

Runtime Analysis

node runtime.js results of extraLargeArray:

```
Wade@LAPTOP-E2UQ95U9 MINGW64 ~/Desktop/BaseCamp/w7-DevOps&ComputerScience/5Fri/cs
$ node runtime.js
Results for the extraLargeArray
insert 1.1224652 s
append 3.4074 ms
```

node runtime.js results of largeArray:

```
Wade@LAPTOP-E2UQ95U9 MINGW64 ~/Desktop/BaseCamp/w7-DevOps&ComputerScience/5Fri/cs
$ node runtime.js
Results for the largeArray
insert 9.3791 ms
append 612.5 µs
```

node runtime.js results of mediumArray:

```
Wade@LAPTOP-E2UQ95U9 MINGW64 ~/Desktop/BaseCamp/w7-DevOps&ComputerScience/5Fri/cs
$ node runtime.js
Results for the mediumArray
insert 199.5 µs
append 154.4 µs
```

node runtime.js results of smallArray:

```
Wade@LAPTOP-E2UQ95U9 MINGW64 ~/Desktop/BaseCamp/w7-DevOps&ComputerScience/5Fri/cs
$ node runtime.js
Results for the smallArray
insert 54.5 µs
append 108.8 µs
```

node runtime.js results of tinyArray:

```
Wade@LAPTOP-E2UQ95U9 MINGW64 ~/Desktop/BaseCamp/w7-DevOps&ComputerScience/5Fri/cs
$ node runtime.js
Results for the tinyArray
insert 40.3 µs
append 95.6 µs
```

| | Size | Ins-time | App-time |
|-------------|--------|---------------|---------------|
| None | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tiny | 10 | 40.3 μ s | 95.6 μ s |
| Small | 100 | 54.5 μ s | 108.8 μ s |
| Medium | 1000 | 199.5 μ s | 154.4 μ s |
| Large | 10000 | 9.3791 ms | 612.5 μ s |
| Extra-Large | 100000 | 1.1132738 s | 3.7087 ms |

The append function scales better. It is a constant type - $O(1)$, this is because it is always adding to the last index of the array, where as the insert function is a linear - $O(n)$ type. The insert function is adding to the front of the array, changing the index of every item after it - the larger the array the more items are shifted in that array.