

IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON

DEPARTMENT OF LIFE SCIENCES

Scaling of Pond Landscape Densities and Relation with Carbon Fluxes Affected by Microbes

Author:

Jintao Lu

CID: 02342837

Email: jl8722@ic.ac.uk

Supervisor:

Samraat Pawar

Imperial College London

Email: s.pawar@imperial.ac.uk

Submitted in part fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of Master of Science at

Imperial College London, April 2023

0.1 Six Key Words

Satellite image, Lake size scaling, Fractal dimension, Machine learning, General lake model (Carbon fluxes, Microbes).

0.2 Introduction

The carbon flow is a crucial indicator for calculating the amount of carbon emissions. Several studies indicated that ponds and lakes, particularly those in forested areas or with thermokarst deposits in the Arctic or Tibet Plateau, are among those that produce the most carbon (Serikova et al. 2019, Holgerson et al. 2017, Karlsson et al. 2021). A small pond would retain much carbon and release it into the air, according to Holgerson & Raymond (2016). As Cael & Seekell (2016) reported, both the local and global lake views show that the lake size does not scale according to the power law. The Mandelbrot & Mandelbrot (1982) provided a fractal theory that scaled the ponds differently. Hence, Cael & Seekell (2016) study of the lakes in Sweden and worldwide reveals a significant relationship between lake density and area. When the lakes shrink in size, the difference becomes apparent. In addition, the small shallow ponds tend to release carbon by active microbes decomposing sediment and primary producer consuming (Bartosiewicz et al. 2015, Colina Rama 2022). Large lakes may cover broad surface areas, but millions of smaller lakes with more active reactions would create and store more carbon than a single huge lake. Thus, there is a trend to research tiny lakes across the world that emit carbon.

In my proposal, the final ambition is to calculate the Total Carbon Emission from ponds which is equal to the Ponds Density X Carbon Emission rate (Samraat provide this hypothesis to me). In the first part, it is the ponds density that needs to be re-verified with the conclusion reported by Cael & Seekell (2016) by applying new data, general lake model(3.0) simulation Hipsey et al. (2019) and Machine Learning method. The proposed research questions are listed below:

1. Compare with the result reported by Cael & Seekell (2016) with updated data and new ponds definition (Richardson et al. 2022) to verify whether the power-law would be fitted with data or not in small lakes. (under time change scale)
2. Whether the different variables of the lakes distribution (e.g. regions, latitude, altitude, land-use change etc.) will fit similarly to the results of Cael & Seekell (2016)'s study.
(Then, in the second part, the Carbon Emission rate affected by microbes from ponds will be researched if there is spare time.)

0.3 Proposed Methods

1. Data preparation and model training. Search for Cael & Seekell (2016)'s database and find if there is any new data and review the classification of ponds. Learn the GLM, with the physical parameters, to set the ponds' model. Search for Richardson et al. (2022)'s database, and find the newest pond data and related variables.

2. Re-scaling ponds data but with more variables. If there is the newest global ponds data, do similar as Cael & Seekell (2016) do, compare the newest ponds' abundance with areas (but with a more accurate definition of ponds (Richardson et al. 2022)). The power-law test would be applied and followed with Downing (2009)'s study. Besides, the Shoreline fractal dimension would be computed as Cael & Seekell (2016)'s method. The global ponds line vs power low simulated line would be compared under changed time. Then, according to Verpoorter et al. (2014) and Richardson et al. (2022), the description of their ponds database shows that various variables could be studied with ponds. For instance, the regions, the locations, the elevations etc. I will attempt to test all of them to see how the abundance vs area would be different with power low under different conditions.

3. Validation by satellite images and GLM(general lake model). The trained GLM model by machine learning would be applied to fit with the real ponds data and compared with power low to see the fitness of model simulation and further changes. Besides, the newest regional high resolutions satellite image may be processed by QGIS and output some local pond data to do the validation. Appendix 1. Apart from the restudying, the scaling lakes problems. The rest time would be spent researching the connections between carbon emissions, microbial biomass, and small lakes. Data from a different research study may be used to evaluate their association.

0.4 Anticipated Outputs and Outcomes

1. Test a relationship between lake area and lake density that is at least as good as Cael & Seekell (2016) results. The conclusion may state that worldwide ponds and small lakes follow similar criteria, but all different with the estimated power-law distribution. The different variables, such as changed time, regions and elevation would produce similar curve plots.

Appendix 1. Find a link between those three variables on a quantitative and qualitative level, and maybe create a model to suit the local and global data.

0.5 project Feasibility and Timeline

		April	May	June	July	August	Sept
Writing Dissertation	Total	18 April - 27 Aug					
	Draft introduction						
	Draft method						
	Draft results and discussion						
	Edit final version draft						
	Formating, citation and appendices						
Research work	Total	18 April - 18 July					
Scaling world lakes (main)	preliminary reading						
	images and data grabbing and wrangling						
	code with simulate and validate lake size						
	compare true lake date with simulated						
microbe with C fluxes (second) (try if have time)	preliminary reading and data grabbing						
	calculate C emission with different lake size						
	Find relation between scaled lake and simulated lake						
Vivas and Prep	Prepare slides and presentation						20 Aug-15 Sept

Figure 1: MSc Imperial Project

0.6 Budget

Other items that might enhance project completion are presented in Figure 2. Each item is accompanied with a rationale of its use and an estimated of cost.

Budget
Other items that might enhance project completion are presented in Table 1. Each it

Items	Price[£]	Supplement
HPC Computing Time	200	Additional computing power (may discuss the rough amount)
Commercial Satellite Imagery Data	100	To validate the training data with high resolution image for particular places (to see whether the scaled lakes are follow the rule or not)

Figure 2: Budget

0.7 Supervisor Declaration

I have seen and approved the project and budget.

Supervisor Signature:

Date:

Bibliography

- Bartosiewicz, M., Laurion, I. & MacIntyre, S. (2015), ‘Greenhouse gas emission and storage in a small shallow lake’, *Hydrobiologia* **757**, 101–115.
- Cael, B. B. & Seekell, D. A. (2016), ‘The size-distribution of earth’s lakes’, *Scientific reports* **6**(1), 29633.
- Colina Rama, M. (2022), ‘Role of the dominant primary producer and the associated trophic structure on carbon fluxes (co2 and ch4) in shallow lakes’.
- Downing, J. A. (2009), ‘Global limnology: Up-scaling aquatic services and processes to planet earth’, *Internationale Vereinigung für theoretische und angewandte Limnologie: Verhandlungen* **30**(8), 1149–1166.
- Hipsey, M. R., Bruce, L. C., Boon, C., Busch, B., Carey, C. C., Hamilton, D. P., Hanson, P. C., Read, J. S., de Sousa, E., Weber, M. et al. (2019), ‘A general lake model (glm 3.0) for linking with high-frequency sensor data from the global lake ecological observatory network (gleon)’, *Geoscientific Model Development* **12**(1), 473–523.
- Holgerson, M. A., Farr, E. R. & Raymond, P. A. (2017), ‘Gas transfer velocities in small forested ponds’, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* **122**(5), 1011–1021.
- Holgerson, M. A. & Raymond, P. A. (2016), ‘Large contribution to inland water co2 and ch4 emissions from very small ponds’, *Nature Geoscience* **9**(3), 222–226.
- Karlsson, J., Serikova, S., Vorobyev, S. N., Rocher-Ros, G., Denfeld, B. & Pokrovsky, O. S. (2021), ‘Carbon emission from western siberian inland waters’, *Nature communications* **12**(1), 825.
- Mandelbrot, B. B. & Mandelbrot, B. B. (1982), *The fractal geometry of nature*, Vol. 1, WH freeman New York.
- Richardson, D. C., Holgerson, M. A., Farragher, M. J., Hoffman, K. K., King, K. B., Alfonso, M. B., Andersen, M. R., Cheruveil, K. S., Coleman, K. A., Farruggia, M. J. et al. (2022), ‘A functional definition to distinguish ponds from lakes and wetlands’, *Scientific reports* **12**(1), 10472.
- Serikova, S., Pokrovsky, O., Laudon, H., Krickov, I., Lim, A., Manasypov, R. & Karlsson, J. (2019), ‘High carbon emissions from thermokarst lakes of western siberia’, *Nature Communications* **10**(1), 1552.
- Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., Seekell, D. A. & Tranvik, L. J. (2014), ‘A global inventory of lakes based on high-resolution satellite imagery’, *Geophysical Research Letters* **41**(18), 6396–6402.