

# Aurora Research Initiative (ARI)

## Aurora Research Initiative (ARI)

### ARI Architecture (v1.0.0)

**Author:** Shawn C. Wright

**Affiliation:** Waveframe Labs — Independent Open-Science Research Entity

**ORCID:** 0009-0006-6043-9295

**Creation Date:** 2025-11-26

**Concept DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17743096>

## Aurora Research Initiative (ARI) — ARI Architecture (v1.0.0)

The **Aurora Research Initiative (ARI)** serves as the institutional, governance, and epistemic foundation for the Aurora Workflow Orchestration ecosystem. This document defines ARI's structure, scope, responsibilities, authorities, and interaction boundaries with AWO, CRI-CORE, and scientific case studies.

ARI exists to prevent governance drift, ensure institutional clarity, and provide a stable foundation for reproducible AI-human scientific workflows.

---

## 1. Institutional Positioning

ARI is positioned as the **organizational layer above all workflows and tooling**:

Waveframe Labs (organization)  
└ Aurora Research Initiative (institutional governance)  
  └ AWO – Method & execution workflow  
  └ CRI-CORE – Deterministic tooling engine (in development)  
  └ Scientific Case Studies  
  └ Waveframe v4.0 (cosmology)  
  └ Societal Progress Simulator

ARI governs **principles, oversight, identity, provenance, epistemic norms, and decision-making**. It does *not* execute workflows or implement tooling.

---

## 2. Scope of ARI Governance

ARI defines and enforces the following areas:

## 2.1 Epistemic Norms

- audit-first reasoning
- falsifiability requirements
- metadata completeness
- provenancing rules
- transparency and interpretability standards

## 2.2 Governance Structure

- role separation
- approval boundaries
- oversight responsibilities
- independence of workflow execution

## 2.3 Identity & Integrity Anchoring

- identity rules
- attestation independence principles
- repository identity controls
- reproducibility guarantees

## 2.4 Documentation & Metadata Standards

- metadata block requirements
- artifact traceability
- documentation versioning
- diagram and schema rules

## 2.5 Lifecycle Policies

- evolution of AWO
  - transition to CRI-CORE
  - integration of case studies
  - deprecation and archival behaviors
- 

# 3. ARI is *Not*

To maintain institutional clarity:

ARI is **not**:

- a workflow
- a codebase
- an execution engine
- a model
- an experiment
- a scientific domain framework
- a personal blog
- a philosophical essay collection

ARI **does not**:

- run workflows
- validate commits
- provide runtime logic

- generate artifacts
- execute scientific simulations

ARI **exists solely** to provide the rules, philosophy, and governance around these activities.

---

## 4. Architectural Components

ARI consists of five primary components:

### 4.1 Governance Model

Defines the rules, roles, separation of concerns, and approval logic that govern the initiative.

### 4.2 Epistemic Doctrine

Establishes ARI's scientific philosophy: - audit-first epistemology

- reproducibility as identity
- falsifiability as mandatory
- deterministic provenance

### 4.3 Institutional Interfaces

How ARI integrates with: - AWO (method) - CRI-CORE (tooling) - Case studies (research)

### 4.4 Metadata & Provenance Framework

Defines: - metadata blocks

- documentation structure
- artifact identity rules
- versioning and logging processes

### 4.5 Evolution & Roadmap

Outlines: - transition from AWO dominance to CRI-CORE tooling

- stability criteria
  - ecosystem expansion
  - long-term governance structure
- 

## 5. Interaction Boundaries

### 5.1 With AWO (Method)

ARI: - defines governance  
- enforces epistemic standards  
- sets metadata requirements  
- dictates role separation rules

AWO: - implements workflows  
- enforces determinism (temporary)  
- conducts scientific execution

## 5.2 With CRI-CORE (Tooling)

ARI: - sets expectations for determinism  
- defines provenance criteria  
- governs versioning norms

CRI-CORE: - implements deterministic execution logic  
- enforces identity binding  
- provides the reproducibility engine

## 5.3 With Scientific Case Studies

ARI: - provides epistemic rules  
- ensures falsifiability and auditability  
- defines metadata structure

Case studies: - apply the standards  
- generate research artifacts  
- produce domain-specific results

---

# 6. Stability & Maturity Phases

ARI evolves in distinct phases:

## Phase 1: Foundational Architecture

(You are here) - establish governance  
- create initial documents  
- define structure

## Phase 2: Integration with AWO

- enforce metadata rules
- define governance boundaries
- link ARI artifacts to AWO provenance

## Phase 3: CRI-CORE Onboarding

- transition enforcement from AWO to CRI
- formalize deterministic runner requirements

## Phase 4: Institutional Maturity

- public documentation
  - licensing decisions
  - stability audits
  - reproducibility certification
-

## **7. Revisions & Amendments**

- All architectural changes must:
1. be logged in `logs/GOV_LOG.md`
  2. include version increments
  3. maintain backward linkage
  4. be approved through ARI governance rules

No architectural changes may be made silently.

---

## **8. Contact & Identity**

Principal Investigator: Shawn C. Wright  
Affiliation: Waveframe Labs  
ORCID: 0009-0006-6043-9295  
Email: [swright@waveframelabs.org](mailto:swright@waveframelabs.org)

---

This document establishes the initial institutional architecture of ARI. Future versions will add diagrams, decision logic, metadata schemas, and governance rules.

---

© 2025 Waveframe Labs — Independent Open-Science Research Entity • Governed under the Aurora Research Initiative (ARI)