

CS/ECE/ME532 Assignment 8

Note that this assignment can require significant compute time (e.g., over an hour on a modern Mac desktop). You may wish to debug your code on a couple cases before running the full assignment, and then be patient.

- 1. Wikipedia Wisconsin PageRank.** A dataset was created by looking at the links between Wikipedia pages with the word ‘Wisconsin’ in the title. The corresponding graph contains 5482 nodes (Wikipedia pages) and 41,306 edges (links between the pages).

Two files contain the data. The edges are store in a file with two columns of integers, where the first column indicates the *from node* and the second column indicates the *to node*. A second file contains the titles of the Wikipedia pages, and their integer value.

Use the starter script to load the edges file and create an adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} , where $\mathbf{A}_{i,j} = 1$ if there is a edge from node j to node i , and zero elsewhere.

- Write code to:
 - ensure there are no traps by adding 0.001 to each entry of \mathbf{A}
 - normalize \mathbf{A} , and
 - use an eigen decomposition to rank the importance of the Wikipedia pages.
- What is the title of the page ranked 1st (i.e, the most important page)?
- What is the title of the page ranked 3rd?

- 2. Data Fitting vs. Sparsity Tradeoff.** This assignment uses the dataset `BreastCancer.mat` to explore sparse regularization of a least squares problem. The journal article “A gene-expression signature as a predictor of survival in breast cancer” provides background on the role of genes in breast cancer.

The goal is to solve the Lasso problem

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$$

Here \mathbf{w} is the weight vector applied to the expression levels of 8141 genes and there are 295 patients (feature sets and labels). In this problem we will vary λ to explore the tradeoff between data-fitting and sparsity.

Scripts that implement iterative soft thresholding via proximal gradient descent to solve the LASSO problem are available. The scripts use a hot start procedure for finding the solution with different values for λ . The initial guess for the next value of λ is the converged solution for the preceding value. This accelerates convergence when subsequent values of λ lead to similar solutions.

- a) Write code to find the optimal weights using only the first 100 patients (first 100 rows). Create a plot with the residual $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}^* - \mathbf{d}\|_2$ on the vertical-axis and $\|\mathbf{w}^*\|_1$ on the horizontal-axis, parameterized by λ . In other words, create the curve by finding \mathbf{w}^* for different λ , and plotting $\|\mathbf{w}^*\|_1$ vs. $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w}^* - \mathbf{d}\|_2$. Experiment with λ to find a range that captures the variation from the least-squares solution (small λ) to the all zeros solution (large λ). Appropriate values of λ may range from 10^{-6} to 20, spaced logarithmically. Explain the result.
 - b) Next use your solutions from part a) to plot the error rate on the vertical-axis versus the sparsity on the horizontal-axis as λ varies. Define the error rate as the number of incorrect predictions divided by the total number of predictions and the sparsity as the number of nonzero entries in \mathbf{w}^* . For this purpose, we'll say an entry w_i is nonzero if $|w_i| > 10^{-6}$. Calculate the error rate using the training data, the data used to find the optimal weights. Explain the result.
 - c) Repeat parts a) and b) to display the residual and error rate, respectively using validation or test data, rows 101-295 of the data matrix, that is, the data not used to design the optimal classifier. Again, explain what you see in each plot.
3. Now compare the performance of the LASSO and ridge regression for the breast cancer dataset using the following steps:
- Randomly split the set of 295 patients into ten subsets of size 29-30.
 - Use the data in eight of the subsets to find a solution to the Lasso optimization above and to the ridge regression problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2.$$

Repeat this for a range of λ values to obtain a set of solutions \mathbf{w}_λ .

- Compute the prediction error using each \mathbf{w}_λ on **one** of the remaining two of the ten subsets. Use the solution that has the smallest prediction error to find the best λ . Note that LASSO and ridge regression will produce different best values for λ .
- Compute the test error on the final subset of the data for the choice of λ that minimizes the prediction error. Compute both the squared error and the error rate.

Repeat this process for different subsets of eight training, one tuning (λ) and one testing subsets, and compute the average squared error and average number of misclassifications across all different subsets.

Note that you should use the identity derived in Problem 1 of the Activity 5.2 in order to speed the computation of ridge regression.