac{k}{[1 + (k^m x_0^{-m} - 1)e^{-\beta m t}]^{1/m}}. \quad (3)$$

Another approach to the solution has been given by Nelder [1961a]. He notes that  $\dot{x}^m/x^m = m\dot{x}/x$  so that (2) becomes the ordinary logistic differential equation in  $x^m$ . When  $m = 1$ , we get the ordinary logistic equation.

One of the criticisms most often leveled at the logistic law concerns the constant  $k$  which is the maximum population size according to the basic assumption (1). It seems unreasonable to assume that the maximum population supportable is constant over any long period of time. We will suppose that  $k$ , rather than being constant, is a function of time. In other words, the maximum population  $k$  which may be accommodated is itself a monotonically increasing function of time. This assumption implies that the maximum population supportable depends upon technological advances in such things as housing and food sources. The spatial requirements per individual become less and less with such advances. Empirically, many such advances have been described adequately by sigmoid growth functions. A need for such an assumption has been indicated for human populations by the fact that extrapolation of the ordinary logistic equation has usually under-estimated the actual population size. An attractive choice for  $k(t)$  is given by equation (3). Thus, we may assume that  $x$ , the population size, is increasing toward a maximum  $k$  which itself is increasing at a slower rate  $B$  toward an ultimate population maximum  $K$ . So, we may write

$$k(t) = \frac{K}{(1 + \alpha e^{-Bmt})^{1/m}}. \quad (4)$$

Then integration<sup>1</sup> of (2) yields the generalized logistic law of growth:

$$x = K \left[ 1 + \left( K^m x_0^{-m} - 1 - \frac{\alpha\beta}{\beta - B} \right) e^{-\beta m t} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{\beta - B} e^{-Bmt} \right]^{1/m}. \quad (5)$$

If  $m = 1$  and either  $\alpha = 0$  or  $B \rightarrow \infty$  then (5) reduces to the ordinary logistic equation. The case  $m = 1$ ,  $B = 0$  leads to the logistic equation but with modified constants.

To illustrate the use of (5) we have fitted the model by least squares to the U. S. Census data 1790 to 1960 (Long [1968]) by use of a FORTRAN program

<sup>1</sup> Details of the integration are available in an unpublished appendix obtainable from the authors.

written by one of us (J. L. S.). We have for the estimated<sup>2</sup> population  $\hat{x}$ :

$$\hat{x} = \hat{K}[1 + (6.514, 44)(10^{16})e^{-1.799, 27t} + (2.940, 09)(10^{12})e^{-0.820, 30t}]^{-0.154, 64}, \quad (6)$$

where  $t = (\text{year} - 1790)/10$ , and where the least squares estimate  $\hat{K}_1$  of the maximum population size is 1,719 million persons. It may be more appropriate (cf. Hotelling [1927]) to choose  $\hat{K}$  in such a manner that the fitted curve goes exactly through the latest (1960) census point. This leads to a somewhat higher estimate of the population maximum. In this case  $\hat{K}_2$  is 1,751 million persons. Neither estimate should be taken too seriously due to the extreme degree of extrapolation. We may expect somewhat more accuracy in predicting the U. S. population for 1970. This estimate, using  $K = 1,751$ , yields an estimated population of 202.7 million persons. For the Orwellian 1984 the predicted population is 242.1 million persons.

We find the estimated index to be given by  $\hat{m} = 6.467$ , and the two estimated growth constants to be given by  $\hat{\beta} = 0.02782$  and  $B = 0.01268$  reciprocal years.

Asymptotic confidence regions are easily obtained but are omitted here for sake of brevity.

The example above of U. S. population growth has been chosen for illustrative purposes only. The failure of earlier deterministic models (such as the ordinary logistic) to provide useful predictions of future populations is notorious. The present effort, although more general than the previously studied models, may not necessarily be more successful. However, the mental discipline required to develop various models, and to compare model to data, may be expected to lead eventually to a better understanding of the growth phenomenon. The authors hope that the present paper will make a minor contribution toward that end.

## UNE GENERALISATION DE LA LOI DE CROISSANCE LOGISTIQUE

### RESUME

On décrit une généralisation de la fonction logistique dans laquelle la taille maxima de la population peut varier.

### REFERENCES

- Hotelling, H. [1927]. Differential equations subject to error, and population estimates. *J. Amer. Statist. Ass.* 22, 283-314.
- Kostitzin, V. A. [1939]. *Mathematical Biology*. George G. Harrap and Co., New York.
- Lefkovich, L. P. [1966]. A population growth model incorporating delayed responses. *Bull. Math. Biophys.* 28, 219-33.
- Long, L. H. (Ed.) [1968]. *1968 Centennial Edition: The World Almanac and Book of Facts*. Newspaper Enterprise Association, New York.
- Lotka, A. J. [1956]. *Elements of Mathematical Biology*, 2nd Edn. (1st Edn. [1924] entitled *Elements of Physical Biology*). Dover Publications, New York.
- Nair, K. R. [1954]. The fitting of growth curves. Pp. 119-32 in: *Statistics and Mathematics in Biology* (Kempthorne, Bancroft, Gowen, and Lush, Eds.) Iowa State College Press, Ames.

---

<sup>2</sup> We acknowledge with thanks the help of Mr. Chester Kolodziej in carrying out some of the computations.

- Nelder, J. A. [1961a]. The fitting of a generalization of the logistic curve. *Biometrics* 17, 89-110.
- Nelder, J. A. [1961b]. A note on some growth patterns in a simple theoretical organism. *Biometrics* 17, 220-8.
- Pearl, R. and Reed, L. J. [1920]. On the rate of growth of the population of the United States since 1790 and its mathematical representation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 6, 275-88.
- Pearl, R. [1930]. *Introduction to Medical Biometry and Statistics* (2nd Edn.). W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia.
- Robertson, T. B. [1923]. *The Chemical Basis of Growth and Senescence*. J. B. Lippincott Co., Philadelphia.
- Verhulst, P. F. [1838]. Notice sur la loi que la population suit dans son accroissement. *Corr. Math. Phys.* 10, 113-21.
- Verhulst, P. F. [1845]. Recherches mathématiques sur la loi d'accroissement de la population. *Nouv. Mém. Acad. Roy. Sci. Belleslett. Bruxelles* 18, 1-38.
- Verhulst, P. F. [1847]. Deuxieme mémoire sur la loi d'accroissement de la population. *Nouv. Mém. Acad. Roy. Sci. Belleslett. Bruxelles* 20, 1-32.
- Will, H. S. [1930]. On fitting curves to observational series by the method of differences. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 1, 159-90.
- Wilson, E. B. and Puffer, R. R. [1933]. Least squares and laws of population growth. *Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts Sci.* 68, 285-382.
- Yule, G. U. [1925]. The growth of population and the factors which control it. *J. R. Statist. Soc.* 88, 1-59.

*Received October 1968*

**266 NOTE:                    A Method for Obtaining Initial  
Estimates of the Parameters in  
Exponential Curve Fitting**

S. D. Foss

*Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire,  
Durham, New Hampshire 03824, U. S. A.*

**SUMMARY**

This note describes a technique for obtaining the initial estimates of the parameters in exponential curve fitting. The method obtains the initial estimates by a least square 'peeling-off' technique. The method is particularly well adapted to decay-type data where the exponentials are well separated on the time scale.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

This note presents a reasonable computer-oriented technique for obtaining the initial estimates of the parameters in exponential curve fitting. The method arrives at the initial estimates by a least square 'peeling-off' technique. The 'peeling-off' procedure is particularly well adapted to decay-type data. The data, however, must be reasonably accurate if one is to obtain convergence with any