

SOEN 341

SPRINT 3 rubric

Team name:

Marker:

Category	Excellent (10-9)	Good (8-6)	Fair(5-4)	Poor (4-0)	Score (/10)	Weight	Total	Comments
Core Feature Development	Excellent: All core features implemented successfully and meet requirements	Good: Core features implemented with minor issues or missing some requirements	Fair: Core features partially implemented with significant issues	Poor: Core features not implemented or major functionality missing		4	0	
Proposed Feature Development	Excellent: Two good novel and appropriate features, implemented and tested without errors	Good: Two features implemented and tested with some errors	Fair: New features added with user stories but not implemented	Poor: No new features added, or inappropriate features added		2	0	
Acceptance Tests	Excellent: Wrote 2 acceptance tests for each user story. Properly labeled as "acceptance test (AT)" and linked to user story-tasks	Good: Wrote 2 acceptance tests for each user story but with minor labeling or traceability issues	Fair: Wrote 2 acceptance tests but with clarity or completeness issues	Poor: Lack or incompleteness of acceptance tests identified		2	0	
Continuous Integration Pipeline	Excellent: Successfully update and maintain the CI/CD pipeline with the latest changes. Unit tests can run automatically for every push/pull request to the main/master branch	Good: CI/CD pipeline is updated and maintained with the latest changes but may have minor issues or not fully automated	Fair: CI/CD setup exists but with significant issues or not automated	Poor: No CI/CD pipeline set up or not functional		0.5	0	
Unit testing	Excellent: Unit test for sprint 1-2 are implemented and pass	Good: Some unit tests do not pass	Fair: some unit tests are missing and/or do not pass	Poor: only 1-2 features with unit tests		2	0	
Meeting minutes	Excellent: Meeting minutes well-organized, named correctly, and include comprehensive details of each meeting.	Good: Meeting minutes properly named and contain essential information.	Fair: Meeting minutes exist but are poorly formatted or incomplete.	Poor: Missing meeting minutes or improperly named files.		0.5	0	
Detailed log of Each Team Member's Contribution	Excellent: Comprehensive log with timestamps, activity details, and time spent by each team member.	Good: Detailed log of each team member's contributions, including time spent.	Fair: Brief contribution log with limited information.	Poor: No contribution log or minimal details provided.		0.5	0	
Project management	Excellent: Adherence to project deadlines and sprint timelines, effective communication within the team and with the TA, proper use of GitHub tools and documentation	Good: Generally met project deadlines and communicated effectively but with minor issues	Fair: Adherence to some project guidelines but with significant issues	Poor: Serious issues with project deadlines, communication, or documentation		1	0	
Total 125= 5% of the total marks in the course							0	