SOEN 341 SPRINT 4 rubric

Team name: Marker:

leam name:		Marker:	1		1			
Category	Excellent (10-9)	Good (8-6)	Fair(5-4)	Poor (4-0)	Score (/10)	Weight	Total	Comments
factures	The proposed features are implemented successfully	The proposed features are implemented with minor issues	The proposed features are partially implemented with significant issues	No Team proposed features implemented or major functionality missing		5	0	
by Team Members	All team members actively contributed to the development tasks and participate in all team work	Most team members contributed effectively to most of the activities	Some team members contributed, but others were less involved	Most of the work contributed by a few team members		3	0	
Acceptance	otomi tooko	Wrote 1 acceptance tests for each user story but with minor labeling or	Wrote 1 acceptance tests but with clarity or completeness issues	Lack or incompleteness of acceptance tests identified		1	0	
Integration Pineline	Successfully update and mantain the CI/CD pipeline with the latest changes. Unit tests can run automatically for	CI/CD pipeline is updated and mantained with the latest changes but may have minor	CI/CD setup exists but with significant issues or not automated	No CI/CD pipeline set up or not functional		2	0	
organization	Comply with all the guidelines defined in Appendix A of the instructions. The organization of files is	The organization is solid, with a few areas that could be improved for better clarity and	Organization is present but may be inconsistent.	The organization is inadequate, and there is a need for a substantial restructuring to		1	0	
Code review	The code review process is highly effective, with thorough evaluations, constructive feedback,	The code review process is effective, with room for minor improvements in	The code review process is somewhat effective, but there are significant	The code review process is ineffective, with critical issues overlooked, and improvements are		2	0	
Coding	Coding standards are clearly defined and effectively communicated to the team through the Wiki.	Coding standards are defined but may lack clarity or consistency.	Coding standards are somewhat defined but not effectively communicated.	Coding standards are undefined.		0.5	0	
Meeting minutes	Meeting minutes well- organized, named correctly, and include comprehensive details of each meeting.	Meeting minutes properly named and contain essential information.	Meeting minutes exist but are poorly formatted or incomplete.	Missing meeting minutes or improperly named files.		0.5	0	
Maintainance guided by	The team fixed 5 bugs reported by an automatic tool. The bugs selected were the top 5 critical ones and	The team fixed 5 bugs reported by an automatic tool. However, the bugs selected were not	The codebase shows modest improvement in terms of bug fixes, although some	The codebase shows minimal to no improvement in terms of bug fixes, indicating a lack of		2	0	
Member's	Comprehensive log with timestamps, activity details, and time spent by each team member.	Detailed log of each team member's contributions, including time	Brief contribution log with limited information.	No contribution log or minimal details provided.		0.5	0	

Project management	Adherence to project deadlines and sprint timelines, effective communication within the team and with the	effectively but with	guidelines but with	Serious issues with project deadlines, communication, or documentation		2	0	
Total (points): 0	Percent:0	5% of the total marks in the course					0	

Max	195
-----	-----