

Math 347 HW 7

Dylan Chiu

April 8, 2022

Exercise 3.5.5.

Show that the limit of a convergent sequence is unique.

Proof. To derive a contradiction suppose a, b be distinct limits of a convergent sequence (a_k) . Then definition of convergence states: Given any arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\exists N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq N_1, |a_n - a| < r$ and $\forall m \geq N_2, |a_m - b| < r$

Let $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$, $r = \frac{|a-b|}{4}$ (notice $a \neq b$ implies $r > 0$)

Then $\forall n \geq N, |a_n - a| < r$ and $|a_n - b| < r$.

$$|a_n - b| = |b - a_n|$$

$$|a_n - a| + |b - a_n| < 2r = \frac{|a-b|}{2}$$

$$|(a_n - a) + (b - a_n)| \leq |a_n - a| + |b - a_n| \text{ (triangle inequality)}$$

$$|b - a| = |a - b| \leq |a_n - a| + |b - a_n| < \frac{|a-b|}{2}$$

$$|a - b| < \frac{|a-b|}{2}. \text{ A contradiction}$$

□

Exercise 3.5.10

Show that, with addition and multiplication defined as above, C is a commutative ring with 1.

Proof. (already shown in class that addition and multiplication are closed) Now show that addition is associative, commutative, has identity, and has inverses. Show that multiplication is associative, commutative, and has identity.

Let $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in C$

Multiplication and addition are obviously associative and commutative by properties of the rationals.

Additive identity = $(0, 0, \dots)$

Additive inverse $-(a_k) = (-a_1, -a_2, \dots)$

Multiplicative identity = $(1, 1, \dots)$

□

Exercise 3.5.13.

Show that if a Cauchy sequence does not converge to 0, all the terms of the sequence eventually have the same sign

Proof. If a Cauchy sequence $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge to 0, then $\exists r > 0, N_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \geq N_1, |a_n| \geq r$

Since (a_k) is Cauchy, $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N} s.t. |a_n - a_m| < \frac{r}{2}, \forall n, m \geq N$

Let $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$

To derive a contradiction, suppose a_n, a_m have opposite parity.

Then consider $a_n > 0, a_m < 0$

$$|r - a_m| < \frac{r}{2}$$

But then $a_m > 0$, a contradiction.

□

Exercise 3.5.15.

Show that \sim defines an equivalence relation on C .

Proof. \sim is reflexive: $(a_k - a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (0, 0, 0, \dots) \in I$

\sim is symmetric:

Suppose $(a_k) \sim (b_k)$, then $(a_k - b_k) \in I$.

Then $(a_k - b_k)$ converges to 0.

Then $\forall r \in \mathbb{Q}, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |a_n - b_n| < r$

$$|a_n - b_n| = |b_n - a_n| < r$$

$(b_k - a_k)$ converges to 0. $(b_k - a_k)$ is in I.

then $(b_k) \sim (a_k)$

\sim is transitive:

Suppose $(a_k) \sim (b_k)$ and $(b_k) \sim (c_k)$

Then $(c_k) \sim (b_k)$ (\sim is symmetric)

$(a_k - b_k), (c_k - b_k)$ converge to 0

$$(a_k - b_k) - (c_k - b_k) = (a_k - c_k)$$

I is closed under addition, therefore $(a_k) \sim (c_k)$

□

Exercise 3.5.16.

Show that addition and multiplication are well-defined on \mathbb{R}

Proof. Suppose $(a_k) \sim (a'_k)$ and $(b_k) \sim (b'_k)$

Addition:

$(a_k - a'_k) \in I$ and converges to 0

$(b_k - b'_k) \in I$ and converges to 0.

$(a_k - a'_k) + (b_k - b'_k) = (a_k - a'_k + b_k - b'_k) = (a_k + b_k - (a'_k + b'_k))$ in I since I is closed under addition

$(a_k + b_k) \sim (a'_k + b'_k)$

Multiplication: We want $(a_k * b_k) \sim (a'_k * b'_k)$

I.e want $(a_k * b_k - a'_k * b'_k) \in I$

$a_k * b_k - a'_k * b'_k = a_k * b_k + (b_k * a'_k - b_k * a'_k) - a'_k * b'_k$

$= (a_k * b_k - b_k * a'_k) + (b_k * a'_k - a'_k * b'_k)$

$= b_k(a_k - a'_k) + a'_k(b_k - b'_k)$

$— a_k * b_k - a'_k * b'_k = |b_k(a_k - a'_k) + a'_k(b_k - b'_k)|$

$< |b_k| * |(a_k - a'_k)| + |a'_k| * |(b_k - b'_k)|$

There exists S, L such that $|b_k| \leq S$ and $|a'_k| \leq L$. since all Cauchy sequences are bounded.

Let $M = \max(S, L)$.

$|a_k * b_k - a'_k * b'_k| < M(|(a_k - a'_k)| + |(b_k - b'_k)|)$

$(a_k - a'_k) \text{ and } (b_k - b'_k) \in I$.

$|a_k * b_k - a'_k * b'_k| < c_k$ where c_k converges to 0.

Since c_k converges to 0, $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N, |c_n| < \epsilon$

$|a_n * b_n - a'_n * b'_n| < \epsilon$

Thus $a_k * b_k - a'_k * b'_k$ converges to zero.

$(a_k * b_k) \sim (a'_k * b'_k)$

□

Exercise 3.5.20

Show that the order relation on R defined above is well defined and makes R an ordered field.

Proof. Let $(a_k) \sim (a'_k)$ and $(b_k) \sim (b'_k)$ and suppose $(a_k) < (b_k)$

This means $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N, a_n < b_n$

$a_n < b_n - (b'_n - a'_n)$

$a_n < (b_n - b'_n) + (b'_n)$

$a_n < |(b_n - b'_n) + (b'_n)|$

$a_n < r + |b'_n|$

$|a'_n - (a'_n - a_n)| < r + |b'_n|$

$|a'_n| - |a'_n - a_n| < r + |b'_n|$

$|a'_n| < r + |b'_n|$

□