

# Aurora Workflow Orchestration – Method Specification v1.2.1

Shawn C. Wright, Aurora Research Initiative / Waveframe Labs Division

October 2025

## Aurora Workflow Orchestration (AWO)

### Method Specification — v1.2.1 (Scaffold)

Maintainer: Waveframe Labs

License: CC BY 4.0 (docs), Apache 2.0 (code)

---

#### Preface

This document defines the **normative specification** for Aurora Workflow Orchestration (AWO).

It replaces descriptive or philosophical language with enforceable procedural logic.

All future automation layers (e.g., CRI-CORE) must validate conformance against these requirements.

#### Interpretation of Compliance Language

- **MUST** — absolute requirement for AWO-compliant repositories.
  - **SHOULD** — strong recommendation; deviations must be justified in documentation.
  - **MAY** — optional behavior permitted for flexibility.
- 

## 1. Introduction

**Purpose:** Establish a formal, reproducible framework for AI–human research collaboration.

AWO defines the structural and procedural rules necessary for falsifiable, auditable, and citable research.

**Scope:** This specification governs the structure, artifacts, and operational requirements of AWO projects.

It does not define runtime enforcement (covered by CRI-CORE) but specifies all conditions that runtime tools must verify.

**TODO:** Insert concise background paragraph linking to AWO Whitepaper rationale.

---

## 2. Definitions

Define key entities and concepts used throughout the AWO standard.

**Core Terms:** - **Run:** A discrete, traceable research execution instance.

- **Provenance:** The recorded lineage of all data, logic, and decisions that produced a result.

- **Artifact:** Any persistent output (report, manifest, ADR, checksum, dataset).

- **Attestation:** Human or automated confirmation that artifacts are complete, correct, and verified.

- **ADR:** Architecture Decision Record documenting the reasoning behind changes.

- **Manifest:** A falsifiability declaration defining disproof conditions before execution.

**TODO:** Refine definitions list and cross-link to CRI-CORE schema references later.

---

## 3. Roles and Responsibilities

AWO distinguishes between procedural roles to ensure accountability and non-circular validation.

**Primary Roles:** - **Researcher:** Executes runs and maintains artifacts.

- **Maintainer:** Oversees repository integrity and version control.

- **Reviewer:** Performs verification and attestation of completed runs.

**TODO:** Add explicit permissions/responsibilities (who can sign approvals, tag releases, modify manifests).

---

## 4. Repository Requirements

Every AWO project MUST follow a consistent repository layout to ensure verifiability and interoperability.

**Required Directories:**

```
/docs/          → manifests, specs, reports
/decisions/     → ADRs (0001-NNNN)
```

/logs/           → timestamped workflow notes  
 /runs/           → attested run artifacts  
 /figures/       → diagrams, lifecycle visuals

**TODO:** Add detailed artifact rules and cross-link schema expectations.

---

## 5. Lifecycle and Run Phases

Each research cycle proceeds through four canonical phases:

1. **Fan-out (Planning)** — Define hypotheses, manifests, ADRs.
2. **Consensus (Execution)** — Perform runs and collect data.
3. **Attestation (Verification)** — Approve or reject based on falsifiability criteria.
4. **Archival (Publication)** — Freeze results, compute checksums, tag releases.

**TODO:** Create table describing inputs/outputs for each phase.

---

## 6. Artifacts and File Rules

Every run **MUST** produce a verifiable set of artifacts:

File	Description	Required
<code>workflow_frozen.json</code>	Canonical executed parameters and inputs.	Yes
<code>report.md</code>	Describes outcomes, metrics, and observations.	Yes
<code>approval.json</code>	Signed validation record by human reviewer.	Yes
<code>SHA256SUMS.txt</code>	Hash registry for all outputs.	Yes
<code>manifest.json</code> or <code>manifest.md</code>	Defines falsifiability boundaries.	Yes

---

**TODO:** Add versioning, format validation (JSON schema references), and CRI-CORE integration hooks.

---

## 7. Compliance Language

This section defines the mandatory, recommended, and optional behaviors for implementers.

Level	Definition	Enforcement
<b>MUST</b>	Required for compliance.	Hard validation
<b>SHOULD</b>	Recommended unless documented exception.	Warning
<b>MAY</b>	Optional feature.	No enforcement

**TODO:** Map existing AWO clauses to each compliance level.

---

## 8. Governance and Attestation

Each run requires human or automated attestation of validity and completeness.

**Core Requirements:** - Runs **MUST** include `approval.json` with reviewer signature and timestamp.

- Attestation **MAY** include checksum verification and peer confirmation.
- Failed attestations **MUST** be logged under `/logs/attestation_failures/`.

**TODO:** Specify acceptable digital signature methods and verification workflows.

---

## 9. Release and Versioning

AWO-compliant repositories **MUST** version all outputs and preserve immutability.

**Release Requirements:** - Each release corresponds to a reproducible state of the repository.

- Tags **MUST** follow semantic versioning (e.g., `v1.2.1`).
- Releases **MUST** attach PDF artifacts, SHA256SUMS, and ADR references.
- Released runs **MUST NOT** be altered post-publication.

**TODO:** Add instructions for checksum regeneration and Zenodo linkage.

---

## 10. Licensing and Attribution

AWO uses dual licensing to separate executable and textual components.

- **Code:** Licensed under Apache 2.0.

- **Documentation:** Licensed under CC BY 4.0.
- Attribution **MUST** include author, ORCID, and concept DOI in derivative works.

**TODO:** Add structured attribution metadata schema reference.

---

## 11. Falsifiability Manifests

Each experiment **MUST** include a falsifiability manifest before execution.

**Manifest Contents:** - Hypothesis statement

- Predicted outcomes
- Disproof criteria
- Experimental plan
- Acceptance thresholds
- Known risks

**TODO:** Formalize manifest schema for CRI-CORE parsing.

---

## 12. Conformance Checklist

Each repository **MUST** pass the following before claiming AWO compliance:

- ☐ Standard directory structure present.
- ☐ At least one signed run in `/runs/`.
- ☐ ADRs and falsifiability manifests linked.
- ☐ SHA256SUMS.txt present at root.
- ☐ PDF artifacts built successfully.
- ☐ CHANGELOG includes version reference.
- ☐ README links to Whitepaper, Method Spec, Adoption Guide.

**TODO:** Add automated compliance script references (future CRI module).

---

## 13. Appendix C — Rationale Summary (Reserved)

**TODO:** When the Method Spec text is finalized, reintroduce Appendix C summarizing why each rule exists in concise bullet form.

(Placeholder retained for structural continuity.)

---

**End of Specification — Aurora Workflow Orchestration (AWO) v1.2.1 Scaffold**