

Audit Report

Neutron

v1.0

December 7, 2022

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
License	4
Disclaimer	4
Introduction Purpose of This Report Codebase Submitted for the Audit Methodology Functionality Overview	6 6 7 7
How to Read This Report	8
Summary of Findings Code Quality Criteria	9
 Detailed Findings 1. Proposal's tally returns wrong vote results 2. An error triggered during the handling of an Ack IBC message will make channel unusable and spam the network 3. IBC events loop in Sudo handler could drain relayer's funds 4. Attackers are able to spam the network with IBC messages using the ibc-tramodule 5. Unbounded iteration in ValidateBasic may cause node timeout 6. Unbounded iteration in PerformSubmitTx could be used by an attacker to down or halt the chain 7. Unbounded iteration in EndBlocker when calculating vote power could be used 	11 12 nsfer 13 14 slow 14
an attacker to slow down or halt the chain 8. Attackers could steal funds from the ibc-transfer contract 9. Fee struct could be simplified to avoid manipulations 10. Unbounded messages loop could run out of gas 11. Contracts are not compliant with CW2 migration specification 12. Relayers overriding ACKNOWLEDGEMENT_RESULTS could lead to inconsisten 13. DAO contract upgrades require a chain upgrade 14. Incomplete MsgRegisterInterchainQuery message validation 15. Sudo handler is not handling multiple transactions correctly under scircumstances 16. UpdateInterchainQuery message does not support transaction queries	15 15 16 16 17 12 18 18
17. Lack of validation for the GenesisState of the feerefunder module18. ContractFailure not being removed from the store could cause RPC nodes to	20 to be
spammed 19. AppModule contains an unused sudoHandler attribute	21 21

	20. KVKeysFromString function is unused	22
	21. Additional documentation required for SudoMsg handlers in the ibc-contract	transfer 22
	22. Inconsistent naming of query function may cause confusion	22
	23. Missing event emission may negatively impact off-chain components	23
Apper	ndix	24
	1. Example data demonstration for issue "Proposal tally is not accounting no w votes"	ith veto 24

License







THIS WORK IS LICENSED UNDER A CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION-NODERIVATIVES 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.

Disclaimer

THE CONTENT OF THIS AUDIT REPORT IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND.

THE AUTHOR AND HIS EMPLOYER DISCLAIM ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE ARISING OUT OF, OR IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS AUDIT REPORT.

COPYRIGHT OF THIS REPORT REMAINS WITH THE AUTHOR.

This audit has been performed by

Oak Security

https://oaksecurity.io/ info@oaksecurity.io Introduction

Purpose of This Report

Oak Security has been engaged by P2P Staking to perform a security audit of Neutron.

The objectives of the audit are as follows:

1. Determine the correct functioning of the protocol, in accordance with the project

specification.

2. Determine possible vulnerabilities, which could be exploited by an attacker.

3. Determine smart contract bugs, which might lead to unexpected behavior.

4. Analyze whether best practices have been applied during development.

5. Make recommendations to improve code safety and readability.

This report represents a summary of the findings.

As with any code audit, there is a limit to which vulnerabilities can be found, and unexpected execution paths may still be possible. The author of this report does not guarantee complete coverage (see disclaimer).

Codebase Submitted for the Audit

The audit has been performed on the following GitHub repositories:

https://github.com/neutron-org/neutron (referred to as neutron below)

Commit hash: 7e9751768e533ea9908bbe6fcc6d490747bf0cca

https://github.com/neutron-org/neutron-contracts (referred to as neutron-contracts below)

·

Commit hash: e9b2a9d9179d3c136ba024870e762bcb046b0f8c

Methodology

The audit has been performed in the following steps:

- 1. Gaining an understanding of the code base's intended purpose by reading the available documentation.
- 2. Automated source code and dependency analysis.
- 3. Manual line by line analysis of the source code for security vulnerabilities and use of best practice guidelines, including but not limited to:
 - a. Race condition analysis
 - b. Under-/overflow issues
 - c. Key management vulnerabilities
- 4. Report preparation

Functionality Overview

Neutron is a blockchain network that brings Smart Contracts into the Cosmos ecosystem using CosmWasm. Neutron uses the IBC protocol leveraging Interchain Accounts in order to perform custom cross-chain queries and transactions. Its security is provided by the Cosmos Hub network using Interchain Security.

The audit scope comprehends the Cosmos SDK Neutron chain and its CosmWasm bindings and example contracts.

How to Read This Report

This report classifies the issues found into the following severity categories:

Severity	Description
Critical	A serious and exploitable vulnerability that can lead to loss of funds, unrecoverable locked funds, or catastrophic denial of service.
Major	A vulnerability or bug that can affect the correct functioning of the system, lead to incorrect states or denial of service.
Minor	A violation of common best practices or incorrect usage of primitives, which may not currently have a major impact on security, but may do so in the future or introduce inefficiencies.
Informational	Comments and recommendations of design decisions or potential optimizations, that are not relevant to security. Their application may improve aspects, such as user experience or readability, but is not strictly necessary. This category may also include opinionated recommendations that the project team might not share.

The status of an issue can be one of the following: Pending, Acknowledged, or Resolved.

Note that audits are an important step to improving the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of the system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**. We include a table with these criteria below.

Note that high complexity or low test coverage does not necessarily equate to a higher risk, although certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than in a security audit and vice versa.

Summary of Findings

No	Description	Severity	Status
1	Proposal's tally returns wrong vote results	Critical	Resolved
2	An error triggered during the handling of an ${\tt Ack}$ ${\tt IBC}$ message will make the channel unusable and spam the network	Critical	Resolved
3	IBC events loop in Sudo handler could drain relayer's funds	Critical	Resolved
4	Attackers are able to spam the network with IBC messages using the ibc-transfer module	Major	Resolved
5	Unbounded iteration in ValidateBasic may cause node timeout	Major	Resolved
6	Unbounded iteration in PerformSubmitTx could be used by an attacker to slow down or halt the chain	Major	Resolved
7	Unbounded iteration in EndBlocker when calculating vote power could be used by an attacker to slow down or halt the chain	Major	Resolved
8	Attackers could steal funds from the ibc-transfer contract	Major	Acknowledged
9	Fee struct could be simplified to avoid manipulations	Major	Resolved
10	Unbounded messages loop could lead the execution out of gas	Minor	Resolved
11	Contracts are not compliant with $\mathtt{CW2}$ migration specification	Minor	Resolved
12	Relayers overriding ACKNOWLEDGEMENT_RESULTS could lead to inconsistency	Minor	Resolved
13	DAO contract upgrades require a chain upgrade	Minor	Resolved
14	<pre>Incomplete</pre>	Minor	Resolved

15	Sudo handler is not handling multiple transactions correctly under some circumstances	Minor	Acknowledged
16	UpdateInterchainQuery message does not support transaction queries	Minor	Resolved
17	Lack of validation for the GenesisState of the feerefunder module	Minor	Resolved
18	ContractFailure not being removed could cause RPC nodes to be spammed	Minor	Resolved
19	AppModule contains an unused sudoHandler attribute	Informational	Resolved
20	KVKeysFromString function is unused	Informational	Resolved
21	Additional documentation required for SudoMsg handlers in the ibc-transfer contract	Informational	Resolved
22	Inconsistent naming of query function may cause confusion	Informational	Resolved
23	Missing event emission may negatively impact off-chain components	Informational	Resolved

Code Quality Criteria

Criteria	Status	Comment
Code complexity	Medium	-
Code readability and clarity	Medium	-
Level of documentation	Medium	The documentation has high variance of quality and completeness between different modules.
Test coverage	Low	17.4% test coverage for Neutron Cosmos SDK appchain. 53.17% test coverage for Neutron CosmWasm contracts. No unit tests are in place.

Detailed Findings

1. Proposal's tally returns wrong vote results

Severity: Critical

In neutron:x/gov/keeper/tally.go:79-81, when calculating the result of a governance proposal, the execution is not accounting for NoWithVeto votes.

The current implementation returns a failed proposal result if the following inequation is true:

```
\frac{\textit{OptionNo}}{\textit{totalTokensVoted} - \textit{OptionAbstain}} \geq \textit{tallyParams.Threshold}
```

It is evident that NoWithVeto votes are not counted and treated as Yes votes in the example in <u>Appendix 1</u>.

This implies that proposals that should fail will be unintendedly successful.

Recommendation

We recommend adding NoWithVeto votes to No votes in the previous inequation as follows:

$$\frac{\textit{OptionNo} + \textit{OptionNoWithVeto}}{\textit{totalTokensVoted} - \textit{OptionAbstain}} \geq \textit{tallyParams}. \textit{Threshold}$$

Status: Resolved

The Neutron team decided to remove the custom gov module and restore the default one from Cosmos SDK.

2. An error triggered during the handling of an Ack IBC message will make the channel unusable and spam the network

Severity: Critical

Neutron uses ORDERED channels, which means that there is a sequencer that keeps track of the currently waiting Ack message.

This message is handled in the Acknowledgement function defined in https://github.com/cosmos/ibc-go/blob/77c10be63204a52ec53b1e8ef91a76bae140d5ed/modules/core/keeper/msg_server.go#L588-L647 that is responsible for incrementing the NextSequenceAck and call the IBCModule's HandleAcknowledgement method defined in neutron:x/interchaintxs/keeper/ibc handlers.go:16-47.

If it returns an error, the execution will revert and NextSequenceAck will not be incremented. This could happen for various reasons:

• Sudo handlers in the smart contract return an error because of a bug or an invalid input data

• Sudo handlers are not defined in the smart contract

This implies that the channel will be unusable and that the relayer will continue sending the

same failing Ack message.

Also, an attacker could use a significant amount of deployed smart contracts and transactions with failing Sudo handlers in order to let relayers spam the network with Ack messages and trigger the BroadcastTxCommit timeout and the NewTxTimeoutHeightDecorator

defined in the AnteHandler.

This can prevent that node from processing further ABCI messages such that it has to pause and contact peers to get the latest correct blocks. If a significant number of them hit the

timeout and halt simultaneously, block production may slow down or even stop.

Recommendation

We recommend implementing logic to manage failures in the <code>HandleAcknowledgement</code> in order to stop the relayer from continuously re-propose the same failing Ack message.

Status: Resolved

3. IBC events loop in Sudo handler could drain relayer's funds

Severity: Critical

A malicious hacker could develop a CosmWasm smart contract that implements an IBC

events loop in the Sudo handler.

For example a contract that in the Response Sudo handler, executes another transaction

that will trigger the same Response handler and so on.

Since there is not an aggregate gas counter for all the different transactions of the IBC events flow that could break the loop with an out of gas error, the execution can run until all the

relayer's funds are drained.

Also, this behavior could be used to congest and slow down the chain.

Recommendation

We recommend implementing an aggregate gas counter for each IBC flow in order to break

possible loops.

Status: Resolved

4. Attackers are able to spam the network with IBC messages using the ibc-transfer module

Severity: Major

In

• neutron:internal/sudo/sudo.go:100-103,

• neutron:internal/sudo/sudo.go:132-135, and

• neutron:internal/sudo/sudo.go:166-169,

the execution is checking that the received <code>Acknowledgement</code> or <code>Timeout Packet</code> is related to an <code>IBC</code> transaction originated from an existing CosmWasm smart contract address and returning an error otherwise.

Since it is possible to send an ibc-transfer module's transfer transaction also from a non contract address, for example using the neutrond CLI, with the following command:

neutrond tx ibc-transfer transfer

an attacker could send a big number of small value transfer messages in order to spam the network with Acknowledgement packets and let them fail in the guard implemented in the mentioned lines.

A huge number of such messages could congest nodes and make them unable to process blocks before the BroadcastTxCommit timeout.

Also, the NewTxTimeoutHeightDecorator will discard all messages with an elapsed heightTimeout, which could be used in a particular event in order to manipulate it.

This can prevent that node from processing further ABCI messages such that it has to pause and contact peers to get the latest correct blocks. If a significant number of them hit the timeout and halt simultaneously, block production may slow down or even stop.

Recommendation

We recommend removing the guard or restricting transfer transactions in the ibc-transfer module to only smart contracts.

Status: Resolved

5. Unbounded iteration in ValidateBasic may cause node timeout

Severity: Major

ValidateBasic function for MsgRegisterInterchainQuery x/interchainqueries/types/tx.go:73-100 includes an unbounded iteration that

may be exploited to cause a node timeout.

The function loops over TransactionsFilter, a caller-supplied slice that is not checked

for duplicate entries and does not have a defined size upper-bound.

It is best practice to keep ValidateBasic logic simple as gas is not charged when it is executed. It should only perform all necessary stateless checks to enable middleware operations (for example, parsing the required signer accounts to validate a signature by a middleware) without impacting performance in the CheckTx phase. Other validation

operations must be performed when handling a message in a module's MsgServer.

Recommendation

We recommend simplifying the checks that are performed during the ValidateBasic function. A possible solution could be implementing a length upper-bound for

TransactionsFilter.

Status: Resolved

6. Unbounded iteration in PerformSubmitTx could be used by an attacker to slow down or halt the chain

Severity: Major

In neutron:wasmbinding/message plugin.go:204, SubmitTx is performing an

unbounded iteration over submitTx.Msgs.

An attacker could craft a message with a significant number of Msqs with the intention of spamming the network and impact the block production time triggering BroadcastTxCommit timeout and the NewTxTimeoutHeightDecorator defined in the

AnteHandler.

This can prevent the node from processing further ABCI messages such that it has to pause and contact peers to get the latest correct blocks. If a significant number of them hit the

timeout and halt simultaneously, block production may slow down or even stop.

Recommendation

We recommend placing a cap on the number of messages that the user can send in SubmitTx, or otherwise consume gas in each iteration.

Status: Resolved

7. Unbounded iteration in EndBlocker when calculating vote power could be used by an attacker to slow down or halt the chain

Severity: Major

In neutron:x/gov/keeper/voting.go:40-51, in order to calculate voting powers, the EndBlocker is running an unbounded iteration over a slice containing all voting user votes.

An attacker could use a significant number of addresses with a small amount of tokens in order to grow the slice length and impact the block production time, eventually triggering the BroadcastTxCommit timeout and the NewTxTimeoutHeightDecorator defined in the AnteHandler.

This can prevent the node from processing further ABCI messages such that it has to pause and contact peers to get the latest correct blocks. If a significant number of them hit the timeout and halt simultaneously, block production may slow down or even stop.

Recommendation

We recommend not performing unbounded iterations in BeginBlocker or in the EndBlocker. Instead, logic could be implemented in the DAO contract in order to store aggregated vote data.

Status: Resolved

The Neutron team decided to remove the custom gov module and restore the default one from Cosmos SDK.

8. Attackers could steal funds from the ibc-transfer contract

Severity: Major

In neutron-contracts:contracts/ibc_transfer/src/contract.rs:43, execute_send is called in order to complete an IBC-20 transaction from source chain to sink chain, but this function does not verify that there are sufficient funds in the contract to support an IBC transfer.

Because the execute_send function lacks an authorization check, an attacker can frontrun the legit user and steal funds by sending them from the contract to its own address on the sink chain.

We classify this issue as major instead of critical since the ibc-transfer contract is meant to be an example.

Recommendation

We recommend either having the msg.sender send money during the execute_send function call or implementing an authorization check in order to confirm the ownership of the funds held within the contract.

Status: Acknowledged

The Neutron team states that the ibc-transfer contract is meant to be used only as an example and for testing purposes. Warnings about the involved risks of missing authorization have been added in code and documentation.

9. Fee struct could be simplified to avoid manipulations

Severity: Major

In neutron:proto/feerefunder/fee.proto:17, Fees definition includes Coins as an attribute in order to track users' and relayers' payments. The checkFees function defined in neutron:x/feerefunder/keeper/keeper.go:169 ensures that the user pays the right amount, checking that coins are over a threshold. However, there's no validation for the denom. This can be abused, and only one coin with almost no value could be used to pass the validation checks.

Recommendation

We recommend either specifying and enforcing the minimum fee per denom. Alternatively, fees could be limited to one coin by replacing Coins with Coin, as this replacement is still compliant with the Fee interface, see https://github.com/cosmos/ibc/tree/main/spec/app/ics-029-fee-payment#fee-middleware-cont ract.

Status: Resolved

10. Unbounded messages loop could run out of gas

Severity: Minor

In

neutron-contracts:contracts/neutron interchain queries/src/contrac

t.rs:312, the recipient_deposits_from_tx_body function iterates over the tx body.messages to filter out the required transaction.

However, because the number of messages is unknown, this could lead to an unbounded loop execution, which could cause an out-of-gas error.

This implies that the smart contract may never be able to consume the supplied dataset corresponding to the query.

Even though this is a major issue, we classify it as minor since the affected contract is only an example contract.

Recommendation

We recommend limiting the amount of messages that are executed and, if there are more messages than the limit permits, emitting the unprocessed messages so the relayer can re-submit them.

Status: Acknowledged

The Neutron team states that the mentioned contract is meant to be used only as an example and for testing purposes. In production, contract developers have to take responsibility for handling discarded messages.

11. Contracts are not compliant with CW2 migration specification

Severity: Minor

The following contracts do not adhere to the CW2 migration specification:

- neutron-contracts:contracts/reflect,
- neutron-contracts:contracts/neutron_interchain_txs,
- neutron-contracts:contracts/neutron interchain queries, and
- neutron-contracts:contracts/ibc-transfer.

This may lead to unexpected problems during contract migration and code version handling.

Recommendation

We recommend supporting the CW2 standard in all the protocol contracts. For reference, see https://docs.cosmwasm.com/docs/1.0/smart-contracts/migration.

Status: Resolved

12.Relayers overriding ACKNOWLEDGEMENT_RESULTS could lead to inconsistency

Severity: Minor

In

- neutron-contracts:contracts/neutron_interchain_txs/src/contracts:384,
- neutron-contracts:contracts/neutron_interchain_txs/src/contracts:405,and
- neutron-contracts:contracts/neutron_interchain_txs/src/contracts:425,

if the relayer submits the data for the same <code>seq_id</code> and <code>channel_id</code> again to the chain, this may lead to an inconsistent result since the <code>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT_RESULTS</code> might be overridden.

We classify this issue as minor since the affected contract is only an example contract.

Recommendation

We recommend deleting the Sudo message payload that corresponds to the actual seq_id and channel_id tuple as soon as the submitted data has been processed on-chain.

Status: Resolved

13. DAO contract upgrades require a chain upgrade

Severity: Minor

In neutron: x/gov/keeper/tally.go:13, the DAO contract's $code_id$ is hardcoded.

Consequently, if this contract needs to be updated to fix an issue or to introduce a feature, it will not be possible without updating the chain binary and distribuite the new executable to validators.

Recommendation

We recommend having the <code>code_id</code> of the DAO contract in the module's <code>Params</code> in order to enable the governance to update it.

Status: Resolved

The Neutron team decided to remove the custom gov module and restore the default one from Cosmos SDK.

14. Incomplete MsgRegisterInterchainQuery message validation

Severity: Minor

In neutron:x/interchainqueries/types/tx.go:73-100, during the validation of the MsgRegisterInterchainQuery message in the ValidateBasic function, there

aren't any checks for the keys field if QueryType is KV.

This implies that an invalid MsqReqisterInterchainQuery can successfully pass the

ValidateBasic checks and be processed in the message handler.

Recommendation

recommend implementing a check in MsgRegisterInterchainQuery's

ValidateBasic method in order to ensure that it is correctly constructed.

Status: Resolved

15. Sudo handler is not handling multiple transactions correctly

under some circumstances

Severity: Minor

In neutron-contracts:contracts/ibc transfer/src/contract.rs:131, a

query searches for transfer transactions with a recipient equal to the provided one and a

min height larger than a specific value.

In a realistic scenario, there might be several transfer transactions where the receiver

matches the given recipient under the same min height.

In fact, when the sudo handler submits the result of the tx query, it always expects it will be

one, which is not necessarily the case. This assumption could cause the handler to improperly decode the data parameter and lose the transaction data, which would produce inaccurate

results.

We classify this issue as minor since the affected contract is only an example contract.

Recommendation

We recommend not relying on the mentioned assumption and using a multi transaction

decoding method instead.

Status: Acknowledged

16. UpdateInterchainQuery message does not support transaction queries

Severity: Minor

In lines neutron:x/interchainqueries/keeper/msg_server.go:118-151, when handling an UpdateInterchainQuery message, there is no check in order to perform a different behavior for different query types.

The function assumes that the given query is of the KV type one so it will be possible to save KV query parameters like keys in transaction queries.

Consequently, it will not be possible to update transactions_filter of transaction queries.

Recommendation

We recommend implementing a condition in order to support the update of both types of queries.

Status: Resolved

17. Lack of validation for the GenesisState of the feerefunder module

Severity: Minor

In neutron:x/feerefunder/types/genesis.go:20, the Validate function is not validating the FeeInfos and Params parameters. Consequently, Payer could be an incorrect address and the PacketId and Fee structs may not be well-constructed.

Also, the Validate function for Params in neutron:x/feerefunder/types/params.go:43-45 is not implemented and always returns nil.

Recommendation

We recommend implementing validation for the GenesisState and its Params attribute of the feerefunder module.

Status: Resolved

18. ContractFailure not being removed from the store could cause RPC nodes to be spammed

Severity: Minor

In neutron:x/contractmanager/keeper/failure.go, the AddContractFailure function adds a failure entry to the store. However, once consumed by the client, there is no

way to remove those entries.

Failures can be queried through the Failures query defined in neutron:x/contractmanager/keeper/grpc query failure.go:14. This method

allows the caller to specify a pagination parameter.

Nevertheless, since there is no cap on the pagination parameter, this can be maliciously

defined to be close to the highest number possible with the following combination

 $offset = 0 \land limit = uint64:: Max$

This combination of parameters will cause query. Paginate to load many results, the majority of them potentially already consumed. Since anybody can call this endpoint, it could

be an attack vector over RPCs to spam nodes.

Recommendation

We recommend capping the number of results that can be requested from this endpoint by enforcing a maximum number for the limit parameter. Alternatively, failures that have

already been consumed could be flagged and removed from the store through a time

dependent trigger.

Status: Resolved

19. AppModule contains an unused sudoHandler attribute

Severity: Informational

In neutron:x/interchaingueries/module.go:106, the AppModule struct defines a

sudoHandler attribute that is never used.

Recommendation

We recommend removing the unused attribute.

Status: Resolved

20. KVKeysFromString function is unused

Severity: Informational

In neutron:x/interchainqueries/types/types.go:96, the function KVKeysFromString is defined but never used in the code.

Recommendation

We recommend removing the mentioned unused function.

Status: Resolved

21. Additional documentation required for SudoMsg handlers in the ibc-transfer contract

Severity: Informational

In neutron-contracts:contracts/ibc_transfer/src/contract.rs:165, match is not implemented for all the SudoMsg variants and a todo! macro is used instead.

This will lead to the issue described in <u>"An error triggered during the handling of an Ack IBC</u> message will make the channel unusable and spam the network"

Since this is a contract that should be used as an example and reference for other devs, it should follow all the best practices defined in the Neutron documentation.

Recommendation

We recommend implementing a match statement for all SudoMsgs and providing more comments and documentation.

Status: Resolved

22. Inconsistent naming of query function may cause confusion

Severity: Informational

In

neutron-contracts:contracts/neutron_interchain_queries/src/contract.rs:206, according to its literal meaning, the query_transfers_amount function should return the total amount of tokens that have been moved, but instead it returns the total number of transfers that have been made so far.

Recommendation

We recommend changing the query_transfers_amount function's logic to reflect its name literal meaning or renaming the function to reflect how it is meant to operate.

Status: Resolved

23. Missing event emission may negatively impact off-chain components

Severity: Informational

In neutron:x/feerefunder/keeper/keeper.go:121, no event is emitted when the timeout fee is distributed, whereas an event gets emitted when the acknowledgement fee is distributed.

This may negatively impact off-chain components such as data collectors and indexers.

Recommendation

We recommend emitting an event during the timeout fee distribution.

Status: Resolved

Appendix

1. Example data demonstration for issue "Proposal tally is not accounting no with veto votes"

Example proposal's vote result:

- OptionYes: 40
- OptionNo: 20
- OptionNoWithVeto: 25
- OptionAbstain: 15

With those votes, the proposal should fail so the following inequation should be verified:

$$\frac{\textit{OptionNo}}{\textit{totalTokensVoted} - \textit{OptionAbstain}} \geq \textit{tallyParams.Threshold}$$

Substituting with example data:

$$\frac{20}{100-15} \ge 0.5 \Rightarrow 0.235 \ge 0.5$$

The inequation is not verified and the proposal is marked as successful.