



Stack Mechanisms

Ross Tate

Running Example

Dynamic Scoping

Dynamically Scoped Variables

Implementation Strategy #1

- ❖ Use a mutable global variable
- ❖ Tradeoffs
 - ❖ Very fast updates
 - ❖ Very fast lookups
 - ❖ Does not work with multiple threads
 - ❖ Correctness relies on unwinding

Implementation Strategy #2

- ❖ Pass around a mutable dictionary of dynamically-scoped variables
- ❖ Tradeoffs
 - ❖ Fastish updates
 - ❖ Fast lookups
 - ❖ Correctness relies on unwinding
 - ❖ Works with multiple threads

Implementation Strategy #3

- ❖ Pass around an immutable dictionary of dynamically-scoped variables
- ❖ Tradeoffs
 - ❖ Slowish updates
 - ❖ Medium-speed lookups
 - ❖ Robust with respect to unwinding
 - ❖ Works with multiple threads

WebAssembly Challenges

- ❖ Interop with host (e.g. JS)
 - ❖ calls from JS will not provide dynamic-scoping dictionary
 - ❖ closures given to JS will contain dictionary at time of closure-creation, not closure-invocation
 - ❖ same problem with interoperating with other applications
- ❖ Extensions for algebraic effects or first-class stacks
 - ❖ stack is a linked-list of “stacklets” (where code clearly indicates stacklet boundaries)
 - ❖ stacklets can be removed, added, rearranged, and so on
 - ❖ common for lookups of dynamically-scoped variables to reflect such changes to the stack

Dynamic Scoping with Stack Marking

- ❖ Key idea:
 - ❖ The stack is your dynamic scope
 - ❖ For variable updates: mark the *stacklet* with bindings of dynamically scoped variables
 - ❖ For variable lookups: search the stack for closest mark
- ❖ Tradeoffs
 - ❖ Works with foreign interop (marks are on stack before foreign stack frames)
 - ❖ Works with rearrangeable stacklets (marks move with their stacklets)
 - ❖ Requires treating stack as an inspectable data structure

Implementation Strategy #4

- ❖ Use code ranges to indicate which return addresses are marked
 - ❖ Check the associated stack frame to get the value of the mark
- ❖ Tradeoffs
 - ❖ Nearly free updates (only cost is constraint on register allocation)
 - ❖ Very slow lookups
 - ❖ Stack-frame cleanup is free
 - ❖ Good when variable is not likely to be used, bad when variable is likely to be used
 - ❖ “Lazy” strategy

Implementation Strategy #5

- ❖ Maintain dictionary of most recent marks (within stacklet) at root of stacklet
- ❖ Tradeoffs
 - ❖ Fastish updates
 - ❖ Fastish lookups
 - ❖ Stack-frame cleanup requires restoring dictionary
 - ❖ Assumes cheap way to find root of current stacklet from current stack pointer
 - ❖ Good when variable is accessed frequently, but wasteful when variable is not accessed
 - ❖ “Eager” strategy

Dynamic Scoping

- ❖ 5 implementation strategies
 - ❖ each with different pros and cons, each best suited for different situations
 - ❖ the application, not the engine, knows which is best
- ❖ Dynamic scoping is not a low-level primitive
- ❖ Stack marking is a low-level primitive
 - ❖ two variants: “eager” and “lazy”
 - ❖ useful (necessary?) for dynamic scoping that interops well with foreign calls and stacklets

Goal Requirement Mechanism

- ❖ Dynamic scoping would be the goal that we want WebAssembly to support
- ❖ Interop with foreign systems and composition with future extensions would be requirements
- ❖ Stack marking would be the mechanism that we actually add to Webassembly

Red Flag: Policy

- ❖ Imagine adding dynamic scoping directly to WebAssembly
- ❖ Question you would encounter: how should it interact with stacklet rearrangement?
 - ❖ Should the dynamic scope update or not?
 - ❖ That is a policy decision!
 - ❖ This suggests you are adding a high-level feature rather than a low-level mechanism
 - ❖ Break the feature down into parts