

Smart Contract Audit Report

pNetwork DAO Staking and Voting Reward System

July 31, 2020

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
Disclaimer	3
Summary of Findings	4
Introduction	5
Purpose of this Report	5
Codebase Submitted for the Audit	5
Methodology	6
Project Overview	7
Intended Functionality	7
Smart Contracts Audited	7
Detailed Findings	8
Issues Encountered	8
Reward collection for an address will eventually fail due to block gas limit	8
Staking operation does not check for allowance	8
Gas-intensive loops over unbounded arrays in staking manager	9
Ineffective require statement in VotingRewards contract	9
Unused variables (error constants) in VotingRewards contract	10
Overall Code Quality	10
Automated Vulnerability Scanning	10
Test Coverage	11
Appendix - Automated Vulnerability Scanning Output	12
StakingManager.sol	12
VotingReward.sol	13
Steroids.sol	15

Disclaimer

THE CONTENT OF THIS AUDIT REPORT IS PROVIDED “AS IS”, WITHOUT REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND.

THE AUTHOR AND HIS EMPLOYER DISCLAIM ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE ARISING OUT OF, OR IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS AUDIT REPORT.

COPYRIGHT OF THIS REPORT REMAINS WITH THE AUTHOR.

This audit has been performed by

Stefan Beyer

Cryptonics Consulting S.L.

Ramiro de Maeztu 7

46022 Valencia

SPAIN

<https://cryptonics.consulting/>

info@cryptonics.consulting

Summary of Findings

No	Description	Severity	Status
1	Reward collection for an address will eventually fail due to block gas limit	Critical	Resolved
2	Staking operation does not check for allowance	Minor	Resolved
3	Gas-intensive loops over unbounded arrays in staking manager	Minor	Resolved
4	Ineffective require statements in VotingRewards contract	Minor	Resolved
5	Unused variables (error constants) in VotingRewards contract	Informational	Resolved

All issues encountered during the audit process have been addressed by the team.

Introduction

Purpose of this Report

Cryptonics Consulting has been engaged to perform a smart contract audit for the pNetwork DAO staking and voting reward smart contracts (<https://p.network/>).

The objectives of the audit are as follows:

1. Determine the correct functioning of the contract, in accordance with the project specification.
2. Determine possible vulnerabilities, which could be exploited by an attacker.
3. Determine contract bugs, which might lead to unexpected behavior.
4. Analyze, whether best practices have been applied during development.
5. Make recommendations to improve code safety and readability.

This report represents a summary of the findings.

As with any code audit, there is a limit to which vulnerabilities can be found, and unexpected execution paths may still be possible. The author of this report does not guarantee complete coverage (see disclaimer).

Codebase Submitted for the Audit

The code has been provided by the developers in the form of two GitHub repositories:

<https://github.com/provable-things/aragon-voting-rewards/tree/develop>

commit: 343f0eb519e86334de7758b33d20c39a22dc6537

<https://github.com/provable-things/aragon-staking-manager/tree/develop>

commit: d01aaddac93eeafc365642487bb6287c728cf59a

<https://github.com/provable-things/steroids/tree/develop>

commit: 3f1ae1e7a3049422159dded544979ca535074e0b

Methodology

The audit has been performed in the following steps:

1. Gaining an understanding of the contract's intended purpose by reading the available documentation.
2. Automated scanning of the contract with static code analysis tools for security vulnerabilities and the use of best practice guidelines.
3. Manual line by line analysis of the contracts source code for security vulnerabilities and use of best practice guidelines, including but not limited to:
 - Reentrancy analysis
 - Race condition analysis
 - Front-running issues and transaction order dependencies
 - Time dependencies
 - Under- / overflow and math precision issues
 - Function visibility Issues
 - Possible denial of service attacks
 - Storage Layout Vulnerabilities
4. Report preparation

Project Overview

Intended Functionality

The submitted code is based on AragonOS (<https://github.com/aragon/aragonOS>) and implements staking and voting reward distributions for the pNetwork DAO. Users can lock (stake) ERC-tokens for a customizable amount of time in exchange for community token. The voting rewards contract allows users to receive rewards based on the number of votes performed in a specified time period.

The Steroid contracts is a specific staking contract, linked to a Uniswap liquidity pool. PNT holders can stake their tokens used to provide liquidity.

Smart Contracts Audited

The following files have been covered in the audit process:

```
StakingManager.sol  
VotingRewards.sol  
Steroids.sol
```

Detailed Findings

Issues Encountered

1. Reward collection for an address will eventually fail due to block gas limit

Severity: Critical

Function `collectRewardsFor()` loops over a an ever-growing array of rewards. This means that the transaction will eventually hit the block gas limit when the array grows too large. From this point, rewards the rewards, and any future rewards for an address will be stuck. The reason for this behavior is that the array can only grow, making the loop more gas-intensive every time.

Recommendation

Clear rewards from the award array when collected. In the case that a list of already claimed rewards needs to be kept, these should be moved to a separate data-structure.

Status: Resolved

Fixed in commit: b8b83124d19fed01cfb7176a8a4a41c4af0fcf5e

2. Staking operation does not check for allowance

Severity: Minor

The function `stake()` in the `StakingManager` contract uses `safeTransferFrom()` to transfer tokens form `msg.sender` to the vault. However, whilst the sender's balance is checked for sufficient funds, no check is performed whether the contract has been authorized to transfer sufficient funds on behalf of the user. This means that the transfer might fail with an unspecific error message.

Recommendation

Add a call the check the contracts allowance, in order to improve code and error message clarity.

Status: Resolved

Fixed in commit: 5abc542a919f1ee2a2b12001a960647754804a5b

3. Gas-intensive loops over unbounded arrays in staking manager

Severity: Minor

The staking manager stores information in user stakes in arrays of `Lock` data structures, limited by the `maxLocks` variable, which can be set by an authorized caller. These arrays are searched using `for` loops during insertion and removal (staking and unstaking). It is generally considered dangerous to loop over unbounded or variable-sized arrays since it results in unpredictable gas consumption. In the extreme case, this can lead to the block gas limit being exceeded and certain transactions never completing. In this particular case, this may lead to stuck funds if `unstake()` fails due to the array becoming too large. This may occur if `maxLocks` is accidentally set too large. Note, that this issue has been classified as minor because `maxLocks` can only be set by a trusted account. However, accidental misconfiguration can still lead to stuck funds.

Recommendation

In general, loops over dynamic arrays in write transactions can and should often be avoided. In this particular case, the array content could be acquired through read-only calls and the iteration over the array could be performed off-chain. Insertions and deletions could then be performed at specific array indexes. Such a refactoring would also simplify the code by moving complexity off-chain. For instance, `unstake()` could be called with a slot index as a parameter several times, freeing up the specific slot amounts at a time.

At the very least, it is recommended to implement a safe maximum that `maxLocks` cannot exceed.

Status: Resolved

Fixed in commit: `cead223fd6cc810f24798526ae35f41c87dd6327`

4. Ineffective `require` statement in `VotingRewards` contract

Severity: Minor

The function `initialize()` in the `VotingRewards` contract contains the following precondition check:

```
require(_lockTime >= 0, ERROR_WRONG_VALUE);
```

This check will always return true since the variables checked are unsigned integers, which by definition must be larger or equal to 0.

Recommendation

Remove check.

Status: Resolved

Fixed in commit: `27239e57d83d56d2fdbdc9526a11509a16c2b2d1`

5. Unused variables (error constants) in VotingRewards contract

Severity: Informational

There are several state variables (declared as error constants) in `VotingRewards.sol` that are never used:

```
ERROR_VAULT_INSUFFICIENT_TOKENS  
ERROR_SENDER_NOT_VOTED  
ERROR_EPOCH_WRONG_VALUE
```

Recommendation

Remove constants.

Status: Resolved

Fixed in commit: `27239e57d83d56d2fdbdc9526a11509a16c2b2d1`

Overall Code Quality

The overall quality of the code submitted for the audit is good.

Best practice recommendations have largely been followed. Existing, audited code has been used whenever possible in the form of the Aragon codebase (<https://github.com/aragon/aragonOS>).

A safe math library has been used for arithmetic operations to avoid overflow and underflow issues.

Automated Vulnerability Scanning

In addition to the manual audit, automated vulnerability scanning has been performed.

The Slither static code analyzer reported some results. These have been checked individually and were found to be match issues reported above, false positives, or minor style issues. The full output of the scans is included as appendices at the end of this report.

Test Coverage

Unit tests have been submitted with the source code. Test coverage is very complete. The following is the output produced with `solidity-coverage` test instrumentation:

StakingManager:

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Lines
contracts/	100	89.29	100	100	
StakingManager.sol	100	89.29	100	100	
contracts/test/	0	0	0	0	
Imports.sol	100	100	100	100	
StandardToken.sol	0	0	0	0	... 143,150,159
All files	64.65	62.5	52.63	65.35	

VotingRewards¹:

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Lines
contracts/	100	81.67	100	100	
VotingRewards.sol	100	81.67	100	100	
contracts/test/	2.56	0	8.33	2.56	
ExecutionTarget.sol	0	100	0	0	8,9,13
Imports.sol	100	100	100	100	
StandardToken.sol	0	0	0	0	... 143,150,159
VotingMock.sol	100	100	100	100	
All files	73.43	68.06	62.07	73.43	

Steroids²:

File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Lines
contracts/	97.87	85	92.31	97.92	
Steroids.sol	97.87	85	92.31	97.92	216,217
All files	97.87	85	92.31	97.92	

¹ Two of the tests failed under coverage instrumentation (due to time simulation), so actual branch coverage is higher than indicated.

² One of the test failed under coverage instrumentation

Appendix - Automated Vulnerability Scanning Output

StakingManager.sol

INFO:Detectors:

Reentrancy in StakingManager.stake(uint256,uint64,address) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#100-142):

External calls:

- require(bool,string)(ERC20(depositToken).balanceOf(msg.sender) >= _amount,ERROR_INSUFFICIENT_TOKENS) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#105-108)
- wrappedTokenManager.mint(_receiver,_amount) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#120)

State variables written after the call(s):

- addressStakeLocks[_receiver][emptyIndex] = Lock(lockDate,_duration,_amount) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#129-133)
- addressStakeLocks[_receiver].push(Lock(lockDate,_duration,_amount)) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#135-137)

Reference:

<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-2>

INFO:Detectors:

Reentrancy in StakingManager.stake(uint256,uint64,address) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#100-142):

External calls:

- require(bool,string)(ERC20(depositToken).balanceOf(msg.sender) >= _amount,ERROR_INSUFFICIENT_TOKENS) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#105-108)
- wrappedTokenManager.mint(_receiver,_amount) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#120)

Event emitted after the call(s):

- Staked(msg.sender,_receiver,_amount,_duration,lockDate) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#140)

Reentrancy in StakingManager.unstake(uint256) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#149-160):

External calls:

- wrappedTokenManager.burn(msg.sender,_amount) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#155)
- vault.transfer(depositToken,msg.sender,_amount) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#156)

Event emitted after the call(s):

- Unstaked(msg.sender,_amount) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#158)

Reference:

<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3>

INFO:Detectors:

StakingManager._updateStakedTokenLocks(address,uint256) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#213-262) uses timestamp for comparisons

Dangerous comparisons:

- timestamp >= stakedLocks[i].lockDate.add(stakedLocks[i].duration) && !_isWrapLockEmpty(stakedLocks[i]) (contracts/StakingManager.sol#229-231)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp>

INFO:Detectors:

Pragma version^0.4.24 (contracts/StakingManager.sol#1) allows old versions

Reference:

<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>

INFO:Detectors:

Parameter StakingManager.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._tokenManager (contracts/StakingManager.sol#74) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._vault (contracts/StakingManager.sol#75) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._depositToken (contracts/StakingManager.sol#76) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._minLockTime (contracts/StakingManager.sol#77) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._maxLocks (contracts/StakingManager.sol#78) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.stake(uint256,uint64,address)._amount (contracts/StakingManager.sol#101) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.stake(uint256,uint64,address)._duration (contracts/StakingManager.sol#102) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.stake(uint256,uint64,address)._receiver (contracts/StakingManager.sol#103) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.unstake(uint256)._amount (contracts/StakingManager.sol#149) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.changeMinLockTime(uint64)._minLockTime (contracts/StakingManager.sol#166) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.changeMaxAllowedStakeLocks(uint64)._maxLocks (contracts/StakingManager.sol#178) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.changeVaultContractAddress(address)._vault (contracts/StakingManager.sol#190) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StakingManager.getStakedLocks(address)._address (contracts/StakingManager.sol#204) is not in mixedCase

Reference:
<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions>

INFO:Slither:. analyzed (60 contracts with 46 detectors), 18 result(s) found

VotingReward.sol

INFO:Detectors:
VotingRewards.initialize(address,address,address,address,uint64,uint256,uint64,uint256) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#124-155) contains a tautology or contradiction:
- require(bool,string)(_lockTime >= 0,ERROR_WRONG_VALUE) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#139)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#tautology-or-contradiction>

INFO:Detectors:
VotingRewards.collectRewardsFor(address) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#409-436) has external calls inside a loop: rewardsVault.transfer(rewardsToken,_beneficiary,rewards[i].amount) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#423-427)

VotingRewards._calculateReward(address,uint64,uint64,uint256) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#447-497) has external calls inside a loop: (None,None,startBlock,None,None,None,None,None,None,None) = dandelionVoting.getVote(voteId) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#460)

VotingRewards._calculateReward(address,uint64,uint64,uint256) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#447-497) has external calls inside a loop: voterState = dandelionVoting.getVoterState(voteId,_beneficiary) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#463-464)

VotingRewards._calculateReward(address,uint64,uint64,uint256) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#447-497) has external calls inside a loop: votingTokenBalanceAtVote = MiniMeToken(dandelionVoting.token()).balanceOfAt(_beneficiary,startBlock) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#469-472)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation/#calls-inside-a-loop>

INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in VotingRewards.collectRewardsFor(address) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#409-436):
External calls:
- rewardsVault.transfer(rewardsToken,_beneficiary,rewards[i].amount) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#423-427)
Event emitted after the call(s):

- RewardCollected(_beneficiary,rewards[i].amount) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#430)

Reference:
<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3>
 INFO:Detectors:

VotingRewards.closeRewardsDistributionForCurrentEpoch() (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#187-199)
 compares to a boolean constant:
 -require(bool,string)(isDistributionOpen ==
 true,ERROR_EPOCH_REWARDS_DISTRIBUTION_NOT_OPENED) (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#191-194)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality>
 INFO:Detectors:

Pragma version^0.4.24 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#1) allows old versions
 Reference:
<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>
 INFO:Detectors:

Parameter
 VotingRewards.initialize(address,address,address,address,uint64,uint256,uint64,uint256)._baseVault
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#125) is not in mixedCase

Parameter
 VotingRewards.initialize(address,address,address,address,uint64,uint256,uint64,uint256)._rewardsVault
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#126) is not in mixedCase

Parameter
 VotingRewards.initialize(address,address,address,address,uint64,uint256,uint64,uint256)._dandelionVoting
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#127) is not in mixedCase

Parameter
 VotingRewards.initialize(address,address,address,address,uint64,uint256,uint64,uint256)._rewardsToken
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#128) is not in mixedCase

Parameter
 VotingRewards.initialize(address,address,address,address,uint64,uint256,uint64,uint256)._epochDuration
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#129) is not in mixedCase

Parameter
 VotingRewards.initialize(address,address,address,address,uint64,uint256,uint64,uint256)._percentageRewards
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#130) is not in mixedCase

Parameter
 VotingRewards.initialize(address,address,address,address,uint64,uint256,uint64,uint256)._lockTime
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#131) is not in mixedCase

Parameter
 VotingRewards.initialize(address,address,address,address,uint64,uint256,uint64,uint256)._missingVotesThreshold
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#132) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.openRewardsDistributionForEpoch(uint64)._fromBlock
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#161) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.distributeRewardsToMany(address[])._beneficiaries
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#206) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.collectRewardsForMany(address[])._beneficiaries
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#226) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.changeEpochDuration(uint64)._epochDuration (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#236)
 is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.changeMissingVotesThreshold(uint256)._missingVotesThreshold
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#250) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.changeLockTime(uint64)._lockTime (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#262) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.changeBaseVaultContractAddress(address)._baseVault
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#275) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.changeRewardsVaultContractAddress(address)._rewardsVault
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#289) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.changeDandelionVotingContractAddress(address)._dandelionVoting
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#303) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.changePercentageReward(uint256)._percentageRewards
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#318) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.changeRewardsTokenContractAddress(address)._rewardsToken
 (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#332) is not in mixedCase

Parameter VotingRewards.getRewardsInfo(address)._beneficiary (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#346) is

not in mixedCase
 Parameter VotingRewards.distributeRewardsTo(address)._beneficiary (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#361) is not in mixedCase
 Parameter VotingRewards.collectRewardsFor(address)._beneficiary (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#409) is not in mixedCase
 Reference:
<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions>
 INFO:Detectors:
 VotingRewards.ERROR_VAULT_INSUFFICIENT_TOKENS (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#45) is never used in VotingRewards (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#14-498)
 VotingRewards.ERROR_SENDER_NOT_VOTED (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#49) is never used in VotingRewards (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#14-498)
 VotingRewards.ERROR_EPOCH_WRONG_VALUE (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#55) is never used in VotingRewards (contracts/VotingRewards.sol#14-498)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unused-state-variables>
 INFO:Slither:. analyzed (72 contracts with 46 detectors), 33 result(s) found

Steroids.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 Reentrancy in Steroids._updateStakedTokenLocks(address,uint256,uint256,uint256) (contracts/Steroids.sol#302-365):
 External calls:
 -
 _adjustBalanceAndStakedLockOf(_unstaker,stakedLocks[i],_uniswapV2PairTotalSupply,_uniswapV2PairReserve0) (contracts/Steroids.sol#324-329)
 -
 wrappedTokenManager.mint(_owner,adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmount.sub(currentOwnerWrappedTokenAmount)) (contracts/Steroids.sol#397-402)
 -
 wrappedTokenManager.burn(_owner,currentOwnerWrappedTokenAmount.sub(adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmount)) (contracts/Steroids.sol#404-409)
 State variables written after the call(s):
 - stakedLocks[i].wrappedTokenAmount = totalAmountUnstakedSoFar.sub(_amountToUnstake) (contracts/Steroids.sol#342-343)
 - stakedLocks[i].uniV2PairAmount =
 stakedLocks[i].wrappedTokenAmount.mul(_uniswapV2PairTotalSupply).div(_uniswapV2PairReserve0) (contracts/Steroids.sol#345-348)
 Reference:
<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-1>
 INFO:Detectors:
 Reentrancy in Steroids.stake(uint256,uint64,address) (contracts/Steroids.sol#116-174):
 External calls:
 - require(bool,string)(uniswapV2Pair.balanceOf(msg.sender) >= _amount,ERROR_INSUFFICIENT_TOKENS) (contracts/Steroids.sol#122-125)
 - require(bool,string)(uniswapV2Pair.allowance(msg.sender,this) >= _amount,ERROR_TOKENS_NOT_APPROVED) (contracts/Steroids.sol#126-129)
 -
 require(bool,string)(uniswapV2Pair.transferFrom(msg.sender,address(vault),_amount),ERROR_TOKEN_WRAP_REVERTED) (contracts/Steroids.sol#130-133)
 - uniswapV2PairTotalSupply = uniswapV2Pair.totalSupply() (contracts/Steroids.sol#137)
 - (uniswapV2PairReserve0) = uniswapV2Pair.getReserves() (contracts/Steroids.sol#138)
 - wrappedTokenManager.mint(_receiver,wrappedTokenAmountToStake) (contracts/Steroids.sol#145)

```

        State variables written after the call(s):
        - addressStakeLocks[_receiver][emptyIndex] =
Lock(lockDate,_duration,_amount,wrappedTokenAmountToStake) (contracts/Steroids.sol#153-158)
-
addressStakeLocks[_receiver].push(Lock(lockDate,_duration,_amount,wrappedTokenAmountToStake))
(contracts/Steroids.sol#160-162)
Reference:
https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-2
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in Steroids._adjustBalanceAndStakedLockOf(address,Steroids.Lock,uint256,uint256)
(contracts/Steroids.sol#375-415):
    External calls:
    -
wrappedTokenManager.mint(_owner,adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmount.sub(currentOwnerWrappedTokenAmou
nt)) (contracts/Steroids.sol#397-402)
-
wrappedTokenManager.burn(_owner,currentOwnerWrappedTokenAmount.sub(adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmou
nt)) (contracts/Steroids.sol#404-409)
    Event emitted after the call(s):
    - StakedLockAdjusted(_owner,adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmount)
(contracts/Steroids.sol#413)
Reentrancy in Steroids.stake(uint256,uint64,address) (contracts/Steroids.sol#116-174):
    External calls:
    - require(bool,string)(uniswapV2Pair.balanceOf(msg.sender) >=
_amount,ERROR_INSUFFICIENT_TOKENS) (contracts/Steroids.sol#122-125)
    - require(bool,string)(uniswapV2Pair.allowance(msg.sender,this) >=
_amount,ERROR_TOKENS_NOT_APPROVED) (contracts/Steroids.sol#126-129)
-
require(bool,string)(uniswapV2Pair.transferFrom(msg.sender,address(vault),_amount),ERROR_TOKEN_WRAP_
REVERTED) (contracts/Steroids.sol#130-133)
    - uniswapV2PairTotalSupply = uniswapV2Pair.totalSupply() (contracts/Steroids.sol#137)
    - (uniswapV2PairReserve0) = uniswapV2Pair.getReserves() (contracts/Steroids.sol#138)
    - wrappedTokenManager.mint(_receiver,wrappedTokenAmountToStake)
(contracts/Steroids.sol#145)
    Event emitted after the call(s):
    - Staked(msg.sender,_receiver,_amount,wrappedTokenAmountToStake,_duration,lockDate)
(contracts/Steroids.sol#165-172)
Reentrancy in Steroids.unstake(uint256) (contracts/Steroids.sol#181-205):
    External calls:
    - uniswapV2PairTotalSupply = uniswapV2Pair.totalSupply() (contracts/Steroids.sol#182)
    - (uniswapV2PairReserve0) = uniswapV2Pair.getReserves() (contracts/Steroids.sol#183)
-
require(bool,string)(_updateStakedTokenLocks(msg.sender,_amount,uniswapV2PairTotalSupply,uniswapV2Pa
irReserve0),ERROR_NOT_ENOUGH_UNWRAPPABLE_TOKENS) (contracts/Steroids.sol#185-193)
-
wrappedTokenManager.mint(_owner,adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmount.sub(currentOwnerWrappedTokenAmou
nt)) (contracts/Steroids.sol#397-402)
-
wrappedTokenManager.burn(_owner,currentOwnerWrappedTokenAmount.sub(adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmou
nt)) (contracts/Steroids.sol#404-409)
    Event emitted after the call(s):
    - StakedLockAdjusted(_owner,adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmount)
(contracts/Steroids.sol#413)
-
require(bool,string)(_updateStakedTokenLocks(msg.sender,_amount,uniswapV2PairTotalSupply,uniswapV2Pa
irReserve0),ERROR_NOT_ENOUGH_UNWRAPPABLE_TOKENS) (contracts/Steroids.sol#185-193)
Reentrancy in Steroids.unstake(uint256) (contracts/Steroids.sol#181-205):
    External calls:
    - uniswapV2PairTotalSupply = uniswapV2Pair.totalSupply() (contracts/Steroids.sol#182)
    - (uniswapV2PairReserve0) = uniswapV2Pair.getReserves() (contracts/Steroids.sol#183)
-

```



```
require(bool,string)(_updateStakedTokenLocks(msg.sender,_amount,uniswapV2PairTotalSupply,uniswapV2PairReserve0),ERROR_NOT_ENOUGH_UNWRAPPABLE_TOKENS) (contracts/Steroids.sol#185-193)
```

```
-  
wrappedTokenManager.mint(_owner,adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmount.sub(currentOwnerWrappedTokenAmount)) (contracts/Steroids.sol#397-402)
```

```
-  
wrappedTokenManager.burn(_owner,currentOwnerWrappedTokenAmount.sub(adjustedOwnerWrappedTokenLockAmount)) (contracts/Steroids.sol#404-409)
```

```
- wrappedTokenManager.burn(msg.sender,_amount) (contracts/Steroids.sol#195)
```

```
- vault.transfer(uniswapV2Pair,msg.sender,uniV2AmountToTransfer)
```

```
(contracts/Steroids.sol#201)
```

```
Event emitted after the call(s):
```

```
- Unstaked(msg.sender,uniV2AmountToTransfer,_amount) (contracts/Steroids.sol#203)
```

Reference:

<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3>

INFO:Detectors:

Steroids._updateStakedTokenLocks(address,uint256,uint256,uint256) (contracts/Steroids.sol#302-365)

uses timestamp for comparisons

Dangerous comparisons:

```
- timestamp >= stakedLocks[i].lockDate.add(stakedLocks[i].duration) && !
```

```
_isStakedLockEmpty(stakedLocks[i]) (contracts/Steroids.sol#320-322)
```

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp>

INFO:Detectors:

Pragma version^0.4.24 (contracts/Steroids.sol#1) allows old versions

Pragma version^0.4.24 (contracts/interfaces/IUniswapV2Pair.sol#1) allows old versions

Pragma version0.4.24 (contracts/test/Imports.sol#1) allows old versions

Pragma version^0.4.24 (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#1) allows old versions

Reference:

<https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>

INFO:Detectors:

Parameter Steroids.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._tokenManager

(contracts/Steroids.sol#89) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._vault

(contracts/Steroids.sol#90) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._uniswapV2Pair

(contracts/Steroids.sol#91) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._minLockTime

(contracts/Steroids.sol#92) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.initialize(address,address,address,uint64,uint64)._maxLocks

(contracts/Steroids.sol#93) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.stake(uint256,uint64,address)._amount (contracts/Steroids.sol#117) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.stake(uint256,uint64,address)._duration (contracts/Steroids.sol#118) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.stake(uint256,uint64,address)._receiver (contracts/Steroids.sol#119) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.unstake(uint256)._amount (contracts/Steroids.sol#181) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.adjustBalanceOfMany(address[])._owners (contracts/Steroids.sol#212) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.changeMinLockTime(uint64)._minLockTime (contracts/Steroids.sol#225) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.changeMaxAllowedStakeLocks(uint64)._maxLocks (contracts/Steroids.sol#237) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.changeVaultContractAddress(address)._vault (contracts/Steroids.sol#250) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.getStakedLocks(address)._address (contracts/Steroids.sol#264) is not in mixedCase

Parameter Steroids.adjustBalanceOf(address)._owner (contracts/Steroids.sol#274) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.allowance(address,address)._owner (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#45) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.allowance(address,address)._spender (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#45) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.transfer(address,uint256)._to (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#58) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.transfer(address,uint256)._value (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#58) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.approve(address,uint256)._spender (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#76) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.approve(address,uint256)._value (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#76) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.transferFrom(address,address,uint256)._from (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#89) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.transferFrom(address,address,uint256)._to (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#90) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.transferFrom(address,address,uint256)._value (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#91) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.increaseApproval(address,uint256)._spender (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#112) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.increaseApproval(address,uint256)._addedValue (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#112) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.decreaseApproval(address,uint256)._spender (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#132) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.decreaseApproval(address,uint256)._subtractedValue (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#132) is not in mixedCase

Parameter StandardToken.balanceOf(address)._owner (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#158) is not in mixedCase

Reference:

<https://github.com/crytic/sliether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions>

INFO:Detectors:

allowance(address,address) should be declared external:

- StandardToken.allowance(address,address) (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#45-51)

transfer(address,uint256) should be declared external:

- StandardToken.transfer(address,uint256) (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#58-65)

approve(address,uint256) should be declared external:

- StandardToken.approve(address,uint256) (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#76-80)

transferFrom(address,address,uint256) should be declared external:

- StandardToken.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#88-101)

increaseApproval(address,uint256) should be declared external:

- StandardToken.increaseApproval(address,uint256) (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#112-121)

decreaseApproval(address,uint256) should be declared external:

- StandardToken.decreaseApproval(address,uint256) (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#132-144)

totalSupply() should be declared external:

- StandardToken.totalSupply() (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#149-151)

balanceOf(address) should be declared external:

- StandardToken.balanceOf(address) (contracts/test/StandardToken.sol#158-160)

Reference:

<https://github.com/crytic/sliether/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-as-external>

INFO:Sliether:. analyzed (61 contracts with 46 detectors), 48 result(s) found