


Single-cell Morphology Quality Control (coSMicQC)

Dave Bunten^{1*}, Jenna Tomkinson^{1*}, Vincent Rubinetti¹, Gregory Way¹

¹Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus

*These authors contributed equally to this work.



Department of Biomedical Informatics
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO ANSCHUTZ MEDICAL CAMPUS

I. Erroneous outliers and analysis





Figure 1: Extra clustering islands can be seen when looking at morphological profiles linked to poor segmentation, which when removed, better reveal patterns in the data.

Segmentation errors during single-cell morphology image analysis such as misidentifying cell compartments or artifacts as cells can lead to inaccurate single-cell measurements and **erroneous anomalies** within the data. Researchers often resort to **error-prone, bespoke filtering methods** or aggregate data into bulk profiles to avoid discrepancies caused by anomaly outliers. These techniques make it challenging to perform **quality control** on the data, impeding the potential for meaningful discoveries.

II. Single-cell quality control package



To address these challenges, we introduce **coSMicQC** (**Single-cell Morphology Quality Control**), an open source Python package designed to enhance the accuracy of single-cell morphology analysis. **coSMicQC** offers default and customizable thresholds for quality control, integrating seamlessly into both command line and Python API workflows.

III. Getting started with coSMicQC

☆ 1) Installation

```
# pip install from pypi
pip install coSMicQC

# install directly from source
pip install git+https://github.com/WayScience/
coSMicQC.git
```

coSMicQC may be installed from PyPI or source.

☆ 2) Finding outliers

```
import cosmicqc
# find outliers from single-cell profiles
scdf = cosmicqc.analyze.find_outliers(
    df="single-cell-profiles.parquet",
    metadata_columns=[
        "Metadata_ImageNumber",
        "Image_Metadata_Plate_x"
    ],
    feature_thresholds={
        "Nuclei_AreaShape_Area": -1},
)
```

Number of outliers: 328
Outliers Range:
Nuclei_AreaShape_Area Min: 734.0
Nuclei_AreaShape_Area Max: 1904.0

Nuclei_AreaShape_Area	Metadata_ImageNumber	Image_Metadata_Plate_x
921.0	2	Plate_2
845.0	2	Plate_2
1024.0	2	Plate_2
787.0	2	Plate_2
1347.0	2	Plate_2
...

Figure 2: The `find_outliers` function in coSMicQC uses single-cell feature thresholds to provide a report on how many outliers were detected (Python API or CLI). We use z-scores to help define thresholds used throughout coSMicQC.

```
# CLI interface for coSMicQC find_outliers
$ cosmicqc find_outliers \
--df single-cell-profiles.parquet \
--metadata_columns \[Metadata_ImageNumber\] \
--feature_thresholds \{"Nuclei_AreaShape_Area": -1\}
```

Number of outliers: 328
Outliers Range:
Nuclei_AreaShape_Area Min: 734.0
...

☆ 3) Visualizing outlier distributions

```
import cosmicqc
# label and show outliers within the profiles
scdf = cosmicqc.analyze.label_outliers(
    df="single-cell-profiles.parquet",
    include_threshold_scores=True,
).show_report()
```




Figure 3: Deep erroneous anomaly analysis is enabled within coSMicQC through the `label_outliers` function, which appends z-score data for features, and the `CytoDataFrame.show_report` method to visualize where outliers are detected within the dataset.

☆ 4) Understanding outlier segmentations

```
import cosmicqc
# passing image and mask dirs to display images
cosmicqc.CytoDataFrame(
    data="single-cell-profiles.parquet",
    data_context_dir="./image_directory/",
    data_mask_context_dir="./mask_directory/",
)
```




Figure 4: Interactive visualizations that help users identify outlier distributions through the `CytoDataFrame` – a novel data format that links single-cell measurements with their corresponding images and segmentation masks in real-time, enriching data analysis and interpretation.

IV. Real-world applications




Figure 5: A representation of ROC AUC scores from multiple random samples taken from a holdout dataset (that has had QC applied) being applied to the QC trained model and no-QC trained model. The QC model outperforms the no-QC model, and the average performance of the QC model is significantly higher than the no-QC model (t-statistic = -72.1, p-value = 0.0).




Figure 6: coSMicQC helped identify single-cell segmentations which passed (green) or failed (red) the QC conditions for two nuclei channel FOVs with an unusual phenotype (left) and a standard phenotype (right).




Figure 7: Praesent eu sem id nibh viverra finibus. Praesent eu sem id nibh viverra finibus. Praesent eu sem id nibh viverra finibus. Praesent eu sem id nibh viverra finibus.

V. Acknowledgements

Special thanks goes to the following for their help in contributing to the coSMicQC inspiration, development, or related work.

- CU Anschutz: Timothy A. McKinsey, Josh Travers
- iNFixion: Michelle Mattson-Hoss, Herb Sarnoff