Math 347 HW 6

Dylan Chiu

April 4, 2022

Problem 1

Show that any two ordered fields with the least upper bound property are order isomorphic.

Proof. Let A, B be ordered fields with the least upper bound property

First we show that A, B contain the natural numbers:

Since A is a field it contains $0_A, 1_A$. 1_A is distinct from $1_A + 1_A$, since $0_A \neq 1_A$ (property of fields). Let $n_A = \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} 1_A$. All n_A are distinct by induction. Define a map $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$ as $f(n) = n_A$. Since A is a field all of it's elements have additive inverses. Extend the map f to the integers. $f: \mathbb{Z} \to A$ as $f(z) = z_A$.

Any field that contains the integers contains the rationals as a subfield (Exercise 3.0.1.) Extend the map to the rationals $f: \mathbb{O} \to A$ as $f(\underline{a}) - \underline{f(a)}$

Extend the map to the rationals $f: \mathbb{Q} \to A$ as $f(\frac{a}{b}) = \frac{f(a)}{f(b)}$ There are injective homomorphisms from the rationals to A. Therefore A contain the rationals.

Proof is similar for B.

```
Let r_A \in A. By O1 \forall q_A \in Q_A, q_A < r \lor q_A > r_A \lor q = r

Consider L_{r_A} = \{q_A \in \mathbb{Q}_A \mid q_A < r_A\}

Define a map \phi : A \to B as \phi(r_A) = lub(L_{r_b}) = lub(\{q_B \in Q_B \mid q_B < r_B\})\}

\phi(x_A + y_A) = lub(L_{x_B + y_B}) = lub(q \in \mathbb{Q}_B \mid q < x + y)
= lub(L_{x_B} + L_{y_B}) \text{(as defined in problem 3 ii)}
= lub(L_{x_B}) + lub(L_{y_B}) \text{(Problem 3 ii)}
= \phi(x_A) + \phi(y_A)
```

$$\phi(x_A y_A) = lub(L_{x_B y_B})$$

$$= lub(L_{x_B} * L_{y_B})$$

$$= lub(L_{x_B}) * lub(L_{y_B})$$

$$= \phi(x)\phi(y)$$

Problem 2

Prove that an ordered field has the least upper bound property iff it has the greatest lower bound property.

Proof. Let F be an ordered field with the least upper bound property, and let A be a non empty subset of F that is bounded below.

Consider the subset of F, $B = \{\text{Lower bounds of } A\}$. B is non empty by assumption that A is bounded below. Since every element of A is an upper bound on B, B is bounded above, and thus B has a least upper bound s.

We now show that s is the greatest lower bound of A.

i. s is a lower bound of A.

Every element in A is an upper bound of B. By definition of least upper bound, $s \le$ every other upper bound of B Therefore $\forall a \in A, s \le a$ which means s is a lower bound of A

ii. If l is some other lower bound of $A, l \leq s$

B is the set of lowers bounds of A. By defintion of lub, $\forall b \in B, b \leq s$ Therefore every other lower bound of A is $\leq s$

Proof. Let F be an ordered field with the greatest lower bound property, and let A be a non empty subset of F that is bounded above.

Consider the subset of F, $B = \{\text{Upper bounds of } A\}$. B is non empty by assumption that A is bounded above. Since every element of A is an lower bound on B, B is bounded below, and thus B has a greatest lower bound s.

We now show that s is the least upper bound of A.

i. s is an upper bound of A.

Every element in A is an lower bound of B. By definition of greatest upper bound, $s \le \text{every}$ other lower bound of B Therefore $\forall a \in A, s \ge a$ which means s is an upper bound of A

ii. If l is some other upper bound of $A, l \ge s$

B is the set of upper bounds of A. By defintion of glb, $\forall b \in B, b \geq s$ Therefore every other lower bound of A is $\geq s$

Problem 3

```
Suppose that A and B are bounded sets in R. Prove or disprove the following:
(i) lub(A \cup B) = max\{lub(A), lub(B)\}.
Proof. Let s, t be the lub(A), lub(B) respectively. m = max\{lub(A), lub(B)\}.
   m is an upper bound on A \cup B since \forall a \in A, a \le s \le m and \forall b \in B, b \le t \le m
   Let l be some other upper bound on A \cup B, l \le m
    Then l \leq max\{s, t\}
    WLOG, assume s \ge t Since s is a least upper bound, l \le s \implies l = s
   Therefore l = m
                                                                                                             (ii) If A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}, then lub(A + B) = lub(A) + lub(B).
Proof. Let a \in A, b \in B
    a \le lub(A) and b \le lub(B) \implies a + b \le lub(A) + lub(B)
   lub(A) + lub(B) is an upper bound of A + B
    \implies lub(A+B) \le lub(A) + lub(B)
    Now we show that no upper bound is smaller
    \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists a \in A, a > lub(A) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ and } \exists b \in B, b > lub(B) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}
    a + b > lub(A) + lub(B) - \epsilon
    \implies lub(A+B) > lub(A) + lub(B) - \epsilon
    \implies lub(A+B) \ge lub(A) + lub(B)
    Therefore lub(A + B) = lub(A) + lub(B)
                                                                                                             (iii) If the elements of A and B are positive and A * B = \{ab | a \in A, b \in B\}, then lub(A * B) =
lub(A) * lub(B).
Proof. Let a \in A, b \in B
    a \le lub(A) and b \le lub(B)
   ab \le lub(A) * lub(B)
    \implies lub(A+B) \le lub(A) + lub(B)
   Now we show that no upper bound is smaller
    \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists a \in A, a > lub(A) - \sqrt{\epsilon} \text{ and } \exists b \in B, b > lub(B) - \sqrt{\epsilon}2
    ab > lub(A)lub(B) - \epsilon * lub(A) - \epsilon * lub(B) - \epsilon^2
    ab > lub(A)lub(B) - \epsilon(lub(A) + lub(B) + \epsilon) Note that lub(A) and lub(B) > 0
    lub(AB) > lub(A)lub(B) - \epsilon(lub(A) + lub(B) + \epsilon)
    lub(AB) \ge lub(A)lub(B)
```

(iv) Formulate the analogous problems for the greatest lower bound.

$$glb(A \cup B) = min\{glb(A), glb(B)\}$$

If
$$A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$
, then $glb(A + B) = glb(A) + glb(B)$

If the elements of A and B are positive and $A * B = \{ab | a \in A, b \in B\}$, then glb(A * B) = glb(A) * glb(B).

Problem 4

(i) Show that any irrational number multiplied by any non-zero rational number is irrational.

Proof. Let
$$a \in \mathbb{Q}^c, b \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$$

Assume $ab \in \mathbb{Q}$ to derive a contradiction.

Then we can write $ab = \frac{p}{q} p, q \in \mathbb{Z}p, q$ coprime

Then $a = \frac{p}{qb} \implies a \in \mathbb{Q}$

This is a contradiction to our assumption that a is irrational.

Thus $ab \in \mathbb{Q}^c$

(ii) Show that the product of two irrational numbers may be rational or irrational.

Proof. Case 1: Product of two irrationals is rational

$$\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}^c$$

$$\sqrt{2} * \sqrt{2} = 2 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Case 2: Product of two irrationals is irrational

$$\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6} \in \mathbb{Q}^c$$
 (proofs attached to back)

$$\sqrt{2} * \sqrt{3} = \sqrt{6}$$

Problem 5

Show that, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have $||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$

Proof. By the triangle inequality $|a| = |(a-b) + b| \le |a-b| + |b|$

$$|a| - |b| \le |a - b|$$

Consider the case: $|a| - |b| \ge 0$

Then $|a|-|b|=||a|-|b||\leq |a-b|$

Now consider the case |a| - |b| < 0

Then
$$||a| - |b|| = -(|a| - |b|) = |b| - |a| \le |b - a| = |a - b|$$

 $\implies ||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$