

# Searching

## Complete Guide to Searching Algorithms in C

### Table of Contents

1. Binary Search
  2. Binary Search vs Linear Search Comparison
  3. Bubble Sort
  4. Insertion Sort
  5. Selection Sort
- 

### 1. Binary Search

#### What is Binary Search?

Binary search is an efficient searching algorithm that finds the position of a target value within a **sorted array**. It works by repeatedly dividing the search interval in half.

#### Key Concepts

- **Prerequisite:** The array MUST be sorted
- **Strategy:** Divide and conquer approach
- **Efficiency:** Much faster than linear search for large datasets

#### How Binary Search Works

1. Start with the middle element of the sorted array
2. If the target value equals the middle element, we're done
3. If the target value is less than the middle element, search the left half
4. If the target value is greater than the middle element, search the right half
5. Repeat steps 1-4 until the element is found or the search space is empty

#### Visual Example

Searching for 23 in array: [5, 12, 17, 23, 29, 34, 41, 50, 67]

Step 1: low=0, high=8, mid=4

Array: [5, 12, 17, 23, |29|, 34, 41, 50, 67]

23 < 29, so search left half

Step 2: low=0, high=3, mid=1

Array: [5, |12|, 17, 23]

23 > 12, so search right half

Step 3: low=2, high=3, mid=2

Array: [|17|, 23]

23 > 17, so search right half

Step 4: low=3, high=3, mid=3

Array: [|23|]

23 == 23, FOUND at index 3!

## Binary Search Implementation (Iterative)

```
#include <stdio.h>

// Iterative Binary Search
int binarySearch(int arr[], int size, int target) {
    int low = 0;
    int high = size - 1;

    while (low <= high) {
        int mid = low + (high - low) / 2; // Prevents overflow

        // Check if target is at mid
        if (arr[mid] == target) {
            return mid; // Element found
        }

        // If target is greater, ignore left half
        if (arr[mid] < target) {
            low = mid + 1;
        }
        // If target is smaller, ignore right half
    }
}
```

```

        else {
            high = mid - 1;
        }
    }

    return -1; // Element not found
}

int main() {
    int arr[] = {5, 12, 17, 23, 29, 34, 41, 50, 67};
    int size = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);
    int target = 23;

    int result = binarySearch(arr, size, target);

    if (result != -1) {
        printf("Element %d found at index %d\n", target, result);
    } else {
        printf("Element %d not found in array\n", target);
    }

    return 0;
}

```

## Binary Search Implementation (Recursive)

```

#include <stdio.h>

// Recursive Binary Search
int binarySearchRecursive(int arr[], int low, int high, int target) {
    // Base case: element not found
    if (low > high) {
        return -1;
    }

    int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;

    // Element found
    if (arr[mid] == target) {
        return mid;
    }
}

```

```

// Search in left half
if (arr[mid] > target) {
    return binarySearchRecursive(arr, low, mid - 1, target);
}

// Search in right half
return binarySearchRecursive(arr, mid + 1, high, target);
}

int main() {
    int arr[] = {5, 12, 17, 23, 29, 34, 41, 50, 67};
    int size = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);
    int target = 41;

    int result = binarySearchRecursive(arr, 0, size - 1, target);

    if (result != -1) {
        printf("Element %d found at index %d\n", target, result);
    } else {
        printf("Element %d not found in array\n", target);
    }

    return 0;
}

```

## Time Complexity

- **Best Case:** O(1) - Element found at the middle position in first comparison
- **Average Case:** O(log n) - Element found after multiple divisions
- **Worst Case:** O(log n) - Element not present or at the extreme end

### Why O(log n)?

In each step, we reduce the search space by half:

- $n$  elements  $\rightarrow n/2 \rightarrow n/4 \rightarrow n/8 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1$
- Number of steps =  $\log_2(n)$

## Space Complexity

- **Iterative Version:** O(1) - Only uses a few variables
- **Recursive Version:** O(log n) - Due to recursive call stack

## Important Points for Beginners

1. **Array must be sorted** - Binary search only works on sorted arrays
2. **Mid calculation:** Use  $\lfloor \frac{\text{low} + (\text{high} - \text{low})}{2} \rfloor$  instead of  $\lfloor \frac{\text{low} + \text{high}}{2} \rfloor$  to avoid integer overflow
3. **Return value:** Typically returns index if found, -1 if not found
4. **Efficiency:** For large datasets, binary search is significantly faster than linear search

## Common Mistakes to Avoid

1. Forgetting to sort the array first
2. Using  $\lfloor \frac{\text{low} + \text{high}}{2} \rfloor$  which can overflow for large values
3. Wrong loop condition (should be  $\text{low} \leq \text{high}$ , not  $\text{low} < \text{high}$ )
4. Not updating `low` and `high` correctly after comparison

## 2. Binary Search vs Linear Search Comparison

### Linear Search Overview

Linear search (also called sequential search) checks each element in the array one by one until the target is found or the end is reached.

```
int linearSearch(int arr[], int size, int target) {
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
        if (arr[i] == target) {
            return i; // Element found
        }
    }
    return -1; // Element not found
}
```

### Detailed Comparison Table

Feature	Binary Search	Linear Search
<b>Prerequisite</b>	Array must be sorted	Works on unsorted arrays
<b>Time Complexity (Best)</b>	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
<b>Time Complexity (Average)</b>	$O(\log n)$	$O(n)$
<b>Time Complexity (Worst)</b>	$O(\log n)$	$O(n)$
<b>Space Complexity (Iterative)</b>	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
<b>Space Complexity (Recursive)</b>	$O(\log n)$	$O(n)$

Feature	Binary Search	Linear Search
<b>Algorithm Type</b>	Divide and Conquer	Brute Force
<b>Efficiency on Large Data</b>	Excellent	Poor
<b>Implementation Complexity</b>	Moderate	Simple
<b>Works on Linked Lists?</b>	No (needs random access)	Yes

## Performance Comparison Example

For an array of **1,000,000 elements**:

- **Linear Search:** May need up to 1,000,000 comparisons (worst case)
- **Binary Search:** Needs at most 20 comparisons ( $\log_2(1,000,000) \approx 20$ )

## When to Use Each Algorithm

### Use Binary Search When:

- Array is already sorted or you can afford to sort it
- You need to perform multiple searches on the same data
- Working with large datasets
- Performance is critical

### Use Linear Search When:

- Array is small (less than 100 elements)
- Array is unsorted and sorting overhead isn't worth it
- You only need to search once
- Working with linked lists or data structures without random access
- Simplicity is more important than performance

## Real-World Analogy

- **Linear Search:** Like reading a book page by page to find a specific topic
- **Binary Search:** Like using a phone book - you open to the middle, determine which half to search, and repeat

## Code Example: Both Searches Together

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <time.h>
```

```

int linearSearch(int arr[], int size, int target) {
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
        if (arr[i] == target) return i;
    }
    return -1;
}

int binarySearch(int arr[], int size, int target) {
    int low = 0, high = size - 1;
    while (low <= high) {
        int mid = low + (high - low) / 2;
        if (arr[mid] == target) return mid;
        if (arr[mid] < target) low = mid + 1;
        else high = mid - 1;
    }
    return -1;
}

int main() {
    int arr[] = {2, 5, 8, 12, 16, 23, 38, 45, 56, 67, 78};
    int size = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);
    int target = 23;

    // Linear Search
    clock_t start = clock();
    int result1 = linearSearch(arr, size, target);
    clock_t end = clock();
    double time1 = ((double)(end - start)) / CLOCKS_PER_SEC;

    // Binary Search
    start = clock();
    int result2 = binarySearch(arr, size, target);
    end = clock();
    double time2 = ((double)(end - start)) / CLOCKS_PER_SEC;

    printf("Linear Search: Found at index %d (Time: %f sec)\n", result1, time1);
    printf("Binary Search: Found at index %d (Time: %f sec)\n", result2, time2);

    return 0;
}

```

### 3. Bubble Sort

#### What is Bubble Sort?

Bubble sort is a simple sorting algorithm that repeatedly steps through the list, compares adjacent elements, and swaps them if they are in the wrong order. The pass through the list is repeated until the list is sorted.

#### Why is it Called "Bubble" Sort?

The name comes from the way larger elements "bubble up" to the top (end) of the array with each iteration, like bubbles rising in water.

#### How Bubble Sort Works

1. Compare the first two adjacent elements
2. If the first element is greater than the second, swap them
3. Move to the next pair of adjacent elements
4. Repeat until the end of the array
5. After one complete pass, the largest element is at the end
6. Repeat the process for the remaining unsorted portion
7. Continue until no swaps are needed

#### Visual Example

Initial array: [64, 34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 90]

Pass 1:

```
[64, 34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 90]
[34, 64, 25, 12, 22, 11, 90] // Swap 64 and 34
[34, 25, 64, 12, 22, 11, 90] // Swap 64 and 25
[34, 25, 12, 64, 22, 11, 90] // Swap 64 and 12
[34, 25, 12, 22, 64, 11, 90] // Swap 64 and 22
[34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 64, 90] // Swap 64 and 11
[34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 64, 90] // No swap (64 < 90)
// After Pass 1: Largest element (90) is at the end
```

Pass 2:

```
[34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 64, 90]
[25, 34, 12, 22, 11, 64, 90] // Swap 34 and 25
[25, 12, 34, 22, 11, 64, 90] // Swap 34 and 12
```

```
[25, 12, 22, 34, 11, 64, 90] // Swap 34 and 22  
[25, 12, 22, 11, 34, 64, 90] // Swap 34 and 11  
// After Pass 2: Second largest (64) is in position
```

... (continues until sorted)

Final: [11, 12, 22, 25, 34, 64, 90]

## Bubble Sort Implementation (Basic)

```
#include <stdio.h>

void bubbleSort(int arr[], int n) {
    // Outer loop for each pass
    for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
        // Inner loop for comparisons in each pass
        // After i passes, last i elements are already sorted
        for (int j = 0; j < n - i - 1; j++) {
            // Compare adjacent elements
            if (arr[j] > arr[j + 1]) {
                // Swap if they're in wrong order
                int temp = arr[j];
                arr[j] = arr[j + 1];
                arr[j + 1] = temp;
            }
        }
    }
}

void printArray(int arr[], int size) {
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
        printf("%d ", arr[i]);
    }
    printf("\n");
}

int main() {
    int arr[] = {64, 34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 90};
    int n = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);

    printf("Original array: ");
```

```

printArray(arr, n);

bubbleSort(arr, n);

printf("Sorted array: ");
printArray(arr, n);

return 0;
}

```

## Optimized Bubble Sort (With Early Termination)

```

#include <stdio.h>

void bubbleSortOptimized(int arr[], int n) {
    int swapped;

    for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
        swapped = 0; // Flag to detect if any swap happened

        for (int j = 0; j < n - i - 1; j++) {
            if (arr[j] > arr[j + 1]) {
                // Swap elements
                int temp = arr[j];
                arr[j] = arr[j + 1];
                arr[j + 1] = temp;
                swapped = 1; // Mark that a swap occurred
            }
        }

        // If no swaps occurred, array is already sorted
        if (swapped == 0) {
            break;
        }
    }
}

int main() {
    int arr[] = {64, 34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 90};
    int n = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);
}

```

```

printf("Original array: ");
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) printf("%d ", arr[i]);
printf("\n");

bubbleSortOptimized(arr, n);

printf("Sorted array: ");
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) printf("%d ", arr[i]);
printf("\n");

return 0;
}

```

## Time Complexity

- **Best Case:**  $O(n)$  - Array is already sorted (with optimization)
- **Average Case:**  $O(n^2)$  - Average random arrangement
- **Worst Case:**  $O(n^2)$  - Array is reverse sorted

### Explanation:

- Outer loop runs  $(n-1)$  times
- Inner loop runs  $(n-1), (n-2), (n-3), \dots, 1$  times
- Total comparisons =  $(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 1 = n(n-1)/2 = O(n^2)$

## Space Complexity

- **Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$  - Only uses a constant amount of extra space for the temp variable

## Characteristics

- **Stable:** Yes - Equal elements maintain their relative order
- **In-place:** Yes - Doesn't require extra array space
- **Adaptive:** Yes (with optimization) - Performs better on nearly sorted arrays

## Advantages

1. Simple to understand and implement
2. No additional memory required
3. Stable sorting algorithm
4. Good for small datasets or nearly sorted data (with optimization)

## Disadvantages

1. Very slow for large datasets ( $O(n^2)$ )
2. Not suitable for production use with large data
3. Many unnecessary comparisons even if array is sorted

## Important Points for Beginners

1. **Number of passes:** At most  $(n-1)$  passes required
2. **After each pass:** One element is guaranteed to be in its correct position
3. **Why  $(n-i-1)$ ?**: We subtract  $i$  because the last  $i$  elements are already sorted
4. **Optimization:** Using a flag can stop early if the array becomes sorted

## When to Use Bubble Sort

- Educational purposes (learning sorting concepts)
- Very small datasets (less than 10-20 elements)
- Nearly sorted data (with optimization flag)
- When simplicity is more important than efficiency

## 4. Insertion Sort

### What is Insertion Sort?

Insertion sort is a simple sorting algorithm that builds the final sorted array one item at a time. It works similar to how you sort playing cards in your hands - you pick one card and insert it into its correct position among the already sorted cards.

### How Insertion Sort Works

1. Start with the second element (assume first element is sorted)
2. Compare it with elements in the sorted portion (to its left)
3. Shift all larger elements one position to the right
4. Insert the element in its correct position
5. Repeat for all remaining elements

### Visual Example

Initial array: [12, 11, 13, 5, 6]

Pass 1: (i=1, key=11)  
Sorted: [12] Unsorted: [11, 13, 5, 6]  
Compare 11 with 12 → 11 < 12, shift 12  
Result: [11, 12, 13, 5, 6]

Pass 2: (i=2, key=13)  
Sorted: [11, 12] Unsorted: [13, 5, 6]  
Compare 13 with 12 → 13 > 12, no shift  
Result: [11, 12, 13, 5, 6]

Pass 3: (i=3, key=5)  
Sorted: [11, 12, 13] Unsorted: [5, 6]  
Compare 5 with 13 → shift 13  
Compare 5 with 12 → shift 12  
Compare 5 with 11 → shift 11  
Result: [5, 11, 12, 13, 6]

Pass 4: (i=4, key=6)  
Sorted: [5, 11, 12, 13] Unsorted: [6]  
Compare 6 with 13 → shift 13  
Compare 6 with 12 → shift 12  
Compare 6 with 11 → shift 11  
Compare 6 with 5 → 6 > 5, stop  
Result: [5, 6, 11, 12, 13]

Final: [5, 6, 11, 12, 13]

## Insertion Sort Implementation

```
#include <stdio.h>

void insertionSort(int arr[], int n) {
    // Start from second element (index 1)
    for (int i = 1; i < n; i++) {
        int key = arr[i]; // Element to be inserted
        int j = i - 1; // Index of last element in sorted portion

        // Move elements greater than key one position ahead
        while (j >= 0 && arr[j] > key) {
            arr[j + 1] = arr[j]; // Shift element to the right
            j = j - 1;
        }
        arr[j + 1] = key; // Place key at its correct position
    }
}
```

```

    }

    // Insert key at its correct position
    arr[j + 1] = key;
}

}

void printArray(int arr[], int size) {
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
        printf("%d ", arr[i]);
    }
    printf("\n");
}

int main() {
    int arr[] = {12, 11, 13, 5, 6};
    int n = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);

    printf("Original array: ");
    printArray(arr, n);

    insertionSort(arr, n);

    printf("Sorted array: ");
    printArray(arr, n);

    return 0;
}

```

## Insertion Sort with Detailed Comments

```

#include <stdio.h>

void insertionSortDetailed(int arr[], int n) {
    printf("Starting Insertion Sort...\\n\\n");

    // Traverse from second element to end
    for (int i = 1; i < n; i++) {
        int key = arr[i]; // Current element to be positioned
        int j = i - 1; // Start comparing with previous element

```

```

printf("Pass %d: Inserting %d\n", i, key);
printf("Before: ");
for (int k = 0; k < n; k++) printf("%d ", arr[k]);
printf("\n");

// Find correct position for key by shifting larger elements
while (j >= 0 && arr[j] > key) {
    arr[j + 1] = arr[j]; // Shift element right
    j--;
}
// Place key in its correct position
arr[j + 1] = key;

printf("After: ");
for (int k = 0; k < n; k++) printf("%d ", arr[k]);
printf("\n\n");
}

int main() {
    int arr[] = {12, 11, 13, 5, 6};
    int n = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);

    printf("Original array: ");
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) printf("%d ", arr[i]);
    printf("\n\n");

    insertionSortDetailed(arr, n);

    printf("Final sorted array: ");
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) printf("%d ", arr[i]);
    printf("\n");

    return 0;
}

```

## Time Complexity

- **Best Case:**  $O(n)$  - Array is already sorted (only one comparison per element)
- **Average Case:**  $O(n^2)$  - Random order

- **Worst Case:**  $O(n^2)$  - Array is reverse sorted (maximum shifts needed)

### Explanation:

- Outer loop runs  $n-1$  times
- Inner loop (worst case) runs  $1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1$  times
- Total comparisons =  $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (n-1) = n(n-1)/2 = O(n^2)$

## Space Complexity

- **Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$  - Only uses constant extra space (key and  $j$  variables)

## Characteristics

- **Stable:** Yes - Equal elements maintain their relative order
- **In-place:** Yes - Sorts within the original array
- **Adaptive:** Yes - Efficient for nearly sorted data
- **Online:** Yes - Can sort data as it receives it

## Advantages

1. Simple and easy to implement
2. Efficient for small datasets
3. Very efficient for nearly sorted data ( $O(n)$  in best case)
4. Stable sorting algorithm
5. Requires only  $O(1)$  additional memory
6. Can sort list as it receives it (online algorithm)

## Disadvantages

1. Inefficient for large datasets ( $O(n^2)$ )
2. More writes than selection sort
3. Not suitable for large arrays

## Comparison: Insertion Sort vs Bubble Sort

Feature	Insertion Sort	Bubble Sort
<b>Best Case</b>	$O(n)$	$O(n)$ with optimization
<b>Average/Worst</b>	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$
<b>Number of Swaps</b>	Fewer (shifts instead)	More

Feature	Insertion Sort	Bubble Sort
Practical Performance	Better	Slower
Use Case	Small or nearly sorted data	Educational purposes

## Important Points for Beginners

1. **Key concept:** Maintains a sorted and unsorted portion
2. **Starting point:** Always starts from index 1 (assumes first element is sorted)
3. **Shifting vs Swapping:** Uses shifting rather than swapping (more efficient)
4. **Adaptive nature:** Works very well on nearly sorted arrays

## When to Use Insertion Sort

- Small datasets (less than 50 elements)
- Nearly sorted data
- Online sorting (data arriving one at a time)
- When simplicity and low memory usage are priorities
- As part of more complex algorithms (like Timsort)

## Real-World Analogy

Think of sorting a hand of playing cards:

1. You hold some cards in your left hand (sorted portion)
2. You pick a card from the table with your right hand (key)
3. You insert it into its correct position in your left hand
4. You shift cards as needed to make space
5. Repeat until all cards are in your left hand, sorted

## 5. Selection Sort

### What is Selection Sort?

Selection sort is a simple sorting algorithm that divides the array into two parts: sorted and unsorted. It repeatedly selects the smallest (or largest) element from the unsorted portion and moves it to the end of the sorted portion.

### How Selection Sort Works

1. Find the minimum element in the unsorted portion

2. Swap it with the first element of the unsorted portion
3. Move the boundary between sorted and unsorted portions one element to the right
4. Repeat until the entire array is sorted

## Visual Example

Initial array: [64, 25, 12, 22, 11]

Pass 1:

Array: [64, 25, 12, 22, 11]

    ^unsorted portion starts here

Find minimum in [64, 25, 12, 22, 11] → 11 (at index 4)

Swap with first element (64)

Result: [11, 25, 12, 22, 64]

    ^sorted

Pass 2:

Array: [11, 25, 12, 22, 64]

    ^unsorted portion starts here

Find minimum in [25, 12, 22, 64] → 12 (at index 2)

Swap with first unsorted element (25)

Result: [11, 12, 25, 22, 64]

----^sorted

Pass 3:

Array: [11, 12, 25, 22, 64]

    ^unsorted portion starts here

Find minimum in [25, 22, 64] → 22 (at index 3)

Swap with first unsorted element (25)

Result: [11, 12, 22, 25, 64]

-----^sorted

Pass 4:

Array: [11, 12, 22, 25, 64]

    ^unsorted portion starts here

Find minimum in [25, 64] → 25 (already in place)

No swap needed

Result: [11, 12, 22, 25, 64]

-----^sorted

Final: [11, 12, 22, 25, 64] (all sorted)

## Selection Sort Implementation

```
#include <stdio.h>

void selectionSort(int arr[], int n) {
    // Move boundary of unsorted portion one by one
    for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
        // Find minimum element in unsorted portion
        int minIndex = i;

        for (int j = i + 1; j < n; j++) {
            if (arr[j] < arr[minIndex]) {
                minIndex = j; // Update index of minimum element
            }
        }

        // Swap minimum element with first element of unsorted portion
        if (minIndex != i) {
            int temp = arr[i];
            arr[i] = arr[minIndex];
            arr[minIndex] = temp;
        }
    }
}

void printArray(int arr[], int size) {
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
        printf("%d ", arr[i]);
    }
    printf("\n");
}

int main() {
    int arr[] = {64, 25, 12, 22, 11};
    int n = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);

    printf("Original array: ");
    printArray(arr, n);
```

```

selectionSort(arr, n);

printf("Sorted array: ");
printArray(arr, n);

return 0;
}

```

## Selection Sort with Detailed Output

```

#include <stdio.h>

void selectionSortDetailed(int arr[], int n) {
    printf("Starting Selection Sort...\n\n");

    for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
        int minIndex = i;

        printf("Pass %d:\n", i + 1);
        printf("Searching minimum in: ");
        for (int k = i; k < n; k++) printf("%d ", arr[k]);
        printf("\n");

        // Find minimum in unsorted portion
        for (int j = i + 1; j < n; j++) {
            if (arr[j] < arr[minIndex]) {
                minIndex = j;
            }
        }

        printf("Minimum element: %d (at index %d)\n", arr[minIndex], minIndex);

        // Swap if needed
        if (minIndex != i) {
            printf("Swapping %d and %d\n", arr[i], arr[minIndex]);
            int temp = arr[i];
            arr[i] = arr[minIndex];
            arr[minIndex] = temp;
        } else {
            printf("No swap needed\n");
        }
    }
}

```

```

    }

    printf("Array after pass %d: ", i + 1);
    for (int k = 0; k < n; k++) printf("%d ", arr[k]);
    printf("\n\n");
}

}

int main() {
    int arr[] = {64, 25, 12, 22, 11};
    int n = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);

    printf("Original array: ");
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) printf("%d ", arr[i]);
    printf("\n\n");

    selectionSortDetailed(arr, n);

    printf("Final sorted array: ");
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) printf("%d ", arr[i]);
    printf("\n");

    return 0;
}

```

## Time Complexity

- **Best Case:**  $O(n^2)$  - Even if array is sorted, it still checks all elements
- **Average Case:**  $O(n^2)$  - Random arrangement
- **Worst Case:**  $O(n^2)$  - Reverse sorted

### Explanation:

- Outer loop runs  $(n-1)$  times
- Inner loop runs  $(n-1), (n-2), (n-3), \dots, 1$  times
- Total comparisons =  $(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 1 = n(n-1)/2 = O(n^2)$
- **Note:** Number of comparisons is ALWAYS the same, regardless of input

## Space Complexity

- **Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$  - Only uses constant extra space

## Characteristics

- **Stable:** No (in basic implementation) - Can swap non-adjacent equal elements
- **In-place:** Yes - Sorts within the original array
- **Adaptive:** No - Takes same time regardless of input order
- **Comparisons:** Always  $n(n-1)/2$  comparisons, regardless of initial order
- **Swaps:** At most  $(n-1)$  swaps - one per pass

## Advantages

1. Simple and easy to understand
2. Performs well on small datasets
3. **Minimum number of swaps:** Makes at most  $(n-1)$  swaps (useful when swap is costly)
4. In-place sorting (no extra memory)
5. Works well when writing to memory is expensive

## Disadvantages

1. Inefficient for large datasets ( $O(n^2)$ )
2. Not adaptive - doesn't benefit from partially sorted data
3. Not stable (equal elements may change order)
4. Always performs  $n(n-1)/2$  comparisons

## Comparison with Other Sorting Algorithms

Algorithm	Best Case	Average Case	Worst Case	Stable	Swaps
<b>Selection Sort</b>	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	No	$O(n)$
<b>Bubble Sort</b>	$O(n)*$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	Yes	$O(n^2)$
<b>Insertion Sort</b>	$O(n)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	Yes	$O(n^2)$

- With optimization flag

## Why Selection Sort Has Minimum Swaps

```
// Example showing swap count
```

```
Array: [5, 3, 8, 4, 2]
```

```
Pass 1: Find min (2), swap with 5 → Swap count: 1
```

```
Pass 2: Find min (3), swap with 3 → Swap count: 1 (no change)
```

Pass 3: Find min (4), swap with 8 → Swap count: 2

Pass 4: Find min (8), swap with 8 → Swap count: 2 (no change)

Total swaps: 2 (Maximum possible: 4)

Compare with Bubble Sort on same array:

Bubble sort would perform multiple swaps per pass

Total swaps could be much higher (up to  $n(n-1)/2$ )

## Important Points for Beginners

1. **Two portions:** Always maintains sorted and unsorted portions
2. **Selection strategy:** Finds the minimum (or maximum) first, then swaps
3. **Number of passes:** Always  $(n-1)$  passes
4. **Predictable:** Always takes the same time regardless of input
5. **Swap check:** Only swaps if  $\text{minIndex} \neq i$  (optimization)

## When to Use Selection Sort

- Small datasets
- When minimizing number of swaps is crucial (swap operation is expensive)
- When memory writes are costly
- Simple sorting needed for educational purposes
- Memory is extremely limited

## Selection Sort vs Insertion Sort

### Use Selection Sort when:

- Swap operations are expensive
- Number of writes to memory needs to be minimized

### Use Insertion Sort when:

- Data is nearly sorted
- You need better average-case performance
- Stability is required

## Real-World Analogy

Imagine sorting a row of books by height on a shelf:

1. Scan the entire row to find the shortest book
2. Place it at the leftmost position (swap with whatever is there)
3. Scan the remaining books to find the next shortest
4. Place it in the second position
5. Continue until all books are sorted

You're "selecting" the minimum each time, hence "Selection Sort."

## Common Mistakes to Avoid

1. Forgetting to update `minIndex` during comparison
2. Not checking if `minIndex != i` before swapping (unnecessary swap)
3. Running outer loop from 0 to n instead of n-1
4. Confusing the inner loop starting point (should start at i+1)

## Summary Comparison Table

### Time Complexity Comparison

Algorithm	Best Case	Average Case	Worst Case	Space Complexity
<b>Binary Search</b>	$O(1)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(\log n)$	$O(1)$ iterative, $O(\log n)$ recursive
<b>Linear Search</b>	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
<b>Bubble Sort</b>	$O(n)*$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
<b>Insertion Sort</b>	$O(n)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$
<b>Selection Sort</b>	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$

- With optimization

### Algorithm Characteristics

Algorithm	Stable	In-Place	Adaptive	Best Use Case
<b>Binary Search</b>	N/A	N/A	No	Large sorted datasets
<b>Linear Search</b>	N/A	N/A	No	Small or unsorted data
<b>Bubble Sort</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes*	Educational, nearly sorted
<b>Insertion Sort</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Small or nearly sorted
<b>Selection Sort</b>	No	Yes	No	Minimize swaps

- With optimization

## Quick Selection Guide

### For Searching:

- **Use Binary Search:** When data is sorted and you have many searches
- **Use Linear Search:** When data is unsorted or very small

### For Sorting:

- **Small datasets (< 20 elements):** Any algorithm works, insertion sort is often fastest
- **Nearly sorted data:** Insertion sort (best  $O(n)$  performance)
- **Minimize swaps:** Selection sort (only  $O(n)$  swaps)
- **Learning:** Bubble sort (easiest to visualize)
- **Large datasets:** None of these! Use merge sort, quick sort, or heap sort

## Practice Problems for Beginners

### 1. Binary Search:

- Modify to find first/last occurrence of a duplicate element
- Search in a rotated sorted array

### 2. Bubble Sort:

- Count number of swaps performed
- Implement in descending order

### 3. Insertion Sort:

- Sort array of strings
- Implement binary insertion sort (use binary search to find position)

### 4. Selection Sort:

- Modify to find both minimum and maximum in each pass (double selection sort)
- Sort in descending order

## Key Takeaways

1. **Binary Search is powerful** but requires sorted data -  $O(\log n)$  is much faster than  $O(n)$
2. **All three sorting algorithms have  $O(n^2)$  complexity** in average/worst case - not suitable for large datasets
3. **Insertion sort is generally the best** among the three for small or nearly sorted data

4. **Selection sort minimizes swaps** - useful when writing to memory is expensive
5. **Bubble sort is mainly educational** - rarely used in practice
6. **Understand when to use each algorithm** - no single algorithm is best for all situations
7. **Stability matters** when sorting objects with multiple fields
8. **Space complexity is O(1)** for all three sorting algorithms - they're in-place

## Next Steps for Learning

After mastering these basics, explore:

- **Merge Sort**:  $O(n \log n)$ , stable, but needs  $O(n)$  extra space
- **Quick Sort**:  $O(n \log n)$  average, most commonly used
- **Heap Sort**:  $O(n \log n)$ , in-place
- **Counting Sort**:  $O(n+k)$  for integers in limited range
- **Radix Sort**:  $O(d \times n)$  for integers

## Additional Resources and Tips

### Debugging Tips

1. **Print intermediate steps** - Use `printf` to see what's happening in each iteration
2. **Test with small arrays** - Start with 4-5 elements to trace manually
3. **Test edge cases:**
  - Empty array
  - Single element
  - Already sorted
  - Reverse sorted
  - All elements same
  - Array with duplicates

### Common Testing Array

```
// Good test arrays for all algorithms
int test1[] = {5, 2, 8, 1, 9};      // Random
int test2[] = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5};      // Already sorted
int test3[] = {5, 4, 3, 2, 1};      // Reverse sorted
```