

Introduction

Title: Syriatel Telecom Phase 3 Project

Subtitle: Predicting Customer Churn

Presenter: Phoebe Wawire

Class: DSF-PT7

Problem Statement

- ▶ **Objective:**
Predict whether a customer of SyriaTel will stop doing business with them (churn) based on various factors.
- ▶ **Audience:**
Telecom businesses interested in understanding potential revenue loss due to customer churn.
- ▶ **Target Variable:**
- ▶ Categorical with two classes:
 - ▶ 1 = Churn
 - ▶ 0 = No Churn

Data Collection

▶ Libraries Used:

- ✓ pandas, numpy, seaborn
- ✓ sklearn: LogisticRegression, confusion_matrix, etc.
- ▶ imbalanced-learn: SMOTE, NearMiss

▶ Dataset Details:

- ▶ Dimensions: 3333 instances, 21 variables

▶ Types of Variables:

- ▶ Boolean: 1
- ▶ Floating Point: 8
- ▶ Integer: 8
- ▶ Object (String): 4

▶ Target Variable: Churn

Data Preparation

Data Types & Categories:

- ▶ Categorical: state, phone number, international plan, voice mail plan
- ▶ Numerical: Floating point, Integer
- ▶ Boolean: 1 column

Steps Taken:

- ▶ Converted categorical variables to numeric
- ▶ Checked and imputed missing values and outliers
- ▶ Feature engineering and scaling applied

Data Modelling using Logistic Regression

Metrics:

- ▶ True Negatives (TN): 566
- ▶ False Positives (FP): 0
- ▶ False Negatives (FN): 91
- ▶ True Positives (TP): 10

Performance:

- ▶ Precision (Churn): 0.77
- ▶ Recall (Churn): 0.10
- ▶ F1-Score (Churn): 0.18

Data Modelling using Logistic Regression continuation

Overall Accuracy: 86%

Insights:

- ▶ High accuracy for non-churn (class 0)
- ▶ Low recall for churn (class 1)

Recommendation:

- ▶ Collect more data for churn class

Data Modelling using Decision Trees

Metrics:

- ▶ True Negatives (TN): 566
- ▶ False Positives (FP): 51
- ▶ False Negatives (FN): 42
- ▶ True Positives (TP): 59

Performance:

- ▶ Precision (Churn): 0.55
- ▶ Recall (Churn): 0.59
- ▶ F1-Score (Churn): 0.57

Data Modelling using Decision Trees continuation

Overall Accuracy: Similar to Logistic Regression

- ▶ **Insights:**
- ▶ Better performance on churn class compared to Logistic Regression
- ▶ High precision and recall for non-churn (class 0)

Recommendation:

- ▶ Collect more data and explore additional modeling techniques

Conclusion

Comparison:

- ▶ **Decision Tree:** Outperforms Logistic Regression in predicting churn with better recall and F1-Score.
- ▶ **Logistic Regression:** Performs well on non-churn but struggles with churn.

Key Findings:

- ▶ Decision Tree's flexibility helps in better classifying churn.
- ▶ Logistic Regression showed a performance drop for churn class.

Next Steps:

- ▶ Validate Decision Tree with more data or cross-validation.
- ▶ Improve data collection for churn cases.

Recommendations

For Model Improvement:

- ▶ **Data Collection:** Increase data for churn cases to balance the dataset.
- ▶ **Model Enhancement:** Explore advanced techniques and ensure robust validation.

For Business:

- ▶ Focus on understanding churn customers using all features.
- ▶ Develop strategies to reduce churn clients from moving to competition by solving the raised concerns within set timelines, have a 24 hour contact center for quick customer issues sorting e.t.c.

Questions & Discussion

- ▶ **Thank You!**
Questions and feedback are welcome