

Sifchain Cosmos Peggy Implementation

Audit Report - October 30, 2020

Cryptonics Consulting S.L.

Ramiro de Maeztu 7
46022 Valencia
SPAIN

<https://cryptonics.consulting/>

Solidified Technologies Inc.

1387 Schuyler Rd
Beverly Hills, California 90210
United States of America

<https://solidified.io/>

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
Disclaimer	4
Introduction	5
Purpose of this Report	5
Codebase Submitted for the Audit	5
Methodology	7
Functionality Overview	8
How to read this Report	9
Detailed Findings	10
Notes on Architecture / Functionality	10
Apart from the code implementation security review, the audit team has performed a review of the currently supported functionality and architecture. The following a number of informal observations:	10
Solidity Smart Contracts	11
Summary of Findings	11
Code Quality Criteria	12
Detailed Findings	13
Potential namespace collisions through token symbol	13
Missing checks for maximum consensus threshold	13
Malleable signatures	13
Duplicate validators are accepted in validator set	14
Ether transfers depending on gas stipend may not work if the recipient is a smart contract	14
Compiler version	14
Misleading behavior for recoverGas function	15
Bridge bank can receive ETH directly	15
Unnecessary modifier	15



Unnecessary protection in view function	16
Optimization on token deployment costs	16
Consider using a mock address for ETH	16
Incorrect natspect comments	16
Node package inclusion in Solidity files breaks build system	17
Go Components	18
Summary of Findings	18
Code Quality Criteria	18
Detailed Findings	19
Outdated indirect dependency with multiple known security vulnerabilities	19
Relayer quits silently on failure to connect to subscribe to Ethereum events	19
Missing default case in switch statement	20
Empty code blocks	20
Appendix: Automated Code Analysis Report	21
Gosec Report	21

Disclaimer

THE CONTENT OF THIS AUDIT REPORT IS PROVIDED “AS IS”, WITHOUT REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND.

THE AUTHORS AND THEIR EMPLOYER DISCLAIM ANY LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE ARISING OUT OF, OR IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS AUDIT REPORT.

THIS AUDIT REPORT IS NOT A SECURITY WARRANTY, INVESTMENT ADVICE, OR AN ENDORSEMENT OF THE CLIENT OR ITS PRODUCTS. THIS AUDIT DOES NOT PROVIDE A SECURITY OR CORRECTNESS GUARANTEE OF THE AUDITED SOFTWARE.

Introduction

Purpose of this Report

Cryptonics Consulting and Solidified have been engaged to perform a security audit of the Sifchain implementation of the Cosmo Peggy architecture (<https://sifchain.financial/>). The engagement includes the relayer, bridge, and oracle components implemented in Go (Cryptonics) and the Solidity smart contracts (Solidified).

The objectives of the audit are as follows:

1. Determine the correct functioning of the system, in accordance with the project specification.
2. Determine possible vulnerabilities, which could be exploited by an attacker.
3. Determine smart contract bugs, which might lead to unexpected behavior.
4. Analyze whether best practices have been applied during development.
5. Make recommendations to improve code safety and readability.

This report represents a summary of the findings.

As with any code audit, there is a limit to which vulnerabilities can be found, and unexpected execution paths may still be possible. The author of this report does not guarantee complete coverage (see disclaimer).

Codebase Submitted for the Audit

The audit has been performed on the code submitted in the following private GitHub repository:

<https://github.com/Sifchain/peggy>

The following commit number was evaluated in the audit:

781abde0c9dc2d5de8175f52970529a79db97452

The following files are in scope for the audit process:

```
cmd
├── ebcli
│   └── main.go
└── ebd
```

```

|   ├── genaccounts.go
|   ├── main.go
|   └── ebrelayer
|       ├── contract
|       |   ├── abi.go
|       |   ├── generator.go
|       |   └── types.go
|       ├── main.go
|       ├── relayer
|       |   ├── cosmos.go
|       |   ├── ethereum.go
|       |   ├── network.go
|       |   └── network_test.go
|       ├── txs
|       |   ├── parser.go
|       |   ├── parser_test.go
|       |   ├── registry.go
|       |   ├── registry_test.go
|       |   ├── relayToCosmos.go
|       |   ├── relayToEthereum.go
|       |   ├── signature.go
|       |   ├── signature_test.go
|       |   ├── test_common.go
|       |   └── types.go
|       └── types
|           ├── events.go
|           └── types.go

```

```

x
|   ├── ethbridge
|   |   ├── alias.go
|   |   ├── client
|   |   |   ├── cli
|   |   |   |   ├── query.go
|   |   |   |   └── tx.go
|   |   |   ├── module_client.go
|   |   |   └── rest
|   |   |       └── rest.go
|   |   ├── handler.go
|   |   ├── handler_test.go
|   |   ├── keeper
|   |   |   ├── keeper.go
|   |   |   ├── querier.go
|   |   |   └── querier_test.go
|   |   ├── module.go
|   |   ├── test_common.go
|   |   └── types
|   |       ├── claim.go
|   |       ├── claim_type.go
|   |       ├── codec.go
|   |       ├── errors.go
|   |       ├── ethereum.go
|   |       ├── events.go
|   |       ├── expected_keepers.go
|   |       ├── flags.go
|   |       ├── keys.go
|   |       └── msgs.go

```

```
|   |— querier.go
|   |— test_common.go
|— oracle
|   |— alias.go
|   |— keeper
|   |   |— keeper.go
|   |   |— keeper_test.go
|   |   |— test_common.go
|   |— module.go
|   |— types
|       |— claim.go
|       |— errors.go
|       |— expected_keepers.go
|       |— keys.go
|       |— prophecy.go
|       |— status.go
|
|— app
|   |— app.go
|   |— export.go
|   |— genesis.go
```

```
testnet-contracts/contracts/
|— BridgeBank
|   |— BridgeBank.sol
|   |— BridgeToken.sol
|   |— CosmosBank.sol
|   |— EthereumBank.sol
|   |— WhiteList.sol
|— BridgeRegistry.sol
|— CosmosBridge.sol
|— Migrations.sol
|— Oracle.sol
|— Valset.sol
```

Methodology

The audit has been performed by a total of five auditors. The smart contracts were reviewed by three auditors (provided by Solidified). The components implemented in Go were audited by three auditors (provided by Cryptonics). One of the auditors (lead) is a joint resource.

The following steps were performed:

1. Gaining an understanding of the code base's intended purpose by reading the available documentation.
2. Automated source code and dependency analysis.
3. Manual line by line analysis of the source code for security vulnerabilities and use of best practice guidelines, including but not limited to:

- a. Race condition analysis
 - b. Under- / overflow issues
 - c. Key management vulnerabilities
 - d. Permissioning issues
 - e. Logic errors
4. Report preparation

The results were then discussed between the auditors in a consensus meeting and integrated into this joint report.

Functionality Overview

The submitted code implements a multi-validator two-way bridge allowing ETH and ERC-20 assets to be transferred between Ethereum and Cosmos blockchain. The system consists of the following components:

- **Ethereum smart contracts:** Multi-signature asset locking contracts
- **Relayer service:** Component that relays transactions between chains by submitting signed proofs.
- **EthBridge module:** A Cosmos SDK module that receives relayed messages on the Cosmos side and forwards them to the Oracle module
- **Oracle module:** A generic oracle used for making consensus decisions on the Cosmos side on transactions received from another chain.

How to read this Report

This report classifies the issues found into the following severity categories:

Severity	Description
Critical	A serious and exploitable vulnerability that can lead to loss of funds, unrecoverable locked funds, or catastrophic denial of service.
Major	A vulnerability or bug that can affect the correct functioning of the system, lead to incorrect states or denial of service.
Minor	A violation of common best practices or incorrect usage of primitives, which may not currently have a major impact on security, but may do so in the future or introduce inefficiencies.
Informational	Comments and recommendations of design decisions or potential optimizations, that are not relevant to security. Their application may improve aspects, such as user experience or readability, but is not strictly necessary. This category may also include opinionated recommendations that the project team might not share.

The status of an issue can be one of the following: **Pending**, **Acknowledged**, or **Resolved**. Informational notes do not have a status, since we consider them optional recommendations.

Note, that audits are an important step to improve the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of the system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**. We include a table with these criteria for each module, in the corresponding findings section.

Note, that high complexity or lower test coverage does not necessarily equate to a higher risk, although certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than a security audit and vice versa.

Detailed Findings

Notes on Architecture / Functionality

Apart from the code implementation security review, the audit team has performed a review of the currently supported functionality and architecture. The following a number of informal observations:

- **Ethereum Transaction Relaying:**

The relayer currently does not relay data from transactions directly from Ethereum to the peg zone. Instead, it subscribed to events emitted through the smart contracts. This is an efficient way to deal with this but may lead to inconsistencies if events are missed.

- **Finality Considerations:**

There is also currently no evidence of a solution to the finality mismatch between the Ethereum and Cosmos chain. Tendermint consensus used by Cosmos provides instant finality through a byzantine voting protocol. Ethereum, on the other hand, provides probabilistic consensus, which means that blocks can become state and transactions may be rolled back retrospectively initially.

Peg zones usually act as a “finality gadget buffer” in this. Whilst it is clear that the finality gadget is intended for future versions, the current design does not lend itself to implement this without major refactoring.

- **Supported Assets:**

Currently, ETH and ERC-20 tokens are supported. Whilst focusing on ERC-20 assets is a good choice, care must be taken with malicious implementations, copycat tokens, and compatible standards, such as ERC-777 tokens that may inject arbitrary code through callbacks.

Solidity Smart Contracts

Summary of Findings

The Sifchain Peggy smart contracts were found to contain 0 critical issues, 1 major issue, 4 minor issues and 9 informational notes:

No	Description	Severity	Status
1	Potential namespace collisions through token symbol	Major	Pending
2	Missing checks for maximum consensus threshold	Minor	Pending
3	Malleable signatures	Minor	Pending
4	Duplicate validators are accepted in validator set	Minor	Pending
5	Ether transfers depending on gas stipend may not work if the recipient is a smart contract	Minor	Pending
6	Compiler version	Informational	-
7	Misleading behavior for recoverGas function	Informational	-
8	Bridge bank can receive ETH directly	Informational	-
9	Unnecessary modifier	Informational	-
10	Unnecessary protection in view function	Informational	-
11	Optimization on token deployment costs	Informational	-
12	Consider using a mock address for ETH	Informational	-
13	Incorrect natspect comments	Informational	-
14	Node package inclusion in Solidity files breaks build system	Informational	-

Code Quality Criteria

Criteria	Status	Comment
Code complexity	Medium	-
Code readability and clarity	High	-
Level of Documentation	Medium-high	-
Test Coverage	Medium	-

Detailed Findings

1. Potential namespace collisions through token symbol

Severity: Major

Tokens are identified by their symbol in both `EthereumBank.sol` and `CosmosBank.sol`. This will lead to namespace collisions and may potentially be exploited by copycat tokens.

Some tokens can also change their symbol (example: DAI → SAI).

Recommendation

Keep track of tokens in a way that avoids name clashes. For example, have a separate token registry that maps tokens to a unique ID.

Status: Pending

2. Missing checks for maximum consensus threshold

Severity: Minor

`Oracle.sol` declares a consensus threshold as a `unit256`. Whilst this is meant to be a percentage no maximum is enforced. Numbers above 100 will lead to consensus not being achievable.

Recommendation

Enforce a check that limits the consensus threshold to a number between 1 and 100 in the constructor.

Status: Pending

3. Malleable signatures

Severity: Minor

The `verify()` function in `Valset.sol`, the built-in `ecrecover()` function is used. This function still allows malleable signatures for backward compatibility reasons. Signatures that have an `s` value larger than

`0x7FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF5D576E7357A4501DDFE92F46681B20A0` are usually rejected for Ethereum addresses post EIP-2¹.

Recommendation

¹ <https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/blob/master/EIPS/eip-2.md>

Consider rejecting signatures with `s` values in the upper ranges, even though it may not be a security issue in this case.

Status: Pending

4. Duplicate validators are accepted in validator set

Severity: Minor

The `Valset.sol` contract does not check for duplicate validator additions. This may produce inconsistencies related to voting power and consensus. The `addValidator()` function also does not check if a validator already exists.

Recommendation

Enforce unique in the `add` function, as well unique addresses in the constructor and `updateValset()` function. A cheap way to do this is to require addresses to be ordered in the array.

Status: Pending

5. Ether transfers depending on gas stipend may not work if the recipient is a smart contract

Severity: Minor

The `transfer()` function is used to transfer ETH in `EthereumBank.sol` (line 158). With the Istanbul hard fork gas prices changed meaning the forwarded gas of 2300 may not be enough for smart contract recipients to perform their operations, leading to the call reverting.

Recommendation

It is now recommended to use `call.value()` for transferring ETH.

Status: Pending

6. Compiler version

Severity: Informational

The code uses `pragma solidity ^0.5.0;` to define the compiler version to be used. Whilst we do not recommend using the latest compiler version due to the risk of undiscovered bugs, when using Solidity 0.5, the latest maintenance release (0.5.17) should be used since a number of bug fixes have been applied.

Recommendation

Lock the pragma to version 0.5.17

7. Misleading behavior for recoverGas function

Severity: Informational

Refunds are capped at the half of the transaction cost, so it only reduces gas cost if run within a bigger transaction to reduce the overall cost, otherwise there will be a net loss in executing the function, which is misleading from what the name implies.

Recommendation

Make it more clear the usage and functionality or consider renaming.

8. Bridge bank can receive ETH directly

Severity: Informational

BridgeBank.sol implements an operator fallback function for receiving ETH directly marked “for testing purposes”

Recommendation

Consider removing the leftover test code.

9. Unnecessary modifier

Severity: Informational

EthereumBank.sol implements the following modifier for avoiding nonce overflow:

```
modifier availableNonce() {  
    require(lockBurnNonce + 1 > lockBurnNonce, "No available  
nonces.");  
    _;  
}
```

However, new nonces are always generated using the `safeMath` library, which already protects the system from overflows. In any case, the system would stop functioning if the nonce space was exhausted (which is not a realistic possibility with 256-bit numbers).

Recommendation

Remove the modifier for efficiency.

10. Unnecessary protection in view function

Severity: Informational

The `checkBridgeProphecy()` function checks that the caller is an operator, even though it does not change the state and all information is public.

Recommendation

Remove the modifier for efficiency.

11. Optimization on token deployment costs

Severity: Informational

Since peggy Tokens are deployed by a transaction on-chain, using a proxy pattern might decrease the gas usage significantly, instead of deploying a full token contract every time.

Recommendation

Implement the pattern for increased efficiency.

12. Consider using a mock address for ETH

Severity: Informational

In the contracts, for referencing a eth value transfer the token address is set to `0x0`, however this is already the default value mappings. While there's no security implication as is, a better option would be to choose a mock address for ETH, like `0xeeeeee . . .` to avoid any possible confusion with uninitialized addresses.

Recommendation

Change the ETH token address

13. Incorrect natspect comments

Severity: Informational

The functions `burnFunds()` and `lockFunds()` both have incorrect natspec comments

Recommendation

Adjust the comments to the appropriate behavior.

14. Node package inclusion in Solidity files breaks build system

Severity: Informational

The smart contract course files include OpenZeppelin dependencies imported as npm packages with relative path names. For example:

```
import "../../node_modules/openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol";
```

This breaks the functionality of most up to date development frameworks, including Truffle used in the project, leading to the project not currently building on a clean installation.

Recommendation

Import npm dependencies directly, since they are discoverable from Truffle:

```
import "openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol";
```

Go Components

Summary of Findings

The Sifchain Peggy Go components were found to contain 0 critical issues, 0 major issue, 2 minor issues and 2 informational notes:

No	Description	Severity	Status
15	Outdated indirect dependency with multiple known security vulnerabilities	Minor	Pending
16	Relayer quits silently on failure to connect to subscribe to Ethereum events	Minor	Pending
17	Missing default case in switch statement	Informational	-
18	Empty code blocks	Informational	-

Code Quality Criteria

Criteria	Status	Comment
Code complexity	Medium	-
Code readability and clarity	High	-
Level of Documentation	High	-
Test Coverage	N/A	No automated unit tests were supplied with the codebase to be audited. Therefore, no reliable measure of test coverage can be taken. However, there is evidence of extensive manual testing in the form of test code and scripts.

Detailed Findings

15. Outdated indirect dependency with multiple known security vulnerabilities

Severity: Minor

The codebase uses an outdated version of the ecdt library (versions 3.3.13). This version includes a number of known vulnerabilities, including TLS authentication issues, TCP proxy discovery issues and missing password length verifications.

Recommendation

Update the dependency.

Status: Pending

16. Relay quits silently on failure to connect to subscribe to Ethereum events

Severity: Minor

The launch code of relay just terminates the process if the Ethereum connection is not available:

```
client, err := SetupWebsocketEthClient(sub.EthProvider)
if err != nil {
    sub.Logger.Error(err.Error())
    os.Exit(1)
}
```

This may cause issues in deployment scenarios, since it does not permit monitoring an automatic relaunching easily.

Relevant code sections:

- cmd/ebrelayer/main.go 163, 164
- cmd/ebrelayer/relayer/ethereum.go 119

Recommendation

Fail gracefully reporting an error code similar that can be used in an infrastructure management scenario.

Status: Pending

17. Missing default case in switch statement

Severity: Informational

The switch statement for processing Ethereum event data in `cmd/ebrelayer/relayer/ethereum.go:158-168` is lacking a default case. It is always recommended to add default cases for clarity and dealing with unexpected data.

Recommendation

Add a default case.

18. Empty code blocks

Severity: Informational

The codebase contains several places where unimplemented functions are marked as `TODO`. Whilst this is common practise during development, this should be avoided in production code.

Relevant code sections:

- `x/ethbridge/module.go` 108, 145
- `x/oracle/module.go` 33, 48, 89, 124

Recommendation

Clean up `TODO` comments.

Appendix: Automated Code Analysis Report

Gosec Report

```

→ peggy git:(develop) X ./bin/gosec ./...
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:34 Including rules: default
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:34 Excluding rules: default
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:34 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebcli
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:38 Checking package: main
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:38 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebcli/main.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:38 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:47 Checking package: contract
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:47 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/abi.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:47 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generator.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:47 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/types.go
[gosec]          2020/10/26          13:03:47          Import          directory:
/Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/bridgeregistry
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:47 Checking package: BridgeRegistry
[gosec]          2020/10/26          13:03:47          Checking          file:
/Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/bridgeregistry/BridgeRegistry.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:47 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:48 Checking package: main
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:48 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/main.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:48 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/types
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking package: types
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/types/events.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/types/types.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/types
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking package: types
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/types/claim.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/types/errors.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/types/expected_keepers.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/types/keys.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/types/prophecy.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/types/status.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:49 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/relayer
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:50 Checking package: relayer
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:50 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/relayer/cosmos.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:50 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/relayer/ethereum.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:50 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/relayer/network.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:50 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/txs
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:51 Checking package: txs
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:51 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/txs/parser.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:51 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/txs/registry.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:51 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/txs/relayToCosmos.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:51 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/txs/relayToEthereum.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:51 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/txs/signature.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:51 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/txs/test_common.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:51 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/txs/types.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:51 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge

```



```
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:52 Checking package: ethbridge
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:52 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/alias.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:52 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/handler.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:52 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/module.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:52 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/test_common.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:52 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/client/cli
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:53 Checking package: cli
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:53 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/client/cli/query.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:53 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/client/cli/tx.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:53 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/client
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:53 Checking package: client
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:53 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/client/module_client.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:53 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking package: types
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/claim.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/claim_type.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/codec.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/errors.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/ethereum.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/events.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/expected_keepers.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/flags.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/keys.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/messages.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/querier.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/types/test_common.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:54 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebd
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:55 Checking package: main
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:55 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebd/genaccounts.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:55 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebd/main.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:55 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/bridgebank
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:56 Checking package: BridgeBank
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:56 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/bridgebank/BridgeBank.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:56 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/keeper
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:56 Checking package: keeper
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:56 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/keeper/keeper.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:56 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/keeper/querier.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:56 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/keeper
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:57 Checking package: keeper
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:57 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/keeper/keeper.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:57 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/keeper/test_common.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:57 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/app
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:58 Checking package: app
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:58 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/app/app.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:58 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/app/export.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:58 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/app/genesis.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:58 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/cosmosbridge
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:58 Checking package: CosmosBridge
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:58 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/cosmosbridge/CosmosBridge.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:58 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/oracle
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:59 Checking package: Oracle
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:59 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/oracle/Oracle.go
```



```
[gosec]          2020/10/26          13:03:59          Import          directory:
/Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/valset
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:59 Checking package: Valset
[gosec]          2020/10/26          13:03:59          Checking          file:
/Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generated/bindings/valset/Valset.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:03:59 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/client/rest
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:04:00 Checking package: rest
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:04:00 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/ethbridge/client/rest/rest.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:04:00 Import directory: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:04:00 Checking package: oracle
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:04:00 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/alias.go
[gosec] 2020/10/26 13:04:00 Checking file: /Users/stefan/audits/peggy/x/oracle/module.go
Results:
```

[/Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/generator.go:74] - G204 (CWE-78): Subprocess launched with variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)

```
73: func execCmd(cmd string) error {
> 74:     _, err := exec.Command("sh", "-c", cmd).Output()
75:     return err
```

[/Users/stefan/audits/peggy/cmd/ebrelayer/contract/abi.go:40] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential file inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)

```
39:     // Read the file containing the contract's ABI
> 40:     contractRaw, err := ioutil.ReadFile(dir + filePath)
41:     if err != nil {
```

Summary:

Files: 59
Lines: 9463
Nosec: 0
Issues: **2**