

Microbial Chitinase and its potential application for biological control of plant parasites

Farhat Z Marchawala¹, Seema R Amin^{1*}

¹Department of Biological Sciences, P.D.Patel Institute of Applied Sciences, Charotar University of Science and Technology (CHARUSAT), Changa-388421, Gujarat, India.

Received: 25/01/2018

Revised: 10/05/2018

Accepted: 17/12/2018

Correspondence to:

*Amin Seema R.:
seemaamin.bt@charusat.ac.in

Abstract:

Chitin is the second most abundant polysaccharide in nature after cellulose, which is mainly found in the exoskeleton of insects, fungi, yeast, algae, and in the internal structures of other vertebrates, which are mostly plant parasites. At present, chemical pesticides are the major means of controlling these disease-causing agents. However, as these chemicals cause potential harm to the environment, human and animal health, new strategies are being developed to replace or reduce the use of such compounds in agriculture. Chitinase are enzymes that degrade chitin, resulting in severe damage and even death in pathogens and pests whose external and internal surfaces contain this polymer. In this perspective, chitinolytic microorganisms are likely to play an important role as biological control agents in agriculture fields against plant pathogens. In this review, various types of microbial chitinase and their role as biological control agents are thoroughly discussed.

Keywords: Microbial chitinase, fungicidal, pesticidal, nematicidal

INTRODUCTION

Chitin, a linear polymer of β -1, 4-N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), is the second most abundant biopolymer on the planet after cellulose (Shahidi and Abozaytoun 2005). It is found in the shells, exoskeletons, and gut linings of arthropods mainly crustaceans and insects. It also comprises the cell walls of many fungi, including some yeasts, and makes up the structural frameworks of certain Protista as well as of nematode eggs (Stoykov et al. 2015). According to previous studies, estimated amount of crop loss due to diseases in the field was up to 40% preharvest- and additionally 10% postharvest (Chandler et al. 2011). The main cause of these losses are insects, weeds, and plant parasites. Subsequently, crops worldwide are completely dependent on the use

of chemical pesticides to reduce crop loss, but the major problem of using these chemicals is that the target organisms often develop resistance to them (Russell, P.E. 2006), and non-target organisms and the surrounding environment too face the hazardous effects of chemicals employed. Many human health problems have been linked to pesticide use. Hence, it is important to find out an alternative to these chemical pesticides that is not harmful to humans and to the surrounding environment, besides degrading the chitin present in various plant parasites.

STRUCTURE OF CHITIN

Chitin is a white, hard, inelastic polysaccharide having high percentage of nitrogen (6.89%). It exists in three crystalline forms i.e. α -chitin, β -chitin γ -chitin, which differ in the arrangement of polymer chains

giving them different mechanical properties (Jang et al. 2004). These forms of chitin vary in packing and polarities of adjacent chains in the succeeding sheets (Chen et al. 2010). In the environment, chitin is found in fully acetylated state to its completely deacetylated form which is known as chitosan (Beier and Bertilsson 2013). Because of the ubiquity of chitin in the environment, its degradation has been extensively considered (Nagpure et al. 2014). There is a dire need of a biological substitute for harmful chemicals used as chitin degraders. Insect cuticle, fungal cell wall and nematodes eggshells comprises chitin biopolymer as a protective barrier against harmful chemicals and environmental factors. So based on these findings, microbial chitinase can be employed to degrade chitin to control these plant parasites.

DEGRADATION OF CHITIN BY CHITINASE

Chitinase enzyme degrades chitin. It is secreted by a wide range of organisms for carrying out various functions such as morphogenesis, nutrient cycling, as well as in defense against chitin containing pests and parasites (Dahiya et al. 2006). The catabolism of chitin takes place in 2 steps involving the initial cleavage of the chitin polymer by chitinase into chitin oligosaccharides, and further cleavage to N-acetylglucosamine. Whereas, monosaccharides are cleaved by chitobioses (Suginta et al. 2000). Chitinase (E.C 3.2.2.14) are glycosyl hydrolases with their size ranging from 20 kDa to about 90 kDa (Bhattacharya et al. 2007). Chitinase have been divided into 2 main groups *viz* endochitinase and exochitinase. The endochitinase randomly split chitin at internal sites, thereby forming the dimer di-acetylchitobiose and soluble low molecular mass multimers of GlcNAc such as chitotriose, and chitotetraose. The exochitinase have Chitobiosidases, which are involved in catalyzing the progressive release of di-acetylchitobiose cleaving the oligomeric products of endochitinase and chitobiosidases, thereby generating monomers of GlcNAc (Sahai et al. 1993). Based on amino acid sequence of the enzyme, chitinases are grouped into different categories of glycoside hydrolase (GH) families such as GH18, GH19, and GH20. Most bacterial chitinase belong to the GH18 family (Adrangi and Faramarzi 2013). Chitinase are produced by wide range of organisms such as bacteria, fungi, plants, arthropods, and humans. Chitinase have been receiving an increased attention due to their role in the biocontrol of plant parasites (Mathivanan et al. 1998). Microbial chitinase weaken and degrade the important structures of many pests and pathogens, thereby

exhibiting fungicidal, insecticidal, or nematocidal activity (Edreva, A. 2005). Chitinolytic enzymes will become a more obvious and important solution towards overcoming the environmental hazards that result from the application of synthetic pesticides and fungicides. Chitinase producing bacteria have been isolated from soil, shellfish waste, garden and park waste compost, and hot springs (Yuli et al. 2004). In marine environments, they are involved in the nutrient cycling of the substantial amount of chitin derived from arthropod shells and other sources (Souza et al. 2011). In the soil and rhizosphere, bacteria use chitin from insects and fungi as a carbon and nitrogen source (Cohen-Kupiec and Chet 1998, Geisseler et al. 2010).

In this review, we intent to broaden the understanding regarding chitinase, how it degrades the chitin, microbial chitinase and its potent application as biological control agents against plant parasites.

MICROBIAL SOURCES OF CHITINASE

Bacterial Chitinase

Microorganisms, particularly bacteria, form one of the major sources of chitinase (Bhattacharya et al. 2007). Bacteria produce chitinase mainly to degrade chitin and utilize it as an energy source. Microbial chitinase can hydrolyze chitin and partially N-acetylated chitosan as well (Mitsutomi et al. 1995). Bacterial chitinases are receiving increased attention due to their wide range of biotechnological applications especially in the production of chito-oligosaccharides and N-acetyl D-glucosamine (Pichyangkura et al. 2002), biocontrol of pathogenic fungi, preparation of sphaeroplast and protoplasts from yeast and fungal species (Balasubramanian et al. 2003), bioconversion of chitin waste to single cell protein (Dahiya et al. 2005), as well as one of the potential enzymes for applications in agriculture, pharmaceutical, waste management, biotechnology and industry (Gupta et al. 1995). Their high demand and varied uses has led to the discovery of new strains of microorganisms that are capable to produce enzymes with novel properties and the development of low cost industrial media formulations (Saito et al. 2009).

Fungal Chitinase

Fungal chitinase, like bacterial chitinase, have multiple functions as they play an important role in nutrition, morphogenesis, and fungal development processes. Chitin is a major cell wall component of fungi (Sahai et al. 1993). The chitinase production in

filamentous fungi is seen throughout its life cycle. The main role of this enzyme is to help the fungi in releasing the spores and in hyphal elongation and branching (Muzzarelli et al. 2012). In yeast, chitinase helps in budding especially in cell separation. It also plays an important role in septa dissolution and gamete fusion (Gooday and Gow 1990). Chitinase is essential not only in the various developmental stages of fungi but also in fungal nutrition (Gunaratna and Balasubramanian 1994). It helps in utilization of chitin for its carbon and nitrogen requirements. In certain cases, it is also associated with pathogenicity of the organisms (Narayana and Vijayalakshmi 2009).

CHITINOLYTIC MICROORGANISMS AS POTENTIAL BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AGENTS

Among the bacteria used as biocontrol agents, the primary ones are species of *Streptomyces*, *Bacillus*, and *Pseudomonas* (Bélanger, R.R. 2001). Recent studies are focused on the search for alternatives to chemical pesticides and *B. thuringiensis* toxin, since plant parasites have developed resistance against both control agents. To this end, bacteria from different orders have been found to be effective biocontrol agents (Kalia and Gosal 2011).

Actinobacteria are important saprophytic soil bacteria which are known for antibiotic and secondary metabolite production, as well as for the synthesis of chitinolytic enzymes (Barka et al. 2016). They are among the most important taxa in the soil microbial chitinolytic community (Gonzalez-Franco et al. 2003).

The purified chitinase from *Streptomyces rimosus* exhibited in vitro antifungal properties against *Fusarium solani* and *Alternaria alternata* (Brzezinska et al. 2013). Similarly, *Streptomyces viridificans* was found to lyse the fungal cell walls of *Rhizoctonia*, *Colletotrichum*, *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, *Sclerotinia*, *Curvularia*, and *Pythium* in vitro (Gupta et al. 1995).

Bacillus thuringiensis is a well-known biocontrol agent that has been in use for decades for pest control in agriculture. Many *B. thuringiensis* strains that constitutively express chitinase have been described (Liu D et al. 2010, Chen et al. 2007). Hollensteiner et al. (2017) isolated *B. thuringiensis* from tomato roots which exhibited in vitro antifungal activity against *Verticillium* spp. *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* isolated from coastal soil in Korea was reported to have strong in vitro chitinolytic activity when assayed on colloidal chitin. It also deformed and destroyed the eggshell of the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* (Jung et al. 2002).

BIOCONTROL OF FUNGAL PHYTOPATHOGEN BY MICROBIAL CHITINASE

The fungal phytopathogens cause severe problems worldwide in the cultivation of economically important plants (Brimner and Boland 2003). Chitinase enzymes are able to lyse the cell wall of numerous fungi and the microbes which produce these enzymes are capable of eradicating these fungal pathogens. Normally chemical fungicides are used to reduce

Table: 1 Chitinase producing key agents for biocontrol of fungal plant pathogens (published from 2007 onwards)

Microbial antagonist	Fungal pathogen	References
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<i>Gibberella saubinetii</i> , <i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	Xiao et al 2009, Kamil et al 2007
<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> , <i>Verticillium</i> spp., <i>Nigrospora</i> sp., <i>Stemphyllium botryosum</i> , <i>Bipolaris</i> spp. <i>Alternaria brassicicola</i>	Ghasemi et al 2010
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	<i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> , <i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> .	Karunya S.K 2011
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Velusamy et al 2011
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> , <i>Bipolaris</i> spp., <i>Alternaria raphanin</i> , <i>Fusarium solani</i> , <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	Zarei et al 2011, Zeki and Muslim 2017
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	<i>Fusarium culmorum</i> , <i>Fusarium solani</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	Brzezinska and Jankiewicz 2012
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> , <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> , <i>Pythium ultimum</i>	Fahmi et al 2012
<i>Streptomyces griseus</i>	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> , <i>Alternari alternata</i> , <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> , <i>Fusarium solani</i> , <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	Anitha and Rabeeth 2010

Table: 2 Microbial agents for pest management (published from 2007 onwards)

Microbial antagonist	Insect/ Pest	Reference
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	<i>Spodoptera litura</i>	Chandrasekaran et al 2012
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> subsp. <i>colmeri</i>	<i>Spodoptera exigua</i> , <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	Liu et al 2010
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> MP-13	<i>Helopeltis theivora</i>	Suganthi et al 2017
<i>Serratia marcescens</i> SEN	<i>Spodoptera litura</i>	Aggarwal et al 2015
<i>Isaria fumosorosea</i>	<i>Plutella xylostella</i>	Huang et al 2016
<i>Pochonia chlamydosporia</i>	<i>Bombyx mori</i>	Mi et al 2010
<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>	Binod et al 2007
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	<i>Bombyx mori</i>	Berini et al 2016

fungal pathogens. But the extreme use of chemical fungicides had led to environmental problems along with induced pathogen resistance. These chemical compounds may be toxic to beneficial insects and microorganisms in the soil, and may also enter into the food chain (Budi et al. 2000). Biological control of plant pathogens by soil bacteria is a well-known fact and chitinase production by these bacteria has been shown to play an important role in suppressing them (Hong and Hwang 2006). Bolar et al. (2001) studied synergistic activity of endochitinase and exochitinase from *Trichoderma atroviride* against the pathogenic fungus *Venturia inaequalis* in transgenic apple plants. The principal chitinase producers for controlling fungal phytopathogens are enlisted in Table: 1.

MIRCOBIAL CHITINASE AS A BIOPESTICIDE

In an agricultural system, pesticides are used to protect plants/crops from damage by disease causing plant pathogens. Acknowledging the side effects of these chemicals, control of crop pests by use of biological agents is prerequisite (Table: 2). In insects, chitin functions as scaffold material that supports the cuticles of epidermis and trachea as well as the gut epithelium lining. Hence if chitin is targeted it would result in degradation of insect's

structures. Chitinase produced by *Bacillus* spp. is found to control *Aphis gossypii* (Nurdebyandaru et al. 2010). Daizo K (2005) sprayed chitinase directly to the strawberry plants and observed that plants were free from insects. Recently, Suganthi et al. (2017) isolated chitinase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* that showed insecticidal activity against tea mosquito bug. These findings open up the possibility of using chitinase as a

biopesticidal agent for integrated pest management strategies.

MICROBIAL CHITINASE AS A NEMATICIDAL AGENT

Global estimates of agricultural losses due to infections by plant-parasitic nematodes are of \$ 130 billion annually (Becker, J.O. 2014). At present, chemical pesticides control nematodes but enzymes and the microbes which produce these enzymes (Table: 3) having nematicidal action are receiving an augmented attention. Cuticle in adult nematodes and eggshell play an important role in preventing nematophagous infection. The main component of cuticle is collagen which is degraded by microbial proteases during infection of adult nematodes. Eggshell is a more complex protective structure resulting in the increased survival rate of enveloped eggs, embryos or larvae against chemical and biological nematicides. In many studies, it was found that the chitinase has nematicidal effect on eggshell of nematodes (Wharton, D. 1980), and it was first investigated by (Mankau and Das 1969). They found that the chitin amendments controlled the citrus nematode *Tylenchulus semipenetrans* and the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. Later, chitin amendments were used to control *Tylenchorhynchus dubius* (Miller et al. 1973), *M. arenaria* (Mian et al. 1982), *M. javanica*, and the cereal cyst nematode *Heterodera avenae* (Spiegel et al. 1989). Purified chitinase inhibited egg hatch of *Globodera rostochiensis* up to 70% in vitro, and the chitinase-producing bacteria *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Chromobacterium* sp. reduced egg hatch of nematode both in vitro and in soil (Cronin et al. 1997). *Pseudomonas chitinolytica* reduced *M. javanica*

Table: 3 Microbial agents for biocontrol of plant parasitic nematodes (published from 2007 onwards)

Microbial antagonist	Target Nematode	Reference
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> subsp. <i>tenebrionis</i>	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i> (juveniles)	Ni et al 2015
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i> (eggs, adult)	Chen et al 2017, Chen et al 2015
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> HN1205	<i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> (eggs)	Lee and kim 2015
<i>Monacrosporium thaumasium</i> NF34	<i>Panagrellus redivivus</i> (juveniles)	Soares et al 2015
<i>Paecilomyces javanicus</i>	<i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> (eggs, juveniles)	Chan et al 2010
<i>Pochonia chlamydosporia</i>	<i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> (eggs)	Mi et al 2010
<i>Verticillium psalliotae</i>	<i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> (eggs)	Gan et al 2007

infection and improved growth of tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Spiegel et al. 1991). The chitinolytic fungus, *Paecilomyces lilacinus*, destroyed nematode eggs and efficiently controlled *M. incognita* (Morgan-Jones et al. 1984).

CONCLUSION

Application of biological agents as a substitute to chemicals pesticides holds great possibilities to control a range of plant pathogens. More study on microbial chitinase by using genetic engineering tools will offer better understanding about chitinase genes, its overexpression resulting in better yield of enzymes and biotechnological applications in agriculture sector. By understanding biochemistry and protein engineering, one can produce microbial chitinase with specific functions that will make them more useful for various processes in near future.

REFERENCES

1. Adrangi, S., and Faramarzi, M. A. (2013). From bacteria to human: a journey into the world of chitinases. *Biotechnology advances*, 31(8), 1786-1795.
2. Aggarwal, C., Paul, S., Tripathi, V., Paul, B., and Khan, M. A. (2015). Chitinase producing *Serratia marcescens* for biocontrol of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab) and studies on its chitinolytic activities. *Annals of Agricultural Research*, 36(36), 132-137.
3. Anitha, A., and Rabeeth, M. (2010). Degradation of fungal cell walls of phytopathogenic fungi by lytic enzyme of *Streptomyces griseus*. *African Journal of Plant Science*, 4(3), 061-066.
4. Balasubramanian, N., Juliet, G. A., Srikalaivani, P., and Lalithakumari, D. (2003). Release and regeneration of protoplasts from the fungus *Trichothecium roseum*. *Canadian journal of microbiology*, 49(4), 263-268.
5. Barka, E. A., Vatsa, P., Sanchez, L., Gaveau-Vaillant, N., Jacquard, C., Klenk, H. P., ... and Van Wezel, G. P. (2016). Taxonomy, physiology, and natural products of Actinobacteria. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 80(1), 1-43.
6. Becker, J. O. (2014). Plant health management: crop protection with nematicide, *Encyclopedia of Agriculture and Food Systems*, 4, 400-407.
7. Beier, S., and Bertilsson, S. (2013) Bacterial chitin degradation – mechanisms and ecophysiological strategies. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 4, 149.
8. Bélanger, R. R. (2001) Biological control in greenhouse systems. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 39, 103–133.
9. Berini, F., Caccia, S., Franzetti, E., Congiu, T., Marinelli, F., Casartelli, M., and Tettamanti, G. (2016). Effects of *Trichoderma viride* chitinases on the peritrophic matrix of *Lepidoptera*. *Pest management science*, 72(5), 980-989.
10. Bhattacharya, D., Nagpure, A., and Gupta, R. K. (2007). Bacterial chitinases: properties and potential. *Critical reviews in biotechnology*, 27(1), 21-28.
11. Binod, P., Sukumaran, R. K., Shirke, S. V., Rajput, J. C., and Pandey, A. (2007). Evaluation of fungal culture filtrate containing chitinase as a biocontrol agent against *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Journal of applied microbiology*, 103(5), 1845-1852.
12. Bolar, J. P., Norelli, J. L., Harman, G. E., Brown, S.

- K., and Aldwinckle, H. S. (2001). Synergistic activity of endochitinase and exochitinase from *Trichoderma atroviride* (*T. harzianum*) against the pathogenic fungus (*Venturia inaequalis*) in transgenic apple plants. *Transgenic research*, 10(6), 533-543.
13. Brimner, T. A., and Boland, G. J. (2003). A review of the non-target effects of fungi used to biologically control plant diseases. *Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment*, 100(1), 3-16.
14. Brzezinska, M. S., and Jankiewicz, U. (2012). Production of antifungal chitinase by *Aspergillus niger* LOCK 62 and its potential role in the biological control. *Current microbiology*, 65(6), 666-672.
15. Brzezinska, M. S., Jankiewicz, U., and Walczak, M. (2013). Biodegradation of chitinous substances and chitinase production by the soil actinomycete *Streptomyces rimosus*. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 84, 104-110.
16. Budi, S. W., Van Tuinen, D., Arnould, C., Dumas-Gaudot, E., Gianinazzi-Pearson, V., and Gianinazzi, S. (2000). Hydrolytic enzyme activity of *Paenibacillus* sp. strain B2 and effects of the antagonistic bacterium on cell integrity of two soil-borne pathogenic fungi. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 15(2), 191-199.
17. Chan, Y. L., Cai, D., Taylor, P. W. J., Chan, M. T., and Yeh, K. W. (2010). Adverse effect of the chitinolytic enzyme PjCHI 1 in transgenic tomato on egg mass production and embryonic development of *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Plant pathology*, 59(5), 922-930.
18. Chandler, D., Bailey, A. S., Tatchell, G. M., Davidson, G., Greaves, J., and Grant, W. P. (2011). The development, regulation and use of biopesticides for integrated pest management. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, Biological Sciences*, 366(1573), 1987-1998.
19. Chandrasekaran, R., Revathi, K., Nisha, S., Kirubakaran, S. A., Sathish-Narayanan, S., and Senthil-Nathan, S. (2012). Physiological effect of chitinase purified from *Bacillus subtilis* against the tobacco cutworm *Spodoptera litura* (Fab). *Pesticide biochemistry and physiology*, 104(1), 65-71.
20. Chen, J. K., Shen, C. R., and Liu, C. L. (2010). N-acetylglucosamine: production and applications. *Marine drugs*, 8(9), 2493-2516.
21. Chen, Y. L., Lu, W., Chen, Y. H., Xiao, L., and Cai, J. (2007). Cloning, expression and sequence analysis of chiA, chiB in *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *colmeri* 15A3. *Wei sheng wu xue bao= Acta microbiologica Sinica*, 47(5), 843-848.
22. Chen, J., An, Y., Kumar, A., and Liu, Z. (2017). Improvement of chitinase Pachi with nematicidal activities by random mutagenesis. *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 96, 171-176.
23. Chen, L., Jiang, H., Cheng, Q., Chen, J., Wu, G., Kumar, A., ... and Liu, Z. (2015). Enhanced nematicidal potential of the chitinase pachi from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in association with Cry21Aa. *Scientific reports*, 5, 14395.
24. Cohen-Kupiec, R., and Chet, I. (1998). The molecular biology of chitin digestion. *Current opinion in biotechnology*, 9(3), 270-277.
25. Cronin, D., Moënne-Loccoz, Y., Dunne, C., and O'gara, F. (1997). Inhibition of egg hatch of the potato cyst nematode *Globodera rostochiensis* by chitinase-producing bacteria. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, 103(5), 433-440.
26. Dahiya, N., Tewari, R., and Hoondal, G. S. (2006). Biotechnological aspects of chitinolytic enzymes: a review. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 71(6), 773-782.
27. Dahiya, N., Tewari, R., Tiwari, R. P., and Singh Hoondal, G. (2005). Chitinase from *Enterobacter* sp. NRG4: Its purification, characterization and reaction pattern. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 8(2), 14-25.
28. Daizo, K. O. G. A. (2005). Application of chitinase in agriculture. *Journal of Metals, Materials and Minerals*, 15(1), 33-36.
29. Edreva, A. (2005) Pathogenesis-related proteins: research progress in the last 15 years. *General and Applied Plant Physiology*, 31, 105-124.
30. Fahmi, A. I., Al-Talhi, A. D., and Hassan, M. M. (2012). Protoplast fusion enhances antagonistic activity in *Trichoderma* spp. *Nature and Science*, 105, 100-106.
31. Gan, Z., Yang, J., Tao, N., Liang, L., Mi, Q., Li, J., and Zhang, K. Q. (2007). Cloning of the gene *Lecanicillium psalliotae* chitinase Lpchi1 and identification of its potential role in the biocontrol of root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 76(6), 1309-1317.
32. Geisseler, D., Horwath, W. R., Joergensen, R. G., and Ludwig, B. (2010). Pathways of nitrogen utilization by soil microorganisms—a review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42(12), 2058-2067.

33. Ghasemi, S., Ahmadian, G., Jelodar, N. B., Rahimian, H., Ghandili, S., Dehestani, A., and Shariati, P. (2010). Antifungal chitinases from *Bacillus pumilus* SG2: preliminary report. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 26(8), 1437-1443.
34. Gonzalez-Franco, A. C., Deobald, L. A., Spivak, A., and Crawford, D. L. (2003). Actinobacterial chitinase-like enzymes: profiles of rhizosphere versus non-rhizosphere isolates. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 49(11), 683-698.
35. Gooday, G. W., and Gow, N. A. (1990). Enzymology of tip growth in fungi. *Tip growth in plant and fungal cells*, 31-58.
36. Gunaratna, K. R., and Balasubramanian, R. (1994). Partial purification and properties of extracellular chitinase produced by *Acremonium obclavatum*, an antagonist to the groundnut rust, *Puccinia arachidis*. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 10(3), 342-345.
37. Gupta, R., Saxena, R. K., Chaturvedi, P., and Virdi, J. S. (1995). Chitinase production by *Streptomyces viridificans*: its potential in fungal cell wall lysis. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 78(4), 378-383.
38. Hollensteiner, J., Wemheuer, F., Harting, R., Kolarzyk, A. M., Valerio, D., Stefani, M., ... and Braus, G. H. (2017). *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Bacillus weihenstephanensis* inhibit the growth of phytopathogenic *Verticillium* species. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 7, 2171.
39. Hong, J. K., and Hwang, B. K. (2006). Promoter activation of pepper class II basic chitinase gene, CACi2, and enhanced bacterial disease resistance and osmotic stress tolerance in the CACi2-overexpressing Arabidopsis. *Planta*, 223(3), 433.
40. Huang, Z., Hao, Y., Gao, T., Huang, Y., Ren, S., and Keyhani, N. O. (2016). The Ifchit1 chitinase gene acts as a critical virulence factor in the insect pathogenic fungus *Isaria fumosorosea*. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 100(12), 5491-5503.
41. Jang, M. K., Kong, B. G., Jeong, Y. I., Lee, C. H., and Nah, J. W. (2004). Physicochemical characterization of α chitin, β chitin, and γ chitin separated from natural resources. *Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, 42(14), 3423-3432.
42. Jung, S. J., An, K. N., Jin, Y. L., Park, R. D., Kim, K. Y., Shon, B. K., and Kim, T. H. (2002). Effect of chitinase-producing *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* KJA-424 on egg hatching of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*). *Journal of microbiology and biotechnology*, 12(6), 865-871.
43. Kalia, A., and Gosal, S. K. (2011). Effect of pesticide application on soil microorganisms. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 57(6), 569-596.
44. Kamil, Z., Rizk, M., Saleh, M., and Moustafa, S. (2007). Isolation and Identification of Rhizosphere Soil Chitinolytic Bacteria and their Potential in Antifungal Biocontrol. *Global Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 2(2), 57-66.
45. Karunya, S. K. (2011). Optimization and purification of chitinase produced by *Bacillus subtilis* and its antifungal activity against plant pathogens. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archive*, 2(6), 1680-1685.
46. Lee, Y. S., and Kim, K. Y. (2015). Statistical optimization of medium components for chitinase production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain HN1205: role of chitinase on egg hatching inhibition of root-knot nematode. *Biotechnology and Biotechnological Equipment*, 29(3), 470-478.
47. Liu, D., Cai, J., Xie, C. C., Liu, C., and Chen, Y. H. (2010). Purification and partial characterization of a 36-kDa chitinase from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *colmeri*, and its biocontrol potential. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 46(3-4), 252-256.
48. Mankau, R., and Das, S. (1969). Influence Of Chitin Amendments On *Meloidogyne Incognita*. *Journal of Nematology*, 1(1), 15.
49. Mathivanan, N., Kabilan, V., and Murugesan, K. (1998). Purification, characterization, and antifungal activity of chitinase from *Fusarium chlamydosporum*, a mycoparasite to groundnut rust, *Puccinia arachidis*. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 44(7), 646-651.
50. Mi, Q., Yang, J., Ye, F., Gan, Z., Wu, C., Niu, X., ... and Zhang, K. Q. (2010). Cloning and overexpression of *Pochonia chlamydosporia* chitinase gene pcchi44, a potential virulence factor in infection against nematodes. *Process Biochemistry*, 45(5), 810-814.
51. Mian, I. H., Godoy, G., Shelby, R. A., Rodriguez-Kabana, R., and Morgan-Jones, G. (1982). Chitin amendments for control of *Meloidogyne arenaria* in infested soil. *Nematropica*, 12(1), 71-84.

52. Miller, P. M., Sands, D. C., and Rich, S. (1973). Effect of industrial mycelial residues, wood fiber wastes, and chitin on plant-parasitic nematodes and some soilborne diseases. *Plant Disease Reporter*, 57(5), 438-442.
53. Mitsutomi, M., Kidoh, H., Tomita, H., and Watanabe, T. (1995). The action of *Bacillus circulans* WL-12 chitinases on partially N-acetylated chitosan. *Bioscience, biotechnology, and biochemistry*, 59(3), 529-531.
54. Morgan-Jones, G., White, J. F., and Rodriguez-Kabana, R. (1984). Phytonematode pathology: Ultrastructural studies. II. Parasitism of *Meloidogyne arenaria* eggs and larvae by *Paecilomyces lilacinus*. *Nematologica*, 14(1), 57-71.
55. Muzzarelli, R. A., Boudrant, J., Meyer, D., Manno, N., DeMarchis, M., and Paoletti, M. G. (2012). Current views on fungal chitin/chitosan, human chitinases, food preservation, glucans, pectins and inulin: A tribute to Henri Braconnot, precursor of the carbohydrate polymers science, on the chitin bicentennial. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 87(2), 995-1012.
56. Nagpure, A., Choudhary, B., and Gupta, R. K. (2014). Chitinases: in agriculture and human healthcare. *Critical reviews in biotechnology*, 34(3), 215-232.
57. Narayana, K. J., and Vijayalakshmi, M. (2009). Chitinase production by *Streptomyces* sp. ANU 6277. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 40(4), 725-733.
58. Ni, H., Zeng, S., Qin, X., Sun, X., Zhang, S., Zhao, X., ... and Li, L. (2015). Molecular docking and site-directed mutagenesis of a *Bacillus thuringiensis* chitinase to improve chitinolytic, synergistic lepidopteran-larvicidal and nematicidal activities. *International journal of biological sciences*, 11(3), 304.
59. Nurdebyandaru, N., Mubarik, N. R., and Prawasti, T. S. (2010). Chitinolytic bacteria isolated from Chili rhizosphere: Chitinase characterization and application as biocontrol for *Aphis gossypii*. *Microbiology Indonesia*, 4(3).
60. Pichyangkura, R., Kudan, S., Kuttiyawong, K., Sukwattanasinitt, M., and Aiba, S. I. (2002). Quantitative production of 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-glucose from crystalline chitin by bacterial chitinase. *Carbohydrate Research*, 337(6), 557-559.
61. Russell, P. E. (2006) The development of commercial disease control. *Plant Pathology* 55(5), 585-594.
62. Sahai, A. S., and Manocha, M. S. (1993). Chitinases of fungi and plants: their involvement in morphogenesis and host parasite interaction. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 11(4), 317-338.
63. Saito, A., Ooya, T., Miyatsuchi, D., Fuchigami, H., Terakado, K., Nakayama, S. Y., ... and Ando, A. (2009). Molecular characterization and antifungal activity of a family 46 chitosanase from *Amycolatopsis* sp. CsO-2. *FEMS microbiology letters*, 293(1), 79-84.
64. Shahidi, F., and Abuzaytoun, R. (2005). Chitin, chitosan, and co-products: chemistry, production, applications, and health effects. *Advances in food and nutrition research*, 49, 93-137.
65. Soares, F. E. D. F., de Queiroz, J. H., de Araújo, J. V., Queiroz, P. V., Gouveia, A. D. S., Hiura, E., and Braga, F. R. (2015). Nematicidal action of chitinases produced by the fungus *Monacrosporium thaumasium* under laboratorial conditions. *Biocontrol science and technology*, 25(3), 337-344.
66. Souza, C. P., Almeida, B. C., Colwell, R. R., and Rivera, I. N. (2011). The importance of chitin in the marine environment. *Marine biotechnology*, 13(5), 823.
67. Spiegel, Y., Cohn, E., and Chet, I. (1989). Use of chitin for controlling *Heterodera avenae* and *Tylenchulus semipenetrans*. *Journal of nematology*, 21(3), 419.
68. Spiegel, Y., Cohn, E., Galper, S., Sharon, E., and Chet, I. (1991). Evaluation of a newly isolated bacterium, *Pseudomonas chitinolytica* sp. nov., for controlling the root knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica*. *Biocontrol science and technology*, 1(2), 115-125.
69. Stoykov, Y. M., Pavlov, A. I., and Krastanov, A. I. (2015). Chitinase biotechnology: production, purification, and application. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 15(1), 30-38.
70. Suganthi, M., Senthilkumar, P., Arvinth, S., and K. N, C. (2017). Chitinase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and its insecticidal activity against *Helopeltis theivora*. *The Journal of general and applied microbiology*, 63(4), 222-227.
71. Suginta, W., Robertson, P. A. W., Austin, B., Fry, S. C., and Fothergill Gilmore, L. A. (2000). Chitinases from *Vibrio*: activity screening and

- purification of chiA from *Vibrio carchariae*. *Journal of applied microbiology*, 89(1), 76-84.
72. Velusamy, P., Ko, H. S., and Kim, K. Y. (2011). Determination of antifungal activity of *Pseudomonas* sp. A3 against *Fusarium oxysporum* by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). *Agriculture Food and Analytical Bacteriology*, 1, 15-23.
 73. Wharton, D. (1980). Nematode egg-shells. *Parasitology*, 81(2), 447-463.
 74. Xiao, L., Xie, C. C., Cai, J., Lin, Z. J., and Chen, Y. H. (2009). Identification and characterization of a chitinase-produced *Bacillus* showing significant antifungal activity. *Current microbiology*, 58(5), 528-533.
 75. Yuli, P. E., Suhartono, M. T., Rukayadi, Y., Hwang, J. K., and Pyun, Y. R. (2004). Characteristics of thermostable chitinase enzymes from the Indonesian *Bacillus* sp. 13.26. *Enzyme and microbial technology*, 35(2), 147-153.
 76. Zarei, M., Aminzadeh, S., Zolgharnein, H., Safahieh, A., Daliri, M., Noghabi, K. A., ... and Motallebi, A. (2011). Characterization of a chitinase with antifungal activity from a native *Serratia marcescens* B4A. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 42(3), 1017-1029.
 77. Zeki, N. H., and Muslim, S. N. (2017). Purification, characterization and antifungal activity of chitinase from *Serratia marcescens* isolated from fresh vegetables. *Ibn AL-Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied Science*, 23(1), 13-25.

