

# Aurora Workflow Orchestration – Method Specification v1.2

Shawn C. Wright, Aurora Research Initiative / Waveframe Labs Division

October 2025

## Aurora Workflow Orchestration (AWO) — Method Specification v1.2 (Final)

### Abstract

Aurora Workflow Orchestration (AWO) defines a structured, audit-first methodology for AI-assisted research. It establishes deterministic roles, reproducible artifacts, and falsifiability gates to ensure transparent scientific progress. This release (v1.2.0) finalizes automation for documentation builds and institutional alignment **within Waveframe Labs’ Aurora Research Initiative**, establishing the foundation for **CRI-CORE**, the runtime layer that operationalizes continuous research verification.

**Keywords:** reproducible research, AI orchestration, falsifiability, provenance, audit automation

---

### Author:

Shawn C. Wright

### Affiliation:

Waveframe Labs — Aurora Research Initiative (Independent Researcher)

### Version:

1.2 · **Date:** 2025-10-19

**Status:** Final (Canonical Specification)

**Supersedes:** AWO\_Method\_Spec\_v1.1 (2025-10-07)

### DOI:

10.5281/zenodo.17345552

### License:

CC BY 4.0 (text) · Apache-2.0 (code)

---

## Purpose

Define a **repeatable, falsifiable, and auditable** methodology for AI-assisted research and analysis such that any independent party can reproduce both the process and the evidence supporting its outcomes.

---

## Scope

AWO governs the structure of AI-assisted research into falsifiable claims, audited iterations, and immutable, citable releases.

It defines the **methodological layer** that enforces reproducibility and auditability.

**CRI-CORE** provides one possible **implementation layer** automating AWO-compliant runs and manifests, but AWO remains valid independently of it.

---

## Normative Requirements

1. **Falsifiability** — Every claim **MUST** define a testable procedure that could falsify it.
  2. **Full Logging** — Every reasoning step **MUST** be timestamped and schema-validated when applicable.
  3. **Independent Audit** — Logic, data, and peer reviews **MUST** be performed by separate agents or processes.
  4. **Rejection Loop** — Failed audits **MUST** trigger revision or withdrawal, not defense.
  5. **Portability** — Artifacts **SHOULD** be domain-agnostic and reusable across contexts.
  6. **Version Locking** — All claims and outputs **MUST** reference immutable identifiers (tags, hashes, DOIs).
- 

## Roles

- **Orchestrator (Human)** — Frames questions, defines falsifiability criteria, resolves conflicts, approves releases.

- **Main Model (Continuity)** — Maintains project context, synthesizes results, integrates audit feedback.
  - **Auxiliary Auditors (Independent)** —
    - *Logic Auditor* — Validates reasoning consistency.
    - *Data Validator* — Empirically tests claims.
    - *Peer Critic* — Conducts adversarial conceptual review.
  - **System Auditor (Optional)** — Verifies runtime integrity and provenance (e.g., CRI-CORE logs).
- 

## Core Artifacts

- **Falsifiability Manifest** (`/docs/FALSIFIABILITY_MANIFEST.md`) — claim IDs, tests, datasets, thresholds, status.
- **Workflow Logs** (`/logs/*.md`) — dated entries with actions, insights, next steps, skills.
- **Decision Records (ADRs)** (`/decisions/*.md`) — context, decision, consequences, evidence links.
- **Evidence Registry** — actual repo folders:
  - `/figures/` — images/plots referenced by ADRs.
  - `/models/` — optional saved model artifacts.
  - `/scripts/` — helper scripts used in iterations.
  - `/workflows/` — executable workflow specs.
  - `/schemas/` — validation and reproducibility schemas.
- **Run Manifests** (`/runs/run_*/run_manifest.json`) — canonical runtime records.
- **Attestation & Sums** (`/runs/run_*/ATTESTATION.txt`, `SHA256SUMS.txt`, `.sig`, `.cert`) — cryptographic proof and checksums.
- **Release Artifacts** — `CHANGELOG.md`, `CITATION.cff`, `.zenodo.json`, Git tag, Zenodo DOIs.

*Note:* This specification intentionally omits `/notebooks` and `/data`

because they are not present in this repository.  
If future projects require them, add explicitly and document via ADRs and Manifests.

---

## Lifecycle (One Iteration)

0. **Setup** — Define claims, initialize Manifest, assign auditors.
  1. **Draft (Main Model)** — Produce reasoning and outputs tagged with claim IDs.
  2. **Audit (Independent)** — Logic, data, peer auditors record pass/fail results.
  3. **Synthesis (Main Model)** — Reconcile audits, revise claims or methods.
  4. **Decision** — Record outcome in ADR (accepted / revised / withdrawn).
  5. **Evidence Capture** — Save figures, scripts, models; update Manifest status.
  6. **Release Gate** — Validate reproducibility, verify attestations, confirm cross-refs, tag release, archive on Zenodo.
- 

## Logging Schema

- **Log Entry:** date, action, lesson, next step, skills.
- **Audit Record:** claim ID, auditor, check type (logic | data | peer), criteria, result, evidence links.

All logs SHOULD conform to JSON schemas under `/schemas/` when available.  
Schema version SHOULD match the repository release tag (e.g., v1.2).

---

## Rejection Handling

Any failed audit → revise draft or withdraw claim.  
Partial failures → enter **conditional revision** state until all criteria pass.  
Update Manifest and ADR accordingly. No appeals without new evidence.

---

## Portability Guidelines

- Keep templates generic; avoid domain jargon.
  - Parameterize datasets and metrics in Manifest only if they exist in repo.
  - Use Model Roster to swap models or auditors without changing process.
  - Derived projects (e.g., CRI-CORE or domain forks) MUST preserve schema compatibility.
- 

## Conformance Checklist

- ☐ Manifest exists with 1 falsifiable claim and test.
  - ☐ Logs present for each iteration (draft → audit → synthesis → decision).
  - ☐ 1 ADR captures a non-trivial decision or trade-off.
  - ☐ Release artifacts present; latest tag archived with Concept + Version DOIs.
  - ☐ Attestation artifacts verifiable (ATTESTATION.txt, SHA256SUMS.txt, signatures).
  - ☐ (If schemas present) Validation passed for logs and manifests.
- 

## Example Reference

**Waveframe v4.0** — Canonical case study demonstrating AWO artifacts and citable release within the Aurora Research Initiative.

---

## File and Folder Conventions

- /templates/\*.md|yaml — Reusable templates.
- /schemas/\*.json — Validation and reproducibility schemas.
- /decisions/ — Governance records.
- /logs/ — Execution history.

- `/docs/` — Whitepapers, manifests, specifications.
- `/runs/` — Runtime results and attestation.
- `/figures/`, `/models/`, `/scripts/`, `/workflows/` — Evidence and execution assets.

---

**Maintained by Waveframe Labs**

`swright@waveframelabs.org`

`https://waveframelabs.org`

**Status:** Finalized within Aurora Research Initiative · October 2025

Future changes appear only as *Implementation Notes*, not method revisions.